Sagittal balance of the spine - lumbar lordosis or lumbosacral lordosis?

Kai Song¹ , Pengfei Chi¹, Qiang Yang², Cao Yang³, Bing Wang⁴, Fangcai Li⁵, Zezhang Zhu⁶, Weishi Li⁷, Jianguo Zhang⁸, Zheng Wang¹*

- 1. Department of Orthopaedics, Chinese People's Liberation Army General Hospital, Beijing, PR China.
- Department of Spine Surgery, Tianjin Hospital, Tianjin, PR China 2.
- 3. Department of Orthopaedics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, PR China
- Department of Spine Surgery, Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, PR China 4.
- 5. Department of Orthopedics Surgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, PR China
- 6. Department of Spine Surgery, The Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, Jiangsu, PR China
- 7. Department of Orthopaedics, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, PR China
- Department of Orthopedics, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, PR China 8
- 9. Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, PR China

Kai Song : songkai200018@163.com

Wang Zheng* : wangzheng 301@163.com

Abstract

Objective: To investigate sagittal spinal alignment from the perspective of the overall curvature of the "S" curve of the human spine, and explore the roles of pelvic incidence (PI) and maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt(TLmax) in the classification of the sagittal spinal aligment.

Methods: The tilt of the sacral, lumbar, and thoracic vertebrae (from Co1, S5, S4... to C7) were measured. The minimal sacral vertebral tilt(Smin), maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt(TLmax), and minimal thoracic vertebral tilt (Tmin) were recorded. The concept of lumbosacral lordosis (LSL) was introduced, and the Ferguson method was utilized to measure sagittal spinal parameters both in anatomical segmentation (Ferguson L1-S2, Ferguson T1-T12) and functional segmentation (Ferguson LSLmax, Ferguson TKmax). The subjects were grouped based on pelvic incidence (PI) and TLmax separately, and the mean and standard deviation of each parameter were calculated. Chi-square tests were conducted for statistical analysis.

Results: 1. Based on PI grouping: PI for all subjects was $45.4 \pm 9.5^{\circ}$ (21.7-86.4°). Group A consisted of 117 subjects with a mean PI of $34.7 \pm 4.4^{\circ}$, Group B had 158 subjects with a mean PI of $45.2 \pm 2.9^{\circ}$, and Group C included 113 subjects with a mean PI of 56.7 ± 5.8°. No statistically significant differences were found in tilt of S2, L1, T1, TLmax, Tmin, and Ferguson L1-S2, Ferguson T1-T12, and Ferguson TKmax among Groups A, B, and C. 2. Based on TLmax grouping: TLmax for all subjects was 110.5 ± 5.5° (94.4-132.0°). Group A had 91 subjects with a mean TLmax of 104.0 ± 2.3°, Group B comprised 216 subjects with a mean TLmax of $110.2 \pm 2.1^{\circ}$, and Group C included 81 subjects with a mean TLmax of $118.6 \pm 3.8^{\circ}$. Significant statistical differences were observed in tilt of S2, L1, T1, Smin, TLmax, Tmin, and Ferguson L1-S2, Ferguson T1-T12, Ferguson LSLmax, and Ferguson TKmax among Groups A, B, and C.

Conclusion: There were no differences in the magnitude of LSL and TK among subjects with different PI, indicating that PI does not affect the overall curvature of the "S" curve in the sagittal spinal alignent. In contrast, TLmax effectively distinguishes the NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. overall curvature of the 'S' curve.

Keywords: Sagittal spinal alignment; Sagittal spinal curvature; Lumbosacral lordosis; Pelvic incidence; Maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt.

Introduction

The recognition of sagittal spinal alignments is of significant importance for the diagnosis and treatment of spinal disorders [1, 2]. The "S" curve formed by the lumbar lordosis and thoracic kyphosis has played a crucial role in the evolutionary process of humans transitioning from quadrupedal to bipedal upright locomotion [3-6]. Typically, scholars define the lumbar lordosis (LL) as the Cobb angle from L1 to S1 and the thoracic kyphosis (TK) as the Cobb angle from T1 to T12, to anatomically segment and analyze the "S" curve in radiographic images [7].

In recent years, there has been a preference among scholars to use functional segmentation based on the curvature of the "S" curve, rather than rigidly using the upper endplate of L1 as the boundary between the two bends. This approach is similar to the concept of the end vertebrae commonly used in scoliosis, where the inflection point's position is not determined by the anatomical features of the vertebrae but by the characteristics of the spinal sequence [8-11]. Consequently, the cephalic end of LL may be located either proximally or distally on L1. In contrast, the caudal starting point of the lordosis is consistently defined by the upper endplate of S1.

Contrary to the previous study that considered the sacrum as a whole kyphotic segment [12-14], Song et al. confirmed that, in terms of spinal sequence, the sacrum is not entirely kyphotic but is divided into a lordotic segment (S1-S2) and a kyphotic segment (S2-S5, Co1). S1 and S2 actually continue the LL, and S2 should be considered the caudal vertebra of the lordotic segment in the sagittal spinal alignent [15]. If this is the case, the traditional definition of the "S" curve is incomplete, as it lacks the sacral lordotic segment at its distal end. In the context of studying spinal sequences, the definition of lumbosacral lordosis (LSL) seems more reasonable than lumbar lordosis alone.

Based on this background, the present study aims to measure and analyze the spinal curvature of the "S" curve in the general population from both anatomical segmentation and functional segmentation, using vertebral tiltand the Ferguson method. The study also considers the maximum thoracolumbar tilt (TLmax) as a reference for classification and typing of the sagittal spinal curvature, comparing it with previous classification types based on pelvic incidence (PI) [9-11, 16-19]. This comparison aims to provide a deeper exploration of the characteristics of the human sagittal spinal alignents and offer references for the diagnosis and treatment of spinal disorders.

Methods

The study included 388 healthy adults aged 18-35 years old and collected their full-length lateral spine X-rays in a natural standing position. Among them, 336 cases were from Chinese PLA General Hospital, where they had been suspected of having scoliosis by other hospitals, but subsequent outpatient imaging examinations confirmed no abnormalities; 52 cases were from collaborating hospitals. Ethics committee of Chinese PLA General Hospital gave ethical approval (S2023-446-02) for this work. 1. **Measurements:** The tilt (right angle to the horizontal line) of the anterior, middle, and posterior edges of the vertebrae from Co, S5, S4... to C7 were measured. The minimal sacral vertebral tilt(Smin), the maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt(TLmax), and the minimal thoracic vertebral tilt(Tmin) were recorded. The lumbosacral lordosis angle (Ferguson L1-S2), thoracic kyphosis angle (Ferguson TLmax, calculated as Ferguson TLmax-Tmin) were calculated.

2.Conventional measurements: Pelvic incidence (PI), sacral slope (SS), pelvic tilt (PT), lumbar lordosis angle (LL, Cobb L1-S1), thoracic kyphosis angle (TK, Cobb T1-T12), T9 spino-pelvic inclination (T9-SPi), T1 spino-pelvic inclination (T1-SPi), T1 pelvic angle (TPA), spine-sacral angle (SSPA), and sagittal-vertical-axis angle (SVA-A) (the angle of the perpendicular line from the posterior edge of the S1 upper endplate to the center point of the C7 vertebra, with the right angle considered positive, thus converting the SVA distance value into an angle value to eliminate height differences) were measured.

3.Subject grouping: Based on pelvic incidence (PI): Group A <40°; Group B 40° \leq PI<50°; Group C \geq 50°. Based on the maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt(TLmax) (middle): Group A <106.5°; Group B 106.5° \leq TLmax<114.5°; Group C \geq 114.5°. The mean, standard deviation, and extreme values of each parameter were calculated, and chi-square tests were performed to compare and analyze the groups within the two classification types.

Results

The measured and statistical parameters are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Based on PI grouping: The overall mean PI for all subjects was 45.4±9.5° (range 21.7-86.4°). Group A consisted of 117 subjects with a mean PI of 34.7±4.4° (range 21.7-40.0°); Group B had 158 subjects with a mean PI of 45.2±2.9° (range 40.0-50.0°); Group C included 113 subjects with a mean PI of 56.7±5.8° (range 50.2-86.4°). There were no significant statistical differences in the tilt of S2, L1, T8, T6, T5, T4, T3, T2, T1, C7, TLmax, Tmin among Groups A, B, and C; no significant statistical differences were found for Ferguson L1-S2, Ferguson T1-T12, FergusonTKmax; no significant statistical differences for Cobb T1-T12, SVA-A; however, other parameters showed statistical differences. (Tables 1, 2)

Based on TLmax grouping: The overall mean TLmax for all subjects was 110.5±5.5° (range 94.4-132.0°). Group A had 91 subjects with a mean TLmax of 104.0±2.3° (range 94.4-106.5°); Group B comprised 216 subjects with a mean TLmax of 110.2±2.1° (range 106.6-114.5°); Group C included 81 subjects with a mean TLmax of 118.6±3.8° (range 114.7-132.0°). There were no significant statistical differences in the tilt of Co1, S5, S4, S3, C7 among Groups A, B, and C, nor in PI, SS; a tendency for statistical differences was observed for S2, T8; other parameters showed statistical differences. (Tables 3, 4)

Discussion

The evolution of humans from quadrupedal to bipedal locomotion, with the emergence of the "S" curve of the spine, which provided the necessary support for long-term upright activities with lower energy expenditure, facilitating their survival, reproduction, and development[3-6]. The emergence of the anterior convexity of the "S" curve is particularly important. It is commonly believed that the lordotic sequence is composed of the lumbar vertebraes and intervertebral discs, hence, most scholars report the angle between the upper endplates of L1 and S1 as the measurement index for this curve (lumbar lordosis, LL) [7]. However, from the perspective of spinal sequence, even in the normal population, the lordotic region does not strictly adhere to anatomical features, which has led to much confusion and debate in the assessment and application of the sagittal spinal alignment. many scholars have redefined the "S" curve based on functional characteristics, no longer rigidly using the upper Therefore. endplate of L1 as the boundary between the two bends, but instead, defining the boundary based on the inflection point of the spinal curvature. The definition of the inflection point is similar to the concept of the end vertebra commonly used in scoliosis, and its position does not depend on anatomical features. Consequently, the cephalic end of the lumbar lordosis may be located either proximally or distally on L1. Unlike the uncertainty of the cephalic end, the upper endplate of S1 is consistently used as the starting point of the lordosis, which may be related to the unique anatomical features of the sacrum.

However, contrary to previous studies that considered the sacrum as a whole kyphotic segment and S2 as the apex of kyphosis [12-14], Song et al.'s radiographic study demonstrated that, in terms of spinal sequence, the sacrum is not entirely kyphotic but is divided into a lordotic segment (S1-S2) and a kyphotic segment (S2-S5, Co1), with S1 and S2 effectively continuing the LL, and S2 should be considered the caudal end vertebrae of the lordosis [15]. Song et al. argued that, anatomically, S1 and S2 are within the tension band of the lumbar lordosis, as the erector spinae muscles terminates at the lamina and spinous process of S1 and S2, while the sacrotuberous ligament, sacrospinous ligament, and anococcygeal ligament insert at S3, S4, S5, and Co1, and their reverse tension determines the kyphotic characteristics of this region. Moreover, S2 is the stress core and rotational center of the sacroiliac joint, which is more consistent with the mechanical characteristics of an end vertebra rather than an apex vertebra [15]. Therefore, based on the radiographic study results and logical deductions from anatomy and biomechanics, S2 should be considered the caudal vertebra of the lordosis in the sagittal spinal alignent. The previous definition of the "S" curve's lordotic range was incomplete, as it lacked a part of the sacral lordosis at its distal end. Thus, the definition of lumbosacral lordosis (LSL) seems more reasonable than that of lumbar lordosis (LL). The main reason for this omission is likely due to the limitations of the Cobb method in measuring the sacral region. For the lumbar spine, the Cobb method is relatively applicable due to the distinct boundaries provided by the larger intervertebral discs. However, for the sacral region, which undergoes fusion during development and significant morphological changes, making the Cobb method less applicable. This has led to the incompleteness of the radiographic evaluation for lordosis. To further explore the sagittal spinal alignent in humans, this study introduces the measurement using vertebral tilt and the Ferguson method, with a focus on studying LSL rather than LL.

The study compares two classifications of the sagittal spinal alignment in a healthy population: 1) classification based on pelvic incidence (PI); 2) classification based on the maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt(TLmax).

Classification based on PI - vertebral tilt and Ferguson angle of sagittal spinal aligment Table 1

		Tota	l (n=388)	Grown 4	A(n=117)	Groun	Classification of B (n=158)		C (n=113)	F	P
	PI	45.4 ± 9.5	(21.7 - 86.4)	34.7 ± 4.4	(21.7 - 40.0)	45.2 ± 2.9	(40.0 - 50.0)	56.7 ± 5.8	(50.2 - 86.4)	724.785	0.000
	Co1	82.0 ± 13.5	(43.2 - 117.7)	75.8 ± 12.2	(43.2 - 106.8)	82.2 ± 12.5	(44.0 - 117.7)	88.1 ± 13.4	(56.3 - 116.6)	26.723	0.000
	S5 S4	80.4 ± 12.0 61.6 ± 12.9	(40.7 - 117.7) (25.7 - 92.9)	72.8 ± 11.8 53.3 ± 11.0	(40.7 - 99.9) (30.7 - 84.3)		(50.2 - 105.7) (25.7 - 84.6)		(57.0 - 117.7) (45.0 - 92.9)	52.530 65.715	0.000
	54 S3	45.2 ± 9.3	(21.5 - 75.1)	40.4 ± 8.6	(21.5 - 61.1)		(28.4 - 69.2)	49.5 ± 8.9	(31.3 - 75.1)	32.509	0.000
	\$2 \$2	32.0 ± 7.1	(11.9 - 53.6)	31.4 ± 7.1	(12.2 - 49.0)		(13.4 - 53.6)	32.5 ± 7.9	(11.9 - 52.9)	0.626	0.535
	S1	27.9 ± 9.9	(-0.7 - 61.8)	34.2 ± 9.9	(14.0 - 61.8)	28.2 ± 8.3	(8.2 - 52.2)	21.0 ± 7.3	(-0.7 - 35.6)	68.121	0.000
	LS	74.3 ± 8.5	(51.0 - 96.0)	80.6 ± 6.8	(63.6 - 96.0)		(53.6 - 90.0)	67.8 ± 7.2	(51.0 - 88.9)	98.166	0.000
	L4 L3	91.0 ± 7.4 100.9 ± 6.9	(68.4 - 111.2) (79.3 - 122.6)	95.2 ± 6.6 103.8 ± 7.0	(83.4 - 111.2) (87.0 - 122.6)		(68.4 - 105.1) (79.3 - 113.9)	87.1 ± 6.9 98.8 ± 6.3	(69.7 - 107.1) (84.6 - 117.3)	41.902 17.609	0.000 0.000
	L2	108.0 ± 6.6	(88.5 - 131.0)	109.2 ± 6.8	(95.5 - 131.0)		(88.5 - 124.8)	107.0 ± 6.1	(92.1 - 121.4)	3.397	0.034
Ē.	Li		(84.8 - 130.9)	108.4 ± 6.9	(84.8 - 126.6)		(91.0 - 130.9)	109.0 ± 5.9	(96.1 - 125.8)	0.331	0.718
anterior edge	T12		(88.0 - 126.9)	106.9 ± 6.3	(88.0 - 125.5)		(90.0 - 126.9)	10010 - 011	(96.6 - 121.7)	2.955	0.053
cdg	T11		(89.4 - 121.8)	103.8 ± 5.9	(91.7 - 120.3)		(89.4 - 120.1) (86.6 - 121.2)		(91.9 - 121.8) (88.0 - 119.5)	6.248	0.002
6	T10 T9	102.8 ± 5.6 101.7 ± 5.6	(86.6 - 121.2) (84.9 - 119.0)	101.8 ± 5.5 100.7 ± 5.5	(90.0 - 117.5) (90.0 - 117.9)		(86.6 - 121.2) (84.9 - 116.7)	104.0 ± 5.1 102.7 ± 5.5	(85.6 - 119.0)	4.847 3.966	0.008 0.020
Ē.	T8	99.6 ± 6.0	(82.5 - 116.6)	98.8 ± 6.2	(85.2 - 116.6)		(82.5 - 112.0)	100.4 ± 5.8	(87.1 - 113.5)	2.130	0.120
ev	Τ7	95.9 ± 5.8	(79.1 - 112.3)	94.5 ± 5.7	(81.6 - 108.9)		(81.9 - 112.3)		(79.1 - 111.5)	5.057	0.007
- E	T6	90.7 ± 6.0	(72.7 - 109.3)	89.7 ± 6.0	(76.9 - 102.0) (67.9 - 96.9)		(73.2 - 106.0)	91.3 ± 6.1	(72.7 - 109.3)	2.393	0.093
of the vertebral body	T5 T4	84.9 ± 6.7 80.1 ± 7.2	(67.9 - 104.5) (52.8 - 103.4)	83.7 ± 6.7 79.5 ± 7.1	(67.9 - 96.9) (60.0 - 96.3)		(69.5 - 99.8) (55.5 - 98.0)	85.8 ± 7.0 80.6 ± 7.7	(68.0 - 104.5) (52.8 - 103.4)	3.097 0.670	0.046 0.512
9 16	Т3	75.9 ± 7.5	(53.3 - 101.7)	75.5 ± 7.7	(53.5 - 97.9)		(53.3 - 101.7)		(53.5 - 95.7)	0.426	0.654
Ę,	Τ2		(51.8 - 101.2)	72.4 ± 7.8	(52.6 - 93.6)		(52.1 - 101.2)	73.4 ± 8.0	(51.8 - 90.0)	0.965	0.382
~	T1		(48.9 - 94.3)	71.3 ± 8.4	(48.9 - 93.5)		(52.2 - 93.4)		(53.9 - 94.3)	1.114	0.329
	C7 Fermion L1 S2	79.0 ± 8.6 76.6 ± 9.8	(54.7 - 108.6) (39.1 - 109.7)	77.9 ± 8.7 76.9 ± 10.4	(54.7 - 101.6) (50.3 - 109.7)		(56.8 - 108.6) (39.1 - 100.5)	79.5 ± 8.6 76.5 ± 9.7	(56.6 - 103.3) (55.5 - 101.6)	1.394 0.099	0.249 0.906
	Ferguson L1-S2 Ferguson T1-T12	70.0 ± 9.8 36.3 ± 10.9	(5.1 - 71.8)	76.9 ± 10.4 35.6 ± 11.7	(50.3 - 109.7) (6.9 - 71.8)		(5.1 - 67.0)	70.5 ± 9.7 36.3 ± 10.5	(55.5 - 101.6) (7.8 - 63.7)	0.099	0.908
	Smin	25.5 ± 7.5	(-0.7 - 44.5)	28.8 ± 6.7	(12.2 - 44.5)		(8.2 - 41.3)	20.8 ± 7.1	(-0.7 - 35.5)	41.771	0.000
	TLmax	111.7 ± 5.4	(96.3 - 131.0)	111.9 ± 5.9	(101.4 - 131.0)		(96.3 - 130.9)		(102.1 - 125.8)	0.316	0.729
	Tmin Ferguson LSLmax	70.0 ± 7.8 86.2 ± 9.8	(48.9 - 92.3) (58.7 - 118.7)	69.7 ± 7.8 83.2 ± 10.0	(48.9 - 91.2) (62.8 - 118.7)		(52.1 - 92.3) (58.7 - 109.3)		(51.8 - 90.0) (70.7 - 110.3)	1.087 21.899	0.338 0.000
	Ferguson LSLmax Ferguson TKmax	50.2 ± 9.8 41.7 ± 10.3	(58.7 - 118.7) (12.6 - 77.2)	83.2 ± 10.0 42.2 ± 11.1	(02.8 - 118.7) (16.7 - 77.2)	85.0 ± 9.0 42.0 ± 9.9	(58.7 - 109.3) (17.2 - 67.0)	90.9 ± 9.0 40.9 ± 9.9	(10.7 - 110.3) (12.6 - 71.2)	0.558	0.000
	Col	96.9 ± 13.4	(54.4 - 139.6)	90.4 ± 12.2	(58.6 - 121.7)		(54.4 - 135.0)	103.3 ± 13.5	(72.1 - 139.6)	30.818	0.000
	S5		(44.0 - 113.1)	73.6 ± 11.4	(44.0 - 97.9)		(55.2 - 103.7)	88.2 ± 9.9	(59.2 - 113.1)	57.758	0.000
	54 53	64.2 ± 12.0 49.7 ± 9.1	(30.1 - 92.5) (27.2 - 78.0)	56.5 ± 10.3 44.5 ± 8.3	(33.5 - 86.7) (27.2 - 63.9)		(30.1 - 84.3) (32.4 - 71.9)		(47.3 - 92.5) (34.2 - 78.0)	64.047 42.145	0.000 0.000
	S2	37.5 ± 7.0	(15.1 - 58.0)	36.8 ± 7.0	(15.1 - 53.3)		(19.0 - 55.9)	37.8 ± 7.7	(16.2 - 58.0)	0.875	0.418
	S1	37.0 ± 9.1	(8.2 - 67.8)	42.3 ± 9.1	(19.4 - 67.8)	37.3 ± 7.6	(17.6 - 62.0)	31.0 ± 7.2	(8.2 - 47.0)	57.806	0.000
	LS	75.8 ± 8.6	(39.6 - 98.2)	81.8 ± 7.7	(39.6 - 98.2)		(56.0 - 92.7)	69.5 ± 7.2	(52.8 - 88.7)	82.188	0.000
	L4 L3	91.6 ± 7.4 101.3 ± 7.0	(70.1 - 111.5) (78.0 - 123.4)	95.9 ± 6.7 104.1 ± 7.1	(81.6 - 111.5) (85.6 - 123.4)		(70.1 - 106.1) (78.0 - 115.0)	87.8 ± 6.8 99.2 ± 6.4	(71.7 - 105.4) (83.5 - 119.6)	42.939 16.270	0.000 0.000
	L2	107.2 ± 6.5	(87.6 - 132.0)	108.2 ± 6.8	(93.9 - 132.0)		(87.6 - 126.0)	106.4 ± 6.1	(90.7 - 121.5)	2.254	0.106
III.	Li	107.8 ± 6.3	(85.1 - 129.6)	107.6 ± 6.8	(85.1 - 127.1)		(90.4 - 129.6)	108.2 ± 5.9	(96.5 - 124.2)	0.402	0.669
đ	T12	106.5 ± 6.0	(85.0 - 125.8)	105.4 ± 6.4	(85.0 - 125.8) (89.3 - 120.8)		(88.7 - 125.5) (88.5 - 117.9)	107.9 ± 5.5	(96.3 - 122.4)	5.215	0.006
middle of the vertebral body	T11 T10		(88.5 - 122.5) (85.3 - 119.4)	102.7 ± 5.8 100.9 ± 5.5	(89.3 - 120.8) (88.9 - 119.4)		(88.5 - 117.9) (85.3 - 117.5)		(91.4 - 122.5) (89.1 - 118.1)	8.154 4.905	0.000 0.008
the	Т9		(84.2 - 117.6)	99.8 ± 5.7	(87.8 - 117.6)		(84.2 - 117.2)		(84.2 - 115.3)	4.103	0.017
ve	Τ8	98.6 ± 5.8	(82.9 - 115.4)	97.7 ± 6.0	(85.2 - 115.4)		(82.9 - 111.9)		(85.5 - 112.0)	2.606	0.075
rte	T7	94.4 ± 5.8	(78.5 - 109.6)	93.0 ± 5.5 87.9 ± 5.8	(79.4 - 106.5)		(80.3 - 109.0)		(78.5 - 109.6)	5.590	0.004
bra	T6 T5	88.9 ± 5.8 83.1 ± 6.6	(72.2 - 108.2) (64.8 - 102.5)	87.9 ± 5.8 82.0 ± 6.6	(75.9 - 100.8) (67.3 - 95.9)		(72.4 - 101.1) (69.2 - 96.3)	89.4 ± 5.8 83.9 ± 6.9	(72.2 - 108.2) (64.8 - 102.5)	2.489 2.629	0.084 0.073
66	Τ4	78.1 ± 7.1	(53.0 - 100.5)	77.6 ± 6.9	(61.5 - 93.6)		(55.8 - 99.2)	78.5 ± 7.4	(53.0 - 100.5)	0.554	0.575
dy	T3	73.5 ± 7.5	(50.3 - 99.1)	73.2 ± 7.8	(53.1 - 95.1)		(50.3 - 99.1)	74.1 ± 7.7	(53.4 - 92.8)	0.569	0.566
	T2 T1	70.3 ± 8.0 69.6 ± 8.6	(47.2 - 94.2) (46.0 - 93.0)	70.1 ± 8.0 69.4 ± 8.5	(47.2 - 91.8) (46.0 - 93.0)		(49.2 - 94.2) (48.2 - 90.0)	71.2 ± 7.9 70.3 ± 8.8	(51.3 - 89.3) (46.9 - 91.6)	0.984 0.661	0.375 0.517
	C7	78.8 ± 8.5	(52.2 - 109.1)	77.8 ± 8.7	(52.2 - 102.3)		(56.3 - 109.1)		(56.5 - 101.3)	1.143	0.320
	Ferguson L1-S2	70.3 ± 9.9	(37.0 - 106.2)	70.8 ± 10.4	(46.9 - 106.2)		(37.0 - 95.4)		(49.3 - 95.8)	0.391	0.677
	Ferguson T1-T12		(5.0 - 75.4)	36.0 ± 11.9	(5.0 - 75.4)		(5.5 - 66.0)	37.6 ± 10.7	(7.4 - 66.3)	0.569	0.567
	Smin TLmax	33.8 ± 7.0 110.5 ± 5.5	(8.2 - 52.4) (94.4 - 132.0)	35.5 ± 6.9 110.8 ± 6.0	(15.1 - 52.4) (100.6 - 132.0)		(17.6 - 48.9) (94.4 - 129.6)	30.5 ± 7.0 110.6 ± 5.2	(8.2 - 46.7) (99.4 - 124.2)	19.889 0.443	0.000 0.642
	Tmin	68.1 ± 8.0	(46.0 - 89.4)	67.9 ± 8.1	(100.0 - 132.0) (46.0 - 89.4)		(48.2 - 88.6)	68.9 ± 8.0	(46.9 - 88.6)	0.799	0.450
	Ferguson LSLmax	76.7 ± 9.6	(45.5 - 116.9)		(53.9 - 116.9)		(45.5 - 98.2)		(57.7 - 101.1)	10.674	0.000
	Ferguson TKmax	42.3 ± 10.6	(14.0 - 80.7)	42.9 ± 11.5	(16.7 - 80.7)		(17.2 - 70.0)	41.7 ± 10.1	(14.0 - 70.5)	0.394	0.674
	Co1 S5	110.8 ± 14.1 82.2 ± 12.0	(65.6 - 164.7) (39.5 - 110.9)	104.0 ± 12.9 74.3 ± 11.5	(75.3 - 140.7) (39.5 - 96.7)	111.0 ± 12.5 83.0 ± 10.2	(65.6 - 150.5) (56.0 - 105.6)	117.5 ± 14.2 89.1 ± 10.1	(87.5 - 164.7) (59.3 - 110.9)	30.134 56.909	0.000 0.000
	54 54		(34.5 - 96.1)		(34.8 - 88.9)		(34.5 - 87.1)		(49.0 - 96.1)	57.090	0.000
	S3	53.7 ± 9.3	(28.6 - 80.1)	48.5 ± 8.4	(28.6 - 67.8)	53.9 ± 8.1	(35.4 - 76.8)	58.9 ± 8.7	(36.7 - 80.1)	44.390	0.000
	S2		(18.1 - 64.2)		(18.1 - 61.2)		(22.6 - 64.2)		(20.3 - 62.6)	0.863	0.423
	S1 LS		(17.5 - 75.1) (3.7 - 102.4)		(26.6 - 75.1) (3.7 - 102.4)		(29.6 - 73.3) (52.1 - 95.9)		(17.5 - 61.7) (51.5 - 90.0)	43.016 54.704	0.000 0.000
	L4		(71.8 - 112.8)		(79.3 - 112.8)		(71.8 - 109.0)		(74.0 - 104.7)	40.119	0.000
ę	L3		(76.7 - 124.6)		(84.3 - 124.6)		(76.7 - 116.2)		(81.8 - 121.7)	14.099	0.000
osti	L2		(86.7 - 133.0)		(92.5 - 133.0)		(86.7 - 127.9)		(89.2 - 121.6)	1.341	0.263
cinio	L1 T12		(85.4 - 132.4) (82.0 - 126.1)		(85.4 - 127.5) (82.0 - 126.1)		(90.0 - 132.4) (86.8 - 124.4)		(94.9 - 124.8) (95.9 - 123.9)	0.412 7.196	0.663 0.001
- e	T11		(86.8 - 123.3)		(86.8 - 121.3)		(87.1 - 116.9)		(90.9 - 123.3)	9.699	0.000
dge	T10		(83.5 - 121.5)		(87.6 - 121.5)		(83.5 - 113.8)		(88.5 - 116.9)	4.566	0.011
0	T9 T8		(82.9 - 117.7) (82.1 - 114.2)		(85.5 - 117.3) (82.7 - 114.2)		(83.4 - 117.7)		(82.9 - 113.8) (84.0 - 110.8)	3.916	0.021
the	T8 T7		(82.1 - 114.2) (77.3 - 107.7)		(83.7 - 114.2) (77.3 - 104.5)		(82.1 - 112.3) (78.8 - 106.3)		(84.0 - 110.8) (77.9 - 107.7)	2.859 5.576	0.059 0.004
vei	Τ6	87.3 ± 6.0	(71.8 - 107.1)	86.3 ± 5.9	(74.5 - 99.6)	87.6 ± 6.0	(71.9 - 99.9)	87.7 ± 5.9	(71.8 - 107.1)	2.320	0.100
rteb	T5		(61.6 - 100.7)		(65.1 - 95.3)		(66.3 - 94.8)		(61.6 - 100.7)	2.113	0.122
iral	T4 T3		(53.1 - 100.4) (46.9 - 96.8)		(57.4 - 92.6) (48.4 - 92.6)		(56.1 - 100.4) (46.9 - 96.8)		(53.1 - 97.7) (53.1 - 92.8)	0.442	0.643
posterior edge of the vertebral body	T3 T2		(46.9 - 96.8) (42.1 - 90.0)		(48.4 - 92.6) (42.1 - 90.0)		(46.9 - 96.8) (46.4 - 87.8)		(53.1 - 92.8) (50.3 - 88.6)	0.764 0.905	0.466 0.405
dy	T1	67.7 ± 9.1	(41.0 - 92.4)	67.5 ± 8.9	(43.4 - 92.4)		(43.6 - 88.7)	68.3 ± 9.4	(41.0 - 88.9)	0.328	0.720
	C7	78.6 ± 8.8	(49.8 - 109.6)	77.7 ± 9.2	(49.8 - 102.9)		(53.6 - 109.6)	79.0 ± 8.2	(55.8 - 99.3)	0.804	0.448
	Ferguson L1-S2 Ferguson T1-T12		(35.2 - 102.7) (2.2 - 79.0)		(42.8 - 102.7) (2.2 - 79.0)		(35.2 - 96.2) (5.4 - 65.2)		(42.9 - 90.2) (7.0 - 68.9)	0.770	0.464
	Ferguson 11-112 Smin		(2.2 - 79.0) (17.5 - 61.2)		(2.2 - 79.0) (18.1 - 61.2)		(5.4 - 65.2) (22.6 - 58.0)		(7.0 - 68.9) (17.5 - 56.2)	1.013 3.937	0.364 0.020
	TLmax		(93.1 - 133.0)		(99.7 - 133.0)		(93.1 - 132.4)		(98.9 - 124.8)	0.660	0.517
	Tmin		(41.0 - 86.2)		(42.1 - 86.1)		(43.6 - 86.2)		(41.0 - 84.3)	0.574	0.564
	Ferguson LSLmax Ferguson TKmax		(35.2 - 114.9) (17.1 - 83.9)		(44.9 - 114.9) (17.3 - 83.9)		(35.2 - 96.2) (17.9 - 73.4)		(47.2 - 92.7) (17.1 - 73.2)	3.297 0.286	0.038 0.751
	. ciguson i Millax	99.2 I 11.0	(17.1 - 03.7)	MJ.0 ≖ 12.0	(17.3 - 03.9)	99.7 ± 10.0	(17.2 - 73.4)	4J.7 ≖ 10.3	<u> </u>	0.200	0.721

Table2 Classification based on PI - common sagittal spinopelvic parameters

	T-4	-1 (Classification of PI				
	Total (n=388) —			Group A(n=117)		Group B (n=158)		Group C (n=113)	F	Р
PI	45.4 ± 9.5	(21.7 - 86.4)	34.7 ± 4.4	(21.7 - 40.0)	45.2 ± 2.9	(40.0 - 50.0)	56.7 ± 5.8	(50.2 - 86.4)	724.785	.000
S S	36.1 ± 7.2	(16.2 - 66.5)	30.5 ± 5.6	(16.2 - 44.1)	36.0 ± 5.2	(23.2 - 48.5)	42.1 ± 6.4	(28.6 - 66.5)	120.040	.000
PT	9.3 ± 7.0	(-10.7 - 31.1)	4.2 ± 6.2	(-10.7 - 17.2)	9.2 ± 5.3	(-2.8 - 22.0)	14.5 ± 5.8	(-2.1 - 31.1)	93.197	.000
LL (CobbL1-S1)	48.6 ± 10.4	(20.4 - 79.7)	42.6 ± 9.5	(20.4 - 66.7)	48.1 ± 8.9	(20.7 - 69.2)	55.5 ± 9.1	(34.6 - 79.7)	56.888	.000
TK (CobbT1-T12)	35.3 ± 10.3	(0.5 - 78.3)	35.3 ± 11.4	(0.5 - 78.3)	35.4 ± 10.0	(3.5 - 60.1)	35.1 ± 9.7	(10.6 - 61.9)	.041	.960
S VA-A	-4.7 ± 3.1	(-14.6 - 4.4)	-5.1 ± 2.7	(-11.6 - 2.1)	-4.6 ± 3.2	(-13.7 - 4.4)	-4.3 ± 3.3	(-14.6 - 4.1)	1.927	.147
T 9-S Pi	9.2 ± 3.2	(0.2 - 19.1)	8.7 ± 3.1	(0.2 - 19.1)	9.0 ± 3.2	(2.0 - 17.5)	9.8 ± 3.3	(2.9 - 18.7)	3.271	.039
T 1-S Pi	4.8 ± 2.6	(-2.2 - 12.5)	4.3 ± 2.2	(-1.9 - 10.9)	4.7 ± 2.5	(-2.2 - 12.5)	5.4 ± 2.8	(-0.7 - 11.5)	5.378	.005
TPA	4.5 ± 6.5	(-14.4 - 25.2)	-0.1 ± 5.7	(-14.4 - 11.4)	4.5 ± 5.3	(-8.7 - 19.5)	9.2 ± 5.6	(-8.9 - 25.2)	81.627	.000
SSPA	130.9 ± 7.3	(112.8 - 158.8)	124.8 ± 5.2	(112.8 - 135.7)	130.7 ± 5.2	(117.9 - 142.0)	137.5 ± 6.0	(123.6 - 158.8)	155.563	.000

 Table 3
 Classification based on TLmax - vertebral tilt and Ferguson angle of sagittal spinal alignent

_		Total	(n=388)				Classification of T	Lmax	0 (~ 04)	_	
-	TLmax	110.5 ± 5.5	(1 335) (94.4 - 132.0)	Group A 104.0 ± 2.3 (Group 110.2 ± 2.1 (A (n=216) 106.6 - 114.5)		<u>C (n=81)</u> (114.7 - 132.0)	F 700.322	P 0.000
	Co1	82.0 ± 13.5	(43.2 - 117.7)	80.3 ± 14.1 (47.6 - 117.7)	83.0 ± 13.8 ((43.2 - 117.6)	81.2 ± 11.8 ((52.9 - 109.9)	1.434	0.240
	S5		(40.7 - 117.7)	80.3 ± 12.2 (80.7 ± 12.1 (80.0 ± 11.7 (0.091	0.913
	S4		(25.7 - 92.9)	61.5 ± 13.2 (62.3 ± 12.5 ((25.7 - 86.6)	1.041	0.354
	S3		(21.5 - 75.1)	44.5 ± 9.3 (23.4 - 66.7)	45.8 ± 9.4 (32.4 ± 7.1 (· /	44.5 ± 9.2 (30.9 ± 6.6 (• /	0.860	0.424
	S2 S1		(11.9 - 53.6) (-0.7 - 61.8)	32.0 ± 7.4 (30.3 ± 11.5 (32.4 ± 7.1 (27.7 ± 9.7 (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30.9 ± 6.6 (25.8 ± 8.1 (1.383 4.590	0.252 0.011
	L5		(51.0 - 96.0)	74.4 ± 9.1 (52.6 - 96.0	73.2 ± 8.4 ((51.0 - 93.2)	77.0 ± 7.8 ((57.6 - 95.7)	5.805	0.003
	L4	91.0 ± 7.4	(68.4 - 111.2)	88.7 ± 7.3 (68.4 - 102.9	90.1 ± 6.7 ((73.5 - 108.4)	96.0 ± 7.2 ((69.7 - 111.2)	27.928	0.000
22	L3		(79.3 - 122.6)	96.6 ± 5.5 (,	100.5 ± 5.9 (· /	106.9 ± 6.8 (· /	65.479	0.000
anterior edge of the vertebral body	L2		(88.5 - 131.0)	102.1 ± 4.4 (,	107.7 ± 4.5 (,		(95.5 - 131.0)	168.117	0.000
rio	L1 T12		(84.8 - 130.9) (88.0 - 126.9)	102.1 ± 4.0 (102.0 ± 4.0 (84.8 - 107.7) 88.0 - 107.9)	108.5 ± 3.7 (108.0 ± 4.0 (· /	116.2 ± 5.6 (113.6 ± 5.9 ((92.5 - 130.9) (97.1 - 126.9)	240.672 146.757	0.000 0.000
ree	T11		(89.4 - 121.8)	100.8 ± 4.1 (105.2 ± 4.7 (109.7 ± 6.6 (67.544	0.000
lge	T10		(86.6 - 121.2)	99.7 ± 4.5 (87.1 - 108.4 ý	103.3 ± 5.0 ((86.6 - 114.6)		(91.6 - 121.2 ý	25.202	0.000
e,	Т9		(84.9 - 119.0)	99.7 ± 4.4 (/	102.2 ± 5.4 (102.8 ± 6.8 (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8.767	0.000
lhe	T8		(82.5 - 116.6)	98.4 ± 4.5 (/	100.0 ± 5.9 (· /	99.7 ± 7.4		2.337	0.098
٧e	T7 T6		(79.1 - 112.3) (72.7 - 109.3)	95.3 ± 4.6 (90.8 ± 5.0 (82.2 - 106.4) 78.5 - 103.7)	96.6 ± 5.8 (91.1 ± 6.3 ((79.1 - 112.3) (72.7 - 109.3)	94.8 ± 6.9 (89.2 ± 6.2 ((81.6 - 109.0) (77.5 - 101.4)	3.112 3.016	0.046 0.050
rte	T5		(67.9 - 104.5)	85.6 ± 5.9 (70.9 - 98.7)	85.3 ± 6.7 ((68.0 - 104.5)	83.3 ± 7.2 ((67.9 - 99.8)	3.291	0.038
BIQ	T4		(52.8 - 103.4)	81.6 ± 6.9 (80.3 ± 7.1 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	77.9 ± 7.6		5.727	0.004
Ē	T3	75.9 ± 7.5	(53.3 - 101.7)	77.8 ± 7.3 (61.2 - 101.7)	75.9 ± 7.4 ((53.3 - 97.9)	73.8 ± 7.6	(53.5 - 89.1)	6.302	0.002
dy			(51.8 - 101.2)	74.3 ± 8.0 (/	72.6 ± 7.8 (70.3 ± 7.8 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.551	0.004
	T1		(48.9 - 94.3)	73.3 ± 7.9 (71.1 ± 8.4 (,		(48.9 - 90.6)	3.341	0.036
	C7 Ferguson L1-S2		(54.7 - 108.6) (39.1 - 109.7)	80.1 ± 8.3 (70.1 ± 8.8 (,	78.6 ± 8.4 (76.1 ± 8.1 (78.8 ± 9.5 (85.4 ± 8.8 (0.995 70.926	0.371 0.000
	Ferguson T1-T12		(5.1 - 71.8)	28.7 ± 9.7 (5.1 - 50.0)	36.8 ± 9.4 ((14.7 - 60.3)		(11.3 - 71.8)	49.679	0.000
	Smin		(-0.7 - 44.5)	26.5 ± 8.4 (-0.7 - 44.0)	25.6 ± 7.5	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23.9 ± 6.2	(8.2 - 37.5 ý	2.653	0.072
	TLmax		(96.3 - 131.0)	105.4 ± 2.4 (111.4 ± 2.3 (· /		(114.0 - 131.0)	598.424	0.000
	Tmin Exercise I Classes		(48.9 - 92.3)	71.7 ± 7.5 (/	69.9 ± 7.8 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	68.3 ± 7.9 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.267	0.015
	Ferguson LSLmax Ferguson TKmax		(58.7 - 118.7) (12.6 - 77.2)	78.9 ± 9.4 (33.7 ± 7.7 (58.7 - 108.6) 12.6 - 54.4)	85.8 ± 8.0 (41.6 ± 8.4 ((65.2 - 108.2) (20.9 - 63.9)	95.5 ± 7.0 (51.1 ± 9.5 ((79.9 - 118.7) (29.8 - 77.2)	89.283 90.206	0.000 0.000
	Col		(54.4 - 139.6)	95.6 ± 13.4 (97.7 ± 13.9 (54.4 - 139.6)	96.1 ± 12.0		0.906	0.405
	S5		(44.0 - 113.1)	80.9 ± 12.2 (/	81.8 ± 11.7 ((45.7 - 104.8)	0.444	0.642
	S4		(30.1 - 92.5)	64.0 ± 11.8 (/	64.7 ± 11.8 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(30.1 - 89.6)	0.642	0.527
	S3		(27.2 - 78.0)	49.1 ± 9.3 (50.2 ± 9.0 (48.8 ± 9.1 (0.955	0.386
	S2 S1		(15.1 - 58.0) (8.2 - 67.8)	37.8 ± 7.2 (39.0 ± 10.3 (21.0 - 55.9) 8.2 - 65.7)	38.0 ± 7.0 (36.9 ± 8.9 ((16.2 - 58.0) (16.1 - 67.8)	35.9 ± 6.7 (34.8 ± 7.6 (2.929 4.556	0.055 0.011
	L5		(39.6 - 98.2)	75.8 ± 8.9 (/	74.6 ± 8.6 ((39.6 - 94.5)	78.8 ± 7.6 (7.165	0.001
	L4		(70.1 - 111.5)	89.2 ± 7.2 (90.8 ± 6.6 ((73.7 - 108.4)	96.6 ± 7.3		28.669	0.000
	L3		(78.0 - 123.4)	96.8 ± 5.6 (100.8 ± 5.8 (83.5 - 112.9)	107.7 ± 6.8 ((92.6 - 123.4)	74.191	0.000
-	L2		(87.6 - 132.0)	100.8 ± 4.0 (/	107.0 ± 4.0 (115.0 ± 5.8	· /	219.535	0.000
Eid	L1 T12		(85.1 - 129.6) (85.0 - 125.8)	101.4 ± 3.9 (100.7 ± 4.1 (85.1 - 106.5) 85.0 - 106.0)	107.6 ± 3.5 (106.6 ± 4.0 (· /	115.5 ± 5.8 (112.5 ± 6.2 (· /	250.312 143.972	0.000
- de	T11		(88.5 - 122.5)	99.7 ± 4.1 (104.4 ± 4.7 (,,	108.4 ± 6.6 (64.953	0.000
e,	T10		(85.3 - 119.4)	98.8 ± 4.4 (/	102.4 ± 5.0 (85.5 - 113.6)	104.3 ± 6.5		25.332	0.000
middle of the vertebral body	Т9		(84.2 - 117.6)	98.9 ± 4.1 (,	101.4 ± 5.6 (101.8 ± 7.0		7.812	0.000
ve	T8		(82.9 - 115.4)	97.4 ± 4.3 (82.9 - 106.5)	99.1 ± 5.7 (, ,		(84.2 - 115.4)	2.801	0.062
Tel	T7 T6		(78.5 - 109.6) (72.2 - 108.2)	93.8 ± 4.5 (88.8 ± 5.0 (95.1 ± 5.7 (89.5 ± 6.0 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93.3 ± 6.9 (87.4 ± 6.1 ((79.4 - 108.3) (75.9 - 98.9)	3.431 3.854	0.033 0.022
BLIG	T5		(64.8 - 102.5)	83.9 ± 6.1 (66.0 - 97.9)	83.5 ± 6.5 (81.1 ± 7.0 ((67.3 - 96.1)	4.855	0.022
5	T4		(53.0 - 100.5)	79.7 ± 6.9 (78.2 ± 7.0 (75.9 ± 7.0 (6.567	0.002
dy	T3		(50.3 - 99.1)	75.6 ± 7.4 (73.4 ± 7.3 ((53.1 - 85.9)	7.308	0.001
	T2		(47.2 - 94.2)	72.2 ± 7.8 (70.2 ± 7.9 (,	68.3 ± 8.0 0		5.209	0.006
	T1 C7		(46.0 - 93.0) (52.2 - 109.1)	71.6 ± 8.0 (79.9 ± 8.1 (69.2 ± 8.6 (78.5 ± 8.4 ((46.9 - 93.0) (56.3 - 109.1)	68.4 ± 9.0 (78.2 ± 9.4 ((46.0 - 89.1) (52.2 - 97.2)	3.466 1.157	0.032 0.315
	Ferguson L1-S2		(37.0 - 106.2)	63.6 ± 8.4 (69.6 ± 8.0 ((49.3 - 89.4)	79.7 ± 9.1 (81.959	0.000
	Ferguson T1-T12		(5.0 - 75.4)	29.2 ± 10.1 (37.4 ± 9.4 (15.2 - 61.3)		(11.0 - 75.4)	48.970	0.000
	Smin		(8.2 - 52.4)	34.7 ± 7.9 (8.2 - 52.0)	34.1 ± 6.8 (32.0 ± 6.0	· ··· /	3.764	0.024
	TLmax		(94.4 - 132.0) (46.0 - 89.4)	104.0 ± 2.3 (110.2 ± 2.1 ((114.7 - 132.0)	700.322	0.000
	Tmin Ferguson LSLmax		(45.5 - 116.9)	70.1 ± 7.6 (69.4 ± 8.7 (68.0 ± 8.0 (76.0 ± 7.3 (66.4 ± 8.2 (86.7 ± 7.3 ((46.0 - 84.6) (69.9 - 116.9)	4.894 111.514	0.008 0.000
	Ferguson TKmax		(14.0 - 80.7)	33.9 ± 8.1 (42.2 ± 8.4 (21.3 - 65.6)		(32.7 - 80.7)	95.900	0.000
	Co1		(65.6 - 164.7)	110.0 ± 13.5 (111.4 ± 14.6 (65.6 - 164.7)	110.1 ± 13.6	(82.3 - 150.1)	0.419	0.658
	S5		(39.5 - 110.9)	81.5 ± 12.6 (/	82.9 ± 11.7 (54.5 - 110.9)	81.0 ± 12.1	· /	0.934	0.394
	54 53		(34.5 - 96.1) (28.6 - 80.1)	66.2 ± 10.9 (53.3 ± 9.6 (67.0 ± 11.6 (54.3 ± 9.0 ((34.5 - 96.1) (28.6 - 80.1)	0.350	0.705
	53 S2		(28.6 - 80.1) (18.1 - 64.2)	53.3 ± 9.6 (43.9 ± 7.5 (/	54.5 ± 9.0 1 43.6 ± 7.5 ((28.6 - 80.1) (18.1 - 54.8)	1.103 4.926	0.333 0.008
	S1		(17.5 - 75.1)	49.8 ± 9.9 (48.3 ± 8.5 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46.1 ± 7.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.868	0.022
	L5		(3.7 - 102.4)	77.5 ± 9.1 (76.2 ± 9.9 (81.0 ± 8.0 (· /	7.585	0.001
	L4		(71.8 - 112.8)	89.7 ± 7.3 (91.5 ± 6.8 (97.3 ± 7.5 (27.700	0.000
po	L3		(76.7 - 124.6)	97.0 ± 5.9 (99.6 ± 4.0 (,	101.1 ± 5.9 (106.3 ± 4.0 ((81.8 - 113.9) (89.2 - 114.4)		(93.3 - 124.6) (92.5 - 133.0)	76.826 239.139	0.000 0.000
ste	L2 L1		(86.7 - 133.0) (85.4 - 132.4)	100.7 ± 4.1 (106.8 ± 3.8 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	114.0 ± 6.0 (213.900	0.000
nioi	T12		(82.0 - 126.1)	99.5 ± 4.4 (92.3 - 114.4)		(93.9 - 126.1)	127.947	0.000
r ec	T11	103.2 ± 6.0	(86.8 - 123.3)	98.6 ± 4.3 (86.8 - 106.3)	103.5 ± 4.9 ((88.8 - 113.3)	107.3 ± 6.9	(91.1 - 123.3 <u>)</u>	58.742	0.000
lge	T10		(83.5 - 121.5)	97.9 ± 4.5 (101.5 ± 5.2 (· /	103.5 ± 6.6		23.920	0.000
ef i	T9 T8		(82.9 - 117.7) (82.1 - 114.2)	98.1 ± 4.1 (96.3 ± 4.4 (100.5 ± 6.0 (98.2 ± 5.7 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(86.1 - 117.7) (82.1 - 114.2)	6.375	0.002
posterior edge of the vertebral body	18 T7		(82.1 - 114.2) (77.3 - 107.7)	96.3 ± 4.4 (92.4 ± 4.9 (98.2 ± 5.7 (93.8 ± 5.9 ((82.1 - 114.2) (77.3 - 107.6)	3.176 3.368	0.043 0.035
Vel	T6		(71.8 - 107.1)	87.0 ± 5.4 (/	87.9 ± 6.0 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(73.8 - 98.1)	4.349	0.014
rtel	T5	81.4 ± 6.8	(61.6 - 100.7)	82.4 ± 6.6 (61.6 - 97.1)	81.8 ± 6.6 ((62.2 - 100.7)	79.2 ± 7.1	(65.1 - 94.0)	5.930	0.003
brai	T4		(53.1 - 100.4)	78.0 ± 7.3 (76.3 ± 7.1 (74.0 ± 6.8		6.886	0.001
- De	T3 T2		(46.9 - 96.8) (42.1 - 90.0)	73.5 ± 7.9 (71.1 ± 7.5 (· /	69.0 ± 7.6 (• /	7.566	0.001
١dy	T2 T1		(42.1 - 90.0) (41.0 - 92.4)	70.2 ± 7.9 (69.8 ± 8.6 (67.9 ± 8.3 (67.3 ± 9.1 (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	66.3 ± 8.5 (66.5 ± 9.6 ((45.0 - 83.9) (43.4 - 87.5)	4.805 3.410	0.009 0.034
	C7		(49.8 - 109.6)	79.8 ± 8.3 (78.4 ± 8.7 ((49.8 - 98.9)	1.440	0.034
	Ferguson L1-S2		(35.2 - 102.7)	56.9 ± 8.8 (63.2 ± 8.6 (74.1 ± 10.0		80.666	0.000
	Ferguson T1-T12	37.6 ± 11.8	(2.2 - 79.0)	29.7 ± 10.9 (2.2 - 53.8)	38.0 ± 9.9 ((15.9 - 68.1)	45.1 ± 12.2	(10.8 - 79.0 <u>)</u>	45.046	0.000
	Smin		(17.5 - 61.2)	41.7 ± 7.4 (42.0 ± 7.1 (· /		(18.1 - 54.8)	5.209	0.006
	TLmax Tmin		(93.1 - 133.0) (41.0 - 86.2)	103.8 ± 2.6 (67.9 ± 8.0 (109.7 ± 2.5 (65.4 ± 8.4 ((104.1 - 116.1) (41.0 - 86.1)		(113.4 - 133.0) (43.4 - 81.7)	530.296 5.566	0.000 0.004
	Ferguson LSLmax		(35.2 - 114.9)	62.0 ± 8.3 (67.7 ± 7.8 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(43.4 - 61.7) (61.5 - 114.9)	104.273	0.004
									/		


 Table 4
 Classification based on TLmax - common sagittal spinopelvic parameters

	Total (n=388) —			Classification of TLmax		
			Group A(n=91)	Group A (n=216)	Group C (n=81)	F P
PI	45.4 ± 9.5	(21.7 - 86.4)	44.8 ± 9.7 (27.2 - 86.4) 46.1	± 9.3 (22.8 - 67.0) 44.2 ± 9.7	(21.7 - 68.4)	1.361 .258
S S	36.1 ± 7.2	(16.2 - 66.5)	34.9 ± 8.3 (16.2 - 66.5) 36.3	± 7.1 (19.7 - 53.9) 37.2 ± 6.0	(26.6 - 54.8)	2.429 .089
PT	9.3 ± 7.0	(-10.7 - 31.1)	9.9 ± 6.8 (-10.7 - 31.1) 9.8	± 6.8 (-6.7 - 26.5) 7.0 ± 7.2	(-10.3 - 24.0)	5.529 .004
LL (CobbL1-S1)	48.6 ± 10.4	(20.4 - 79.7)	41.4 ± 10.1 (20.4 - 78.0) 48.4	± 8.5 (25.7 - 68.7) 57.2 ± 9.1	(28.6 - 79.7)	66.018 .000
TK (CobbT1-T12)	35.3 ± 10.3	(0.5 - 78.3)	28.1 ± 9.3 (0.5 - 49.4) 35.5	± 8.4 (13.3 - 54.2) 42.9 ± 10.6	(8.1 - 78.3)	56.792 .000
S VA-A	-4.7 ± 3.1	(-14.6 - 4.4)	-2.5 ± 2.7 (-8.7 - 4.4) -4.6	± 2.5 (-11.6 - 4.1) -7.3 ± 2.9	(-14.61.0)	70.759 .000
T 9-S Pi	9.2 ± 3.2	(0.2 - 19.1)	6.5 ± 2.6 (0.2 - 11.9) 9.1	± 2.5 (2.9 - 15.8) 12.2 ± 2.8	(2.7 - 19.1)	108.124 .000
T 1-S Pi	4.8 ± 2.6	(-2.2 - 12.5)	3.0 ± 2.2 (-2.2 - 8.6) 4.9	± 2.2 (-0.8 - 10.2) 6.5 ± 2.5	(1.5 - 12.5)	50.369 .000
TPA	4.5 ± 6.5	(-14.4 - 25.2)	6.9 ± 6.4 (-11.1 - 25.2) 5.0	± 6.0 (-10.8 - 21.9) 0.5 ± 6.3	(-14.4 - 14.5)	24.792 .000
SSPA	130.9 ± 7.3	(112.8 - 158.8)	127.9 ± 7.7 (112.8 - 158.8) 131.1	± 6.9 (116.4 - 150.0) 133.7 ± 6.6	(122.3 - 152.5)	14.984 .000

1. Classification based on Pelvic Incidence (PI)

According to the anatomical segmentation, the study results show that for groups with different types of PI, there are no statistically significant differences in the vertebral tilt of the transitional vertebrae S2, L1, T1 for the "S" curve anatomical segmentation (Groups A, B, C), nor are there differences in the lumbosacral lordosis (LSL) Ferguson L1-S2 and thoracic kyphosis (TK) Ferguson T1-T12. These results imply that PI does not significantly affect the overall magnitude of the lumbosacral lordosis and thoracic kyphosis; in other words, the overall curvature of the "S" curve of the spine is essentially unaffected by PI according to anatomical segmentation. (Table 1, Figure 1)

Based on functional segmentation, there are no significant statistical differences in TLmax and Tmin; Ferguson TKmax also shows no significant statistical difference. There is a statistical difference in the Smin and Ferguson LSLmax. The study results indicate that it is more common for the anterior edge of Smin to be located at S1, while the posterior edge of Smin is mainly concentrated at S2, with the middle part of the vertebrae falling between the two. Unlike the thoracolumbar vertebrae, which are rectangular in shape, the sacral vertebrae are trapezoidal, with S1 having a greater trapezoidal gradient than S2. The variation in the anterior edge tilt is greater than that of the posterior edge, which could significantly affect the difference between measurement results and the true sequence. As the results show, the anterior edge of Smin has a greater variation than the middle and posterior edges, and although there is a statistical difference in the posterior edge (0.01 < P < 0.05), the significance is lower than that of the anterior and middle edges (P<0.001), and the mean difference is small, even within the acceptable measurement error range. Based on these inferences, the author believes that due to the specific morphology of S1, even with the use of measurements and the Ferguson method, the measurement results may not accurately reflect its sagittal alignent, vertebral tilt and this could also likely lead to the statistical differences in Smin and Ferguson LSLmax observed in this study. Although not proven, based on the logical analysis of the results, the author leans towards the belief that the true Smin and Ferguson LSLmax also do not show statistical differences. If that were the case, according to functional segmentation, the overall curvature of the spinal "S" curve is also unaffected by PI. (Table 1, Figure 2)








Figure 2 Classification based on PI - functional segmentation




The study results also show that for groups with different types of PI, there are varying degrees of statistical differences or trends in S1, L5, L4, L3, L2, T12, T11, T10, T9, T7, with more significant differences in the lumbosacral segment. This means that although the overall curvature of the "S" curve of the spine is the same across different PI groups, there are differences in local curvature. The author will discuss the mechanisms and logic behind this in subsequent articles.


2. Classification based on the maximal thoracolumbar vertebral tilt (TLmax)

Regardless of whether anatomical or functional segmentation is considered, this study shows that for groups with different TLmax, there are significant statistical differences in the tilt of the transitional vertebrae S2, L1, T1 for the "S" curve anatomical segmentation, as well as the transitional vertebrae Smin, TLmax, Tmin for functional segmentation (Groups A, B, C). There are also significant statistical differences in LSL, (Ferguson L1-S2), TK (Ferguson T1-T12), Ferguson LSLmax, and Ferguson TKmax. These results imply that using TLmax as a classification reference can effectively differentiate the overall curvature of the "S" curve of the human spine.(Table 3, Figure 3,4)









At the same time, S3 and C7, as adjacent segments of the "S" curve, show no significant statistical differences in their tilts. This result means that the curvature magnitude of the "S" curve does not have a significant impact on the regional range of curve. Additionally, there are no significant statistical differences in PI and SS across the groups of this classification method, which further proves that PI, and even SS, do not substantially decide the overall curvature magnitude of the spinal "S" curve.

The classification method using TLmax as a reference provides an alternative perspective for our research on the sagittal balance of the human spine, which could potentially influence the diagnosis and treatment strategies for disorders of the spinal sagittal alignent.

Limitations of the study: 1. The study utilized a multicenter sample, and for a subset of participants, data on gender and precise age were absent. 2. PI is a morphological parameter. The author had intended to contrast and categorize using newly developed morphological parameters, but the description and interpretation of the parameter would necessitate a substantial exposition. To ensure a straightforward and coherent narrative, this paper provisionally employs the positional parameter TLmax as an interim classification method to avoid reader confusion. 3. The sample sizes for the two categorical groups in this study were

not uniform. This is because uniform sample sizes across all categories and groups did not yield sufficiently significant statistical outcomes, which could potentially perplex readers. The study's approach to sample categorization aims to clarify results but may inherently introduce a degree of logical stringency imperfection.

Conclusion

Pelvic Incidence (PI) does not influence the overall magnitude of lumbosacral lordosis(LSL) and thoracic kyphosis(TK). The sagittal spinal classification based on PI reveals no difference in the overall curvature of the spinal "S" shape. The maximum thoracic kyphosis (TLmax) significantly affects LSL and TK, and it can be considered a straightforward radiological parameter for distinguishing the overall curvature of the spinal "S" shape.

References

- 1. Le Huec, J. C., Faundez, A., Dominguez, D., et al. (2015). Evidence showing the relationship between sagittal balance and clinical outcomes in surgical treatment of degenerative spinal diseases: a literature review. International Orthopaedics, 39, 87-95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-014-2516-6
- 2. Diebo, B. G., Varghese, J. J., Lafage, R., et al. (2015). Sagittal alignment of the spine: What do you need to know? Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery, 139, 139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2015.10.024
- Lovejoy, C. O. (2005). The natural history of human gait and posture. Part 1. Spine and pelvis. Gait & Posture, 21(1), 3. 95-112.
- 4. Farfan, H. F. (1978). The biomechanical advantage of lordosis and hip extension for upright activity. Man as compared with other anthropoids. Spine, 3(4), 336-342.
- 5. BEEN, E., GOMEZ-OLIVENCIA, A., SHEFI, S., et al. (2017). Evolution of Spinopelvic Alignment in Hominins. The Anatomical Record: Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology, 300(5), 900-911. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23559
- Tardieu, C., Bonneau, N., Hecquet, J., Boulay, C., Marty, C., Legaye, J., & Duval-Beaupère, G. (2013). How is sagittal 6. balance acquired during bipedal gait acquisition? Comparison of neonatal and adult pelves in three dimensions. Evolutionary implications. Journal of Human Evolution, 65(2), 209-222.
- Schwab, F., Ungar, B., Blondel, B., et al. (2012). Scoliosis Research Society-Schwab adult spinal deformity classification: 7 a validation study. Spine, 37(12), 1077-1082.
- 8. Stagnara, P., De Mauroy, J. C., Dran, G., et al. (1982). Reciprocal angulation of vertebral bodies in a sagittal plane: approach to references for the evaluation of kyphosis and lordosis. Spine, 7(4), 335-342.
- 9. Roussouly, P., et al. (2005). Classification of the Normal Variation in the Sagittal Alignment of the Human Lumbar Spine and Pelvis in the Standing Position. Spine, 30(3), 346-353.
- 10. Roussouly, P., & Pinheiro-Franco, J. L. (2011). Sagittal parameters of the spine: biomechanical approach. European Spine Journal, 20(Suppl. 5), 578-585.
- 11. Laouissat, F., et al. (2017). Classification of normal sagittal spine alignment: refounding the Roussouly classification. European Spine Journal, 27(8), 2002-2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-4987-9
- 12. Borisov, V. N., & Prokhorov, M. A. (1982). Curvature of the human sacrum based on morphometry. Arkhiv Anatomii Gistologii I Embriologii, 8, 72-75.
- 13. Abitbol, M. M. (1989). Sacral curvature and supine posture. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 78(3), 357-366.McKay, G., Torrie, P. A., Dempster, G., et al. (2018). The Relationship between Sacral Kyphosis and Pelvic Incidence. Asian Spine Journal, 12(1), 74.
- 14. Plochocki, J. H. (2011). Sexual Dimorphism of Anterior Sacral Curvature. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 56(3), 662-667. doi: 10.1111/j.1556-4029.2010.01455.x
- 15. Song, K., Wu, B., & Cheng, J. (2020). Correlation study of sacral morphology and sagittal spino-pelvic alignment. Chinese Journal of Spine and Spinal Cord, (6), 493-499.
- Legaye, J., Duval-Beaupère, G., Hecquet, J., & Marty, C. (1998). Pelvic incidence: a fundamental pelvic parameter for 16. three-dimensional regulation of spinal sagittal curves. European Spine Journal, 7(2), 99-103.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s005640050

- Schwab, F., Lafage, V., Patel, A., et al. (2009). Sagittal Plane Considerations and the Pelvis in the Adult Patient. Spine, 34(17), 1828-1833.
- Lafage, V., Schwab, F., Patel, A., et al. (2009). Pelvic Tilt and Truncal Inclination: Two Key Radiographic Parameters in the Setting of Adults With Spinal Deformity. Spine, 34(17), E599-E606.
- 19. Barrey, C., Roussouly, P., Le Huec, J. C., et al. (2013). Compensatory mechanisms contributing to keep the sagittal balance of the spine. European Spine Journal, 22(6), 834-841.