Prevalence and factors associated with short birth interval in Kaya Municipality, North Central Burkina Faso: a multilevel Poisson regression modeling with a robust variance of a community survey

Short title: Prevalence and factors associated with short birth interval in Burkina Faso

Abou Coulibaly<sup>1\*</sup>, Adama Baguiya<sup>1</sup>, Bertrand Ivlabèhiré Meda<sup>1</sup>, Tiéba Millogo<sup>2</sup>, Aristide Marie Arsène Koumbem<sup>2</sup>, Franck Garanet<sup>1</sup>, Seni Kouanda<sup>1,2</sup>

# Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Département Biomédical et Santé Publique, Institut de Recherche en Science de la Santé (IRSS), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique (CNRST), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

<sup>2</sup> Institut Africain de Santé Publique, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

\* Corresponding author E-mail: samsoncoul@gmail.com (AC)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

# Abstract

A short birth interval adversely affects the health of mothers and children. This study aimed to measure the prevalence of short birth intervals and identify their associated factors in a semi-urban setting in Burkina Faso. We conducted a cross-sectional study in which data were collected in households between May and October 2022. The dependent variable was the short birth interval (SBI), defined by the World Health Organization as the time between two live births. We performed a multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression with robust variance to determine the factors associated with the SBI by reporting adjusted prevalence ratios (aPR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A total of 5544 birth intervals were recorded from 4067 women. A short birth interval was found in 1503 cases out of 5544, i.e., a frequency of 27.1%. The prevalence of short birth interval (time between two live births less than 33 months) was higher in never users of modern contraceptive users (aPR=1.24; 95% CI [1.14-1.34] vs. previous users), in younger ages with aPR of 4.21 (95% CI [3.30-5.37]), 2.47 (95% CI [1.96-3.11]), and 1.45 (95% CI [1.16-1.81]), respectively for under 18, 18-24 years old, and 25-34 years old, compared to 35 and over. Childbirths occurring before the implementation of the maternal and infant free health care policy (aPR=2.13; 95% CI [1.98-2.30]) and also before the free FP policy (aPR=1.53; 95% CI [1.28-1.81]) were found also protective against SBI. Women with low socio-economic positions were more likely to have SBI. This study found a high SBI in Burkina Faso (more than one woman out of four). Our results have programmatic implications, as some factors, such as contraceptive practice and socioeconomic status, are modifiable. These factors need particular attention to lengthen birth intervals and, in turn, improve mother-child couple health by reducing short birth interval consequences.

Keywords: prevalence, associated factors, short birth interval, Kaya

# 1 Introduction

2 The short birth interval (time between two live births less than 33 months) has health 3 consequences for both mother and child. It has been shown that a short birth interval, 4 compared with a longer one (greater than or equal to 33 months), increases neonatal, infant, 5 and child mortality by 85%, 116%, and 126%, respectively [1]. Despite the implementation of 6 several strategies to improve maternal and infant health in many countries around the world 7 [2–4], mortality rates remain very high globally. According to the United Nations Inter-agency 8 Group for Child Mortality Estimation, if current trends continue, 48.1 million under-5 deaths 9 are projected to occur between 2020 and 2030, almost half of them projected to occur during 10 the neonatal period [5]. One of the factors contributing to these high mortality rates is the short 11 birth interval, which could result in eclampsia, uterine rupture, post-partum hemorrhage, 12 severe anemia, or even maternal death [6]. The hypertensive and hemorrhagic consequences 13 may account for more than 50% of maternal mortality worldwide [7].

14 According to the literature, there are several factors associated with short birth interval: 15 the duration of breastfeeding of the previous child, the number of antenatal care (ANC) visits 16 for the index pregnancy, woman's level of education, woman's occupation, contraceptive 17 practice, woman's age at marriage, the woman's age at first pregnancy, partner's religion, 18 partner's level of education, partner's occupation, the parity of the index child, the survival of 19 the previous birth, the place of residence and the well-being index, etc. [8-11]. Most studies 20 have considered only the woman's most recent birth interval and therefore excluded her 21 previous birth intervals. Some other studies did not use the World Health Organization (WHO) 22 definition of a short birth interval, thus limiting the comparability of the findings across studies, 23 as Islam et al. showed in their published systematic review and meta-analysis [12]. Also, a 24 multicenter study in eight African countries concluded that the determinants of the short birth 25 interval varied from one country to another [13]. Pimentel et al., in a systematic review, noted 26 that shorter breastfeeding and the female sex of the previous child were the only factors 27 systematically associated with a short birth interval. They concluded that future quantitative

research should use longitudinal and experimental designs and ensure consistency of outcome and exposure definitions in the search for determinants of short birth intervals [14]. Considering the limitations of previous studies, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence and identify the factors associated with the short birth interval in a semi-urban Municipality in Burkina Faso using the WHO definition and including all women's birth intervals.

34

# 35 Materials and Methods

### 36 Study setting

37 The study was conducted in the Kaya Demographic and Health Surveillance System 38 (Kaya-HDSS) catchment area in Kaya municipality, North Central, Burkina Faso. This 39 demographic and health surveillance site has been described elsewhere [15]. It has been 40 operational since 2007 and is managed by the Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé 41 (IRSS). According to the latest General Census of Population and Housing, in 2019, Kaya's 42 population was estimated at 208,682 [16]. In 2022, the results of the Kaya SSDS showed a 43 resident population of 82,723, including 21,854 women aged 15 to 49 and 4,888 children 44 under 5. This population-based observatory covers one medical center, six urban primary 45 health centers known as the Centre de Santé et de Promotion Sociale (CSPS), six rural health 46 centers, and some private health centers. In addition to these primary health centers, the 47 observatory area is also home to the Regional Hospital (CHR), which provides specialist care 48 and acts as a referral center for the health centers in the four provinces of the Centre-Nord 49 region. The Kaya demographic and health surveillance site aims to study demographic, 50 infectious, and chronic disease indicators in the district, observe changes in health over time, 51 evaluate health programs, and provide a basis for policy decisions and capacity to improve 52 the health of the community [17].

53

### 54 Study design and period

- 55 We conducted a population-based cross-sectional study. Data were collected between
- 56 May and October 2022 in the demographic and health surveillance system catchment area.

# 57 Study population

- 58 The study population consisted of women of childbearing age (15 to 49 years) living in
- 59 the Kaya demographic and health surveillance site.

# 60 Inclusion criteria

- 61 This study included women who had had at least two consecutive live births in the
- 62 previous ten years (2012-2022) and were present in the households when the interviewers

63 visited.

# 64 Study variables

The dependent variable in our study was the birth interval for two consecutive live births, a binary variable coded '1' for a birth interval of less than 33 months (considered as short) and '0' for a birth interval of 33 months or more (considered as optimal), based on the WHO definition [18]. We used all children's dates per woman from 2012 to 2022. Due to the hierarchical type of the variables, we considered trois levels: (i) the delivery level, the woman level (woman could have many deliveries), and the village level. For each level, independent

71 variables were defined, as shown in Table 1.

72 Table 1: level-related independent variables

Level	Independent variables	Modalities
level 1: deliveries	Childbirth during free maternal and child health	(Yes or No)
	care policy implementation	
	Childbirth before free family planning policy implementation	(Yes or No)
	Pregnancy rank	(from 2 to 10)
	Women's age at the start of pregnancy (in years)	Under 18, 18-24, 25-34, 35 and over
Level 2: woman (variable woman id)	Woman marital status (in union)	(Yes or No)
	Wealth quintiles	Lowest, Second, Middle, Fourth, Highest
	Ever used a modern method of contraception	(Yes or No)
Level 3: village level	Place of residence	Urban, Rural

### 74 Data source and collection

75 Data were collected through individual interviews with women who met the inclusion 76 criteria and were present in the households when the interviewers visited. Some data were 77 extracted from women's health records and children's birth certificates. The questionnaire 78 included variables such as past and current contraceptive use, the outcome of each 79 pregnancy, the survival of each child, and so on. The data from this study were supplemented 80 by data from the Kaya-HDSS, which are repeated cross-sectional study data. The data 81 extracted from Kaya-HDSS mainly concerned the socio-demographic characteristics of 82 women and their households, socio-economic level, etc.

### 83 Data analysis

84 The data were analyzed using Stata software version 18.0 [19]. Qualitative variables 85 were described using frequencies. Because of the data structure (women have multiple birth 86 intervals), we performed a multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression with robust variance to 87 determine the factors associated with the short birth interval by reporting prevalence ratios 88 (PR) with a 95% confidence interval rather than odds ratios to avoid a poor approximation of 89 risk because the prevalence found was not low [20–22]. After fitting the null model (model 1), 90 we first included the level-1 variables (model 2), then the level-2 variables (model 3), and 91 lastly, the level-3 variables (model 4). These models are available in Supplementary File 1. 92 The results of the final multivariable model are shown in Table IV, and its selection was based 93 on the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC). All statistical 94 tests were two-sided; a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

95 **Ethical considerations** 

The study protocol was approved by the Burkina Faso Health Research Ethics Committee (Deliberation Number 2021-07-165). Informed consent was obtained from each participant before the questionnaire was administered. Women's anonymity and confidentiality were ensured throughout data collection and processing.

100 **Results** 

- 101 Four thousand one hundred eight women had at least two consecutive live births
- 102 between 2012 and 2022 and were included in this study. Of these, 40 were excluded because
- 103 of inconsistent data on birth dates. In total, 5,544 birth intervals were analyzed. Fig 1 below
- 104 shows the flow chart.



105

106 Figure 1: study flow chart

#### Characteristics of pregnancies and deliveries 107

- 108 Out of the deliveries, 81.5% occurred during maternal and infant-free healthcare (after
- 109 1 June 2016), and 13.3% of pregnancies began during free family planning (after 1 July 2020),
- 110 as detailed in Table 2.
- 111 Table 2: Characteristics of pregnancies and births (N=5544)

Characteristics of pregnancies and births	N=5544	%
Age at the start of pregnancy		
Under 18	326	5,9
18-24	2233	40,3
25-34	2472	44,6
35 and over	513	9,3
Pregnancy rank (first to last pregnancy)		
2	1521	27,4
3	1329	24,0
4	1062	19,2
5	758	13,7
6	459	8,3
7	222	4,0
8	109	2,0
9	58	1,0
10 and over	26	0,5
Childbirth during maternal and child free healthcare policy		
No	1025	18,5
Yes	4519	81,5
Pregnancy during free family planning policy implementation		
No	4809	86,7
Yes	735	13,3

112

113

#### Characteristics of the women 114

115 Women who had ever used a modern method of contraception were 71.0%, and 95.7%

116 of women were in union. Table 3 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the women.

#### Table 3: Socio-demographic characteristics of the women 117

Socio-demographic characteristics	N=4068	%
Main occupation		
Housewife	2235	54,9
Farmer	749	18,4
Shopkeeper	964	23,7
Student/Public or private worker	120	2,9
Woman in union		
No	174	4,3
Yes	3894	95,7
Place of residence		
Urban	2479	60,9

Rural	1589	39,1
Wealth quintiles		
Lowest	955	23,5
Second	806	19,8
Middle	783	19,2
Fourth	776	19,1
Highest	748	18,4
Ever used a modern method of contraception		
No	1178	29,0
Yes	2890	71,0

118

#### 119 Prevalence of short birth interval and associated factors

120 A short birth interval was observed in 1503 cases out of 5544, i.e., a frequency of 121 27.1% (95% CI: 26.0-28.3). Table 4 shows the factors associated with a short birth interval in 122 univariable and multivariable analyses. For example, the adjusted analyses showed that the 123 prevalence of short birth interval was increased by 24% in women who had never used a 124 modern contraceptive method (aPR=1.24; 95% CI [1.14-1.34]) compared with those who ever 125 used it. Women aged under 18 during their pregnancies, and those aged 18-24, and 25-34, 126 were respectively, 4.21, 2.47, and 1.45 times more likely to experience a SBI, compared with 127 those aged over 35. Other factors, such as pregnancy rank, wealth quintiles, the infant and 128 mother free healthcare policy, and the free family planning policy, were strongly associated 129 with the short birth interval.

#### Table 4: Results of univariate and multivariate analyses (N=5544) 130

	Crude Prevalence Ratio (95% Cl)	р	Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (95% Cl)	р
Childbirth during women and infant and mother free healthcare policy				
No	2.53(2.34- 2.75)	<0.001	2.13(1.98- 2.30)	<0.001
Yes				
Pregnancy during free family planning policy implementation				
No	2.04(1.71- 2.42)	<0.001	1.53(1.28- 1.81)	<0.001
Yes				
Pregnancy rank				

2	0.76(0.42-	0.347	0.45(0.24-	0.013
	1.35)		0.85)	
3	0.66(0.38-	0.138	0.49(0.27- 0.88)	0.018
4	0.61(0.33-	0.109	0.55(0.29-	0.069
5	0.56(0.32-	0.044	0.59(0.33-	0.074
6		0.100		0.010
0	1.11)	0.106	0.67(0.36- 1.25)	0.210
7	0.72(0.41-	0.237	0.77(0.46- 1.29)	0.324
8	0.83(0.47-	0.521	0.99(0.55-	0.975
	1.47)		1.78)	
9	1.06(0.61- 1.83)	0.845	0.93(0.56- 1.54)	0.766
10 and over				
Age at pregnancy				
Under 18	3.06(2.50- 3.76)	<0.001	4.21(3.30- 5.37)	<0.001
18-24	1.63(1.34- 1.98)	<0.001	2.47(1.96- 3.11)	<0.001
25-34	1.13(0.92-	0.257	1.45(1.16-	0.001
35 and over			- /	
Woman in union				
No				
No.	0.72/0.60	0.001	0.05/0.74	0.077
Yes	0.73(0.60-	0.001	0.85(0.71- 1.02)	0.077
Wealth quintiles				
Lowest	1.49(1.26- 1.76)	<0.001	1.30(1.04- 1.62)	0.020
Second	1.50(1.36- 1.65)	<0.001	1.28(1.12- 1.47)	<0.001
Middle	1.35(1.19- 1.54)	<0.001	1.18(1.01- 1.38)	0.040
Fourth	1.08(0.94- 1.24)	0.289	1.02(0.87- 1.19)	0.850
Highest				
Ever used a modern method of				
No	1 30/1 00	<0.001	1 2//1 1/	<0.001
	1.44)	<0.001	1.34)	<0.001
Yes				
Place of residence				
Urban				
Rural	1.16(1.01- 1.34)	0.037	0.91(0.79- 1.03)	0.143
Main occupation			•	
Housewife	1.42(1.15- 1.74)	0.001		

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.18.24304505; this version posted March 19, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made	e available i	under a 🤇	CC-BY	4.0 In	ternationa	al lice	ense.	

Farmer	1.42(1.07- 1.90)	0.016	
Shopkeeper	1.04(0.80- 1.35)	0.764	
Student/Public or private worker			

131

# 132 **Discussion**

133 The proportion of short birth intervals was 27.1% in our study. This is high in a context 134 where many actions are being undertaken to promote modern contraceptive methods. Some 135 of the factors found in our study can be addressed by implementing or reinforcing specific 136 health policies. For example, our results show that the prevalence of the short birth interval 137 has significantly decreased after the start of free family planning policy nationwide in 2019. This policy, therefore, needs to be monitored to ensure that it continues to be effective with 138 139 women. This high prevalence should raise questions and lead to a strengthening of health 140 policies aimed at birth spacing.

141 This high prevalence is common in many African countries. It has also been noted in 142 the literature, ranging from 16.99% to 58.74%: analyses carried out on Demographic and 143 Health Survey (DHS) databases showed that the pooled prevalence was 16.99% for 12 East 144 African countries in a study published in 2023 [10], and 58.74% as a pooled prevalence in 10 145 African countries with high fertility [23]. Another study in 35 sub-Saharan African countries 146 found a pooled prevalence of 43.91%, with South Africa having the lowest prevalence 147 (23.25%) and Chad having the highest (59.28%). In the same study, the authors also noted 148 that 14 of the 35 countries had a higher prevalence than the sub-Saharan African average of 149 43.91% [24]. Several community-based cross-sectional studies carried out in Ethiopia give 150 similar high prevalence, such as 56% in 2020 [25] and 30.59% in the north-west in 2021 [26]

151 Our study has shown that women's age at the pregnancy, contraceptive use in the 152 woman's reproductive life, socioeconomic status of the woman, and pregnancy rank were 153 associated with SBI. Several studies [27–29] have also found similar results. Therefore, 154 women who practice family planning and can intentionally choose to space their births are 155 logically less likely to experience a short birth interval.

156 Our results also showed that the wealth index was associated with a short birth interval: 157 the prevalence of a short birth interval was higher among women in the poor and very poor 158 guintiles than their counterparts in the rich and very rich guintiles. This result supports the 159 conclusions of several other studies [30–33]. Women in the wealthiest groups had greater 160 access to quality primary care than women in the poorest group. For example, women in the 161 rich and very rich guintiles would benefit from more prenatal contacts. However, an increase 162 in antenatal visits has been shown to reduce the risk of having a short birth interval [34]. 163 Furthermore, women in the rich and very rich quintiles may be better educated than those in 164 the poorer groups. Given the strong link between education level and desire for children 165 (negative association between formal schooling and desired fertility) [35,36], they could, 166 therefore, space births better than poor women. However, a study in Ethiopia showed that 167 poor households were less likely than wealthy households to have a short birth interval [37]. 168 This author nevertheless pointed out the presence of recall bias in his study concerning the 169 actual interval between births and bias in the measurement of the wealth index, which could 170 explain his results, which were at odds with ours and the data in the literature.

171 In our study, the place of residence did not determine the birth interval length. While 172 some studies have shown no difference between rural and urban women regarding the length 173 of the birth interval [38,39], others have shown that rural women are more likely to have a 174 short birth interval than urban women [32,40,41]. A difference in the composition of the place 175 of residence in these countries could justify the differences between these results. 176 Furthermore, in our study, the Nord Central region, where the Kaya-HDSS is located, has 177 been affected by security issues for nearly eight years now, and many rural women from the 178 rural areas have moved to the city of Kaya. Therefore, the place of residence variable is subject to significant misclassification, which may explain the lack of association between the 179 180 place of residence and the short birth interval.

Finally, it should be noted that the short birth interval may be the result of a deliberate choice on the part of the woman or even the couple: in Nigeria, in 2010, a study reported as its main findings the fact that the women attributed responsibility for a high parity to their

husbands. They had deliberately given birth to many children to inhibit men's tendency todivorce or enter into multiple marriages [42].

The main strengths of this study are (i) its design, which uses data from all women's birth intervals over ten years, and (ii) the multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression with robust variance we performed to determine the factors associated with the short birth interval by reporting prevalence ratios, compared to previous studies. The main limitation is the recall bias that arises when women are asked about past events, such as past childbirths, if the existing documentation does not allow the event to be located accurately.

# 192 **Conclusion**

193 At the end of this study, we found that the prevalence of short birth intervals is still high 194 in Burkina Faso (more than one woman in four). Our results have programmatic implications 195 because some factors, such as contraceptive practice and socioeconomic status, are 196 modifiable. These factors merit particular attention to lengthening birth intervals and, in turn, 197 reduce their consequences by improving the health of the mother-child couple. Activities to 198 encourage contraceptive use should, therefore, be stepped up to increase the number of new 199 users and retain existing users. These users will increase their chances of having an 200 appropriate birth interval.

201

202

# 203 Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: AC. Methodology: AC. Supervision of data collection: AC, AB, FG.
Validation: AC, AB, FG. Formal analysis: AC, AB, BIM, TM. Writing-original draft preparation:
AC. Writing-review and editing: AC, AB, BIM, TM, AMAK. Project administration: AB, SK.
Funding acquisition: SK. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
manuscript.

# 209 Acknowledgements:

We sincerely thank Fatou Sissoko, Vincent Bagnoa, Bouraima Kabré, Ambroise Bamogo and
all the interviewers for their support during data collection.

# 214 **References**

- Shifti DM, Chojenta C, Holliday E, Loxton D. Effects of short birth interval on neonatal, infant and under-five child mortality in Ethiopia: a nationally representative observational study using inverse probability of treatment weighting. BMJ Open. 2021 Aug 18;11(8):e047892.
- 219 2. Gülmezoglu AM, Lawrie TA, Hezelgrave N, Oladapo OT, Souza JP, Gielen M, et al. 220 Interventions to Reduce Maternal and Newborn Morbidity and Mortality. In: Black RE, 221 Laxminarayan R, Temmerman M, Walker N, editors. Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, 222 and Child Health: Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (Volume 2) [Internet]. 223 Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The 224 World Bank; 2016 [cited 2024 Jan 10]. Available from: 225 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK361904/
- Lassi ZS, Bhutta ZA. Community-based intervention packages for reducing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality and improving neonatal outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Mar 23;2015(3):CD007754.
- 229 4. Kuruvilla S, Schweitzer J, Bishai D, Chowdhury S, Caramani D, Frost L, et al. Success 230 factors for reducing maternal and child mortality. Bull World Health Organ [Internet], 2014 231 Jul [cited 2024 Jan 10];92(7):533-44. Available from: 1 232 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4121875/
- 5. Sharrow D, Hug L, You D, Alkema L, Black R, Cousens S, et al. Global, regional, and
  national trends in under-5 mortality between 1990 and 2019 with scenario-based
  projections until 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child
  Mortality Estimation. Lancet Glob Health. 2022 Feb;10(2):e195–206.
- Sanga LA, Mtuy T, Philemon RN, Mahande MJ. Inter-pregnancy interval and associated adverse maternal outcomes among women who delivered at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre in Tanzania, 2000-2015. PloS One. 2020;15(2):e0228330.
- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tuncalp O, Moller A, Daniels J. Global causes of maternal death: A WHO systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal. 2014;2(6):323–33.
- Ajayi AI, Somefun OD. Patterns and determinants of short and long birth intervals among
  women in selected sub-Saharan African countries. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020
  May;99(19):e20118.
- 245 9. Belachew TB, Asmamaw DB, Negash WD, Short birth interval and its predictors among 246 reproductive age women in high fertility countries in sub-Saharan Africa: a multilevel 247 analysis of recent Demographic and Health Surveys. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 248 Jan 2023 30 [cited 2024 Jan 10];23:81. Available from: [Internet]. 249 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9885595/
- Tesema GA, Wolde M, Tamirat KS, Worku MG, Fente BM, Tsega SS, et al. Factors associated with short birth interval among reproductive-age women in East Africa.
  Womens Health [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Jan 10];19:17455057231209879.
  Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057231209879
- Pimentel J, Ansari U, Omer K, Gidado Y, Baba MC, Andersson N, et al. Factors associated with short birth interval in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Jan 25];20. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7069040/

- 258 12. Islam MZ, Billah A, Islam MM, Rahman M, Khan N. Negative effects of short birth interval 259 on child mortality in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-260 analysis. J Glob Health. 2022 Sep 3;12:04070.
- 261 13. Ajayi AI, Somefun OD. Patterns and determinants of short and long birth intervals among 262 women in selected sub-Saharan African countries. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020 May 263 8;99(19):e20118.
- 264 14. Pimentel J, Ansari U, Omer K, Gidado Y, Baba MC, Andersson N, et al. Factors 265 associated with short birth interval in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic 266 review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2020 Mar 12 [cited 2024 Jan 10];20(1):156. 267 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-2852-z
- 268 15. Kouanda S, Bado A, Yaméogo M, Nitièma J, Yaméogo G, Bocoum F, et al. The Kaya 269 HDSS, Burkina Faso: a platform for epidemiological studies and health programme 270 evaluation. Int J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2013 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Jan 10];42(3):741–9. 271 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt076
- 272 16. Institut National de la Statistique et de la Démographie du Burkina Faso. Rapport 273 préléminaire de l'Enquête Démographique et de Santé (EDS-IV) et à Indicateurs 274 Multiples (MICS) EDSBF-MICS IV. Ouagadougou: Institut National de la Statistique et 275 de la Démographie du Burkina Faso; 2011 Aout p. 50.
- 17. Kouanda S, Bado A, Yaméogo M, Nitièma J, Yaméogo G, Bocoum F, et al. The Kaya 276 277 HDSS, Burkina Faso: a platform for epidemiological studies and health programme 278 evaluation. Int J Epidemiol. 2013 Jun;42(3):741-9.
- 279 18. World Health Organization. Report of a WHO technical consultation on birth spacing: 280 Geneva, Switzerland 13–15 June 2005 [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2024 Mar 1]. Available 281 from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-RHR-07.1
- 282 19. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 18. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC: 283 2023.
- 284 20. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. 285 Am J Epidemiol. 2004 Apr 1;159(7):702-6.
- 286 21. Chen W, Qian L, Shi J, Franklin M. Comparing performance between log-binomial and 287 robust Poisson regression models for estimating risk ratios under model misspecification. 288 BMC Med Res Methodol [Internet]. 2018 Jun 22 [cited 2024 Jan 11];18(1):63. Available 289 from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0519-5
- 290 22. Zou G, Donner A. Extension of the modified Poisson regression model to prospective 291 studies with correlated binary data. Stat Methods Med Res [Internet]. 2013 Dec 1 [cited 292 2024 Jan 11];22(6):661–70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280211427759
- 293 23. Belachew TB, Asmamaw DB, Negash WD. Short birth interval and its predictors among 294 reproductive age women in high fertility countries in sub-Saharan Africa: a multilevel 295 analysis of recent Demographic and Health Surveys. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 296 [Internet]. 2023 Jan 30 [cited 2024 Jan 11];23(1):81. Available from: 297 https://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12884-023-05403-298 0
- 299 24. Mare KU, Sabo KG, Mohammed AA, Leyto SM, Mulaw GF, Tebeje TM, et al. Suboptimal 300 birth spacing practice and its predictors among reproductive-age women in Sub-Saharan

- 301 African countries: a multilevel mixed-effects modeling with robust Poisson regression. 302 Reprod Health [Internet]. 2023 Sep 4 [cited 2024 Jan 11];20:132. Available from: 303 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10476311/
- 304 25. Wakeyo MM, Kebira JY, Assefa N, Dheresa M. Short birth interval and its associated 305 factors among multiparous women in Mieso agro-pastoralist district, Eastern Ethiopia: A 306 community-based cross-sectional study. Front Glob Womens Health [Internet]. 2022 Sep 307 7 [cited 2024 Jan 11];3:801394. Available from: 308 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9490409/
- 309 Gelagay AA, Worku AG, Bashah DT, Tebeje NB, Gebrie MH, Yeshita HY, et al. Factors 26. 310 affecting birth interval among mothers in Dabat district. Amhara Regional state, 311 Northwest Ethiopia: A community-based cross-sectional study, 2022. Heliyon. 2023 312 Jun;9(6):e17046.
- 313 de Jonge HCC, Azad K, Seward N, Kuddus A, Shaha S, Beard J, et al. Determinants 27. 314 and consequences of short birth interval in rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. 315 BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014 Dec 24;14:427.
- 316 28. Dim CC, Ugwu EO, Iloghalu EI. Duration and determinants of inter-birth interval among 317 women in Enugu, south-eastern Nigeri. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2013 Feb 1;33(2):175-9.
- 318 29. Ejigu AG, Yismaw AE, Limenih MA. The effect of sex of last child on short birth interval 319 practice: the case of northern Ethiopian pregnant women. BMC Res Notes. 2019 Feb 320 4;12(1):75.
- 321 30. Shifti DM, Chojenta C, G Holliday E, Loxton D. Individual and community level 322 determinants of short birth interval in Ethiopia: A multilevel analysis. PloS One. 323 2020;15(1):e0227798.
- 324 31. Hailu D, Gulte T. Determinants of Short Interbirth Interval among Reproductive Age 325 Mothers in Arba Minch District, Ethiopia. Int J Reprod Med. 2016;2016:1–17.
- 326 32. Shifti DM, Chojenta C, Holliday EG, Loxton D. Socioeconomic inequality in short birth 327 interval in Ethiopia: a decomposition analysis. BMC Public Health. 2020 Oct 328 6;20(1):1504.
- 329 33. Pimentel J, Ansari U, Omer K, Gidado Y, Baba MC, Andersson N, et al. Factors 330 associated with short birth interval in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic 331 review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020 Mar 12;20(1):156.
- 332 34. Mihretie GN, Yenealem Beyene F, Getnet Kassa B, Degu Ayele A, Muche Liveh T, 333 Minuye Birihane B. Determinants of short birth interval among women in South Gondar, 334 Ethiopia: community-based unmatched case-control study. Arch Public Health Arch Belg 335 Sante Publique. 2021 Apr 9;79(1):47.
- 336 35. Church AC, Ibitoye M, Chettri S, Casterline JB. Traditional supports and contemporary 337 disrupters of high fertility desires in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Reprod Health 338 [Internet]. 2023 Jun [cited 2024 Jan 11];20(1):86. Available 6 from: 339 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-023-01627-7
- 340 36. Bongaarts J. Trends in fertility and fertility preferences in sub-Saharan Africa: the roles 341 of education and family planning programs. Genus [Internet]. 2020 Sep 21 [cited 2024 342 Jan 11];76(1):32. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s41118-020-00098-z

- 343 37. Yohannes S, Wondafrash M, Abera M, Girma E. Duration and determinants of birth
   interval among women of child bearing age in Southern Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy
   345 Childbirth. 2011 May 20;11(1):38.
- 346
  38. Chirwa TF, Mantempa JN, Kinziunga FL, Kandala JD, Kandala NB. An exploratory
  spatial analysis of geographical inequalities of birth intervals among young women in the
  Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC): a cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy
  Childbirth. 2014 Aug 13;14:271.
- 350 39. Exavery A, Mrema S, Shamte A, Bietsch K, Mosha D, Mbaruku G, et al. Levels and
   351 correlates of non-adherence to WHO recommended inter-birth intervals in Rufiji,
   352 Tanzania. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012 Dec 13;12:152.
- 40. Aychiluhm SB, Tadesse AW, Mare KU, Abdu M, Ketema A. A multilevel analysis of short
   birth interval and its determinants among reproductive age women in developing regions
   of Ethiopia. PLOS ONE. 2020 Aug 26;15(8):e0237602.
- 356 41. Ngianga-Bakwin K, Stones RW. Birth intervals and injectable contraception in sub 357 Saharan Africa. Contraception. 2005 May;71(5):353–6.
- 42. Izugbara CO, Ezeh AC. Women and high fertility in Islamic northern Nigeria. Stud Fam
   Plann. 2010 Sep;41(3):193–204.
- 360
- 361
- 362
- 363
- 364
- 365
- 366



# Figure