- The relationship between payer type and quality of care for women undergoing 1
- emergency cesarean section at three hospitals in rural Uganda. 2
- Jonathan Mwiindi<sup>1\*</sup>, Rigoberto Delgado<sup>2</sup>, Lee Revere<sup>3</sup>, Beth Wangigi<sup>1</sup>, Edward 4
- Muguthu<sup>1</sup>, Priscilla Busingye<sup>4</sup> 5
- <sup>1</sup>African Mission Healthcare, DeLand, Florida 32720 7
- <sup>2</sup>School of Biomedical Informatics, The University of Texas Health Science Center at 8
- 9 Houston, Houston, Texas 77030
- <sup>3</sup>College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, 10
- Florida 32603 11

6

13

16 17

19

21

- <sup>4</sup>Nkonzi Nsa Initiative, Rwibaale, Kyenjonjo District, Uganda 12
- \*Corresponding author: 14
- E-mail: jmwiindi@africanmissionhealthcare.org 15
- Study type: Case-control Study 18
- **Keywords**: C-section, rural hospital, payer type, length of stay. 20
- **Synopsis**: Post-operative quality of care for emergency C-section cases, measured by 22
- length of stay in Ugandan rural hospitals, is impacted by case management. 23

## **Abstract**

25

26

29

### Background

- 27 The study examined the relationship between payer type, and quality of care among
- mothers who deliver through emergency cesarean section in rural Ugandan hospitals.

### Methods

- We analyzed retrospective, de-identified patient data from three rural private-not-for-
- profit hospitals in Uganda. Two groups were included in the study, a self-payer patient
- group and a group fully sponsored by an international funding organization. The data
- was analyzed using hierarchical linear regression models comparing length of stay
- against payer type, and controlling for patient age, education level, parity, and indication
- for C-section. Length of stay (LOS) was assumed to represent a realistic proxy variable
- 36 for patient quality of care.

#### Results

37

42

- The self-pay group had statistically significant longer postoperative LOS (surgery to
- clinical discharge), and longer aggregate LOS, or admission to clinical discharge,
- 40 compared to the sponsored group. Payer type was not significant in the admission-to-
- decision LOS, but payer type was highly significant for aggregate LOS (p < .001).

### Conclusion

- 43 Case management in rural Ugandan hospitals influences quality of post-operative care
- 44 for patients undergoing emergency C-sections. Expanding surgical funding, combined

- with effective case management approaches, is likely to increase quality of surgical care
- as measured by length of stay.

# Introduction

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

Deliveries by cesarean section (C-section) continue to rise globally accounting for 21% of all live childbirths; compared to only 7% in 1990 [1]. C-sections are essential in situations such as prolonged or obstructed labor, fetal distress, or abnormal presentation of the baby. However, access to these life-saving procedures is greatly determined by a patient's geographical location [1]; the more rural a patient is located the harder it is to access surgical services [2]. About 8% of women in least developed countries give birth by caesarean section, and the rate decreases to 5% in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Although in Uganda 88% of all the surgeries performed are related to pregnancy [3], and only 1 in 3 women who need a C-section receive one [4]; in rural areas such disproportion is estimated to be even greater [5]. Factors associated with lack of access to C-sections in most African countries, especially in rural settings, include faulty equipment, limited skilled healthcare workforce, unavailability of transportation (including a lack of money to pay for transport), inadequate health facilities, and delays in seeking emergency care [6] [7]. Another important factor is the perception of the patient population towards cesarean sections. A study by Nakimuli et al. (2015) concluded that increased fear of delivery via C-section among Ugandan women created delays in seeking required obstetric care [8]. Lastly, is the relative costs of these interventions which many families are unable to afford. Such factors, combined with delays at healthcare facilities, complicate conditions for many mothers resulting in the need for emergency C-section (ECS) [9];[10].

In Uganda, 62.5% of private and missionary hospitals provide the three most common surgeries in this country: C-section, laparotomy, and open fracture repair [2]. Improving access to healthcare services for low-income mothers in Uganda's rural areas also depends on the involvement of different foundation programs, which offer support in the form of medical supplies, specialist expertise, or service-specific funding. Given that these support programs can play an important role in the health systems of the region, it is important to understand their impact in terms of health outcomes; particularly, there is limited research into the effect of programs which provide full cost coverage for ECS in low-income countries. The objective of this study was to examine the relationship between payer type (self-paying patients and patients whose care is supported) and quality of care among mothers who deliver through emergency cesarean section in rural Ugandan hospitals. The study uses the case of African Mission Healthcare (AMH), a foundation which partners with private-not- for -profit (PNFP) hospitals to cover costs of surgical care for indigent patients through its Surgery Access for Everyone program (SAFE). It is expected that the results of this study will serve to improve healthcare policy making to support rural hospitals.

# **Methods**

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

The study analyzed retrospective, de-identified patient data from three rural PNFP hospitals operating under the Uganda Catholic Medical Bureau (UCMB), Uganda. Two of these hospitals, Nyakibale and Rushoroza, formed part of the SAFE program, while the third, Ibanda Hospital, did not receive any SAFE benefits and was used as a control.

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

The three hospitals are located in Southwestern Uganda, between 308 and 410 km from Kampala, the capital city. The three hospitals serve approximately 40,000 patients annually. Nyakibale is a 200-bed hospital with approximately 90 healthcare workers, Rushoroza is a 93-bed hospital with approximately 34 health workers, while Ibanda is a 178-bed hospital with 88 healthcare workers. The study data included two patient groups: 1) study group composed of patients whose delivery costs were covered entirely by the SAFE program given their extreme poverty conditions; and 2) the control, or self-payer group, composed of patients who had no health insurance and had all outof-pocket expenses related to the delivery. In addition to covering the cost of ECS care, the SAFE program requires participating hospitals to comply with stipulated quality of care indicators, and accuracy of patient data collected. Quality checks are performed daily by personnel funded by SAFE, and comprise assessments of patient pre-operation profiles, post-operation feedback, and quarterly clinical audits. The patient sample was of convenience and included all records of women who had received emergency Csection in the three study hospitals between January and August 2020. The statistical analysis consisted of frequency tables, mean differences, and used two hierarchical linear regression models assessing patient length of stay (LOS) against payer-type (self-pay and SAFE), and controlling for patient age, education level, parity (number of previous deliveries), and indication for C-section (fetal distress, previous scar, malpresentation and maternal compromise). Number of living children was not included in the models since this variable was not reported in the patient records reviewed. The dependent variable in the first regression model was decision to delivery interval (DDI), referring to the time between when the provider determines the patient

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

requires a C-section and the time when the baby is delivered. The second model used as dependent a variable denominated as aggregate LOS, or the time lapse between a patient's admission and clinical discharge. The term clinical discharge is used here to denote the event when a patient is considered able to leave the hospital only in terms of their health condition. Since hospitals in Uganda traditionally do not discharge a patient until full payment is made, it is important to distinguish between clinical discharge and actual hospital discharge. Thus, considering clinical discharge allowed us to estimate differences in post-operative LOS, controlling for differences in capacity to pay between the two patient groups. The purpose of modeling aggregate LOS was to evaluate separately the two elements that compose this variable: 1) the DDI; and 2) the postoperative (post-surgical) LOS. This breakdown facilitated the examination of quality service in terms of speed of service delivery (DDI), and to obtain metrics which can be used to benchmark against country averages of clinical and non-clinical aspects of postsurgical care (postoperative LOS). When surgical patients prolonged LOS, the risk of surgical site infections (SSI) increases [11]. Thus, LOS is a good proxy for measuring quality of surgical care. The shorter the LOS the better the quality of the service offered. In both regression models, LOS was assumed to represent a realistic proxy variable for quality of care [12]. DDI, for example, is an important risk factor of maternal mortality and poor neonatal outcomes [13]. All variables in the models were assessed for normality and multi-collinearity. The study used SPSS 2020 version 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, III., USA), and statistical significance was evaluated at the generally accepted level,  $\alpha = .05$ .

Having Ibanda hospital, a non-SAFE institution, in the sample allowed to control for possible biases resulting from the quality checks performed by the SAFE program team members. The study received IRB approval from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Texas, USA, and from the Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda. Given that the study involved retrospective, de-identified patient data, informed consent was waived.

### **RESULTS**

As shown in table 1, a total of patient 771 records were included in this study; thirty five (35) were excluded from the analysis due to incomplete data leaving 736 records. Of these, 378 were in the Self-payer group and 358 were part of the SAFE ECS program. Ibanda hospital only had patients from the Self-pay group (291 patients), while Rushoroza and Nyakibale hospitals had both patient groups represented. Majority of the participants were married (Self-pay 68%; SAFE, 95%), and mostly received an emergency C-section (Self-pay 91%; SAFE, 98%). The mean average age was 26 (SD 6.17) and 27 (SD 6.35) for the Self-pay and SAFE groups, respectively. Maternal compromise (Self-pay 30%, SAFE 22%) and previous scar (Self-pay 28%, SAFE 33%) were the most common indications for emergency C-sections. In addition, there were no fetal losses for the patients in this cohort and nearly all (99%) did not have a surgical site infection.

Table 1: General Characteristics of the Study Participants, by Payer Group

161

162163

164

165

Characteristic	Self-Pay	SAFE		
Characteristic	n = 378	n = 358		
Study site				
Ibanda	291 (77%)	0 (0%)		
Nyakibale	69 (18%)	219 (61%)		
Rushoroza	18 (5%)	139 (39%)		
Marital status				
Married	256 (68%)	341 (95%)		
Single	0 (0%)	12 (3%)		
Separated	0 (0%)	5 (1%)		
Missing	122 (32%)	0 (0%)		
Surgery Type				
Emergency C-section	344 (91%)	350 (98%)		
Elective C-section	33 (9%)	8 (2%)		
Indication of C-section				
Maternal Compromise	112 (30%)	77 (22%)		
Previous Scar	104 (28%)	118 (33%)		
Dystocia	66 (17%)	63 (18%)		
Malpresentation	51 (13%)	55 (15%)		
Fetal Distress	45 (12%)	45 (13%)		
Surgical Site Infection				
No	376 (99%)	355 (99%)		
Yes	2 (1%)	3 (1%)		
Birth outcome				
Alive	355 (94%)	343 (96%)		
Dead	23 (6%)	13 (4%)		

Note. Due to rounding errors, column-wise percentages may not equal 100%.

The results from mean differences analysis, presented in Table 2, indicates shows that there were statistically significant differences between payer types for postoperative

LOS, or surgery to discharge time (p < .001), and aggregate LOS; the time between admission and clinical discharge (p < .001). This indicates that the Self-pay group on average had significantly longer postoperative and aggregate LOS in comparison to the SAFE payer group, but the same mean DDI compared to SAFE patients. There was no statistical difference in mean age, number of previous deliveries, or DDI between the two groups.

Table 2: Analysis of Payer Groups Mean Differences for Selected Patient Characteristics

Variable	X	SD	n	Min	Max	t(734)	р
Patient Age						-1.71	.088
Self-Pay	26.32	6.17	378	13.00	47.00		
SAFE	27.11	6.35	358	15.00	43.00		
Number of previous deliveries						0.61	.542
Self-Pay	2.80	1.91	378	1.00	13.00		
SAFE	2.71	1.82	358	1.00	9.00		
DDI - admission to surgery						1.05	.294
(hours)							
Self-Pay	16.47	23.53	378	0.12	136.33		
SAFE	14.70	22.07	358	0.00	117.45		
Postoperative LOS – surgery to						16.77	<.001
discharge (hours)							
Self-Pay	98.89	27.48	378	18.08	251.80		
SAFE	71.24	15.17	358	9.78	154.25		
Aggregate LOS – admission to							
discharge (hours)						12.82	<.001
Self-Pay	115.36	34.34	378	33.50	255.83		
SAFE	85.94	27.30	358	12.00	250.33		

*Note.* x = mean; SD = standard deviation, n = sample size, t = statistic from the independent samples t-test

### **Decision-Delivery Interval - Admission to Surgery.**

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

Table 3 presents the results of the hierarchical linear regression analysis predicting DDI (admission to surgery) against payer type. The three models (steps 1-3) were statistically significant, and in all steps the signs of the estimated coefficients, and degree of statistical significance, remained the same for all variables supporting the observations presented in this section. The explained variation  $(R^2)$  was relatively small in all three models. In Step 1, F(2, 733) = 3.65, p=0.026 ( $R^2 = 0.010$ ), patient age showed significant statistical evidence of association with DDI ( $\beta = 0.11$ , p = .029). indicating that older patients reported longer hours between admission and delivery compared to younger patients. The number of previous deliveries showed a highly significant relationship with DDI ( $\beta = -0.14$ , p = .008), suggesting that number of previous deliveries was inversely related to number of hours a patient experienced on the DDI. In Step 2, F (6, 729) = 3.80, p = 0.001, ( $R^2$  = .030), the number of previous deliveries remained highly significant ( $\beta = -0.14$ , p = .007). In addition, two indications for Csection were highly significant; previous scars ( $\beta = 0.15$ , p = .004) and maternal compromise ( $\beta = 0.15$ , p = .003), suggesting that these patients had longer DDI compared to those showing only conditions of dystocia, the reference variable. In Step 3, F(7,728) = 3.47, p = 0.001, ( $R^2 = 0.032$ ), patient age showed statistical significance ( $\beta$ = -0.10, p = .048), while number of previous deliveries ( $\beta$  = -0.15, p = .005), previous scars ( $\beta$ = 0.15, p = .004), and maternal compromise ( $\beta$ = 0.14, p = .004) were highly significant as factors of DDI variation. Fetal distress, and malpresentation showed no evidence of statistical relationship with DDI, and as compared to the reference variable

dystocia. Further, no significant difference was found between women in the SAFE group compared to the Self-pay group regarding DDI.

Table 3: Hierarchical Regression Analysis Predicting DDI

Model steps	В	SE	β	t	р
Model 1					
Patient age	0.41	0.19	0.11	2.19	.029*
Number of previous deliveries	-1.68	0.63	-0.14	-2.67	.008**
Model 2					
Patient age	0.35	0.19	0.10	1.86	.064
Number of previous deliveries	-1.70	0.63	-0.14	-2.69	.007**
Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)					
Fetal distress	2.85	3.11	0.04	0.92	.360
Previous scar	7.37	2.55	0.15	2.89	.004**
Malpresentation	0.74	2.99	0.01	0.25	.804
Maternal compromise	7.59	2.59	0.15	2.93	.003**
Step 3					
Patient age	0.37	0.19	0.10	1.98	.048*
Number of previous deliveries	-1.78	0.64	-0.15	-2.80	.005**
Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference	variable)				
Fetal distress	2.86	3.11	0.04	0.92	.358
Previous scar	7.44	2.55	0.15	2.92	.004**
Malpresentation	0.79	2.99	0.01	0.27	.791
Maternal compromise	7.40	2.59	0.14	2.86	.004**
Payer Type (self-pay as reference variable)					
Safe	-2.05	1.68	-0.04	-1.22	.224

*Note.* B= unstandardized coefficient; SE= standard error;  $\beta$ = standardized coefficient; Significance is at  $p \le 0.05$ .

### Aggregate LOS - Admission to Discharge.

Table 4 presents the results of the hierarchical linear regression analysis predicting aggregate LOS against payer type. The model in Step 1 was not significant with none of

its two variables indicating statistical significance. In Step 2, F (6, 729) = 2.42, p = .025, ( $R^2$  = .020), maternal compromise ( $\beta$ = 0.15, p = .003) showed highly significant association with aggregate LOS compared to dystocia. This finding indicates that patients with maternal compromise remained for a longer period between admission and clinical discharge compared to those patients without maternal compromise.

Table 4: Hierarchical Regression Analysis Model Predicting Aggregate LOS

Model steps	В	SE	β	t	р		
Model 1							
Patient age	-0.26	0.28	-0.05	-0.93	.355		
Number of previous deliveries	0.26	0.96	0.01	0.27	.785		
Model 9							
Model 2							
Patient age	-0.29	0.28	-0.05	-1.02	.309		
Number of previous deliveries	0.50	0.96	0.03	0.52	.604		
Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference	e variable	·)					
Fetal distress	4.91	4.71	0.05	1.04	.298		
Previous scar	3.46	3.86	0.05	0.90	.370		
Malpresentation	-1.10	4.53	-0.01	-0.24	.808		
Maternal compromise	11.60	3.92	0.15	2.96	.003**		
Model 3							
Patient age	0.07	0.26	0.01	0.26	.796		
Number of previous deliveries	-0.61	0.87	-0.03	-0.70	.485		
Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)							
Fetal distress	5.08	4.28	0.05	1.19	.235		
Previous scar	4.48	3.50	0.06	1.28	.201		
Malpresentation	-0.37	4.11	-0.00	-0.09	.929		
Maternal compromise	8.96	3.56	0.11	2.52	.012*		
Payer Type (self-pay as reference variable)							
Safe	-29.07	2.31	-0.42	-12.56	< .001**		

*Note.* B= unstandardized coefficient; SE= standard error;  $\beta$ = standardized coefficient; Significance is at  $p \le 0.05$ .

In Step 3, F (7, 728) = 25.04, p < .001, ( $R^2$  = .194), both maternal compromise ( $\beta$ = 0.11, p = .012) and payer type ( $\beta$ = -0.42, p < .001) showed significant and highly significant association, respectively, with aggregate LOS. This finding provides strong evidence that patients under the SAFE program spent considerably less time in hospital compared to those patients in the Self-pay group. In both models the signs of the coefficient and degree of significance for all variables remained the same. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) in the last model was considerably higher accounting for almost 20% of variation.

# **Discussion**

This study investigated the relationship between payer type and the length of stay (LOS) of mothers who deliver by emergency C-section in rural hospitals of Uganda. Two patient groups formed part of the study: the Self-pay, patients paying entirely out-of-pocket for medical services; and the group supported by the Surgery Access for Everyone (SAFE) program managed by the foundation African Mission Healthcare. On average, patients in the SAFE group had shorter aggregate LOS than patients in the Self-pay group, considering both time intervals of surgery to clinical discharge (71.24 vs 98.89 hrs. for SAFE and Self-pay patients, respectively), and aggregate LOS, or admission to clinical discharge (85.94 hrs. vs. 115.36 hrs.). Thus, a woman paying for herself was more likely to spend an additional 29 hours in the hospital than a woman whose emergency C-section was sponsored. Since the mean decision-to delivery time interval (DDI) was statistically the same between the Self-pay and SAFE patient groups.

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

differences in aggregate LOS between the two payer types are likely due to mean length differences in the post-discharge LOS (surgery to clinical discharge). This statement is also supported by the results from the regression analysis. Factors such as patient age, previous deliveries, and indications of C-section played a significant role explaining DDI variation, however, payer type was not a significant variable (Table 3). In contrast, payer type was a significant variable explaining variation in aggregate LOS, along with maternal compromise (Table 4). Given that DDI provides a broad indicator of performance during a critical level of surgical care, statistically similar estimates of mean DDI likely indicate that patients in both payer groups receive similar quality treatment during a C-section. Post-surgical care, on average, involves less urgent medical attention, and mean statistical differences in this metric could reflect dissimilarities in quality of recovery care and case management. One important feature of the SAFE program, which helps explain lower LOS for its beneficiaries, is its process of an intensive approach to case management. The case management is carried out by a hospital employee. Case management involves communicating with clinicians to help ensure a patient's recovery progress, handling complaints, and guiding patients through the discharge process. While the case manager is not likely to be involved in the DDI process, the systematic case management during the post-surgery period likely contributes to reducing aggregate LOS. The observed average DDI mean estimates for both Self-pay and SAFE patient groups (16.47 hrs. and 14.70 hrs., respectively) are consistent with findings from other studies in Uganda - DDI ranges of 12:00 - 20:00 hours [14] - and neighboring Tanzania and

Kenya - only 12% and 3% of hospitals in these two countries, respectively, had DDI time frames as low as 75-minutes [13][15]. These findings, however, underline an ongoing challenge regarding C-section procedures in Uganda, if compared to the 30min DDI benchmark recommended in developed countries [16]. DDI differences may be due to general infrastructure and economic factors such as a lack of surgical funds and a skilled health worker to patient ratio [17], or severe lack of medication, equipment, and operating rooms [18]. These factors highlight the fact that there are no DDI recommendations for low- and middle-income countries like Uganda [19-21], and the results of this study are contributing to quantifying current DDI time frames. The study encountered some limitations. First, due to data constraints, estimates of DDI were calculated considering time between admission and surgery, and not from when the decision for a C-section and to when the surgery took place. This could have resulted in an overestimation of DDI for both payer groups. Second, the clinical discharge time was not indicated in the patient charts in Ibanda and Rushoroza hospitals. Instead, clinical discharge time for patients in these hospitals was considered to be 9:00am, the start of general rounds. This may have underestimated post-surgery LOS in the control arm. There are opportunities for further research, including measuring long-term patient quality of life differences between payer types. Also, there is the need to study the association between LOS and the referring facility postdischarge to measure effectiveness of patient follow-up.

## Conclusion

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

The findings of this study highlight a significant difference in LOS between mothers whose ECS are sponsored by payers compared to mothers who pay out-of-pocket -- using clinical discharge time to control for ability to pay. No differences in surgical outcomes were found between payer groups, but a proactive case management approach was likely the key factor contributing to differences in post-surgery quality of care. Therefore, funding for ECS should be expanded, especially for poor, rural women who would otherwise have limited access surgery.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Dr. Joseph Kolars, Dr. Wendy Nyamusana, Sr. Gertrude Kabanyomozi, Sr. Miria Mazire, and Sr. Mary Mangdalene for their assistance in the collection of data and revisions of the manuscript.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Data Curation	Jonathan Mwiindi,Beth Wangigi, Edward Muguthu, Priscilla Busingye
Formal Analysis	Jonathan Mwiindi
Investigation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Beth Wangigi, Edward Muguthu, Priscilla Busingye
Methodology	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Project	Jonathan Mwiindi
Administration	

Resources	Jonathan Mwiindi
Supervision	Rigoberto Delgado
Validation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Beth Wangigi
Writing – Original	
Draft Preparation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Writing – Review &	
Editing	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado

## References

307

1. WHO (2021). Caesarean Section Rates Continue to rise, amid Growing Inequalities in 308 309 Access. [online] Available at: https://www.who.int/news/item/16-06-2021-caesareansection-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-in-access 310 311 2. Albutt, K., Drevin, G., Yorlets, R. R., Svensson, E., Namanya, D. B., Shrime, M. G., & Kayima, P. (2019). 'We are all serving the same Ugandans': A nationwide 312 mixed-methods evaluation of private sector surgical capacity in Uganda. PloS 313 314 One, 14(10), e0224215. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224215 3. Löfgren, J., Kadobera, D., Forsberg, B. C., Mulowooza, J., Wladis, A., & Nordin, 315 P. (2015). Surgery in district hospitals in rural Uganda-indications, interventions. 316 and outcomes. Lancet, 385(Suppl 2), S18. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-317 6736(15)60813-3 318 4. Sobhy, S., Arroyo-Manzano, D., Murugesu, N., Karthikeyan, G., Kumar, V., 319 Kaur, I., Fernandez, E., Gundabattula, S. R., Betran, A. P., Khan, K., Zamora, J., 320 & Thangaratinam, S. (2019). Maternal and perinatal mortality and complications 321 322 associated with caesarean section in low-income and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet, 393(10184), 1973–1982. 323 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32386-9 324 325 5. Boatin, A. A., Schlotheuber, A., Betran, A. P., Moller, A. B., Barros, A., Boerma, T., Torloni, M. R., Victora, C. G., & Hosseinpoor, A. R. (2018). Within country 326 inequalities in caesarean section rates: observational study of 72 low and middle 327 income countries. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 360, k55. 328 329 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k55

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

6. Dusabe, J., Akuze, J., Kisakye, A. N., Kwesiga, B., Nsubuga, P., & Ekirapa, E. (2018). A case-control study of factors associated with caesarean sections at health facilities in Kabarole District, Western Uganda, 2016. Pan African Medical Journal, 29(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2018.29.179.14870 7. Yaya, S., Okonofua, F., Ntoimo, L., Kadio, B., Deuboue, R., Imongan, W., & Balami, W. (2018). Increasing women's access to skilled pregnancy care to reduce maternal and perinatal mortality in rural Edo State, Nigeria: A randomized controlled trial. Global Health Research and Policy, 3(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-018-0066-y 8. Nakimuli, A., Nakubulwa, S., Kakaire, O., Osinde, M. O., Mbalinda, S. N., Nabirye, R. C., Kakande, N., & Kaye, D. K. (2015). Incidence and determinants of neonatal morbidity after elective caesarean section at the national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda. BMC Research Notes, 8(1), 624. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1617-7 9. Conlon, C. M., Serbanescu, F., Marum, L., Healey, J., LaBrecque, J., Hobson, R., Levitt, M., Kekitiinwa, A., Picho, B., Soud, F., Spigel, L., Steffen, M., Velasco, J., Cohen, R., & Weiss, W. (2019). Saving mothers, giving life: It takes a system to save a mother. Global Health, Science and Practice, 7(Suppl 1), S6–S26. https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-18-00427 10. Nelson, J. P. (2017). Indications and appropriateness of caesarean sections performed in a tertiary referral centre in Uganda: A retrospective descriptive study. The Pan African Medical Journal, 26. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2017.26.64.9555

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

11. Mujagic, E., Marti, W. R., Coslovsky, M., Soysal, S. D., Mechera, R., von Strauss, M., ... & Weber, W. P. (2018). Associations of hospital length of stay with surgical site infections. World journal of surgery, 42(12), 3888-3896. 12. Clarke, A., & Rosen, R. (2001). Length of stay: how short should hospital care be?. The European Journal of Public Health, 11(2), 166-170. 13. Hirani, B. A., Mchome, B. L., Mazuguni, N. S., & Mahande, M. J. (2017). The decision delivery interval in emergency caesarean section and its associated maternal and fetal outcomes at a referral hospital in northern Tanzania: A crosssectional study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 17(1), 411. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1608-x 14. Hughes, N. J., Namagembe, I., Nakimuli, A., Sekikubo, M., Moffett, A., Patient, C. J., & Aiken, C. E. (2020). Decision-to-delivery interval of emergency caesarean section in Uganda: A retrospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 20(1), 324. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03010-x 15. Kamotho D, Pertet AM, Ogwayo I: Decision to incision interval for emergency caesarean section andpostoperative outcomes in a resource limited rural Kenyan public hospital. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology 2016, 7(7):2574 16. Gupta, S., Naithani, U., Madhanmohan, C., Singh, A., Reddy, P., & Gupta, A. (2017). Evaluation of decision-to-delivery interval in emergency caesarean section: A 1-year prospective audit in a tertiary care hospital. Journal of Anaesthesiology, Clinical Pharmacology, 33(1), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9185.202197

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

17. Kitaw, T.M., Limenh, S.K., Chekole, F.A., Getie, S.A., Gemeda, B.N. and Engda, A.S. (2021). Decision to delivery interval and associated factors for emergency cesarean section: a cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 21(1). 18. Nivitegeka, J., Nshimirimana, G., Silverstein, A., Odhiambo, J., Lin, Y., Nkurunziza, T., Riviello, R., Rulisa, S., Banguti, P., Magge, H., Macharia, M., Habimana, R., & Hedt-Gauthier, B. (2017). Longer travel time to district hospital worsens neonatal outcomes: A retrospective cross-sectional study of the effect of delays in receiving emergency caesarean section in Rwanda. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 17(1), 242. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1426-<u>1</u> 19. Onuoha, O., Ramaswamy, R., Srofenyoh, E. K., Kim, S. M., & Owen, M. D. (2015). The effects of resource improvement on decision-to-delivery times for caesarean deliveries in a Ghanaian regional hospital. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics: The Official Organ of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 130(3), 274–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.03.032 20. Mbonye, A. K., Asimwe, J. B., Kabarangira, J., Nanda, G., & Orinda, V. (2007). Emergency obstetric care as the priority intervention to reduce maternal mortality in Uganda. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 96(3), 220–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2006.12.017 21. Mgawadere, F., Unkels, R., Kazembe, A., & van den Broek, N. (2017). Factors associated with maternal mortality in Malawi: Application of the three delays

model. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 17, 219. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017 1406-5