

1 **The relationship between payer type and quality of care for women undergoing**
2 **emergency cesarean section at three hospitals in rural Uganda.**

3
4 Jonathan Mwiindi^{1*}, Rigoberto Delgado², Lee Revere³, Beth Wangigi¹, Edward
5 Muguthu¹, Priscilla Busingye⁴

6
7 ¹African Mission Healthcare, DeLand, Florida 32720

8 ²School of Biomedical Informatics, The University of Texas Health Science Center at
9 Houston, Houston, Texas 77030

10 ³College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville,
11 Florida 32603

12 ⁴Nkonzi Nsa Initiative, Rwibaale, Kyenjonjo District, Uganda

13
14 ***Corresponding author:**

15 E-mail: jmwiindi@africanmissionhealthcare.org

16
17

18 **Study type:** Case-control Study

19

20 **Keywords:** C-section, rural hospital, payer type, length of stay.

21

22 **Synopsis:** Post-operative quality of care for emergency C-section cases, measured by
23 length of stay in Ugandan rural hospitals, is impacted by case management.

24

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background**

27 The study examined the relationship between payer type, and quality of care among
28 mothers who deliver through emergency cesarean section in rural Ugandan hospitals.

29 **Methods**

30 We analyzed retrospective, de-identified patient data from three rural private-not-for-
31 profit hospitals in Uganda. Two groups were included in the study, a self-payer patient
32 group and a group fully sponsored by an international funding organization. The data
33 was analyzed using hierarchical linear regression models comparing length of stay
34 against payer type, and controlling for patient age, education level, parity, and indication
35 for C-section. Length of stay (LOS) was assumed to represent a realistic proxy variable
36 for patient quality of care.

37 **Results**

38 The self-pay group had statistically significant longer postoperative LOS (surgery to
39 clinical discharge), and longer aggregate LOS, or admission to clinical discharge,
40 compared to the sponsored group. Payer type was not significant in the admission-to-
41 decision LOS, but payer type was highly significant for aggregate LOS ($p < .001$).

42 **Conclusion**

43 Case management in rural Ugandan hospitals influences quality of post-operative care
44 for patients undergoing emergency C-sections. Expanding surgical funding, combined

45 with effective case management approaches, is likely to increase quality of surgical care
46 as measured by length of stay.

47

48 Introduction

49 Deliveries by cesarean section (C-section) continue to rise globally accounting for 21%
50 of all live childbirths; compared to only 7% in 1990 [1]. C-sections are essential in
51 situations such as prolonged or obstructed labor, fetal distress, or abnormal
52 presentation of the baby. However, access to these life-saving procedures is greatly
53 determined by a patient's geographical location [1]; the more rural a patient is located
54 the harder it is to access surgical services [2]. About 8% of women in least developed
55 countries give birth by caesarean section, and the rate decreases to 5% in sub-Saharan
56 Africa [1]. Although in Uganda 88% of all the surgeries performed are related to
57 pregnancy [3], and only 1 in 3 women who need a C-section receive one [4]; in rural
58 areas such disproportion is estimated to be even greater [5].

59 Factors associated with lack of access to C-sections in most African countries,
60 especially in rural settings, include faulty equipment, limited skilled healthcare
61 workforce, unavailability of transportation (including a lack of money to pay for
62 transport), inadequate health facilities, and delays in seeking emergency care [6] [7].

63 Another important factor is the perception of the patient population towards cesarean
64 sections. A study by Nakimuli et al. (2015) concluded that increased fear of delivery via
65 C-section among Ugandan women created delays in seeking required obstetric care [8].

66 Lastly, is the relative costs of these interventions which many families are unable to
67 afford. Such factors, combined with delays at healthcare facilities, complicate
68 conditions for many mothers resulting in the need for emergency C-section (ECS)
69 [9];[10].

70 In Uganda, 62.5% of private and missionary hospitals provide the three most common
71 surgeries in this country: C-section, laparotomy, and open fracture repair [2]. Improving
72 access to healthcare services for low-income mothers in Uganda’s rural areas also
73 depends on the involvement of different foundation programs, which offer support in the
74 form of medical supplies, specialist expertise, or service-specific funding. Given that
75 these support programs can play an important role in the health systems of the region, it
76 is important to understand their impact in terms of health outcomes; particularly, there is
77 limited research into the effect of programs which provide full cost coverage for ECS in
78 low-income countries. The objective of this study was to examine the relationship
79 between payer type (self-paying patients and patients whose care is supported) and
80 quality of care among mothers who deliver through emergency cesarean section in rural
81 Ugandan hospitals. The study uses the case of African Mission Healthcare (AMH), a
82 foundation which partners with private-not- for -profit (PNFP) hospitals to cover costs of
83 surgical care for indigent patients through its Surgery Access for Everyone program
84 (SAFE). It is expected that the results of this study will serve to improve healthcare
85 policy making to support rural hospitals.

86

87 **Methods**

88 The study analyzed retrospective, de-identified patient data from three rural PNFP
89 hospitals operating under the Uganda Catholic Medical Bureau (UCMB), Uganda. Two
90 of these hospitals, Nyakibale and Rushoroza, formed part of the SAFE program, while
91 the third, Ibanda Hospital, did not receive any SAFE benefits and was used as a control.

92 The three hospitals are located in Southwestern Uganda, between 308 and 410 km
93 from Kampala, the capital city. The three hospitals serve approximately 40,000 patients
94 annually. Nyakibale is a 200-bed hospital with approximately 90 healthcare workers,
95 Rushoroza is a 93-bed hospital with approximately 34 health workers, while Ibanda is a
96 178-bed hospital with 88 healthcare workers. The study data included two patient
97 groups: 1) study group composed of patients whose delivery costs were covered
98 entirely by the SAFE program given their extreme poverty conditions; and 2) the control,
99 or self-payer group, composed of patients who had no health insurance and had all out-
100 of-pocket expenses related to the delivery. In addition to covering the cost of ECS care,
101 the SAFE program requires participating hospitals to comply with stipulated quality of
102 care indicators, and accuracy of patient data collected. Quality checks are performed
103 daily by personnel funded by SAFE, and comprise assessments of patient pre-operation
104 profiles, post-operation feedback, and quarterly clinical audits. The patient sample was
105 of convenience and included all records of women who had received emergency C-
106 section in the three study hospitals between January and August 2020.

107 The statistical analysis consisted of frequency tables, mean differences, and used two
108 hierarchical linear regression models assessing patient length of stay (LOS) against
109 payer-type (self-pay and SAFE), and controlling for patient age, education level, parity
110 (number of previous deliveries), and indication for C-section (fetal distress, previous
111 scar, malpresentation and maternal compromise). Number of living children was not
112 included in the models since this variable was not reported in the patient records
113 reviewed. The dependent variable in the first regression model was decision to delivery
114 interval (DDI), referring to the time between when the provider determines the patient

115 requires a C-section and the time when the baby is delivered. The second model used
116 as dependent a variable denominated as aggregate LOS, or the time lapse between a
117 patient's admission and clinical discharge. The term clinical discharge is used here to
118 denote the event when a patient is considered able to leave the hospital only in terms of
119 their health condition. Since hospitals in Uganda traditionally do not discharge a patient
120 until full payment is made, it is important to distinguish between clinical discharge and
121 actual hospital discharge. Thus, considering clinical discharge allowed us to estimate
122 differences in post-operative LOS, controlling for differences in capacity to pay between
123 the two patient groups. The purpose of modeling aggregate LOS was to evaluate
124 separately the two elements that compose this variable: 1) the DDI; and 2) the
125 postoperative (post-surgical) LOS. This breakdown facilitated the examination of quality
126 service in terms of speed of service delivery (DDI), and to obtain metrics which can be
127 used to benchmark against country averages of clinical and non-clinical aspects of post-
128 surgical care (postoperative LOS). When surgical patients prolonged LOS, the risk of
129 surgical site infections (SSI) increases [11].

130 Thus, LOS is a good proxy for measuring quality of surgical care. The shorter the LOS
131 the better the quality of the service offered. In both regression models, LOS was
132 assumed to represent a realistic proxy variable for quality of care [12]. DDI, for example,
133 is an important risk factor of maternal mortality and poor neonatal outcomes [13]. All
134 variables in the models were assessed for normality and multi-collinearity. The study
135 used SPSS 2020 version 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA), and statistical significance
136 was evaluated at the generally accepted level, $\alpha = .05$.

137

138 Having Ibanda hospital, a non-SAFE institution, in the sample allowed to control for
139 possible biases resulting from the quality checks performed by the SAFE program team
140 members. The study received IRB approval from the University of Texas Health Science
141 Center at Houston, Texas, USA, and from the Mbarara University of Science and
142 Technology, Mbarara, Uganda. Given that the study involved retrospective, de-identified
143 patient data, informed consent was waived.

144

145 **RESULTS**

146 As shown in table 1, a total of patient 771 records were included in this study; thirty five
147 (35) were excluded from the analysis due to incomplete data leaving 736 records. Of
148 these, 378 were in the Self-payer group and 358 were part of the SAFE ECS program.
149 Ibanda hospital only had patients from the Self-pay group (291 patients), while
150 Rushoroza and Nyakibale hospitals had both patient groups represented. Majority of
151 the participants were married (Self-pay 68%; SAFE, 95%), and mostly received an
152 emergency C-section (Self-pay 91%; SAFE, 98%). The mean average age was 26 (SD
153 6.17) and 27 (SD 6.35) for the Self-pay and SAFE groups, respectively. Maternal
154 compromise (Self-pay 30%, SAFE 22%) and previous scar (Self-pay 28%, SAFE 33%)
155 were the most common indications for emergency C-sections. In addition, there were no
156 fetal losses for the patients in this cohort and nearly all (99%) did not have a surgical
157 site infection.

158

159

160 Table 1: General Characteristics of the Study Participants, by Payer Group

161

Characteristic	Self-Pay	SAFE
	<i>n</i> = 378	<i>n</i> = 358
Study site		
Ibanda	291 (77%)	0 (0%)
Nyakibale	69 (18%)	219 (61%)
Rushoroza	18 (5%)	139 (39%)
Marital status		
Married	256 (68%)	341 (95%)
Single	0 (0%)	12 (3%)
Separated	0 (0%)	5 (1%)
Missing	122 (32%)	0 (0%)
Surgery Type		
Emergency C-section	344 (91%)	350 (98%)
Elective C-section	33 (9%)	8 (2%)
Indication of C-section		
Maternal Compromise	112 (30%)	77 (22%)
Previous Scar	104 (28%)	118 (33%)
Dystocia	66 (17%)	63 (18%)
Malpresentation	51 (13%)	55 (15%)
Fetal Distress	45 (12%)	45 (13%)
Surgical Site Infection		
No	376 (99%)	355 (99%)
Yes	2 (1%)	3 (1%)
Birth outcome		
Alive	355 (94%)	343 (96%)
Dead	23 (6%)	13 (4%)

162 *Note.* Due to rounding errors, column-wise percentages may not equal 100%.

163

164 The results from mean differences analysis, presented in Table 2, indicates shows that

165 there were statistically significant differences between payer types for postoperative

166 LOS, or surgery to discharge time ($p < .001$), and aggregate LOS; the time between
 167 admission and clinical discharge ($p < .001$). This indicates that the Self-pay group on
 168 average had significantly longer postoperative and aggregate LOS in comparison to the
 169 SAFE payer group, but the same mean DDI compared to SAFE patients. There was no
 170 statistical difference in mean age, number of previous deliveries, or DDI between the
 171 two groups.

172

173 Table 2: Analysis of Payer Groups Mean Differences for Selected Patient Characteristics
 174

Variable	<i>x</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	Min	Max	<i>t</i> (734)	<i>p</i>
Patient Age						-1.71	.088
Self-Pay	26.32	6.17	378	13.00	47.00		
SAFE	27.11	6.35	358	15.00	43.00		
Number of previous deliveries						0.61	.542
Self-Pay	2.80	1.91	378	1.00	13.00		
SAFE	2.71	1.82	358	1.00	9.00		
DDI - admission to surgery (hours)						1.05	.294
Self-Pay	16.47	23.53	378	0.12	136.33		
SAFE	14.70	22.07	358	0.00	117.45		
Postoperative LOS – surgery to discharge (hours)						16.77	<.001
Self-Pay	98.89	27.48	378	18.08	251.80		
SAFE	71.24	15.17	358	9.78	154.25		
Aggregate LOS – admission to discharge (hours)						12.82	<.001
Self-Pay	115.36	34.34	378	33.50	255.83		
SAFE	85.94	27.30	358	12.00	250.33		

175 *Note.* *x* = mean; *SD* = standard deviation, *n* = sample size, *t* = statistic from the
 176 independent samples t-test

177

178

179 **Decision-Delivery Interval - Admission to Surgery.**

180 Table 3 presents the results of the hierarchical linear regression analysis predicting DDI
181 (admission to surgery) against payer type. The three models (steps 1-3) were
182 statistically significant, and in all steps the signs of the estimated coefficients, and
183 degree of statistical significance, remained the same for all variables supporting the
184 observations presented in this section. The explained variation (R^2) was relatively small
185 in all three models. In Step 1, $F(2, 733) = 3.65, p=0.026 (R^2 = 0.010)$, patient age
186 showed significant statistical evidence of association with DDI ($\beta = 0.11, p = .029$),
187 indicating that older patients reported longer hours between admission and delivery
188 compared to younger patients. The number of previous deliveries showed a highly
189 significant relationship with DDI ($\beta = -0.14, p = .008$), suggesting that number of
190 previous deliveries was inversely related to number of hours a patient experienced on
191 the DDI.

192 In Step 2, $F(6, 729) = 3.80, p = 0.001, (R^2 = .030)$, the number of previous deliveries
193 remained highly significant ($\beta = -0.14, p = .007$). In addition, two indications for C-
194 section were highly significant; previous scars ($\beta = 0.15, p = .004$) and maternal
195 compromise ($\beta = 0.15, p = .003$), suggesting that these patients had longer DDI
196 compared to those showing only conditions of dystocia, the reference variable. In Step
197 3, $F(7, 728) = 3.47, p = 0.001, (R^2 = 0.032)$, patient age showed statistical significance (β
198 $= -0.10, p = .048$), while number of previous deliveries ($\beta = -0.15, p = .005$), previous
199 scars ($\beta = 0.15, p = .004$), and maternal compromise ($\beta = 0.14, p = .004$) were highly
200 significant as factors of DDI variation. Fetal distress, and malpresentation showed no
201 evidence of statistical relationship with DDI, and as compared to the reference variable

202 dystocia. Further, no significant difference was found between women in the SAFE
 203 group compared to the Self-pay group regarding DDI.

204

205 **Table 3:** Hierarchical Regression Analysis Predicting DDI

Model steps	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	β	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Model 1					
Patient age	0.41	0.19	0.11	2.19	.029*
Number of previous deliveries	-1.68	0.63	-0.14	-2.67	.008**
Model 2					
Patient age	0.35	0.19	0.10	1.86	.064
Number of previous deliveries	-1.70	0.63	-0.14	-2.69	.007**
<i>Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)</i>					
Fetal distress	2.85	3.11	0.04	0.92	.360
Previous scar	7.37	2.55	0.15	2.89	.004**
Malpresentation	0.74	2.99	0.01	0.25	.804
Maternal compromise	7.59	2.59	0.15	2.93	.003**
Step 3					
Patient age	0.37	0.19	0.10	1.98	.048*
Number of previous deliveries	-1.78	0.64	-0.15	-2.80	.005**
<i>Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)</i>					
Fetal distress	2.86	3.11	0.04	0.92	.358
Previous scar	7.44	2.55	0.15	2.92	.004**
Malpresentation	0.79	2.99	0.01	0.27	.791
Maternal compromise	7.40	2.59	0.14	2.86	.004**
<i>Payer Type (self-pay as reference variable)</i>					
Safe	-2.05	1.68	-0.04	-1.22	.224

206 *Note.* *B*= unstandardized coefficient; *SE*= standard error; β = standardized coefficient;
 207 Significance is at $p \leq 0.05$.

208

209 **Aggregate LOS - Admission to Discharge.**

210 Table 4 presents the results of the hierarchical linear regression analysis predicting

211 aggregate LOS against payer type. The model in Step 1 was not significant with none of

212 its two variables indicating statistical significance. In Step 2, $F(6, 729) = 2.42, p = .025,$
 213 $(R^2 = .020),$ maternal compromise ($\beta = 0.15, p = .003$) showed highly significant
 214 association with aggregate LOS compared to dystocia. This finding indicates that
 215 patients with maternal compromise remained for a longer period between admission
 216 and clinical discharge compared to those patients without maternal compromise.

217

218 Table 4: Hierarchical Regression Analysis Model Predicting Aggregate LOS

Model steps	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	β	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Model 1					
Patient age	-0.26	0.28	-0.05	-0.93	.355
Number of previous deliveries	0.26	0.96	0.01	0.27	.785
Model 2					
Patient age	-0.29	0.28	-0.05	-1.02	.309
Number of previous deliveries	0.50	0.96	0.03	0.52	.604
<i>Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)</i>					
Fetal distress	4.91	4.71	0.05	1.04	.298
Previous scar	3.46	3.86	0.05	0.90	.370
Malpresentation	-1.10	4.53	-0.01	-0.24	.808
Maternal compromise	11.60	3.92	0.15	2.96	.003**
Model 3					
Patient age	0.07	0.26	0.01	0.26	.796
Number of previous deliveries	-0.61	0.87	-0.03	-0.70	.485
<i>Indications of C-section (dystocia as reference variable)</i>					
Fetal distress	5.08	4.28	0.05	1.19	.235
Previous scar	4.48	3.50	0.06	1.28	.201
Malpresentation	-0.37	4.11	-0.00	-0.09	.929
Maternal compromise	8.96	3.56	0.11	2.52	.012*
<i>Payer Type (self-pay as reference variable)</i>					
Safe	-29.07	2.31	-0.42	-12.56	< .001**

219 *Note.* *B*= unstandardized coefficient; *SE*= standard error; β = standardized coefficient;
 220 Significance is at $p \leq 0.05$.

221

222 In Step 3, $F(7, 728) = 25.04$, $p < .001$, ($R^2 = .194$), both maternal compromise ($\beta = 0.11$,
223 $p = .012$) and payer type ($\beta = -0.42$, $p < .001$) showed significant and highly significant
224 association, respectively, with aggregate LOS. This finding provides strong evidence
225 that patients under the SAFE program spent considerably less time in hospital
226 compared to those patients in the Self-pay group. In both models the signs of the
227 coefficient and degree of significance for all variables remained the same. The
228 coefficient of determination (R^2) in the last model was considerably higher accounting
229 for almost 20% of variation.

230

231 Discussion

232 This study investigated the relationship between payer type and the length of stay
233 (LOS) of mothers who deliver by emergency C-section in rural hospitals of Uganda. Two
234 patient groups formed part of the study: the Self-pay, patients paying entirely out-of-
235 pocket for medical services; and the group supported by the Surgery Access for
236 Everyone (SAFE) program managed by the foundation African Mission Healthcare. On
237 average, patients in the SAFE group had shorter aggregate LOS than patients in the
238 Self-pay group, considering both time intervals of surgery to clinical discharge (71.24 vs
239 98.89 hrs. for SAFE and Self-pay patients, respectively), and aggregate LOS, or
240 admission to clinical discharge (85.94 hrs. vs. 115.36 hrs.). Thus, a woman paying for
241 herself was more likely to spend an additional 29 hours in the hospital than a woman
242 whose emergency C-section was sponsored.. Since the mean decision-to delivery time
243 interval (DDI) was statistically the same between the Self-pay and SAFE patient groups,

244 differences in aggregate LOS between the two payer types are likely due to mean
245 length differences in the post-discharge LOS (surgery to clinical discharge). This
246 statement is also supported by the results from the regression analysis. Factors such
247 as patient age, previous deliveries, and indications of C-section played a significant role
248 explaining DDI variation, however, payer type was not a significant variable (Table 3). In
249 contrast, payer type was a significant variable explaining variation in aggregate LOS,
250 along with maternal compromise (Table 4).

251 Given that DDI provides a broad indicator of performance during a critical level of
252 surgical care, statistically similar estimates of mean DDI likely indicate that patients in
253 both payer groups receive similar quality treatment during a C-section. Post-surgical
254 care, on average, involves less urgent medical attention, and mean statistical
255 differences in this metric could reflect dissimilarities in quality of recovery care and case
256 management. One important feature of the SAFE program, which helps explain lower
257 LOS for its beneficiaries, is its process of an intensive approach to case management.
258 The case management is carried out by a hospital employee. Case management
259 involves communicating with clinicians to help ensure a patient's recovery progress,
260 handling complaints, and guiding patients through the discharge process. While the
261 case manager is not likely to be involved in the DDI process, the systematic case
262 management during the post-surgery period likely contributes to reducing aggregate
263 LOS.

264 The observed average DDI mean estimates for both Self-pay and SAFE patient groups
265 (16.47 hrs. and 14.70 hrs., respectively) are consistent with findings from other studies
266 in Uganda - DDI ranges of 12:00 - 20:00 hours [14] - and neighboring Tanzania and

267 Kenya - only 12% and 3% of hospitals in these two countries, respectively, had DDI
268 time frames as low as 75-minutes [13][15]. These findings, however, underline an
269 ongoing challenge regarding C-section procedures in Uganda, if compared to the 30-
270 min DDI benchmark recommended in developed countries [16]. DDI differences may
271 be due to general infrastructure and economic factors such as a lack of surgical funds
272 and a skilled health worker to patient ratio [17], or severe lack of medication, equipment,
273 and operating rooms [18]. These factors highlight the fact that there are no DDI
274 recommendations for low- and middle-income countries like Uganda [19-21], and the
275 results of this study are contributing to quantifying current DDI time frames.

276 The study encountered some limitations. First, due to data constraints, estimates of DDI
277 were calculated considering time between admission and surgery, and not from when
278 the decision for a C-section and to when the surgery took place. This could have
279 resulted in an overestimation of DDI for both payer groups. Second, the clinical
280 discharge time was not indicated in the patient charts in Ibanda and Rushoroza
281 hospitals. Instead, clinical discharge time for patients in these hospitals was considered
282 to be 9:00am, the start of general rounds. This may have underestimated post-surgery
283 LOS in the control arm. There are opportunities for further research, including
284 measuring long-term patient quality of life differences between payer types. Also, there
285 is the need to study the association between LOS and the referring facility post-
286 discharge to measure effectiveness of patient follow-up.

287

288 **Conclusion**

289 The findings of this study highlight a significant difference in LOS between mothers
290 whose ECS are sponsored by payers compared to mothers who pay out-of-pocket --
291 using clinical discharge time to control for ability to pay. No differences in surgical
292 outcomes were found between payer groups, but a proactive case management
293 approach was likely the key factor contributing to differences in post-surgery quality of
294 care. Therefore, funding for ECS should be expanded, especially for poor, rural women
295 who would otherwise have limited access surgery.

296

297 **Acknowledgments**

298 The authors thank Dr. Joseph Kolars, Dr. Wendy Nyamusana, Sr. Gertrude
299 Kabanyomozi, Sr. Miria Mazire, and Sr. Mary Mangdalene for their assistance in the
300 collection of data and revisions of the manuscript.

301

302 **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Data Curation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Beth Wangigi, Edward Muguthu, Priscilla Busingye
Formal Analysis	Jonathan Mwiindi
Investigation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Beth Wangigi, Edward Muguthu, Priscilla Busingye
Methodology	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Project Administration	Jonathan Mwiindi

Resources	Jonathan Mwiindi
Supervision	Rigoberto Delgado
Validation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Beth Wangigi
Writing – Original Draft Preparation	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado, Lee Revere
Writing – Review & Editing	Jonathan Mwiindi, Rigoberto Delgado

303

304

305

306

307 References

- 308 1. WHO (2021). *Caesarean Section Rates Continue to rise, amid Growing Inequalities in*
309 *Access*. [online] Available at: [https://www.who.int/news/item/16-06-2021-caesarean-](https://www.who.int/news/item/16-06-2021-caesarean-section-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-in-access)
310 [section-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-in-access](https://www.who.int/news/item/16-06-2021-caesarean-section-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-in-access)
- 311 2. Albutt, K., Drevin, G., Yorlets, R. R., Svensson, E., Namanya, D. B., Shrimpe, M.
312 G., & Kayima, P. (2019). 'We are all serving the same Ugandans': A nationwide
313 mixed-methods evaluation of private sector surgical capacity in Uganda. *PloS*
314 *One*, 14(10), e0224215. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224215>
- 315 3. Löfgren, J., Kadobera, D., Forsberg, B. C., Mulwooza, J., Wladis, A., & Nordin,
316 P. (2015). Surgery in district hospitals in rural Uganda-indications, interventions,
317 and outcomes. *Lancet*, 385(Suppl 2), S18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60813-3)
318 [6736\(15\)60813-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60813-3)
- 319 4. Sobhy, S., Arroyo-Manzano, D., Murugesu, N., Karthikeyan, G., Kumar, V.,
320 Kaur, I., Fernandez, E., Gundabattula, S. R., Betran, A. P., Khan, K., Zamora, J.,
321 & Thangaratinam, S. (2019). Maternal and perinatal mortality and complications
322 associated with caesarean section in low-income and middle-income countries:
323 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*, 393(10184), 1973–1982.
324 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32386-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32386-9)
- 325 5. Boatin, A. A., Schlotheuber, A., Betran, A. P., Moller, A. B., Barros, A., Boerma,
326 T., Torloni, M. R., Victora, C. G., & Hosseinpoor, A. R. (2018). Within country
327 inequalities in caesarean section rates: observational study of 72 low and middle
328 income countries. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, 360, k55.
329 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k55>

- 330 6. Dusabe, J., Akuze, J., Kisakye, A. N., Kwesiga, B., Nsubuga, P., & Ekirapa, E.
331 (2018). A case-control study of factors associated with caesarean sections at
332 health facilities in Kabarole District, Western Uganda, 2016. *Pan African Medical*
333 *Journal*, 29(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2018.29.179.14870>
- 334 7. Yaya, S., Okonofua, F., Ntoimo, L., Kadio, B., Deuboue, R., Imongan, W., &
335 Balami, W. (2018). Increasing women’s access to skilled pregnancy care to
336 reduce maternal and perinatal mortality in rural Edo State, Nigeria: A
337 randomized controlled trial. *Global Health Research and Policy*, 3(1), 12.
338 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-018-0066-y>
- 339 8. Nakimuli, A., Nakubulwa, S., Kakaire, O., Osinde, M. O., Mbalinda, S. N.,
340 Nabirye, R. C., Kakande, N., & Kaye, D. K. (2015). Incidence and determinants
341 of neonatal morbidity after elective caesarean section at the national referral
342 hospital in Kampala, Uganda. *BMC Research Notes*, 8(1), 624.
343 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1617-7>
- 344 9. Conlon, C. M., Serbanescu, F., Marum, L., Healey, J., LaBrecque, J., Hobson,
345 R., Levitt, M., Kekitiinwa, A., Picho, B., Soud, F., Spigel, L., Steffen, M., Velasco,
346 J., Cohen, R., & Weiss, W. (2019). Saving mothers, giving life: It takes a system
347 to save a mother. *Global Health, Science and Practice*, 7(Suppl 1), S6–S26.
348 <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-18-00427>
- 349 10. Nelson, J. P. (2017). Indications and appropriateness of caesarean sections
350 performed in a tertiary referral centre in Uganda: A retrospective descriptive
351 study. *The Pan African Medical Journal*, 26.
352 <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2017.26.64.9555>

- 353 11. Mujagic, E., Marti, W. R., Coslovsky, M., Soysal, S. D., Mechera, R., von Strauss, M., ... &
354 Weber, W. P. (2018). Associations of hospital length of stay with surgical site
355 infections. *World journal of surgery*, 42(12), 3888-3896.
- 356 12. Clarke, A., & Rosen, R. (2001). Length of stay: how short should hospital care
357 be?. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 11(2), 166-170.
- 358 13. Hirani, B. A., Mchome, B. L., Mazuguni, N. S., & Mahande, M. J. (2017). The
359 decision delivery interval in emergency caesarean section and its associated
360 maternal and fetal outcomes at a referral hospital in northern Tanzania: A cross-
361 sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 17(1), 411.
362 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1608-x>
- 363 14. Hughes, N. J., Namagembe, I., Nakimuli, A., Sekikubo, M., Moffett, A., Patient,
364 C. J., & Aiken, C. E. (2020). Decision-to-delivery interval of emergency
365 caesarean section in Uganda: A retrospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy and*
366 *Childbirth*, 20(1), 324. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03010-x>
- 367 15. Kamotho D, Pertet AM, Ogwayo I: Decision to incision interval for emergency
368 caesarean section and postoperative outcomes in a resource limited rural
369 Kenyan public hospital. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception,*
370 *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2016, 7(7):2574
- 371 16. Gupta, S., Naithani, U., Madhanmohan, C., Singh, A., Reddy, P., & Gupta, A.
372 (2017). Evaluation of decision-to-delivery interval in emergency caesarean
373 section: A 1-year prospective audit in a tertiary care hospital. *Journal of*
374 *Anaesthesiology, Clinical Pharmacology*, 33(1), 64–70.
375 <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9185.202197>

- 376 17. Kitaw, T.M., Limenh, S.K., Chekole, F.A., Getie, S.A., Gemed, B.N. and Engda,
377 A.S. (2021). Decision to delivery interval and associated factors for emergency
378 cesarean section: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 21(1).
- 379 18. Niyitegeka, J., Nshimirimana, G., Silverstein, A., Odhiambo, J., Lin, Y.,
380 Nkurunziza, T., Riviello, R., Rulisa, S., Banguti, P., Magge, H., Macharia, M.,
381 Habimana, R., & Hedt-Gauthier, B. (2017). Longer travel time to district hospital
382 worsens neonatal outcomes: A retrospective cross-sectional study of the effect
383 of delays in receiving emergency caesarean section in Rwanda. *BMC*
384 *Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 17(1), 242. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1426-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1426-1)
385 [1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1426-1)
- 386 19. Onuoha, O., Ramaswamy, R., Srofenyoh, E. K., Kim, S. M., & Owen, M. D.
387 (2015). The effects of resource improvement on decision-to-delivery times for
388 caesarean deliveries in a Ghanaian regional hospital. *International Journal of*
389 *Gynecology and Obstetrics: The Official Organ of the International Federation of*
390 *Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 130(3), 274–278.
391 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.03.032>
- 392 20. Mbonye, A. K., Asimwe, J. B., Kabarangira, J., Nanda, G., & Orinda, V. (2007).
393 Emergency obstetric care as the priority intervention to reduce maternal mortality
394 in Uganda. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 96(3), 220–225.
395 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2006.12.017>
- 396 21. Mgawadere, F., Unkels, R., Kazembe, A., & van den Broek, N. (2017). Factors
397 associated with maternal mortality in Malawi: Application of the three delays

398 model. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 17, 219. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017->
399 [1406-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1406-5)
400