1	Assessing the value and knowledge gains from an online tick identification and tick-borne
2	disease management course for the Southeastern United States.
3	
4	Catherine A. Lippi ^{1,2} , Holly D. Gaff ³ , Alexis L. White ⁴ , and Sadie J. Ryan ^{*1,2}
5	
6	¹ Quantitative Disease Ecology and Conservation (QDEC) Lab Group, Department of
7	Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
8	² Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
9	³ Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA
10	⁴ Vector-borne Disease Eco-epidemiology and Control Lab, Department of Biological Sciences,
11	University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA
12	*Correspondence to: <u>sjryan@ufl.edu</u>
13	

14 Abstract

15	Background: Tick-borne diseases are a growing public health threat in the United States.
16	Despite the prevalence and rising burden of tick-borne diseases, there are major gaps in baseline
17	knowledge and surveillance efforts for tick vectors, even among vector control districts and
18	public health agencies. To address this issue, an online tick training course (OTTC) was
19	developed through the Southeastern Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases
20	(SECOEVBD) to provide a comprehensive knowledge base on ticks, tick-borne diseases, and
21	their management.
22	Methods: The OTTC consisted of training modules covering topics including tick biology, tick
23	identification, tick-borne diseases, and public health, personal tick safety, and tick surveillance.
24	The course was largely promoted to vector control specialists and public health employees
25	throughout the Southeastern US. We collected assessment and survey data on participants to
26	gauge learning outcomes, perceptions of the utility of knowledge gained, and barriers and
27	facilitators to applying the knowledge in the field.
28	Results: The OTTC was successful in increasing participants' baseline knowledge across all
29	course subject areas, with the average score on assessment increasing from 62.6% (pre-course) to
30	86.7% (post-course). More than half of participants (63.6%) indicated that they would definitely
31	use information from the course in their work. Barriers to using information identified in the
32	delayed assessment included lack of opportunities to apply skills (18.5%) and the need for
33	additional specialized training beyond what the OTTC currently offers (18.5%), while the main
34	facilitator (70.4%) for applying knowledge was having opportunities at work, such as an existing
35	tick surveillance program.

36	Conclusions: Overall, this OTTC demonstrated capacity to improve knowledge in a necessary
37	and underserved public health field, and more than half of participants use or plan to use the
38	information in their work. The geographic reach of this online resource was much larger than
39	simply for the Southeastern region for which it was designed, suggesting a much broader need
40	for this resource. Understanding the utility and penetrance of training programs such as these is
41	important for refining materials and assessing optimal targets for training.
42	

- 43 Keywords: tick-borne diseases; public health knowledge; tick identification; knowledge
- 44 assessment
- 45

46 Background

47 Tick-borne diseases (TBDs) are increasingly recognized as a growing public health threat in the United States, where reported cases of TBDs like Lyme disease and spotted fever group 48 49 rickettsiosis (SFGR) have sharply increased in recent decades [1–3]. Further, recent range 50 expansions and introductions of medically important tick vectors, along with the emergence and 51 discovery of novel tick-borne pathogens, underscore the increasing public health burden of 52 TBDs [4, 5]. Despite the increased attention from public health agencies in recent years, TBDs in 53 the United States likely remain substantially underreported [6, 7]. Underreporting can arise due 54 to a variety of factors, often in combination. Constraints such as limitations in diagnostic tools or 55 surveillance capabilities, non-specific clinical presentations, or asymptomatic cases, can stymie 56 case reporting and diagnostics at the point of care. Despite the serious health implications of 57 TBDs, cases may go undiagnosed by clinicians unfamiliar with TBD risk factors and case pathologies. Knowledge gaps among public health professionals can also pose a major obstacle 58 59 for both tick and TBD surveillance and prevention efforts. Establishing baseline TBD knowledge 60 in frontline workers is all the more crucial now, given the increasing burden and geographic 61 range of many notable TBDs [8].

62

In the Southeastern United States, TBDs pose substantial risk to public health; diseases like
SFGR, ehrlichiosis, and increasingly Lyme disease [9] are reported. Medically important ticks in
this region include the lone star tick (*Amblyomma americanum*), Gulf Coast tick (*Amblyomma maculatum*), American dog tick (*Dermacentor variabilis*), brown dog tick (*Rhipicephalus sanguineus*), and the blacklegged tick (*Ixodes scapularis*) [10]. Ticks are also implicated in the
spread of diseases with unknown etiology, like southern tick-associated rash illness (STARI) [11,

69 12], or trigger alpha-gal syndrome (AGS), an allergic response that can develop from exposure to
70 tick bites [13]. Thus, the regional needs of the Southeastern US, in terms of both TBD and tick
71 identification knowledge may differ from e.g. the Northeastern US, which has a necessary focus
72 on diseases caused by *I. scapularis* associated pathogens (e.g., Lyme disease).

73

74 Although there have been major advances in efforts to estimate risk and control tick populations, 75 preventing tick bites remains the primary means of reducing exposure to tick-borne pathogens. 76 Identification of risky activities and the adoption of appropriate personal protection behaviors 77 can effectively reduce individual exposures to tick bites [14]. Unfortunately, basic knowledge of 78 ticks and preventive behaviors are often limited, even among public health professionals, and 79 locally targeted surveillance programs to inform risk may be lacking [15–19]. To address these 80 gaps, efforts to improve general knowledge of ticks and TBDs in the United States have been spearheaded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). These include 81 82 educational workshops, online and printed guides to TBDs written for healthcare professionals, 83 supporting the expansion of state-level tick surveillance efforts, and promoting research 84 innovations through several regional Centers of Excellence (COEs) in Vector-Borne Diseases 85 [18, 20]. In 2020, the Southeastern Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases 86 (SECOEVBD) began developing an Online Tick Training Course (OTTC), comprising a series 87 of educational modules on ticks, tick management, and TBD risk and prevention. While openly 88 accessible, these materials were expressly developed to provide foundational knowledge on ticks and TBDs for public health and vector control professionals. In order to ensure that the course 89 90 was both useful in increasing knowledge, and to assess the utility of that knowledge gain for 91 participants, evaluation and assessment components were explicitly included in its development.

Here, we summarize the outcomes from the first round of assessment (May 2021-December2022) of the OTTC.

94

95	Methods
96	Online training course – The Online Tick Training Course (OTTC) was developed
97	cooperatively by the SECOEVBD, University of Florida, and Old Dominion University. The
98	course consists of seven online modules, delivered through the UF Institute of Food and
99	Agricultural Sciences (UF IFAS) Extension Canvas interface. The modules cover a variety of
100	topics, including tick biology and identification, tick surveillance and control, tick-borne
101	diseases, public health, and tick personal protective behaviors and bite prevention.
102	
103	While the OTTC is freely available to the public, the course was promoted through vector
104	control and public health agencies throughout the Southeastern United States. To incentivize the
105	participation of active public health and vector control employees, participants who completed
106	the OTTC were eligible to receive Continuing Education Units (CEUs), which are needed to
107	maintain professional certifications with the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer
108	Services (FDACS) and the California Department of Public Health or other state organizations
109	with prior approval.
110	

111 *Course evaluations* – The study protocol and survey tools were reviewed and approved by the 112 Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Florida (IRB 202100687). Participants 113 who enrolled in the OTTC were recruited for this study and were given informed consent 114 materials and the option to opt-in the course assessment study prior to starting online training

115 modules. Four survey tools were used to collect data on participant outcomes to evaluate the 116 impact of the OTTC. These included a pre-course assessment, a post-course assessment, an 117 immediate post-course student evaluation survey, and a delayed course evaluation survey. The 118 pre-course and post-course assessment tools consisted of nine questions, related to topics 119 covered in the OTTC (tick biology and identification, n=4; tick-borne diseases, n=2; safe tick 120 removal, n=1; and public health and surveillance, n=2). The pre-course assessment was 121 administered after informed consent was obtained, and before participants started any training materials, and the post-course assessment was delivered to participants immediately after 122 123 successful completion of the OTTC. The post-course student survey was also delivered to 124 participants at the end of the OTTC, and featured questions on perceived knowledge gained, 125 intent to use knowledge, and facilitators and barriers to use (S2 Table). Delayed course 126 assessments were administered approximately six months after successful completion of the 127 OTTC and consisted of questions on how participants applied course content in their occupation, 128 elements of the course participants found useful, barriers to applying course content, and open 129 text fields for users to expand on answers. Participants who opted-in were contacted via email 130 and provided a link to the assessment tool via the Qualtrics platform (https://www.gualtrics.com, 131 Provo, UT, USA).

132

Survey responses for respondents were recorded and summarized to show trends in baseline
knowledge, the immediate impact of the OTTC, and long-term utility and retention of knowledge
from the course. We used a paired sample sign test to assess statistical differences in pre-course
and post-course testing outcomes [21]. All statistical analyses were performed in R (ver. 4.1.2),

137 and data visualizations were conducted in R and ArcGIS Pro (ver. 3.1.0).

138 Results

- 139 Participation in the OTTC A total of 457 participants signed up to take the OTTC in the
- 140 program's initial launch (May 2021 through December 2022). There were 319 of these
- 141 participants who opted into the OTTC assessment study and of these, 317 (99.4%) completed the
- 142 pre-course assessment. A total of 255 (79.9%) participants who opted into the study also
- 143 completed the post-course assessment and successfully finished the OTTC. Participants were
- 144 primarily located throughout the Southeastern United States. While OTTC training was
- 145 developed and promoted for stakeholders in the Southeastern US, we also found high user
- 146 engagement throughout the continental US, particularly in California (Fig. 1).



- 148 Fig. 1. States in the continental US where OTTC participants were located. Dark green hash
- 149 indicates states represented by the Southeastern Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases
- 150 (SECOEVBD) at the time of the OTTC launch.
- 151
- 152 There was also a small number of international OTTC participants who accessed the course from
- 153 countries including Australia, Brazil, Canada, Ecuador, India, Japan, Mexico, Nigeria, Pakistan,
- 154 and the United Kingdom.

155 Participants in the OTTC represented a variety of professional roles within pest management and 156 vector control. The majority (66.4%) of enrollees indicated that the OTTC was either "very" or 157 "extremely" relevant to their current line of work. Many participants in the OTTC (37.2%) 158 indicated that they worked in vector control. Under one quarter (20.2%) of all vector control 159 professionals indicated that they were certified operators and 7.5% were supervisors of 160 technicians. Over one quarter (27.7%) of participants self-identified as working in the public 161 health field, which included state health department employees, epidemiologists, environmental 162 scientists, and public health entomologists. Over one third (38.3%) of participants indicated that 163 they were directly involved in tick surveillance or control in some capacity, and 13.8% focused 164 on human surveillance of TBDs. Many respondents (28.5%) answered that their working unit or 165 agency was not directly involved or connected with tick control or surveillance, where some 166 noted that while ticks were of interest, resources were predominantly dedicated to mosquito 167 control. Many participants were also connected with academic institutions, either through 168 involvement in research activities (8.7%), or as students (14.6%). Fields with relatively lower 169 representation included military (2.8%), veterinary surveillance and agricultural agencies (0.8%), 170 and medical providers and clinicians (0.4%). A small percentage (1.2%) of participants were not 171 professionally associated with public health, vector control, or research, but rather, were 172 members of the general public who wanted to learn more about ticks, or who were concerned 173 about tick activity in their communities or on their property.

174

175 *Pre-Course and Post-Course Assessment* – The pre-course assessment survey was used to
176 establish baseline knowledge of participants on tick biology and control before accessing OTTC
177 materials. The majority of participants who opted into the study completed the pre-course

178	assessment (n=317). The mean pre-course test score for participants who completed the course
179	(n=255) was 62.6%, with most participants (> 50%) incorrectly answering questions on larval
180	and nymphal tick characteristics, and how to determine the sex of ticks. The majority of
181	participants (94.5%) were able to correctly identify the best method for tick removal (i.e., using
182	fine-tipped tweezers or a tick removal tool) before taking the OTTC. Participants also had fair
183	knowledge of early TBD symptoms (75.6%), efficacy of control methods across tick species and
184	life stages (85.9%), and the general lack of tick surveillance data for public health (82.0%). The
185	majority of participants (79.9%) completed the OTTC and post-course assessment (n=255).
186	Testing outcomes improved significantly upon completion of the OTTC, where the average post-
187	course assessment score was 86.7%, and paired scores for each question were significantly
188	higher (Table 1, Fig. 2).
189	

Table 1. Questions (Q) and paired outcomes for the OTTC pre-course (PRE, n=255) and post course (POST, n=255) assessment.

0000				
Q		PRE	POST	<i>p</i> -value
1	Larvae have (select all that apply)	49.8%	77.30%	< 0.0001
2	You can tell the difference between male and female nymphs with a microscope (T/F)	38.8%	77.30%	< 0.0001
3	To determine the sex and life stage of the tick (select all that apply)	34.2%	59.60%	< 0.0001
4	Which is true regarding Lyme disease?	54.1%	97.60%	< 0.0001
5	That best way to remove a tick from yourself is	94.5%	100%	< 0.0001
6	Ticks find hosts through which of the following (select all that apply)	48.8%	82.90%	< 0.0001
7	Common symptoms of the early stages of nearly all tick-borne diseases include (select all that apply)	75.6%	92.40%	< 0.0001
8	All tick control methods have the same efficacy on all tick species and life stages (T/F)	85.9%	99.20%	< 0.0001
9	There is a lack of tick data because fewer than half of public health entities fund any work related to tick surveillance (T/F)	82.0%	95.30%	< 0.0001

192	While there was no single question that the majority of participants answered incorrectly in the
193	post-course survey, the lowest scoring question (59.6%) was a multiple answer format
194	knowledge question (i.e., "select all that apply") on ways to determine the sex and life stage of
195	ticks. Participants scored very high (>92%) on five questions in the survey, including questions
196	on Lyme disease risk, tick removal, TBD symptoms, tick control methods, and lack of tick
197	surveillance data. The largest gains in knowledge (i.e., pre-course versus post-course scoring)
198	were seen on questions related to tick biology and identification (Q1-Q3, Q6), and one question
199	on Lyme disease (Q4) (Fig. 2).

200

201 Student Survey of Course Materials – At the conclusion of the course, most participants 202 (63.6%) felt that they would definitely use what they learned in the OTTC in their work. 203 Overwhelmingly (97.6%), participants felt that the course had an appropriate balance of lectures 204 and interactive training materials, and most participants (62.8%) had no suggestions for further 205 improvement to the OTTC. In open text responses, the use of images, videos, and interactive 206 quizzes were consistently identified as successful elements that facilitated learning and 207 comprehension of content. Some suggestions for course improvement repeatedly mentioned in 208 open text responses included the addition of printable materials to summarize and review course 209 content and printable booklets on tick identification for use in the field. Inclusion of a module on 210 molecular techniques and diagnostic tools for TBDs was also suggested as a potential addition to 211 future iterations of the training.



Fig. 2. Comparison of average paired scores on questions from participants (n=255) who
 completed both the pre-course (orange) and post-course (blue) OTTC assessments.

216 **Delayed Course Assessment** – Fifty-four (16.9%) participants who completed the OTTC also 217 completed the delayed course assessment. Eighteen (33.3%) participants indicated that the 218 information learned in the OTTC was used frequently in their work, while the majority (59.3%, 219 n=32) of participants responded that the training was used in their work to some extent. Few 220 participants (7.4%) did not use information from the OTTC in their work at all. Participants were 221 asked to identify which information from the OTTC was most used in their jobs. While 222 responses varied, tick biology and ecology (40.7%), tick identification (33.3%), public health 223 surveillance (22.2%), and TBD transmission (7.4%) were commonly identified as the most 224 useful applied information. A small number of individuals (2.1%) additionally noted that they 225 were leveraging information learned in the OTTC to help develop and improve content for 226 university courses or agency outreach programs. The majority also stated that having

opportunities to apply what was learned (70.4%), having time to apply course concepts (53.7%),
and having reminders of key concepts and skills (50%) were major factors that facilitated the use
of course information and concepts in their work. Having necessary resources (42.6%) and the
support of colleagues (33.3%) or supervisors (29.6%) were also identified as important factors
that helped participants apply course knowledge on the job.

232

233 Participants were also asked to identify major barriers to using OTTC content in real-world 234 settings. Chief among these were lack of opportunities to apply skills and concepts at work 235 (18.5%) and the need for specialized training in the subject matter beyond what was covered in 236 the course (18.5%). Several respondents answered that course content was not relevant to their 237 work at all (14.8%), or that they did not remember concepts well enough to apply them at work 238 (9.3%). Other barriers to applying content provided in open text responses included lack of an 239 existing agency tick control program, the end of the vector control season, and modules that were too broad for specialized needs. Two participants suggested that access to copies or summaries 240 241 of course information would provide a useful reference to use in their job. One participant 242 requested more content that could be applied by physicians and healthcare providers in clinical 243 settings, such as symptoms and available diagnostic tests for different TBDs.

244

245 Discussion

Surveillance and control efforts are cornerstones of vector-borne disease management programs
in the United States. Yet, despite the prevalence and rising burden of TBDs, many public health
vector control programs predominantly focus surveillance efforts and resources on medically
important mosquitoes [18, 19]. Resources are increasingly dedicated for research and

250 surveillance efforts for TBDs, which include pipelines for agency support, the development of 251 open access data platforms (e.g., the VectorByte data hub) to promote increased sharing and accessibility of tick surveillance data [22], and indeed, the very creation of the SECOEVBD 252 253 (17). Nevertheless, gaps in baseline knowledge and training regarding ticks and TBD risk, 254 particularly among public health and vector control practitioners, may contribute to inconsistent 255 tick surveillance and control efforts, exacerbating issues such as underreporting of cases, lags in 256 detection of expanding vector populations, or insufficient public outreach and promotion of 257 established preventive behaviors. The SECOEVBD designed the OTTC to address these gaps, 258 providing a freely accessible online course to provide baseline knowledge on ticks, TBDs, and 259 their management. Although the OTTC is currently available to anyone with an interest in ticks, 260 the course was primarily advertised to public health and vector control professionals prior to its 261 initial launch in 2021 (platform migration is underway at the time of this writing, 2024). This 262 effort was reflected in our initial survey results, where the majority of participants self-identified 263 as either vector control professionals or public health department employees. The OTTC was 264 effective in increasing participants' knowledge across all subject areas, including tick biology, 265 identification, diseases and safety, and public health and surveillance.

266

The OTTC was well received, with the majority of participants deeming the training important to their work. Participants largely felt that the format of the course struck a good balance between lectures and interactive materials, and most felt there was no room for further improvement. However, several changes were suggested that may improve the utility of the course for users, while being potentially easy to implement. These included production of summarizations (i.e., "fact sheets") for material review and reference after conclusion of the course, and the addition

273 of training subjects that could be useful to epidemiologists and clinicians, namely, a review of 274 molecular surveillance methods and diagnostic tools for TBDs in humans. Despite the overall 275 positive reception of the OTTC, participants identified several barriers that prevented them from 276 using knowledge gained in an applied capacity, specifically citing either a need for more 277 specialized information (e.g., clinicians and veterinary pathologists interested in clinical 278 symptoms and diagnostic tools), or a lack of opportunities to apply what they learned (e.g., 279 vector control operators working in districts with no established tick surveillance or control 280 programs).

281 The use of CEUs may have incentivized participation in the OTTC, particularly for public health 282 vector controllers who need to maintain professional certifications for their jobs, for example, 283 certified pesticide applicators. Although CEUs were developed to meet the requirements for 284 FDACS certification, anyone could take the course online and request approval for CEUs to apply to certifications through other states and agencies. The CEU incentive likely underlies the 285 286 geographic diversity in participation observed, where many people enrolled in the course were 287 not located in the southeastern US. Offering CEUs may have helped to bolster the number of 288 participants, but may have also unintentionally skewed some of our post-course and delayed 289 assessment responses, in particular, questions relating to applied use of OTTC knowledge. For 290 example, some noted that the tick species covered in the course did not include some medically 291 important vectors in their area. One participant reported via an open text response that they were 292 not able to apply course knowledge, because they worked in urban vector control in a 293 metropolitan area beyond the SECOEVBD purview, and had only encountered a single tick in 294 over 15 years on the job.

296 There was considerable attrition in the delayed evaluation, compared to the post-course 297 assessment taken at the end of the OTTC. Only a small fraction of participants responded to the 298 delayed evaluation prompt, which was sent via email approximately six months after course 299 completion. While the delayed assessment provided useful insights on how participants were 300 applying the OTTC training at their jobs, in practice, the timeline for follow-up may be too long. 301 In future iterations of the OTTC, shortening the time between course completion and delayed 302 assessment may help increase the number of responses. The delayed assessment was also 303 administered via the Qualtrics platform, while the immediate post-course assessment surveys 304 were administered through Canvas, the same interface as the OTTC. Adoption of a single, 305 consistent survey platform may also help improve participation in the delayed assessment. 306

307 The results of the OTTC assessment and surveys provided critical insights into the efficacy of 308 training materials, as well as participants' occupation and perceptions surrounding the course's 309 value, and barriers to applying knowledge in their fields. While the course was successful in its 310 primary goal of increasing participant knowledge, through the surveys we have also identified 311 areas for improvement that can be incorporated into future iterations of the OTTC. Questions in 312 survey tools could be expanded to capture additional, or more nuanced information, such as 313 motivations for taking the course (e.g. CEUs), geographic locations where knowledge will be 314 applied, existence of tick surveillance programs at their job, and more. Further, categories of 315 answers could be improved based on commonalities observed in open text survey responses. For 316 example, in the question on occupation, many respondents indicated that they were academic 317 researchers or students, but these categories were not among selectable options (i.e., users would 318 have to respond with 'Other' and manually record their occupation in an open text field).

319 Although the course was primarily designed for, and promoted to, vector control and health 320 department employees, we saw some diversity in the occupations of participants. Identifying 321 cross-disciplinary channels of dissemination to promote the OTTC may help reach other 322 professional communities that would benefit from comprehensive tick training. These include 323 vector-borne disease researchers in academia, medical entomology and public health students, 324 practicing clinicians, and employees with agricultural agencies tasked with disease research and 325 veterinary surveillance. While some respondents indicated a need for more specialized training 326 in tick-borne diseases and surveillance, or development of modules for other geographic areas, 327 this is currently beyond the scope of the OTTC, which was intentionally designed to establish 328 baseline knowledge for professionals in the southeastern US. Nevertheless, with sufficient 329 interest, the OTTC could serve as a model for the development of future online trainings to meet 330 the needs of specific professional groups.

331

332 Conclusions

333 Tick-borne diseases have been garnering increased attention over the past decade in the United 334 States due to increasing burden and expanding geographic distributions. Vector control districts 335 and public health agencies are often tasked with surveillance and control activities for ticks and 336 the diseases caused by the pathogens they transmit, yet, baseline knowledge on ticks, disease risk, and management may be lacking. In this study, we demonstrated that the OTTC developed 337 338 by the SECOEVBD has successfully addressed this gap, significantly improving knowledge of 339 ticks and tick-borne disease risk in key professional groups. Information on tick biology, 340 ecology, and identification showed some of the biggest improvements in knowledge, and these 341 subjects were identified as most used on the job in the delayed assessment.

342 List of Abbreviations

- 343 CDC: Centers for Disease Control
- 344 CEU: Continuing Education Units
- 345 FDACS: Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
- 346 OTTC: Online Tick Training Course
- 347 SECOEVBD: Southeastern Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases
- 348 TBD: tick-borne disease
- 349 UF IFAS: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences
- 350

351 Declarations

- 352 *Ethics approval and consent to participate*
- 353 The study protocol and survey tools were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review
- Board (IRB) at the University of Florida (IRB 202100687). Participants were recruited through
- the OTTC, and were given informed consent and the option to opt-in the course assessment study
- 356 prior to starting online training modules.
- 357 *Consent for publication*
- 358 Not applicable
- 359 Availability of data and materials
- 360 De-identified data can be requested directly from the authors, subject to the terms of the IRB as
- 361 approved
- 362 *Competing interests*
- 363 The authors declare that they have no competing interests
- 364

~~-	T	1.
266	H11100	11100
	- I' MILU	IIIY
		····

- 366 This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) Cooperative Agreement
- 367 Numbers 1U01CK000510 and 1U01CK000662: Southeastern Regional Center of Excellence in
- 368 Vector-Borne Diseases: The Gateway Program. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the
- 369 authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and
- 370 Prevention or the Department of Health and Human Services.
- 371 CAL and SJR were supported by VectorByte: A Global Informatics Platform for studying the
- 372 Ecology of Vector-Borne Diseases (NSF DBI 2016265 and SSCR NSF-DBI-2016282).
- 373
- 374 *Authors' contributions*
- 375 SJR and HDG conceptualized the study. SJR, HDG, and ALW developed online training course
- 376 materials and survey tools. CAL collected and prepared data for analysis. CAL and SJR
- 377 performed statistical analyses and interpreted data. CAL, SJR, HDG, and ALW drafted the
- 378 manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 379

380 Acknowledgements

- 381 The authors would like to thank Kaci McCoy and UF IFAS for assistance in the production of
- this course, and Dr. Heather Coatsworth for assistance with surveys and data collection.
- 383 Additionally, we would like to thank the partners of SECOEVBD who provided critical feedback
- 384 before launching the OTTC.

- 386 References
- 387 1. Stone BL, Tourand Y, Brissette CA. Brave New Worlds: The Expanding Universe of Lyme
 388 Disease. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017;17:619–29.

- 2. CDC. Tickborne Diseases of the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/ticks/tickbornediseases/. Accessed 21 Nov 2023.
- 3. Biggs HM, Behravesh CB, Bradley KK, Dahlgren FS, Drexler NA, Dumler JS, et al.
- 392 Diagnosis and Management of Tickborne Rickettsial Diseases: Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever
- and Other Spotted Fever Group Rickettsioses, Ehrlichioses, and Anaplasmosis United States.
- 394 MMWR Recomm Rep. 2016;65:1–44.
- 4. Molaei G, Eisen LM, Price KJ, Eisen RJ. Range Expansion of Native and Invasive Ticks: A
 Looming Public Health Threat. J Infect Dis. 2022;226:370–3.
- 5. Sonenshine DE. Range Expansion of Tick Disease Vectors in North America: Implications for
 Spread of Tick-Borne Disease. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15.
- 399 6. Schiffman EK, McLaughlin C, Ray JAE, Kemperman MM, Hinckley AF, Friedlander HG, et
- 400 al. Underreporting of Lyme and Other Tick-Borne Diseases in Residents of a High-Incidence
- 401 County, Minnesota, 2009. Zoonoses Public Health. 2018;65:230–7.
- 402 7. Rosenberg R, Lindsey NP, Fischer M, Gregory CJ, Hinckley AF, Mead PS, et al. Vital signs:
- 403 Trends in reported vectorborne disease cases United States and territories, 2004-2016. MMWR
- 404 Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67:496–501.
- 8. Rochlin I, Toledo A. Emerging tick-borne pathogens of public health importance: a minireview. J Med Microbiol. 2020;69:781–91.
- 407 9. Marusiak AB, Hollingsworth BD, Abernathy H, Alejo A, Arahirwa V, Mansour O, et al.
- 408 Patterns of Testing for Tick-Borne Diseases and Implications for Surveillance in the
- 409 Southeastern US. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e2212334–e2212334.
- 410 10. Stromdahl EY, Hickling GJ. Beyond Lyme: aetiology of tick-borne human diseases with
 411 emphasis on the south-eastern United States. Zoonoses Public Health. 2012;59 Suppl 2:48–64.
- 412 11. Abdelmaseih R, Ashraf B, Abdelmasih R, Dunn S, Nasser H. Southern Tick-Associated Rash
 413 Illness: Florida's Lyme Disease Variant. Cureus. 2021;13:e15306.
- 414 12. CDC. Southern Tick–Associated Rash Illness. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 415 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/stari/index.html. Accessed 21 Nov 2023.
- 416 13. Young I, Prematunge C, Pussegoda K, Corrin T, Waddell L. Tick exposures and alpha-gal
 417 syndrome: A systematic review of the evidence. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2021;12:101674.
- 418 14. Eisen L. Personal protection measures to prevent tick bites in the United States: Knowledge
 419 gaps, challenges, and opportunities. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2022;13:101944.
- 420 15. Lyons LA, Mateus-Pinilla N, Smith RL. Effects of tick surveillance education on knowledge,
 421 attitudes, and practices of local health department employees. BMC Public Health. 2022;22:215.
- 422 16. Gupta S, Eggers P, Arana A, Kresse B, Rios K, Brown L, et al. Knowledge and preventive

- 423 behaviors towards tick-borne diseases in Delaware. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2018;9:615–22.
- 424 17. Valente SL, Wemple D, Ramos S, Cashman SB, Savageau JA. Preventive behaviors and
- 425 knowledge of tick-borne illnesses: results of a survey from an endemic area. J Public Health
- 426 Manag Pract. 2015;21:E16-23.
- 427 18. Eisen RJ, Paddock CD. Tick and Tickborne Pathogen Surveillance as a Public Health Tool in
 428 the United States. J Med Entomol. 2021;58:1490–502.
- 429 19. Dye-Braumuller KC, Gordon JR, Johnson D, Morrissey J, McCoy K, Dinglasan RR, et al.
- 430 Needs Assessment of Southeastern United States Vector Control Agencies: Capacity
- 431 Improvement Is Greatly Needed to Prevent the Next Vector-Borne Disease Outbreak. Trop Med432 Infect Dis. 2022;7.
- 433 20. Research and evaluation: Prevent and control vector-Borne Diseases. 2023.
- 434 https://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dvbd/about/prepare-nation/coe.html. Accessed 21 Nov 2023.
- 435 21. Sheskin DJ. Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures, Fifth
- 436 Edition. CRC Press; 2020.
- 437 22. Lippi CA, Rund SSC, Ryan SJ. Characterizing the Vector Data Ecosystem. J Med Entomol.
 438 2023;60:247–54.

440 Supplemental Materials

441	S1 Table. (Questions and outco	mes for the OTTC 1	pre-course and	post-course assessment,
-----	-------------	---------------------	--------------------	----------------	-------------------------

442 applying an unpaired two-sample Wilcoxon test on mean testing outcomes from the pre-course

443 and post-course assessments.

Question		PRE (n=317)	POST (n=255)	p-value
Q1	Larvae have (select all that apply)	50.10%	77.30%	< 0.0001
Q2	You can tell the difference between male and female nymphs with a microscope (T/F)	42.00%	77.30%	< 0.0001
Q3	To determine the sex and life stage of the tick (select all that apply)	34%	59.60%	< 0.0001
Q4	Which is true regarding Lyme disease?	52.70%	97.60%	< 0.0001
Q5	That best way to remove a tick from yourself is	95.00%	100%	0.0003
Q6	Ticks find hosts through which of the following (select all that apply)	50.60%	82.90%	< 0.0001
Q7	Common symptoms of the early stages of nearly all tick-borne diseases include (select all that apply)	77.00%	92.40%	< 0.0001
Q8	All tick control methods have the same efficacy on all tick species and life stages (T/F)	87.70%	99.20%	< 0.0001
Q9	There is a lack of tick data because fewer than half of public health entities fund any work related to tick surveillance (T/F)	83.60%	95.30%	< 0.0001

- 446 S2 Table. Questions and multiple-choice answer choices included in the post-course student
- 447 assessment. In addition to these questions, the full assessment also included several sections for
- 448 students to provide feedback via open text responses.
- 449

Q: Please select the response below that best describes your role within your pest management services organization

Certified operator

Supervisor of technicians

Public health specialist (e.g., environmental scientist, state entomologist, entomologist) Military entomologist

Other (specified in open text)

Q: Please describe your current engagement in tick surveillance and control activities (select all that apply)

I supervise others who are directly involved in tick surveillance and/or control activities I am directly involved in tick surveillance and/or control activities

My working unit is responsible for tick surveillance and/or control, but I am not directly involved in these activities

My work is on human disease surveillance for tick-borne diseases

My working unit is not directly involved in or connected to tick surveillance and/or control activities Other (specified in open text)

Q: Rate your knowledge of (or your skill in) the following (Tick Biology, Tick Identification, Tick Surveillance, Tick Control, Tick-borne Diseases, Tick Safety, Ticks and Public Health) <u>BEFORE</u> the course:

Not at all knowledgeable Slightly knowledgeable Moderately knowledgeable Very knowledgeable

Extremely knowledgeable Q: Rate your knowledge of (or your skill in) the following (Tick Biology, Tick Identification, Tick Surveillance, Tick Control, Tick-borne Diseases, Tick Safety,

Ticks and Public Health) AFTER the course:

Not at all knowledgeable Slightly knowledgeable Moderately knowledgeable Very knowledgeable Extremely knowledgeable

Q: How relevant is this course to your current work?

Not at all relevant Slightly relevant Moderately relevant Very relevant

Extremely relevant

Q: What is your opinion of the balance of lecture and interactivity in this course?

Too much lecture and not enough interactive learning Right amount of both lecture and interactive training Too much interactive and not enough lecture

Q: Will you use what you learned in this course in your work?

Definitely will Probably will Possibly Probably will not

Definitely will not Not applicable, I did not learn anything new in this course Q: What factors will keep you from using the content of this course in your work? Select all that apply. I will not have the resources I need I will not be provided opportunities to use what I learned I will not have the time to use what I learned My supervisor will not support me in using what I learned My colleagues will not support me in using what I learned The course content is not relevant to my current work Other (please specify in next question)



