# A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Research Using the Autism Polygenic Score

M.M. de Wit, M.J. Morgan, I. Libedinsky, C. Austerberry, S. Begeer, A. Abdellaoui, A. Ronald\* and T.J.C. Polderman\*

\*Joint senior authors

#### **Affiliations:**

Melanie M. de Wit: Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Morgan J. Morgan: Department of Psychology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom

Ilan Libedinsky: Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Chloe Austerberry: Centre for Family Research, Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Sander Begeer: Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Abdel Abdellaoui: Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Angelica Ronald: Department of Psychology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom

Tinca J.C. Polderman: Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychosocial Care, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

## Acknowledgements

We thank our EGAL (Environmental and Genetic Influences on Autism across the Lifespan) panel for their valuable input.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### **Financial support**

This work was supported by ZonMw (TP, SB and MdW: grant number 60-63600-98-834)

#### **Disclosures**

Authors declare they have nothing to disclose.

### **Abstract**

**Objective:** Genetic factors play a substantial role in the etiology of autism and its cooccurrence with other conditions and traits. The autism polygenic score, derived from the latest autism case-control meta-genome-wide association studies, captures some of the accumulated influence of common genetic variants on autism. We reviewed and metaanalyzed published studies that assessed the relationship between this autism polygenic score and autism diagnosis, and autistic, behavioral and neurobiological traits. Method: Systematically searching public databases, we identified 72 studies and > 750 outcome measures. Included studies received a quality assessment. Results: The majority of included studies were rated as good quality. The autism polygenic score was most strongly associated with autism diagnosis (meta-analytic r = .162, 95% CI .066 - .258). The autism polygenic score was also significantly associated with autistic traits but to a lesser degree than for autism (meta-analytic r = .042 (95% CI .004 - .081). Associations with other outcomes were inconsistent and meta-analytic effect sizes were generally small (median r = .03). Conclusion: We conclude that the current autism polygenic score is consistently associated with autism diagnostic status and autistic traits, but overlap between autism and other traits and conditions is not, from publications to date, explained significantly by the autism polygenic score. When compared to other mental conditions, autism is phenotypically and etiologically heterogeneous, which might drive the relatively modest associations observed with the autism polygenic score to date.

#### Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD; from here on autism as per Bottema-Beutel<sup>1</sup>) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition that is characterized by atypical social interaction and communication, repetitive behavior and focused interests, and sensory sensitivities. The prevalence of autism is estimated at 1-2% of the population worldwide<sup>2</sup>. Genetic factors substantially contribute to autism's etiology. For example, a meta-analysis of twin and family studies indicated that autism is approximately 64 – 91% heritable<sup>3</sup>.

Autism shows considerable co-occurrence with developmental, psychiatric and somatic conditions and a range of other traits. The incidence of other psychiatric diagnoses in autistic individuals is estimated around 70%<sup>4</sup>. Autistic individuals<sup>1</sup> also have increased liability for a range of physical conditions<sup>7</sup>. Shared genetic influences between autism, autistic traits and neurodevelopmental, psychiatric and physical conditions may explain part of this phenotypic co-occurrence<sup>8,9</sup>.

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) assess the relationship between a large number of common genetic variants spread across the human genome and phenotypic outcomes of interest. The latest autism meta-GWAS by Grove et al. (2019)<sup>10</sup> identified 5 independent common genetic loci that were significantly associated with autism and revealed a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based heritability, which reflects the proportion of phenotypic variance that is explained by additive effects of genomic variants included in the GWAS, of 11.8%. However, many common genetic loci beyond those that are genome-wide significant are involved in autism's etiology<sup>10</sup>.

Polygenic scores use the summary statistics from GWAS to capture part of the combined influence of common genetic influences on an outcome. They reflect the effect of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>\*We use a combination of identity-first and person-first language to accommodate to everyone's preferences<sup>5,6</sup>.

multiple genetic variants with small individual effects in a single value. They are calculated by summing together an individual's common genetic variants, weighted by their effect size. Polygenic scores are one of the tools that can be employed to increase understanding of the genetic basis of complex traits such as autism, and overlap with other traits<sup>11</sup>, amongst many other popular methods in molecular genetic studies<sup>12</sup>. In addition to their scientific value, polygenic scores have potential clinical value in the future in addition to existing diagnostic tools<sup>13</sup>. However, at present, most psychiatric polygenic scores are not accurate for individuals, and bioethical issues relating to the application of polygenic scores need to be carefully planned<sup>13</sup>.

Although psychiatric phenotypes have long been among the most studied in genetics<sup>14</sup>, only few studies systematically studied reported polygenic score associations for a specific neuropsychiatric classification with related traits and conditions<sup>15–18</sup>. These studies report consistent associations between the ADHD polygenic score and ADHD diagnosis (OR range 1.22% to 1.76%), as well as other traits and diagnoses<sup>15,16,18</sup> and between the schizophrenia polygenic score and other traits and disorders<sup>17</sup>.

The latest autism GWAS led to a wealth of studies assessing the relationship between the autism polygenic score and autism diagnosis, autistic traits, and a wide variety of other related traits. Here, we systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed all published studies that assessed the relationship between the autism polygenic scores and all available outcome measures, in order to understand whether the current autism polygenic score can explain phenotypic and genetic overlap between autism and co-occurring conditions and traits.

## Methods

This study was pre-registered with PROSPERO Framework under reference number CRD42022307993. Primary analyses were carried out according to the preregistration. We performed additional analyses, of which details are described under 'secondary analyses'.

# **Study Selection**

We aimed to include all studies assessing the relationship between the autism polygenic score based on the most recent GWAS results<sup>10</sup> and autism diagnostic status, autistic traits, and behavioral and neurobiological traits. A first systematic search of PubMed, Web of Science, Psychinfo and Scopus for published, peer-reviewed studies in the English language was performed on November 2, 2022 and a second search on January 6, 2023. The search terms per search engine are given in sTable 2, available online. Studies were excluded if: 1) The predictor was not an autism polygenic score based on the latest autism GWAS<sup>10</sup>; 2) The polygenic score was not based on genome-wide results, but included only a selection of SNPs; 3) The study was a review; 4) No direct associations between the autism polygenic score and an outcome variable were reported (e.g., polygenic transmission tests (pTDT), interaction effects, genetic correlations, multivariate associations). Abstracts were inspected by MdW. A second reviewer (TP) was involved in case of any doubt regarding inclusion. Figure 1 shows the full selection procedure.




Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram

### **Quality assessment**

To evaluate the quality, validity and generalizability of our included studies, we reviewed each study against a set of quality assessment criteria based on Hayden et al. (2006, 2013)<sup>19,20</sup>. Criteria were largely similar to Ronald et al. (2019), with one addition in the control of confounders domain, where we stated that the polygenic score p-value threshold should be reported. Detailed descriptions of the quality assessment criteria are provided in the Supplementary Methods. All criteria were rated by reviewers MdW and MM. Since these raters had insufficient specialism in brain-related measures, these specific outcomes were reviewed by an expert (IL). In case of any inconsistencies, criteria were assessed by a third rater (TP). A quality assessment domain was considered to contain a bias if > 50% of the criteria in that domain were scored negatively.

# **Systematic review and meta-analyses**

Data extraction. Results were extracted by authors MdW, and for brain measures by IL.

Effect sizes that were not provided in the included papers were requested from corresponding authors via email. If authors did not respond to our request but effect sizes were displayed in Figures, we extracted the results using an online tool (https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer/). All reported effect sizes were transformed to correlation coefficients.

If studies reported results for polygenic scores based on multiple GWAS p-value thresholds, we selected the threshold with the strongest association. Where studies reported multiple models, we selected the effect sizes from models that included covariates that most closely resembled those in other included papers and the requirements for the quality assessment (sex, age, socio-economic status (SES)).

*Primary analyses*. First, all included studies were systematically reviewed with the significance of individual effect sizes based on how they were reported in the respective studies.

Second, for the meta-analysis, all the extracted effect sizes were transformed into correlation coefficients. Since several studies assessed multiple effect sizes, and samples overlapped between studies, we applied three-level random effects meta-analyses per outcome category using the R package Metafor<sup>21</sup>. These models account for dependency between effect sizes by incorporating three variance components; sampling variance of extracted effect sizes (level 1), within-sample variance in effect sizes (level 2) and between sample variance (level 3)  $^{22}$ . We tested for overall significant heterogeneity, and heterogeneity within and between studies using the  $f^2$  statistic<sup>23</sup>. We assessed potential publication bias using funnel plots, and by performing and Rosenthal's Fail Safe N test<sup>24</sup>.

Secondary analyses. In our systematic review, we additionally describe sex differences. We further performed a series of secondary meta-analyses: 1) differences in the association between the autism polygenic score and autism diagnosis for specific populations (Europebased versus US-based), and 2) subgroup analyses for the associations between the autism polygenic score and ADHD, psychotic spectrum, eating disorders and self-harm and suicide ideation (in specific psychiatric classifications category).

#### **Results**

# **Study characteristics**

Studies showed relatively large sample overlap: A total of 57 different samples were used across the 72 studies (pooled N = 720,087). The average percentage of males in the included study samples was 48.3% (25-31) omitted from this percentage due to missing or unclear sex information). Of the included studies 47.2% were performed on child or adolescent (<18 years) participants, 19.4% on adult (> 18 years) participants, 29.2% on a mixed sample of children and adults, and for 2,8% the sample age information was unavailable or unclear. Studies were most often based on participants living in Europe (63.8%), followed by Northern America (25.8%), Asia (5.6%), and Australia (1.4%). The remaining studies (6.9%) were based on participants from multiple continents. Genetic ancestry was mostly European (86.1%), with a limited number of papers including East Asian (5.6%) or trans-ancestry sample analyses (9.7%). Results can be considered to be based on participants of European ancestry, unless described otherwise. Individual study characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics and Results of Included Studies on the Association between the Autism Polygenic Score and Outcome Measures.

First author (year), reference	Outcome category	Sample characteristics	PGS calculation method and P threshold	Outcome variables	Covariates	Results	Significance threshold
Autism diagnosis	<u> </u>						
Debost (2022) <sup>32</sup>	Autism diagnosis, other	A total of 22,153 iPsych participants were included in the analyses (10,890 female). Participants were assessed at ages ≥ 5 and ≥ 10. Participants were all Danish and genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	LDpred and BOLT-LMM. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	First diagnosis of autism at age ≥ 5. Diagnoses of early childhood infection according to ICD-8 or ICD-10, including viral, bacterial, fungal, and other infections	Sex, calendar period and 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with autism diagnosis (HR = 1.34, 95% CI, 1.30–1.38) and it showed mixed associations with odds of infection in different quartiles.	Not reported
Grove (2019) <sup>10</sup>	Autism diagnosis	Polygenic score analyses were carried out on combined iPsych and PGC participants of European ancestry. The sample consisted of	Ricopili, Plink. 5 x 10–8 , 10–6 , 10–4 , 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1. The reported pooled OR is based on pvalue threshold .1	Autism diagnosis	Relevant PCs and indicator variable for genotyping waves	The autism polygenic score significantly positively associated with autism diagnosis (R2 = 0.0245, P = 5.58 × 10-140), with a	Not reported

		13,076 cases (21.6% female) and 22,664 controls (49.3% female). Participants were born between 1981 and 2005. iPsych participants are all Danish. PGC participants are American, Swedish and Finnish. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				pooled polygenic score-based case-control odds ratio (OR) = 1.33 (95% CI 1.30 – 1.36). ORs increased with increasing polygenic scores	
Hannon (2018) <sup>33</sup>	Autism diagnosis, Other	Minerva cohort, a subset of 1,316 iPsych samples, including an equal number of autism cases (52% male, mean gestational age = 39.6 (1.82)) and controls (49.8% male,	Plink. Ten different significance thresholds (pT) from 5 × 10-8 to 1 to identify the optimal set of SNPs with the largest difference between autism cases and controls	DNA methylation from neonatal dried blood samples. Autism diagnosis.	The number of non-missing genotypes contributing to the polygenic score, the first five genetic principal components, sex, experimental array number, six cell	The autism polygenic score significantly associated with two differentially methylated positions (DMPs) (cg02771117, P = 3.14 × 10-8; cg27411982, P = 8.38 × 10-8)	

	T					-+ D < 1 × 10 7	
		mean			composition	at $P < 1 \times 10-7$	
		gestational age			variables,	and 49 DMPs	
		= 39.6 (1.2)).			smoking score,	associated at a	
		Birth years for			gestational age,	more relaxed	
		cases and			and birth weight	"discovery" P	
		controls range				value threshold	
		from 1998 to				$(P < 5 \times 10 - 5)$ .	
		2002.				The autism	
		Participants are				polygenic score	
		all Danish.				was associated	
		Genetic ancestry				with autism	
		was not				case- control	
		reported.				status (R2 =	
		reported.				3.12%, P =	
						9.49E-13)	
Jansen (2020) <sup>34</sup> A	Autism	Inside-Out:	PRSice. 8 P-	DSM-IV	Sex and 8 PCs	The autism	Bonferroni
		Clinical sample	values: <0.01,	autism and	Sex and or es	polygenic score	correction
	•	in the		ADHD		was not	
	1		<0.05, <0.1,				applied, p<
1	•	Netherlands, N	<0.2, <0.3, <0.4,	diagnosis,		significantly	.05/72
CI		= 688, age range	<0.5, <1.	Parent-rated		associated with	
		2-18 (mean =	Selection of	CBCL		ADHD, autism,	
		9.06, SD 2.66).	most optimal p-	syndrome scales		or combined	
		Autism only: n	value threshold			ADHD/autism	
		= 295, 27%	with highest			status, $p = 1.00$ .	
		female. ADHD	explained			Associations	
		only: $n = 280$ ,	variance.			with CBCL	
		25% female.				syndrome scales	
		autism+ADHD				were not	
		sample: $n = 113$ ,				calculated, due	
		24% female.				to low	
		NESCOG				correlations.	
i l		NESCOO				correlations.	

Klei (2021) <sup>25</sup>	Autism diagnosis, other	Netherlands, n = 943, age range 17-79, 63% female. Both Inside-Out and NESCOG participants are Dutch. European ancestry was restricted to European.  Combined SSC, PAGES and eMerge sample 6,022 participants (3,011 matched pairs). Of the cases, 305 carried 1 or more potentially damaging variants. Participants were all American and ancestry was restricted to European.	GBLUP. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed.	Autism diagnosis and potentially damaging variant (PDV) status	No covariates for primary case-control analysis and PDV carrier analyses. Additional analyses of PDV carrier status included 3 or 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score significantly positively associated with autism diagnosis (OR = 1.21, 95% CI 1.15 - 1.28), and negatively associated with potential damaging variant status in autism cases (OR = 0.79, 95% CI 0.70 - 0.89)	Not reported
---------------------------	-------------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--------------

Mattheisen	Autism	iPsych and PGC	Ricopili,	Autism and	5 PCs,	The autism	Bonferroni
$(2022)^{27}$	diagnosis,	participants that	PLINK. Leave-	ADHD	additional	polygenic score	correction for 2
	specific	were included in	P < 0.5	diagnosis	analyses with	distinguished	x 6 tests: p <
	psychiatric	the ADHD and			sex as covariate	ADHD cases	.0042
	classifications	autism				from controls	
		GWAS <sup>10,35</sup> , no				(OR = 1.08,	
		further sample				95% CI = 1.06 –	
		description. The				1.11, p = 7.5 x	
		final combined				0-12), <b>autism</b>	
		dataset				cases from	
		comprised				controls (OR =	
		34,462 cases				1.21, 95% CI =	
		of which 9,315				1.18 - 1.24, p =	
		with autism-				1.2 x 10-48),	
		only, 2,304 with				comorbid	
		autism and				(ADHD+autism	
		ADHD, and				) cases from	
		41,201 controls.				controls (OR =	
		iPsych samples				1.22, 95% CI =	
		are all Danish.				1.16 - 1.29, p =	
		PGC				3.5 x 10-14),	
		participants are				comorbid cases	
		American,				from ADHD-	
		Swedish,				only cases (OR	
		Belgian,				= 1.13, 95% CI	
		German, Irish,				= 1.08 - 1.19, p	
		Dutch, Spanish,				$= 4.7 \times 10-7$ ),	
		Swiss, English,				autism-only	
		and Israeli,				cases from	
		Canadian,				ADHD-only	
		Chinese and				cases (OR =	
		Norwegian.				1.12, 95% CI =	

		C				1.00 1.15	
		Genetic ancestry				1.09 - 1.15, p =	
		was restricted to				1.2 x 10-15,) but	
		European.				not comorbid	
						cases from	
						autism-only	
						cases (OR =	
						1.01, 95% CI =	
						0.96 - 1.6, p =	
						0.68), ADHD +	
						intellectual	
						disability from	
						ADHD without	
						intellectual	
						disability (OR =	
						0.94, 95% CI =	
						0.86 - 1.03, p =	
						.19) and autism	
						+ intellectual	
						disability from	
						autism without	
						intellectual	
						disability (OR =	
						0.89, 95% CI =	
						0.81 - 0.97, p =	
						.0072)	
Schendel	Autism	The total sample	BOLT-LMM.	Psychiatric	Genotype	The adjusted	Not reported.
$(2022)^{36}$	diagnosis	consisted of	Bayesian	diagnoses	waves, 2 PCs,	autism	Tiot reported.
(2022)	Giagnosis	11,339 iPsych	approach, no	obtained from	sex and age	polygenic score	
		participants with		Psychiatric Psychiatric	bek and age	z-score was	
		autism (21.3%	needed.	Central		higher in cases	
		female) and	needed.	Research and		than controls	
		· ·		National			
		20,175 controls		างสนางเกลเ		(difference in	

T. T.	(10, 10, 0, 1)	I	
	(49.4% female).	Patient	means - 0.24
	All participants	Registers,	(95% CI -0.26 -
V V	were Danish	based on the	-0.21), R2 on
a	and born	International	liability scale
b	petween 1981	Classification	0.12,
a	and 2005.	of Diseases	Nagelkerke's
	Genetic ancestry	(ICD-8 and	R2 0.18). ORs
	was restricted to	ICD-10)	of being
	European.	,	diagnosed with
			autism increase
			with increasing
			polygenic score
			deciles,
			achieving 2.4-
			fold (OR =
			2.35, 95% CI
			2.11 - 2.63)
			increase in
			likelihood of
			being
			diagnosed with
			autism in 10th
			decile. This OR
			only slightly decreased after
			adjusting for
			psychiatric
			family history
			(e.g. 2.35 in
			10th decile to
			2.14 (95% CI
			1.91 - 2.40).

Trost (2022) <sup>30</sup>	Autism diagnosis	Cases were 20,517 MSSNG and SSC participants and controls were 2,504 1000G participants Male:female ratio of the MSSNG sample is 4:1. MSSNG and SSC participants are all American. 1000G participants are recruited from 26 different countries all over the world. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	Plink. P ≤ .1	Autism diagnosis	Sex	Higher autism polygenic score was weakly associated with autism susceptibility (OR = 1.03, p = 2.5 x 10-3, Nagelkerke's R2 = 0.0039)	Not needed
Zhang (2022) <sup>37</sup>	Autism diagnosis, specific psychiatric classifications, physical wellbeing	The sample consisted of 3,189 ANGI individuals diagnosed with an eating disorder, 134 of whom were also diagnosed with	Plink. First principal components for polygenic scores built from 10 thresholds (p-values: 5 x 10–8, 1 x 10–6, 1 x 10–4, 0.001,	Eating disorder severity and persistence, eating disorder treatment, suicidal behaviour and self-harm. <b>Autism</b>	Sex and birth year in 3 year intervals, 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not statistically significantly associated with any ED severity, persistence, and treatment	FDR

		oution Of the	0.01 0.05 0.1	dia ama atia			
		autism. Of the	0.01, 0.05, 0.1,	diagnostic		outcomes nor	
		individuals	0.2, 0.5, 1)	status.		suicidal	
		without autism,				behavior and	
		1.9% was male				self-harm (OR	
		and mean birth				range: 0.79–	
		year was				1.02, β range:	
		1988.77 (5.54).				-0.34-0.03,	
		Of the				IRR range:	
		individuals with				0.82–1.06).	
		autism, 5.2%				Autism	
		was male and				polygenic score	
		mean birth year				was not	
		was 1989.04.				associated with	
		All participants				autism	
		were Swedish.				diagnostic	
		Genetic ancestry				status ( $R^2$ =	
		is not reported.				0.0182)	
Autistic traits	•	•	1	1	1	· · · · ·	
Askeland	Autistic traits,	The sample was	PRSice. First	Mother-reported	Genotyping	In association	Bonferroni-
$(2020)^{38}$	specific	MoBa and the	principal	questionnaire	batch and 10	analyses of	corrected for
	psychiatric	size varied per	component for	data at ages 6	PCs. Sex	autism	number of
	classifications,	measure and	PGSs built from	and 18 months	differences	polygenic score	effective tests:
	early	age, ranging	10 thresholds	and 3,5, and 8	assessed by	and repetitive	.05/16
	neurodevelopme	from 6,915 boys	(<5e-8, <1e-6,	years: Social	adding sex as a	behavior, social	.00/10
	nt	and 6,640 girls	<1e-4, <.001,	communication	grouping	communication,	
		at its highest to	<.01, <.05, <.1.,	difficulties,	variable	language	
		1,603 boys and	<.2, <.5. and 1)	repetitive	, arracic	difficulties,	
		1,508 girls at its	(.2, \.3. und 1)	behavior,		motor	
		lowest. Overall,		inattention and		difficulties,	
		the sample		hyperactivity,		hyperactivity	
		contained about		language		and inattention	
		50% girls.		difficulties and		at several ages	

D :: :	1	. 1.111 1
Participants	motor	in childhood,
were assessed at	difficulties	positive
6 and 18		associations
months, 3 years,		were only
5 years and 8		reported for
years. The		language
participants		difficulties at 18
were all		months
Norwegian and		$(\beta = .032,$
genetic ancestry		CI = 0.012-
was restricted to		0.052), motor
European.		difficulties at
		3 years
		$(\beta = .034,$
		CI = 0.012 -
		0.057),
		hyperactivity at
		8 years
		$(\beta = .038,$
		CI = 0.013 -
		0.063), and
		inattention at
		8 years
		$(\beta = .052,$
		CI = 0.027–
		0.077). No other
		significant
		results were
		reported.

Li (2020) <sup>39</sup>	Autistic	188 KONTAKT	PRSice. Five Pts	SRS at baseline.	Polygenic score	No correlation	Multiple testing
2. (2020)	traits, other	participants	(<0.01, <0.05,	Intervention	interaction	between autism	correction
		were included in	<0.1, <0.5, and	outcome after	effect, age	polygenic score	applied, unclear
		polygenic score	<1)	social skills	group, sex, 4	and baseline	which type
		analyses. 99 (26	/	group training,	PCs	SRS $(r =074)$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		female, 56		measured by		or carrier status	
		adolescent and		parent-reported		of clinically	
		43 children)		SRS, carrier		significant	
		participants		status of		CNVs was	
		belonged to the		clinically		observed.	
		active social		significant		Higher autism	
		skills group		CNVs		polygenic score	
		training group				was associated	
		and 89 (26				with inferior	
		female, 60				outcome after	
		adolescent and				social skills	
		29 children)				group training at	
		received				follow up $(\beta =$	
		standard care				6.47, P = 0.019	
		169 participants					
		had outcome					
		data at post-					
		intervention,					
		and 152					
		participants at					
		follow-up. 99					
		(26 female)					
		participants					
		belonged to the					
		active social					
		skills group					
		training group.					

		Participants were all Swedish. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Nayar (2021) <sup>40</sup>	Autistic traits	The sample was the SSC and included a maximum of 2,618 mothers, 2,614 fathers, and 2,621 children with autism. The child sample included 348 female and 2,273 male subjects. Children were between 4 and 18 years old. Participants were American. Genetic ancestry was not reported.	PRScs-auto. No threshold, Bayesian approach	Parental Broad Autism Phenotype Questionnaire scores, proband ADOS, ADI-R, SRS and RBS-R scores	Age, PCs	In all association analyses of autism polygenic score with BAPQ, ADI total scales and subscales, SRS, and RBS-R total in mothers, fathers and probands, only positive associations between mother's polygenic score and mothers BAPQ total and pragmatic scores were reported (effect sizes not available). No other significant	

						results were	
						reported.	
D 1 (2021)41	A4: -4: - 4: - :4 -	ALCDAC	Ditula Tilatara	E	Child's sex and	-	
Reed (2021) <sup>41</sup>	Autistic traits,	ALSPAC	Plink. Thirteen	Emotion	_	The autism	
	emotion	includes a total	different	recognition at	the first 10 PCs	polygenic score	
	recognition	of 14,901	polygenic scores	age 8 assessed		was	
		participants, of	based on p-	using DANVA		significantly	
		which about	values 0.5, 0.4,	and at age 24		positively	
		50% is female.	0.3, 0.2, 0.1,	using the		associated with	
		Detailed	0.05, 0.01,	Emotion		social autistic	
		information	1x10-3, 1x10-4,	Recognition		traits at age 8	
		about the	1x10-5, 1x10-6,	task (ERT).		(SCDC; (b =	
		participants that	1x10-7, 5x10-8	Social autistic		0.05; 95% CI:	
		were included in		traits assessed		0.01 to 0.08; p	
		this study		using the		= <b>.01</b> ), but not	
		specifically was		SCDC		with emotion	
		not reported. All				recognition at	
		participants				age 8 (DANVA)	
		were British.				and age 24	
		Genetic ancestry				(ERT). After	
		was restricted to				correction for	
		European.				multiple testing	
		1				no results	
						remain	
						significant	
Riglin (2021) <sup>42</sup>	Autistic traits,	ALSPAC	PRSice. P <	ADHD and	Not reported	The autism	Not reported
	specific	includes a total	0.05	autism	1	polygenic score	1
	psychiatric	of 14901		symptoms.		does not	
	classifications	participants, of		Parent-rated at		significantly	
		which about		11/12 and 25		associate with	
		50% is female.		years of age		parent- and self-	
		Polygenic Polygenic		(ADHD: SDQ,		reported ADHD	
		scores were		autism: SCDC).		and autism	
	<u> </u>	scores were		autisiii. SCDC).		and audsm	

Serdarevic (2020) <sup>43</sup>	Autistic traits, early neurodevelopme nt	derived for 9912 children. Detailed information about the participants that were included in this study specifically was not reported. All participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European. The sample was Generation R and consisted of 1,174 infants between 9 and 20 weeks old. Mean age was 12.6 weeks (SD = 20). 48.7% were girls. Mothers were on average 31.3 years old. All participants	PRSice . Six different p-value thresholds (pTs) with pT , .001, pT , .05, pT , .10, pT , .50, and pT , 1	Self-rated at 25 years of age (ADHD: SDQ, autism: AQ)  Infant neuromotor scores (modified version of the Touwen's Neurodevelopm ental Examination), autistic traits (SRS)	Child's sex, maternal age and maternal education level	children with higher autism polygenic score had higher autistic trait scores at 6 years of age (pT, .50, b = .08, 95% CI = .03, .13, p = .01). A higher autism polygenic score was positively	Bonferroni correction: p = .05/10 = .005)
		on average 31.3				autism	

was restricted	10	neuromotor
European.		development
		during infancy
		(e.g., with
		GWAS pT, 1, b
		= .048, 95%
		confidence
		interval [CI] =
		.007, .090, p =
		.02) There was a
		relationship
		between autism
		polygenic score
		and overall
		muscle tone
		and, in
		particular, low
		muscle tone
		(e.g., with
		GWAS pT , .50,
		b = .068, 95%
		CI = .015, .120,
		p = .01).
		Stratified
		analysis showed
		that this
		association was
		present in boys
		only. In
		addition, boys
		with a higher
		autism

						polygenic score had less optimal scores in senses and other observations during infancy (e.g., with GWAS pT, .043, b = .003, 95% CI = .003, .084, p = .02)	
Takahashi (2020) <sup>44</sup>	Autistic traits, early neurodevelopme nt	This study included 876 HBC participants born between December 2007 and June 2011. Polygenic score analyses were performed on 726 participants (51.4% boys). All participants were born in Japan and most (99.1%) were of Japanese descent.	PRSice . Different p-values ranging from 5 × 10–8 to greater than .99, of which best fit was selected	Neurodevelopm ental progress at 18 months (MSEL), autistic traits at age 6 (ADOS-2) total scores, social affect and restricted repetitive behavior	4 PCs	The autism polygenic score was associated with autistic traits measured by total scores on the ADOS-2 (R2 = 0.024; β, 0.71; SE, 0.25; corrected P = .03) and social affect (R2 = 0.027; β, 0.63; SE, 0.22; corrected P = .03) but not restricted repetitive behavior (R2 = 0.023; β, 0.19; SE, 0.07;	Bonferroni correction for 8 independent tests

	( I D
	corrected P =
	<b>.06).</b> The
	association of
	autism
	polygenic score
	with infant
	neurodevelopme
	nt was most
	significant for
	gross motor
	skills (R2 =
	$0.015; \beta, -1.25;$
	SE, 0.39;
	corrected P =
	.01) and
	receptive
	language skills
	$(R2 = 0.014; \beta,$
	-1.19; SE, 0.39;
	corrected P =
	.02) measured
	by the MSEL,
	but not with fine
	motor skills,
	expressive
	language
	development
	and visual
	reception (p >=
	.22)

Taylor (2019) <sup>45</sup>	Autistic traits	Participants	Plink. P < .50	Autistic traits	Principal	Autism	FDR
		were 9, 12, 15		(A-TAC)	components,	polygenic score	
		or 18 year-old			sex, and age	significantly	
		CATSS				associated with	
		participants.				autistic traits in	
		Sample size				full sample (B =	
		depended on the				0.043 SE	
		outcome				(0.014), p =	
		measure. Polyge				5.4^10x-3a, R2	
		nic score				$= 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ,	
		analyses				and in a sample	
		included 13412				where people	
		children (50.2%				diagnosed with	
		females).				autism were	
		Participants				excluded (B =	
		were Swedish.				0.036 SE	
		Genetic ancestry				(0.013), p =	
		was restricted to				6.7^10x-3a, R2	
		European.				$= 8.2 \times 10^{-4}$	
Thomas	Autistic traits,	For the SPARK	LDpred.No	SPARK:	Phenotypes	The autism	FDR
$(2022)^{46}$	general	cohort, 6,064	threshold,	Clinical autism	residualized for	polygenic score	
	psychopatholog	participants	bayesian	scales DCDQ,	age, sex	only had a	
	У	were included,	approach	RBS-R, SCQ,		slight positive	
		1,177 of whom		secondary		association	
		were female,		phenotypes		with DCDQ	
		mean age 5 (SD		CBCL		movement β =	
		=2.7). For the		syndrome		0.03 and a	
		ABCD cohort,		scales. ABCD:		slight negative	
		5,285		CBCL		association	
		participants		syndrome scales		with RBS-R	
		were included,				compulsive β =	
		of whom 2,459				<b>-0.03</b> , neither	

		were female. Mean age was 9.9 (SD = 0.6) Participants were American. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				of which was significant after FDR. No other associations were reported	
Torske (2019) <sup>47</sup>	Autistic traits, Cognition and executive function, other	The sample consisted of 176 BUPGEN children, adolescents, and young adults who were referred to a specialized hospital unit for NDs for evaluation of autism (76.1% boys, mean age = 11.7, SD = 3.7. Participants were Norwegian. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	Plink. P = 0.1	Social function (SRS), executive function (Behavior rating inventory of executive function (BRIEFF)), including subscales (Behavior Regulation Index, Metacognition Index, Global Executive Composite), parental educational level	Age, sex, SRS scores, full-scale IQ, and PCs	The autism polygenic score differentiated between the typically developing children (TDC) and the clinical group (autism and subthreshold autism group, n = 176; t(203) = 2.61, 95% CI [1.2 × 10-5], P = 0.010. The polygenic score for autism also differentiated between the TDC and both the subthreshold autism group;	No correction

			t(83) = -2.07,	
			95% CI [-8.6 ×	
			10-5, -1.7 ×	
			10-6], P=	
			0.042 and the	
			autism group	
			t(147) = -2.63,	
			95% CI [-9.1 ×	
			10-5, -1.3 ×	
			10-5], P=	
			0.009. <b>There</b>	
			was a	
			significant	
			group	
			difference	
			between total	
			SRS scores of	
			the Low and	
			the High INT	
			PGS groups;	
			total t-score t =	
			66.0 in the Low	
			PGS group and	
			t = 76.2 in the	
			High PGS	
			group; t(45) =	
			-2.27, 95% CI	
			[-19.11, -1.14],	
			P = 0.028,	
			Cohen's d =	
			<b>0.66</b> . There was	
			a significant	

	1	
		group difference
		between the
		Low and the
		High autism
		polygenic score
		groups in the
		BRI scores from
		the BRIEF; BRI
		t = 62.9 in the
		Low polygenic
		score group and
		t = 71.5 in the
		High polygenic
		score group;
		t(49) = -2.51,
		95% CI
		[-15.45, -1.71],
		P = 0.015,
		Cohen's d =
		0.69. The
		autism
		polygenic score
		was not
		associated with
		parental
		educational
		level (fathers
		education level
		P = 0.784,
		mothers
		education level
		P = 0.798)

Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>	Autistic traits,	2,477 AAB	Bayesian	Autism group:	Age, sex and 20	The autism	Bonferroni
F \ - /	cognition and	participants that	approach, no	parent- or	PCs	polygenic score	correction: p ≤
	executive	fell into four	threshold	caregiver-		was not	0.05/50
	function, other	groups: 1) child	needed	reported ADOS-		associated with	
	,	participants		2, ADOS-G,		autism-related	
		(aged 2–		Developmental,		phenotypes. A	
		17 years)		Dimensional		nominal	
		diagnosed with		and Diagnostic		association with	
		autism or		Interview,		MSEL non-	
		queried for the		Vineland		verbal	
		condition, with		Adaptive		development	
		some multiply-		Behavior Scale,		(r=-0.11,	
		affected		SSP-2. Parent:		p=7.4e-3 in the	
		families; 2)		Communication		autism group	
		parents of		Checklist-Adult.		alone) and a	
		children with		Siblings/unrelat		marginal	
		autism; 3)		ed: Social		association with	
		siblings of		Responsiveness		parental WASI	
		diagnosed		Scale. Cognitive		matrix	
		children who		function in all		reasoning	
		did not		groups: MSEL		(r=0.07,	
		themselves meet		or WISC-IV		p=5.5e-2) is	
		autism criteria;		WASI. Sleep		reported.	
		and 4) unrelated		problems			
		children without		Autism/siblings/			
		an autism		unrelated group:			
		diagnosis and		Children's Sleep			
		with no known		Habits			
		first-degree					
		relatives with an					
		autism					
		diagnosis.					

Specific psychi	iatric classifications	Participants were Australian and predominantly of European ancestry.					
Askeland (2020) <sup>38</sup>	Autistic traits, specific psychiatric classifications, early	The sample was MoBa and the size varied per measure and age, ranging from 6,915 boys and 6,640 girls at its highest to 1,603 boys and 1,508 girls at its lowest. Overall, the sample contained about 50% girls. Participants were assessed at 6 and 18 months, 3 years, 5 years and 8 years. The participants were all Norwegian and genetic ancestry	PRSice. First principal component for polygenic scores built from 10 thresholds (<5e-8, <1e-6, <1e-4, <.001, <.01, <.05, <.1., <.2, <.5. and 1)	Mother-reported questionnaire data at ages 6 and 18 months and 3,5, and 8 years: Social communication difficulties, repetitive behavior, inattention and hyperactivity, language difficulties and motor difficulties	Genotyping batch and 10 PCs. Sex differences assessed by adding sex as a grouping variable	In association analyses of autism polygenic score and repetitive behavior, social communication, language difficulties, motor difficulties, hyperactivity and inattention at several ages in childhood, positive associations were only reported for language difficulties at 18 months $(\beta = .032, CI = 0.012 - 0.052)$ , motor	Bonferroni- correction for number of effective tests: .05/16

		was restricted to				difficulties at	
		European.				3 years	
		European.				$(\beta = .034,$	
						CI = 0.012-	
						0.057),	
						hyperactivity	
						at 8 years	
						$(\beta = .038,$	
						CI = 0.013-	
						0.063), and	
						inattention at	
						8 years	
						$(\beta = .052,$	
						CI = 0.027 -	
						<b>0.077</b> ). No other	
						significant	
						results were	
						reported.	
Havdahl	Specific	The total sample	PRSice. P < .05	Pregnancy-	Genotyping	Maternal	P < .002
$(2022)^{48}$	psychiatric	included up to	111010011 (100	related factors	batch, 10 PCs	autism	1 (1002
(===)	classifications,	14,539 MoBa		related to	0.00011, 10105	polygenic score	
	physical	mothers (mean		maternal		was associated	
	wellbeing, other	`		lifestyle and		with higher	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	= 4.45) and		health		odds of	
		14,897 fathers		behaviors,		experiencing	
		(mean age =		metabolism,		lifetime	
		32.46, SD =		immune system,		depression (OR	
		5.13) depending		other physical		1.12 (1.08 to	
		on the outcome		health		$1.16$ ), p= $9.70 \times$	
		measure.		conditions, and		10-9) and	
		Participants		(indications for)		depression/anxi	
		were		medication use.		ety symptoms	

Norwegi	an.	(OR 1.13 (1.06	
Genetic a		to 1.19), p =	
was restr		$3.62 \times 10^{-5}$ ).	
European	n.	Weak evidence	
		for association	
		with migraine	
		(OR 1.10 (1.02	
		to 1.18), p =	
		.009) and	
		urinary tract	
		infection (OR	
		1.08 (1.02 to	
		1.14), $p = .005$ )	
		during	
		pregnancy. No	
		significant	
		associations	
		with other	
		pregnancy-	
		related factors.	
		Paternal	
		autism	
		polygenic score	
		was not	
		significantly	
		associated with	
		any pregnancy-	
		related factors	
		measured in	
		fathers	

Hjortoj (2019) <sup>49</sup>	Specific	The sample	PGS method not	Clinical	4 PCs, sex, birth	The autism	Not reported
	psychiatric	consisted of	reported, P =	diagnosis of	year, and	polygenic score	1
	classifications	88,637 iPsych	0.05	cannabis use	calendar year	was not	
		individuals, of		disorder		associated with	
		whom 28711				cannabis use	
		were non-				disorder in	
		psychiatric				patients with	
		controls (50.9%				schizophrenia	
		male), 3,533				and controls,	
		had				and a negative	
		schizophrenia				association was	
		(55.9% males),				observed in	
		and 56,393 had				patients with	
		another				other psychiatric	
		psychiatric				disorders	
		disorder (53.0%					
		male).					
		Participants					
		were born					
		between 1981					
		and 2001 and					
		were Danish.					
		Genetic ancestry					
		was not					
		reported.					
Jansen (2021) <sup>50</sup>	Specific	Inside-Out:	PRSice. $p < 1$	Case-control	8 PCs to	The autism	Bonferroni
	psychiatric	Clinical sample		status for any	account for	polygenic score	correction
	classifications	in the		DSM	population	was not	
		Netherlands, N		classification	stratification,	significantly	
		= 688, age range			chip (GSA or	associated with	
		2-18 (mean			Psych Chip, to	case/control	
		9.06, SD 2.66).			correct for array	status for any	

		Autism only: n = 295, 27% female. ADHD only: n = 280, 25% female. autism+ADHD			effects), and sex as covariates	psychiatric classification (OR = 0.97 (95% CI 0.89 - 1.05), R^2 = .03, p = 0.430)	
		sample: n = 113, 24% female. NESCOG control sample, Netherlands, n = 943, age range					
		17-79, 63% female. Both Inside-Out and NESCOG participants are Dutch.					
		European ancestry was restricted to European.					
Joo (2022) <sup>51</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications	A total of 6,592 ABCD children were included in the analyses (5,374 European ancestry), mean age= 9.9 (SD =	PRSice. No threshold	Suicidal ideation (passive and active: KSADS- Comp)	Sex, sample collection site, family income, maternal educational attainment, and self-reported	The autism polygenic score was not associated with suicidal phenotypes in a multiethnic	FDR
		0.6) years, 52.8% girls. Participants			race and ethnicity, 10 PCs	cohort. In a European-only cohort, it was	

		were American. Genetic ancestry was African,				associated with active suicidal ideation (OR,	
		admixed				1.18; 95% CI,	
		American, East Asian,				1.06-1.31; P = .002, but no	
		European, and				other suicidal	
		other.				phenotypes	
$(2021)^{52}$	psychiatric classifications	The sample consisted of 3,882 iPsych (72% males) individuals diagnosed with nocturnal enuresis (median age = 19.96, IQR =	reported. PT<5×10-8, PT <1×10-6, PT <1×10-4, PT <1×10-3, PT <0.01, PT <0.05, PT <0.1, PT <0.2, PT <0.5, and PT	nocturnal enuresis	the percentages of individuals with ADHD, autism spectrum disorder (autism), and affective disorders	polygenic score was not significantly associated with nocturnal enuresis without comorbid autism diagnosis (OR = 1.03,	_
		19.96, IQR = 16.71–22.87) and 31,073 controls (median age = 20.38 years, IQR = 17.13– 23.21). Of the cases, 73.83% had a psychiatric diagnosis for ADHD, autism or AFD. Participants	<0.5, and P1 <1. Most optimal threshold selected			(OR = 1.03, 95% CI 0.99 – 1.07, p = .13)	

		were Danish. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Koomar (2021) <sup>53</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications	The sample consisted of 5,157 probands (81% male, mean age = 11.1 (SD = 5.87)) and 4,985 parents (16% male, mean age = 41.63 (SD = 8.24)). Participants were American. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European for polygenic score analyses.	PRSice. P = 0.0005, 0.05, and 0.5	ARFID score (Nine-Item Avoidant/Restri ctive Food Intake Disorder (ARFID) Screen (NIAS))	10 PCs, phenotypes scaled by sex	The autism polygenic score was nominally positively association with total ARFID score (ρ = 0.058, FDR p = 0.094). No association with appetite factor, fear factor and picky factor.	FDR
Legge (2019) <sup>54</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications	The sample consisted of 7,803 UKB individuals (60% female, mean age = 62.7 (SD = 7.7) that	PRSice, P ≤ .05	Psychotic experiences assessed using MHQ	5 PCs and genotyping array	Weak association between autism polygenic score and any psychotic experience (OR,	Not reported

		reported at least 1 psychotic experience and 147,461 individuals who reported no psychotic experiences (56% female, mean age 64.1				1.07; 95% CI, 1.04-1.10;R2 = 0.001; P = 1.34 × 10-5). These associations were stronger for distressing psychotic experiences. In addition, several	
		(SD = 7.6). After quality control the sample consisted of 6,123 cases and 121,843 controls. Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				associations with different types of psychotic experiences reported	
Legge (2021) <sup>55</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications	1,220 individuals with schizophrenia from 3 sample collections: CardiffCOGS (n = 697),20 Cardiff F-series	analyses: P ≤ .05, sensitivity analyses: : 5 × 10−8,1× 10−7, 1 × 10−6, 1 × 10−5, 1 × 10−4,	Dimensions from factor model retrieved through confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) framework. Factor model	Sex, age at interview, 5 PCs	Autism polygenic score was not associated with factors in a 5-factor model nor a 3-factor model $(p \ge .02)$	Bonferroni correction

		Cardiff		based on Scale			
		Affected-Sib (n		for the			
		`					
		= 140). Of		Assessment of			
		these, 67% was		Positive			
		male.		Symptoms and			
		Participants		the Scale for the			
		were British.		Assessment of			
		Genetic ancestry		Negative			
		was restricted to		Symptoms,			
		European.		cognition			
				(MATRICS			
				Consensus			
				Cognitive			
				Battery domain			
				and composite			
				scores) and IQ			
				(National Adult			
				Reading Test)			
Mattheisen	Autism	iPsych and PGC	Ricopili, PLINK	autism and	5 PCs,	The autism	Bonferroni
$(2022)^{27}$	diagnosis,	participants that	. Leave- P<0.5	ADHD	additional	polygenic score	correction for 2
	specific	were included in		diagnosis	analyses with	distinguished	x 6 tests: p <
	psychiatric	the ADHD and			sex as covariate	ADHD cases	.0042
	classifications	autism				from controls	
		GWAS <sup>10,35</sup> , no				(OR = 1.08,	
		further sample				95% CI = 1.06	
		description. The				-1.11, p = $7.5$ x	
		final combined				<b>0-12</b> ), autism	
		dataset				cases from	
		comprised				controls (OR =	
		34,462 cases				1.21, 95% CI =	
		of which 9315				1.18 - 1.24, p =	
		with autism-				1.2 x 10-48),	

only and 2304	comorbid
with autism and	(ADHD+autism
ADHD, and	) cases from
41,201 controls.	controls (OR =
iPsych samples	1.22, 95% CI =
are all Danish.	1.16 - 1.29, p =
PGC	3.5 x 10-14),
participants are	comorbid cases
American,	from ADHD-
Swedish,	only cases (OR
Belgian,	= 1.13, 95% CI
German, Irish,	= 1.08 - 1.19, p
Dutch, Spanish,	$= 4.7 \times 10-7$ ),
Swiss, English,	autism-only
and Israeli,	cases from
Canadian,	ADHD-only
Chinese and	cases (OR =
Norwegian.	1.12, 95% CI =
Genetic ancestry	1.09 - 1.15, p =
was restricted to	1.2 x 10-15,) but
European.	not comorbid
	cases from
	autism-only
	cases (OR =
	1.01, 95% CI =
	0.96 - 1.6, p =
	0.68), ADHD +
	intellectual
	disability from
	ADHD without
	intellectual
	disability (OR =

						0.94, 95% CI = 0.86 – 1.03, p = .19) and autism + intellectual disability from autism without intellectual disability (OR = 0.89, 95% CI = 0.81 – 0.97, p = .0072)	
Leppert (2019) <sup>56</sup>	Specific psychiatric	The sample consisted of	PRSice. P < .05	Prenatal factors of maternal	10 PCs, analyses of	Maternal autism	Correction based on
	classifications,	ALSPAC		lifestyle,	child polygenic	polygenic score	number of PCs
	Physical	mothers (100%		maternal use of	score included	was positively	that explained
	wellbeing	female, mean		nutritional	10 PCs and	associated with	80% of
		age = 28.5, SD		supplements and	child's sex	severe	covariance: P <
		= 4.8). Sample		medications in		depression (p =	.0024 (.05/21)
		size differed per		pregnancy,		<b>.01</b> ) and	
		outcome		maternal		stressful life	
		measures, with a		illnesses and		events score in	
		maximum of		conditions,		the first $(p = 3 x)$	
		7,486 and a		biomarkers of		10^-4) and third	
		minimum of		nutritional status		(p = .004)	
		2,535 depending		and toxin		trimester of	
		on the outcome		exposure in		pregnancy, but	
		measure.		pregnancy,		no other	
		Participants		perinatal factors		maternal	
		were British.		and conditions		illnesses or	
		Genetic ancestry				conditions,	
		was restricted to				lifestyle-related	
		European.				prenatal	

Ohi (2020) <sup>57</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications, other	Total sample of 131 patients with schizophrenia (50 males/81 females, mean age = 42.9, SD = 13.1 years),	Method not reported. PT ≤.01, PT ≤.05, PT ≤.1, PT ≤.2, PT ≤.5, and PT ≤1	Diagnostic status of schizophrenia, divided into three statuses: diagnosed with schizophrenia, first-degree	Not reported	exposures (p ≥ .09), blood biomarkers (p ≥ .24), and perinatal exposures and conditions (p ≥ .28). The child's autism polygenic score was also not associated with perinatal exposures and conditions (p ≥ .39)  Lower age at onset of schizophrenia was significantly associated with higher autism polygenic score	Bonferroni correction: $(\alpha=.05/5)$
				-		polygenic score (PT≤.05:	
		unaffected first-		person with		beta = $-0.20$ ,	
		degree relatives		schizophrenia,		$P = 7.13 \times 10$	
		(41 parents/12		or healthy		3). The autism	
		siblings/4 offspring, 18		control		polygenic scores were marginally	
		males/39				lower in	
		females, mean				Japanese first-	
		remaies, mean				supunese mst-	

		age = 59.7, SD =13.6 years),				degree relatives compared with	
		and 147 HCs				healthy controls	
		(98 males/49				( maximum at	
		females, mean				$PT \le .01$ :	
		age = $37.2$ , SD				Nagelkerke's	
		=14.1 years). all				R2 = 0.045,	
		participants				P = .013) and	
		were of				patients with	
		Japanese				schizophrenia	
		descent.				patients	
						(maximum at	
						$PT \le 0.2$ :	
						Nagelkerke's	
						R2 = 0.023,	
						P = .084), while	
						there were no	
						significant	
						differences in	
						the polygenic	
						score for	
						European	
						autism between	
						schizophrenia	
						patients and	
						healthy controls	
01 (0000)59	G 10		DD 61 102 DE			(P > .05)	- a .
Qin (2020) <sup>58</sup>	Specific	Total sample of	PRSice. 103 PT	MRI. Facial	Age, sex,	Positive	Bonferroni
	psychiatric	345 participants.	(ranging from 0	emotion	education,	correlation	correction
	classifications, b		to 0.5 with	recognition	disease status,	betweenthe	
	rain measures,			(Chinese Facial	and the first four		
		= 121) mean age	0.005, plus	Affective	components	polygenic score	

Emotio	on $at scan = 24.24$	10-5, 10-4,	Picture System).	and amplitude
recogni		and 10-3	Schizophrenia	of low
	male. Healthy		diagnosis	frequency
	controls (n =			fluctuation
	224) mean age			(ALFF) in left
	at $scan = 34.71$			amygdala in the
	(SD = 12.69),			total sample of
	83 male. Of			schizophrenia
	these, 116 with			cases and
	schizophrenia			controls (r =
	and 212 controls			0.2122,
	were taken into			Puncorrected =
	analyses.			0.0002483,
	Participants			Pcorrected =
	were Chinese.			0.022). Negative
				correlation of
				autism
				polygenic score
				with negative
				emotion
				recognition (r =
				-0.2288,
				Puncorrected =
				0.000149,
				Pcorrected =
				0.0006). No
				associations
				with other brain
				regions nor
				types of
				emotion
				recognition

						were found. No significant associations were observed between the autism polygenic score and schizophrenia at different p value thresholds (p ≥ .368)	
Riglin (2021) <sup>42</sup>	Autistic traits, specific psychiatric classifications	ALSPAC includes a total of 14,901 participants, of which about 50% is female. Polygenic scores were derived for 9,912 children. Detailed information about the participants that were included in this study specifically was not reported. All participants	PRSice. P < 0.05	ADHD and autism symptoms. Parent-rated at 11/12 and 25 years of age (ADHD: SDQ, autism: SCDC). Self-rated at 25 years of age (ADHD: SDQ, autism: AQ)	Not reported	The autism polygenic score does not significantly associate with parent- and self-reported ADHD and autism symptoms (>=.01)	Not reported

			were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Russel	1 (2021) <sup>59</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications	ALSPAC includes a total of 14,901 participants, of which about 50% is female. The sample for the polygenic score analyses consisted of 4,959. Participants received questionnaires at ages 16, 21 and 24. All participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	Plink. 5 × 10-8 , 1 × 10-7, 1 × 10-6, 1 × 10- 5, 1× 10-4, 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5. Most optimal threshold selected	Non suicidal self-harm and suicide attempt	Not reported	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with non-suicidal self-harm (RR = 1.09, 95% CI 1.01 – 1.18) but not suicide attempt (RR = 0.98, 95% CI 0.98 – 1.20)	Not reported

Zhang (2022) <sup>37</sup>	Autism diagnosis, specific psychiatric classifications, physical wellbeing	The sample consisted of 3189 ANGI individuals diagnosed with an eating disorder, 134 of whom were also diagnosed with autism. Of the individuals without autism, 1.9% was male and mean birth	Plink. First principal components for polygenic scores built from 10 thresholds (p-values: 5 x 10–8, 1 x 10–6, 1 x 10–4, 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1)	Eating disorder severity and persistence, eating disorder treatment, suicidal behaviour and self-harm. Autism diagnostic status.	Sex and birth year in 3 year intervals, 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not statistically significantly associated with any ED severity, persistence, and treatment outcomes nor suicidal behaviour and self-harm (OR	FDR
		year was 1988.77 (5.54).				range: 0.79– 1.02, β range:	
		Of the individuals with autism, 5.2%				-0.34-0.03, IRR range: 0.82-1.06).	
		was male and mean birth year was 1989.04.				Autism polygenic score was not	
		All participants were Swedish. Genetic ancestry is not reported.				associated with autism diagnostic status $(R^2 = 0.0182)$	
General psychopa	athology	1	1	1		/	
Gui (2022) <sup>60</sup>	Brain measures, general psychopatholog y, cognition and	The ABCD sample consisted of 4722 (2198	Plink, Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	Cognitive measures: ABCD: NIH toolbox	Age, sex, 10 PCs	Cognitive measures: Autism polygenic score	FDR
	y, cognition and	female, mean	necded	(consisting of 7		was not	

T .	0.04.05		
executive	age = 9.91, SD	tasks and 3	associated with
function	= 0.62). The	composite	cognitive
	UKB sample for	scores). UKB:	measures in
	intelligence	fluid	ABCD (p≥
	scores consisted	intelligence	.804). autism
	of 147175	scores.	polygenic score
	participants		was
	(78561 female,	Behavior:	significantly
	mean age =	ABCD: CBCL	positively
	57.9, SD =8.23)	subscales.	associated with
	and 21523		fluid
	(11180 female,	Brain imaging:	intelligence in
	mean age =	ABCD:	UKB (R2 =
	63.84, SD	morphometric	8,66E-04, p =
	=7.47). ABCD	measures	5,47E-06), and
	participants are	(cortical	females showed
	American and	thickness, sulcal	significantly
	UKB	depth, are and	stronger
	participants are	subcortical	associations
	British. Genetic	volume),	than males (p <
	ancestry was	diffusion tensor	$2.20 \times 10-16, r$
	restricted to	imaging (DTI)	= 0.87).
	European.	and resting-state	Behavior: <b>The</b>
		fMRI	autism
			polygenic score
			was
			significantly
			positively
			associated with
			half of the
			psychiatric
			behaviors (10

						out of 20, the strongest association with CBCL b. Brain imaging: autism polygenic score did not have significant effects on cortical and subcortical structures and resting state fMRI functions in ABCD nor	
Loughnan (2022) <sup>61</sup>	General psychopatholog y, cognition and executive function	Main analyses were performed on a sample of 5,204 children of European ancestry (mean age in months = 119.18 (7.48), 52.7% male). Supplementary analyses were	PRSice. no p-value threshold + comparison to p-value thresholds 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5	Diagnostic assessment: KSADS.  Dimensional assessments: CBCL, general behaviour inventory, prosocial behavior survey,	Age, sex, top 10 genetic principal components, household income, highest parental education, and data collection site	IDPs in UKB  The autism polygenic score associated with youth-reported KSADS depression symptoms $(\Delta R2=0.0024, p$ - adj = $3.9 \times 10-3$ ), suicidality	FDR
		performed on n = 3,964 of non- European ancestry (mean		PQ-B, UPPS-P for children short scale, BISBAS scale,		symptoms $(\Delta R2=0.0013, p - adj = 4.0 \times 10-2)$	

age in months =	NIH toolbox	), and ADHD
118.86 (7.46),	cognition	symptoms
51.6% male)	battery	$(\Delta R2=0.0014, p)$
and on the full		-
sample n = 9168		$adj = 3.7 \times 10-2$
(mean age in		), as well as
months =		CBCL
119.04 (7.47),		inattention
52.2% male).		(ΔR2=0.0016, p
Participants		
were American.		$adj = 1.0 \times 10-2$
		), crystallized
		composite score
		from the NIH
		Toolbox
		$(\Delta R2 = 0.0014, p)$
		(2112 0.001 1, p
		$adj = 3.6 \times 10-2$
		). No
		associations
		were reported
		with other
		scales. Only the association with
		crystallized
		composite score
		from the NIH
		Toolbox®
		remained
		significant in
		multivariable
		analyses.

Pat (2021) <sup>62</sup>	General	The sample for	Plink. Main	P factor and 5	4 PCs and sex	The autism	FDR
	psychopatholog	the primary	analysis: p <	specific		polygenic score	
	y	analysis	.05,	dimensions		was not	
		included 4,814	supplementary	constructed		associated with	
		ABCD children	analyses: other	from CBCL by		the p factor in	
		(2,263 girls;	thresholds from	confirmatory		children of	
		mean age =	p < .5  to  .0001	factor analysis		European	
		9.94, SD =0.61				descent (r =	
		years) of				0.018) nor	
		European				African ancestry	
		ancestry.				(r =011)	
		Supplementary					
		analyses were					
		performed on					
		1,460 children					
		of African					
		ancestry (726					
		girls; mean age					
		= 9.94, SD					
		=0.60 years).					
		Participants					
		were American.					

Riglin (2020) <sup>63</sup>	General	ALSPAC	PRSice. p <	Parent-reported	Not described	The autism	Not reported
	psychopatholog	includes a total	0.05	psychopatholog		polygenic score	_
	у	of 14,901		y, behavioral		was not	
		participants, of		problems,		associated with	
		which about		neurodevelopme		general	
		50% is		ntal problems:		psychopatholog	
		female. Polygen		DAWBA.		y assessed by	
		ic score		Social		factor therapy at	
		analyses were		communication		age 7 and age	
		performed on		problems:		13, nor with	
		5,518		SCDC, all at		specific factors	
		participants.		age 7/8 and 13.		(emotional,	
		Questionnaires		Confirmatory		behavioral, and	
		were		factor analysis		neurodevelopme	
		administered at		was performed		ntal problems),	
		ages 7/8 and 13.		and revealed a		$p \ge 0.313$	
		Participants		bifactor model			
		were British.		including a			
		Genetic ancestry		general			
		was restricted to		psychopatholog			
		European.		y factor "p" as			
				well as three			
				'specifc'			
				"emotional",			
				"behavioural"			
				and			
				"neurodevelopm			
				ental" factors			

Schlag (2022) <sup>64</sup>	General	ALSPAC	Plink and PRS-	ALSPAC:	Sex, age, 10	In the ALSPAC	Matric Spectral
	psychopatholog	includes a total	CS. nine	Parent-reported	PCs	sample, the	Decomposition
	y	of 14,901	thresholds 0.001	low prosociality		autism	
		participants, of	$\leq$ PT $\leq$ 1	(ages 7, 10, 12,		polygenic score	
		which about		13, 17) and peer		was associated	
		50% is female.		problems (ages		with parent-	
		Participants		7, 10, 12, 13,		reported low	
		were 4, 7, 8, 9,		17) (SDQ),		prosociality at	
		10, 11, 12, 13 or		teacher-reported		age 7 (strongest	
		17 years old		low prosociality		association at	
		depending on		(ages 8, 11) and		GWAS p	
		the outcome		peer problems		threshold .7, b =	
		measure. For the		(ages 8, 11)		.0468, p =	
		ALSPAC		(SDQ)		.00044), but no	
		sample, sample				associations at	
		sizes ranged				different ages or	
		from 3,686 to				p value	
		6,570 depending				thresholds were	
		on outcome				reported. In the	
		measure and				TEDS sample,	
		age. For the				autism	
		TEDS sample,				polygenic score	
		sample sizes				was associated	
		ranged from				with parent-	
		2,825 to 7,112.				reported low	
		Participants				prosociality at	
		were British or				age 11	
		Welsh. Genetic				(strongest	
		ancestry was				association at	
		restricted to				GWAS p	
		European.				threshold .9, b =	
						.0551, p =	

Thomas (2022) <sup>46</sup>	Autistic traits, general psychopatholog y	For the SPARK cohort, 6,064 participants were included, 1,177 of whom were female, mean age 5 (SD =2.7). For the ABCD cohort, 5,285	LDpred.No threshold, bayesian approach	SPARK: Clinical autism scales DCDQ, RBS-R, SCQ, secondary phenotypes CBCL syndrome scales. ABCD: CBCL	Phenotypes residualized for age, sex	$.000272$ ) and teacher reported low prosociality at age 7 (strongest association at GWAS p thresholds .9, b = .0368, p = .00146), but no associations at different ages or p value thresholds were reported.  The autism polygenic score only had a slight positive association with DCDQ movement $\beta$ = 0.03 and a slight negative association with DRS P	FDR
		were female, mean age 5 (SD =2.7). For the ABCD cohort,		phenotypes CBCL syndrome scales. ABCD:		DCDQ movement $\beta = 0.03$ and a slight negative	
		participants were included, of whom 2,459 were female. Mean age was 9.9 (SD = 0.6) Participants		syndrome scales		RBS-R compulsive $\beta = -0.03$ , neither of which was significant after FDR. <b>No other</b>	

		were American. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				associations were reported	
Waszczuk (2021) <sup>65</sup>	General psychopatholog y	The sample consisted of 4,717 children (mean age = 9.92 years (S.D. = 0.62, range = 9.00–10.92 years old) of which 47.1% female. Participants were American. Ancestry was restricted to European.	PRSice. Primary p-value threshold = 1, secondary thresholds: 0.01, 0.05, 0.10, and 0.50	Childhood pathology (CBCL). This study uses a formerly established factor structure based on the CBCL, with a general factor (p factor) and 5 lower level factors (externalizing, internalizing, neurodevelopme ntal, somatoform, and detachment)	10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with the general factor $(r = .052)$ , and the lower level factors externalizing $(r = .035)$ , neurodevelopme ntal $(r = .057)$ and detachment $(r = .057)$ . Associations with lower level factors did not remain significant after adjusting for the general factor $(r \le .033)$	FDR
Cognition and ex	xecutive function						

Aguilar-	Cognition and	The sample	PRSice. PT =	Verbal and	Age, sex and 4	The autism	FDR
Lacasana	executive	included 1667	{0.01, 0.05, 0.1,	numerical	PCs	polygenic score	
$(2021)^{66}$	function	BREATHE	0.5, 1}	working		was not	
		children (verbal		memory (n back		significantly	
		working		test) and		associated with	
		memory n=		attention		working	
		1504, numerical		performance		memory	
		working		(ANT).		measures nor	
		memory n=		Outcome		attention	
		1490, standard		measure for		performance	
		error of hit		attention			
		reaction time n		performance is			
		= 1487). Of		the hit reaction			
		these, 46.9%		time standard			
		was female,		error			
		mean age 8.5					
		(SD = 0.9).					
		Participants					
		were Spanish.					
		Genetic ancestry					
		was restricted to					
		European.					
Chang (2020) <sup>67</sup>	Cognition and	The number of	Polygenic	Inhibitory	Sex, IQ and 2	The autism	P-value for the
	executive	participants	scores	control (Stroop	PCs	polygenic score	linear regression
	function	differed	calculated for P-	test), cognitive		was not	was adjusted by
		depending on	value thresholds	flexibility (trail-		significantly	using 10,000
		outcome	between 0 to	making test),		associated with	label-swapping.
		variables, with a	0.5, increasing	working		an overall	Adjusted P <
		maximum of	by 0.00005.	memory (digit		executive	0.05 was
		1,145 for	Most significant	span test),		function	considered as
		working	association	ADHD		measure (p =	significant
		memory and a	reported	symptoms		5.805E-02,	

minimum of	(Clinical	adjusted p =
913 for	Diagnostic	0.4432) nor
cognitive	Interview	individual
flexibility. Of	Scale). Total	executive
these, 83.2%	executive	function
were boys.	function based	components (p
Participants	on principle	≤ 1.461E-03,
were aged	component of	adjusted p ≤
between 6 and	all tests.	0.0616). The
17 years (mean		autism
= 10.1, SD =		polygenic score
2.6).		was
Participants		significantly
were Chinese.		associated with
		ADHD
		symptoms (P-
		value =
		2.549E-03,
		adjust P =
		0.038, r 2 =
		0.765%, beta =
		0.2618 (se =
		0.0866))

Cullen (2021) <sup>68</sup>	Cognition and	The sample	LDpred. No	Gestational age	5 PCs, genotype	The autism	Bonferroni
	executive	included 4,934	threshold,	at birth and	chip, plate, sex,	polygenic score	correction
	function, other	individuals. Of	Bayesian	cognition at four	and SES	was not	
		these, 1,858	approach	(PARCA		significantly	
		were from		`		associated with	
		monozygotic				gestational age	
		twin pairs and				at birth $(b = -$	
		3,076 were from				$.012, \overrightarrow{SE} = .014,$	
		dizygotic twin				p = .384) or	
		pairs (1,596				cognition at age	
		same-sex pairs				4 (b = .007, SE)	
		and 1480				= .014, p =	
		opposite-sex				.614)	
		pairs).				ŕ	
		Participants					
		were born					
		between 1994					
		and 1996 and					
		were British or					
		Welsh. Genetic					
		ancestry was					
		restricted to					
		European.					

Gui (2022) <sup>60</sup>	Brain measures,	The ABCD	Plink, Bayesian	Cognitive	Age, sex, 10	Cognitive	FDR
, ,	general	sample	approach, no	measures:	PCs	measures:	
	psychopatholog	consisted of	threshold	ABCD: NIH		Autism	
	y, cognition and		needed	toolbox		polygenic score	
	executive	female, mean		(consisting of 7		was not	
	function	age = 9.91, SD		tasks and 3		associated with	
		= 0.62). The		composite		cognitive	
		UKB sample for		scores). UKB:		measures in	
		intelligence		fluid		ABCD (p≥	
		scores consisted		intelligence		.804). autism	
		of 147,175		scores.		polygenic score	
		participants				was	
		(78,561 female,		Behavior:		significantly	
		mean age =		ABCD: CBCL		positively	
		57.9, SD =8.23)		subscales.		associated with	
		and 21523				fluid	
		(11,180 female,		Brain imaging:		intelligence in	
		mean age =		ABCD:		UKB (R2 =	
		63.84, SD		morphometric		8,66E-04, p =	
		=7.47). ABCD		measures		5,47E-06), and	
		participants are		(cortical		females showed	
		American and		thickness, sulcal		significantly	
		UKB		depth, are and		stronger	
		participants are		subcortical		associations	
		British. Genetic		volume),		than males (p <	
		ancestry was		diffusion tensor		$2.20 \times 10-16, r$	
		restricted to		imaging (DTI)		= 0.87).	
		European.		and resting-state		Behavior: The	
				fMRI		autism	
						polygenic score	
						was	
						significantly	

						positively associated with half of the psychiatric behaviors (10 out of 20, the strongest association with	
						CBCL b. Brain	
						imaging: autism polygenic score	
						did not have	
						significant	
						effects on	
						cortical and	
						subcortical structures and	
						resting state	
						fMRI functions	
						in ABCD nor	
						IDPs in UKB	
Hughes (2021) <sup>69</sup>	Cognition and	ALSPAC	Plink. $p < 5 \times$	Educational	Gender and 20	Autism	Not reported
	executive	includes a total	10-7	attainment at	PCs	polygenic score	
	function	of 14,901		end of year 11		was not	
		participants, of		(General		significantly	
		which about		Certificate of		associated with	
		50% is female.		Secundary		educational	
		A total of 6,113		Education		attainment (B =	
		participants		(GCSE) and		-1.78, 95% CI	
		were included in		school absence		= -3.84 = 0.28	
		this study (49.99% male,		(National Pupil Database)		nor absences (% increase per SD	
		(47.77% IIIaie,		Database)		increase per SD	

	maternal age mean = 28.27, SD = 4.68, range 15 - 44.1). Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				increase in polygenic score = -0.41, 95% CI = -2.43 – 1.65)	
1 2 2 2	oatholog were performed on a sample of 5,204 children	PRSice. No p-value threshold + comparison to p-value thresholds 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5	Diagnostic assessment: KSADS.  Dimensional assessments: CBCL, general behaviour inventory, prosocial behavior survey, PQ-B, UPPS-P for children short scale, BISBAS scale, NIH toolbox cognition battery	Age, sex, top 10 genetic principal components, household income, highest parental education, and data collection site		FDR

		52.2% male). Participants				(ΔR2=0.0016, p	
		were American.				adj = $1.0 \times 10-2$ ), <b>crystallized</b>	
						composite score from the	
						NIH Toolbox (ΔR2=0.0014, p	
						adj = $3.6 \times 10-2$	
						associations	
						were reported with other	
						scales. Only the association with	
						crystallized composite score	
						from the NIH	
						Toolbox® remained	
						significant in multivariable	
Drice (2020)70	Cognition and	The comple	DD Ciaa yawyina	Word rooding	Statistically	analyses. The autism	0.05/7 = 0.00714
Price (2020) <sup>70</sup>	Cognition and executive	The sample consisted of 624	PRSice. varying P-value	Word reading (WISC-III) or	Statistically significant PCs	polygenic score	.03/7 = .00/14
	function	participants of	thresholds (eg,	Fourth edition		was not	
		the Toronto	$P \le .01, .02, .03,$	Reading subtest)		significantly	
		cohort (453	.04, .1, .2, .3, .4,			associated with	
		probands, 171	.5,, 1)			word reading in	
		siblings, mean age = 9.83, SD				a Toronto sample (b = .72,	
		age – 7.65, 5D				sample $(072,$	

Torske (2019) <sup>47</sup>	Autistic traits,	= 2.53, 40.98% female) and 4629 PNC participants (4494 probands, 135 siblings, mean age 13.76, SD = 3.63, 49.43% females). Participants were American or Canadian. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European-Caucasian. The sample	Plink. P = 0.1	Social function	Age, sex, SRS	$R^2 = 6.82 \text{ x}$ $10^{-3}$ , $p = 2.36$ $x 10^{-1}$ ) nor the Philadelphia Neurodevelopm ental Cohort (b $= .03$ , $R^2 =$ $2.05 \times 10^{-2}$ , $p$ $= 6.58 \times 10^{-2}$ )	No correction
	Cognition and executive	consisted of 176 BUPGEN		(SRS), executive	scores, full- scale IQ, and	polygenic score differentiated	
	function, other	children,		function	PCs	between the	
	Tunction, other	adolescents, and		(Behavior	1 Cs	typically	
		young adults		rating		developing	
		who were		inventory of		children (TDC)	
		referred to a		executive		and the clinical	
		specialized		function		group (autism	
		hospital unit for		(BRIEFF)),		and	
		NDs for		including		subthreshold	
		evaluation of		subscales		autism group, n	
		autism (76.1%		(Behavior		= 176; t(203) =	
		boys, mean age		Regulation		2.61, 95% CI	
		= 11.7, SD =		Index,		$[1.2 \times 10-5]$	

3.7. Participants	Metacognition	8.7 × 10–5 ], P
were	Index, Global	= 0.010. The
Norwegian.	Executive	polygenic score
Genetic ancestry	Composite),	for autism also
was restricted to	parental	differentiated
European.	educational	between the
	level	TDC and both
		the subthreshold
		autism group;
		t(83) = -2.07,
		95% CI [-8.6 ×
		10-5, -1.7 ×
		10-6], P=
		0.042 and the
		autism group
		t(147) = -2.63,
		95% CI [-9.1 ×
		10-5, -1.3 ×
		10-5], P=
		0.009. There
		was a
		significant
		group difference
		between total
		SRS scores of
		the Low and the
		High INT PGS
		groups; total t-
		score t = 66.0 in
		the Low PGS
		group and t =
		76.2 in the High

			PGS group;
			t(45) = -2.27,
			95% CI
			[-19.11, -1.14],
			P = 0.028,
			Cohen's d =
			0.66. There was
			a significant
			group
			difference
			between the
			Low and the
			High autism
			polygenic score
			groups in the
			BRI scores
			from the
			BRIEF; BRI t
			= 62.9 in the
			Low polygenic
			score group
			and $t = 71.5$ in
			the High
			polygenic score
			group; t(49) =
			-2.51, 95% CI
			[-15.45, -1.71],
			P = 0.015,
			Cohen's d =
			<b>0.69.</b> The
			autism
			polygenic score

Von (2021)31	Autistia traita	2.477.AAD	ChavasD	Aution anoun	age say and 20	was not associated with parental educational level (fathers education level $P = 0.784$ , mothers education level $P = 0.798$ )	Donformoni
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>	Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other	2,477 AAB participants that fell into four groups: 1) child participants (aged 2–17 years) diagnosed with autism or queried for the condition, with some multiply-affected families; 2) parents of children with autism; 3) siblings of diagnosed children who did not themselves meet	Sbayesian approach, no threshold needed	Autism group: parent- or caregiver- reported ADOS- 2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview, Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale, SSP-2. Parent: Communication Checklist-Adult. Siblings/unrelat ed: Social Responsiveness Scale. Cognitive function in all groups: MSEL or WISC-IV	age, sex and 20 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not associated with autism-related phenotypes. A nominal association with MSEL nonverbal development (r=-0.11, p=7.4e-3 in the autism group alone) and a marginal association with parental WASI matrix reasoning (r=0.07,	Bonferroni correction: p ≤ 0.05/50

		autism criteria; and 4) unrelated children without an autism diagnosis and with no known first-degree relatives with an autism diagnosis. Participants were Australian and predominantly of European ancestry.		WASI. Sleep problems Autism/siblings/ unrelated group: Children's Sleep Habits		p=5.5e-2) is reported.	
Physical wellbein Dennison (2021) <sup>71</sup>	Physical wellbeing	95,744 UKB participants (56.4% female, mean age at recruitment (SD) 56.2 (7.8). Polygenic score analyses were based on a sample of N = 76,409. Participants were British. Genetic ancestry	PRSice. Primary: p < .05, sensitivity: p<5x10-8, 5x10-6, 5x10-4, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5	Accelerometer-measured physical activity	age, sex, BMI, and genotyping array, 5PCs	The autism polygenic score was associated with reduced levels of overall activity (p = .01), reduced time spent walking (p = .02), increased time spent in sedentary activity (p = 2.5 x 10^6), and shorter duration	FDR

		1		T		1	
		was restricted to				of sleep (p =	
		European.				.01)	
Havdahl	Specific	The total sample	PRSice. P < .05	Pregnancy-	Genotyping	Maternal autism	P < .002
$(2022)^{48}$	psychiatric	included up to	11.010011 1.00	related factors	batch, 10 PCs	polygenic score	1 11002
(2022)	classifications,	14,539 MoBa		related to	04.01, 10105	was associated	
	physical	mothers (mean		maternal		with higher	
	wellbeing, other	`		lifestyle and		odds of	
	wellbeing, other	= 4.45) and		health		experiencing	
		14,897 fathers		behaviors,		lifetime	
		· ·		metabolism,		depression (OR	
		(mean age =		,		* '	
		32.46, SD =		immune system,		1.12 (1.08 to	
		5.13) depending		other physical		1.16), p= $9.70 \times$	
		on the outcome		health		10-9) and	
		measure.		conditions, and		depression/anxi	
		Participants		(indications for)		ety symptoms	
		were		medication use.		(OR 1.13 (1.06	
		Norwegian.				to 1.19), p =	
		Genetic ancestry				$3.62 \times 10-5$ ).	
		was restricted to				Weak evidence	
		European.				for association	
						with migraine	

	(OR 1.10 (1.02
	to 1.18), p =
	.009) and
	urinary tract
	infection (OR
	1.08 (1.02 to
	1.14), p = .005)
	during
	pregnancy. No
	significant
	associations
	with other
	pregnancy-
	related factors.
	Paternal
	autism
	polygenic score
	was not
	significantly
	associated with
	any pregnancy-
	related factors
	measured in
	fathers

Hunjan (2021) <sup>72</sup>	Physical wellbeing	163619 UKB participants (45.1% male, mean age = 56.22 (SD = 7.89), range 40-72). Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	PRSice <0.2	Nutrient intake: alcohol, protein, carbohydrates, fats, fibre, food weight, folate, calcium, carotene, iron, vitamin B6, B12, C, D, E	age, sex, 6 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not associated with nutrient intake (p > 0.05/132)	Bonferroni correction: 0.05/132, 0.01/132, 0.001/132
Leppert (2019) <sup>56</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications, Physical wellbeing	The sample consisted of ALSPAC mothers (100% female, mean age = 28.5, SD = 4.8). Sample size differed per outcome measures, with a maximum of 7486 and a minimum of 2535 depending on the outcome measure. Participants were British. Genetic ancestry	PRSice. P < .05	Prenatal factors of maternal lifestyle, maternal use of nutritional supplements and medications in pregnancy, maternal illnesses and conditions, biomarkers of nutritional status and toxin exposure in pregnancy, perinatal factors and conditions	10 PCs, analyses of child polygenic score included 10 PCs and child's sex	Maternal autism polygenic score was positively associated with severe depression (p = .01) and stressful life events score in the first (p = 3 x 10^-4) and third (p = .004) trimester of pregnancy, but no other maternal illnesses or conditions, lifestyle-related	Correction based on number of PCs that explained 80% of covariance: P < .0024 (.05/21)

		was restricted to				prenatal	
						-	
		European.				exposures (p ≥	
						.09), blood	
						biomarkers (p	
						≥ .24), and	
						perinatal	
						exposures and	
						conditions ( $p \ge$	
						<b>.28</b> ). The child's	
						autism	
						polygenic score	
						was also not	
						associated with	
						perinatal	
						exposures and	
						conditions (p $\geq$	
						.39)	
Niarchou	Physical	A total of 349	PRS-CS. No	Clinical	Sex, age at the	No significant	Not reported
$(2022)^{73}$	wellbeing	individuals with	threshold,	diagnosis of	time of chart	association	r vot reported
(2022)	wentering	autism from the	Bayesian	insomnia	review, and 10	between autism	
		BioVU sample	approach	Insomma	PCs	polygenic score	
		and 1049	арргоасп		1 Cs	and insomnia in	
		individuals of				MSSNG (SMD	
		the MSSNG				03, 95% CI -	
						,	
		sample. There				1.17 - 0.11) nor	
		were $N = 253$				BioVU (SMD =	
		individuals				.12, 95% CI10	
		(81% males,				34). The	
		mean age =				pooled	
		17.2(SD = 5.0),				association was	
		age range= 5–38				also not	
		years) with				significant	

		1	1		
insomnia				(random effects	
MSSNG	dataset			model,	
and N =	796			SMD= $0.02, p =$	
controls	(79%			0.79)	
males, m	ean age				
= 17.3 (S)	D =				
6.9), age	range=				
5–71 yea	rs)				
while the	re were				
N = 228					
individua	ls with				
insomnia	in				
BioVU (	79%				
males, m	ean				
age= 20.	8 (SD =				
9.6), age	range=				
6–68 yea	rs) and				
N = 121					
controls	(77%				
males, m	ean age				
= 22.5 (S)					
12.2), ag	e				
range= 7	-66).				
Participa	nts				
were Du					
America	1.				
Genetic	incestry				
was restr	icted to				
European	1.				

Ohi (2021) <sup>74</sup>	Physical	The target	PRSice-2. PT ≤	Sleep	Not reported	The autism	Bonferroni
	wellbeing	dataset	$0.01, PT \le 0.05,$	disturbance	_	polygenic score	correction
		consisted of	$PT \le 0.1, PT \le$	disturbance in		was not	
		data from 9683	$0.5$ , and $PT \le 1$	children (total,		associated with	
		children aged 9-		disorders of		overall sleep	
		10 years with a		arousal or		disturbance in	
		mean age of 9.9		nightmares,		European and	
		years, 52.3% of		disorders of		trans-ancestry	
		whom were		initiating and		children (p >	
		males.		maintaining		.05). Autism	
		Participants		sleep, disorders		polygenic score	
		were American.		of excessive		was weakly	
		50.5% were		somnolence,		positively	
		participants of		sleep breathing		associated with	
		European		disorders, sleep		disorders of	
		ancestry (n =		hyperhidrosis,		initiating and	
		4920)		sleep-wake		maintaining	
				transition		sleep	
				disorders; The		(maximum at	
				Sleep		$PT \le 0.1$ : $R2 =$	
				Disturbance		0.0013, p =	
				Scale for		0.012), but not	
				Children		disorders of	
				(SDSC))		arousal or	
						nightmares,	
						excessive	
						somnolence,	
						sleep breathing	
						disorders, sleep	
						hyperhidrosis,	
						or sleep-wake	

						transition disorders	
Werner (2022) <sup>75</sup>	Physical wellbeing	1,004 TOP patients with severe mental disorder (SCZ N = 595, 42.5% female, mean age 31.6 (10.1), BD n = 409, 58.7% female, mean age = 35.0 (12.4)) and N = 947 healthy controls (46% female, mean age = 34.0 (9.3), out of which polygenic score data was available for N	PRSice-2. P = 0.05	Immune marker levels	Age at blood sampling, sex, freezer storage time, genetic principal components 1 and 2 and genetic batch	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with 1 out of 7 immune marker levels (r2 =08, p = .04)	P = 0.0125 $(0.05/4)$

		= 1,802 individuals. Participants were Norwegian. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Zhang (2022) <sup>37</sup>	Autism diagnosis, specific psychiatric classifications, physical wellbeing	The sample consisted of 3189 ANGI individuals diagnosed with an eating disorder, 134 of whom were also diagnosed with autism. Of the individuals without autism, 1.9% was male and mean birth year was 1988.77 (5.54). Of the individuals with autism, 5.2%	Plink. First principal components for polygenic scores built from 10 thresholds (p-values: 5 x 10–8, 1 x 10–6, 1 x 10–4, 0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1)	Eating disorder severity and persistence, eating disorder treatment, suicidal behaviour and self-harm. Autism diagnostic status.	Sex and birth year in 3 year intervals, 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not statistically significantly associated with any ED severity, persistence, and treatment outcomes nor suicidal behaviour and self-harm (OR range: 0.79–1.02, β range: -0.34–0.03, IRR range: 0.82–1.06).	FDR

Early neurodevel	opment	was male and mean birth year was 1989.04. All participants were Swedish. Genetic ancestry is not reported.				Autism polygenic score was not associated with autism diagnostic status $(R^2 = 0.0182)$	
Askeland (2020) <sup>38</sup>	Autistic traits, specific psychiatric classifications, early neurodevelopme nt	The sample was MoBa and the size varied per measure and age, ranging from 6915 boys and 6640 girls at its highest to 1603 boys and 1508 girls at its lowest. Overall, the sample contained about 50% girls. Participants were assessed at 6 and 18 months, 3 years,	PRSice. First principal component for polygenic scores built from 10 thresholds (<5e-8, <1e-6, <1e-4, <.001, <.01, <.05, <.1., <.2, <.5. and 1)	Mother-reported questionnaire data at ages 6 and 18 months and 3,5, and 8 years: Social communication difficulties, repetitive behavior, inattention and hyperactivity, language difficulties and motor difficulties	Genotyping batch and 10 PCs. Sex differences assessed by adding sex as a grouping variable	In association analyses of autism polygenic score and repetitive behaviour, social communication, language difficulties, motor difficulties, hyperactivity and inattention at several ages in childhood, positive associations	Bonferroni- correction for number of effective tests: .05/16

		 		1
5 years			were only	
years.			reported for	
particip	pants	l	anguage	
were all	1		difficulties at	
Norwe	gian and	1	18 months	
genetic	ancestry		$\beta = .032,$	
was res	tricted to		CI = 0.012-	
Europe	an.		0.052), motor	
			difficulties at	
		3	3 years	
			$\beta = .034,$	
			CI = 0.012-	
			0.057),	
		l	nyperactivity at	
			8 years	
			$\beta = .038,$	
			CI = 0.013 -	
		(	0.063), and	
			nattention at	
		8	8 years	
			$\beta = .052,$	
			CI = 0.027 -	
			0.077). No other	
			significant	
			results were	
			reported.	

Hannigan	Early	Sample size	PRSice. First	Age of first	Genotype batch,	The autism	FDR and
$(2023)^{76}$	neurodevelopme	varies per	Principal	walking, motor	10 PCs, sex as	polygenic score	Bonferroni
	nt	outcome	components for	delays at 18	groupig variable	was	
		measure, with a	polygenic scores	months, age at		significantly	
		maximum of	built from 10	first words, age		positively	
		10848 males	thresholds	at first		associated with	
		and 9475	(<5e-8, <1e-6,	sentences, rate		age at first	
		females and a	<1e-5, <.001,	(age at first		walking in	
		minimum of	<.005, <.01,	sentences – age		females and	
		1654 males and	<.1., <.5. and	at first words),		male/female	
		1523 females	<1)	language delays		sample, but not	
		depending on		at 3 years,		a male sample.	
		the outcome		concern about		It was not	
		measure.		development		associated with	
		Participants				motor delays at	
		were assessed at				18 months, age	
		different ages.				at first words,	
		Participants				age at first	
		were				sentences, rate	
		Norwegian.				(age at first	
		Genetic ancestry				sentences-age at	
		was restricted to				first words),	
		European.				language delays	
						at 3 years and	
						concern	
						regarding	
						development	
						expressed by	
						others at 3 years	

Fish (2021) <sup>77</sup>	Early	264 BASIS-	PRSice. P =	Pupillary light	2 PCs,	Amplitude: No	Not for primary
	neurodevelopme	STAARS	.021	reflex amplitude	percentage of	significant	analyses,
	nt	participants that		and latency	missing trials,	association	Bonferroni
		either had a		development (9-	baseline pupil	between autism	correction
		sibling with		to 14-/14- 24-	size,	polygenic score	reported as
		formal autism		month)	,	and amplitude	comparison
		diagnosis (n =		•		change (9 to 14	•
		195) or no				months:b =	
		autism family				.02,SE	
		history $(n = 69)$ .				=0.08,95%CI=-	
		A total of 190				0.14,0.18;14	
		infants were				to24 months: b	
		included in				= .07, SE $= 0.08$ ,	
		polygenic score				95% CI =0.09	
		analyses.				,0.23).	
		Measurements					
		were taken at 9,				Latency: higher	
		14 and 24				autism	
		months old.				polygenic score	
		Participants				was associated	
		were British.				with a smaller	
		Genetic ancestry				decrease in	
		was restricted to				latency between	
		European.				9 and 14 months	
						(b = .16, SE =	
						0.08, 95% CI =	
						0.31, 0.002).	
						Within this	
						subset of	
						infants, latency	
						did not	
						significantly	

						change between 14 and 24 months (b =08, SE = 0.08, 95% CI = -0.23, 0.08); autism polygenic score was not significantly associated with	
						individual differences in	
						change profile	
						(b =14, SE = 0.08, 95% CI =	
						-0.29, 0.01)	
Gui (2020) <sup>78</sup>	Early	A total of 335	LDpred. $P = 1$	Peak look	Number of valid		Not reported
,	neurodevelopme		1	duration at face	trials, age in	polygenic score	1
	nt	STAARS		stimulus at 14	months, 5 PCs.	was not	
		participants		months	Analyses	associated with	
		were included			repeated with	peak-look	
		(171 male, mean			Phase as	durations at the	
		age in months =			covariate	face (F(1,179)	
		14.37 (1.17)), of				= 0.017, p =	
		which 250 with				.898, n2p <	
		elevated				0.001) nor mean	
		likelihood of				peak look to all	
		autism (131				stimuli	
		males) and 85				(F(1,179) = 550	
		with typical				0.341, p = .559,	
		likelihood of				n2p = 0.002)	
		autism based on					

		family history of first-degree relatives. Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Portugal. (2021) <sup>79</sup>	Early neurodevelopme nt	510 infants (245 female), mean age in days = 167.7 (SD = 8.59, range = 145 - 203). Participants were Swedish. Genetic ancestry was mostly European.	PRS-CS. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	Pupillary light reflex	Age, sex, year of data collection, number of valid trials	The autism polygenic score was not significantly associated with pupillary light reflex relative constriction (b = .01, p = .830), baseline pupil size	Not reported

Riglin (2022) <sup>29</sup>	Early	Primary	PRSice. First	Motor	Not reported	The autism	Bonferroni
	neurodevelopme	analyses sample	principal	development:	1	polygenic score	correction:
	nt	size varied from	component of	Fine and gross		was not	0.05/11 = 0.005
		5880-6328	PGS at various	motor skills		significantly	
		depending on	threshold.	(Denver		associated with	
		the outcome		Developmental		toddler	
		variable.		Screening Test),		development	
		Participants		language		(domains:	
		were assessed at		development		motor,	
		18 months and 2		(MacArthur		language, and	
		years old.		Communicative		temperament, p	
		Participants		Development		≥ .06)	
		were British.		Inventory),			
		Genetic ancesty		temperament			
		was restricted to		(Carey			
		European.		Temperament			
				Scale)			
Serdarevic	Autistic traits,	The sample was	PRSice . Six	Infant	Child's sex,	Children with	Bonferroni
$(2020)^{43}$	early	Generation R	different p-value	neuromotor	maternal age	higher autism	correction: p =
	neurodevelopme	and consisted of	thresholds (pTs)	scores	and maternal	polygenic score	.05/10 = .005)
	nt	1174 infants	with pT, .001,	(modified	education level	had higher	
		between 9 and	pT,.01,pT,	version of the		autistic trait	
		20 weeks old.	.05, pT , .10, pT	Touwen's		scores at 6 years	
		Mean age was	, .50, and pT , 1	Neurodevelop		of age (pT, .50,	
		12.6 weeks (SD		mental		b = .08, 95% CI	
		= 20). 48.7%		Examination),		= .03, .13, p =	
		were girls.		autistic traits		.01). <b>A higher</b>	
		Mothers were		(SRS)		autism	
		on average 31.3				polygenic score	
		years old. All				was positively	
		participants				associated with	
		were Dutch.				less optimal	

T~ .	
Genetic ancestry	overall
was restricted to	neuromotor
European.	development
	during infancy
	(e.g., with
	GWAS pT, 1,
	<b>b</b> = .048, 95%
	confidence
	interval [CI] =
	.007, .090, p =
	.02) There was
	a relationship
	between autism
	polygenic score
	and overall
	muscle tone
	and, in
	particular, low
	muscle tone
	(e.g., with
	GWAS pT,
	.50, b = .068,
	95% CI = .015,
	.120, p = .01 .
	Stratified
	analysis showed
	that this
	association was
	present in boys
	only. In
	addition, boys
	with a higher

						autism polygenic score had less optimal scores in senses and other observations during infancy (e.g., with GWAS pT, .043, b = .003, 95% CI = .003, .084, p = .02)	
Takahashi (2020) <sup>44</sup>	Autistic traits, early neurodevelopme nt	This study included 876 Hamamatsu Birth Cohort for Mothers and Children (HBC Study) participants born between December 2007 and June 2011. Polygenic score analyses were performed on 726 participants (51.4% boys). All participants were born in Japan and most (99.1%) were of	PRSice. Different p- values ranging from 5 × 10-8 to greater than .99, of which best fit was selected	Neurodevelop mental progress at 18 months (Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL)), autistic traits at age 6 (Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS-2) total scores, social affect and restricted repetitive behavior	4 PCs	The autism polygenic score was associated with autistic traits measured by total scores on the ADOS-2 (R2 = 0.024; β, 0.71; SE, 0.25; corrected P = .03) and social affect (R2 = 0.027; β, 0.63; SE, 0.22; corrected P = .03) but not restricted repetitive behavior (R2 = 0.023; β, 0.19;	Bonferroni correction for 8 independent tests

Т		SE 0.07:
	apanese	SE, 0.07;
d	lescent.	corrected P =
		.06). The
		association of
		autism
		polygenic score
		with infant
		neurodevelopme
		nt was most
		significant for
		gross motor
		skills (R2 =
		$0.015; \beta, -1.25;$
		SE, 0.39;
		corrected P =
		.01) and
		receptive
		language skills
		$ (R2 = 0.014; \beta,  $
		-1.19; SE,
		0.39; corrected
		$\mathbf{P} = .02)$
		measured by
		the MSEL, but
		not with fine
		motor skills,
		expressive
		language
		development
		and visual
		reception (p >=
		.22)

Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>	Autistic traits,	2,477 AAB	SbayesR.	Autism group:	age, sex and 20	The autism	Bonferroni
1 ap (2021)	cognition and	participants that	Bayesian	parent- or	PCs	polygenic score	correction: p ≤
	executive	fell into four	approach, no	caregiver-	1 Cs	was not	0.05/50
	function, other	groups: 1) child	threshold	reported ADOS-		associated with	0.03/30
	runction, other	participants	needed	2, ADOS-G,		autism-related	
		(aged 2–	needed	Developmental,		phenotypes. <b>A</b>	
		17 years)		Dimensional		nominal	
		· /				association	
		diagnosed with autism or		and Diagnostic		with MSEL	
				Interview,			
		queried for the		Vineland		non-verbal	
		condition, with		Adaptive		development	
		some multiply-		Behavior Scale,		(r=-0.11,	
		affected		SSP-2. Parent:		p=7.4e-3 in the	
		families; 2)		Communication		autism group	
		parents of		Checklist-Adult.		alone) and a	
		children with		Siblings/unrelat		marginal	
		autism; 3)		ed: Social		association with	
		siblings of		Responsiveness		parental WASI	
		diagnosed		Scale. Cognitive		matrix	
		children who		function in all		reasoning	
		did not		groups: MSEL		(r=0.07,	
		themselves meet		or WISC-IV		p=5.5e-2) is	
		autism criteria;		WASI. Sleep		reported.	
		and 4) unrelated		problems			
		children without		Autism/siblings/			
		an autism		unrelated group:			
		diagnosis and		Children's Sleep			
		with no known		Habits			
		first-degree					
		relatives with an					
		autism					
		diagnosis.					

		Participants were Australian and predominantly of European ancestry.					
Emotion recognit		T	I	T	I	T	T
Qin (2020) <sup>58</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications, b rain measures, Emotion recognition	Total sample of 345 participants. Patients with schizophrenia (n = 121) mean age at scan = 24.24 SD = 9.03), 36 male. Healthy controls (n = 224) mean age at scan = 34.71 (SD = 12.69), 83 male. Of these, 116 with schizophrenia and 212 controls were taken into analyses. Participants were Chinese.		MRI. Facial emotion recognition (Chinese Facial Affective Picture System). Schizophrenia diagnosis	Age, sex, education, disease status, and the first four components	Positive correlation between autism polygenic score and amplitude of low frequency fluctuation (ALFF) in left amygdala in the total sample of schizophrenia cases and controls (r = 0.2122, Puncorrected = 0.0002483, Pcorrected = 0.022).  Negative correlation of autism polygenic score with negative	Bonferroni correction
		were Chinese.				correlation of autism polygenic score	

	I			
			recognition (r =	
			-0.2288,	
			Puncorrected =	
			0.000149,	
			Pcorrected =	
			0.0006). No	
			associations	
			with other	
			brain regions	
			nor types of	
			emotion	
			recognition	
			were found. No	
			significant	
			associations	
			were observed	
			between the	
			autism	
			polygenic score	
			and	
			schizophrenia at	
			different p value	
			thresholds (p ≥	
			.368)	

D 1 (2021) 41	T	1			1	I	0.004 (0.07/10)
Reed (2021) <sup>41</sup>	Autistic traits,	ALSPAC	Plink. Thirteen	Emotion	child's sex and	The autism	0.004 (0.05/13)
	emotion	includes a total	different	recognition at	the first 10 PCs	polygenic score	
	recognition	of 14901	polygenic scores	age 8 assessed		was	
		participants, of	based on p-	DANVA and at		significantly	
		which about	values 0.5, 0.4,	age 24 using		positively	
		50% is female.	0.3, 0.2, 0.1,	ERT. Social		associated with	
		Detailed	0.05, 0.01,	autistic traits		social autistic	
		information	1x10-3, 1x10-4,	assessed using		traits at age 8	
		about the	1x10-5, 1x10-6,	m the Social and		(SCDC; (b =	
		participants that	1x10-7, 5x10-8	Communication		0.05; 95% CI:	
		were included in		Disorders		0.01 to $0.08$ ; p =	
		this study		Checklist		.01), <b>but not</b>	
		specifically was		(SCDC)		with emotion	
		not reported. All				recognition at	
		participants				age 8	
		were British.				(DANVA) and	
		Genetic ancestry				age 24 (ERT).	
		was restricted to				After correction	
		European.				for multiple	
						testing no	
						results remain	
						significant	

Waddington	Emotion	552	PRSice. 5 ×	Factors of	Age, gender, IQ	Factors: there	FDR
$(2021)^{80}$	recognition	NeuroIMAGE	10-8, 10-6, .05,	emotion	and 4 PCs	was no effect of	
		and BAO	and 1	recognition:		the autism	
		participants, of		Emotion		polygenic score	
		which there		recognition was		on the	
		were 74 ADHD		assessed		overarching	
		participants, 60		through speed		combined	
		unaffected		and accuracy		emotion	
		siblings of		performance in		recognition	
		ADHD, 85		the Facial		factor model at	
		autism		Expressions		any of the p-	
		participants, 60		Identification		value thresholds	
		autism + ADHD		and Affective		tested. One	
		participants, 117		Prosody Tasks		association with	
		unaffected		from the		a specific factor	
		siblings of		Amsterdam		was reported:	
		autism and		Neuropsycholog		speed of visual	
		autism +		ical Test (ANT)		emotion	
		ADHD, and 156		through		recognition	
		controls (41		confirmatory		factor (Factor 1)	
		from		factor analysis.		at the $pT = .05$	
		NeuroIMAGE				threshold	
		and 115 from		Emotion		(F[1,499.24] =	
		BOA).		recognition		4.17, p = .042, d	
		Participants age		classes/profiles		= .17), but there	
		ranged from 7 to		identified based		were no effects	
		18 years. Of the		on participants'		on any other	
		included		performance on		GWAS	
		NeuroIMAGE		these four		threshold and	
		participants, the		factors		other individual	
		average IQ was				factors.	
		100 ranging					

from 70 to 139,		Classes: Autism
with 51.4%		polygenic score
being male. Tthe		was associated
BOA sample		with emotion
had an average		recognition
IQ of 103,		classes at the pT
ranging from 70		= 1 threshold
to 155, and		(F[3,461.58] =
59.7% were		3.26, p = .021;
male.		class 3
Participants		(impulsive/impr
were Dutch.		ecise visual,
Genetic ancestry	y	average auditory
was restricted to		emotion
European.		recognition) had
		a significantly
		reduced autism
		polygenic score
		compared to
		class 1 (average
		visual,
		impulsive
		auditory
		emotion
		recognition; p =
		.005), class 2
		(averagestrong
		visual and
		auditory
		emotion
		recognition; p =
		.002), and class

						4 (weak visual and auditory emotion recognition; p = .010). No association was observed with any of the other thresholds and for any of the other classes	
Brain measures	<u>I</u>	I	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>		<u>I</u>
Alemany (2021) <sup>81</sup>	Brain measures	The sample for the polygenic score analyses consisted of 1035 Generation R children between 9 and 12 years old. Autistic traits were assessed when children were on average 6.1 years. Children (50.3% girls) were MRI scanned at an average age of 10.1 years. Participants were Dutch.	PRSice. five different P-value thresholds (PT) including PT < 0.01, PT < 0.05, PT < 0.1, PT < 0.5, and PT < 1	Measures of cortical thickness and surface area obtained through structural MRI:global brain morphology measures (total brain volume, cortical gray matter volume, cerebral white matter volume, subcortical gray matter volume, cerebrospinal fluid,	Age, sex, child ethnicity, maternal education, maternal smoking and alcohol use during pregnancy, attention problems. Additional analyses with nonverbal IQ	The autism polygenic score was not significantly associated with brain correlates detected for autistic traits on the surface-based cortical measures after multiple testing correction	FDR

- ·	
Genetic ancestry	cerebellum
was restricted to	cortex volume,
European.	cerebellum
	white matter
	volume,
	amygdala
	volume).
	Surface-based
	measures:
	vertex-wise
	analyses of
	cortical
	thickness,
	surface area,
	and gyrification.
	Only global and
	subcortical brain
	measures that
	significantly
	associated with
	autistic traits
	were taken into
	polygenic score
	analyses

Ecker (2022) <sup>82</sup>	Brain measures	360 EU-AIMS	PRSice-2. 0.04	individuals'	Four PCs,	The autism	FDR
Lekei (2022)	Drain measures	and LEAPS	1 KSICC 2. 0.04	accumulated	group, sex, age,	polygenic score	TDR
		individuals with		degree of	age2, full-scale	was	
		autism (71.9%		neuroanatomical	IQ, site, mean	significantly	
		male, mean age		atypicality	cortical	associated with	
		= 17.51, SD =		summarized in a	thickness	total neuro-	
		·			unckness	anatomical	
		5.51) and 279		subject-level			
		control		total		atypicality index	
		participants		neuroanatomical		(tAIs: r = 0.11,	
		(63.8% male,		atypicality index		padj = 0.05)	
		mean age 17.33,		(tAIs), which			
		SD = 5.91), split		indicates the			
		into 254		percentage of			
		typically		vertices outside			
		developing		the neurotypical			
		participants and		PI90% (=			
		25 individuals		vertex-level			
		with mild		outliers defined			
		intellectual		as cortical			
		disability (14		thickness values			
		males and 11		falling outside			
		females).		of neurotypical			
		Participants		90% prediction			
		were British,		interval) per			
		Dutch, German		individual			
		and Italian.					
		Genetic ancestry					
		was restricted to					
		European.					

Gui (2021) <sup>83</sup>	Brain measures	104 BASIS	Range of P	Difference	5 PCs	Higher autism	Not needed,
( /		infants (51%	value thresholds	between face		polygenic scores	only 1 test
		female, mean	(.001 <	and non-face		(threshold P =	J
		age in months =	threshold $P \le 1$ )	stimuli N290		.01; Nagelkerke	
		8.3 (1.2)) with	_ /	latency		R2 = 0.054; P =	
		and without a		measured		.01) was	
		family history		through EEG		associated with	
		of autism, of				shorter N290	
		which 22				latency to face	
		without family				vs nonface	
		history (11				stimuli ( $\beta$ =	
		female, mean				-3.89; SE =	
		age in months =				1.94;P = .047)	
		8.2 (1.1), 45					
		with family					
		history and					
		typical					
		development					
		(28 female,					
		mean age in					
		months = 8.2					
		(1.2), 20 (11					
		female, mean					
		age in months =					
		8.5 (1.2), with					
		family history					
		and					
		neurdevelopmen					
		tal difficulties at					
		age 3 but did					
		not meet criteria					
		for autism, and					

		14 (1 female, mean age in months = 8.1 (1.2) with family history of autism and later diagnosis of autism.  Participants were British.  Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Gui (2022) <sup>60</sup>	Brain measures, general psychopatholog y, cognition and executive function	The ABCD sample consisted of 4722 (2198 female, mean age = 9.91, SD = 0.62). The UKB sample for intelligence scores consisted of 147175 participants (78561 female, mean age = 57.9, SD =8.23) and 21523 (11180 female, mean age = 63.84, SD	Plink, Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	Cognitive measures: ABCD: NIH toolbox (consisting of 7 tasks and 3 composite scores). UKB: fluid intelligence scores. Behavior: ABCD: CBCL subscales. Brain imaging: ABCD: morphometric	Age, sex, 10 PCs	Cognitive measures: Autism polygenic score was not associated with cognitive measures in ABCD (p≥ .804). autism polygenic score was significantly positively associated with fluid intelligence in UKB (R2 = 8,66E-04, p =	FDR

=7.47). ABCD	measures	5,47E-06), and
participants are	(cortical	females showed
American and	thickness,	significantly
UKB	sulcal depth,	stronger
participants are	are and	associations
British. Genetic	subcortical	than males (p <
ancestry was	volume),	$2.20 \times 10-16, r$
restricted to	diffusion tensor	= 0.87).
European.	imaging (DTI)	Behavior: The
	and resting-	autism
	state fMRI	polygenic score
		was
		significantly
		positively
		associated with
		half of the
		psychiatric
		behaviors (10
		out of 20, the
		strongest
		association with
		CBCL b. <b>Brain</b>
		imaging:
		autism
		polygenic score
		did not have
		significant
		effects on
		cortical and
		subcortical
		structures and
		resting state

						fMRI functions in ABCD nor	
						IDPs in UKB	
Jansen (2019) <sup>84</sup>	Brain measures	1138 Generation R participants (mean age = 10.2 years, range = 8.72 – 11.99, 50.6% boys). Participants were Dutch. Genetic ancestry was restricted to	Plink. P = .1	FA and MD, measured using diffusion tension imaging in MRI. Confirmatory factor analysis was applied to model a single latent factor of global FA and	Age, sex, 4 PCs	The autism polygenic score was not associated with global FA ( $p \ge .00998$ ) or MD ( $p \ge .21$ ), nor tract-specific FA ( $p \ge .00860$ ) or MD ( $p \ge .20$ )	FDR
Lawrence (2022) <sup>85</sup>	Brain measures	The total number of participants was 127, of whom 30 boys with autism (mean age = 13.45, SD = 2.99), 38 typically developing boys (mean age = 13.69, SD = 2.82), 31 girls with autism (mean age = 13.73, SD =	Plink. P 0.5. Sensitivity analyses with LDpred.	Intrinsic functional connectivity of the salience network measured using MRI	site/scanner, full-scale IQ, age. Sex as a grouping variable	Youth with autism displayed increasing salience network connectivity with the postcentral gyrus as a function of increasing polygenic risk (MNI peak coordinates: 46, -28, 38 $\beta$ = 0.43;	

2.45) and 28	max Z: 4.01).
typically	Collapsing
developing girls	
(mean age =	and female
12.41, SD =	autism groups,
2.95).	youth with
Participants	autism as a
were American.	whole displayed
Genetic ancestry	increasing
was restricted to	salience
European.	network
	connectivity
	with the
	postcentral
	gyrus as a
	function of
	increasing
	polygenic risk
	(MNI peak
	coordinates: 46,
	$ -28, 38 \beta = 0.43;$
	max Z: 4.01).
	Increasing
	genetic risk in
	the TD group
	was associated
	with stronger
	connectivity
	between the
	salience
	network and
	precentral gyrus

						(MNI peak	
						coordinates: -2,	
						$-18, 62; \beta = 0.45$	
						; max Z: 4.06),	
						as well as	
						weaker	
						connectivity	
						with the inferior	
						temporal gyrus	
						(MNI peak	
						polygenic load	
						(Fig. 2B; MNI	
						peak	
						coordinates: 46,	
						$-16, -32; \beta =$	
						0.55; max Z:	
06						4.24).	
Mason (2022) <sup>86</sup>	Brain measures	Polygenic score	PRSice.	N170 latency to	Not reported	Longer N170	Bonferroni
		analyses were	Threshold not	face response		latency was	correction
		performed on	described.			associated with	
		198 LEAP				higher	
		individuals with				polygenic score	
		autism (mean				for autism (33)	
		age = 17.0, SD				(Fig. 2C;	
		= 5.6, 27%				Spearman's	
		females) and				r2 = 0.026;	
		133 controls				P = 0.0031;	
		(mean age =				participants with	
		17.5, SD = 5.7,				autism	
		36% female).				r2 = 0.022; P = 0.039;	
		Participants were British,				P = 0.039; controls r	
		were british,				COHUTOIS F	

		German, Dutch,				2 = 0.024;	
		or Italian.				P = 0.074	
		Genetic ancestry				1 - 0.071)	
		was restricted to					
		European.					
Sha (2021) <sup>87</sup>	Brain measures,	32,256 UKB	PRS-	42 brain	age, nonlinear	The autism	FDR
Sila (2021)	,	· ·			<b>U</b> ,		ГDK
	phe-WAS, other	participants	CS. Bayesian	asymmetry in	age computed as	1	
		(15,288 male,	approach, no	regional cortical	(age-	showed a	
		mean age =	threshold	surface area,	mean_age)2, 10	_	
		63.77, range 45-	needed	cortical	PCs, X-, Y-, and		
		81). Participants		thickness, and	Z-scanner	association with	
		were British.		subcortical	position	the 42 regional	
		Genetic ancestry		volumes derived	parameters, T1	brain	
		was restricted to		from T1-	signal-to-noise	asymmetry	
		"White British".		weighted MRI	ratio, T1	indexes,	
				scans. Unilateral	contrast-to-	canonical	
				brain regional	noise ratio,	correlation r =	
				measures,	assessment	$0.03, p = 2.17 \times$	
				functional	center,	10-9 (N =	
				annotations of	genotyping	32,256).	
				brain regions,	array, and sex	Functional	
				handedness, sex.		annotation	
				In addition, phe-		showed 19	
				WAS on 3238		term-based	
				outcome		correlations	
				measures		>0.2: Word,	
						phonological,	
						language,	
						reading, lexical,	
						demands,	
						semantic,	
						orthographic,	

autism, linguistic, sentences, comprehension, working memory, working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 × 10-4). non-
sentences, comprehension, working memory, working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
comprehension, working memory, working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
working memory, working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
memory, working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
working, syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
syntactic, verb, visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
visual word, english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score $(N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 \times)$
english and word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
word form. Sex was associated with autism polygenic score $(N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 \times)$
with autism polygenic score $(N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 \times)$
polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
polygenic score (N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 ×
$(N = 32,256, t = 3.55, p = 3.84 \times )$
$3.55, p = 3.84 \times$
right-
handedness was
positively
associated with
autism
polygenic score
vs. right-
handedness, $\beta =$
0.05, p = 0.004.
No associations
were found with
unilateral brain
measures (p >
.0304). Phe-
WAS: out of

	3238 outcome
	measures,
	autism
	polygenic score
	only association
	with "Hearing
	difficulty/proble
	ms with
	background
	noise" (N =
	$31,728, \beta =$
	0.06, adjusted p
	$= 2.7 \times 10 - 3$ ),
	"Townsend
	deprivation
	index at
	recruitment" (N
	$= 32,229, \beta =$
	0.03, adjusted p
	$= 2.75 \times 10 - 3$ ),
	"Qualifications:
	College or
	University
	degree" (N =
	$32,054, \beta =$
	0.05, adjusted p
	$=5.55 \times 10-3$
	and "Long-
	standing illness,
	disability or
	infirmity" (N =
	$31,751, \beta =$

						$0.06$ , adjusted p = $1.53 \times 10-2$ )	
Qin (2020) <sup>58</sup>	Specific psychiatric classifications, b rain measures, Emotion recognition	Total sample of 345 participants. Patients with schizophrenia (n = 121) mean age at scan = 24.24 SD = 9.03), 36 male. Healthy controls (n = 224) mean age at scan = 34.71 (SD = 12.69), 83 male. Of these, 116 with schizophrenia and 212 controls were taken into analyses. Participants were Chinese.	PRSice. 103 PT (ranging from 0 to 0.5 with increments of 0.005, plus 10–5, 10–4, and 10–3	MRI. Facial emotion recognition (Chinese Facial Affective Picture System). Schizophrenia diagnosis	Age, sex, education, disease status, and the first four components	Positive correlation between autism polygenic score and ALFF in left amygdala in the total sample of schizophrenia cases and controls (r = 0.2122, Puncorrected = 0.0002483, Pcorrected = 0.022). Negative correlation of autism polygenic score with negative emotion recognition (r = -0.2288, Puncorrected = 0.000149, Pcorrected = 0.0006). No associations with other brain regions nor	Bonferroni

						types of emotion recognition were found. No significant associations were observed between the autism polygenic score and schizophrenia at different p value thresholds (p ≥	
						.368)	_
Phe-was							
Leppert (2020) <sup>88</sup>	Phe-WAS	334,976 UKB participants were included in this study with an average age of 56 (SD = 8) years. Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to "White British".	PGS method not described. p<5x10-8	23,004 outcomes including lifestyle and environment, socio- demographic, early life factors, anthropometry, family history and depression outcomes.	Age, sex, 10 PCs	In a Phe-WAS of 23004 measures, autism polygenic score was strongly associated with 10 outcomes: Red blood cell (erythrocyte) distribution width, comparative body size at age 10, Standing height, heel	Spectrical decomposition: p < 2.55x10-6 (0.05/19,645)

Sha (2021) <sup>87</sup>	Brain measures,	32,256 UKB	PRS-	42 brain	age, nonlinear	broadband ultrasound attenuation, heel bone mineral density, suffer from 'nerves', heel quantitative ultrasound index, heel bone mineral density, platelet distribution width The autism	FDR
	phe-WAS, other	participants (15,288 male,	CS. Bayesian approach, no	asymmetry in regional cortical	age computed as (age-	polygenic score showed a	
		mean age =	threshold	surface area,	mean_age)2, 10		
		63.77, range 45-	needed	cortical	PCs, X-, Y-, and	_	
		81). Participants		thickness, and	Z-scanner	association	
		were British.		subcortical	position	with the 42	
		Genetic ancestry		volumes derived	parameters, T1	regional brain	
		was restricted to		from T1-	signal-to-noise	asymmetry	
		"White British".		weighted MRI	ratio, T1	indexes,	
				scans. Unilateral	contrast-to-	canonical	
				brain regional measures,	noise ratio, assessment	correlation $r = 0.03$ , $p = 2.17 \times$	
				functional	center,	10-9 (N =	
				annotations of	genotyping	32,256).	
				brain regions,	array, and sex	Functional	
				handedness, sex.		annotation	
				In addition, phe-		showed 19	
				WAS on 3238		term-based	

		outcome	correlations
		measures	>0.2: Word,
			phonological,
			language,
			reading,
			lexical,
			demands,
			semantic,
			orthographic,
			autism,
			linguistic,
			sentences,
			comprehension
			, working
			memory,
			working,
			syntactic, verb,
			visual word,
			english and
			word form. Sex
			was associated
			with autism
			polygenic score
			(N = 32,256, t =
			$3.55, p = 3.84 \times$
			10-4 ). non-
			right-
			handedness
			was positively
			associated with
			autism
			polygenic score
			porjectife score

vs. right- handedness, $\beta$ = 0.05, $p$ = 0.004. No associations were found with unilateral
= 0.05, p = 0.004. No associations were found with unilateral
0.004. No associations were found with unilateral
0.004. No associations were found with unilateral
were found with unilateral
with unilateral
brain measures
(p > .0304).
Phe-WAS: out
of 3238
outcome
measures,
autism
polygenic score
only
association
with "Hearing
difficulty/probl
ems with
background
noise" (N =
$31,728, \beta =$
0.06, adjusted
$p = 2.7 \times 10-3$
), "Townsend
deprivation
index at
recruitment"
$(N = 32,229, \beta)$
= 0.03,
adjusted p =

W. 1. (2020) 89						2.75 × 10–3 ), "Qualifications : College or University degree" (N = 32,054, $\beta$ = 0.05, adjusted p = 5.55 × 10–3 ) and "Longstanding illness, disability or infirmity" (N = 31,751, $\beta$ = 0.06, adjusted p = 1.53 × 10–2 )	
Wendt (2020) <sup>89</sup>	Phe-WAS	The total sample with available phenotype data included in the phe-WAS consisted of 311 children between 8-10 years (55.9% male), 491 children between 11 and 17 years (47.9% male) and 324 18 and over	PRSice. P = 0.0001 to p = 1 in 0.000005 increasements	491 neurodevelopme ntal phenotypes	Age, sex, 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with the emotional intelligence phenotype PEITANG (Penn Emotional Identification Test (PEIT) recognition of angry emotions;	FDR + Bonferroni (P < 3.63x10-6)

(11 50/1.)	- acces 5 20
(44.5% male).	z-score = 5.28,
Participants	R2 = 1.06%, p =
were American.	$1.38 \times 10^{-7}$ , P-
Genetic ancestry	
was restricted to	= 0.4487) in
European.	children aged
	11-17 years old.
	In models
	covaried for
	angry emotion
	recognition,
	autism PRS was
	significantly
	associated with
	the ability to
	recognize
	happiness (z-
	score = -2.41,
	R2 = 0.221%, p
	= 0.016, pdiff =
	6.41 × 10-4)
	and neutral
	emotions (z-
	score = -2.05,
	R2 = 0.159%, p
	= 0.041, pdiff =
	0.433; Fig 3).
	autism PRS was
	associated with
	reaction time for
	anger trials (z-
	score = -2.08,

Othor						R2 = 0.138%, p = 0.038), fear trials (z-score = -2.06, R2 = 0.161%, p = 0.018), and happy trials (z- score = 2.21, R2 = 0.356%, p = 0.027	
Other Cullen (2021) <sup>68</sup>	Cognition and	The sample	LDpred. No	Gestational age	5 PCs, genotype	The autism	Bonferroni
Culicii (2021)	executive	included 4,934	threshold,	at birth and	chip, plate, sex,	polygenic score	correction
	function, other	individuals. Of	Bayesian	C	and SES	was not	
		these, 1,858	approach	(PARCA)		significantly	
		were from				associated with	
		monozygotic twin pairs and				gestational age at birth (b = -	
		3,076 were from				0.012, SE = .014,	
		dizygotic twin				p = .384) or	
		pairs (1,596				cognition at age	
		same-sex pairs				4 (b = .007, SE)	
		and 1,480				= .014, p =	
		opposite-sex				.614)	
		pairs).					
		Participants					
		were born between 1994					
		and 1996 and					
		were British or					
		Welsh. Genetic					
		ancestry was					

		restricted to European.					
Debost (2022) <sup>32</sup>	Autism diagnosis, other	A total of 22153 iPsych participants were included in the analyses, 10890 of whom were female. Participants were assessed at ages ≥ 5 and ≥ 10. Participants were all Danish and genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	LDpred and BOLT-LMM. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	First diagnosis of autism at age ≥ 5. Diagnoses of early childhood infection according to ICD-8 or ICD-10, including viral, bacterial, fungal, and other infections	Sex, calendar period and 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with autism diagnosis (HR = 1.34, 95% CI, 1.30–1.38) and it showed mixed associations with odds of infection in different quartiles.	Not reported

Hannon	Autism	Minerva cohort,	Plink. Ten	DNA	the number of	The autism	$P < 1 \times 10-7$
$(2018)^{33}$	diagnosis, Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	different	methylation	non-missing	polygenic score	1 1 1 10 /
(2010)	diagnosis, Other	iPsych samples,	significance	from neonatal	genotypes	significantly	
		including an	thresholds (pT)	dried blood	contributing to	associated with	
		equal number of	from $5 \times 10-8$	samples.	the polygenic	two DMPs	
		autism cases	to 1 to identify	Autism	score, the first	(cg02771117, P	
			•			$= 3.14 \times 10 - 8;$	
		(52% male,	the optimal set of SNPs with	diagnosis.	five genetic	,	
		mean			principal	cg27411982, P	
		gestational age	the largest		components,	$= 8.38 \times 10 - 8$	
		= 39.6 (1.82))	difference		sex,	at $P < 1 \times 10-7$	
		and controls	between autism		experimental	and 49 DMPs	
		(49.8% male,	cases and		array number,	associated at a	
		mean	controls		six cell	more relaxed	
		gestational age			composition	"discovery" P	
		= 39.6 (1.2)).			variables,	value threshold	
		Birth years for			smoking score,	$(P < 5 \times 10 - 5).$	
		cases and			gestational age,	The autism	
		controls range			and birth weight	polygenic score	
		from 1998 to				also	
		2002.				distinguished	
		Participants are				autism cases	
		all Danish.				from controls	
		Genetic ancestry				(R2 = 3.12%, P)	
		was not				= 9.49E-13)	
		reported.					
Havdahl	Specific	The total sample	PRSice. P < .05	Pregnancy-	Genotyping	Maternal autism	P < .002
$(2022)^{48}$	psychiatric	included up to		related factors	batch, 10 PCs	polygenic score	
	classifications,	14,539 MoBa		related to		was associated	
	physical	mothers (mean		maternal		with higher	
	wellbeing, other	age = 30.00, SD		lifestyle and		odds of	
		= 4.45) and		health		experiencing	
		14,897 fathers		behaviors,		lifetime	

T		
(mean age =	metabolism,	depression (OR
32.46, SD =	immune	1.12 (1.08 to
5.13) depending	system, other	$  1.16 \rangle$ , p= $9.70 \times  $
on the outcome	physical health	10-9) and
measure.	conditions, and	depression/anxi
Participants	(indications	ety symptoms
were	for) medication	(OR 1.13 (1.06
Norwegian.	use.	to 1.19), p =
Genetic ancestry		$3.62 \times 10^{-5}$ ).
was restricted to		Weak evidence
European.		for association
		with migraine
		(OR 1.10 (1.02
		to 1.18), p =
		.009) and
		urinary tract
		infection (OR
		1.08 (1.02 to
		1.14), p = .005)
		during
		pregnancy. <b>No</b>
		significant
		associations
		with other
		pregnancy-
		related factors.
		Paternal
		autism
		polygenic score
		was not
		significantly
		associated with

						any pregnancy- related factors measured in fathers	
Kalman (2021) <sup>90</sup>	Other	participants with bipolar disorder coming from 34 cohorts (41.41% male). Participants were European, North American or Australian.	polygenic score thresholds (with $\phi = 1 \times 10-1$ , $1 \times 10-2$ ,	Age at bipolar disorder onset and polarity at onset of bipolar disorder	Gender, bipolar disorder subtype and 8 PCs	(β = -0.34  years) per 1 s.d. increase in polygenic score, s.e. = 0.08, P = 9.85 × 10-6) was associated with an earlier age at onset of	Bonferroni correction: $(p = 0.05/(6 \phi \text{ thresholds} \times 8 \text{ traits} \times 2 \text{ phenotypes}) = 5.$ $2 \times 10-4)$
		Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.				bipolar disorder, but not with polarity at onset of bipolar disorder (p = .14)	

Khundrakpam	Other	391 PING	PRSice. P =	Age, vocabulary	Age, sex,	The autism	FDR
$(2020)^{91}$		participants	0.001	score, reading	scanner and the	polygenic score	
, ,		(207 male, mean		score	first 20 PC	was not	
		age = 12.1 (4.7)				significantly	
		years, range 3.1				associated with	
		-21.86.7%				age (T=1.45,	
		European				p=0.14),	
		ancestry and				vocabulary	
		13.30% mixed				score (T=0.65,	
		ancestry.				p=0.51) and	
		Participants				reading score	
		were American.				(T=0.68,p=0.49)	
		Genetic ancestry					
		was restricted to					
		European.					
Klei (2021) <sup>25</sup>	Autism	The total sample	No threshold,	Autism	No covariates	Autism	Not reported
	diagnosis, other	in the polygenic	Bayesian	diagnosis and	for primary	polygenic score	
		score analyses	approach	potentially	case-control	significantly	
		was 6,022		damaging	analysis and	positively	
		(3,011 matched		variant (PDV)	PDV carrier	associated with	
		pairs). Of the		status,	analyses.	autism diagnosis	
		cases, 305			Additional	(OR = 1.21,	
		carried 1 or			analyses of	95% CI 1.15 -	
		more potentially			PDV carrier	1.28), and	
		damaging			status included	negatively	
		variants (PDV's:			3 or 10 PCs	associated with	
		166 Copy				potential	
		Number				damaging	
		Variant, 78				variant status in	
		protein				autism cases	
		truncating				(OR = 0.79,	
		variant, 61					

Klein (2022) <sup>26</sup>	Other	deleterious missense variant). Further descriptives of participant characteristics are not available. Participants were all American and ancestry was restricted to European. The total AGRE sample consists of 1,387 subjects diagnosed with autism. The sample included in the analyses consisted of 707 participants. Participants were American. 97.3% had European ancestry.	Plink. 1× 10–2, 1× 10–3, 1× 10–4, 1× 10–5, 1× 10–6, 1× 10–7, 5× 10–8	Clusters of comorbid conditions (topics) identified through topic modelling	age, sex, and 5 PCs	The autism polygenic score was associated with Topic 6, the main allergy factor (R2 = 0.0141, p = 1.72 × 10–3) and Topic 17 (first 5 terms: handedright, lowacoustic, lowpain, lowtactile, abnormskin, diet-	Not reported
		European				tactile, abnorm-	

Li (2020) <sup>39</sup> Autistic   188 KONTAKT   PRSice. Five Pts   SRS at baseline.   Polygenic sco	e No correlation Multiple test	ınα
		mg
traits, other participants (<0.01, <0.05, <b>Intervention</b> interaction	between autism   correction	
were included in <0.1, <0.5, and outcome after effect, age	polygenic score applied, uncl	ear
polygenic score   <1)   social skills   group, sex, 4	and baseline which type	
analyses. 99 (26 group training, PCs	SRS $(r =074)$	
female, 56 measured by	or carrier	
adolescent and parent-	status of	
43 children) reported SRS,	clinically	
participants   carrier status	significant	
belonged to the of clinically	CNVs was	
active social significant	observed.	
skills group CNVs	Higher autism	
training group	polygenic score	
and 89 (26	was associated	
female, 60	with inferior	
adolescent and	outcome after	
29 children)	social skills	
received	group training	
standard care	at follow up (β	
169 participants	= <b>6.47</b> , <b>P</b> =	
had outcome	0.019)	
data at post-		
intervention,		
and 152		
participants at		
follow-up. 99		
(26 female)		
participants		
belonged to the		
active social		
skills group		
training group.		

		Participants were all Swedish. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.					
Maxwell (2021) <sup>92</sup>	Other	385,793 UKB participants, of which 54% were women; age range was 37 to 73 years (mean = 56.7, SD = 8). Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	Plink. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	Population density	20 principal components, UKB batch, age, and sex	The autism polygenic score showed significant associations with increased population density across adult life (age 25 to >65 years) (R2 ≥ 1.72E-04, p≥ 0.000196673), but not birthplace population density (R2 = 3.50E-06, p = 0.25410178)	Bonferroni: p = 3 × 10-4

Morneau-	Other	the final sample	Plink, PRS-CS	Trajectories of	Sex and 10 PCs	Autism	Bonferroni
Vaillancourt		included 1133	Bayesian	social wariness		polygenic score	
$(2021)^{28}$		QNTS and	approach	(adapted from		was not	
		QLSCD		Asendorpf's		significantly	
		genotyped		scale of		associated with	
		participants		situational		trajectories of	
				shyness among		social wariness	
				unfamiliar peers		$(p \ge .574) \text{ nor }$	
				and		stable	
				Achenbach's		preference for	
				TRF), stable		solitude (p =	
				preference for		.075)	
				solitude			
				(adapted from			
				the TRF and the			
				Child Behavior			
				Scale)			
Ohi (2020) <sup>57</sup>	Specific	Total sample of	Method not	Diagnostic	Not reported	Lower age at	Bonferroni
	psychiatric	131 patients	reported. PT	status of		onset of	correction:
	classifications,	with	$\leq$ .01, PT $\leq$ .05,	schizophrenia,		schizophrenia	$(\alpha = .05/5)$
	other	schizophrenia	$PT \leq .1, PT \leq .2,$	divided into		was	
		(50 males/81	$PT \leq .5$ , and $PT$	three statuses:		significantly	
		females, mean	≤1	diagnosed with		associated with	
		age = $42.9$ , SD		schizophrenia,		higher autism	
		= 13.1  years),		first-degree		polygenic score	
		57 of their		relatives of		$(PT \le .05:$	
		unaffected first-		person with		beta = $-0.20$ ,	
		degree relatives		schizophrenia,		$P = 7.13 \times 10 -$	
		(41 parents/12		or healthy		3). The autism	
		siblings/4		control		polygenic scores	
		offspring, 18				were marginally	
		males/39				lower in	

females, mean	Japanese first-
age = 59.7, SD	degree relatives
=13.6 years),	compared with
and 147 HCs	healthy controls
(98 males/49	( maximum at
females, mean	PT ≤ .01:
age = 37.2, SD	Nagelkerke's
=14.1 years). all	R2 = 0.045,
participants	P = .013) and
were of	patients with
Japanese	schizophrenia
descent.	patients
	(maximum at
	$PT \le 0.2$ :
	Nagelkerke's
	R2 = 0.023,
	P = .084), while
	there were no
	significant
	differences in
	the polygenic
	score for
	European
	autism between
	schizophrenia
	patients and
	healthy controls
	(P > .05)

Peel (2022) <sup>93</sup>	Other	The sample included 3,963 TEDS individuals (62% female). Data were collected at age 21. Participants were English or Welsh. Genetic ancestry was restricted to European.	LDpred. Bayesi an approach	Retrospectively self-reported childhood trauma (derived from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children 'Life at 22+' questionnaire)	birth year, gender and genotyping batch, 10 PCs	The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with retrospectively self-reported childhood trauma (B = .7, SE = .15, p= .00)	FDR
Ratanatharathor n (2021) <sup>94</sup>	Other	NHS2 participants. The sample differed per outcome measure. Physical/emotio nal abuse: N = 11315, mean age = 46.6 (4.409). Physical assault: N 11322, mean age = 46.6 (4.409). Sexual abuse: N = 11317, mean age = 46.596 (4.410).	PRSice. P = .1	Childhood abuse, including physical/emotional abuse (Five questions from the Physical and Emotional Abuse Subscale of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ)), Physical assault before age 18 (Revised Conflict Tactics scale (CTS)), sexual abuse	Highest education completed by parents, 10 PCs	one-standard-deviation increase in the autism polygenic score was associated with an increased risk of experiencing physical/emotio nal abuse (OR = 1.05, 95% CI = 1.02, 1.09, p = .0024) and physical assault (OR = 1.06, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.1, p = .00041) but not sexual	Not reported

		Participants were American. Genetic ancestry was restricted to		(Sexual Maltreatment Scale of the Conflict Tactics		abuse (OR = 1.03, 95% CI = 1.00, 1.07, p = .088)	
		European.		Scales)			
Sha (2021) <sup>87</sup>	Brain measures, phe-WAS, other	32,256 UKB participants (15,288 male, mean age = 63.77, range 45-81). Participants were British. Genetic ancestry was restricted to "White British".	PRS-CS. Bayesian approach, no threshold needed	42 brain asymmetry in regional cortical surface area, cortical thickness, and subcortical volumes derived from T1-weighted MRI scans. Unilateral brain regional measures, functional annotations of brain regions, handedness, sex. In addition, phe-WAS on	age, nonlinear age computed as (age-mean_age)2, 10 PCs, X-, Y-, and Z-scanner position parameters, T1 signal-to-noise ratio, T1 contrast-to-noise ratio, assessment center, genotyping array, and sex	showed a significant	FDR

	3238 outcome	correlations
	measures	>0.2: <b>Word,</b>
		phonological,
		language,
		reading,
		lexical,
		demands,
		semantic,
		orthographic,
		autism,
		linguistic,
		sentences,
		comprehension
		, working
		memory,
		working,
		syntactic, verb,
		visual word,
		english and
		word form. Sex
		was associated
		was associated with autism
		polygenic score
		(N = 32,256, t =
		$3.55, p = 3.84 \times$
		10-4 ). non-
		right-
		handedness
		was positively
		associated with
		autism
		polygenic score

			vs. right-	
			handedness, β	
			= 0.05, p =	
			<b>0.004.</b> No	
			associations	
			were found with	
			unilateral brain	
			measures (p >	
			.0304). Phe-	
			WAS: out of	
			3238 outcome	
			measures,	
			autism	
			polygenic score	
			only association	
			with "Hearing	
			difficulty/proble	
			ms with	
			background	
			noise" (N =	
			$31,728, \beta =$	
			0.06, adjusted p	
			$= 2.7 \times 10-3$ ), "Townsend	
			deprivation	
			index at	
			recruitment" (N	
			$= 32,229, \beta =$	
			0.03, adjusted p	
			$= 2.75 \times 10 - 3$ ),	
			"Qualifications:	
			College or	

						University degree" (N = $32,054$ , $\beta$ = $0.05$ , adjusted p = $5.55 \times 10-3$ ) and "Longstanding illness, disability or infirmity" (N = $31,751$ , $\beta$ = $0.06$ , adjusted p = $1.53 \times 10-2$ )	
Strom (2022) <sup>95</sup>	Other	Seven different European- ancestry cohorts (STR- CATTS15, 50% female, mean age = 15.47 (SD = 0.36), STR- CATSS18, 56% female, mean age = 18.56 (SD = 0.33), STR- CATSS24, 57% female, mean age = 23.84 (SD = 0.32), STR YATSS, 63% female, mean age = 23.93 (SD	PRSice. P = 1	Hoarding symptoms (Hoarding Rating Scale Self-Report (HRS-SR) or 2 items from Toronto Obsessive Compulsive Scale (TOCS))	Genetic relatedness matric, sex, age, respondent (parent vs. child reporting), genotyping array, principal components 1–3 and projected principal components 1–3	Meta-analyzed autism polygenic score was associated with hoarding symptoms when heterogeneity of individual polygenic score was not taken into account (Pfixed = 0.0042 6) (heterogeneity was not significant)	Bonferroni correction: $p < 0.05/7 = 0.00$ 714

= 1.78), NTR,	
66% female,	
mean age =	
41.49 (Sd =	
15.19), SfS,	
48% female,	
mean age =	
10.92 (SD =	
2.79),	
TwinsUK, 92%	
female, mean	
age = 56.7 (SD	
= 12.6). Total	
sample for	
autism	
polygenic score	
analysis, n=	
25,977.	
Participants	
American of	
British. Genetic	
were Swedish, Dutch, American of	

Torske (2019) <sup>47</sup>	Autistic traits,	The sample	Plink. $P = 0.1$	Social function	Age, sex, SRS	The autism	No correction
	Cognition and	consisted of 176		(SRS),	scores, full-	polygenic score	
	executive	BUPGEN		executive	scale IQ, and	differentiated	
	function, other	children,		function	PCs	between the	
		adolescents, and		(Behavior rating		typically	
		young adults		inventory of		developing	
		who were		executive		children (TDC)	
		referred to a		function		and the clinical	
		specialized		(BRIEFF)),		group (autism	
		hospital unit for		including		and	
		NDs for		subscales		subthreshold	
		evaluation of		(Behavior		autism group, n	
		autism (76.1%		Regulation		= 176; t(203) =	
		boys, mean age		Index,		2.61, 95% CI	
		= 11.7, SD =		Metacognition		$[1.2 \times 10-5]$	
		3.7. Participants		Index, Global		$8.7 \times 10-5$ ], P	
		were		Executive		= 0.010. The	
		Norwegian.		Composite),		polygenic score	
		Genetic ancestry		parental		for autism also	
		was restricted to		educational		differentiated	
		European.		level		between the	
						TDC and both	
						the subthreshold	
						autism group;	
						t(83) = -2.07,	
						95% CI [-8.6 ×	
						$10-5, -1.7 \times$	
						10-6], P=	
						0.042 and the	
						autism group	
						t(147) = -2.63,	
						95% CI [-9.1 ×	

		10−5 , −1.3 ×	
		10-5], P=	
		0.009. There	
		was a	
		significant	
		group difference	
		between total	
		SRS scores of	
		the Low and the	
		High INT PGS	
		groups; total t-	
		score $t = 66.0$ in	
		the Low PGS	
		group and t =	
		76.2 in the High	
		PGS group;	
		t(45) = -2.27,	
		95% CI	
		[-19.11, -1.14],	
		P = 0.028,	
		Cohen's d =	
		0.66. There was	
		a significant	
		group difference	
		between the	
		Low and the	
		High autism	
		polygenic score	
		groups in the	
		BRI scores from	
		the BRIEF; BRI	
		t = 62.9 in the	

			Low polygenic	
			score group and	
			t = 71.5 in the	
			High polygenic	
			score group;	
			t(49) = -2.51,	
			95% CI	
			[-15.45, -1.71],	
			P = 0.015,	
			Cohen's d =	
			0.69. <b>The</b>	
			autism	
			polygenic score	
			was not	
			associated with	
			parental	
			educational	
			level (fathers	
			education level	
			P = 0.784,	
			*	
			mothers	
			education level	
		1	P = 0.798)	

Warrier (2020) <sup>96</sup>	Other	UKB	PRSice. Seven	Childhood	20 PCs, year of	Autism	Bonferroni
		participants.	P-value	trauma	birth, sex, and	polygenic score	
		Sample size	thresholds (P =	(childhood	genotyping	at all seven P-	
		differed per	1, 0.75, 0.5,	trauma	batch	value thresholds	
		outcome	0.25, 0.1, 0.01,	screener), self-		were	
		measure with a	and 0.001)	harm and		significantly	
		minimum of		suicidal		associated with	
		105,222 and a		behaviour		childhood	
		maximum of		ideation		trauma score	
		105,638		(consiting of 4		(strongest	
		participants;		UKB items)		association: R2	
		44% males.				= 0.096%, P < 2	
		Participants				× 10–16).	
		were born				Autism	
		between 1936-				polygenic score	
		1970				was also	
		Participants				significantly	
		were British.				associated with	
		Genetic ancestry				4 out of 5	
		was restricted to				individual	
		European.				childhood	
						trauma items	
						(strongest	
						association: R2	
						= 0.092%, P < 2	
						× 10–16).	
						Autism	
						polygenic score	
						were also	
						significantly and	
						positively	
						associated with	

$Yap (2021)^{S1}  Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other \\ Yap (2021)^{S1}  Autistic traits, (2 + 2.477 AAB participants that executive function, other \\ Yap (2021)^{S1}  Autistic traits, (2 + 2.477 AAB participants that executive function, other \\ Yap (2021)^{S1}  Autistic traits, (2 + 2.477 AAB participants that executive function, other (2 + 2.477 AAB participants ($							both self-harm	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other   funct								
$Yap (2021)^{31} \begin{tabular}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $								
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other function, other function, other function and executive funct							, ,	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other function, other function and dagnosed with autism or								
$Yap (2021)^{31} \begin{tabular}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $							,	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other   Single of the four function, other   Single of the four function, other   Single of the four function and executive function of the following flag one diagnosed with autism or   Single of the following flag one diagnosed with autism or   Single of the following flag one diagnosed   Single of the following flag one diagnosed   Single of the following flag one diagnosed with flag one diagnosed   Single of the following flag of the following flag one diagnosed   Single of the following flag of the following flag of the follo							/	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \text{Autisic traits, cognition and executive function, other function, other } \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$							self-harm scores	
$Yap (2021)^{31} \begin{tabular}{lll} Autisic traits, cognition and executive function, other \begin{tabular}{lll} Autisic traits, aged $2-$ 17 years) diagnosed with autism or \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{lll} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$							(strongest	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other   function, other   function, other   function and executive function and diagnosed with autism or   function and diagnosed with autism or   function, other   function   functi							association: R2	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>   Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other   function, other   function, other   function, other   function, other   function and executive function							= 0.13%, P < 2	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c} \text{Autistic traits,} \\ \text{cognition and} \\ \text{executive} \\ \text{function, other} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2,477 \text{ AAB} \\ \text{participants that} \\ \text{(aged $2-$ 17 years)} \\ \text{diagnosed with autism or} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{SbayesR.} \\ \text{Bayesian} \\ \text{approach, no} \\ \text{threshold} \\ \text{needed} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Autism group:} \\ \text{partent- or} \\ \text{caregiver-} \\ \text{reported ADOS-} \\ \text{Q. ADOS-G,} \\ \text{Developmental,} \\ \text{Dimensional} \\ \text{and Diagnostic} \\ \text{Interview,} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Bonferroni} \\ \text{constition with} \\ \text{autism-related} \\ \text{phenotypes. A} \\ \text{nominal} \\ \text{association with} \\ \text{association with} \\ \text{MSEL non-} \end{array}$							× 10–16).	
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>							Autism	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c} \text{Autistic traits,} \\ \text{cognition and} \\ \text{executive} \\ \text{function, other} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2,477 \text{ AAB} \\ \text{participants that} \\ \text{fell into four} \\ \text{groups: 1) child} \\ \text{participants} \\ \text{(aged } 2-\\ 17 \text{ years)} \\ \text{diagnosed with} \\ \text{autism or} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{SbayesR.} \\ \text{Bayesian} \\ \text{approach, no} \\ \text{threshold} \\ \text{needed} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Autism group:} \\ \text{parent- or} \\ \text{caregiver-} \\ \text{reported ADOS-} \\ \text{nominal} \\ \text{autism-related} \\ \text{phenotypes. A} \\ \text{nominal} \\ \text{association with} \\ \text{autism-related} \\ \text{phenotypes. A} \\ \text{nominal} \\ \text{association with} \\ \text{autism-related} \\ \text{phenotypes. A} \\ \text{nominal} \\ \text{association with} \\ \text{MSEL non-} \end{array}$							polygenic score	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c} \text{Autistic traits,} \\ \text{cognition and} \\ \text{executive} \\ \text{function, other} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2,477 \text{ AAB} \\ \text{participants that} \\ \text{fell into four} \\ \text{groups: 1) child} \\ \text{participants} \\ \text{(aged } 2-\\ 17 \text{ years)} \\ \text{diagnosed with} \\ \text{autism or} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{SbayesR.} \\ \text{Bayesian} \\ \text{approach, no} \\ \text{threshold} \\ \text{needed} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Autism group:} \\ \text{parent- or} \\ \text{caregiver-} \\ \text{reported ADOS-} \\ \text{Developmental,} \\ \text{Dimensional} \\ \text{and Diagnostic} \\ \text{Interview,} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{associated with} \\ \text{three of the four} \\ \text{individial items} \\ \text{(strongest} \\ \text{association: R2} \\ \text{> $10-16$)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{PCs} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Bonferroni} \\ \text{correction: p } \leq \\ \text{0.05/50} \end{array}$							was also	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							significantly	
$Yap \ (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c} \text{Autistic traits,} \\ \text{cognition and} \\ \text{executive} \\ \text{function, other} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2,477 \ \text{AAB} \\ \text{participants that} \\ \text{fell into four} \\ \text{groups: 1) child} \\ \text{participants} \\ \text{(aged } 2-\\ 17 \ years) \\ \text{diagnosed with} \\ \text{autism or} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{SbayesR.} \\ \text{Bayesian} \\ \text{approach, no} \\ \text{threshold} \\ \text{needed} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Autism group:} \\ \text{parent- or} \\ \text{caregiver-} \\ \text{reported ADOS-} \\ 2, \text{ADOS-G,} \\ \text{Developmental,} \\ \text{Dimensional} \\ \text{and Diagnostic} \\ \text{Interview,} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Bonferroni} \\ \text{correction: } p \leq \\ 0.05/50 \end{array}$							associated with	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							three of the four	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							individial items	
$Yap (2021)^{31}  \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							(strongest	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup> Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other function, other  Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other  Autistic traits, cognition and executive function, other  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional diagnosed with autism or  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview,  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview,  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview,  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview,  Autism group: parent- or caregiver-reported ADOS-2, ADOS-G, Developmental, Dimensional and Diagnostic Interview,								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Yap (2021) <sup>31</sup>	Autistic traits,	2,477 AAB	SbayesR.	Autism group:	age, sex and 20	/	Bonferroni
function, other groups: 1) child participants (aged 2– 17 years) diagnosed with autism or groups: 1) child threshold needed 2, ADOS-G, autism-related phenotypes. A nominal association with association with phenotypes. A nominal association with autism or linterview, MSEL non-		cognition and	participants that	Bayesian	parent- or		polygenic score	correction: p ≤
function, other groups: 1) child participants needed participants (aged 2– 17 years) diagnosed with autism or threshold needed phenotypes. A phenotypes. A phenotypes. A phenotypes. A nominal and Diagnostic phenotypes. MSEL non-		executive	fell into four	approach, no	caregiver-		was not	0.05/50
participants (aged 2– Developmental, 17 years) diagnosed with autism or Developmental, and Diagnostic Interview, autism-related phenotypes. A nominal association with MSEL non-		function, other	groups: 1) child	* *	•		associated with	
(aged 2– Developmental, Dimensional nominal and Diagnostic Interview, Dimensional association with MSEL non-		,	-	needed	-		autism-related	
Dimensional nominal association with autism or Interview, MSEL non-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
diagnosed with autism or and Diagnostic Interview, association with MSEL non-			, 0		<u> </u>		* * *	
autism or Interview, MSEL non-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			_					
			queried for the		Vineland		verbal	

condition, with	Adaptive	development
some multiply-	Behavior Scale,	(r=-0.11,
affected	SSP-2. Parent:	p=7.4e-3 in the
families; 2)	Communication	autism group
parents of	Checklist-Adult.	alone) and a
children with	Siblings/unrelat	marginal
autism; 3)	ed: Social	association with
siblings of	Responsiveness	parental WASI
diagnosed	Scale. Cognitive	matrix
children who	function in all	reasoning
did not	groups: MSEL	(r=0.07,
themselves meet	or WISC-IV	p=5.5e-2) is
autism criteria;	WASI. Sleep	- 1
· ·	-	reported.
and 4) unrelated children without	problems	
	Autism/siblings/	
an autism	unrelated group:	
diagnosis and	Children's Sleep	
with no known	Habits	
first-degree		
relatives with an		
autism		
diagnosis.		
Participants		
were Australian		
and		
predominantly		
of European		
ancestry.		

Zhang (2022) <sup>37</sup>	Autism	The sample	Plink. First	Eating disorder	Sex and birth	Autism	FDR
	diagnosis,	consisted of	principal	severity and	year in 3 year	polygenic score	
	specific	3,189	components for	persistence,	intervals, 10	was not	
	psychiatric	individuals	polygenic scores	eating disorder	PCs	statistically	
	classifications,	diagnosed with	built from 10	treatment,		significantly	
	physical	an eating	thresholds (p-	suicidal		associated with	
	wellbeing	disorder, 134 of	values: 5 x	behaviour and		any ED severity,	
		whom were also	10-8, 1 x 10-6,	self-harm,		persistence, and	
		diagnosed with	1 x 10-4, 0.001,			treatment	
		autism. Of the	0.01, 0.05, 0.1,			outcomes nor	
		individuals	0.2, 0.5, 1)			suicidal	
		without autism,				behaviour and	
		1.9% was male				self-harm (OR	
		and mean birth				range: 0.79–	
		year was				1.02, $β$ range:	
		1988.77 (5.54).				-0.34-0.03,	
		Of the				IRR range:	
		individuals with				0.82-1.06).	
		autism, 5.2%				Autism	
		was male and				polygenic score	
		mean birth year				was also not	
		was 1989.04.				associated with	
		All participants				autism	
		were Swedish.				diagnostic status	
		Genetic ancestry				(R2 = 0.0182)	
NOTE D. I.		is not reported.	. 11 .1 .1		1		

NOTE. Results are presented per outcome category. For studies that had several outcome measures that fit in multiple categories, we presented all results and marked the ones relevant to the outcome category of interest in bold. If authors of the included studies did not select a primary PGS P value threshold, we reported the results for the most significant association. Outcome categories are labeled as 'autism diagnosis', 'autistic

traits', 'other psychiatric classifications', 'general psychopathology', 'cognition and executive function', 'physical wellbeing', 'early neurodevelopment', 'brain measures', 'emotion recognition', 'phe-WAS' and other. ABCD = Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development, ADHD = attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised, ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, AGRE = Autism Genetic Research Exchange, ALFF = amplitude of low frequency fluctuation, ALSPAC = Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, ANGI = Anorexia Nervosa Genetics Initiative, ANT = Amsterdam Neuropsychological Test, A-TAC = Autism-, Tics, ADHD and other Comorbidities, BAPQ = Broad Autism Phenotype Questionnaire, BISBAS = behavioural inhibition and behavioural activation, BRIEFF = Behavior rating inventory of executive function, CATSS = Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden, CBCL = Child Behaviour Checklist, CATSS = Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden, CI = confidence interval, CNV = copy number variant, DAWBA = Development and Wellbeing Assessment, DANVA = Diagnostic Analysis of Non-Verbal Accuracy, DCDQ = Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire, DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, ED = eating disorder, ERT = Emotion Recognition Task, FA = fractional anisotropy, FDR = False Discovery Rate, GBLUP = Genomic Best Linear Unbiased Prediction, Genes and Environment, GWAS = genome-wide association study, HBC = Hamamatsu Birth Cohort for Mothers and Children, HR = Hazard ratio, ICD = International Classification of Disease, IQR = Inter Quartile Range, KSADS = Kiddie schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia, MD = mean diffusivity, MHQ = Mental Health Questionnaire, MoBa = Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study, MSEL = Mullen Scales of Early Learning, NESCOG = Netherlands Study of Cognition, Genes and Environment, NIH = National Institute of Health, NHS = Nurses Health Study, NIAS = Nine-Item Avoidant/Restrictive Food Intake Disorder, OR = odds ratio, PARCA = Parent Report of Children's Abilities', PDV = Potentially damaging variant status, PGC = Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, phe-WAS = phenome-wide association study, PING = Pediatric Imaging, Neurocognition and Genetics, PQ-B = Prodromal Questionnaire Brief, Psychiatric Research, QQ = Quantile-Quantile, RBS-R = Repetitive Behaviors Scale – Revised, RR = Risk Ratio, SCDC = Social and Communication Disorders Checklist, SD = standard deviation, SDQ = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, SE = Standard Error, SES = socioeconomic status, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, SSC = Simons Simplex Collection, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, SSP-2 = Short Sensory Profile-2, TDC = typically developing children, TEDS = Twins Early Development Study, TRF = Teacher Report Form, UKB = UK Biobank, WASI = Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, WISC = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, WISC-3 = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Third Edition.

**Category construction** 

Outcome categories were constructed through consultation and careful consideration between authors MdW, MM, TJP, SB, AR and AA. They were loosely based on codes from the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) and the World Health Organization International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) and resembled Ronald et al.'s (2021)<sup>18</sup> category structure, although there were some dissimilarities (e.g., no addiction category, but a category for emotion recognition). This resulted in 11 categories, reflecting the literature that was identified: Autism diagnostic status, autistic traits, other specific psychiatric classifications, general psychopathology, brain measures, cognition and executive function, emotion recognition, early neurodevelopment, physical wellbeing, phenome-wide association studies (phe-WAS) and other. Note that some studies included outcome variables that fit into multiple categories.

**Quality assessment** 

For 60 studies, 0 biases were detected. Nine studies had one bias, one had two, and one had three biases. We concluded that the quality of included studies is overall good and biases were not clustered in specific outcome categories. The quality assessments are presented in sTable 3, available online.

**Systematic review and meta-analysis** 

A total of 760 outcome variables were included in the meta-analysis. Rosenthal's failsafe N ranged from 102 for emotion recognition to 39,921 for autism diagnosis (sTable 4, available online), meaning that 102 to 39,921 null findings would be needed to negate significant findings. Funnel plot tests for asymmetry per outcome category based on standard error were not significant (p > .088) except for brain measures (p = .006) and autistic traits (p < .001),

137

indicating a potential publication bias. Histograms and boxplots of effect sizes, and funnel plots of standard errors are presented in Supplementary Figures 1 – 3, available online. Detailed descriptions of sample characteristics, methods of calculating polygenic scores, GWAS p-value threshold, outcome measures, covariates, results, and multiple testing corrections per study and per outcome category are provided in Table 1. Meta-analytic pooled correlation coefficients ranged from .013 for early neurodevelopment to .162 for autism diagnosis. The number of studies in each category ranged from 3 (phe-was) to 20 (other), with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 11 independent cohorts per category. A summary of the meta-analysis results for all outcome categories is presented in Table 2 and Figure 2.

Table 2. Summary of Multi-level Meta-Analysis Results per Outcome Category

	•			•			$I^2$		
Outcome category	n studi es	n ind . coh	n est	Pooled <i>r</i> [95% CI]	r range	P	Total	Betwe en- cohort	Within -cohort
Autism diagnosis	9	4	10	.162 [.066 – .258]	.030 – .346	.004	99.6%	28.4%	71.2%
Autistic traits	11	9	44	.042 [ .004 – .081]	074 - .313	.031	94.8%	87.3%	7.5%
Specific psychiatric classification s	17	12	81	.044 [.016 – .073]	185 - .213	.003	99.2%	33.3%	65.9%
General psychopathol ogy	7	4	190	.035 [ .026 – .044]	143 - .292	<.00 01	91.5%	1.0%	90.5%
Cognition and executive function	9	9	39	.042 [ .008 – .075]	338 - .170	.017	94.1%	88.4%	5.7%
Physical wellbeing	8	8	181	.016 [.006 – .026]	198 - .166	.002	98.3%	1.5%	96.8%
Early neurodevelo pment	8	7	43	.013 [038 – .063]	110 - .112	.612	94.2%	93.3%	0.9%

Emotion recognition	3	3	5	.018 [034 – .381]	229 - .400	.900	99.0%	36.6%	62.3%
Brain measures	9	9	217	.160 [ .031 –	36457	.015	99.9%	80.5%	19.4%
Phe-WAS	3	2	26733	.288] NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other	20	28		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*NOTE.* n studies = number of studies, n ind. coh = number of independent cohorts the included studies are based on, n est = number of effect size estimates included

## Forest plot: Summary over Outcome Categories




Figure 2. Summary of Multilevel Meta-Analysis over Outcome Categories

Autism diagnosis

Systematic review. Nine studies assessed the association between the autism polygenic score and autism diagnosis. Of these, seven reported a significant positive association 10,25,27,30,32,33,36. Two studies, based on smaller samples than the ones that reported significant associations, reported no significant association 37,50. In addition, the autism polygenic score acted in a dose-dependent manner, where people in higher percentiles on average were more likely to be diagnosed with autism 10,36.

*Meta-analysis*. Standardized correlation coefficients ranged from 0.030 to 0.346. One outcome was omitted from this range, as it differentiated whether the autism polygenic score distinguished autism diagnosis versus ADHD diagnosis<sup>27</sup>. The overall meta-analyzed effect size was r = .162 (95% CI .066 – .258), indicating a small positive association between the autism polygenic score and autism diagnosis. Total  $I^2$  was 99.6%, of which 28.4% between-study and 71.2% within-study. All meta-analysis results for the category of autism diagnosis, including secondary results are presented in Supplementary Figure 4.

### Autistic traits

*Systematic review*. Eleven studies assessed the association between the autism polygenic score and autistic traits, either in the form of a total score or specific traits such as repetitive behavior, language and social communication. Four of these assessed total scores for autistic traits<sup>40,42,44,45</sup>, one of which was performed in a non-European sample. In children, the autism polygenic score was associated with overall autistic traits in a Japanese<sup>44</sup> and Swedish sample<sup>45</sup>, but not in a United States (US) sample<sup>40</sup>. In adults, the autism polygenic score was not associated with overall autistic traits<sup>40,42</sup>.

Social behavior was the most commonly studied specific autistic trait, with seven studies assessing the association Four did not find a significant association<sup>31,38,39,45</sup>, and three did<sup>41,43,44</sup>, one of which was a Japanese sample. The autism polygenic score did not associate

with restricted and repetitive behavior<sup>38,46</sup>, nor language and communication measures at various ages in childhood<sup>38,45,46</sup>, except for language difficulties at the age of 18 months<sup>38</sup>. In addition, the autism polygenic score was not associated with broad autistic traits as measured by the Broad Autism Phenotype Questionnaire (BAPQ) in children with autism or their parents, except for two significant positive associations with the pragmatic and total scale of the BAPQ in mothers of autistic children<sup>40</sup>.

*Meta-analysis*. One study on autistic traits was omitted from the meta-analysis effect sizes because effect sizes were not reported<sup>40</sup>. Correlation coefficients ranged from -.074 to .313. The overall meta-analyzed correlation coefficient was r = .04 (95% CI .004 - .081). Total  $I^2$  was 94.8%, of which 87.3% between-study and 7.5% within-study. Meta-analysis results for autistic traits are presented in Supplementary Figure 5.

Specific psychiatric classifications

Eighteen studies assessed the relationship between the autism polygenic score and specific psychiatric classifications (other than autism). Included studies assessed a range of classifications or traits: ADHD (n = 2)<sup>27,34</sup>, ADHD traits (n = 2)<sup>38,42</sup>, depression (n = 2)<sup>48,56</sup>, addiction (n = 1)<sup>49</sup>, suicidal ideation and/or suicide attempt (n = 3)<sup>51,59,97</sup>, eating disorders (n = 2)<sup>37,53</sup>, psychotic spectrum (n = 3)<sup>54,55,57</sup> and nocturnal enuresis (n = 1)<sup>52</sup>.

One study showed a significant association with ADHD diagnosis<sup>27</sup>, whereas another did not<sup>34</sup>. The autism polygenic score was significantly associated with ADHD symptoms in an east Asian sample<sup>67</sup>, but not in two studies European ancestry samples<sup>38,42</sup>, except for one positive association with inattention and hyperactivity at the age of 8 years. The autism polygenic score did not associate with schizophrenia diagnosis in two East Asian samples<sup>57,58</sup>, and in a European ancestry sample it positively associated with some, but not all specific domains of the psychotic spectrum (e.g. auditory and visual hallucinations)<sup>55</sup>. Two studies

found consistent positive associations with lifetime depression <sup>48,56</sup> and one with depression or anxiety symptoms specifically during pregnancy <sup>48</sup>. No associations were found between the autism polygenic score and disordered eating behavior in two studies <sup>37,53</sup>, except for increased parent-reported Avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder (ARFID;. One study reported no associations between the autism polygenic score and cannabis use disorder <sup>49</sup>, except for an unexpected negative association in people diagnosed with DSM classifications other than schizophrenia. One study reported no association with nocturnal enuresis <sup>52</sup>. Lastly, one study reported that the autism polygenic score was not associated with the case-control status of any psychiatric classification <sup>50</sup>.

One study found that the autism polygenic score distinguished people with autism from those with ADHD<sup>27</sup>.

*Meta-analysis*. Correlation coefficients ranged from -.185 to .213 The overall meta-analyzed correlation coefficient was r = .044 (95% CI .016 - .073). Total  $I^2$  was 99.2%, of which 33.9% between-study and 65.4% within-study. Meta-analysis results for specific psychiatric classifications are presented in sFigure 6, and secondary results in sTable 5 and sFigure 7.

# Other outcome categories

Associations between the autism polygenic score and other outcome categories were overall small (median r = .03) and inconsistent. Detailed descriptions of these associations are provided in Table 1, Figure 1 and the Supplementary Results and Supplementary Results, available online. Forest plots are presented in sFigures 8 - 13, available online.

#### Heterogeneity

We found considerable heterogeneity across all outcome categories ( $I^2 \ge 91.5\%$ ). To provide a more complete picture of the heterogeneity in the included studies, and because  $I^2$  is not a particularly suitable statistic when assessing very large sample sizes, all meta-analyses forest plots also report Q statistics<sup>98</sup>.

#### Discussion

Overall, our results showed that the autism polygenic score was most strongly and most consistently associated with autism diagnosis in independent cohorts. A higher polygenic score translates into an increased likelihood of being diagnosed with autism<sup>10,36</sup>. The association of the autism polygenic score with autistic traits was significant but smaller. Associations with outcomes other than autism diagnosis and autistic traits were inconsistent and meta-analytic effect sizes were generally small. Here we discuss our results, specifically zooming in on autism diagnosis, autistic traits and other psychiatric classifications because these categories contained the most consistent results. We discuss implications and provide recommendations for future directions.

The lack of consistent associations with outcomes other than autism diagnosis and autistic traits is in contrast with a vast body of literature supporting phenotypic associations between autism and neurodevelopmental, psychiatric, and physical conditions and other traits, as well as genetic associations found in twin studies. They are also in contrast with studies that used alternative genetic methodologies such as genome-wide restricted maximum likelihood (GREML), genome-wide complex trait analysis (GCTA), and linkage disequilibrium score (LDSC) regression. A difference between polygenic scores and these methods is that the latter captures the total influence of measured SNPs whereas polygenic scores do not, potentially resulting in less power to detect genetic associations (explanations of these methods are described in Martin et al. 12). Our results also highlight disparities

between the autism polygenic score and the ADHD polygenic score, with the latter showing stronger (e.g., OR between 1.22% and 1.76% for ADHD diagnosis) and more reliable, consistent associations. This is despite comparable heritability estimates and GWAS sample sizes for ADHD and autism, and also both being a neurodevelopmental condition <sup>18</sup>.

The overarching category of specific psychiatric classifications comprised numerous classifications and had an overall significant but small association with the autism polygenic score. However, results varied markedly for different classifications. Our secondary analyses to dissecting the overarching category revealed a significant association with the psychotic spectrum, but not with ADHD. These findings were in contrast with some past studies in this area<sup>10,99–102</sup>.

The inconsistency of our results and their lack of alignment with previous findings indicates that the current autism polygenic score may lack the statistical power to adequately capture shared polygenic effects. In line with this notion, two included studies found that the autism polygenic score acts largely independent from psychiatric family history<sup>36,61</sup>, despite both factors being expected to reflect a genetic predisposition to autism. This finding extends beyond autism to multiple psychiatric classifications<sup>103,104</sup>, indicating that current psychiatric polygenic scores may overall insufficiently capture genetic propensity towards psychiatric traits. As the predictive power of polygenic scores depends heavily on the statistical power of the discovery GWAS, we underscore the importance of ongoing efforts to increase GWAS sample sizes.

Our study is not without limitations. First, by focusing on univariate associations and excluding genetic measures and methods other than polygenic scores, we may have overlooked important insights into autism genetics. We refer the reader to Akingbuwa et al. (2022)<sup>99</sup> for results that are based on other methods than univariate associations<sup>99</sup>. Second, we were not able to include all the associations in our meta-analyses because some studies did not

report all effect sizes in text. Similarly, we did not include all outcome measures assessed through phenome-wide association studies in our meta-analysis, as this was infeasible due to the number of studies. Lastly, we point out the considerable heterogeneity in our included studies, as is reflected in the high  $I^2$  statistics. A high  $I^2$  value could be due to low within-sample estimation error, which is likely to occur with large sample sizes such as the ones included in our study<sup>105</sup>. However, we acknowledge that this heterogeneity may also be due to the construction of our outcome variable categories. However, even in the categories that are largely similar in their outcomes (such as autism diagnosis), heterogeneity is high. This heterogeneity remained substantial even when dissecting outcome categories into more homogeneous subcategories. This heterogeneity may have added noise to our estimates. Future studies should aim to reduce such heterogeneity to enhance our understanding of autism's polygenic nature.

A specific source of heterogeneity may be the substantial variation in polygenic score calculation, both in terms of software and the selection of the GWAS *p*-value thresholds. While the majority of studies apply methods that select the polygenic score that yields the strongest associations, others use a pre-defined threshold or employ principal component analyses to construct a polygenic score from multiple thresholds. Similarly, a diverse set of polygenic score calculation programs was applied. Several recent reports address this issue and advocate for standardized guidelines and reporting practices <sup>106,107</sup>, which might improve the comparability of polygenic score findings.

Despite the marked diversity in methods between studies, we observed limited diversity in the participant characteristics. The majority of studies were based on people of European ancestry, resulting in our current understanding of the autism polygenic score being almost solely based on people of European ancestry. This observation is concerning, especially considering the increasing autism prevalence rates in people from non-European

backgrounds<sup>108</sup>. Similarly, few included studies addressed understudied subgroups of autism, such as those with intellectual disability, or people with autism that are nonverbal. Both scientifically, and ethically, it would be of great value to radically improve inclusive research practices<sup>109</sup>.

We propose that GWASs, and subsequently polygenic scores, based on individual autistic traits instead of the overarching autism diagnosis might enhance our understanding of autism genetics<sup>110</sup>. Early twin studies revealed that the three domains of autistic traits (social, communication, and restricted/repetitive behavior) only modestly correlate genetically, a finding that suggests largely independent genetic effects may affect different autistic traits<sup>111,112</sup>. Yet molecular genetics have not consistently pursued this research direction<sup>96</sup>. Although some molecular genetic studies were performed on specific autistic traits, large-scale approaches are still lacking<sup>46,113</sup>.

Despite its limitations, the current autism polygenic score comprises part of a bigger picture, and should ideally, as suggested by several recent papers, be integrated with other genetic factors such as common and rare variants, psychiatric family history, and sex, in a comprehensive approach to studying autism<sup>25,36,61,114</sup>. For example, Grove et al. (2019)<sup>10</sup> found that a combined polygenic score of autism and correlated traits, such as major depressive disorder and schizophrenia, improved the prediction of autism diagnostic status. Rare genetic variants are not captured by typical polygenic scores, but have been suggested as factors of high influence on autism diagnostic status<sup>115</sup>. In line, Antaki et al. (2022)<sup>114</sup> showed that a genetic score containing a combination of common and rare genetic factors significantly increased statistical power over separate common and rare variant scores. Schendel et al. (2022)<sup>36</sup> argue that psychiatric family history and polygenic scores only minimally correlate and should therefore be considered separate, mostly uncorrelated, measures of autism's genetic etiology. Lastly, although we do not find significant sex differences in the association

of the autism polygenic score with outcome variables, there is building evidence for a different genetic makeup for men and women with autism. Autistic women on average have a higher number of genetic mutations<sup>116</sup>, higher mean polygenic load<sup>87,114</sup> and more rare copy number variants (CNV) load<sup>117</sup>. However, a potentially male-biased clinical view on the manifestation of autism might explain diagnostic differences, and subsequently observed genetic differences<sup>118</sup>

In conclusion, we show that the autism polygenic score as a standalone variable associates consistently with autism diagnostic status and dimensional autistic traits, but is unable to capture the spectrum's complex phenotypical and etiological differences and its genetic overlap with other traits and conditions. We propose considering the current autism polygenic score as a complementary measure in research, with improvements needed for a more robust understanding of autism's polygenic underpinnings.

## References

- Bottema-Beutel K, Kapp SK, Lester JN, Sasson NJ, Hand BN. Avoiding Ableist Language: Suggestions for Autism Researchers. *Autism Adulthood*. 2021;3(1):18-29. doi:10.1089/aut.2020.0014
- 2. Zeidan J, Fombonne E, Scorah J, et al. Global prevalence of autism: A systematic review update. *Autism Res.* 2022;15(5):778-790. doi:10.1002/aur.2696
- 3. Tick B, Bolton P, Happé F, Rutter M, Rijsdijk F. Heritability of autism spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis of twin studies. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2016;57(5):585-595. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12499

- Martini MI, Kuja-Halkola R, Butwicka A, et al. Sex Differences in Mental Health
   Problems and Psychiatric Hospitalization in Autistic Young Adults. *JAMA Psychiatry*.

   2022;79(12):1188-1198. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.3475
- 5. Buijsman R, Begeer S, Scheeren AM. 'Autistic person' or 'person with autism'? Person-first language preference in Dutch adults with autism and parents. *Autism*. 2023;27(3):788-795. doi:10.1177/13623613221117914
- 6. Taboas A, Doepke K, Zimmerman C. Preferences for identity-first versus person-first language in a US sample of autism stakeholders. *Autism*. 2023;27(2):565-570. doi:10.1177/13623613221130845
- Bougeard C, Picarel-Blanchot F, Schmid R, Campbell R, Buitelaar J. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder and Co-morbidities in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Literature Review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12. Accessed September 18, 2023. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.744709
- 8. Gidziela A, Ahmadzadeh YI, Michelini G, et al. A meta-analysis of genetic effects associated with neurodevelopmental disorders and co-occurring conditions. *Nat Hum Behav.* 2023;7(4):642-656. doi:10.1038/s41562-023-01530-y
- 9. Tick B, Colvert E, McEwen F, et al. Autism Spectrum Disorders and Other Mental Health Problems: Exploring Etiological Overlaps and Phenotypic Causal Associations. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2016;55(2):106-113.e4. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2015.11.013
- 10. Grove J, Ripke S, Als TD, et al. Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Genet 2019 513*. 2019;51(3):431-444. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8

- 11. Willoughby EA, Polderman TJC, Boutwell BB. Behavioural genetics methods. *Nat Rev Methods Primer*. 2023;3(1):1-16. doi:10.1038/s43586-022-00191-x
- Martin AR, Daly MJ, Robinson EB, Hyman SE, Neale BM. Predicting Polygenic Risk of Psychiatric Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2019;86(2):97-109.
   doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.12.015
- 13. Wray NR, Lin T, Austin J, et al. From Basic Science to Clinical Application of Polygenic Risk Scores: A Primer. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2021;78(1):101-109.
  doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3049
- 14. Polderman TJC, Benyamin B, de Leeuw CA, et al. Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(7):702-709. doi:10.1038/ng.3285
- 15. Du Rietz E, Coleman J, Glanville K, Choi SW, O'Reilly PF, Kuntsi J. Association of Polygenic Risk for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder With Co-occurring Traits and Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2018;3(7):635-643. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.11.013
- 16. Green A, Baroud E, DiSalvo M, Faraone SV, Biederman J. Examining the impact of ADHD polygenic risk scores on ADHD and associated outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2022;155:49-67. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.07.032
- 17. Mistry S, Harrison JR, Smith DJ, Escott-Price V, Zammit S. The use of polygenic risk scores to identify phenotypes associated with genetic risk of schizophrenia: Systematic review. *Schizophr Res*. 2018;197:2-8. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2017.10.037

- 18. Ronald A, de Bode N, Polderman TJC. Systematic Review: How the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Polygenic Risk Score Adds to Our Understanding of ADHD and Associated Traits. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2021;60(10):1234-1277. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2021.01.019
- Hayden JA, Côté P, Bombardier C. Evaluation of the Quality of Prognosis Studies in Systematic Reviews. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144(6):427-437. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-144-6-200603210-00010
- 20. Hayden JA, van der Windt DA, Cartwright JL, Côté P, Bombardier C. Assessing Bias in Studies of Prognostic Factors. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158(4):280-286. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-158-4-201302190-00009
- 21. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *J Stat Softw*. 2010;36:1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03
- 22. Assink M, Wibbelink CJM. Fitting three-level meta-analytic models in R: A step-by-step tutorial. *Quant Methods Psychol.* 2016;12(3):154-174. doi:10.20982/tqmp.12.3.p154
- 23. Harrer M, Cuijpers P, Furukawa T, Ebert D. *Doing Meta-Analysis with R: A Hands-on Guide*. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2021. Accessed December 20, 2023. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781003107347/meta-analysis-mathias-harrer-pim-cuijpers-toshi-furukawa-david-ebert
- 24. Rosenthal R. The file drawer problem and tolerance for null results. *Psychol Bull*. 1979;86(3):638-641. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.86.3.638

- 25. Klei L, McClain LL, Mahjani B, et al. How rare and common risk variation jointly affect liability for autism spectrum disorder. *Mol Autism*. 2021;12(1):1-13. doi:10.1186/S13229-021-00466-2/FIGURES/5
- 26. Klein L, D'Urso S, Eapen V, Hwang LD, Lin PI. Exploring polygenic contributors to subgroups of comorbid conditions in autism spectrum disorder. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):3416. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-07399-7
- 27. Mattheisen M, Grove J, Als TD, et al. Identification of shared and differentiating genetic architecture for autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and case subgroups. *Nat Genet*. 2022;54(10):1470-1478. doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01171-3
- 28. Morneau-Vaillancourt G, Andlauer TFM, Ouellet-Morin I, et al. Polygenic scores differentially predict developmental trajectories of subtypes of social withdrawal in childhood. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(11):1320-1329. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13459
- 29. Riglin L, Tobarra-Sanchez E, Stergiakouli E, et al. Early manifestations of genetic liability for ADHD, autism and schizophrenia at ages 18 and 24 months. *JCPP Adv*. 2022;2(3):e12093. doi:10.1002/jcv2.12093
- 30. Trost B, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Chan AJS, et al. Genomic architecture of autism from comprehensive whole-genome sequence annotation. *Cell.* 2022;185(23):4409-4427.e18. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.10.009
- 31. Yap CX, Alvares GA, Henders AK, et al. Analysis of common genetic variation and rare CNVs in the Australian Autism Biobank. *Mol Autism*. 2021;12(1):1-17. doi:10.1186/S13229-020-00407-5/FIGURES/3

- 32. Debost JCPG, Thorsteinsson E, Trabjerg B, et al. Genetic and psychosocial influence on the association between early childhood infections and later psychiatric disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2022;146(5):406-419. doi:10.1111/acps.13491
- 33. Hannon E, Schendel D, Ladd-Acosta C, et al. Elevated polygenic burden for autism is associated with differential DNA methylation at birth. *Genome Med.* 2018;10(1):19. doi:10.1186/s13073-018-0527-4
- 34. Jansen AG, Dieleman GC, Jansen PR, Verhulst FC, Posthuma D, Polderman TJC.
  Psychiatric Polygenic Risk Scores as Predictor for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity
  Disorder and Autism Spectrum Disorder in a Clinical Child and Adolescent Sample.
  Behav Genet. 2020;50(4):203-212. doi:10.1007/S10519-019-09965-8/FIGURES/1
- 35. Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, et al. Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(1):63-75. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7
- 36. Schendel D, Munk Laursen T, Albiñana C, et al. Evaluating the interrelations between the autism polygenic score and psychiatric family history in risk for autism. *Autism Res*. 2022;15(1):171-182. doi:10.1002/AUR.2629
- 37. Zhang R, Birgegård A, Fundín B, et al. Association of autism diagnosis and polygenic scores with eating disorder severity. *Eur Eat Disord Rev*. 2022;30(5):442-458. doi:10.1002/erv.2941
- 38. Askeland RB, Hannigan LJ, Ask H, et al. Early manifestations of genetic risk for neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;63(7):810-819. doi:10.1111/JCPP.13528

- 39. Li D, Choque-Olsson N, Jiao H, et al. The influence of common polygenic risk and gene sets on social skills group training response in autism spectrum disorder. *Npj Genomic Med 2020 51*. 2020;5(1):1-8. doi:10.1038/s41525-020-00152-x
- 40. Nayar K, Sealock JM, Maltman N, et al. Elevated Polygenic Burden for Autism Spectrum Disorder Is Associated With the Broad Autism Phenotype in Mothers of Individuals With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2021;89(5):476-485. doi:10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2020.08.029
- 41. Reed ZE, Mahedy L, Jackson A, et al. Examining the bidirectional association between emotion recognition and social autistic traits using observational and genetic analyses. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(11):1330-1338. doi:10.1111/JCPP.13395
- 42. Riglin L, Leppert B, Langley K, et al. Investigating attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder traits in the general population: What happens in adult life? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(4):449-457. doi:10.1111/JCPP.13297
- 43. Serdarevic F, Tiemeier H, Jansen PR, et al. Polygenic Risk Scores for Developmental Disorders, Neuromotor Functioning During Infancy, and Autistic Traits in Childhood. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2020;87(2):132-138. doi:10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2019.06.006
- 44. Takahashi N, Harada T, Nishimura T, et al. Association of Genetic Risks With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Early Neurodevelopmental Delays Among Children Without Intellectual Disability. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(2):1921644. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.21644
- 45. Taylor MJ, Martin J, Lu Y, et al. Association of Genetic Risk Factors for Psychiatric Disorders and Traits of These Disorders in a Swedish Population Twin Sample. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(3):280-289. doi:10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2018.3652

- 46. Thomas TR, Koomar T, Casten LG, Tener AJ, Bahl E, Michaelson JJ. Clinical autism subscales have common genetic liabilities that are heritable, pleiotropic, and generalizable to the general population. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):247. doi:10.1038/s41398-022-01982-2
- 47. Torske T, Nærland T, Bettella F, et al. Autism spectrum disorder polygenic scores are associated with every day executive function in children admitted for clinical assessment.

  Autism Res Off J Int Soc Autism Res. 2020;13(2):207-220. doi:10.1002/AUR.2207
- 48. Havdahl A, Wootton RE, Leppert B, et al. Associations Between Pregnancy-Related Predisposing Factors for Offspring Neurodevelopmental Conditions and Parental Genetic Liability to Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Autism, and Schizophrenia: The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2022;79(8):799. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.1728
- 49. Hjorthøj C, Uddin MJ, Wimberley T, et al. No evidence of associations between genetic liability for schizophrenia and development of cannabis use disorder. *Psychol Med*. 2021;51(3):479-484. doi:10.1017/S0033291719003362
- 50. Jansen AG, Jansen PR, Savage JE, et al. The predictive capacity of psychiatric and psychological polygenic risk scores for distinguishing cases in a child and adolescent psychiatric sample from controls. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(9):1079-1089. doi:10.1111/JCPP.13370
- 51. Joo YY, Moon SY, Wang HH, et al. Association of Genome-Wide Polygenic Scores for Multiple Psychiatric and Common Traits in Preadolescent Youths at Risk of Suicide. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2022;5(2):e2148585. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.48585

- 52. Jørgensen CS, Horsdal HT, Rajagopal VM, et al. Identification of genetic loci associated with nocturnal enuresis: a genome-wide association study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2021;5(3):201-209. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30350-3
- 53. Koomar T, Thomas TR, Pottschmidt NR, Lutter M, Michaelson JJ. Estimating the Prevalence and Genetic Risk Mechanisms of ARFID in a Large Autism Cohort. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12:849. doi:10.3389/FPSYT.2021.668297/BIBTEX
- 54. Legge SE, Jones HJ, Kendall KM, et al. Association of Genetic Liability to Psychotic Experiences With Neuropsychotic Disorders and Traits. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(12):1256-1265. doi:10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2019.2508
- 55. Legge SE, Cardno AG, Allardyce J, et al. Associations Between Schizophrenia Polygenic Liability, Symptom Dimensions, and Cognitive Ability in Schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2021;78(10):1143-1151. doi:10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2021.1961
- 56. Leppert B, Havdahl A, Riglin L, et al. Association of Maternal Neurodevelopmental Risk Alleles With Early-Life Exposures. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(8):834-842. doi:10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2019.0774
- 57. Ohi K, Nishizawa D, Shimada T, et al. Polygenetic Risk Scores for Major Psychiatric Disorders Among Schizophrenia Patients, Their First-Degree Relatives, and Healthy Participants. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2020;23(3):157-164. doi:10.1093/IJNP/PYZ073
- 58. Qin Y, Kang J, Jiao Z, et al. Polygenic risk for autism spectrum disorder affects left amygdala activity and negative emotion in schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry 2020 101*. 2020;10(1):1-12. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-01001-2

- 59. Russell AE, Hemani G, Jones HJ, et al. An exploration of the genetic epidemiology of non-suicidal self-harm and suicide attempt. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2021;21(1):207. doi:10.1186/S12888-021-03216-Z/FIGURES/2
- 60. Gui Y, Zhou X, Wang Z, et al. Sex-specific genetic association between psychiatric disorders and cognition, behavior and brain imaging in children and adults. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):1-8. doi:10.1038/s41398-022-02041-6
- 61. Loughnan RJ, Palmer CE, Makowski C, et al. Unique prediction of developmental psychopathology from genetic and familial risk. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2022;63(12):1631-1643. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13649
- 62. Pat N, Riglin L, Anney R, et al. Motivation and Cognitive Abilities as Mediators Between Polygenic Scores and Psychopathology in Children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2022;61(6):782-795.e3. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2021.08.019
- 63. Riglin L, Thapar AK, Leppert B, et al. Using Genetics to Examine a General Liability to Childhood Psychopathology. *Behav Genet*. 2020;50(4):213-220. doi:10.1007/S10519-019-09985-4/TABLES/2
- 64. Schlag F, Allegrini AG, Buitelaar J, et al. Polygenic risk for mental disorder reveals distinct association profiles across social behaviour in the general population. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2022;27(3):1588-1598. doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01419-0
- 65. Waszczuk MA, Miao J, Docherty AR, et al. General v. specific vulnerabilities: polygenic risk scores and higher-order psychopathology dimensions in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. *Psychol Med.* Published online 2021:1-10. doi:10.1017/S0033291721003639

- 66. Aguilar-Lacasaña S, Vilor-Tejedor N, Jansen PR, et al. Polygenic risk for ADHD and ASD and their relation with cognitive measures in school children. *Psychol Med*. 2022;52(7):1356-1364. doi:10.1017/S0033291720003189
- 67. Chang S, Yang L, Wang Y, Faraone SV. Shared polygenic risk for ADHD, executive dysfunction and other psychiatric disorders. *Transl Psychiatry* 2020 101. 2020;10(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00872-9
- 68. Cullen H, Selzam S, Dimitrakopoulou K, Plomin R, Edwards AD. Greater genetic risk for adult psychiatric diseases increases vulnerability to adverse outcome after preterm birth.

  Sci Rep 2021 111. 2021;11(1):1-8. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-90045-5
- 69. Hughes A, Wade KH, Dickson M, et al. Common health conditions in childhood and adolescence, school absence, and educational attainment: Mendelian randomization study. Npj Sci Learn 2021 61. 2021;6(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41539-020-00080-6
- 70. Price KM, Wigg KG, Feng Y, et al. Genome-wide association study of word reading:

  Overlap with risk genes for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Genes Brain Behav*.

  2020;19(6). doi:10.1111/GBB.12648
- 71. Dennison CA, Legge SE, Bracher-Smith M, et al. Association of genetic liability for psychiatric disorders with accelerometer-assessed physical activity in the UK Biobank. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(3):e0249189. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0249189
- 72. Hunjan AK, Hübel C, Lin Y, Eley TC, Breen G. Association between polygenic propensity for psychiatric disorders and nutrient intake. *Commun Biol* 2021 41. 2021;4(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02469-4

- 73. Niarchou M, Singer EV, Straub P, Malow BA, Davis LK. Investigating the genetic pathways of insomnia in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2022;128:104299. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2022.104299
- 74. Ohi K, Ochi R, Noda Y, et al. Polygenic risk scores for major psychiatric and neurodevelopmental disorders contribute to sleep disturbance in childhood: Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2021;11(1):187. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01308-8
- 75. Werner MCF, Wirgenes KV, Shadrin A, et al. Immune marker levels in severe mental disorders: associations with polygenic risk scores of related mental phenotypes and psoriasis. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):38. doi:10.1038/s41398-022-01811-6
- 76. Hannigan LJ, Askeland RB, Ask H, et al. Developmental milestones in early childhood and genetic liability to neurodevelopmental disorders. *Psychol Med.* 2023;53(5):1750-1758. doi:10.1017/S0033291721003330
- 77. Fish LA, Nyström P, Gliga T, et al. Development of the pupillary light reflex from 9 to 24 months: association with common autism spectrum disorder (ASD) genetic liability and 3-year ASD diagnosis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(11):1308-1319. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13518
- 78. Gui A, Mason L, Gliga T, et al. Look duration at the face as a developmental endophenotype: elucidating pathways to autism and ADHD. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2020;32(4):1303-1322. doi:10.1017/S0954579420000930
- 79. Portugal AM, Taylor MJ, Viktorsson C, et al. Pupil size and pupillary light reflex in early infancy: heritability and link to genetic liability to schizophrenia. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2022;63(9):1068-1077. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13564

- 80. Waddington F, Franke B, Hartman C, Buitelaar JK, Rommelse N, Mota NR. A polygenic risk score analysis of ASD and ADHD across emotion recognition subtypes ADHD, ASD, emotion recognition, polygenic risk score, subtyping. *Am J Med Genet*. 2021;186:401-411. doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.32818
- 81. Alemany S, Blok E, Jansen PR, Muetzel RL, White T. Brain morphology, autistic traits, and polygenic risk for autism: A population-based neuroimaging study. *Autism Res*. 2021;14(10):2085-2099. doi:10.1002/aur.2576
- 82. Ecker C, Pretzsch CM, Bletsch A, et al. Interindividual Differences in Cortical Thickness and Their Genomic Underpinnings in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2022;179(3):242-254. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20050630
- 83. Gui A, Meaburn EL, Tye C, Charman T, Johnson MH, Jones EJH. Association of Polygenic Liability for Autism With Face-Sensitive Cortical Responses From Infancy. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2021;175(9):968. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.1338
- 84. Jansen PR, Muetzel RL, Polderman TJC, et al. Polygenic Scores for Neuropsychiatric Traits and White Matter Microstructure in the Pediatric Population. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2019;4(3):243-250. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.07.010
- 85. Lawrence KE, Hernandez LM, Fuster E, et al. Impact of autism genetic risk on brain connectivity: a mechanism for the female protective effect. *Brain*. 2022;145(1):378-387. doi:10.1093/brain/awab204
- 86. Mason L, Moessnang C, Chatham C, et al. Stratifying the autistic phenotype using electrophysiological indices of social perception. *Sci Transl Med.* 2022;14(658):eabf8987. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abf8987

- 87. Sha Z, Schijven D, Francks C. Patterns of brain asymmetry associated with polygenic risks for autism and schizophrenia implicate language and executive functions but not brain masculinization. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(12):7652-7660. doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01204-z
- 88. Leppert B, Millard LAC, Riglin L, et al. A cross-disorder PRS-pheWAS of 5 major psychiatric disorders in UK Biobank. Zhu X, ed. *PLOS Genet*. 2020;16(5):e1008185. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008185
- 89. Wendt FR, Carvalho CM, Pathak GA, Gelernter J, Polimanti R. Polygenic risk for autism spectrum disorder associates with anger recognition in a neurodevelopment-focused phenome-wide scan of unaffected youths from a population-based cohort. Williams SM, ed. *PLOS Genet*. 2020;16(9):e1009036. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009036
- 90. Kalman JL, Loohuis LMO, Vreeker A, et al. Characterisation of age and polarity at onset in bipolar disorder. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2021;219(6):659-669. doi:10.1192/BJP.2021.102
- 91. Khundrakpam B, Vainik U, Gong J, et al. Neural correlates of polygenic risk score for autism spectrum disorders in general population. *Brain Commun.* 2020;2(2):fcaa092. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcaa092
- 92. Maxwell J, Coleman J, Breen G, psychiatry EVJ, 2021 undefined. Association between genetic risk for psychiatric disorders and the probability of living in urban settings. 

  jamanetwork.com. Accessed January 4, 2023.

  https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapsychiatry/article-

 $abstract/2785027? casa\_token=1R2Ny3LXULsAAAAA: JYE0YLpJAFn5twgh5CPVA4rY\\$  ANrax0NF3NQk6ji2tGoymlKGCjNVpfSnnfDgyHKNVZkKDa2h2ps

- 93. Peel AJ, Purves KL, Baldwin JR, et al. Genetic and early environmental predictors of adulthood self-reports of trauma. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2022;221(4):613-620. doi:10.1192/bjp.2021.207
- 94. Ratanatharathorn A, Koenen KC, Chibnik LB, Weisskopf MG, Rich-Edwards JW, Roberts AL. Polygenic risk for autism, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, schizophrenia, major depressive disorder, and neuroticism is associated with the experience of childhood abuse. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(5):1696-1705. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-00996-w
- 95. Strom NI, Smit DJA, Silzer T, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of hoarding symptoms in 27,651 individuals. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):1-8. doi:10.1038/s41398-022-02248-7
- 96. Warrier V, Toro R, Won H, et al. Social and non-social autism symptoms and trait domains are genetically dissociable. *Commun Biol.* 2019;2(1):1-13. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0558-4
- 97. Warrier V, Baron-Cohen S. Childhood trauma, life-time self-harm, and suicidal behaviour and ideation are associated with polygenic scores for autism. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(5):1670-1684. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0550-x
- 98. Cochran WG. Some Methods for Strengthening the Common χ2 Tests. *Biometrics*. 1954;10(4):417-451. doi:10.2307/3001616
- 99. Akingbuwa WA, Hammerschlag AR, Bartels M, Middeldorp CM. Systematic Review: Molecular Studies of Common Genetic Variation in Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2022;61(2):227-242. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.020

- 100. Lee PH, Anttila V, Won H, et al. Genomic Relationships, Novel Loci, and Pleiotropic Mechanisms across Eight Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell*. 2019;179(7):1469-1482.e11. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.020
- 101. Ronald A, Happé F, Plomin R. A twin study investigating the genetic and environmental aetiologies of parent, teacher and child ratings of autistic-like traits and their overlap. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2008;17(8):473-483. doi:10.1007/S00787-008-0689-5/FIGURES/2
- 102. Taylor MJ, Robinson EB, Happé F, Bolton P, Freeman D, Ronald A. A longitudinal twin study of the association between childhood autistic traits and psychotic experiences in adolescence. *Mol Autism.* 2015;6(1):44. doi:10.1186/s13229-015-0037-9
- 103. Agerbo E, Sullivan PF, Vilhjálmsson BJ, et al. Polygenic Risk Score, Parental Socioeconomic Status, Family History of Psychiatric Disorders, and the Risk for Schizophrenia: A Danish Population-Based Study and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015;72(7):635-641. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0346
- 104. Agerbo E, Trabjerg BB, Børglum AD, et al. Risk of Early-Onset Depression Associated With Polygenic Liability, Parental Psychiatric History, and Socioeconomic Status. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2021;78(4):387-397. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.4172
- 105. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002;21(11):1539-1558. doi:10.1002/sim.1186
- 106. Pain O, Glanville KP, Hagenaars SP, et al. Evaluation of polygenic prediction methodology within a reference-standardized framework. *PLOS Genet*. 2021;17(5):e1009021. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009021

- 107. Wand H, Lambert SA, Tamburro C, et al. Improving reporting standards for polygenic scores in risk prediction studies. *Nature*. 2021;591(7849):211-219. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03243-6
- 108. Liu BM, Paskov K, Kent J, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Geographic Access to Autism Resources Across the US. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(1):e2251182. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.51182
- 109. Martin AR, Kanai M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y, Neale BM, Daly MJ. Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(4):584-591. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0379-x
- 110. Arenella M, Cadby G, De Witte W, et al. Potential role for immune-related genes in autism spectrum disorders: Evidence from genome-wide association meta-analysis of autistic traits. *Autism.* 2022;26(2):361-372. doi:10.1177/13623613211019547
- 111. Happé F, Ronald A. The 'Fractionable Autism Triad': A Review of Evidence from Behavioural, Genetic, Cognitive and Neural Research. *Neuropsychol Rev*.
  2008;18(4):287-304. doi:10.1007/s11065-008-9076-8
- 112. Ronald A, Happé F, Bolton P, et al. Genetic heterogeneity between the three components of the autism spectrum: a twin study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2006;45(6):691-699. doi:10.1097/01.chi.0000215325.13058.9d
- 113. Taylor SC, Steeman S, Gehringer BN, et al. Heritability of quantitative autism spectrum traits in adults: A family-based study. *Autism Res.* 2021;14(8):1543-1553. doi:10.1002/aur.2571

- 114. Antaki D, Guevara J, Maihofer AX, et al. A phenotypic spectrum of autism is attributable to the combined effects of rare variants, polygenic risk and sex. *Nat Genet*. 2022;54(9):1284-1292. doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01064-5
- 115. Buxbaum JD. Multiple rare variants in the etiology of autism spectrum disorders.

  \*Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2009;11(1):35-43. doi:10.31887/DCNS.2009.11.1/jdbuxbaum
- 116. Jacquemont S, Coe BP, Hersch M, et al. A Higher Mutational Burden in Females Supports a "Female Protective Model" in Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2014;94(3):415-425. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.02.001
- 117. Sanders SJ, He X, Willsey AJ, et al. Insights into Autism Spectrum Disorder Genomic Architecture and Biology from 71 Risk Loci. *Neuron*. 2015;87(6):1215-1233. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.016
- 118. Kreiser NL, White SW. ASD in Females: Are We Overstating the Gender Difference in Diagnosis? *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*. 2014;17(1):67-84. doi:10.1007/s10567-013-0148-9