1	
2	
3	
4	Exploring the role of temperature and other
5	environmental factors in West Nile virus incidence and
6	prediction in California counties from 2017-2022
7	
8	
9	Noah Parker ^{1*}
10	
11	1 Development of Evidencials and Devloter Cale and of Dublic Use the University of
12	Department of Epidemiology, Berkeley School of Public Health, University of
13	California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States of America
14	
15	
17	* Corresponding author, email: noahtparker3@berkeley.edu
18	corresponding duriner, email nourreputiers e serverey.edd
19	
20	

21	Abstract
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Abstract West Nile virus (WNV) is the most common mosquito-borne disease in the United States, resulting in hundreds of reported cases yearly in California alone. The transmission cycle occurs mostly in birds and mosquitoes, making meteorological conditions, such as temperature, especially important to transmission characteristics. Given that future increases in temperature are all but inevitable due to worldwide climate change, determining associations between temperature and WNV incidence in humans, as well as making predictions on future cases, are incredibly important to public health agencies in California. Using surveillance data from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), meteorological data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and vector and host data from VectorSurv, we created GEE autoregressive and zero-inflated regression models to determine the role of temperature was found to be associated with an increase in incidence in 11 high-burden Californian counties between 2017-2022 (IRR = 1.06), holding location, time of year, and rainfall constant. A hypothetical increase of two degrees Fahrenheit — predicted for California by 2040 — would have resulted in upwards of 20 excess cases per year during our study period. Using 2017-2021 as a training set, meteorological and host/vector data were able to well-predict 2022 incidence, though the models did overestimate the peak number of cases. The zero-inflated model closely predicted the low number of cases in winter months but performed worse than the GEE model during high-transmission periods. These findings suggests that climate change will, and may be
42 43	already, altering transmission dynamics and incidence of WNV in California, and provides tools to help predict incidence into the future.
44 45 46	Author Summany
40 47	Author Summary Wast Nile Virus is a disease that is spread by mesquitees. Though it commonly infects
48	birds, transmission to humans is possible and can lead to severe health effects. Temperature is
49	known to affect the transmission cycle of West Nile virus, but it is unclear how global warming
50	might change who, or how many people, may get infected with the virus. In this study, the
51	researchers looked at how climate change may affect West Nile virus in California, and how
52	health officials may better be able to predict future cases. The study found that there could be
53	an increase in West Nile virus cases in humans due to increases in temperature in the next 20
54 55	years, but that we already have many tools and sources of data to predict cases. These findings
55 56	understanding in the complex relationship between climate and infectious diseases.
57	

58 B. Background

59	West Nile virus (WNV) is a vector-borne flavivirus that is responsible for the most
60	infections of any mosquito-transmitted disease in the United States [1]. West Nile virus was first
61	detected in Southern California in 2003, before quickly spreading to all 58 Californian counties
62	within a year [2]. California bears the largest burden of WNV infections, with 4,035 of the
63	nation's 21,869 infections (18%) between 2009 and 2018 being reported in the state [3]. Only
64	20% of infected people will show any symptoms, while less than 1% will show neurological
65	symptoms, manifesting as West Nile neuroinvasive disease (WNND) [3]. Still, despite the low
66	symptomatic and severity rate, California reported 326 fatalities due to WNND between 2003
67	and 2018, as the fatality rate of WNND is about 10% [4].
68	Many environmental factors are known to impact WNV transmission, with most
69	transmission occurring in non-human hosts. Humans become infected through the bite of a
70	female mosquito from the Culex genus, though WNV cannot be spread between or from humans
71	- they are a dead-end host [3]. The enzootic cycle mainly flows through mosquitoes and certain
72	bird species, such as corvids, finches, and sparrows, though infections of horses and chickens are
73	also common [3]. Given that WNV incidence in mosquitoes, bird, horses, and other species are
74	an indicator of increased transmission in the enzootic cycle, high wildlife prevalence can serve as
75	a good predictor of the transmission risk to humans [4]. Additionally, certain meteorological
76	conditions, such as temperature and rainfall, play an important role in mosquito spawning and
77	behavior and have been shown to be positively associated with human WNV infections [5]. In
78	particular, temperature has been shown to affect mosquito life cycle traits and interactions with
79	pathogens, in turn affecting WNV transmission [2,6,7]. Prior research has highlighted the

80 predictive power of these environmental factors on West Nile cases in the US and Canada, with 81 the possibility that prediction models might be applied in other, higher-burdened areas [1,8]. 82 While other studies have modeled the importance of temperature and certain other 83 environmental factors in WNV transmission in California [9], as well as the ability of the state's 84 warning system to determine outbreaks [4], there is sparse research that has attempted to 85 quantify excess cases that may be associated with changing meteorological conditions. Few 86 studies also expand further to create prediction models using currently collected data sources. 87 This analysis is especially important given the projected rise in temperature in California due to 88 worldwide climate change and can help state officials plan for possible increases in future WNV 89 transmission. To our knowledge, no other papers have used a zero-inflated regression model to 90 examine WNV in California. In the context of West Nile virus, a zero-inflated regression model 91 may have advantages over traditional models given the clear seasonality of human infections 92 and the near certainty of zero cases for much of the year. The effectiveness of such a model over 93 a normal negative binomial regression model is explored in this paper. 94 In our paper, we used a zero-inflated regression model and a generalized estimating 95 equation that incorporates autoregressive structure to measure the association between 96 temperature and WNV incidence, determine the number of excess cases that would result from 97 an increase in temperature, as well as compare the predictions each make for future WNV 98 transmission in California. We hypothesize that temperature will be positively associated with 99 WNV in California after adjusting for rainfall, location, and month of year; meanwhile, 100 meteorological conditions and environmental factors, such as infected dead birds and mosquito 101 pool positivity rate, will be able to predict future WNV cases.

102

103 C. Methods

104 *I.* Data

105 West Nile virus is a national and state notifiable condition [10]. As such, case data was 106 collected by the California Department of Public Health as part of their surveillance program. 107 While weekly case incidence is reported, monthly incidence was used in the study to remove 108 inconsistent lags in reporting. Only counties with a population over 250,000 residents and a total 109 of 40 or more cases over the six-year period (2017-2022) were considered high-burden counties 110 and included in the analysis. This totaled 11 counties: Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Merced, 111 Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernadino, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Tulare. A restriction 112 was placed on cases due to most counties having a small or zero case count of WNV over the 113 study period, while a minimum county size was enacted to protect cases' identities in small 114 counties. We decided to only use the most recent six-year period of case data, as this reflected a 115 tradeoff between having a large number of observations while maintaining a sufficiently 116 contained time range where transmission and meteorological conditions expressed similar 117 characteristics. Average daily temperature (aggregated across the month in Fahrenheit) and 118 rainfall (summed for the month in inches) were collected from the National Oceanic and 119 Atmospheric Administration. Mosquito pool positivity rates (percentage of mosquito pools 120 within a county that tested positive for WNV) and bird infection counts (count of dead birds that 121 tested positive for WNV) were obtained through California's VectorSurv program under request 122 number 000067.

123

124 П. Zero-Inflated and GEE Models for Measure of Association M

125 The Models and Variables

143

126 Negative binomial regression was conducted in both models instead of Poisson because 127 the case data had an overdispersed distribution. Due to the time it takes for a person to become 128 sick enough to seek treatment, as well as the reporting delays of cases to the state health 129 department, we assumed that meteorological conditions and reported case counts are not 130 directly related in time. Given that substantial lags between case onset and case reporting — an 131 average of 5 weeks — have been found in other WNV outbreaks, temperature and rainfall were 132 both lagged one month, so that the variables prior month temperature and prior month rainfall 133 would better reflect the current month's cases [11]. As the reporting of vector data are also 134 subject to delays in reporting and biological processes, it was assumed that the mosquito pool 135 positivity rate and dead bird count follow similar delays as cases and therefore were not lagged 136 [11]. This selective lagging strategy has been used in other work that models WNV [1]. Rainfall, 137 month of year, and county were adjusted for as confounders in the relationship between 138 temperature and WNV cases. Controlling for these variables also helps control for the 139 seasonality and location dependence of West Nile infections. The percentage of positive 140 mosquito pools and positive dead birds were determined to be on the causal pathway and were 141 not analyzed in the models testing for a measure of association, the incidence rate ratio. 142 Zero-inflated

144 We used two different methods to estimate an association between temperature and 145 West Nile virus incidence. First, we utilized a zero-inflated negative binomial regression model to

146	model the count of cases in the 11 high-burden counties. This method was used to account for
147	the large number of months with zero cases in the data, as there is little WNV transmission
148	outside of June through November. 525 of the monthly case counts were zero, representing
149	66.3% of the observations.
150	We represent the number of cases as ${f y}_{it}$ where i represents the county (i = 111) and t
151	represents the month of observation over the six-year period (t = 172). We assume that
152	structural zeroes appear in the data at time t and county i at a probability of $\pi.$ Therefore, there
153	are two processes in which data can be generated for each observation: the first, which
154	generates a case count of 0 with probability of π ; the second, which generates a case count
155	corresponding to a negative binomial model, represented by $g(y_{it} X_{it})$ with a probability of
156	$1-\pi$.

157
$$y_{it} \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability} & \pi_i \\ g(y_{it}|X_{it}) & \text{with probability} & 1-\pi_i \end{cases}$$

158

Therefore, we assume the probability that the expected number of cases, Y_{it} , is equal to y_{it} is given by the zero-inflated negative binomial model below. X_{it} represents the vector of covariates in the model, while $Month_{it}$ expresses the calendar month at county i and time t, which is believed to well predict whether there will be cases in a given observation or not.

164
$$\Pr(Y_{it} = y_{it} \mid X_{it}, Month_{it}) = \begin{cases} \pi(Month_{it}) + \{1 - \pi(Month_{it})\}(g(0 \mid X_{it})) & \text{if } y_{it} = 0\\ \{1 - \pi(Month_{it})\}(g(y_{it} \mid X_{it})) & \text{if } y_{it} > 0 \end{cases}$$

The function representing the negative binomial model, $g(y_{it} | X_{it})$, is defined below, 166 167 where the vector of covariates in the model, X_{it} , includes the prior month temperature, prior 168 month rainfall, the county, and the month. Prior month temperature is the exposure of interest 169 on the outcome, while prior month rainfall, county, and month are all confounders of this 170 relationship. While county and month are shown here as being expressed by one βeta term, 171 they are analyzed as factor variables in the model, meaning that a dummy variable was created 172 for each category level (10 for county and 11 for month), and a *βeta* term was calculated for 173 each.

174
$$g(y_{it} | X_{it}) = E(y_{it} | X_{it}) = (exp^{X_{it}\beta})$$

175
$$g(y_{it} | X_{it}) = E(y_{it} | X_{it}) = \exp \left[\beta_0 + \beta_1(PriorMonthTemp) + \beta_2(PriorMonthRainfall) + \beta_3(County) + \beta_4(Month)\right]$$

176

177 Negative Binomial

178 Second, we used a negative binomial model with autocorrelation features. We utilized an 179 "ar1" auto-correlation structure, meaning cases in one month were strongly correlated with 180 cases in the month before and the month after, but not other months. This structure is thought 181 to accurately describe infection dynamics in our system, as the cases in county i at time t are 182 dictated by how many mosquito infections, and subsequent human infections, were present at 183 time t-1, as well as influences how many cases there will be at time t+1 given the same 184 dynamics. The model follows the same form as the negative binomial part of the zero-inflated 185 model, but has an added autoregressive term, σ , that follows a distribution of "ar1", as shown in 186 the model below.

187

$$\log[E(Y_{it} | X_{it})] = X_{it}\beta$$

189 $\log[E(Y_{it} | X_{it})] = \beta_0 + \beta_1(PriorMonthTemp) + \beta_2(PriorMonthRainfall) + \beta_3(County) + \beta_4(Month) + \sigma$

190 $\sigma \sim AR(1)$

191

192 Standardization

193 Model standardization was conducted to retrieve a risk difference (in the number of 194 excess cases) between a hypothetical dataset where temperature was increased by two degrees 195 (with all other variables kept constant) and the observed dataset. We created a population 196 where *prior month temperature* was increased by two degrees Fahrenheit across all 197 observations, later using it to fit a zero-inflated model and find the total number of cases 198 expected in the six-year study. The risk difference is the total number of cases expected in the 199 hypothetical population minus the number of cases expected given the observed data. While this 200 risk difference cannot be interpreted as an association between temperature and West Nile 201 incidence — due to it being a hypothetical population — it can provide insight into possible 202 repercussions of a changing climate in the future. Bootstrapped sampling, using the bias-203 corrected and accelerated technique (BCA), was subsequently applied to measure the 204 uncertainty in our risk difference calculations.

205

206 III. Prediction Models

Both the zero-inflated model and autoregressive negative binomial model were used as
prediction models for WNV cases in 2022. Both models were trained on the data from 2017-

209 2021 and then tested on the data from 2022. The models followed the same assumptions and 210 equations as the models specified above, respectively, but were made to include different sets of 211 the covariates in the data. Since they are prediction models, all variables, including percentage of 212 positive mosquito pools and positive dead birds— which were left out of the measure of 213 association models due to existing on the causal pathway between temperature and WNV 214 incidence — were included in the analysis. The four different prediction sets tested were: "All," 215 comprising all the covariables listed; "Host/Vector," comprising dead infected birds and 216 mosquito positivity rate; "Just Temperature," comprising just prior month temperature; and 217 "Weather," comprising prior month temperature and prior month rainfall. We opted to use 218 mean squared error (MSE) to compare the models over information criteria tests, such as the 219 AIC or BIC, as we didn't have concrete likelihood estimates from the GEE model [12]. Though 220 mean squared error doesn't account for and penalize the number of predictor variables in each 221 model, the lack of a large difference in variables used (4 vs 2 vs 2 vs 1 in "All," "Host/Vector," 222 "Weather," and "Just Temperature," respectively) and a clear difference in each model's mean 223 squared error highlighted a clear best fit for the data.

224

225 D. Ethical Dimensions

Given the ecological design of the study and the passively collected surveillance case data, no data was actively collected on human subjects. No identifiers were included in the data, and only aggregated data from high-burden, highly populated counties were used in the analysis to protect individual's identities. Therefore, there was no risk to any individuals who may have been included in the data. While this analysis was conducted well after all of the infections and

- any findings will not be of benefit to the individual, the results may still benefit these community
- in the future.
- 233
- 234 E. Results
- 235 Descriptive Analysis
- Fig 1 plots the mean temperature and West Nile virus cases across all 11 high-burden
- 237 counties included in the study. This figure shows the clear seasonality of WNV activity, with the
- peak of each year occurring in the summer, when the temperature is highest. This also highlights
- the almost complete lack of cases in the colder winter months. There is high variability in the
- number of cases in these counties in a single year: there were 515 cases reported in 2017, while
- 241 just 77 in 2021.
- 242
- 243
- 244
- 245
- 246
- 247
- 248
- 249
- 250 Fig 1







252 These trends are further explored in Table 1, which shows the average cases,

temperature, rainfall, dead birds, and mosquito pool positivity per six-month periods in each

county over the study period. There are clear seasonal differences in all the variables; the

analysis of detangling seasonality and temperature to examine the association between

temperature and WNV cases is presented below.

270 Table 1

Table 1: Characteristcs of	^F WNV Cases	s and Enviro	inmental Fa	ctors per Mo	inth By Cour	nty from 20	17-2022					
* All variables are average per	Tatal							0 to 0 to 0 to 0	San		Ctonicloud	Tuloro
month	I OTAI	Fresho	Kern	LOS Angeles	INIErcea	Urange	KIVERSIGE	Sacramento	bernaraino	nuppeot nec	Stanisiaus	i ulare
Cases												
Nov - Apr	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.75	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.03	0.14	0.03	0.03	0.03
May - Oct	3.15	3.61	2.92	13.33	1.39	2.03	1.72	1.14	2.11	1.28	3.14	2.03
Temperature (F)												
Nov - Apr	56.5	55.2	56.1	61.3	52.5	61.1	59.3	54.7	59.5	53.7	53.5	54.9
May - Oct	75.2	78.4	79.3	72.3	74.2	71.8	75.6	74.5	76.1	73.6	74.3	76.6
Rainfall (In.)												
Nov - Apr	1.70	1.47	0.87	1.98	1.60	1.57	1.29	2.82	1.74	1.96	1.65	1.81
May - Oct	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.0	0.09	0.34	0.10	0.24	0.14	0.18
Dead Birds												
Nov - Apr	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.47	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
May - Oct	3.80	0.64	0.17	11.42	0.25	4.67	1.58	16.83	1.75	2.81	0.50	1.14
Mosquito Positivity Rate (%)												
Nov - Apr	0.14	0.00	0.00	1.12	0.00	0.21	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00
May - Oct	7.83	13.55	14.97	10.46	4.37	3.70	4.10	2.32	3.26	9.76	8.63	11.03

271 Statistical Models and Standardization

- Both the zero-inflated and GEE with autoregressive correlation models show a positive
- 273 correlation between prior month temperature and WNV cases in a month (Table 2). Each model
- 274 was adjusted for the variables prior month rainfall, county, and month, which were determined
- to be confounders in the relationship of interest.
- 276

277 Table 2

Assocation Between Incidence of WNV and Prior Month Temperature in High-Burden California Counties

	Zero-Infl	ated Regression	GEE with Autoregressive Correlation	
Characteristic	IRR ^{1,2}	95% Cl²	IRR ^{1,2}	95% CI ²
Prior Month Temperature	1.057	1.013, 1.103	1.048	1.012, 1.086
¹ Adjusted for Prior Month R	ainfall, Cou	inty, and Month		
² IRR = Incidence Rate Ratio	, CI = Conf	idence Interval		

279	Both models had nearly the same estimation of 1.05 for the incidence rate ratio — this
280	represents that a one degree increase in prior month temperature is associated with a 1.05
281	times greater incidence of West Nile virus in a month, controlling for prior month rainfall,
282	location, and the time of year. The IRR estimates from both models were statistically significant,
283	though the autoregressive GEE model had a narrower 95% confidence interval (Table 2).
284	The models can also be extrapolated to larger changes in temperatures, as is predicted
285	by some climatologists [13–15]. Some estimates suggest that California's average temperatures
286	may rise by two degrees Fahrenheit by 2040 [13,14]. Given a two degree increase in
287	temperature, and holding all other variables constant, the zero-inflated models suggest that this

would be associated with a 1.12 times greater incidence rate, while the GEE model reports thisnumber to be 1.10.

290	Fig 2 shows the risk difference of WNV cases conducted through model standardization,
291	comparing the predicted cases under the observed data versus a hypothetical dataset where all
292	temperature observations were increased by two degrees Fahrenheit. As shown in the figure, a
293	two degree increase in temperature, holding all other variables constant, results in more cases (a
294	positive risk difference) in the zero-inflated model. This increase is heavily concentrated in
295	summer months, with at least eight excess cases predicted every year during peak months. An
296	increase in temperature barely affects winter months where there is little to no transmission.
297	Across the six-year study period, the risk difference between the hypothetical data, with a two
298	degree temperature increase, and the observed data is 148.7 (95% confidence interval of 28.8 -
299	292.8) cases for the zero-inflated model. This averages out to 24.8 (4.8 - 48.8) excess cases per
300	year.

301 Fig 2



Risk Difference (Shaded) between Original Zero-Inflated Model and Two Degree Increased Zero-Inflated Model

302

303

304 *Prediction Models*

Table 3 shows the output of the prediction model with all variables for the zero-inflated model and GEE with autoregressive correlation model. As in the measure of association model, both types of models showed fairly similar results, as prior month temperature, mosquito pool positivity rate, and dead birds positively predicted WNV cases in each model, while prior month rainfall negatively predicted WNV cases. The models' predictions slightly differed in their estimates of the beta for each variable, and while small in absolute size, the differences were significant, as seen in later analysis.

313 Table 3

Prediction Model Ou	itput Betw	veen Environmen	tal Factors and	d WNV Incidence
	Zero-Infl	ated Regression	GEE with Aut	oregressive Correlation
Characteristic	Beta	95% CI ¹	Beta	95% CI ¹
Prior Month Temperature	0.08	0.04, 0.11	0.07	0.02, 0.12
Prior Month Rainfall	-0.21	-0.48, 0.07	-0.24	-0.38, -0.11
Mosquito Positivity Rate	0.05	0.03, 0.06	0.04	0.02, 0.05
Dead Birds	0.06	0.04, 0.08	0.02	0.01, 0.04
¹ CI = Confidence Interval				

315	Fig 3 plots the predicted cases in the 11-high burden counties in 2022 for each variable
316	set — across both model types — against the actual number of reported cases, as well as each
317	model's MSE. All variable sets of the zero-inflated model fit the actual data well during zero case
318	count months (November-June) but over-estimated the number of cases in the peak summer
319	months. "Just Temperature" and "Weather" both greatly over-estimated the peak summer
320	months and incorrectly predicted the shape of the incidence curve. It's possible that since the
321	zero-inflated model already uses the month of year, which is very strongly tied to weather
322	patterns, to determine non-zero case counts, adding weather data to the prediction model did
323	not increase the strength of the fit. "All" variables provided the best fit — the lowest MSE — to
324	the data out of the zero-inflated subset.

Predicted Cases for 2022 for Zero-Inflated Model (Top Row) and GEE Model (Bottom Row) Using Different Sets Of Variables



325 Fig 3

*All = Prior Month Temp, Prior Month Rainfall, Dead Birds, Mosquito Positivity Rate; Host/Vector = Dead Birds and Mosquito Positivity Rate; Weather = Prior Month Temp and Prior Month Rainfall 326

327 The GEE subset of models showed more similar fits, again most likely due to the model 328 not having the month predictor for zero case counts. In contrast to the zero-inflated models, the 329 GEE prediction models followed the peak of cases in August much more closely, though it also 330 overestimated the actual reported number of cases slightly. The GEE model greatly 331 overestimated the number of cases in months with low case counts, however, often at scales of 332 three to four times larger. The "Host/Vector" model especially produced a skewed fit, as even 333 with a mid-range mean squared error, it predicted 10 cases a month in the winter months and 334 overall had a non-normal shape. The "All" GEE model resulted in the best fit across all of the 335 prediction models with a mean squared error of 17 and a closely following curve.

336	Though it had the lowest MSE, the "All" GEE model still predicted more total cases in
337	2022 than were actually reported, by a count of 214 to 172, respectively. The best performing
338	model in this regard was in fact the skewed "Host/Vector" GEE model (192 cases), followed by
339	the "All" zero-inflated model (202 cases), and the "Host/Vector" zero-inflated model (214 cases).
340	In a simpler view, Fig 4 shows both the zero-inflated and GEE "All" models — the two-
341	best performing models according to MSE $-$ plotted against the actual reported cases in the 11-
342	high burden counties in 2022. This more clearly shows the differences between the prediction
343	models discussed above.

344 Fig 4



345

346 F. Discussion



349 month rainfall constant, a one unit increase in prior month temperature was associated with 350 1.05 times the incidence of WNV. Given a hypothetical two degree Fahrenheit increase in 351 average monthly temperature, which has been predicted for California in the next few decades 352 due to climate change [13,14], the zero-inflated model predicted 24.8 excess cases per year over 353 our study period. In the prediction models, using all variables — weather and host/vector 354 combined — resulted in the best fit, and lowest mean squared error, for 2022 case counts. The 355 GEE approach had overall better fitting prediction models, but the zero-inflated model was able 356 to predict the total case count more closely for the year. 357 Our estimates on the significantly positive association between temperature and WNV 358 incidence at the group level is well-supported in other studies in California and the US [9,16]. The 359 magnitude of our association is in line with findings from Hahn et. al, who observed an odds ratio 360 of 1.1 nationally [16]; alternatively, Hernandez et. al calculated an odds ratio of 10.5 in 361 California's San Joaquin Valley, though this finding did have a wide confidence interval [9]. The 362 connection between temperature and mosquito life traits, [6] such as development time and 363 survival, as well as mosquito and viral interaction, such as the extrinsic incubation period — the 364 time it takes for an infected mosquito to become infective to hosts — have been documented in 365 numerous other studies [7,17]. These associations are complex and can often vary at different 366 temperatures: e.g. Culex development rates rise with temperature until a certain point, when 367 increasing temperature then causes rising mortality [18]. While this paper doesn't go into the 368 biological mechanisms that mediate this relationship, these previous findings provide a causal 369 basis for the observed association.

370 Given the results of both types of prediction models, it appears that having weather data 371 alone provides little predictive power for WNV cases in California. Host and vector data provides 372 a pretty good fit in the absence of weather data for the zero-inflated model, showing that simple 373 enzootic data from local vector departments can be enough to give useful information on 374 current and future WNV cases in humans. However, given how readily available weather data is, 375 it seems unnecessary and uninformative to use a prediction model with just host and vector data. This mostly aligns with the results of previous work. Wimberly et. al shows that while 376 377 meteorological and mosquito infection rate data can predict WNV cases better than just 378 historical data, it takes the combination of the two to best predict future cases [8]. Davis et. al's 379 prediction model also showed that meteorological and mosquito data together can well predict 380 future WNV cases, but again, disagreed with our model that mosquito infection data alone does 381 nearly as well as all the data [19].

382 Our study revealed the power of the zero-inflated model to correctly predict the number 383 of cases in low transmission months compared to more traditional models. However, the utility 384 of this feature is limited, as low transmission months are of much smaller worry to health 385 departments than summer months. The more traditional GEE model followed the shape and 386 case counts of the peak summer months much closer and may provide health departments with 387 a much more accurate picture of what may come month to month. Though it greatly 388 overpredicts the number of cases during the summer, the zero-inflated model more accurately 389 predicted the total number of cases in 2022, highlighting its potential utility in helping the state 390 plan on a macro level. These models will need to be tested on a larger geographic scale with

391 more counties and with newer years of data to make any final statements on their ability to be392 used by health departments.

393 A great strength of the study is its potential to be easily interpretable and implementable 394 to public health agencies and the public: the data was easily accessible, and the model has just a 395 few parameters that can be quickly integrated. These models allow for analyses that don't 396 require complex knowledge of the many relationships that underlie the observed associations, 397 including the mechanisms by which temperature is associated with incidence. It also lends itself 398 to future use by public health departments, which don't need mountains of data to help predict 399 WNV cases. We also utilized standardization to estimate a risk difference in cases between the 400 observed data and a hypothetical California with an increased temperature of two degrees 401 Fahrenheit, an underutilized strategy that can provide crucial insights into the future of WNV 402 transmission in the state. Though a hypothetical scenario, it provides more easily digestible and 403 understandable estimates (in number of excess cases per month) than an odds ratio, or even our 404 calculated rate ratio.

405 The data in this study has inherent limitations, however. Cases were aggregated on a 406 monthly level, and other variables were in turn averaged or summed to correspond to this time 407 frame. The lack of granularity in case data may bias the association between temperature and 408 incidence, especially considering that weather can be highly volatile, a characteristic that is lost 409 when averaged over a monthly time frame. Surveillance data, which comprises the case counts, 410 dead bird counts, and mosquito pool positivity rate, also carries its own shortcomings, as it 411 misses most, if not all, of asymptomatic infections and may not be representative of the 412 infection dynamics in the system as a whole. We also lost granularity and possible variation in

weather patterns and transmission dynamics across counties by analyzing the counties together;
future work would benefit by looking at the effect of temperature on WNV incidence within

415 counties, possibly at the zip code or census tract level.

416 There are also limitations based on the way the models were constructed, especially the 417 zero-inflated model. Based on data from the last decade, we assumed that the month of year 418 was a quality predictor of whether there would be non-zero case counts. However, studies have 419 shown that a rise in temperature can also shift the seasonality of WNV in California [20]. This 420 change would challenge our assumption and possibly bias our estimate of the association 421 between temperature and WNV. Sensitivity analyses can be run to determine if other predictors, 422 or sets of predictors, would be better suited as the zero count predictor in the zero-inflated 423 model.

424 While this aggregated ecological study has limitations, it provides an easy and convenient 425 study design using pre-existing surveillance data. As previously mentioned, it is mandatory to 426 report WNV disease, creating a passive surveillance system; as a result, no new data on the 427 outcome needed to be assessed. The type of exposure — environmental — also pointed to using 428 an ecological study, as temperature is a group-level exposure. It not only would be hard to 429 measure the temperature experienced by an individual in a cohort, but generally uninformative 430 to attempt to discern how a change in temperature affects a singular person's risk of WNV 431 disease, which is influenced by many different possible factors, including type of job and 432 socioeconomic status.

Therefore, we don't believe to be committing any ecological fallacy — inferring that the
ecological association equals the individual association — as we don't attempt to determine or

435 make assumptions about the effect of temperature on the individual risk of WNV disease. Our 436 study simply examines the risk aggregated at the county level. This is probably more informative 437 to Californian public health agencies, as the risk of WNV disease to the average Californian is 438 very low. In all, an ecological study was sufficient and better applicable to calculate the 439 association of interest at the county level, while remaining easily replicable to future researchers 440 and public health departments. 441 More types of climatic analysis can be conducted using this same data, such as the effect 442 of prior seasonal rainfall and temperature on future WNV incidence, the importance of which 443 has been shown for the length of the WNV season in the US as a whole [21,22]. Exploring the 444 mechanisms that mediate the association between temperature and West Nile virus would also 445 be beneficial to further analyze different intervention strategies. Finally, more work is required 446 to understand the interface between humans and the enzootic carriers of WNV in California, and 447 how climate change may alter the ways people interact and are exposed to these hosts and 448 vectors. 449 450 Conclusion 451 Increasing temperatures is associated with a higher incidence of West Nile virus infection 452 in 11 high-burden California counties. Along with mosquito and bird infection data, 453 meteorological data can well-predict future WNV incidence in these counties. This will be of 454 extreme importance to public health agencies as WNV continues to become a larger health 455 burden due to global warming and climate change.

457 Acknowledgements

- 458 I would like to thank Dr. Jack Colford for his continued help with the structure, revisions,
- 459 and publishing process of this work, Dr. Mary Beth Danforth for her guidance with the CDPH
- 460 data, and Lincoln Wells and the team at VectorSurv for providing the host and vector data. This
- 461 work was supported by the Department of Epidemiology at the Berkeley School of Public Health
- 462 and was only possible with the assistance of numerous researchers and mentors in Berkeley.

464	References
463 466 467 468	1. Albrecht L, Kaufeld KA. Investigating the impact of environmental factors on West Nile virus human case prediction in Ontario, Canada. Front Public Health [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Oct 31];11. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1100543
469 470	2. Reisen W, Lothrop H, Chiles R, Madon M, Cossen C, Woods L, et al. West Nile virus in California. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10:1369–78.
471 472	3. Snyder RE, Feiszli T, Foss L, Messenger S, Fang Y, Barker CM, et al. West Nile virus in California, 2003–2018: A persistent threat. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14:e0008841.
473 474 475	4. Danforth ME, Snyder RE, Lonstrup ETN, Barker CM, Kramer VL. Evaluation of the effectiveness of the California mosquito-borne virus surveillance & response plan, 2009–2018. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022;16:e0010375.
476 477 478	5. Soverow JE, Wellenius GA, Fisman DN, Mittleman MA. Infectious Disease in a Warming World: How Weather Influenced West Nile Virus in the United States (2001–2005). Environ Health Perspect. 2009;117:1049–52.
479 480	6. CIOTA AT, MATACCHIERO AC, KILPATRICK AM, KRAMER LD. The Effect of Temperature on Life History Traits of Culex Mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 2014;51:55–62.
481 482 483	7. Richards SL, Mores CN, Lord CC, Tabachnick WJ. Impact of Extrinsic Incubation Temperature and Virus Exposure on Vector Competence of Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile Virus. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2007;7:629–36.
484 485 486	8. Wimberly MC, Davis JK, Hildreth MB, Clayton JL. Integrated Forecasts Based on Public Health Surveillance and Meteorological Data Predict West Nile Virus in a High-Risk Region of North America. Environ Health Perspect. 2022;130:087006.
487 488 489	9. Hernandez E, Torres R, Joyce AL. Environmental and Sociological Factors Associated with the Incidence of West Nile Virus Cases in the Northern San Joaquin Valley of California, 2011–2015. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2019;19:851–8.
490 491	10. Data and Maps West Nile Virus [Internet]. CDC. 2023 [cited 2023 Dec 26]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/statsmaps/data-and-maps.html
492 493 494	11. DeFelice NB, Birger R, DeFelice N, Gagner A, Campbell SR, Romano C, et al. Modeling and Surveillance of Reporting Delays of Mosquitoes and Humans Infected With West Nile Virus and Associations With Accuracy of West Nile Virus Forecasts. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2:e193175.
495 496	12. 12.1 - Introduction to Generalized Estimating Equations [Internet]. Penn State Eberly Coll. Sci [cited 2023 Dec 26]. Available from: https://online.stat.psu.edu/stat504/book/export/html/797

- 497 13. Cayan D, Kalansky J, Pierce D, Gershunov A. FAQ: Climate Change in California | Scripps
- 498 Institution of Oceanography [Internet]. UC San Diego. [cited 2023 Dec 26]. Available from:
- 499 https://scripps.ucsd.edu/research/climate-change-resources/faq-climate-change-california
- 500 14. Pierce D, Kalansky J, Cayan D. Climate, Drought, and Sea Level Rise Scenarios for California's
- Fourth Climate Change Assessment. California Energy Commission; 2018 Aug p. 17–20. Report
 No.: 006.
- 503 15. Gershunov A, Guirguis K. California heat waves in the present and future. Geophys Res Lett
- 504 [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2023 Dec 26];39. Available from:
- 505 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2012GL052979
- 506 16. Hahn MB, Monaghan AJ, Hayden MH, Eisen RJ, Delorey MJ, Lindsey NP, et al. Meteorological
- 507 Conditions Associated with Increased Incidence of West Nile Virus Disease in the United States, 508 2004–2012. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015;92:1013–22.
- 509 17. Reisen WK, Fang Y, Martinez VM. Effects of temperature on the transmission of west nile
- 510 virus by Culex tarsalis (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 2006;43:309–17.
- 511 18. DeFelice NB, Schneider ZD, Little E, Barker C, Caillouet KA, Campbell SR, et al. Use of
- temperature to improve West Nile virus forecasts. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14:e1006047.
- 513 19. Davis JK, Vincent G, Hildreth MB, Kightlinger L, Carlson C, Wimberly MC. Integrating
- 514 Environmental Monitoring and Mosquito Surveillance to Predict Vector-borne Disease:
- 515 Prospective Forecasts of a West Nile Virus Outbreak. PLOS Curr Outbreaks [Internet]. 2017 [cited
- 516 2023 Dec 21]; Available from: http://currents.plos.org/outbreaks/article/integrating-
- 517 environmental-monitoring-and-mosquito-surveillance-to-predict-vector-borne-disease-
- 518 prospective-forecasts-of-a-west-nile-virus-outbreak/
- 20. Hartley DM, Barker CM, Le Menach A, Niu T, Gaff HD, Reisen WK. Effects of Temperature on
 Emergence and Seasonality of West Nile Virus in California. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;86:884–
 94.
- 522 21. Gorris ME, Randerson JT, Coffield SR, Treseder KK, Zender CS, Xu C, et al. Assessing the
- 523 Influence of Climate on the Spatial Pattern of West Nile Virus Incidence in the United States.524 Environ Health Perspect. 2023;131:047016.
- 525 22. Ward MJ, Sorek-Hamer M, Henke JA, Little E, Patel A, Shaman J, et al. A Spatially Resolved
 526 and Environmentally Informed Forecast Model of West Nile Virus in Coachella Valley, California.
 527 GeoHealth. 2023;7:e2023GH000855.
- 528 23. Erdman D, Jackson L, Sinko A. Zero-Inflated Poisson and Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial
 529 Models Using the COUNTREG Procedure. SAS Institute. 2008;
- 530
- 531 24. WestNile.ca.gov [Internet]. Vector-Borne Disease Section | CA Dept of Public Health. [cited
- 532 2023 Dec 26]. Available from: <u>https://westnile.ca.gov/</u>

- 533
- 534 25. Negative Binomial Regression. NCSS Documentation. NCSS Statistical Software; 2023.
- 535
- 536 26. Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Regression. NCSS Documentation. NCSS Statistical Software;
- 537 2023.
- 538
- 539