# 1 Title: Net Clinical Benefit of Edoxaban for 12 versus 3 Months in Cancer-associated

# 2 Isolated Distal Deep Vein Thrombosis: ONCO DVT study

3

Authors: Yuji Nishimoto, MD<sup>1,2</sup>; Yugo Yamashita, MD<sup>3</sup>; Takeshi Morimoto, MD, MPH<sup>4</sup>; 4Nao Muraoka, MD<sup>5</sup>; Michihisa Umetsu, MD<sup>6</sup>; Takuma Takada, MD<sup>7</sup>; Yoshito Ogihara, MD<sup>8</sup>;  $\mathbf{5}$ Tatsuya Nishikawa, MD<sup>9</sup>; Nobutaka Ikeda, MD<sup>10</sup>; Kazunori Otsui, MD<sup>11</sup>; Daisuke Sueta, 6 MD<sup>12</sup>; Yukari Tsubata, MD<sup>13</sup>; Masaaki Shoji, MD<sup>14</sup>; Ayumi Shikama, MD<sup>15</sup>; Yutaka Hosoi,  $\overline{7}$ MD<sup>16</sup>; Yasuhiro Tanabe, MD<sup>17</sup>; Ryuki Chatani, MD<sup>18</sup>; Kengo Tsukahara, MD<sup>19</sup>; Naohiko 8 Nakanishi, MD<sup>20</sup>; Kitae Kim, MD<sup>21</sup>; Satoshi Ikeda, MD<sup>22</sup>; Yukihito Sato, MD<sup>2</sup>; Tetsuya 9 Watanabe, MD<sup>1</sup>; Takahisa Yamada, MD<sup>1</sup>; Masatake Fukunami, MD<sup>1</sup>; Takeshi Kimura, MD<sup>23</sup>; 10 On behalf of the ONCO DVT Study Investigators. 11

12

Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Division of Cardiology, Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Japan. 13<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, 14Amagasaki, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, 15Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Hyogo Medical 16University, Nishinomiya, Japan. <sup>5</sup>Division of Cardiology, Shizuoka Cancer Center, Shizuoka, 17Japan. <sup>6</sup>Division of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, Tohoku University Hospital, 18Sendai, Japan. <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiology, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan. 19<sup>8</sup>Department of Cardiology and Nephrology, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, 20Tsu, Japan. <sup>9</sup>Department of Onco-Cardiology, Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, 21Japan. <sup>10</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Toho University Ohashi Medical Center, 22Tokyo, Japan. <sup>11</sup>Department of General Internal Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School 23of Medicine, Kobe, Japan.<sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of 24Medical Sciences, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan.<sup>13</sup>Department of Internal 25Medicine Division of Medical Oncology and Respiratory Medicine Shimane University 26

Faculty of Medicine, Izumo, Japan.<sup>14</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National 1 Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>15</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty  $\mathbf{2}$ of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan.<sup>16</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, 3 Kyorin University Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan.<sup>17</sup>Department of Cardiology, St. 4Marianna University School of Medicine, Kawasaki, Japan.<sup>18</sup>Department of Cardiovascular  $\mathbf{5}$ Medicine, Kurashiki Central Hospital, Kurashiki, Japan.<sup>19</sup>Division of Cardiology, Fujisawa 6 City Hospital, Fujisawa, Japan.<sup>20</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School  $\overline{7}$ of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan.<sup>21</sup>Department 8 9 of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kobe City Medical Center General Hospital, Kobe, Japan. <sup>22</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Nagasaki University Graduate School of 10 Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan. <sup>23</sup>Department of Cardiology, Hirakata Kohsai 11 Hospital, Hirakata, Japan. 12

13

14 Short title: Net clinical benefit of Edoxaban: ONCO DVT study

15

16 Address for correspondence: Yuji Nishimoto, MD

17 Division of Cardiology, Osaka General Medical Center

18 3-1-56, Mandai-Higashi, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8558, Japan

19 TEL: +81-6-6692-1201 FAX: +81-6-6606-7000 E-mail: yuji.nishimoto@gmail.com

## 1 Abstract

Background: The ONCO DVT (Edoxaban for 12 Months Versus 3 Months in Patients With Cancer With Isolated Distal Deep Vein Thrombosis) study has revealed the superiority of a 12-month versus 3-month edoxaban treatment in terms of fewer thrombotic events for cancer-associated isolated distal deep vein thrombosis; however, concern for increased bleeding with longer anticoagulation remains.

Methods: In this post-hoc analysis of the ONCO DVT study, we compared 12-month and 73-month edoxaban treatments in terms of the net adverse clinical events (NACE) as a 8 9 composite endpoint of the primary endpoint (symptomatic recurrent VTE and VTE-related death at 12 months) and major secondary endpoint (major bleeding at 12-months) of the 10 11 ONCO DVT study. The net clinical benefit of a 12-month over 3-month treatment was defined as the sum of the differences in the incidence of thrombotic and bleeding events 1213between the 3- month and 12-month treatments. The weight of bleeding events was set at 1.0, 14and we assessed the changes in the net clinical benefit with weights of bleeding events set at 0.5 and 2.0. 15

**Results:** With a weight of bleeding events of 1.0, NACE occurred in 30 of 296 patients 1617(10.1%) in the 12-month edoxaban group and in 42 of 305 patients (13.8%) in the 3-month 18edoxaban group. The net clinical benefit was 3.6% (95% CI, -1.5% to 8.8%). There was a significant treatment-by-subgroup interaction between the thrombocytopenia or cancer 1920metastasis subgroup factors and the effect of the 12-month relative to the 3-month treatment for NACE. As the weights of bleeding events changed from 0.5 to 2.0, the net clinical benefit 2122in the 12-month edoxaban group as compared to the 3-month edoxaban group became 23attenuated from 4.8% (95% CI, 0.5% to 9.0%) to 0.7% (95% CI, -5.7% to 7.1%).

Conclusions: The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was
not significant; however, the 12-month treatment had a numerically lower incidence of
NACE than the 3-month treatment.

- **Clinical Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT03895502.
- **Keywords:** venous thrombosis; neoplasms; edoxaban; hemorrhage; recurrence

# 1 Clinical Perspective

## 2 What is new?

The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was not significant in terms of the net clinical adverse events (NACE) combined with symptomatic recurrent venous thromboembolism (VTE), VTE-related death, or major bleeding with a weight of bleeding events of 1.0, however, the 12-month group had a numerically lower incidence of the NACE than the 3-month group.

- The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment became
  attenuated as the weights of the bleeding events increased.
- 10
- 11 What are the clinical implications?

The present study revealed that the 12-month edoxaban treatment compared with the
 3-month edoxaban treatment was basically favorable in terms of NACE; however, the
 net clinical benefit of the 12-month edoxaban treatment became attenuated as the
 weights of the bleeding events increased.

- Further studies should be required to evaluate the case fatality rate of each event and its
   impact on cancer treatment.
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

24

- 25
- 26

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

# 1 Introduction

Cancer-associated venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a major concern in the cancer  $\mathbf{2}$ trajectory because it is one of leading causes of death in patients with cancer and also can 3 interrupt or delay cancer treatment.<sup>1,2</sup> In addition, the incidence of cancer-associated VTE has 4increased in recent years due to an improved prognosis in patients with cancer, increased use  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 of thrombogenic anticancer-drugs, growing awareness of cancer-associated thrombosis, and more frequent imaging tests for cancer assessments.<sup>1,3–5</sup> Recent randomized controlled trials  $\overline{7}$ comparing direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) with low-molecular-weight heparin have 8 9 revealed that DOACs could be a potential treatment option for cancer-associated VTE, which has resulted in a paradigm shift in the treatment strategies.<sup>6-11</sup> Given the long-term high risk 10 of recurrence in patients with cancer-associated VTE,<sup>12</sup> the latest guidelines recommend 11 prolonged anticoagulation therapy including DOACs for these patients.<sup>13–16</sup> However, 1213patients with cancer also have a high risk of bleeding during anticoagulation therapy, leading to a challenge in achieving a risk-benefit balance with anticoagulation therapy.<sup>17</sup> 14

The recent ONCO-DVT (Edoxaban for 12 Months Versus 3 Months in Patients With 15Cancer With Isolated Distal Deep Vein Thrombosis) study showed the potential benefit of 1617extended anticoagulation therapy with edoxaban in patients with cancer and isolated distal deep vein thrombosis (DVT), whereas it also suggested a potential signal for an increased 18risk of bleeding with a longer duration of edoxaban treatment.<sup>18</sup> It would be clinically 19relevant to consider the risk-benefit balance of the duration of anticoagulation therapy in 20cancer-associated VTE. Therefore, we conducted a post-hoc analysis on an exploratory basis 2122to compare the net adverse clinical events (NACE) combining thrombotic and bleeding events between a 12-month and a 3-month edoxaban treatment in patients with 23cancer-associated isolated distal DVT using the ONCO DVT study database. 24

25

26 Methods

# 1 Design and ethical statements

ONCO DVT study investigator-initiated, multicenter,  $\mathbf{2}$ The was an open-label. adjudicator-blinded, superiority, randomized controlled trial conducted at 60 institutions in 3 Japan that was designed to compare 12-month and 3-month edoxaban treatment regimens in 4patients with cancer and isolated distal DVT.<sup>18</sup> The details of the study organization and  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 participating centers are provided in **Supplementary Appendix 1** and **2**, respectively. The full details of the ONCO DVT study were previously described.<sup>18</sup> Briefly, patients with active  $\overline{7}$ cancer who were newly diagnosed with isolated distal DVT using ultrasonography were 8 9 randomly assigned, in a 1-to-1 ratio, either to the 12-month or 3-month edoxaban treatment groups. The study was conducted in accordance with the amended Declaration of Helsinki 10 11 and was approved by the Kyoto University Institutional Review Board and the institutional review boards of all participating centers. 12

## 13 Study population and treatment

From April 2019 to June 2022, 604 patients were randomized. After excluding 3 patients who
withdrew consent during follow-up, 601 patients were included in the present study.

Edoxaban was administered after an appropriate initial treatment after the diagnosis, in accordance with the policies at each institution. No restrictions were set for the policies, providing the treatment did not contravene the exclusion criteria. In the study protocol, edoxaban was recommended to be administered at a reduced dose of 30 mg once daily in patients with a creatinine clearance of 30 to 50 ml per minute or a body weight of 60 kg or less or in those receiving concomitant treatment with potent P-glycoprotein inhibitors.

## 22 Patient characteristics and definitions

Active cancer was defined as cancer meeting one of the following criteria: newly diagnosed within 6 months of randomization; cancer treatment (such as surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy) performed within 6 months of randomization; currently receiving cancer treatment (such as surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy); having a recurrence, local

invasion, or distant metastases, or patients with a hematopoietic malignancy who had not
 achieved a complete remission.<sup>6</sup> Definitions of the other baseline characteristics are provided

3 in **Supplementary Appendix 3**.

## 4 Clinical outcomes and definitions

In the ONCO DVT study, the primary endpoint was a composite of symptomatic recurrent  $\mathbf{5}$ VTE and VTE-related deaths at 12 months.<sup>18</sup> The major secondary endpoint was a major 6 bleeding event at 12 months, and other secondary endpoints were symptomatic recurrent  $\overline{7}$ VTE events, VTE-related deaths, asymptomatic recurrent VTE events, all clinically relevant 8 9 bleeding events, and all-cause deaths. Symptomatic recurrent VTE was defined as new or recently worsening pulmonary embolism (PE) or DVT symptoms, new thrombi found on 10 11 imaging tests, or worsening thrombi compared to the most recent image. Symptomatic VTE recurrences were not determined solely based on the appearance or worsening thrombus 12images without any new or worsening symptoms. Similarly, if a patient had a thrombus in an 1314index vein with new symptoms, it was not considered as symptomatic recurrent VTE unless a thrombus extension was present. VTE-related deaths were diagnosed at autopsy, following 15clinically severe PE or death not explained by something other than PE. Major bleeding was 1617defined according to the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis criteria, which 18was comprised of fatal bleeding, symptomatic bleeding in a critical area or organ, and bleeding reducing the hemoglobin levels by > 2 g/dL or requiring transfusions of > 2 units of 19whole blood or red cells.<sup>19</sup> Asymptomatic recurrent VTE was defined as the appearance of 2021new or worsening thrombus images in the pulmonary arteries or deep veins on imaging tests 22that did not match the definition of a symptomatic VTE recurrence and were not associated 23with new or worsening symptoms. All clinically relevant bleeding events included major and 24non-major bleeding events. Clinically relevant non-major bleeding was defined as clinically 25overt bleeding (including bleeds detected only using imaging) not meeting the criteria for major bleeding, yet that led to one or more of the following: physician-guided medical 26

intervention, hospital admission or further treatment for bleeding, or an in-person medical examination by a physician. The members of an independent clinical outcomes committee who were unaware of the study-group assignments adjudicated all the suspected outcome events and causes of death, as well as the severity of the major bleeding events, with the use of prespecified criteria. The details of the definitions of the other endpoints are described in

6 Supplementary Appendix 4.

 $\overline{7}$ In the present study, we assessed the risk-benefit balance of a 12-month versus 3-month edoxaban treatment by combining the thrombotic and bleeding events into the 8 9 NACE. If both thrombotic and bleeding events occurred during the observation period, the first event was considered NACE. We defined NACE-1 as a composite endpoint of the 10 11 primary endpoint (symptomatic recurrent VTE and VTE-related death at 12 months) and major secondary endpoint (major bleeding at 12 months) of the ONCO DVT study. In 12addition, to assessing the broader spectrum of thrombotic and bleeding events, we defined 13NACE-2 as a composite endpoint of symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, 14asymptomatic recurrent VTE, or all clinically relevant bleeding at 12 months. 15

The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was 1617defined as the sum of the differences in the incidence of thrombotic and bleeding events between the 3- and 12-month edoxaban treatments.<sup>21</sup> The net clinical benefit of the 12-month 18over 3-month edoxaban treatment was calculated as follows: incidence of thrombotic events 19(3-month edoxaban treatment -12-month edoxaban treatment) + incidence of bleeding events20(3-month edoxaban treatment – 12-month edoxaban treatment)  $\times$  bleeding weight.<sup>21</sup> The net 2122clinical benefit-1 and net clinical benefit-2 corresponded to NACE-1 and NACE-2, respectively. We set the weight of bleeding events as 1.0 in the base case analyses. 23

#### 24 Statistical analysis

The categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages and continuous variables as the mean with the standard deviation or median with the interquartile range based on their

1 distributions.

The analysis of the NACE was performed in the intention-to-treat population,  $\mathbf{2}$ which included all the patients who had undergone randomization after excluding those 3 patients who withdrew consent. For patients who did not experience an event, the time to the 4first event was censored at day 365, or the last day the patient had a complete assessment for  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 the study outcomes, whichever came first. Patients lost to follow-up and patients who died  $\overline{7}$ because of reasons other than VTE before the end of the 12-month treatment period and who did not achieve the endpoints, were censored on the last day when the patient had a complete 8 9 assessment for the study outcomes. We compared the rates of patients with NACE between the 2 treatment groups in the intention-to-treat population and calculated the odds ratios (OR) 10 11 with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) using the logistic regression models. The cumulative incidence was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences 1213between the 2 groups were compared using the log-rank test. We also performed subgroup 14analyses with interaction tests in the prespecified clinically relevant subgroups as well as in the subgroups according to the symptom at diagnosis, which might have had some influence 1516on the thrombotic outcomes. We constructed the same logistic regression models to estimate 17the P-values for any interaction in the subgroup analyses.

18 To explore the clinical importance of the bleeding events relative to the thrombotic 19 events, we calculated the net clinical benefit with the weight of bleeding events set at 0.5 and 20  $2^{21}$ 

All reported P values were two-sided and we considered a P <0.05 as statistically significant. All analyses were performed using STATA/SE 17.0 software (StataCorp) and R software version 4.2.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

24

25 **Results** 

26 Patient characteristics

Among the 601 patients included in the present study, there were 296 patients in the 12-month edoxaban group and 305 in the 3-month edoxaban group. The clinical characteristics of the patients at baseline are shown in **Table 1**. The mean age was 70.8 years, 28% of the patients were men, and 20% of the patients had symptoms of DVT at baseline.

#### 5 Base case analyses

With a weight of bleeding events of 1.0, NACE-1, as a composite of symptomatic recurrent
VTE, VTE-related deaths, or major bleeding, occurred in 30 patients (10.1%) in the
12-month edoxaban group and 42 (13.8%) in the 3-month edoxaban group (Log-rank P=0.18;
OR 0.71; 95% CI, 0.43 to 1.16) (Figure 1A and Table 2). The net clinical benefit-1 of the
12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was 3.6% (95% CI, -1.5% to 8.8%).

11 NACE-2, as a composite of symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related deaths, 12 asymptomatic recurrent VTE, or all clinically relevant bleeding, occurred in 69 patients 13 (23.3%) in the 12-month edoxaban group and 95 (31.1%) in the 3-month edoxaban group 14 (Log-rank P=0.047; OR 0.67; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.97) (**Figure 1B and Table 2**). The net 15 clinical benefit-2 of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was 7.8% (95% CI, 16 0.8% to 14.9%). (**Figure 1B**).

## 17 Subgroup analyses

18There was no treatment-by-subgroup interaction between the subgroups (pre-specified and presence of symptoms at the time of the diagnosis) and the effect of the 12-month versus 193-month edoxaban treatment for NACE-1, except for those subgroups stratified by the 20platelet count and cancer metastasis (Figure 2). The 12-month edoxaban group had a higher 2122risk of NACE-1 than the 3-month edoxaban group among the patients with thrombocytopenia (platelet count <100,000/µl) (OR 12.9; 95% CI, 1.27 to 131) but a lower risk of NACE-1 23among the patients without thrombocytopenia (OR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.98) with a 2425significant interaction (P=0.01). The 12-month edoxaban group had a lower risk of NACE-1 than the 3-month edoxaban group among the patients with cancer metastases (OR 0.34; 95% 26

1 CI, 0.14 to 0.81) but not among the patients without cancer metastases (OR 1.15; 95% CI,

2 0.61 to 2.19), and there was a significant interaction (P=0.03) (Figure 2 and Supplementary

3 **Table S1**).

## 4 Effects of the weights of bleeding events on the net clinical benefit

The net clinical benefit according to the weights of bleeding events is shown in **Figure 3**. As the weights of bleeding events increased from 0.5 to 2, the net clinical benefit-1 of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment became attenuated from 4.8% (95% CI, 0.5% to 9.0%) to 0.7% (95% CI, -5.7% to 7.1%) (**Figure 3A**). As the weights of bleeding events increased from 0.5 to 2, the net clinical benefit-2 of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment became attenuated from 10.1% (95% CI, 3.7% to 16.4%) to 3.1% (95% CI, -4.8% to 11.0%) (**Figure 3B**).

12

#### 13 **Discussion**

14The main findings in the present study were as follows: 1) the net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was not significant in terms of NACE-1 15combined with symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, or major bleeding with a 1617weight of bleeding events of 1.0; however, the 12-month group had a numerically lower incidence of NACE-1 than the 3-month group; 2) in terms of NACE-2 by adding 18asymptomatic VTE and clinically relevant non-major bleeding to the NACE-1, the net 19clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was significant with a 20weight of bleeding events of 1.0; 3) the net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month 2122edoxaban treatment became attenuated as the weights of the bleeding events increased; and 4) 23there was a significant interaction between the subgroups stratified by thrombocytopenia and cancer metastasis and the effect of the 12-month relative to the 3-month edoxaban treatment 2425for NACE.

26

The ONCO DVT study showed a numerically higher incidence of major bleeding in

1 the 12-month edoxaban treatment (9.5% vs. 7.2%) than in the 3-month edoxaban treatment groups.<sup>18</sup> Those incidences of major bleeding at 12 months were higher than that in the  $\mathbf{2}$ Hokusai VTE Cancer trial in the edoxaban treatment group (6.9%),<sup>6</sup> which was partly 3 because the patients in the ONCO DVT study were older and more frequently had renal 4dysfunction than those in the Hokusai VTE Cancer trial. Because the primary endpoint of the  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 Hokusai VTE Cancer trial was a composite of recurrent VTE or major bleeding, it may be clinically relevant to consider the risk-benefit balance with anticoagulation therapy by  $\overline{7}$ assessing the NACE combining thrombotic and bleeding events.<sup>22</sup> In contrast, there are 8 9 well-known problems with using composite outcome measures simply as a combination of each event, such as the different clinical importance of each event.<sup>23</sup> Thus, it would be 10 important to weigh each event in terms of the case fatality rate and impact on daily life.<sup>21–23</sup> 11 Given the lack of the established weights of events occurring during anticoagulation therapy 12for VTE, the present study assessed the net clinical benefit using several weights and 13different composite endpoints on an exploratory basis. The present study revealed that the 1412-month edoxaban treatment compared with the 3-month edoxaban treatment was basically 15favorable in terms of NACE; however, the net clinical benefit of the 12-month edoxaban 1617treatment became attenuated as the weights of the bleeding events increased. Further studies should be required to evaluate the case fatality rate of each event and its impact on cancer 18treatment. 19

The present study also showed different effects on the NACE in the subgroups of thrombocytopenia and cancer metastasis. Patients with VTE and thrombocytopenia had a higher risk of major bleeding both in patients receiving warfarin and in those receiving DOACs, especially in the first 3 months of the anticoagulation therapy.<sup>24–26</sup> Patients with thrombocytopenia in the present study also had a higher risk of major bleeding in the 12-month edoxaban group than in the 3-month edoxaban group, but they had a low risk of thrombotic events in both groups, suggesting the 3-month edoxaban treatment may be

1 beneficial in patients with thrombocytopenia. On the other hand, the previous study showed  $\mathbf{2}$ that the effects of thrombocytopenia on major bleeding may be attenuated in patients with cancer-associated VTE.<sup>26</sup> Considering the small absolute number of patients with 3 thrombocytopenia in the ONCO DVT study, cautious interpretation would be warranted. For 4patients with VTE and cancer metastases, the current guidelines recommend prolonged  $\mathbf{5}$ anticoagulation therapy beyond 6 months due to a high risk of VTE recurrence, but 6 supporting evidence is scarce.<sup>2,15</sup> Those patients also had a high risk of major bleeding during  $\overline{7}$ anticoagulation therapy.<sup>27</sup> The present study showed numerically higher incidences of both 8 9 thrombotic and bleeding events in patients with cancer metastases than in those without cancer metastases and indicated a potential net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month 10 11 edoxaban treatment.

The present study had several limitations. First, the open-label design had the 1213potential to introduce bias, including ascertainment bias. However, all clinical endpoints were 14adjudicated by the members of an independent committee who were blinded to the study group assignments. Second, the present study population included a high proportion of 15patients with asymptomatic isolated distal DVT. Such a population could be expected to 1617consist of patients with acute DVT, but isolated distal DVT without major symptoms could reflect minor thrombi, which should be interpreted with caution. Third, the adherence to the 18edoxaban treatment according to the study protocol was relatively low. Particularly, a 19substantial proportion of the patients in the 12-month edoxaban group discontinued edoxaban 2021prematurely because of bleeding events or cancer progression. Fourth, there could be racial 22differences in the prevalence of hereditary thrombophilia, a low body weight, and types of cancer, as well as practice differences depending on the regions, such as the ultrasonography 2324methodology. The generalizability of the present results should be carefully assessed. Finally, 25and most importantly, the present analysis was not a pre-specified analysis, but a post-hoc analysis conducted due to the clinical relevance regarding the numerically higher incidence 26

1 of major bleeding for the 12-month edoxaban treatment. Thus, the results should be

2 interpreted cautiously as a hypothesis-generating exploratory analysis.

# 3 Conclusions

- 4 The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was not
- 5 significant; however, the 12-month compared to the 3-month treatment had a numerically
- 6 lower incidence of NACE.

# 1 Declarations

Acknowledgments: This study was an investigator-initiated study with financial support from  $\mathbf{2}$ Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd. We are grateful to all staff, particularly the Clinical Events 3 Committee, Data Safety Monitoring Committee, and Clinical Research Organization (MID, 4Inc. and Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 of Medicine Kyoto University) for their thorough work, to all site personnel who helped to  $\overline{7}$ enroll participants, and to all health-care professionals who took care of our patients during this study protocol. We also appreciate Mr. John Martin for his grammatical assistance in 8 9 writing the manuscript. Finally, we thank all of the participants, without whom this research would not have been possible. 10

11

*Funding*: Funding was provided by Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., which had no role in the study
design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or writing of the report.

14

Conflicts of interest: Dr. Nishimoto received lecture fees from Bayer Healthcare, 15Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, and Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Yamashita received lecture fees from 1617Bayer Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, and Daiichi-Sankyo, and grant support from 18Bayer Healthcare and Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Morimoto reports lecturer's fees from AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Japan Lifeline, Kowa, Pfizer and Tsumura; 19manuscript fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Pfizer; advisory board for Novartis and 20Teijin. Dr. Ogihara received lecture fees from Bayer Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, 2122and Daiichi-Sankyo, and research funds from Bayer Healthcare and Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Dohi received lecture fees from Bayer Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, and 23Daiichi-Sankyo, and research funds from Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Ikeda N. received lecture fees 2425from Bayer Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Daiichi-Sankyo. Dr. Tsubata received lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Chugai Pharmaceutical, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Kyowa Kirin, 26

1	Pfizer, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Takeda Pharmaceutical and Daiichi-Sankyo, and grant support
2	from Daiichi-Sankyo, AstraZeneca and OnoPharmaceutical. Dr. Ikeda S. received lecture fees
3	from Bayer Healthcare, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Daiichi-Sankyo. All other authors have
4	reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.
5	
6	Data availability: The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available
7	to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure.
8	
9	Ethics approval and consent to participate: The trial was conducted in accordance with the
10	principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Kyoto University
11	Institutional Review Board, along with the institutional review boards of all participating
12	institutions.
13	
14	Consent for publication: All patients provided written informed consent.
15	
16	Authors' contributions: Yuji Nishimoto: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal
17	analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Yugo Yamashita: Conceptualization,
18	Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Review and Editing;
19	Takeshi Morimoto: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review and Editing;
20	Takeshi Kimura: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review and Editing. All other
21	authors contributed to the Investigation and Supervision. All authors read and approved the
22	final manuscript.

# 1 References

Gervaso L, Dave H, Khorana AA. Venous and Arterial Thromboembolism in
 Patients With Cancer: JACC: CardioOncology State-of-the-Art Review. *JACC CardioOncol*.
 2021;3:173–190.

Lyon AR, López-Fernández T, Couch LS, Aznar MC, Boriani G, Cardinale D,
 Cordoba R, Cosyns B, Cutter DJ, de Azambuja E, de Boer RA, Dent SF. 2022 ESC
 Guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology
 Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO)
 and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). *Eur Heart J* 2022; 43: 4229–4361.

Wang RC, Miglioretti DL, Marlow EC, Kwan ML, Theis MK, Bowles EJA,
 Greenlee RT, Rahm AK, Stout NK, Weinmann S, Smith-Bindman R. Trends in Imaging for
 Suspected Pulmonary Embolism Across US Health Care Systems, 2004 to 2016. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3:e2026930.

Mulder FI, Horváth-Puhó E, van Es N, van Laarhoven HWM, Pedersen L, Moik F,
 Ay C, Büller HR, Sørensen HT. Venous thromboembolism in cancer patients: a
 population-based cohort study. *Blood*. 2021;137:1959–1969.

17 5. Mahajan A, Brunson A, Adesina O, Keegan THM, Wun T. The incidence of
18 cancer-associated thrombosis is increasing over time. *Blood advances*. 2022; 6: 307–320.

Raskob GE, van Es N, Verhamme P, Carrier M, Di Nisio M, Garcia D, Grosso MA,
 Kakkar AK, Kovacs MJ, Mercuri MF, Meyer G, Segers A, Shi M, Wang T-F, Yeo E, Zhang
 G, Zwicker JI, Weitz JI, Büller HR, Hokusai VTE Cancer Investigators. Edoxaban for the
 Treatment of Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:615–
 624.

Young AM, Marshall A, Thirlwall J, Chapman O, Lokare A, Hill C, Hale D, Dunn
 JA, Lyman GH, Hutchinson C, MacCallum P, Kakkar A, Hobbs FDR, Petrou S, Dale J,
 Poole CJ, Maraveyas A, Levine M. Comparison of an Oral Factor Xa Inhibitor With Low

Molecular Weight Heparin in Patients With Cancer With Venous Thromboembolism: Results
 of a Randomized Trial (SELECT-D). *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36:2017–2023.

McBane RD, Wysokinski WE, Le-Rademacher JG, Zemla T, Ashrani A, Tafur A,
 Perepu U, Anderson D, Gundabolu K, Kuzma C, Perez Botero J, Leon Ferre RA, Henkin S,
 Lenz CJ, Houghton DE, Vishnu P, Loprinzi CL. Apixaban and dalteparin in active
 malignancy-associated venous thromboembolism: The ADAM VTE trial. *J Thromb Haemost*.
 2020;18:411–421.

Agnelli G, Becattini C, Meyer G, Muñoz A, Huisman MV, Connors JM, Cohen A,
 Bauersachs R, Brenner B, Torbicki A, Sueiro MR, Lambert C, Gussoni G, Campanini M,
 Fontanella A, Vescovo G, Verso M, Caravaggio Investigators. Apixaban for the Treatment of
 Venous Thromboembolism Associated with Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:1599–1607.
 Kim S-A, Lee JH, Lee JY, Hwang H-G, Kim Y-K, Yhim H-Y, Hong J, Lee J-O,
 Bang S-M. Treatment and Bleeding Complications of Cancer-Associated Venous

14 Thromboembolism: A Korean Population-Based Study. *Thromb Haemost*. 2022;122:2011–
15 2018.

16 11. Nishimoto Y, Ohbe H, Matsui H, Nakajima M, Sasabuchi Y, Sato Y, Watanabe T,
17 Yamada T, Fukunami M, Yasunaga H. Trends in Treatment Patterns and Outcomes of
18 Patients With Pulmonary Embolism in Japan, 2010 to 2020: A Nationwide Inpatient
19 Database Study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2023;12:e028981.

12. Nishimoto Y, Yamashita Y, Morimoto T, Saga S, Amano H, Takase T, Hiramori S,
Kim K, Oi M, Akao M, Kobayashi Y, Toyofuku M, Izumi T, Tada T, Chen P-M, Murata K,
Tsuyuki Y, Sasa T, Sakamoto J, Kinoshita M, Togi K, Mabuchi H, Takabayashi K,
Yoshikawa Y, Shiomi H, Kato T, Makiyama T, Ono K, Sato Y, Kimura T, COMMAND
VTE Registry Investigators. Predictive ability of modified Ottawa score for recurrence in
patients with cancer-associated venous thromboembolism: From the COMMAND VTE
Registry. *Thromb Res.* 2020;191:66–75.

1 13. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing G-J, Hariola V-P. Huisman MV, Humbert M, Jennings CS, Jiménez D, Kucher N, Lang IM, Lankeit M,  $\mathbf{2}$ Lorusso R, Mazzolai L, Meneveau N, Ní Áinle F, Prandoni P, Pruszczyk P, Righini M, 3 Torbicki A, Van Belle E, Zamorano JL, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC 4Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J. 2020;41:543-603.  $\overline{7}$ 14. Kakkos SK, Gohel M, Baekgaard N, Bauersachs R, Bellmunt-Montoya S, Black SA, Ten Cate-Hoek AJ, Elalamy I, Enzmann FK, Geroulakos G, Gottsäter A, Hunt BJ, Mansilha 8 9 A, Nicolaides AN, Sandset PM, Stansby G, Esvs Guidelines Committee null, de Borst GJ, Bastos Goncalves F, Chakfé N, Hinchliffe R, Kolh P, Koncar I, Lindholt JS, Tulamo R, 10 Twine CP, Vermassen F, Wanhainen A, Document Reviewers null, De Maeseneer MG, 11 Comerota AJ, Gloviczki P, Kruip MJHA, Monreal M, Prandoni P, Vega de Ceniga M. 1213Editor's Choice - European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2021 Clinical Practice 14Guidelines on the Management of Venous Thrombosis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2021;61:9-82. 15

16 15. Key NS, Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Bohlke K, Lee AYY, Arcelus JI, Wong SL,
17 Balaban EP, Flowers CR, Gates LE, Kakkar AK, Tempero MA, Gupta S, Lyman GH,
18 Falanga A. Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis and Treatment in Patients With Cancer:
19 ASCO Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol.* 2023;41:3063–3071.

Falanga A, Ay C, Di Nisio M, Gerotziafas G, Jara-Palomares L, Langer F,
 Lecumberri R, Mandala M, Maraveyas A, Pabinger I, Sinn M, Syrigos K, Young A, Jordan K,
 ESMO Guidelines Committee. Venous thromboembolism in cancer patients: ESMO Clinical
 Practice Guideline. *Ann Oncol.* 2023;34:452–467.

24 17. Nishimoto Y, Yamashita Y, Kim K, Morimoto T, Saga S, Amano H, Takase T,

25 Hiramori S, Oi M, Akao M, Kobayashi Y, Toyofuku M, Izumi T, Tada T, Chen P-M, Murata

26 K, Tsuyuki Y, Sasa T, Sakamoto J, Kinoshita M, Togi K, Mabuchi H, Takabayashi K,

Yoshikawa Y, Shiomi H, Kato T, Makiyama T, Ono K, Sato Y, Kimura T, COMMAND
 VTE Registry Investigators. Risk Factors for Major Bleeding During Anticoagulation
 Therapy in Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolism - From the COMMAND VTE
 Registry. *Circ J*. 2020;84:2006–2014.

Yamashita Y, Morimoto T, Muraoka N, Oyakawa T, Umetsu M, Akamatsu D,
Nishimoto Y, Sato Y, Takada T, Jujo K, Minami Y, Ogihara Y, Dohi K, Fujita M, Nishikawa
T, Ikeda N, Hashimoto G, Otsui K, Mori K, Sueta D, Tsubata Y, Shoji M, Shikama A, Hosoi
Y, Tanabe Y, Chatani R, Tsukahara K, Nakanishi N, Kim K, Ikeda S, Mo M, Yoshikawa Y,
Kimura T, ONCO DVT Study Investigators. Edoxaban for 12 Months Versus 3 Months in
Patients With Cancer With Isolated Distal Deep Vein Thrombosis (ONCO DVT Study): An
Open-Label, Multicenter, Randomized Clinical Trial. *Circulation*. 2023;148:1665–1676.

12 19. Schulman S, Kearon C, Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the
13 Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and
14 Haemostasis. Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic
15 medicinal products in non-surgical patients. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3:692–694.

Bleker SM, Brekelmans MPA, Eerenberg ES, Cohen AT, Middeldorp S, Raskob G,
Büller HR. Clinical impact of major bleeding in patients with venous thromboembolism
treated with factor Xa inhibitors or vitamin K antagonists. An individual patient data
meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost*. 2017;117:1944–1951.

20 21. Steg PG, Ducrocq G, Bhatt DL, Hohnloser SH, Nordaby M, Miede C, Lip GYH,
21 Oldgren J, Ten Berg JM, Cannon CP. Dabigatran Dual Therapy Versus Warfarin Triple
22 Therapy Post PCI in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75:238–240.

23 22. Klok FA, Huisman MV. How I assess and manage the risk of bleeding in patients
24 treated for venous thromboembolism. *Blood*. 2020;135:724–734.

25 23. Steg PG, Bhatt DL. Is There Really a Benefit to Net Clinical Benefit in Testing
26 Antithrombotics? *Circulation*. 2018;137:1429–1431.

1 24. Nieto JA, Solano R, Ruiz-Ribó MD, Ruiz-Gimenez N, Prandoni P, Kearon C, Monreal M, Riete Investigators. Fatal bleeding in patients receiving anticoagulant therapy for  $\mathbf{2}$ venous thromboembolism: findings from the RIETE registry. J Thromb Haemost. 3 2010;8:1216-1222. 425. Yamashita Y, Morimoto T, Amano H, Takase T, Hiramori S, Kim K, Oi M, Akao M,  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 Kobayashi Y, Toyofuku M, Izumi T, Tada T, Chen P-M, Murata K, Tsuyuki Y, Saga S, Sasa 7 T, Sakamoto J, Kinoshita M, Togi K, Mabuchi H, Takabayashi K, Watanabe H, Shiomi H, Kato T, Makiyama T, Ono K, Kimura T, COMMAND VTE Registry Investigators. Influence 8 9 of Baseline Platelet Count on Outcomes in Patients With Venous Thromboembolism (from the COMMAND VTE Registry). Am J Cardiol. 2018;122:2131–2141. 10 11 26. Ito S, Inoko M, Yamashita Y, Morimoto T, Kimura T, COMMAND VTE Registry-2 Thrombocytopenia and bleeding events in patients 12Investigators. with venous 13thromboembolism. Eur J Intern Med. 2024;S0953-6205(24)00013-X. 1427. Angelini DE, Radivoyevitch T, McCrae KR, Khorana AA. Bleeding incidence and risk factors among cancer patients treated with anticoagulation. Am J Hematol. 2019;94:780-15785. 1617181920212223242526

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### 3 **Figure Legends**

#### Figure 1. The Kaplan-Meier curves for (A) NACE-1 and (B) NACE-2 comparing the 4

#### $\mathbf{5}$ 12-month and 3-month edoxaban treatment groups

6 Time-to-event curves for (A) NACE-1 (symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, or 7 major bleeding) for 12 months and (B) NACE-2 (symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, asymptomatic recurrent VTE, or all clinically relevant bleeding) with a weight of 8 9 bleeding events of 1.0. Asymptomatic recurrent VTE was defined as the appearance of new or worsening thrombus images in the pulmonary arteries and deep veins on imaging tests 10 11 (ultrasonography of lower limb vein system, computed tomography examination, pulmonary perfusion scintigraphy, pulmonary angiography, and venography) that did not match the 1213definition of a symptomatic VTE recurrence and was not associated with new or worsening 14symptoms. All clinically relevant bleeding included major bleeding and clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding, which were defined according to the criteria of the International Society 1516on Thrombosis and Hemostasis.

17NACE, net adverse clinical events; VTE, venous thromboembolism

18

#### Figure 2. Subgroup analyses for net adverse clinical event-1 19

20Net adverse clinical event-1 was a composite of symptomatic recurrent venous thromboembolism, venous thromboembolism-related death, or major bleeding with a weight 2122of bleeding events of 1.0. The odds ratios with 95% CIs for the net adverse clinical events 23with the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment are described in the prespecified 24clinically relevant subgroups as well as in the subgroups according to the symptom at 25diagnosis. The 95% CIs have not been adjusted for multiple comparisons.

CI, confidence interval; VTE, venous thromboembolism 26

1	
2	Figure 3. The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment at
3	different bleeding weights: (A) for NACE-1 and (B) for NACE-2
4	The net clinical benefit of the 12-month over 3-month edoxaban treatment was calculated as
<b>5</b>	follows: incidence of thrombotic events (3-month edoxaban treatment -12-month edoxaban
6	treatment) + incidence of bleeding events (3-month edoxaban treatment - 12-month
7	edoxaban treatment) $\times$ bleeding weight.
8	NACE-1 was a composite endpoint of symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, or
9	major bleeding at 12 months. NACE-2 was a composite endpoint of symptomatic recurrent
10	VTE, VTE-related death, asymptomatic recurrent VTE, or all clinically relevant bleeding at
11	12 months.
12	NACE, net adverse clinical events; VTE, venous thromboembolism
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

# Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics

	Overall (N=601)	12-month edoxaban (N=296)	3-month edoxaban (N=305)
Baseline characteristics			
Age, years	70.8±9.9	71.6±9.4	70.1±10.3
Men, No. (%)	167 (28)	94 (32)	73 (24)
Body weight, kg	55.5±11.9	56.3±12.1	54.8±11.6
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	22.5±4.1	22.7±4.0	22.4±4.1
Symptoms at baseline, No. (%)	122 (20)	53 (18)	69 (23)
Site of thrombosis, No. (%)			
Bilateral, No. (%)	223 (37)	118 (40)	105 (34)
Right side, No. (%)	154 (26)	73 (25)	81 (27)
Left side, No. (%)	224 (37)	105 (35)	119 (39)
Standard dose of edoxaban (60 mg per day), No. (%)	151 (25)	80 (27)	71 (23)
Lower dose of edoxaban (30 mg per day), No. (%)	450 (75)	216 (73)	234 (77)
Cancer status			
Metastatic disease, No. (%)	147 (24)	67 (23)	80 (26)
Recurrent cancer, No. (%)	65 (11)	31 (10)	34 (11)
ECOG performance status, No. (%)			
0	311 (52)	161 (54)	150 (49)
1	181 (30)	78 (26)	103 (34)
≥2	109 (18)	57 (19)	52 (17)
Comorbidities			
Hypertension, No. (%)	263 (44)	133 (45)	130 (43)
Diabetes, No. (%)	101 (17)	54 (18)	47 (15)
Heart failure, No. (%)	10 (2)	7 (2.4)	3 (1.0)
History of stroke, No. (%)	27 (4)	14 (4.7)	13 (4.3)
History of VTE, No. (%)	33 (5)	20 (6.8)	13 (4.3)
History of major bleeding, No. (%)	23 (4)	7 (2.4)	16 (5.3)
Transient risk factors for VTE, No. (%)	151 (25)	80 (27)	71 (23)
Recent surgery within 2 months, No. (%)	85 (14)	41 (14)	44 (14)
Laboratory tests at diagnosis			
Creatinine clearance ≤50 ml/min, No. (%)	131 (22)	69 (23)	62 (20)
Anemia, No. (%)	402 (67)	199 (67)	203 (67)
Platelet count <100,000 per µl, No. (%)	31 (5)	12 (4.1)	19 (6.2)
D-dimer, µg/mL	5.0 (2.2-10.8)	5.2 (2.2-10.8)	4.7 (2.3-11.3)
Concomitant medication			
Antiplatelet, No. (%)	48 (8)	27 (9.1)	21 (6.9)
Steroid, No. (%)	77 (13)	34 (11)	43 (14)
Statins, No. (%)	134 (22)	71 (24)	63 (21)

1	Values are expressed as the mean ±standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number with the percentage.
2	In the study protocol, edoxaban was recommended to be administered at a reduced dose of 30 mg once daily in patients
3	with a creatinine clearance of 30 to 50 ml per minute or a body weight of 60 kg or less or in those receiving concomitant
4	treatment with potent P-glycoprotein inhibitors. ECOG performance status values range from 0 to 4, with higher values
5	indicating greater disability. Transient risk factors for VTE included recent surgery, recent immobilization, long-distance
6	travel, central venous catheter use, pregnancy or puerperium, recent leg trauma, fracture or burn, severe infection, and
7	estrogen use. Anemia was diagnosed if the hemoglobin value was <13 g/dL for men and <12 g/dL for women. The
8	D-dimer values were missing in 34 patients.
9	ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; SMD, standardized mean difference; VTE, venous thromboembolism
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

#### 1

#### Table 2. Clinical outcomes at 12 months\*

	12-month edoxaban (N=296)	3-month edoxaban (N=305)	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Net adverse clinical event-1 <sup>+</sup>	30 (10.1)	42 (13.8)	0.71 (0.43-1.16)
Symptomatic VTE or VTE-related death, No. (%)	3 (1.0)	22 (7.2)	0.13 (0.03-0.44)
Major bleeding, No. (%)‡	28 (9.5)	22 (7.2)	1.34 (0.75-2.41)
Net adverse clinical event-2†	69 (23.3)	95 (31.1)	0.67 (0.47-0.97)
Symptomatic VTE or VTE-related death, No. (%)	3 (1.0)	22 (7.2)	0.13 (0.03-0.44)
Asymptomatic recurrent VTE, No. (%)§	23 (7.8)	46 (15.1)	0.47 (0.28-0.80)
All clinically relevant bleeding, No. (%)‡	53 (17.9)	41 (13.4)	1.40 (0.90-2.19)

 $\overline{2}$ \*The analyses were performed for the full analysis set based on the intention-to-treat approach, which included all the 3 patients who had undergone randomization after excluding patients who withdrew consent. For patients who did not 4 experience an event, the time to the first event was censored at day 365, or the last day the patient had a complete  $\mathbf{5}$ assessment for study outcomes, whichever came first. We calculated the odds ratios using the logistic regression model 6 along with the corresponding 95% CIs for all clinical endpoints, which have not been adjusted for multiple comparisons.

 $\overline{7}$ †Net adverse clinical event-1 was a composite of symptomatic recurrent VTE, VTE-related death, or major bleeding with 8 a weight of bleeding events of 1.0. Net adverse clinical event-2 was a composite of symptomatic recurrent VTE, 9 VTE-related death, asymptomatic recurrent VTE§, or all clinically relevant bleeding<sup>±</sup> with a weight of bleeding events of

101.0.


11 ‡All clinically relevant bleeding included major bleeding and clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding, which were defined 12according to the criteria of the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis.

13\$Asymptomatic recurrent VTE was defined as an appearance of new or worsening thrombus images in the pulmonary 14arteries and deep veins on imaging tests (ultrasonography of lower limb vein system, computed tomography examination,

15pulmonary perfusion scintigraphy, pulmonary angiography, and venography) that did not match the definition of a

16symptomatic VTE recurrence and were not associated with new or worsening symptoms.

17CI, confidence interval; VTE, venous thromboembolism



		nonth n (N=296)	3-m edoxaba	onth n (N=305)		Absolute difference (95%Cl)	Odds ratio (95%Cl)	P <sub>inter</sub>
Age					-			
≥75 years	12/131	(9.2%)	14/114	(12%)	⊢∎⊢₁	-3.1% (-11% to 4.7%)	0.72 (0.32-1.63)	0
<75 years	18/165	(11%)	28/191	(15%)	⊢∎∔₁	-3.8% (-11% to 3.2%)	0.71 (0.38-1.34)	0.
Sex								
Men	11/94	(12%)	12/73	(16%)	∊∊⋼∔⋴	-4.7% (-15% to 6.0%)	0.67 (0.28-1.63)	0.
Women	19/202	(9.4%)	30/232	(13%)	∊⋼	-3.5% (-9.4% to 2.4%)	0.70 (0.38-1.28)	0
Weight								
<60 kg	15/199	(7.5%)	31/222	(14%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎-4	-6.4% (-12% to -0.6%)	0.50 (0.26-0.96)	0.
≥60 kg	15/97	(15%)	11/83	(13%)	⊢₋	2.2% (-8.0% to 12%)	1.20 (0.52-2.77)	0.
History of VTE								
Yes	3/20	(15%)	2/13	(15%)	<b>⊢∔</b> (	-0.4% (-25% to 25%)	0.97 (0.14-6.78)	0.
No	27/276	(9.8%)	40/292	(14%)	⊢₩	-3.9% (-9.2% to 1.4%)	0.68 (0.41-1.15)	0.
Creatinine clearance								
≤50 mL/min	8/69	(12%)	13/62	(21%)	┝╌╼╌┼┙	-9.4% (-22% to 3.3%)	0.49 (0.19-1.29)	0
>50 mL/min	22/227	(9.7%)	29/243	(12%)	⊢∎ <mark>⊢</mark>	-2.2% (-7.8% to 3.4%)	0.79 (0.44-1.42)	0
Platelet count								
<100,000/µl	5/12	(42%)	1/19	(5.3%)	⊢∎		12.9 (1.27-131)	0
≥100,000/µI	25/284	(8.8%)	41/286	(14%)	⊢₩→	-5.5% (-11% to -0.3%)	0.58 (0.34-0.98)	0
Anemia								
Yes	28/199	(14%)	32/203	(16%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎	-1.7% (-8.7% to 5.3%)	0.88 (0.50-1.52)	0
No	2/97	(2.1%)	10/102	(9.8%)	⊢∎	-7.7% (-14% to -1.3%)	0.19 (0.04-0.91)	0
Edoxaban dose adjustment								
Normal dose (60 mg/day)	12/80	(15%)	10/71	(14%)	<b>⊢</b>	0.9% (-10% to 12%)	1.08 (0.43-2.67)	0
Low dose (30 mg/day)	18/216	(8.3%)	32/234	(14%)	⊢∎→	-5.3% (-11% to 0.4%)	0.57 (0.31-1.06)	0
History of major bleeding								
Yes	2/7	(29%)	4/16	(25%)	<b>⊢</b>	3.6% (-36% to 43%)	1.20 (0.16-8.80)	0
No	28/289	(9.7%)	38/289	(13%)	⊢ <del>∎</del> ∔	-3.5% (-8.6% to 1.7%)	0.71 (0.42-1.19)	0
Cancer metastasis								
Yes	8/67	(12%)	23/80	(29%)	┝──╋──┤	-17% (-29% to -4.2%)	0.34 (0.14-0.81)	0
No	22/229	(9.6%)	19/225	(8.4%)	⊢₽	1.2% (-4.1% to 6.4%)	1.15 (0.61-2.19)	0
Symptom								
Yes	10/53	(19%)	15/69	(22%)	⊢−∎	-2.9% (-17% to 11%)	0.84 (0.34-2.05)	~
No	20/243	(8.2%)	27/236	(11%)	⊢∎┼	-3.2% (-8.5% to 2.1%)	0.69 (0.38-1.28)	0
Overall	30/296	(10%)	42/305	(14%)	⊢ <b>∎</b> +	-3.6% (-8.8% to 1.5%)	0.71 (0.43-1.16)	
					0.0625 0.25 1 4			
					12-month 3-month edoxban better			

