1	Variation in health visiting for the under 5s: A cross-sectional analysis
2	of administrative data in England for 2018-2020
3	
4	Author details
5	
6	Mengyun Liu: UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London,
/	London, WC1N 1EH
ð	Jonny Woodman: Thomas Coram Posoarch Unit, Social Posoarch Instituto, University College
9 10	London London WC1H 0AA
11	
12	Louise Mc Grath-Lone: Thomas Coram Research Unit, Social Research Institute, University
13	College London, London, WC1H 0AA
14	
15	Amanda Clery: UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College
16	London, London, WC1N 1EH
17	Catherine Burtines UCL Creat Ornered Charat Institute of Child Health, Heinersity Callered
10	Catherine Bunting: OCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College
20	
20	Samantha Bennett: Strategic Commissioning, Kent County Council, Maidstone, ME14 1XO
22	
23	Sally Kendall: Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NZ
24	
25	Jennifer Kirman: Oxford School of Nursing and Midwifery, Oxford Brookes
26	University, Oxford, OX3 0BP
27	Uslan Westhards, Cantra for Uselth Francesias, University of Varly Varly VO10 FDD
28 20	Helen weatherly, Centre for Health Economics, University of York, York, YO10 5DD
29	Jane Barlow, Department of Social Policy and Intervention, University of Oxford, Oxford
31	OX1 2ER
32	
33	Helen Bedford, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College
34	London, London, WC1N 1EH
35	
36	Katie Harron: UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London,
37	London, WC1N 1EH
38	

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### Abstract 39

40

### 41 Introduction

42

43 The health visiting service in England leads the delivery of the government's Healthy Child 44 Programme (HCP) for children under five. However, local authorities and their provider 45 partners deliver this service differently in respond to the challenges of funding cuts, low

46 numbers of health visitors and high levels of family needs.

47

## 48 Objective

49

50 We aimed to describe local authority variation in the delivery of health visiting services to 51 children under 5 in England between 2018 and 2020.

# 52 53 Methods

54

55 We used nationally published statistics on mandated health visiting contacts, and

administrative data from the Community Services Dataset (CSDS) on duration, location, and 56

57 medium of delivery of contacts. We mapped the population coverage of mandated contacts

58 (new birth visit, 6-8-week review, one-year review and 2-2 ½year review), and additional

59 contacts, and described the frequency and characteristics of contacts across local 60 authorities.

61

## 62 Results

63

64 According to the published statistics, 99% of eligible children received their new birth visit,

65 89% received the 6-8-week review and the one-year review, and 82% received the 2-2½-

66 year review. There was substantial variation across local authorities: coverage for the 2-2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-

67 year review ranged between 33%-97%. Based on CSDS, 80% of local authorities (n=46/57)

68 delivered more additional contacts than mandated contacts: on average, 1.6 additional

- 69 contacts (range: 0.1-8.5) were delivered for each mandated contact. There was also
- 70 significant variation in the duration of contacts and the percentage of contacts delivered
- 71 face-to-face and at home.
- 72

## 73 Conclusions

74

75 Our study demonstrates substantial variation in the delivery of health visiting services across

England, particularly in the delivery of additional contacts. Further research is needed to 76

77 explore the extent to which this trend has continued and the reasons for this variation.

78 There is also a need to exploit this data to further explore the impact of these different

79 models of service delivery on family outcomes. 80

## 81 **Keywords**

82 Health visiting, Healthy Child Programme, early years, public health service, proportionate

- 83 universalism
- 84

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### Introduction 85

86

87 The health visiting service in England leads the delivery of the government's Healthy Child 88 Programme (HCP) for children under five. The HCP comprises a universal preventative 89 service and targeted support for families with higher need. [1-4] Health visiting teams are 90 made up of health visitors (specialist community public health nurses), community staff 91 nurses, nursery nurses, health care assistants, and other specialist health professionals. [2-92 4]

93

94 In England, health visiting teams are mandated to provide five universal health reviews: 95 during the third trimester of pregnancy (health promoting visit), at child age 10-14 days 96 (new birth visit), 6-8 weeks (6-8-week review), 12 months (one-year review), and 2-2½ years 97 (2-21/2 year review), each with a schedule of health promotion activities and with a review of 98 health and development of the child within their family context (Supplementary material A, 99 Appendix Table A1). [3] These mandated contacts provide an opportunity for health visiting 100 teams to identify children and families in need of additional support, [3] which can comprise 101 further additional contacts and/or referral of child and family to specialist services. [2, 3] 102 Additional contacts might include support for the continuation of breastfeeding or advice on 103 safer sleep, support for nutrition and accident prevention, or advice on managing minor 104 illnesses. [3]

105

From 2015, the responsibility for commissioning public health services for children aged 0-5, 106 107 including health visiting, was transferred from the NHS to local authorities. [5] Local 108 authorities and their provider partners have faced funding cuts, low numbers of health 109 visitors and high levels of family need, putting pressure on the service. [4, 6-8] Case studies 110 and surveys have shown that local authorities have responded differently to these 111 challenges, leading to additional variation in models of service delivery, priorities, and 112 capacity. [2, 7, 9] To-date, there have been two analyses of routinely collected data on 113 health visiting using the national individual-level administrative data on health visiting in 114 England (the Community Services Dataset; CSDS). One study focused on variation in 115 coverage and average number of health visiting contacts for children aged 2 years in 2018-9, 116 by child-level characteristics (ethnicity, deprivation, child safeguarding flags). [10] This study 117 found that found that children living in the most deprived neighbourhoods or with 118 vulnerability markers (e.g. Looked After Child) were less likely to receive their 2–2½ year 119 health and developmental review than other children but when all additional contacts were 120 included, the pattern was reversed (deprivation) or disappeared (Looked After Children). 121 There were no clear patterns by ethnicity and no analyses of local authority variation. [10] 122 The second study reported similar results, based on a wider age range of children (birth to 3 123 years) and for two years of data (2018-20) and also reported high variation between local 124 authorities in the proportion of mandated contacts conducted at home. [11] This current 125 study adds to the evidence-base by providing a local authority view of health visiting 126 delivery in terms of relative frequency of mandated to additional contacts from birth to age 127 5, a more detailed picture of medium and location and for the first time, contact duration 128 and use of group contacts. 129

130 Our results highlight areas for improvement or investigation, can inform parameters for 131 national guidance and local area decisions about service commissioning, including by

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- benchmarking their own service. Our analyses also provide a reference point for 132
- 133 international comparisons of child health services in the early years.
- 134
- 135 Methods
- 136
- 137 Data Sources
- 138
- 139 Health Visiting Service Delivery Metrics (Health Visiting metrics)
- 140 Health Visiting metrics are published by the Office of Health Inequalities and Disparities
- 141 (OHID), who report local authority-level quarterly experimental statistics on health visiting
- 142 service delivery, including the numbers of each mandated contact delivered and the number 143 of eligible children. [12-14] These quarterly aggregate data are collected from
- 144 commissioners of health visiting services in each local authority. [15] Health Visiting metrics
- 145 provides the most accurate figures on the coverage of mandated contacts by local
- 146 authorities, but does not include information on additional contacts or the format and
- 147 duration of contacts . For each of the four postnatal mandated contacts, we extracted the
- 148 number completed and the number of eligible children in each LA for two financial years
- 149 from April 2018 to March 2020. As these data are experimental, we carried out additional
- 150 validation and cleaning to correct reporting errors. [16]
- 151
- 152 Community Services Dataset (CSDS)
- 153 CSDS is an individual-level whole-population dataset established by NHS Digital in 2015,
- 154 which comprises data on the utilization of all publicly funded community health services in
- 155 England by individuals of all ages, including health visiting services. Relevant to this study,
- 156 CSDS includes patient personal and demographic characteristics and health visiting activity.
- 157 [14, 17]
- 158
- 159 We extracted data from CSDS for April 2018 to March 2020. We identified mandated health 160 visiting contacts using the 'Activity Type' flag entered by the practitioner. [16] Where the Activity Type was missing (30% of records), we identified any health visiting contact that 161 162 took place within a plausible time window for each mandated contact (Supplementary 163 material A, Appendix Table A2). Additional contacts (i.e., health visiting contacts not defined 164 as mandated) were identified for children up to 5 years old using the "Service or Team 165 Type" variable indicating the health visiting service. We excluded contacts that were 166 labelled as "did not attend".
- 167
- CSDS provides detailed information on how contacts are delivered but previous studies 168 show that data in CSDS is incomplete and submitted inconsistently over time in some local 169 authorities. [18] We assessed the completeness of CSDS at local authority-quarter level by 170 171 comparing it to the Health Visiting metrics to create a "research-ready" dataset. [16] There 172 were 149 local authorities (health visiting in City of London is delivered by Hackney and Isles of Scilly by Cornwall), each contributing up to 8 quarters of data, resulting in a maximum of 173 174 1,192 local authority-quarters possible for analysis. There were 57 local authorities 175 (contributing 164 quarterly data points) that had high correlation with the Health Visiting 176 metrics and were included in the "research-ready" dataset for analysis (Supplementary 177 material A, Appendix Table A3). This means that we have 'snap shot' data by quarter for 178 local authorities (Supplementary material A, Appendix Figure A1). The local authorities

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 179 included in the "research-ready" dataset represent the distribution of regions, urban/rural
- 180 status, and deprivation quintiles of England (Supplementary material A, Appendix Table A4).
- Further data cleaning is described in Supplementary material B. 181
- 182
- 183 Indicators of health visiting delivery
- We derived a set of local-authority level indicators for each type of health visiting contact 184
- (Supplementary material A, Appendix Table A5) and described the median and range of 185
- these indicators across local authorities. For local authorities with multiple guarters 186
- 187 included in the "research-ready" dataset, we pooled data across quarters.
- 188
- 189 Analysis
- 190 The geographic distribution of coverage of mandated contacts was mapped, and box plots
- were used to visualise variation in the medium (face-to-face or telephone) and locations (at 191
- 192 home, health and social settings, or children's centre) of health visiting delivery for both
- 193 mandated and additional contacts across local authorities. All counts of individuals were
- 194 rounded to the nearest 5 to reduce the risk of identifying individuals. [19]
- 195
- 196 Results
- 197

198 All counts of contacts from CSDS have been rounded to the nearest 5 to comply with NHS 199 statistical disclosure rules for subnational data.

- 200
- 201 Study sample

202 There were 4,172,835 mandated contacts delivered to children aged 0-5 years between

203 April 2018 and March 2020 in 149 local authorities, recorded in the Health Visiting metrics

204 (Supplementary material A, Appendix Table A6). In the "research-ready" CSDS data for the

205 same period, we included 1,779,155 contacts from 57 local authorities (Supplementary

- 206 material Appendix A, Table A6).
- 207
- 208 Coverage of mandated contacts (Health Visiting Metrics)

209 Coverage of the new birth visit was highest (median coverage of 98.5%, range: 89.9%-

210 100.0%), followed by the 6-8-week review (median: 88.5%, range: 17.8%-100.0%) and one-

- 211 year review (median: 89.0%, range: 19.9%-98.9%; Figure 1). The 2-2½-year review had a
- 212 relatively lower coverage (median: 81.5%, range: 33.0%-97.4%). For 29 (19.5%) local

213 authorities, >20% of eligible children did not receive the 6-8-week review. For 26 (17.4%)

214 local authorities, >20% of eligible children were not recorded as receiving the one-year

215 review. For 68 (45.6%) local authorities, >20% of eligible children were not recorded as

216 receiving the 2-2½-year review and in 5 local authorities, >50% of eligible children were not

- 217 recorded as having the 2-21/2-year review.
- 218

219 Additional contacts (research-ready CSDS data)

- 220 Most local authorities (n=46, 80%) reported conducting more additional contacts than
- 221 mandated contacts, with a median of 1.6 additional contacts delivered per mandated
- 222 contact, and wide variation across local authorities (range 0.1-8.5). The number of
- 223 additional contacts per mandated contact varied between 0.6-2.8 in 80% of local
- 224 authorities, indicating that this variation was not due to a small number of outlying local
- 225 authorities. Local authorities that delivered more health visiting contacts tended to conduct

- 226 more additional contacts per mandated contact (Supplementary material A, Appendix
- 227 Figure A2).
- 228

229 On average, 61.1% of children who received a health visiting contact received an additional

- 230 contact within the year-quarter, but there was high variation across local authorities (range:
- 231 3.8%-94.1%). The large variation was not due to extreme values: in 80% of local authorities,
- 232 the percentage of children who received a health visiting contact who received an additional
- 233 contact within the year-quarter was between 27.2%-75.1%.
- 234

## 235 Medium (research-ready CSDS data)

- 236 The majority (>96%) of mandated contacts were delivered face-to-face (Figure 2). Most
- 237 additional contacts were also delivered face-to-face (median 82.7%, range: 47.6%-99.5%).
- 238 About half of local authorities (n=23, 44.2%) offered >20% of additional contacts virtually.
- 239 Phone calls were also used to deliver additional contacts: 34 local authorities (65%)
- 240 delivered >10% of additional contacts through phone calls. Other mediums, including
- 241 telemedicine, email, and messages, were rarely used for mandated or additional contacts,
- 242 with <3% delivered through these mediums.
- 243
- 244 Location (research-ready CSDS data)
- 245 New birth visits and 6-8-week reviews predominantly took place in the home setting, but
- 246 there was substantial variation in the location of one-year reviews, 2-2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-year reviews and 247 additional face-to-face contacts (Figure 3).
- 248
- 249 Duration (research-ready CSDS data)
- 250 Mandated contacts were typically longer than additional contacts (Table 1), but high
- variation existed across local authorities. Face-to-face contacts were longer than phone call 251
- 252 contacts. In more than half of the local authorities with available data on duration, 65% of
- 253 new birth visits were at least an hour long, but most local authorities delivered more than
- 254 half of other mandated contacts in 30-59 minutes. A considerable percentage of mandated
- 255 contacts were recorded as lasting under 30 minutes (median 4.6%-7.9%) or 30-44 minutes
- 256 long (median 12.3%-29.6%). Additional contacts were generally short: on average, 36.2% of
- 257 additional contacts were completed in under 30 minutes. The duration of both mandated
- 258 and additional contacts showed large variations across local authorities.
- 259
- 260 Use of group sessions (research-ready CSDS data)
- 261 Group sessions were rarely used for mandated contacts. Of the 48 local authorities with
- information on group sessions, only five (10%) local authorities delivered >2% of new birth 262
- 263 visits, 6-8-week reviews, and one-year reviews in group sessions. The use of group sessions
- 264 also varied by local authority and type of contact.
- 265



- 266
- Figure 1. Coverage of mandated health visiting contacts in England based on Health 267 Visiting Metrics, 2018/19-2019/20 268
- A: coverage of new birth visit; B: coverage of 6-8-week review; C: coverage of one-year 269
- 270 review; D: coverage of 2-2½-year review.
- 271



273 Figure 2: Variation in delivery of health visiting contacts based on research-ready CSDS 274 data. A: Medium of delivery. B: Locations of face-to-face contacts. N=52 and N=49 local

275 authorities with information about medium and location, respectively. F2F: face-to-face

276 contacts; Call: phone call contacts; H&S: healthcare and social setting; CC: children's centre 277

## 278 Table 1. Duration of health visiting contacts in minutes, median duration or median

#### 279 percentage (range across local authorities). N=50 local authorities with data on duration

	New birth visit	6-8-week review	One-year review	2-2½-year review	Additional contact				
Overall median duration	60 (30, 120)	45 (10, 60)	45 (20, 60)	45 (30, 90)	30 (5, 90)				
Median duration by medium (minutes)									
Face-to-face	60 (30, 120)	45 (10, 60)	45 (20 <i>,</i> 60)	45 (30 <i>,</i> 75)	30 (5 <i>,</i> 105)				
Phone calls	17.5 (5, 60)	30 (5 <i>,</i> 60)	25 (5, 120)	22.5 (5 <i>,</i> 75)	15 (5, 30)				
Other	52.5 (12.5 <i>,</i> 120)	45 (5 <i>,</i> 95)	40 (10, 60)	42.5 (5, 90)	24.5 (5, 60)				
Median duration by locations for face-to-face contacts (minutes)									
Home	60 (30, 120)	45 (30 <i>,</i> 60)	60 (30, 60)	60 (35 <i>,</i> 75)	45 (30, 60)				
Healthcare & social settings	60 (10, 120)	30 (10, 60)	45 (10, 60)	45 (30 <i>,</i> 90)	25 (3, 120)				

Children's centre	30 (3, 60)	30 (3, 120)	40 (10, 75)	45 (20 <i>,</i> 90)	30 (3, 120)				
Other	60 (10 <i>,</i> 75)	30 (10 <i>,</i> 75)	45 (3 <i>,</i> 60)	45 (30 <i>,</i> 90)	45 (3, 120)				
Median percentage of contacts in duration categories (%)									
0-29 minutes	4.6 (0.2 <i>,</i> 32.5)	7.9 (0.2 <i>,</i> 92.8)	7.8 (0.5 <i>,</i> 84.9)	5.7 (0.3 <i>,</i> 29.8)	36.2 (3.8 <i>,</i> 83.0)				
30-44	12.3 (0.6,	29.6 (0.7,	21.1 (1.7,	14.8 (1.1,	13.4 (4.0,				
minutes	88.5)	89.0)	83.3)	87.3)	54.9)				
45-59	8.5 (0.3,	15.2 (0.5,	28.0 (0.8,	25.9 (0.9 <i>,</i>	5.8 (1.0,				
minutes	40.1)	84.6)	85.1)	89.6)	16.7)				
>=60 minutes	65.0 (4.1 <i>,</i> 96.4)	31.1 (0.9 <i>,</i> 94.4)	19.5 (1.4 <i>,</i> 89.5)	31.7 (2.2 <i>,</i> 91.2)	30.2 (4.6 <i>,</i> 80.7)				

280

#### 281 Discussion

282

#### **Key Findings** 283

Between 2018-2020, the new birth visit was delivered with almost universal coverage but 284 285 variation across England existed in the coverage of the 6-8-week, one-year, and 2-2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-year 286 reviews. The high coverage of new birth visits and lower coverage of 2-2½-year review are 287 consistent with previous studies using CSDS. [10, 11] However, our results also demonstrate 288 that much of the reported activity was being delivered outside of mandated contacts, with 289 80% of local authorities delivering more additional than mandated contacts. The duration 290 and way in which these additional contacts are delivered, for example by telephone or face-

291 to-face, also varied across areas, which has not previously been described.

292

### 293 Implications

294 There have been many years of austerity, cuts and a depleted workforce: since 2015 the real 295 term value of the public health grant (from which health visiting is commissioned) has fallen 296 by 26% [20] and the health visiting workforce has decreased by 37% from 11,193 in 2015 to 297 7,030 in 2022. [21] Despite this, health visiting teams during 2018-2020 were reaching 298 nearly all babies and most children face-to-face, and conducting over one and a half times 299 the number of additional contacts relative to mandated contacts. This represents a 300 significant public health infrastructure to support the health and development of babies and 301 children and the wellbeing of their families in the critical period before school. Our study 302 highlights the importance of taking into account additional contacts when measuring health 303 visiting activity. Additional contacts, to address identified need, were the most frequent 304 type of contact (though shorter and more likely to be on the phone than the mandated 305 reviews) in our analysis. This is consistent with other evidence about significant health 306 visiting activity outside the mandated contacts in England, including a birth cohort study (of 307 children born in 2020) which reported that 27% of 8628 families had four or more contacts 308 with the health visiting team in the first 9 months of their child's life. [22] However, there 309 was substantial variation in the frequency, duration and medium of additional contacts, 310 which is unlikely to be completely explained by differences in underlying need of the 311 families across areas. Other factors that may have contributed to this variation include local 312 service specifications and service priority, budgets, personnel capacity, and the availability 313 of other local services. [23] Other evidence suggests that despite substantial 'additional'

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

contacts, not all identified need can be followed up by the health visiting team: in a survey
of 1186 health visitors, 45% reported that mandated contacts were prioritised over targeted
or specialist support and 79% said that the service lacked capacity to offer a package of
support to all children with identified needs. [24] Further qualitative work with parents and
professionals is needed to understand the experiences and perceived purpose and impact of
different types of additional contacts, as well as why models of additional contact are so

- 320 different across areas.
- 321

322 Our results can inform conversations at the national and local level about the parameters of 323 a 'good' health visiting service during a time when early years is a priority area, with 324 targeted funding and innovation (e.g., Start for Life and Family Hubs [25]). For example, our 325 findings raise a question about whether a mandated review can be adequately conducted in 326 less than 45 minutes, given that it should include a direct observation of the child and 327 significant health promotion activity. In this pre-Covid data we found that a considerable 328 percentage of mandated contacts were recorded as lasing under 30 minutes (median 5%-329 8%, Table 1) or 30 to 44 (median 12%-30%) minutes. Providers, commissioners and national 330 policy teams should consider how far features of delivery such as <30 minute or <45 minute 331 mandated contacts are consistent with the way health visiting is theorised to work. In other 332 words, is there a minimum time feasibly needed for health visitors or members of their 333 team to build relationships with parents (including those with a mistrust of services), work 334 in partnership with families, undertake health promotion work and conduct a holistic needs 335 assessment of the whole family and family environment? It is possible that some features of 336 service delivery, such as the shorter mandated contacts, are a service response to resource 337 pressure which have the unintended consequence of undermining the mechanisms by 338 which health visiting is thought to work and influence family outcomes. Next steps in 339 building the evidence-base for national and local decision makers is to understand local 340 authority variation in delivery to different types of families, including those living in 341 deprivation or with other indicators of vulnerability, and the impact of decisions about 342 service delivery on outcomes. [26, 27] As our study involved the use of data that was 343 collected in the pre-pandemic era, we also now need to investigate how far the service 344 delivery has 'bounced back' or has been permanently changed following the partial stop of 345 health visiting services and widespread redeployment of staff and a change to virtual 346 contacts. [28-31]

347

348

# 349 Strengths and limitations

A strength of our study is that we used a subset of nationally representative data with high 350 levels of completeness to monitor and measure the coverage, medium, location, duration of 351 352 contacts, the delivery of additional contacts and use of group sessions. For estimates of 353 coverage of mandated visits, we used aggregate Health Visiting metrics that cover every 354 local authority in England. For the other characteristics of health visiting service delivery, we 355 only included local authorities that correlated with health visiting activity recorded in the 356 Health Visiting metrics (research-ready CSDS data). This means that misclassification of non-357 health visiting activity as mandated contacts for records with missing values on Activity Type 358 is likely to be marginal. 359

Data quality remains an issue. First, we may not have identified all health visiting contacts in 360 361 the CSDS, due to missing data in the "Service or Team Type" variable. This was likely a bigger 362 issue for additional contacts, because we had no alternative method of identifying these 363 (unlike mandated contacts for which we could use the "Activity Type" variable). [16] 364 However, our study actually found a higher number of additional contacts than reported by 365 other analyses of CSDS, which found 0.3 additional contacts per mandated contact for 366 children between April 2019-March 2020 (compared to 1.6 in our study). [32] This may be explained by different ways of cleaning and curating the data: our research-ready data 367 368 described health visiting on a subset of local authorities with more complete health visiting 369 data, which may be associated with higher health visiting activity. There might also be 370 misclassification between mandated and additional contacts: some local authorities offer 371 more than five mandated contacts to every child and these extra "mandated contacts" will 372 be identified as additional contacts in our analysis. Results about the use of additional 373 contacts thus need to be interpreted with caution.

374

375 Results about the shortest mandated contacts should also be treated with caution (median 376 5%-8% of mandated contacts were recorded as under 30 minutes, Table 1). It is possible 377 that some of these contacts were flagged as mandated contacts but were in fact initial 378 conversations to schedule or prepare for a longer contact, which could have taken place 379 shortly afterwards and covered some or all of the relevant mandated content. There is some 380 evidence to support this hypothesis from our unpublished longitudinal analysis for a related 381 health visiting project: [26] we found that 24% of children had an additional health visiting 382 contact within two weeks of their new birth visit, but 41% of children with a new birth visit 383 under 30 minutes had an additional contact within two weeks. Although the new birth visits 384 under 30 minutes duration were more likely to be followed up within two weeks, most were 385 not. 386

387 Second, we can only report on local authorities included in the research-ready dataset and 388 we cannot assume that these findings are generalisable to local authorities with incomplete 389 CSDS data. [10] The distribution of regions, urban/rural status, and deprivation quintiles in 390 our analysis is representative to that in England (Supplementary material A, Appendix Table 391 A4). Our findings are largely based on a few months of data within each area, as more than 392 half of local authorities (n=31, 54.4%) included in the research-ready dataset only 393 contributed one or two year-quarters. The sample selection largely depended on good 394 reporting of data, which might be associated with good services. However, the coverage of 395 mandated contacts is similar between the research-ready datasets and the whole dataset 396 (Supplementary material A, Appendix Figure A3) and the median duration of 45-60 minutes 397 for mandated contacts and 30 minutes for additional contacts is consistent with the average 398 duration of 42 minutes pooling mandated and additional contacts together reported by 399 health-visiting providers in a survey. [33] 400

401 Third, we were unable to conduct longitudinal analyses in this research-ready dataset, as a 402 result of using the quarterly Health Visiting metrics as a reference to assess the 403 completeness of CSDS (Supplementary material A, Appendix Figure A1). This means we were 404 unable to describe the average number of contacts per child or patterns of contacts over 405 early childhood.

406

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 407 Finally, as this study describes different aspects of service delivery independently, the
- 408 association between them is not clear. For example, we do not yet know whether local
- 409 authorities with a high coverage of mandated contacts had more additional contacts per
- 410 mandated contact, or whether those who offered more additional contact per mandated
- 411 contact had generally shorter contacts. Further exploration of models of service delivery
- 412 combining different aspects together will help draw a more comprehensive picture of health
- 413 visiting service delivery in England.
- 414

### 415 Conclusion

- 416 This study demonstrates substantial variation in the delivery of health visiting across
- 417 England, with potential unmet need in some local authorities. Further work is needed to
- 418 explore whether this trend has continued in more recent years, and if so, the reasons for
- 419 (for example, local specification and staff capacity) and determinants of (for example,
- 420 urban/rural status and deprivation) this variation; it also provides a natural opportunity to
- 421 exploit this variation to understand the impact of different models of service delivery on
- 422 family outcomes.
- 423
- 424 This national picture of health visiting should be used by local authorities to review their
- 425 own practice based on data in local systems, compare their practice with the national
- 426 statistics and identify areas for improvement. [27] Such comparisons would generate
- 427 hypotheses about the causes of outliers in terms of whether this is due to commissioning
- 428 decisions or to the level of need and availability of other services in the area.
- 429
- 430 We demonstrate that with careful validation and cleaning, administrative data can be used
- 431 to create a national picture of health visiting that can be used for research, monitoring and
- 432 evaluation. However, we also highlight the need for data reporting and transfer systems to
- 433 be strengthened in order to improve completeness and representativeness of these data to
- 434 support future analyses.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

# 435 Acknowledgements and funding

436 This study/project is funded by the NIHR Public Health Research Programme (NIHR129901).

437 This research was supported in part by the NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical

438 Research Centre. This research benefits from and contributes to the NIHR Children and

439 Families Policy Research Unit but was not commissioned through this Policy Research Unit

- 440 (PR-PRU-1217-21301).
- 441
- The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or theDepartment of Health and Social Care.
- 444
- 445 We thank study Steering Committee membership: Dr Louise Marryat, Donjeta Baliu, Dr
- 446 Annie Herbert, Dr Toity Deave and Prof John Macleod. Thank you to Dr Cheryll Adams, CBE
- 447 and Alison Morton for advice and input. We are grateful to all the Local Authority
- 448 commissioners and NHS providers of health visiting services who met with us to sense-check
- 449 our methods and interpretation of results.
- 450

# 451 Statement on Conflicts of Interest

- 452 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 453
- 454 Ethics Statement
- This study has been approved by University College London Institute of Education (UCL IOE)
- 456 Research Ethics Committee (1531).
- 457
- 458 Data Availability Statement
- 459 Access to the CSDS was approved and provided by NHS England (NIC-393510 and NIC-
- 460 381972). Health Visiting Service Delivery Metrics data are published by the Office for Health
- 461 Improvement and Disparities and are openly available: data for 2018/19 [12] can be found
- 462 at https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/health-visitor-service-delivery-metrics-2018-
- 463 to-2019 and data for 2019/20 [13] can be found at
- 464 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/health-visitor-service-delivery-metrics-</u>
- 465 <u>experimental-statistics-2019-to-2020-annual-data</u>.
- 466
- **467** Supplementary Appendices
- 468

# 469 **Supplementary Material A. Tables and Figures**

- 470
- 471 Appendix Table A1. Focuses of each universal health review
- 472 Appendix Table A2. Coding of date-derived mandated health visiting contacts in the
- 473 Community Service Dataset
- 474 Appendix Table A3. Local-authority-quarters included in the research-ready subset (with
- 475 overall highly complete data on Health Visiting contacts in CSDS compared to the Health
- 476 Visiting metrics)
- 477 Appendix Figure A1. Illustration of the selection of research ready dataset in an imaginary
- 478 local authority
- 479 Appendix Table A4. The distribution of regions, urban/rural status, deprivation quintiles in
- 480 local authorities included and not included in research ready dataset, N (%)

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

481 Appendix Table A5. Calculation of local-authority-quarter level indicator 482 Appendix Table A6. Characteristics of study sample in the Community Services Dataset 483 "research-ready data" 484 Appendix Figure A2. Scatter plots showing the pattern of the number of additional contacts 485 per mandated contact with the size of local authority, grouped by provider type (N=55) 486 Appendix Figure A3. Distribution of coverage of mandated contacts at the local-authority 487 level, comparing "research-ready" dataset and the whole dataset, April 2018-March 2020 488 489 Supplementary Material B. Data cleaning and management process 490 491 Appendix Table B1. Missingness in variables relevant to Health Visiting service delivery in 492 CSDS for research-ready dataset 493 Appendix Table B2. Recategorization of the medium and location of contacts 494 495 References 496 1. Department for Health. Healthy Child Programme. Pregnancy and the First Five 497 Years. 2009. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/healthy-child-498 programme-pregnancy-and-the-first-5-years-of-life. 499 2. Local Government Association. Health visiting: giving children the best start in life. 500 2019. Available from: https://www.local.gov.uk/publications/health-visiting-giving-children-501 best-start-life. 502 Public Health England. Health visiting and school nursing service delivery model. 3. 503 2021. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/commissioning-of-504 public-health-services-for-children/health-visiting-and-school-nursing-service-deliverymodel. 505 506 4. Local Government Association. Improving outcomes for children and families in the 507 early years: a key role for health vistiing services. 2017.https://www.local.gov.uk/publications/improving-outcomes-children-and-families-508 509 early-years-key-role-health-visiting-services 510 Department of Health, Public Health England, NHS England, Health Education 5. 511 England, Local Government Association. Factsheet on Health Visiting and commissioning of 512 public health services for 0 to 5 year olds. 2014. 513 Institute of Health Visiting. Survey confirms babies and young children have been 6. 514 forgotten and failed in the nation's pandemic response. 2020. Available from: 515 https://ihv.org.uk/news-and-views/news/survey-confirms-babies-and-young-children-have-516 been-forgotten-and-failed-in-the-nations-pandemic-response/. 517 7. Institute of Health Visiting. State of Health Visiting in England: we need more health visitors. 2021.https://ihv.org.uk/our-work/publications-reports/ 518 519 Bryar RM, Cowley DS, Adams CM, Kendall S, Mathers N. Health visiting in primary 8. 520 care in England: a crisis waiting to happen? Br J Gen Pract. 2017;67(656):102-521 3.10.3399/bjgp17X689449 522 9. Whittaker K, Appleton JV, Peckover S, Adams C. Organising health visiting services in 523 the UK: Frontline perspectives. Journal of Health Visiting. 2021;9(2):68-524 75.10.12968/johv.2021.9.2.68 525 10. Fraser C, Harron K, Barlow J, Bennett S, Woods G, Shand J, et al. Variation in health 526 visiting contacts for children in England: cross-sectional analysis of the 2-2(1/2) year review

527 using administrative data (Community Services Dataset, CSDS). BMJ Open.

528 2022;12(2):e053884.10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053884

- 529 Amanda C, Jenny W, Helen B, Katie H. P53 Factors associated with delivery of health 11. 530 visiting contacts to families with children under 5 in England: analysis of administrative data. 531 Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2023;77(Suppl 1):A77.10.1136/jech-2023-532 SSMabstracts.158
- 533 12. Public Health England. Health visitor service delivery metrics: 2018 to 2019 534 2019.www.gov.uk/government/statistics/health-visitor-service-delivery-metrics-2018-to-535 2019 [Accessed 19.02.20]
- 536 13. Public Health England. Health visitor service delivery metrics: 2019 to 2020 annual 537 data. 2020.https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/health-visitor-service-delivery-538 metrics-experimental-statistics-2019-to-2020-annual-data
- 539 14. Public Health England. Health visitor service metrics and outcomes definitions from 540 CSDS. 2018.www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-visitor-service-metrics-and-541 outcomes-definitions-from-csds [Accessed 19.02.20]
- Public Health England. Children's public health 0 to 5 years : interim national 542 15. 543 reporting process for the universal health visiting service : full guidance for local authority 544 members of staff 2019/20. 2019. Available from: https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/34143/.
- 545 16. Amanda C, Catherine B, Mengyun L, Katie H, Jenny W, Louise MG-L. Data Note: 546 Accounting for data quality when analysing experimental administrative data: lessons
- 547 learned from using the Community Services Dataset to understand health visiting in England 548 [Manuscript submitted for publication]
- 549 NHS Digital. Community services data set technical output specification. 2018. 17. 550 Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-andinformation/data-collections-and-data-
- 551 sets/data-sets/communityservices-data-set/community-services-data-set-technical-
- 552 outputspecification.
- 553 18. Fraser C, Harron K, Barlow J, Bennett S, Woods G, Shand J, et al. How can we use the 554 community services dataset (CSDS) for research into health visiting? Available at 555 https://www.ucl.ac.uk/children-policy-research/sites/children-policy-
- research/files/using\_csds\_for\_research\_report\_08.10.20.pdf [last accessed 14.01.21]. 2020. 556 557 19. NHS Digital. Disclosure control methodology for Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and 558 Emergency Care Data Set (ECDS). 2023. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-559 information/data-tools-and-services/data-services/hospital-episode-statistics/disclosure-
- 560 control-methodology-for-hospital-episode-statistics-and-emergency-care-data-set.
- 561 20. Finch D, Vriend M. Public health grant: What it is and why greater investment is 562 needed. 2023. Available from: https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/charts-andinfographics/public-health-grant-what-it-is-and-why-greater-investment-is-needed. 563
- Visiting IoH. Health visitor workforce numbers in England reach an all-time low. 564 21. 565 2022. Available from: https://ihv.org.uk/news-and-views/news/health-visitor-workforce-566 numbers-in-england-reach-an-all-time-low/.
- 567 Department of Education. Children of the 2020s: first survey of families at age 9 22. 568 months. 2023. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/children-of-569 the-2020s-first-survey-of-families-at-age-9-months.
- 570 Smith MA. Health visiting: the public health role. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 23.
- 571 2004;45(1):17-25.https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02856.x

572 24. Institute of Health Visiting. State of Health Visiting, UK survey report: Millions

573 supported as others miss out. 2024.https://ihv.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/State-574 of-Health-Visiting-Report-2023-FINAL-VERSION-16.01.24.pdf

- 575 Department of Health and Social Care, Department of Education. Family Hubs and 25. 576 Start for Life programme. 2023. Available from:
- 577 https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/family-hubs-and-start-for-life-programme.
- 578 Katie H, Francesca LC, Catherine B, Amanda C, Sally K, Rebecca C, et al. Study 26.
- 579 protocol: evaluation of the 0–5 public health investment in England – a mixed-methods 580 study integrating analyses of national linked administrative data with in-depth case studies.
- BMJ Open. 2023;13(4):e073313.10.1136/bmjopen-2023-073313 581
- 582 Woodman J, Mc Grath-Lone L, Clery A, Weatherly H, Jankovic D, Appleton JV, et al. 27. 583 Study protocol: a mixed-methods study to evaluate which health visiting models in England 584 are most promising for mitigating the harms of adverse childhood experiences. BMJ Open. 585 2022;12:e066880.doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-066880
- 586 28. Woodman J, Harron K, Hancock D. Which children in England see the health visiting team and how often? Journal of Health Visiting. 2021;9(7):282-587
- 588 4.10.12968/johv.2021.9.7.282
- 589 Launder M. Health visiting contacts to only count if face-to-face amid child safety 29. 590 concerns. 2022. Available from: https://www.nursinginpractice.com/community-
- 591 nursing/face-to-face-health-visiting-contacts-to-return-amid-child-safety-concerns/.
- 592 30. Morton A, Adams C. Health visiting in England: The impact of the COVID-19
- 593 pandemic. Public Health Nursing. 2022;39(4):820-30.https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.13053 594 Conti G, Dow A. The impacts of COVID-19 on Health Visiting in England. 2020. 31.

595 Available from:

- 596 https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10106430/8/Conti Dow The%20impacts%20of%20CO 597 VID-19%20on%20Health%20Visiting%20in%20England%20250920.pdf.
- 598 32. Public Health England. Contacts other than those mandated and referrals by health 599 visitors - Experimental analysis of the Community Services Dataset. 2021. Available from:
- 600 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/contacts-other-than-those-mandated-and-601 referrals-by-health-visitors.
- NHS Benchmarking Network. Deep dive report for Health Visiting. 2020. Available 602 33. 603 from: https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/nhsbn-
- 604 static/Community%20Services/2020/CS%20Deep%20dive%20report%20-%20Health%20Visi 605 ting%20FINAL.pdf.