1	Dietary diversity and the risk of metabolic syndrome in a Japanese population: An
2	analysis of baseline cross-sectional data from the J-MICC study
3	
4	Zin Wai Htay ^{1,2} , Nobuaki Michihata ¹ , Yohko Nakamura ¹ , Yoshitaka Hippo ¹ , Jun Otonari ³ ,
5	Hiroaki Ikezaki ^{4,5} , Yuichiro Nishida ⁶ , Chisato Shimanoe ⁷ , Takashi Tamura ⁸ , Mako
6	Nagayoshi ⁸ , Yasufumi Kato ⁸ , Yudai Tamada ⁸ , Asahi Hishida ⁹ , Shiroh Tanoue ¹⁰ , Daisaku
7	Nishimoto ^{10,11} , Teruhide Koyama ¹² , Etsuko Ozaki ¹² , Kiyonori Kuriki ¹³ , Naoyuki
8	Takashima, ^{14,15} , Naoko Miyagawa ^{16,17} , Sakurako Katsuura-Kamano ¹⁸ , Takeshi Watanabe ¹⁸ ,
9	Kenji Wakai ⁸ , Keitaro Matsuo ^{19,20}
10	
11	¹ Cancer Prevention Center, Chiba Cancer Center Research Institute, 666-2 Nitona-cho,
12	Chuo-ku, Chiba, 260-8717, Japan.
13	² Department of Global Health Policy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of
14	Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan
15	³ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu
16	University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8582, Japan
17	⁴ Department of Comprehensive General Internal Medicine, Kyushu University Faculty of
18	Medical Sciences, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8582, Japan
19	⁵ Department of General Internal Medicine, Kyushu University Hospital, 3-1-1 Maidashi,
20	Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8582, Japan
21	⁶ Department of Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, 5-1-1
22	Nabeshima, Saga, 849-8501, Japan.
23	⁷ Department of Pharmacy, Saga University Hospital, 5-1-1 Nabeshima, Saga, 849-8501,
24	Japan.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 25 ⁸ Department of Preventive Medicine, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65
- 26 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 466-8550, Japan.
- ⁹ Department of Public Health, Aichi Medical University, 1-1 Yasago Karimata. Nagakute,
- 28 Aichi, 480-1103, Japan
- 29 ¹⁰ Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Kagoshima University Graduate
- 30 School of Medical and Dental Sciences, 8-35-1, Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima, 890-8544, Japan.
- ¹¹ School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Kagoshima University, 8-35-1,
- 32 Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima, 890-8544, Japan.
- 33 ¹² Department of Epidemiology for Community Health and Medicine, Kyoto Prefectural
- 34 University of Medicine, 465 Kajii-cho, Kamigyo- ku, Kyoto, 602-8566, Japan.
- 35 ¹³Laboratory of Public Health, Division of Nutritional Sciences, School of Food and
- 36 Nutritional Sciences, University of Shizuoka, 52-1 Yada, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka, 422-8526,
- 37 Japan.
- 38 ¹⁴ Department of Epidemiology for Community Health and Medicine, Kyoto Prefectural
- 39 University of Medicine, 465 Kajii-cho, Kamigyo- ku, Kyoto, 602-8566, Japan.
- 40 ¹⁵ NCD Epidemiology Research Center, Shiga University of Medical Science, Seta-
- 41 Tsukiwacho, Otsu, Shiga, 520-2192, Japan
- 42 ¹⁶ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Keio University School of
- 43 Medicine, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 160-8582, Japan
- 44 ¹⁷ Department of Public Health, Shiga University of Medical Science, Seta Tsukiwacho, Otsu,
- 45 Shiga, 520-2192, Japan
- 46 ¹⁸ Department of Preventive Medicine, Tokushima University Graduate School of Biomedical
- 47 Sciences, 3-18-15 Kuramoto-cho, Tokushima, 770-8503, Japan.
- 48 ¹⁹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center, 1-1 Kanokoden,
- 49 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 464-8681, Japan.

- ²⁰ Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65
- 51 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 466-8550, Japan.
- 52
- 53 Short title: Diet diversity and metabolic syndrome in Japan
- 54
- 55 Address correspondence to:
- 56 Nobuaki Michihata, Cancer Prevention Center, Chiba Cancer Center Research Institute, 666-
- 57 2 Nitona-cho, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8717, Japan
- 58 E-mail: nmichihata@chiba-cc.jp
- 59 Phone: +81-43-264-5431 (PHS:8543), facsimile: +81-43-265-4459
- 60
- 61 Word count
- 62 Abstract: 162 Words
- 63 Main text without references: 2567 Words
- 64 Total word count, including abstract and references: 3650 Words
- 65

66 Authorship and contributions

- 67 Conception and design: ZWH, NM1
- 68 Data acquisition: JO, HI, YN2, CS, TT, MN, YK, YT, AH, ST, DN, TK, EO, KK, NT, NM2,
- 69 SK, TW, KW, KM, J-MICC members
- 70 Analysis and interpretation of data; ZWH, NM1
- 71 Drafting the manuscript: ZWH
- 72 Revising the manuscript: NM1, YN1, YH, JO, HI, YN2, CS, TT, MN, YK, YT, AH, ST, DN,
- 73 TK, EO, KK, NT, NM2, SK, TW, KW, KM, J-MICC members

75 Abstract

76 Background: With the increasing burden of metabolic syndrome, it is crucial to focus on
77 lifestyle interventions to reduce the risk. Diet is a modifiable factor that can reduce the risk of
78 metabolic syndrome.

Methods: We examined the association between the dietary diversity score (DDS) and risk
of metabolic syndrome using baseline data from the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative
Cohort (J-MICC) study. In total, 75,332 participants were included in this study. A multiple
logistic regression analysis was conducted to analyze the association between the DDS and
metabolic syndrome.

Results: Inverse associations were observed between a high DDS and metabolic syndrome (adjusted odds ratio, 0.83 [95% confidential interval 0.76-0.92]). Likewise, a high DDS was associated with reduced odds of a high body mass index and hypertension. No significant associations were observed between the DDS and serum triglyceride, fasting blood glucose, or high-density lipoprotein cholesterol values.

89 Conclusion: To reduce the risk of metabolic syndrome, public health interventions should90 focus on promoting a diverse and balanced diet.

91

92 Keywords: dietary diversity score, food variety, nutritional adequacy

94 List of Abbreviations

- 95 aOR adjusted odds ratio
- 96 BMI body mass index
- 97 DDS dietary diversity score
- 98 J-MICC Japan Multi-institutional Collaborative Cohort Study
- 99 KOPS area Kyushu and Okinawa Population Study area
- 100 HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

101 Introduction

102 Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of interconnected non-communicable diseases, including insulin resistance, obesity, dyslipidemia, low high-density serum cholesterol, and 103 hypertension.^{1,2} Metabolic syndrome is highly prevalent in both low- and high-income 104 105 countries and is intricately linked with the incidence of cardiovascular diseases. According to 106 the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, stroke, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes were the leading contributors of high disability-adjusted-life-years worldwide.³ Furthermore. in 107 Japan, the age-adjusted prevalence of metabolic syndrome was reported to be 19.3% in 108 2005.4 109

Lifestyle modification is crucial in the management of metabolic syndrome. The dietary intake has a direct impact on metabolic risk factors. The intake of high-fiber, highcarbohydrate, and low-fat diets was reported to have positive effects on metabolic diseases.⁵ A single-center study in Japan also reported that intake of vegetable-rich diets has an inverse association with the risk of metabolic syndrome.⁶ A fast eating speed is reportedly associated with an increased risk of metabolic syndrome.^{7–9} However, previous studies have mainly explored the content and quality of the food intake, with little research into dietary diversity.

117 The dietary diversity score (DDS) is a composite measure of the diet quality by 118 counting the number of food groups consumed. Consumption of diverse food groups can also result in balanced nutrient intake¹⁰ and thus can help reduce the risk of metabolic syndrome. 119 The literature mainly explains the associations between DDS and the components of 120 121 metabolic syndrome, such as obesity and diabetic conditions. However, inconsistent 122 associations have been reported in different studies. Previous studies conducted among Iranian adolescents and adults reported a positive association between DDS and obesity.^{11,12} 123 124 However, another study in the UK reported inverse associations between DDS and diabetic incidence¹³. Similarly, a study in Korea also stated that DDS was associated with a reduced 125

likelihood of abdominal obesity and high triglyceride levels.¹⁴ Such variations in findings
might be due to small sample populations and differing study designs among previously
conducted studies, resulting in inconclusive evidence.

129 The present study therefore explored the association between the DDS and risk of130 metabolic syndrome in a Japanese population.

131

132 Methods

133 Study setting and participants

134 Our study analyzed baseline data from the Japan Multi-institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-135 MICC) study (version.20210901 dataset). Baseline surveys were collected during 2005-2014 136 at 14 different sites in Japan, including the Aichi Cancer Center, Chiba, Kanagawa, 137 Takashima, Okazaki, Shizuoka-Daiko, Kyoto, Fukuoka, Saga, Kagoshima, Tokushima, 138 Kyushu and Okinawa Population Study (KOPS) area, Shizuoka-Sakuragaoka, and Iga. The 139 current study excluded the Aichi Cancer Center, Chiba, and Kanagawa data due to a lack of 140 surveys on height, weight, blood tests, and other data necessary for defining metabolic 141 syndrome.

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee of the Aichi Cancer Center and each study site. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The details of the J-MICC study were reported in a previously published study profile.¹⁵ Participants 35-69 years old were recruited, and information was collected via a structured questionnaire. In addition, peripheral blood samples and anthropometric measurements were collected at the time of enrollment.¹⁵ The response rates at the study sites ranged from 19.7% to 69.8%.

148

149 *Study measurements*

150 •]

Dietary diversity assessments

151 Participants were administered a short food frequency questionnaire containing 47 items as part of the survey questions to obtain information on dietary habits.^{16,17} The short food 152 frequency questionnaire assessed the food intake frequency on an 8-point scale from "not at 153 154 all" to "1 to 3 or more times per day." In this study, the food intake frequency was treated as a dichotomous variable of whether or not the participants consumed food "at least once a 155 156 week". We classified foods into five major groups (bread and grains, vegetables, meat, dairy, and fruit) based on Kant et al.¹⁸ To date, no universal definition of dietary diversity has been 157 158 proposed. The DDS was calculated for each participant according to a counting approach that 159 codes the intake frequency as 1 if the food group was consumed at least once a week and 0 if not.^{13,19} The DDS categorizes diets into three groups (group 3 or less, group 4, or group 5) 160 161 based on the number of the five food groups (bread and grains, vegetables, meat, dairy, and 162 fruit) consumed. To understand the intake quantity in addition to the variety, we calculated 163 the cumulative score of five food groups based on the numbers of items consumed in each food group as the DDS-2.²⁰ Each food group was assigned a maximum number of two, and 164 165 the score was calculated by dividing the number of times a given item was consumed by the 166 total number of items in each group. The obtained number was then multiplied by 2. A total 167 score ranging from 0-10 was obtained by combining the five food groups, which were classified into four quantiles.²⁰ 168

169

170

• Metabolic syndrome assessments

171 We followed the criteria of the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment 172 Panel III (NCEP-ATP III)²¹ for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome. Participants with 173 biochemical data were diagnosed with metabolic syndrome if they met three of the following 174 five conditions: 1) body mass index (BMI) \geq 25 kg/m²; 2) systolic blood pressure \geq 130 175 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure \geq 85 mmHg or the use of antihypertensive medication;

3) serum triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL; 4) serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC) level <40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women; and 5) blood fasting glucose level ≥100
mg/dL. Based on a previous study, the BMI was used instead of the waist circumference
because waist circumference data were unavailable in the current study.²²

180

181 • Other variables

182 The survey questions assessed the demographic characteristics (age, sex, years of education), 183 lifestyle factors (physical activity, history of smoking, and drinking alcohol), and family 184 history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Based on previous studies on metabolic 185 syndrome, these variables were considered possible confounders.^{14,20}

186

187 *Statistical analyses*

188 Descriptive analyses were conducted for each variable in order to understand their 189 distribution. We also performed cross-tabulations and chi-square tests to understand the 190 distribution of each variable with metabolic syndrome occurrence. To avoid underestimation, 191 a multiple imputation method was applied when the number of missing observations exceeded 5% of the total observations.²³ This method utilizes a Markov chain Monte Carlo 192 193 algorithm to generate 20 complete datasets by replacing missing values with a series of 194 predicted values. Multiple imputation assumes that the data are randomly missing. The 195 covariates included in the imputation were the age, sex, BMI, systolic blood pressure, 196 diastolic blood pressure, triglyceride level, HDL-C level, fasting glucose level, smoking 197 status, alcohol intake status, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, DDS, 198 and DDS-2. The input generated 20 complete datasets. The results from each input dataset 199 were combined into a single overall estimate and standard error using Rubin's law to reflect

the uncertainty associated with missing values. This method allows for a comprehensive
 analysis that accounts for potential variation due to missing data.²³

We conducted multivariable logistic regression to obtain the risk estimates of metabolic syndrome in relation to the two types of DDS. The following variables were controlled for in both models as possible confounders: age, sex, years of education, history of smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. The site variable was also included in the model to account for variations in the data collection at different centers. Analyses were repeated for stratification according to metabolic syndrome risk factors.

All analyses were conducted using the Stata statistical software program (17.1;
StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). The threshold level was set to 5%.

210

211 Results

In total, 75,332 participants were included in the analysis. Table 1 shows the distribution of the participants. The majority (58.1%) of the population was \geq 55 years old. More than half of the participants were women (55.3%). The average age of the study population was 55 years old. On average, 76.5% of the population engaged in physical exercise. The percentage of participants with a DDS of \leq 3 was significantly higher in men (76.4%) than in women. Similar results were obtained for DDS-2, with the lowest quintile of DDS-2 predominantly comprising men (64.3%) (Supplementary Table S1).

Table 2 shows the distribution of the DDS and metabolic syndrome. Overall, metabolic syndrome was reported to be the highest among subjects 55-69 years old (66.3%) and men (60.7%). The distribution of metabolic syndrome among the study sites also varied, with the highest percentage reported in Saga (17.2%) and the lowest in Tokushima (2.0%). The distribution of the DDS among the five major food groups is reported in Supplementary Table S2. In the group with a DDS \leq 3, 97% and 37.6% of participants reported not eating

fruits and vegetables, respectively. Similarly, participants in the lowest quantile (quantile 1)
of DDS-2 reported a reduced intake of fruits (66.7%) and dairy products (15.4%).

227 Table 3 presents the results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The total 228 number of observations in this logistic regression model was imputed because more than 229 28.3% of the observations were missing for education and fasting blood glucose variables. 230 Participants with a high DDS were significantly less likely to have metabolic syndrome than 231 those with lower values, as evidenced by an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of 0.83 (95% 232 confidence interval [CI] 0.76-0.92). A similar estimate was observed for the DDS-2, with the 233 rate of metabolic syndrome being lowest among those in the highest DDS-2 quantile, as 234 indicated by an aOR of 0.90 (95% CI 0.82-0.99). Similarly, we found that a high DDS was 235 associated with a reduced occurrence of a high BMI (aOR 0.91 [95% CI 0.84-0.99]), 236 hypertension (aOR 0.84 [95% CI 0.77-0.92]), and high serum triglyceride levels (aOR 0.90 237 [95% CI 0.82-0.99]) (Supplementary Table S3). A similar pattern was observed for the DDS-2 and metabolic syndrome risk factors, except for high serum triglyceride levels 238 239 (Supplementary Table S4). However, we found no association between the DDS and low HDL-C levels or high fasting glucose levels (Supplementary Tables S5 and S6). We also 240 241 conducted stratification analyses by sex group; however, no effect modification was observed 242 (Supplementary Tables S5 and S6).

243

244 Discussion

In this study, we collected data from various regions of Japan to examine the association between the DDS and metabolic syndrome. This is one of the few studies to focus on dietary diversity and metabolic syndrome risk factors in Japan. We found that the overall DDS had an inverse relationship with the risk of metabolic syndrome. We also found that a high DDS

was associated with a reduced likelihood of reporting a high BMI, hypertension, high serumtriglyceride levels, and high fasting blood glucose levels.

251 Both a high DDS and high DDS-2 were associated with a reduced likelihood of 252 reporting metabolic syndrome. Our findings were consistent with those of a previous study in 253 a Korean population, in which a lower risk of metabolic syndrome and its risk factors were reported in the higher DDS group than in the lower DDS group.¹⁴ This supports our 254 255 hypothesis that a diverse diet is a protective factor against the risk of metabolic syndrome. 256 The underlying assumption for the current finding is that dietary diversity is highly associated with the consumption of a balanced and adequate diet.²⁴ Our study also showed that those in 257 258 the diverse diet groups were more likely to consume fruits and vegetables than others. Our 259 descriptive analyses revealed that men had a higher percentage of lower DDS scores than did women. This observation aligns with previous studies conducted in China and Australia,^{25,26} 260 261 which also reported higher meat consumption among men than among women. These 262 findings emphasize the need to prioritize the male population when promoting dietary 263 diversity, warranting increased attention, and targeted interventions. In our study, we 264 compared the risk estimates of the two DDS indicators, with the second indicator considering 265 the cumulative index of dietary diversity. However, since the risk estimates were similar for 266 both DDS indicators, we were unable to determine whether or not consuming a wide range of 267 food groups within a single food category was particularly advantageous for health.

Analyses of metabolic syndrome risk factors also showed that a high DDS was associated with a reduced likelihood of reporting hypertension and high serum triglyceride levels. The finding that DDS is associated with a reduced likelihood of hypertension was supported by a previous study,²⁷ which reported that DDS was inversely associated with serum triglyceride levels and systolic blood pressure. In addition to the carbohydrate intake, the meat intake was also reported to be associated with the risk of peripheral

atherosclerosis.²⁸ Furthermore, low-carbohydrate diets and calorie restriction have been reported to be effective interventions in reducing serum triglyceride levels.²⁹ Similar to our findings, a previous Japanese study reported that greater dietary diversity was associated with the more frequent consumption of fiber in the diet,³⁰ which can be a possible explanation to reduced serum triglyceride concentrations, thereby leading to a preventive effect.

279 In addition, we found that a higher DDS was associated with a reduced risk of 280 reporting a high BMI. One underlying assumption is that a higher DDS is associated with a lower consumption of a carbohydrate-rich diet, which is the main factor leading to obesity.³¹ 281 282 However, contrary to our findings, a previous systematic review reported no associations between the DDS and BMI.³² Similarly, another systematic review reported no conclusive 283 evidence concerning the DDS and obesity associations.¹⁹ These diverse associations might be 284 285 related to different cultural aspects of the involved participants. In our study, we investigated 286 the associations by comparing the two DDS scores, and the results from both scores were 287 consistent, indicating that consuming a diverse diet is advantageous for BMI management.

288 Our study had several strengths and limitations. This study offers a comprehensive 289 evaluation of dietary diversity in the Japanese population by employing two different 290 approaches to define the DDS. The findings from both approaches are comparable, revealing 291 a consistent association between increased dietary diversity and a decreased risk of metabolic 292 syndrome. In addition, our study incorporated a substantial sample size, which enhanced the 293 reliability and statistical power of our findings. However, this study was an analysis of 294 baseline cross-sectional data, and because of the nature of the cross-sectional study, we were 295 unable to establish causal relationships. Although we controlled for educational status and 296 basic demographic characteristics in our model, we were unable to control for the wealth 297 index of the study population, which might have resulted in residual confounding. In addition, 298 a self-reported questionnaire was used to collect data on dietary factors, the history of

smoking, drinking, physical exercise, and the family history, so there was a possibility of inevitable misclassification or measurement error. This might have led to the underestimation of true associations, with the estimates biased towards the null hypothesis. Despite the potential for misclassification or measurement errors due to the use of self-reported questionnaires, our findings were relatively uninfluenced, as we identified significant associations.

305 Our findings revealed variations in site-specific risks for the association between DDS 306 and metabolic syndrome. Further exploration of the prefectural-level economic situation and 307 other possible influencing factors is recommended to explain such variations. This study did 308 not explore the determinants of dietary diversity in the Japanese population, so further studies 309 are recommended to determine policy interventions to implement and promote dietary 310 diversity.

311

312 Conclusion

In conclusion, our study found that consumption of a diverse range of foods was associated with a reduced risk of metabolic syndrome and its associated risk factors. To mitigate the risk of metabolic syndrome in the Japanese population, it is advisable to avoid restricting food intake to specific groups. Instead, promoting a varied and balanced diet may be recommended as a preventive measure against metabolic syndrome risk factors.

318

319 Sources of funding

320 This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research for Priority Areas of
321 Cancer (No.17015018), Innovative Areas (No.221S0001), and Platform of Supporting Cohort
322 Study and Biospecimen Analysis (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science [JSPS]

- 323 KAKENHI Grants No.16H06277 and 22H04923 [CoBiA]) from the Japanese Ministry of
- 324 Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology.
- 325

326 Acknowledgements

- 327 We would like to thank the participants of the J-MICC study for providing the necessary
- 328 information to conduct the current research.

329

330 Disclosures

- 331 The authors declare no conflict of interests.
- 332
- 333 Supplementary Materials
- 334 Tables S1-S6

335 References

- Saklayen MG. The global epidemic of the metabolic syndrome. Curr Hypertens Rep.
 2018;20. doi:10.1007/S11906-018-0812-Z
- Kassi E, Pervanidou P, Kaltsas G, Chrousos G. Metabolic syndrome: definitions and
 controversies. BMC Med. 2011;9:1-13. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-9-48/TABLES/2
- 340 3. Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi-Kangevari M, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and
- injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global
- 342 Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet. 2020;396(10258):1204-1222.
- doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
- Liu L, Miura K, Fujiyoshi A, et al. Impact of metabolic syndrome on the risk of
 cardiovascular disease mortality in the United States and in Japan. American Journal of
 Cardiology. 2014;113:84-89. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.08.042
- 5. Calton EK, James AP, Pannu PK, Soares MJ. Certain dietary patterns are beneficial for
 the metabolic syndrome: reviewing the evidence. Nutrition Research. 2014;34:559-568.
 doi:10.1016/J.NUTRES.2014.06.012
- 350 6. Bahari T, Uemura H, Katsuura-Kamano S, et al. Nutrient-derived dietary patterns and
 351 their association with metabolic syndrome in a Japanese population. J Epidemiol.
 352 2018;28:194. doi:10.2188/JEA.JE20170010
- 353 7. Garcidueñas-Fimbres TE, Paz-Graniel I, Nishi SK, Salas-Salvadó J, Babio N. Eating
 354 speed, eating frequency, and their relationships with diet quality, adiposity, and metabolic
 355 syndrome, or its components. Nutrients. 2021;13. doi:10.3390/NU13051687
- 8. Nanri A, Miyaji N, Kochi T, Eguchi M, Kabe I, Mizoue T. Eating speed and risk of
 metabolic syndrome among Japanese workers: the Furukawa Nutrition and Health Study.
- 358 Nutrition. 2020;78:110962. doi:10.1016/J.NUT.2020.110962

- 359 9. Zhu B, Haruyama Y, Muto T, Yamazaki T. Association between eating speed and
 360 metabolic syndrome in a three-year population-based cohort study. J Epidemiol.
 361 2015;25:332. doi:10.2188/JEA.JE20140131
- 362 10. Kennedy GL, Pedro MR, Seghieri C, Nantel G, Brouwer I. Dietary diversity score is a
- 363 useful indicator of micronutrient intake in non-breast-feeding Filipino children. J Nutr.
- **364** 2007;137:472-477. doi:10.1093/JN/137.2.472
- 365 11. Karimbeiki R, Pourmasoumi M, Feizi A, et al. Higher dietary diversity score is
 366 associated with obesity: a case-control study. Public Health. 2018;157:127-134.
- doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2018.01.028
- 368 12. Golpour-Hamedani S, Rafie N, Pourmasoumi M, Saneei P, Safavi SM. The association
 369 between dietary diversity score and general and abdominal obesity in Iranian children
 370 and adolescents. BMC Endocr Disord. 2020;20:1-8. doi:10.1186/S12902-020-00662-
- 371 W/TABLES/4
- 372 13. Conklin AI, Monsivais P, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Forouhi NG. Dietary diversity, diet
 373 cost, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in the United Kingdom: a prospective cohort study.
- 374 PLoS Med. 2016;13. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1002085
- 375 14. Kim J, Kim M, Shin Y, Cho JH, Lee D, Kim Y. Association between dietary diversity
 376 score and metabolic syndrome in Korean adults: a community-based prospective cohort
 377 study. Nutrients. 2022;14. doi:10.3390/NU14245298/S1
- 378 15. Takeuchi K, Naito M, Kawai S, et al. Study profile of the Japan Multi-institutional
 379 Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC) Study. J Epidemiol. 2021;31:660-668.
 380 doi:10.2188/JEA.JE20200147
- 381 16. Tokudome S, Ikeda M, Tokudome Y, Imaeda N, Kitagawa I, Fujiwara N. Development of
 382 data-based semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire for dietary studies in middle-
- 383 aged Japanese. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 1998;28:679-687. doi:10.1093/jjco/28.11.679

- 384 17. Tokudome Y, Goto C, Imaeda N, et al. Relative validity of a short food frequency
 385 questionnaire for assessing nutrient intake versus three-day weighed diet records in
 386 middle-aged Japanese. J Epidemiol. 2005;15:135. doi:10.2188/JEA.15.135
- 18. Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Ziegler RG, Block G. Dietary diversity and
 subsequent mortality in the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
 Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 1993;57:434-440.
 doi:10.1093/AJCN/57.3.434
- 391 19. de Oliveira Otto MC, Anderson CAM, Dearborn JL, et al. Dietary diversity: implications
 392 for obesity prevention in adult populations: a science advisory from the American Heart
 393 Association. Circulation. 2018;138:e160. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000595
- 20. Azadbakht L, Mirmiran P, Esmaillzadeh A, Azizi F. Dietary diversity score and
 cardiovascular risk factors in Tehranian adults. Public Health Nutr. 2006;9:728-736.
 doi:10.1079/PHN2005887
- 397 21. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic
 398 syndrome. Circulation. 2005;112:2735-2752.
- 399 doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169404
- 400 22. Van Nguyen T, Arisawa K, Katsuura-Kamano S, et al. Associations of metabolic
- 401 syndrome and metabolically unhealthy obesity with cancer mortality: The Japan Multi-
- 402 Institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC) study. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0269550.
 403 doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0269550
- 404 23. Li P, Stuart EA, Allison DB. Multiple imputation: a flexible tool for handling missing
 405 data. JAMA. 2015;314:1966. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2015.15281
- 406 24. Oldewage-Theron WH, Kruger R. Food variety and dietary diversity as indicators of the
- 407 dietary adequacy and health status of an elderly population in Sharpeville, South Africa. J
- 408 Nutr Elder. 2008;27:101-133. doi:10.1080/01639360802060140

- 409 25. Tian X, Wu M, Zang J, Zhu Y, Wang H. Dietary diversity and adiposity in Chinese men
- 410 and women: an analysis of four waves of cross-sectional survey data. *European Journal*

411 *of Clinical Nutrition 2017 71:4.* 2016;71(4):506-511. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2016.212

- 412 26. Xu X, Inglis SC, Parker D. Sex differences in dietary consumption and its association
- 413 with frailty among middle-aged and older Australians: a 10-year longitudinal survey.
- 414 *BMC Geriatr*. 2021;21(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/S12877-021-02165-2/TABLES/4
- 415 27. Farhangi MA, Jahangiry L. Dietary diversity score is associated with cardiovascular risk

416 factors and serum adiponectin concentrations in patients with metabolic syndrome. BMC

417 Cardiovasc Disord. 2018;18:1-6. doi:10.1186/S12872-018-0807-3/FIGURES/1

- 28. Ogilvie RP, Lutsey PL, Heiss G, Folsom AR, Steffen LM. Dietary intake and peripheral
 arterial disease incidence in middle-aged adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in
 Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2017;105:651.
 doi:10.3945/AJCN.116.137497
- 422 29. Luna-Castillo KP, Olivares-Ochoa XC, Hernández-Ruiz RG, et al. The effect of dietary
 423 interventions on hypertriglyceridemia: from public health to molecular nutrition
 424 evidence. Nutrients. 2022;14. doi:10.3390/NU14051104
- 30. Otsuka R, Tange C, Nishita Y, et al. Dietary diversity and all-Cause and cause-specific
 mortality in Japanese community-dwelling older adults. Nutrients. 2020;12.
 doi:10.3390/NU12041052
- 428 31. Miyamoto K, Kawase F, Imai T, Sezaki A, Shimokata H. Dietary diversity and healthy
 429 life expectancy—an international comparative study. European Journal of Clinical
 430 Nutrition 2018 73:3. 2018;73:395-400. doi:10.1038/s41430-018-0270-3
- 32. Salehi-Abargouei A, Akbari F, Bellissimo N, Azadbakht L. Dietary diversity score and
 obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. European
 Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2016 70:1. 2015;70:1-9. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2015.118

	Total N=75,332	Dietary diversity score			
Variables		≤3 N=2,781	4	5 N=54,147	p-value
			N=18,394		
Age, n (%)					< 0.001
35-44 years	12,205 (16.2)	556 (20.0)	4,404 (23.9)	7,245 (13.4)	
45-54 years	19,374 (25.7)	820 (29.5)	5,616 (30.5)	12,938 (23.9)	
55-69 years	43,753 (58.1)	1,405 (50.5)	8,374 (45.5)	33,974 (62.7)	
Gender, n (%)					< 0.001
Female	41,694 (55.3)	655 (23.6)	7,832 (42.6)	33,207 (61.3)	
Male	33,638 (44.7)	2,126 (76.4)	10,562 (57.4)	20,950 (38.7)	
Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m ²	23.1 (3.3)	23.50 (3.5)	23.2 (3.4)	23.0 (3.3)	< 0.001
Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg	127.8 (20.0)	129.9 (19.8)	127.7 (20.0)	127.8 (20.0)	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg	78.0 (12.1)	80.24 (12.1)	78.5 (12.4)	77.8 (12.0)	< 0.001
Triglycerides, mean (SD), mg/dL	125.1 (98.0)	141.10 (120.3)	130.6 (108.3)	122.4 (92.8)	< 0.001
HDL-C, mean (SD), mg/dL	63.4 (16.6)	59.84 (16.6)	62.5 (16.8)	63.9 (16.6)	< 0.001
Fasting glucose, mean (SD), mg/dL	98.0 (21.0)	100.62 (22.8)	98.1 (21.3)	97.8 (20.8)	< 0.001
Smoking status, n (%)					< 0.001
Current	12,883 (17.2)	1,024 (37.0)	5,014 (27.4)	6,845 (12.7)	
Past	16,455 (21.9)	846 (30.6)	4,749 (26.0)	10,860 (20.1)	
Never	45,631 (60.9)	897 (32.4)	8,531 (46.6)	36,203 (67.2)	
Drinking status, n (%)					< 0.001
Current	40,635 (54.0)	1,942 (69.9)	11,590 (63.1)	27,103 (50.1)	
Past	1,925 (2.6)	91 (3.3)	468 (2.5)	1,366 (2.5)	
Never	32,686 (43.4)	746 (26.8)	6,316 (34.4)	25,624 (47.4)	
Regular physical exercise, n (%)	57,647 (76.5)	1,758 (63.3)	12,729 (69.4)	42,692 (79.0)	< 0.001
Years of education, n (%)					< 0.001
≤9	5,613 (10.4)	373 (15.0)	1,457 (10.7)	3,783 (10.0)	
10-15	23,152 (42.9)	1,151 (46.2)	6,026 (44.1)	15,975 (42.2)	
≥16	25,030 (46.4)	956 (38.4)	6,131 (44.9)	17,943 (47.4)	
Unknown	201 (0.4)	11 (0.4)	52 (0.4)	138 (0.4)	
History of hypertension, n (%)	34,146 (46.9)	1,342 (51.2)	8,201 (46.5)	24,603 (46.8)	< 0.001
Family history, n (%)					
Hypertension	33,300 (47.7)	1,132 (45.6)	8,031 (47.7)	24,137 (47.8)	< 0.001
Diabetes	12,789 (18.3)	479 (19.1)	3,302 (19.6)	9,008 (17.8)	< 0.001

434 Table 1. Basic characteristics of participants and distribution of the dietary diversity score

435 SD: standard deviation; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

436 Table 2. Distributions of the dietary diversity score and metabolic synd

ables Metabolic syndrome			
Yes, n (%)	No, n (%)	p-value	
		< 0.001	
549 (4.8)	2,232 (3.5)		
2,980 (26.2)	15,414 (24.1)		
7,847 (69.0)	46,310 (72.4)		
		< 0.001	
1,086 (9.6)	11,119 (17.4)		
2,743 (24.1)	16,631 (26.0)		
7,547 (66.3)	36,206 (56.6)		
		< 0.001	
4,466 (39.3)	37,228 (58.2)		
6,910 (60.7)	26,728 (41.8)		
		< 0.001	
1,128 (14.6)	4,485 (9.7)		
, , ,	,		
~ /		< 0.001	
5,734 (50.6)	39,897 (62.7)		
,			
, , ,	· · · ·		
_, ()		< 0.001	
4.602 (40.5)	28.084 (44.0)		
· · ·			
0,110 (0010)	0 1,222 (0010)	< 0.001	
2.931 (25.8)	15.046 (23.6)		
0,110 (7 112)	10,709 (7011)	< 0.001	
460(40)	3 065 (4 8)	< 0.001	
· ,			
. ,			
. ,			
	· · · ·		
. ,	,		
. ,	,		
	Yes, n (%) $549 (4.8)$ $2,980 (26.2)$ $7,847 (69.0)$ $1,086 (9.6)$ $2,743 (24.1)$ $7,547 (66.3)$ $4,466 (39.3)$ $6,910 (60.7)$ $1,128 (14.6)$ $3,428 (44.3)$ $3,150 (40.7)$ $34 (0.4)$ $5,734 (50.6)$ $3,253 (28.7)$ $2,348 (20.7)$ $4,602 (40.5)$ $343 (3.0)$ $6,413 (56.5)$ $2,931 (25.8)$	Yes, n (%)No, n (%) $549 (4.8)$ $2,232 (3.5)$ $2,980 (26.2)$ $15,414 (24.1)$ $7,847 (69.0)$ $46,310 (72.4)$ $1,086 (9.6)$ $11,119 (17.4)$ $2,743 (24.1)$ $16,631 (26.0)$ $7,547 (66.3)$ $36,206 (56.6)$ $4,466 (39.3)$ $37,228 (58.2)$ $6,910 (60.7)$ $26,728 (41.8)$ $1,128 (14.6)$ $4,485 (9.7)$ $3,428 (44.3)$ $19,724 (42.6)$ $3,150 (40.7)$ $21,880 (47.3)$ $34 (0.4)$ $167 (0.4)$ $5,734 (50.6)$ $39,897 (62.7)$ $3,253 (28.7)$ $13,202 (20.8)$ $2,348 (20.7)$ $10,535 (16.6)$ $4,602 (40.5)$ $28,084 (44.0)$ $343 (3.0)$ $1,582 (2.5)$ $6,413 (56.5)$ $34,222 (53.6)$ $2,931 (25.8)$ $15,046 (23.6)$ $8,410 (74.2)$ $48,769 (76.4)$ $460 (4.0)$ $3,065 (4.8)$ $982 (8.6)$ $5,568 (8.7)$ $968 (8.5)$ $9,189 (14.4)$ $917 (8.1)$ $5,276 (8.3)$ $1,444 (12.7)$ $8,649 (13.5)$ $1,572 (13.8)$ $6,066 (9.5)$ $222 (2.0)$ $2,218 (3.5)$ $1,948 (17.1)$ $7,782 (12.2)$ $680 (6.0)$ $4,837 (7.6)$	

Variables	Multivariable logistic regression			
	aOR (95% CI)*	<i>p</i> -value		
DDS				
≤ 3	Reference			
4	0.88 (0.80-0.97)	0.013		
5	0.83 (0.76-0.92)	< 0.001		
DDS-2				
Quantile 1	Reference			
Quantile 2	0.98 (0.92-1.03)	0.359		
Quantile 3	0.92 (0.87-0.98)	0.005		
Quantile 4	0.91 (0.84-0.98)	0.011		

438 Table 3. Associations between dietary diversity scores and metabolic syndrome

439 aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, DDS: dietary diversity score

440 *Adjusted for the age, sex, years of education, smoking status, drinking status, regular

441 physical exercise, and site