

1

2 **Prevalence of Microvascular Complications and Associated Risk Factors**

3 **among Diabetes Mellitus Patients attending Nyeri County Referral**

4 **Hospital, Kenya: a cross-sectional Study**

5 **Authors Names:**

6 Rachael Ireri ^{1,2}, Gideon Kikuvi ¹, Susan Mambo ¹, Besty Cheriro ¹.

7 **Authors Affiliation:**

8 ¹Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology.

9 ²Department of Clinical Medicine Kenya Medical Training College

10 Corresponding Author: Rachael Ireri (irerirachaelw@yahoo.com)

11 **Abstract**

12 Microvascular complications are one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality
13 worldwide among patients with diabetes mellitus (DM). In Kenya, Nyeri County has
14 the highest prevalence of diabetes mellitus compared to other counties. More than
15 50% of Nyeri County Referral Hospital (NCRH) admissions result from non-
16 communicable diseases (NCDs) and over 55% of hospital deaths are attributable to
17 NCDs. This study therefore sought to assess the prevalence of microvascular
18 complications and the associated risk factors among patients attending Nyeri County
19 Referral Hospital in Kenya.

20 A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 314 DM patients on follow-
21 up at NCRH from August 2022 to October 2022. The socio-demographic,
22 anthropometric, biochemical, and clinical data were recorded using a structured
23 survey. All the recruited patients underwent an extensive examination for the presence
24 of Microvascular complications like neuropathy, retinopathy, and nephropathy. Data
25 were analyzed using STATA version 17. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

26 analyses are used to determine the risk factors associated with Microvascular
27 complications of DM.

28 Among the 314 patients with DM, 58% were women. The overall prevalence of
29 Microvascular complications (MVCs) is 36.62%. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy was
30 the most frequent complication (27.4%), followed by retinopathy (10.8%) and
31 nephropathy (8%). Inadequate physical exercise was a risk factor for all MVCs. Age,
32 marital status, and level of education were risk factors for neuropathy while smoking
33 and alcohol intake were risk factors for nephropathy. Additionally marital status was
34 a risk factor for retinopathy. Further, the analysis revealed the risk of non-smokers
35 getting neuropathy reduced by 98% compared to smokers (P=0.0001; CI 0.003058-
36 0.191502). lack of regular physical exercise was significantly associated with
37 retinopathy (P 0.012; CI 0.046816-0.690638).

38 One in ten patients with DM had a microvascular complication. The benefits of
39 microvascular complications prevention should thus be factored into the management
40 of patients with diabetes mellitus.

41 **Keywords:** Diabetes mellitus, microvascular complications, Risk factors,
42 retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy.

43 **Introduction**

44 Diabetes mellitus is a growing global epidemic that disproportionately affects
45 economic and health outcomes in low- and middle-income countries (LMICS) (1). DM
46 is the most common type of diabetes, accounting for around 90% of all diabetes
47 worldwide (2) Diabetic complications are usually divided into two main categories,
48 Macrovascular, and Microvascular complications. Microvascular complications
49 include diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy (3).

50 Microvascular complications such as Diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and
51 peripheral neuropathy are most common complications in diabetes. The global

52 prevalence of this Microvascular complications varies considerably. This is
53 attributable to the population characteristics, screening and treatment methods used
54 in different regions (4).

55 Diabetes peripheral neuropathy is the most common Microvascular complication
56 across all the regions. Globally the prevalence it is estimated to be 18.8%, while Africa
57 has a prevalence of 14.5% (5). The prevalence of DR is estimated to be 35%; out of this
58 12% are at risk of losing eye sight. Likewise, between 10% and 67% of patients with
59 different levels of renal failure and renal disease is attributed to diabetes. More than
60 80% of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is caused by diabetes (2).

61 The African Region has the highest predicted increase in the number of diabetes cases
62 by 48% and 143% in year 2030 and 2045 respectively. In 2019, 6.8% of mortality was
63 attributable to diabetes with majority of deaths reported in people below 60 years of
64 age. Of the total number of deaths attributable to diabetes, large proportions occur in
65 low- and middle-income countries (41.8% and 58.2%, respectively. It is also estimated
66 (3.9%) 19.4 million adults aged 20–79 years have diabetes. However, more than half
67 (59.7%) of the people living with diabetes are undiagnosed and this could be the reason
68 of low prevalence in the region. Out of 19.4 million reported diabetes cases 8.8% are
69 aged between 65-69 years. Moreover, 5.9% and 2.4% live in urban and rural areas
70 respectively (2).

71 About 2.4% of Kenyan population are diabetic, 3.1% are pre-diabetic and a substantial
72 proportion of 52.8% people with undiagnosed diabetes are at risk of developing
73 complications. Only 21.3 % of the patients with diabetes reported to be on treatment.
74 Glycemic control was achieved in 7% of the patients on treatment (6). This low
75 glycemic control levels could result to development of complications and premature
76 deaths. The burden of non-communicable diseases continues to increase in Nyeri
77 County having a diabetes prevalence of 6.4% which is almost triple of national

78 prevalence. Almost a half of deaths are due to NCDs i.e. two out of three deaths.
79 Besides, the prevalence of CKD stages 3 to 5 in this adult population who are above 30
80 years, with type 2 diabetes, is 39.0% (7). As the prevalence of diabetes continues to rise
81 and the burden of diabetic Microvascular complications will increase in future, People
82 with diabetes have an increased risk of developing Microvascular complications,
83 which, if undetected or left untreated, can have a devastating impact on quality of life
84 and place a significant burden on health care costs (8).
85 Therefore, this study aims to assess the prevalence of diabetes Microvascular
86 complications and associated risk factors among diabetes mellitus patients attending
87 Nyeri County Referral Hospital, Kenya.

88 **Methodology**

89 **Study design and setting**

90 A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted from 08th August 2022 to 31st
91 October 2022 in Nyeri County Referral Hospital in the Diabetic Clinic and Diabetes
92 Outpatient Clinic (DOPC). The diabetes clinic runs every day except weekends and
93 public holiday while the DOPC only runs only of Friday. Both clinics provides all
94 essential general healthcare services to DM patients.

95 **Study participants**

96 The study recruited diabetes patients aged at least 18 years on follow-up and treatment
97 for at least six months in Nyeri County Referral Hospital in the Diabetic Clinic or
98 Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (DOPC). Participants with a complete follow-up and
99 management documentation in the file were included. participants excluded from the
100 study include those with gestational diabetes, those unstable and required urgent
101 referral and evidence of impaired cognitive function. All the Participants provided
102 informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

103

104

105 **Data Sources and measurements**

106 Data was collected from all eligible and consenting participants using a validated
107 researcher administered semi structured questionnaire to collect data on socio-
108 demographic, characteristics and various risk factors. The information collected from
109 the subjects mainly included socio-demographic characteristics (such as age, gender,
110 marital status, education, monthly income, social security, locality type, and ethnicity)
111 and bio-clinical information (such as disease duration, treatment, physical activity,
112 systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist circumference, body mass index (BMI),
113 biochemical parameters, and presence of complications). Standard and validated
114 protocols were used to classify various biochemical parameters. Details of co-
115 morbidities were obtained from their records as well as from history. Further all the
116 participants were examined for presence of neuropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy.

117 **Sample size**

118 sample size was calculated using the Cochran's formula for single population
119 proportion. The prevalence of reported neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy in
120 other areas in Kenya is 41, 33 and 0.9% respectively. Based on this finding from
121 previous studies 41% prevalence rate (p) of diabetic neuropathy complication was used
122 in margin of error (d 0.05); and 95% confidence interval. Since the target population
123 was below 10,000 a finite correction formula was applied to get a working sample size
124 of 314 participants. Systemic random sampling was used to collect data from the
125 patients; where the first subject was selected randomly and then every sixth subject
126 was selected for the study till sample size was achieved.

127 **Data analysis**

128 Data collected was entered and analyzed using STATA Version 17. Descriptive
129 statistics such as mean, frequency, percentages, means and standard deviation was

130 computed for continuous and categorical variables. Chi-square test was used in
131 analysis of categorical variables to test for associations between independent and
132 dependent variables of the subjects. Significant variables from Univariate analysis
133 were analyzed further using binary logistic regression and binomial logistic regression
134 to determine the association of independent risk factors with diabetes Microvascular
135 complications. Results were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence
136 intervals (CI). A p-value of < 5% is considered statistically significant.

137 **Ethics statement**

138 Both written and verbal informed consent for participation was also obtained from all
139 the participants. For written consent all participants signed the consent form in the
140 questionnaire. Verbal consent was witnessed by the nurse or clinical officer in-charge
141 of the clinic. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Jomo
142 Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, the National Commission for
143 Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI/P/22/18990) and the County
144 Director of Health Services in Nyeri County.

145 **Results**

146 **Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants**

147 Among 314 T2DM patients who participated in this study, 58 % were females, and 42%
148 were males. The participants' average age was 58.49. \pm 17.43 years and 66.6% were
149 married. More than half of the subjects (90.5%) had attained primary education. Of all
150 the subjects in the study, 79% were rural residents (Table 1).

Variable	Description	Freq, N (%)
Follow Up Clinic	DOPC	155(49.4)
	DM Clinic	159(50.6)
Age Group	<=30 Years	26(8.3)
	31-45 Years	42(13.4)
	46-60 Years	90(28.7)
	>=61 Years	156(49.7)
Gender	Male	132(42)

Table 1: socio demographic characteristics of patients with MVCs		
Variable	Description	Freq, N (%)
	Female	182(58)
Marital Status	Married	209(66.6)
	Single	43(13.7)
	Divorced/Separated	12(3.8)
	Widowed	50(15.9)
Religion	Christian	311(99)
	Muslim	2(0.6)
	Hinduism	1(0.3)
Level Of Education	Primary	158(50.3)
	Secondary	90(28.7)
	Tertiary	36(11.5)
	None	30(9.6)
Occupation	Government Employee	7(2.2)
	Private Employment	19(6.1)
	Business	52(16.6)
	Farmer	183(58.3)
	Unemployed	53(16.9)
Residence	Rural	248(79)
	Urban	66(21)
Ethnicity	Kikuyu	294(93.6)
	Embu	9(2.9)
	Meru	4(1.3)
	Others	7(2.2)
Income	Less Than 5000	183(58.3)
	5001-10000	64(20.4)
	10001-15000	29(9.2)
	15001-20000	12(3.8)
	20001-25000	12(3.8)
	Above 25001	14(4.5)

151 **Prevalence of Diabetes Microvascular complications and distribution of**
152 **prevalence by demographic characteristics**

153 The overall prevalence of microvascular complications (MVCs) is 36.62%. Peripheral
154 neuropathy was the most common complication affecting 86(27.4%) of the
155 participants; while retinopathy was at 34(10.8%) and nephropathy was the least
156 25(8%). Majority of the respondents with diabetic neuropathy complications were
157 from the DOPC 49(31.6%) and more common among those aged ≥ 61 years
158 56(35.9%). More than half 16 (53.3%) of the respondents with diabetic neuropathy had
159 no formal education.

160 Diabetic nephropathy complication majorly affected 14(9%) of individuals from the
161 DOPC clinic. It was most common among those aged ≥ 61 years 18(11.5%) and males
162 were more affected 14(10.6%). Additionally, respondents with no formal education

163 and those with rural residence were more affected at 5(16.7%) and 22(8.9%)
 164 respectively. DOPC clinic recorded more patients 23(14.8%) with diabetic retinopathy.
 165 Retinopathy complication was most common among those aged ≥ 61 years 21(13.5.
 166 %) and least common 1(4%) amongst those aged ≤ 30 years. Diabetic retinopathy was
 167 most prevalent 4(21.1%) among those in private employment compared to government
 168 employees who reported zero prevalence (Table 2).

Table 2: Prevalence of Diabetes Microvascular complications and distribution of prevalence by demographic characteristics

Characteristic Variable	Total (N)		Overall prevalence (%)		
	314		36.62		
			Neuropathy (%)	Nephropathy (%)	Retinopathy (%)
Microvascular complications	314		86 (27.4%)	25 (8.0%)	34 (10.8%)
		Total participants	Distribution of Prevalence by Demographic characteristics		
Follow Up Clinic	DOPC	155	49(31.6%)	14(9%)	23(14.8%)
	DM Clinic	159	37(23.3%)	11(6.9%)	11(6.9%)
Age Group	≤ 30 Years	26	1(4%)	2(8%)	1(4%)
	31-45 Years	42	8(19%)	3(7.1%)	4(9.5%)
	46-60 Years	90	21(23.3%)	2(2.2%)	8(8.9%)
	≥ 61 Years	156	56(35.9%)	18(11.5%)	21(13.5%)
Gender	Male	132	33(25%)	14(10.6%)	10(7.6%)
	Female	182	53(29.1%)	11(6%)	24(13.2%)
Marital Status	Married	209	57(27.3%)	14(6.7%)	23(11%)
	Single	43	5(11.6%)	2(4.7%)	1(2.3%)
	Divorced/Separated	12	1(10%)	1(10%)	0(0%)
	Widowed	50	23(46%)	8(16%)	10(20%)
Religion	Christian	311	85(27.3%)	25(8%)	34(10.9%)
	Muslim	2	1(50%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
	Hinduism	1	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
Education	Primary	158	42(26.6%)	15(9.5%)	16(10.1%)
	Secondary	90	21(23.3%)	3(3.3%)	6(6.7%)
	Tertiary	36	7(19.4%)	2(5.6%)	6(16.7%)
	None	30	16(53.3%)	5(16.7%)	6(20%)
Occupation	Government Employee	7	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
	Private Employment	19	7(36.8%)	0(0%)	4(21.1%)
	Business	52	15(28.8%)	5(9.6%)	4(7.7%)
	Farmer	183	50(27.3%)	12(6.6%)	19(10.4%)
	Unemployed	53	14(26.4%)	8(15.1%)	7(13.2%)
Residence	Rural	248	71(28.6%)	22(8.9%)	27(10.9%)
	Urban	66	15(22.7%)	3(4.5%)	7(10.6%)

169 **Risk factors associated with diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and**
 170 **neuropathy**

171 physical exercise, age cohort, marital status and level of education had a significant
 172 relationship with neuropathy complications were with p values of 0.002, 0.001, 0.002
 173 and 0.007 respectively. A significant relationship exists between nephropathy
 174 complications and smoking, history of alcohol intake, physical exercise and HBIAC
 175 test with p values of 0.001, 0.001, 0.0002 and 0.011 respectively. 0.002, 0.001, 0.002
 176 and 0.007 respectively. Additionally, a significant relationship with retinopathy
 177 complications were physical exercise and marital status with p values of 0.013 and
 178 0.033 respectively (Table 3).

Table 3: Risk factors associated with diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy among diabetes mellitus patients

Variable	Variable choices	Type of Complication and P Value					
		Neuropathy Yes, n (%)	P value	Nephropathy Yes, n (%)	P value	Retinopathy Yes, n (%)	P value
BMI	Normal	37(29.6)	0.236	10(%yes)	0.63	15(12)	0.86
	Under weight	0(0)		0(0)		0(0)	
	Over weight	40(29.9)		13(9.7)		15(11.2)	
	Obese	9(17.6)		2(3.9)		4(7.8)	
Smoke	Yes	3(17.6)	0.267	5(29.4)	0.00	0(0)	0.23
	No	83(27.9)		20(6.7)		34(11.4)	
history of alcohol intake	Yes	4(23.5)	0.481	5(29.4)	0.00	1(5.9)	0.70
	No	82(27.6)		20(6.7)		33(11.1)	
physical exercise	Yes	62(23.8)	0.002	15(5.8)	0.00	23(8.8)	0.01
	No	24(44.4)		10(18.5)		11(20.4)	
age group	<=30 years	1(3.8)	0.001	2(7.7)	0.07	1(3.8)	0.49
	31-45 years	8(19)		3(7.1)		4(9.5)	
	46-60 years	21(23.3)		2(2.2)		8(8.9)	
	>=61 years	56(35.9)		18(11.5)		21(13.5)	
Gender	Male	33(25)	0.249	14(10.6)	0.14	10(7.6)	0.114
	Female	53(29.1)		11(6)		24(13.2)	
marital status	Married	57(27.3)	0.002	14(6.7)	0.142	23(11)	0.03
	Single	5(11.6)		2(4.7)		1(2.3)	
	Divorced/separated	1(8.3)		1(10)		0(0)	
	Widowed	23(46)		8(16)		10(20)	
level of education	Primary	42(26.6)	0.007	15(9.5)	0.07	16(10.1)	0.134
	secondary	21(23.3)		3(3.3)		6(6.7)	
	Tertiary	7(19.4)		2(5.6)		6(16.7)	
	None	16(53.3)		5(16.7)		6(20)	
employment status	government employee	0(0)	0.497	0(0)	0.20	0(0)	0.48
	Private	7(36.8)		0(0)		4(21.1)	
	Business	15(28.8)		5(9.6)		4(7.7)	
	Farmer	50(27.3)		12(6.6)		19(10.4)	
	Unemployed	14(26.4)		8(15.1)		7(13.2)	

Table 3: Risk factors associated with diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy among diabetes mellitus patients

Variable	Variable choices	Type of Complication and P Value					
		Neuropathy Yes, n (%)	P value	Nephropathy Yes, n (%)	P value	Retinopathy Yes, n (%)	P value
current treatment	Metformin 500mg	17(24.6)	0.784	2(2.9)	0.191	12(17.4)	0.141
	Metformin 500mg and Glibenclamide	8(28.6)		2(7.1)		1(3.6)	
	Metformin 850/1000mg	28(29.5)		7(7.4)		11(11.6)	
	Metformin+Glibenclamide+Insulin	20(31.7)		5(7.9)		8(12.7)	
	Insulin only	13(22.8)		9(15.8)		2(3.5)	
	Others	0(0)		0(0)		0(0)	
HBIAC Test	Normal	37(29.6)	0.475	4(3.2)	0.011	17(13.6)	0.199
	Abnormal	49(25.9)		21(11.1)		17(9)	

179 **Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetes Microvascular**

180 **complications**

181 Controlling for frequency of exercise, marital status and level of education; age
 182 cohorts were not significantly associated with neuropathic complications. Similarly
 183 holding age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education constant, marital
 184 status were not significantly associated with neuropathic complications (Table 4).

Table 4: Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetic neuropathy

Complication Neuropathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Age cohorts (Base-<=30years)						
<=30 years	1	(base)				
31-45 years	0.452414	0.243326	-1.47	0.14	0.157662	1.298211
46-60 years	0.730886	0.26773	-0.86	0.392	0.35649	1.498484
>=61 years	1	(omitted)				
marital status (Base-Married)						
Single	0.832651	0.509795	-0.3	0.765	0.25079	2.764494
Divorced/Separated	0.376244	0.410275	-0.9	0.37	0.044389	3.189042
Widowed	1.489481	0.647433	0.92	0.359	0.635394	3.49162
level of education (Base-Primary)						
Secondary	1.383951	0.517554	0.87	0.385	0.664965	2.880334
Tertiary	1.182695	0.65043	0.31	0.76	0.402485	3.475331
None	1.893705	1.055392	1.15	0.252	0.63522	5.645478
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	0.811892	0.360899	-0.47	0.639	0.339724	1.940308
2-3 times a week	0.536864	0.204653	-1.63	0.103	0.254323	1.133295
2 weeks to one month	1	(empty)				
Only when recommended by HC person	0.767539	0.928569	-0.22	0.827	0.071667	8.220229
_cons	0.522596	0.205271	-1.65	0.099	0.242003	1.128524

186 Holding for age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education, the odds of those
187 who are not smoking getting nephropathy reduces by 98% compared to smokers (P
188 0001; CI 0.003058-0.191502) (Table 5).

189

190

191 Adjusting for marital status, the odds of those who do exercise once weekly getting

Table 5: Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetic nephropathy

Complication Nephropathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Age cohorts (Base-<=30years)						
<=30	1	(base)				
31-45	1	(empty)				
46-60	0.22572	0.430797	-0.78	0.435	0.005358	9.508858
>=61	4.504898	7.633377	0.89	0.374	0.162688	124.7422
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	4.175531	4.012703	1.49	0.137	0.634897	27.46123
2-3 times a week	0.68741	0.649369	-0.4	0.692	0.107924	4.378367
2 weeks to one month	1	(empty)				
Only when recommended by HC person	1	(empty)				
level of education (Base-Primary)						
Secondary	0.277528	0.328682	-1.08	0.279	0.02724	2.827485
Tertiary	1.612873	1.707157	0.45	0.652	0.2026	12.83987
None	0.568066	0.574989	-0.56	0.576	0.078131	4.130236
Smoking (Base-Yes)						
No	0.024201	0.025541	-3.53	0.0001	0.003058	0.191502
hb1ac	2.217372	1.664154	1.06	0.289	0.509338	9.653207
_cons	0.38589	0.624194	-0.59	0.556	0.016204	9.190042

192 retinopathy complication reduces by 83% compared to those who do exercise daily (P
193 0.012; CI 0.046816-0.690638). Controlling for marital status, the odds of those who
194 do exercise 2-3 times a week reduces by 87% compared to those who do exercise daily
195 (P 0.0001; CI 0.049133-0.397895) (Table 6).

Table 6: Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetic Retinopathy

Complication Retinopathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	P>z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	0.179814	0.123459	-2.5	0.012	0.046816	0.690638
2-3 times a week	0.139821	0.074608	-3.69	0.0001	0.049133	0.397895

2 weeks to one month Only when recommended by HC person	2.87246	4.190182	0.72	0.469	0.164653	50.11164
	1	(empty)				
<hr/>						
marital status (Base-Married)						
<hr/>						
Married	1	(base)				
Single	0.149677	0.160029	-1.78	0.076	0.018411	1.216815
Divorced/Separated	1	(empty)				
Widowed	1.599709	0.933347	0.81	0.421	0.509811	5.019643
<u>_cons</u>	<u>0.348134</u>	<u>0.124519</u>	<u>-2.95</u>	<u>0.003</u>	<u>0.1727</u>	<u>0.701779</u>

196

197 **Discussion**

198 The current study sought to assess the prevalence of and the associated risk factors
 199 among patients attending Nyeri County Referral Hospital in Kenya. The study
 200 observed that overall prevalence of microvascular complications is 36.62%. Among the
 201 microvascular complications, peripheral neuropathy had the highest prevalence
 202 (27.4%) followed by retinopathy (10.8%) and nephropathy (8 %). These findings are
 203 similar to findings from studies other studies conducted in other low income countries
 204 such as Ethiopia, Tanzania and India (9–11). However, this findings might differ in
 205 specific percentage of proportions the difference might be related to accessibility and
 206 advancement of health institutions and patient’s adherence to medication and
 207 practice in different regions.

208 Univariate analysis showed that factors such as lower education, occupation i.e.
 209 farmers and patient who resided in the rural areas were significantly associated with
 210 peripheral neuropathy ($P < .05$). Lower level of education, unemployment, smoking
 211 and patients who resided in the rural areas were significantly associated with
 212 nephropathy ($P < .05$) whereas lower education, frequency of exercise and private
 213 employment were significantly associated with retinopathy ($P < .05$). All the three
 214 MVCs prevalence was higher in patients seen in the Diabetes outpatient clinic (DOPC)
 215 when compared to patients seen in the diabetes clinic. The high prevalence in DOPC

216 could be due to delay in diagnosis, poor self-care, poor health-seeking behavior as the
217 clinic runs only once per week and patients are given appointment of up to 3 months
218 while the other clinic runs daily and patients are likely are given a nearer return date.
219 Lower education status was an independent and commonly encountered risk factors
220 for all the MVCs. Educational status influences the awareness about diabetes,
221 compliance to drugs and the health seeking behavior of an individual. Studies have
222 observed that lower the education higher is the risk of developing diabetes
223 complications. Agriculture is the major occupation in rural areas in Kenya. Farmers
224 are more prone to foot injuries during work this could be reason for high prevalence
225 of neuropathy (10,12).

226 The presence of DPN and Nephropathy was associated with rural sector of residence
227 and lower household income. A possible explanation for the occurrence could be that
228 poor people are less likely to use health services, which might result in delayed
229 diagnosis and poor control of DM. Previous studies have reported that metabolic
230 control of DM was worse in patients with a lower socio-economic status. In addition,
231 the increased risk in rural sector residents could be due to lack of access to the better
232 health care facilities available to residents in urban areas (13).

233 Multi-regression analysis revealed that the risk of diabetic Retinopathy reduces by
234 87% in those who do exercise 2-3 times a week compared to those who do exercise
235 daily (P 0.0001; CI 0.049133-0.397895. Even though there is strong evidence for the
236 correlation between physical activity and disease status, the number of people who
237 actually partake in the minimum requirement for physical activity as prescribed by the
238 American College of Sports of Medicine (ACSM) and other Public Health and Exercise
239 Authorities remains low around the world. Physical activity (PA) is a critical
240 component of lifestyle intervention in diabetes management. This study agrees with

241 other studies which revealed that total physical activity was decreased in patients with
242 severe to very severe non-proliferative and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (14,15)
243 Adjusting for age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education, the odds of
244 those who are not smoking getting nephropathy reduces by 98% compared to smokers
245 (P=0.0001; CI 0.002549-0.145117). Smoking, a well-known and preventable risk factor
246 for many diseases. Cigarette smoking in diabetes has been repeatedly confirmed as an
247 independent risk factor for the onset and progression of diabetic nephropathy. This
248 study demonstrates strong association found between chronic cigarette smoking and
249 diabetic nephropathy. This is consistent with various studies that suggest; smoking is
250 a major fuel in the development of high oxidative stress and subsequently
251 hyperlipidemia, accumulation of advanced glycation end products, activation of the
252 renin angiotensin system and Rho-kinase, which are observed to play a pathogenic
253 role in the progression of diabetic nephropathy. Furthermore, cigarette smoking in
254 diabetic patients with vascular complications produces a variety of pathological
255 changes in the kidney, such as thickening of the glomerular basement membrane and
256 mesangial expansion with progression in glomerulus sclerosis and interstitial fibrosis,
257 which ultimately results in end stage renal failure (16,17)

258 **Study Limitations**

259 This study is a cross-sectional study hence cannot establish causal inferences but
260 rather associations. Interpretation of findings should therefore be done cautiously.
261 Nonetheless, this study provides some estimates on the prevalence of MVCs and
262 associated risk factors among DM patients inform development of interventions. The
263 sample size of 314 is also large enough to draw valid conclusions from a single center
264 study.

265

266

267 **Conclusion**

268 In this study, four in ten patients with DM had a microvascular complication. Three in
269 ten had a neuropathy and two in ten had a retinopathy. Factors such as physical
270 activity, dietary practice, level of education, clinic where followed-up and area of
271 residence being associated with the MVCs. Identifying patients at risk of MVCs can
272 lead to targeted interventions in line with the Kenyan government NCD policy and
273 SDG 3.4 target on reducing premature mortality from NCDs through prevention and
274 treatment. Additionally, public health efforts should institute measures to ensure that
275 health promotion and education on long-term diseases like DM form part of the
276 routine management and care practices.

277 **Acknowledgments**

278 The authors would like to thank the study facilities and participants for their role in
279 this study as well as clinicians in the DOPC and DM clinic for their support

280 **Authors' contribution**

281 Rachael Ileri conceptualized the study, designed the study, collected and analysed
282 data, interpreted data, prepared and the reviewed manuscript for the final submission.
283 Gideon Kikuvi, Susan Mambo and Besty Cheriro formulated research question and
284 assisted and validated the manuscript for the final submission

285 **Competing interests**

286 The authors declare no competing interests.

287 **Financial support:** This work was not funded

288 **References**

- 289 1. Stanifer JW, Cleland CR, Makuka GJ, Egger JR, Maro V, Maro H, et al.
290 Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Complications of Diabetes in the Kilimanjaro
291 Region: A Population-Based Study from Tanzania. PLoS One [Internet]. 2016
292 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Mar 6];11(10). Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5053499/](#)

- 293 2. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al.
294 IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence
295 estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2022 Jan
296 1;183.
- 297 3. Cheema S, Maisonneuve P, Zirie M, Jayyousi A, Alrouh H, Abraham A, et al.
298 Risk factors for microvascular complications of diabetes in a high-risk middle
299 east population. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;2018.
- 300 4. Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al.
301 Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for
302 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes
303 Atlas, 9th edition. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2019 Nov 1;157.
- 304 5. Kosiborod M, Gomes MB, Nicolucci A, Pocock S, Rathmann W, Shestakova M
305 V., et al. Vascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: Prevalence
306 and associated factors in 38 countries (the DISCOVER study program).
307 *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2018 Nov 28;17(1).
- 308 6. Mohamed SF, Mwangi M, Mutua MK, Kibachio J, Hussein A, Ndegwa Z, et al.
309 Prevalence and factors associated with pre-diabetes and diabetes mellitus in
310 Kenya: Results from a national survey. *BMC Public Health.* 2018 Nov 7;18.
- 311 7. Otieno FCF, Ogola EN, Kimando MW, Mutai K. The burden of unrecognised
312 chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes at a county hospital
313 clinic in Kenya: Implications to care and need for screening. *BMC Nephrol.*
314 2020 Feb 28;21(1).
- 315 8. Girach A, Manner D, Porta M. Diabetic microvascular complications: Can
316 patients at risk be identified? A review. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2006
317 Nov;60(11):1471–83.
- 318 9. Msanga D, Reis K, Kayange N, Bakalemwa R, Kidenya B, Hau D, et al. Diabetic

- 319 Microvascular Complications Among Children and Adolescents in
320 Northwestern Tanzania: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Ann Glob Heal* [Internet].
321 2020 [cited 2023 Feb 2];86(1). Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7181947/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39111111/)
- 322 10. Seid MA, Akalu Y, Gela YY, Belsti Y, Diress M, Fekadu SA, et al. Microvascular
323 complications and its predictors among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at
324 Dessie town hospitals, Ethiopia. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* [Internet]. 2021 Dec 1
325 [cited 2023 Feb 2];13(1):86. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC8369723/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
- 326 11. Govindarajan Venguidesvarane A, Jasmine A, Varadarajan S, Shriram V,
327 Muthuthandavan AR, Durai V, et al. Prevalence of Vascular Complications
328 Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients in a Rural Health Center in South India. *J*
329 *Prim Care Community Heal*. 2020;11.
- 330 12. Dégano IR, Marrugat J, Grau M, Salvador-González B, Ramos R, Zamora A, et
331 al. The association between education and cardiovascular disease incidence is
332 mediated by hypertension, diabetes, and body mass index. *Sci Rep* [Internet].
333 2017 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Feb 2];7(1). Available from:
334 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28959022/>
- 335 13. Katulanda P, Ranasinghe P, Jayawardena R, Constantine GR, Sheriff MHR,
336 Matthews DR. The prevalence, patterns and predictors of diabetic peripheral
337 neuropathy in a developing country. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* [Internet]. 2012
338 [cited 2023 Feb 2];4(1):21. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC3408375/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21111111/)
- 339 14. AlQabandi Y, Nandula SA, Boddepalli CS, Gutlapalli SD, Lavu VK, Abdelwahab
340 RAM, et al. Physical Activity Status and Diabetic Retinopathy: A Review.
341 *Cureus J Med Sci* [Internet]. 2022 Aug 21 [cited 2023 Feb 2];14(8). Available
342 from: [https://www.cureus.com/articles/106869-physical-activity-status-and-](https://www.cureus.com/articles/106869-physical-activity-status-and-diabetic-retinopathy-a-review)
343 [diabetic-retinopathy-a-review](https://www.cureus.com/articles/106869-physical-activity-status-and-diabetic-retinopathy-a-review)
- 344 15. Yan X, Han X, Wu C, Shang X, Zhang L, He M. Effect of physical activity on

- 345 reducing the risk of diabetic retinopathy progression: 10-year prospective
346 findings from the 45 and Up Study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1 [cited
347 2023 Feb 2];16(1). Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC7808642/](#)
- 348 16. Liao D, Ma L, Liu J, Fu P. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for diabetic
349 nephropathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort
350 studies. PLoS One [Internet]. 2019 Jul 1 [cited 2023 Feb 2];14(2):e0210213.
351 Available from:
352 <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0210213>
- 353 17. Agarwal R. Smoking, oxidative stress and inflammation: Impact on resting
354 energy expenditure in diabetic nephropathy. BMC Nephrol [Internet]. 2005
355 Nov 22 [cited 2023 Feb 2];6(1):1–9. Available from:
356 <https://bmcnephrol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2369-6-13>
357