1	
2	Prevalence of Microvascular Complications and Associated Risk Factors
3	among Diabetes Mellitus Patients attending Nyeri County Referral
4	Hospital, Kenya: a cross-sectional Study
5	Authors Names:
6	Rachael Ireri ^{1,2} , Gideon Kikuvi ¹ , Susan Mambo ¹ , Besty Cheriro ¹ .
7	Authors Affiliation:
8	¹ Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology.
9	² Department of Clinical Medicine Kenya Medical Training College
10	Corresponding Author: Rachael Ireri ((<u>irerirachaelw@yahoo.com</u>)
11	Abstract
12	Microvascular complications are one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality
13	worldwide among patients with diabetes mellitus (DM). In Kenya, Nyeri County has
14	the highest prevalence of diabetes mellitus compared to other counties. More than
15	50% of Nyeri County Referral Hospital (NCRH) admissions result from non-
16	communicable diseases (NCDs) and over 55% of hospital deaths are attributable to
17	NCDs. This study therefore sought to assess the prevalence of microvascular
18	complications and the associated risk factors among patients attending Nyeri County
19	Referral Hospital in Kenya.

20 A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 314 DM patients on follow-21 up at NCRH from August 2022 to October 2022. The socio-demographic, 22 anthropometric, biochemical, and clinical data were recorded using a structured 23 survey. All the recruited patients underwent an extensive examination for the presence 24 of Microvascular complications like neuropathy, retinopathy, and nephropathy. Data 25 were analyzed using STATA version 17. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

analyses are used to determine the risk factors associated with Microvascularcomplications of DM.

28 Among the 314 patients with DM, 58% were women. The overall prevalence of 29 Microvascular complications (MVCs) is 36.62%. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy was 30 the most frequent complication (27.4%), followed by retinopathy (10.8%) and 31 nephropathy (8%). Inadequate physical exercise was a risk factor for all MVCs. Age, 32 marital status, and level of education were risk factors for neuropathy while smoking and alcohol intake were risk factors for nephropathy. Additionally marital status was 33 34 a risk factor for retinopathy. Further, the analysis revealed the risk of non-smokers getting neuropathy reduced by 98% compared to smokers (P-0.0001; CI 0.003058-35 36 0.191502). lack of regular physical exercise was significantly associated with 37 retinopathy (P 0.012; CI 0.046816-0.690638).

One in ten patients with DM had a microvascular complication. The benefits of
 microvascular complications prevention should thus be factored into the management
 of patients with diabetes mellitus.

41 Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, microvascular complications, Risk factors,
42 retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy.

43 Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a growing global epidemic that disproportionately affects economic and health outcomes in low- and middle-income countries (LMICS) (1). DM is the most common type of diabetes, accounting for around 90% of all diabetes worldwide (2) Diabetic complications are usually divided into two main categories, Macrovascular, and Microvascular complications. Microvascular complications include diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy (3).

50 Microvascular complications such as Diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and 51 peripheral neuropathy are most common complications in diabetes. The global

52 prevalence of this Microvascular complications varies considerably. This is 53 attributable to the population characteristics, screening and treatment methods used 54 in different regions (4).

Diabetes peripheral neuropathy is the most common Microvascular complication across all the regions. Globally the prevalence it is estimated to be18.8%, while Africa has a prevalence of 14.5% (5). The prevalence of DR is estimated to be 35%; out of this 12% are at risk of losing eye sight. Likewise, between 10% and 67% of patients with different levels of renal failure and renal disease is attributed to diabetes. More than 80% of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is caused by diabetes (2).

The African Region has the highest predicted increase in the number of diabetes cases 61 62 by 48% and 143% in year 2030 and 2045 respectively. In 2019, 6.8% of mortality was attributable to diabetes with majority of deaths reported in people below 60 years of 63 age. Of the total number of deaths attributable to diabetes, large proportions occur in 64 low- and middle-income countries (41.8% and 58.2%, respectively. It is also estimated 65 (3.9%) 19.4 million adults aged 20–79 years have diabetes. However, more than half 66 67 (59.7%) of the people living with diabetes are undiagnosed and this could be the reason of low prevalence in the region. Out of 19.4 million reported diabetes cases 8.8% are 68 69 aged between 65-69 years. Moreover, 5.9% and 2.4% live in urban and rural areas respectively (2). 70

About 2.4% of Kenyan population are diabetic, 3.1% are pre-diabetic and a substantial proportion of 52.8% people with undiagnosed diabetes are at risk of developing complications. Only 21.3 % of the patients with diabetes reported to be on treatment. Glycemic control was achieved in 7% of the patients on treatment (6). This low glycemic control levels could result to development of complications and premature deaths. The burden of non-communicable diseases continues to increase in Nyeri County having a diabetes prevalence of 6.4% which is almost triple of national

prevalence. Almost a half of deaths are due to NCDs i.e. two out of three deaths.
Besides, the prevalence of CKD stages 3 to 5 in this adult population who are above 30
years, with type 2 diabetes, is 39.0% (7). As the prevalence of diabetes continues to rise
and the burden of diabetic Microvascular complications will increase in future, People
with diabetes have an increased risk of developing Microvascular complications,
which, if undetected or left untreated, can have a devastating impact on quality of life
and place a significant burden on health care costs (8).

Therefore, this study aims to assess the prevalence of diabetes Microvascular complications and associated risk factors among diabetes mellitus patients attending Nyeri County Referral Hospital, Kenya.

88 Methodology

89 Study design and setting

A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted from 08th August 2022 to 31st
October 2022 in Nyeri County Referral Hospital in the Diabetic Clinic and Diabetes
Outpatient Clinic (DOPC). The diabetes clinic runs every day except weekends and
public holiday while the DOPC only runs only of Friday. Both clinics provides all
essential general healthcare services to DM patients.

95 Study participants

96 The study recruited diabetes patients aged at least 18 years on follow-up and treatment 97 for at least six months in Nyeri County Referral Hospital in the Diabetic Clinic or 98 Diabetes Outpatient Clinic (DOPC). Participants with a complete follow-up and 99 management documentation in the file were included. participants excluded from the 100 study include those with gestational diabetes, those unstable and required urgent 101 referral and evidence of impaired cognitive function. All the Participants provided 102 informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

104

105 Data Sources and measurements

Data was collected from all eligible and consenting participants using a validated 106 107 researcher administered semi structured questionnaire to collect data on socio-108 demographic, characteristics and various risk factors. The information collected from 109 the subjects mainly included socio-demographic characteristics (such as age, gender, 110 marital status, education, monthly income, social security, locality type, and ethnicity) 111 and bio-clinical information (such as disease duration, treatment, physical activity, 112 systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist circumference, body mass index (BMI), 113 biochemical parameters, and presence of complications). Standard and validated 114 protocols were used to classify various biochemical parameters. Details of comorbidities were obtained from their records as well as from history. Further all the 115 116 participants were examined for presence of neuropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy.

117 Sample size

sample size was calculated using the Cochran's formula for single population 118 119 proportion. The prevalence of reported neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy in other areas in Kenya is 41, 33 and 0.9% respectively. Based on this finding from 120 121 previous studies 41% prevalence rate (p) of diabetic neuropathy complication was used in margin of error (d 0.05); and 95% confidence interval. Since the target population 122 123 was below 10,000 a finite correction formula was applied to get a working sample size 124 of 314 participants. Systemic random sampling was used to collect data from the 125 patients; where the first subject was selected randomly and then every sixth subject 126 was selected for the study till sample size was achieved.

127 Data analysis

Data collected was entered and analyzed using STATA Version 17. Descriptivestatistics such as mean, frequency, percentages, means and standard deviation was

130 computed for continuous and categorical variables. Chi-square test was used in 131 analysis of categorical variables to test for associations between independent and 132 dependent variables of the subjects. Significant variables from Univariate analysis 133 were analyzed further using binary logistic regression and binomial logistic regression 134 to determine the association of independent risk factors with diabetes Microvascular 135 complications. Results were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence 136 intervals (CI). A p-value of < 5% is considered statistically significant.</p>

137 Ethics statement

Both written and verbal informed consent for participation was also obtained from all the participants. For written consent all participants signed the consent form in the questionnaire. Verbal consent was witnessed by the nurse or clinical officer in-charge of the clinic. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, the National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI/P/22/18990) and the County Director of Health Services in Nyeri County.

145 **Results**

146 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants

Among 314 T2DM patients who participated in this study, 58 % were females, and 42%
were males. The participants' average age was 58.49. ± 17.43 years and 66.6% were
married. More than half of the subjects (90.5%) had attained primary education. Of all
the subjects in the study, 79% were rural residents (Table 1).

Table 1: socio demographic characteristics of patients with MVCs				
Variable	Description	Freq, N (%)		
Follow Up Clinia	DOPC	155(49.4)		
Follow Up Chille	DM Clinic	159(50.6)		
	<=30 Years	26(8.3)		
Age Crown	31-45 Years	42(13.4)		
Age Group	46-60 Years	90(28.7)		
	>=61 Years	156(49.7)		
Gender	Male	132(42)		

Table 1: socio demographic characteristics of patients with MVCs					
Variable	Description	Freq, N (%)			
	Female	182(58)			
	Married	209(66.6)			
Marital Status	Single	43(13.7)			
Maritar Status	Divorced/Separated	12(3.8)			
	Widowed	50(15.9)			
	Christian	311(99)			
Religion	Muslim	2(0.6)			
	Hinduism	1(0.3)			
	Primary	158(50.3)			
Level Of Education	Secondary	90(28.7)			
Level OI Education	Tertiary	36(11.5)			
	None	30(9.6)			
	Government Employee	7(2.2)			
	Private Employment	19(6.1)			
Occupation	Business	52(16.6)			
	Farmer	183(58.3)			
	Unemployed	53(16.9)			
Pagidanaa	Rural	248(79)			
Residence	Urban	66(21)			
	Kikuyu	294(93.6)			
Fthnicity	Embu	9(2.9)			
Ethnicity	Meru	4(1.3)			
	Others	7(2.2)			
	Less Than 5000	183(58.3)			
	5001-10000	64(20.4)			
Incomo	10001-15000	29(9.2)			
	15001-20000	12(3.8)			
	20001-25000	12(3.8)			
	Above 25001	14(4.5)			

151 Prevalence of Diabetes Microvascular complications and distribution of

prevalence by demographic characteristics 152

153 The overall prevalence of microvascular complications (MVCs) is 36.62%. Peripheral 154 neuropathy was the most common complication affecting 86(27.4%) of the 155 participants; while retinopathy was at 34(10.8%) and nephropathy was the least 156 25(8%). Majority of the respondents with diabetic neuropathy complications were 157 from the DOPC 49(31.6%) and more common among those aged >=61 years 158 56(35.9%). More than half 16 (53.3%) of the respondents with diabetic neuropathy had 159 no formal education.

160 Diabetic nephropathy complication majorly affected 14(9%) of individuals from the

DOPC clinic. It was most common among those aged >=61 years 18(11.5%) and males 161

162 were more affected 14(10.6%). Additionally, respondents with no formal education

163 and those with rural residence were more affected at 5(16.7%) and 22(8.9%)

164 respectively. DOPC clinic recorded more patients 23(14.8%) with diabetic retinopathy.

165 Retinopathy complication was most common among those aged >=61 years 21(13.5.

- 166 %) and least common 1(4%) amongst those aged <=30 years. Diabetic retinopathy was
- 167 most prevalent 4(21.1%) among those in private employment compared to government

168 employees who reported zero prevalence (Table 2).

Table 2: Prevalen characteristics	ce of Diabetes Microvascu	lar complication	s and distribution	n of prevalence by	demographic
	Total (N)		Overall prevale	ence (%)	
Characteristic Variable	314			36.62	
Variable			Neuropathy (%)	Nephropathy (%)	Retinopathy (%)
Microvascular complications	314		86 (27.4%)	25 (8.0%)	34 (10.8%)
		Total participants	Distributi	on of Prevalence by characteristics	Demographic
Follow Up Clinia	DOPC	155	49(31.6%)	14(9%)	23(14.8%)
Follow Up Chillic	DM Clinic	159	37(23.3%)	11(6.9%)	11(6.9%)
	<=30 Years	26	1(4%)	2(8%)	1(4%)
Ago Croup	31-45 Years	42	8(19%)	3(7.1%)	4(9.5%)
Age Gloup	46-60 Years	90	21(23.3%)	2(2.2%)	8(8.9%)
	>=61 Years	156	56(35.9%)	18(11.5%)	21(13.5%)
Condon	Male	132	33(25%)	14(10.6%)	10(7.6%)
Gender	Female	182	53(29.1%)	11(6%)	24(13.2%)
	Married	209	57(27.3%)	14(6.7%)	23(11%)
Manital Status	Single	43	5(11.6%)	2(4.7%)	1(2.3%)
Marital Status	Divorced/Separated	12	1(10%)	1(10%)	0(0%)
	Widowed	50	23(46%)	8(16%)	10(20%)
	Christian	311	85(27.3%)	25(8%)	34(10.9%)
Religion	Muslim	2	1(50%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
U	Hinduism	1	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
	Primary	158	42(26.6%)	15(9.5%)	16(10.1%)
Thur line	Secondary	90	21(23.3%)	3(3.3%)	6(6.7%)
Education	Tertiary	36	7(19.4%)	2(5.6%)	6(16.7%)
	None	30	16(53.3%)	5(16.7%)	6(20%)
	Government Employee	7	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
	Private Employment	19	7(36.8%)	0(0%)	4(21.1%)
Occupation	Business	52	15(28.8%)	5(9.6%)	4(7.7%)
-	Farmer	183	50(27.3%)	12(6.6%)	19(10.4%)
	Unemployed	53	14(26.4%)	8(15.1%)	7(13.2%)
Desidence	Rural	248	71(28.6%)	22(8.9%)	27(10.9%)
Kesidence	Urban	66	15(22.7%)	3(4.5%)	7(10.6%)

169 Risk factors associated with diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and

170 neuropathy

physical exercise, age cohort, marital status and level of education had a significant 171 172 relationship with neuropathy complications were with p values of 0.002, 0.001, 0.002 and 0.007 respectively. A significant relationship exists between nephropathy 173 174 complications and smoking, history of alcohol intake, physical exercise and HBIAC test with p values of 0.001, 0.001, 0.0002 and 0.011 respectively.002, 0.001, 0.002 175 and 0.007 respectively. Additionally, a significant relationship with retinopathy 176 177 complications were physical exercise and marital status with p values of 0.013 and 0.033 respectively (Table 3). 178

Table 3: Ri	sk factors associated with diabetes ret	inopathy, nep patients	hropathy	and neuropath	y among	g diabetes mell	itus	
			Type of Complication and P Value					
Variable	Variable choices	Neuropat hy Yes, n (%)	P value	Nephropat hy Yes, n (%)	P value	Retinopath y Yes, n (%)	P value	
	Normal	37(29.6)		10(%yes)		15(12)		
DMI	Under weight	0(0)	0.006	0(0)	0.63	0(0)	0.86	
DIVII	Over weight	40(29.9)	0.230	13(9.7)	3	15(11.2)	4	
	Obese	9(17.6)		2(3.9)		4(7.8)		
Smoko	Yes	3(17.6)	0.067	5(29.4)	0.00	0(0)	0.23	
SIIIOKE	No	83(27.9)	0.20/	20(6.7)	1	34(11.4)	3	
history of	Yes	4(23.5)	_	5(29.4)	0.00	1(5.9)	0.70	
alcohol intake	No	82(27.6)	0.481	20(6.7)	1	33(11.1)	5	
physical	Yes	62(23.8)	0.000	15(5.8)	0.00	23(8.8)	0.01	
exercise	No	24(44.4)	0.002	10(18.5)	2	11(20.4)	3	
	<=30 years	1(3.8)		2(7.7)		1(3.8)		
age group	31-45 years	8(19)	0.001	3(7.1)	0.07	4(9.5)	0.49	
age group	46-60 years	21(23.3)	0.001	2(2.2)	8	8(8.9)	4	
	>=61 years	56(35.9)		18(11.5)		21(13.5)		
Gender	Male	33(25)	0.240	14(10.6)	0.14	10(7.6)	0.114	
Gender	Female	53(29.1)	0.249	11(6)	0.14	24(13.2)	0.114	
	Married	57(27.3)	-	14(6.7)		23(11)		
marital	Single	5(11.6)	0.002	2(4.7)	0 1 4 2	1(2.3)	0.03	
status	Divorced/separated	1(8.3)	0.002	1(10)	0.142	0(0)	3	
	Widowed	23(46)		8(16)		10(20)		
	Primary	42(26.6)	_	15(9.5)		16(10.1)		
level of	secondary	21(23.3)	0.007	3(3.3)	0.07	6(6.7)	0 124	
education	Tertiary	7(19.4)	0.007	2(5.6)	8	6(16.7)	0.134	
	None	16(53.3)		5(16.7)		6(20)		
	government employee	0(0)	_	0(0)		0(0)		
employment	Private	7(36.8)	0.407	0(0)	0.20	4(21.1)	0.48	
status	Business	15(28.8)	0.49/	5(9.6)	6	4(7.7)	2	
Status	Farmer	50(27.3)		12(6.6)		19(10.4)		
	Unemployed	14(26.4)		8(15.1)		7(13.2)		

Table 3: Risk factors associated with diabetes retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy among diabetes mellitus								
	patients							
			Type	of Complicatio	n and P	Value		
Variabla	Variable aboies	Neuropat	р	Nephropat	Б	Retinopath	P	
Variable	Variable choices	hy Yes, n	r	hy Yes, n	r	y Yes, n (%)	value	
		(%)	value	(%)	value			
	Metformin 500mg	17(24.6)		2(2.9)		12(17.4)		
	Metformin 500mg and Glibenclamide	8(28.6)		0(=1)		1(0,6)		
aumont				2(7.1)		1(3.0)		
trootmont	Metformin 850/1000mg	28(29.5)	0.784	7(7.4)	0.191	11(11.6)	0.141	
treatment	Metformin+Glibenclamide+Insulin	20(31.7)		5(7.9)		8(12.7)		
	Insulin only	13(22.8)		9(15.8)		2(3.5)		
	Others	0(0)		0(0)		0(0)		
UDIAC Tost	Normal	37(29.6)	0.475	4(3.2)	0.011	17(13.6)	0.100	
HBIAC Test	Abnormal	49(25.9)	0.475	21(11.1)	0.011	17(9)	0.199	

179 **Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetes Microvascular**

complications 180

181 Controlling for frequency of exercise, marital status and level of education; age cohorts were not significantly associated with neuropathic complications. Similarly 182 183 holding age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education constant, marital 184 status were not significantly associated with neuropathic complications (Table 4).

Table 4: Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetic neuropathy						
Complication Neuropathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Cont	f. Interval]
Age cohorts (Base-<=30years)						
<=30 years	1	(base)				
31-45 years	0.452414	0.243326	-1.47	0.14	0.157662	1.298211
46-60 years	0.730886	0.26773	-0.86	0.392	0.35649	1.498484
>=61 years	1	(omitted)				
marital status (Base-Married)						
Single	0.832651	0.509795	-0.3	0.765	0.25079	2.764494
Divorced/Separated	0.376244	0.410275	-0.9	0.37	0.044389	3.189042
Widowed	1.489481	0.647433	0.92	0.359	0.635394	3.49162
level of education (Base-Primary)						
Secondary	1.383951	0.517554	0.87	0.385	0.664965	2.880334
Tertiary	1.182695	0.65043	0.31	0.76	0.402485	3.475331
None	1.893705	1.055392	1.15	0.252	0.63522	5.645478
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	0.811892	0.360899	-0.47	0.639	0.339724	1.940308
2-3 times a week	0.536864	0.204653	-1.63	0.103	0.254323	1.133295
2 weeks to one month Only when recommended by HC	1	(empty)				
person	0.767539	0.928569	-0.22	0.827	0.071667	8.220229
_cons	0.522596	0.205271	-1.65	0.099	0.242003	1.128524

- 186 Holding for age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education, the odds of those
- 187 who are not smoking getting nephropathy reduces by 98% compared to smokers (P
- 188 0001; CI 0.003058-0.191502) (Table 5).
- 189
- 190

191 Adjusting for marital status, the odds of those who do exercise once weekly getting

Table 5: Kegressi	on analysis for	risk factors f	$\frac{\text{or diabe}}{-}$	-	patny	
Complication Nephropathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	65% Conf	. Interval]
Age cohorts (Base-<=30years)						
<=30	1	(base)				
31-45	1	(empty)				
46-60	0.22572	0.430797	-0.78	0.435	0.005358	9.508858
>=61	4.504898	7.633377	0.89	0.374	0.162688	124.7422
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	4.175531	4.012703	1.49	0.137	0.634897	27.46123
2-3 times a week	0.68741	0.649369	-0.4	0.692	0.107924	4.378367
2 weeks to one month	1	(empty)				
Only when recommended by HC						
person	1	(empty)				
level of education (Base-Primary)						
Secondary	0.277528	0.328682	-1.08	0.279	0.02724	2.827485
Tertiary	1.612873	1.707157	0.45	0.652	0.2026	12.83987
None	0.568066	0.574989	-0.56	0.576	0.078131	4.130236
Smoking (Base-Yes)						
No	0.024201	0.025541	-3.53	0.0001	0.003058	0.191502
hb1ac	2.217372	1.664154	1.06	0.289	0.509338	9.653207
cons	0.38589	0.624194	-0.59	0.556	0.016204	9.190042

192 retinopathy complication reduces by 83% compared to those who do exercise daily (P

193 0.012; CI 0.046816-0.690638). Controlling for marital status, the odds of those who

194 do exercise 2-3 times a week reduces by 87% compared to those who do exercise daily

195 (P 0.0001; CI 0.049133-0.397895) (Table 6).

Table 6: Regression analysis for risk factors for diabetic Retinopathy						
Complication Retinopathy	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	Z	P>z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
Frequency of exercise (Base-Daily)						
Once weekly	0.179814	0.123459	-2.5	0.012	0.046816	0.690638
2-3 times a week	0.139821	0.074608	-3.69	0.0001	0.049133	0.397895

2 weeks to one month	2.87246	4.190182	0.72	0.469	0.164653	50.11164
Only when recommended by HC						
person	1	(empty)				
marital status (Base-Married)						
Married	1	(base)				
Single	0.149677	0.160029	-1.78	0.076	0.018411	1.216815
Divorced/Separated	1	(empty)				
Widowed	1.599709	0.933347	0.81	0.421	0.509811	5.019643
cons	0.348134	0.124519	-2.95	0.003	0.1727	0.701779

196

197 Discussion

198 The current study sought to assess the prevalence of and the associated risk factors 199 among patients attending Nyeri County Referral Hospital in Kenya. The study 200 observed that overall prevalence of microvascular complications is 36.62%. Among the 201 microvascular complications, peripheral neuropathy had the highest prevalence 202 (27.4%) followed by retinopathy (10.8%) and nephropathy (8%). These findings are 203 similar to findings from studies other studies conducted in other low income countries such as Ethiopia, Tanzania and India (9-11). However, this findings might differ in 204 specific percentage of proportions the difference might be related to accessibility and 205 206 advancement of health institutions and patient's adherence to medication and 207 practice in different regions.

208 Univariate analysis showed that factors such as lower education, occupation i.e. 209 farmers and patient who resided in the rural areas were significantly associated with 210 peripheral neuropathy (P < .05). Lower level of education, unemployment, smoking 211 and patients who resided in the rural areas were significantly associated with 212 nephropathy (P < .05) whereas lower education, frequency of exercise and private 213 employment were significantly associated with retinopathy (P < .05). All the three MVCs prevalence was higher in patients seen in the Diabetes outpatient clinic (DOPC) 214 when compared to patients seen in the diabetes clinic. The high prevalence in DOPC 215

could be due to delay in diagnosis, poor self-care, poor health-seeking behavior as the 216 217 clinic runs only once per week and patients are given appointment of up to 3 months while the other clinic runs daily and patients are likely are given a nearer return date. 218 Lower education status was an independent and commonly encountered risk factors 219 220 for all the MVCs. Educational status influences the awareness about diabetes, 221 compliance to drugs and the health seeking behavior of an individual. Studies have 222 observed that lower the education higher is the risk of developing diabetes 223 complications. Agriculture is the major occupation in rural areas in Kenva. Farmers 224 are more prone to foot injuries during work this could be reason for high prevalence of neuropathy (10,12). 225

The presence of DPN and Nephropathy was associated with rural sector of residence and lower household income. A possible explanation for the occurrence could be that poor people are less likely to use health services, which might result in delayed diagnosis and poor control of DM. Previous studies have reported that metabolic control of DM was worse in patients with a lower socio-economic status. In addition, the increased risk in rural sector residents could be due to lack of access to the better health care facilities available to residents in urban areas (13).

233 Multi-regression analysis revealed that the risk of diabetic Retinopathy reduces by 234 87% in those who do exercise 2-3 times a week compared to those who do exercise 235 daily (P 0.0001; CI 0.049133-0.397895. Even though there is strong evidence for the 236 correlation between physical activity and disease status, the number of people who 237 actually partake in the minimum requirement for physical activity as prescribed by the American College of Sports of Medicine (ACSM) and other Public Health and Exercise 238 239 Authorities remains low around the world. Physical activity (PA) is a critical 240 component of lifestyle intervention in diabetes management. This study agrees with

other studies which revealed that total physical activity was decreased in patients with 241 242 severe to very severe non-proliferative and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (14,15) Adjusting for age cohorts, frequency of exercise and level of education, the odds of 243 those who are not smoking getting nephropathy reduces by 98% compared to smokers 244 (P-0.0001; CI 0.002549-0.145117). Smoking, a well-known and preventable risk factor 245 246 for many diseases. Cigarette smoking in diabetes has been repeatedly confirmed as an independent risk factor for the onset and progression of diabetic nephropathy. This 247 248 study demonstrates strong association found between chronic cigarette smoking and 249 diabetic nephropathy. This is consistent with various studies that suggest; smoking is a major fuel in the development of high oxidative stress and subsequently 250 hyperlipidemia, accumulation of advanced glycation end products, activation of the 251 252 renin angiotensin system and Rho-kinase, which are observed to play a pathogenic 253 role in the progression of diabetic nephropathy. Furthermore, cigarette smoking in 254 diabetic patients with vascular complications produces a variety of pathological 255 changes in the kidney, such as thickening of the glomerular basement membrane and mesangial expansion with progression in glomerulus sclerosis and interstitial fibrosis, 256 which ultimately results in end stage renal failure (16,17) 257

258 Study Limitations

This study is a cross-sectional study hence cannot establish causal inferences but rather associations. Interpretation of findings should therefore be done cautiously. Nonetheless, this study provides some estimates on the prevalence of MVCs and associated risk factors among DM patients inform development of interventions. The sample size of 314 is also large enough to draw valid conclusions from a single center study.

265

266

267 Conclusion

268 In this study, four in ten patients with DM had a microvascular complication. Three in ten had a neuropathy and two in ten had a retinopathy. Factors such as physical 269 activity, dietary practice, level of education, clinic where followed-up and area of 270 271 residence being associated with the MVCs. Identifying patients at risk of MVCs can 272 lead to targeted interventions in line with the Kenvan government NCD policy and 273 SDG 3.4 target on reducing premature mortality from NCDs through prevention and 274 treatment. Additionally, public health efforts should institute measures to ensure that 275 health promotion and education on long-term diseases like DM form part of the 276 routine management and care practices.

277 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the study facilities and participants for their role in this study as well as clinicians in the DOPC and DM clinic for their support

280 Authors' contribution

Rachael Ireri conceptualized the study, designed the study, collected and analysed
data, interpreted data, prepared and the reviewed manuscript for the final submission.
Gideon Kikuvi, Susan Mambo and Besty Cheriro formulated research question and
assisted and validated the manuscript for the final submission

285 **Competing interests**

286 The authors declare no competing interests.

287 **Financial support**: This work was not funded

288 References

289 1. Stanifer JW, Cleland CR, Makuka GJ, Egger JR, Maro V, Maro H, et al.

290 Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Complications of Diabetes in the Kilimanjaro

- 291 Region: A Population-Based Study from Tanzania. PLoS One [Internet]. 2016
- 292 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Mar 6];11(10). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5053499/

293	2.	Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al.
294		IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence
295		estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022 Jan
296		1;183.
297	3.	Cheema S, Maisonneuve P, Zirie M, Jayyousi A, Alrouh H, Abraham A, et al.
298		Risk factors for microvascular complications of diabetes in a high-risk middle
299		east population. J Diabetes Res. 2018;2018.
300	4.	Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al.
301		Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for
302		2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes
303		Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019 Nov 1;157.
304	5.	Kosiborod M, Gomes MB, Nicolucci A, Pocock S, Rathmann W, Shestakova M
305		V., et al. Vascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: Prevalence
306		and associated factors in 38 countries (the DISCOVER study program).
307		Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2018 Nov 28;17(1).
308	6.	Mohamed SF, Mwangi M, Mutua MK, Kibachio J, Hussein A, Ndegwa Z, et al.
309		Prevalence and factors associated with pre-diabetes and diabetes mellitus in
310		Kenya: Results from a national survey. BMC Public Health. 2018 Nov 7;18.
311	7.	Otieno FCF, Ogola EN, Kimando MW, Mutai K. The burden of unrecognised
312		chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes at a county hospital
313		clinic in Kenya: Implications to care and need for screening. BMC Nephrol.
314		2020 Feb 28;21(1).
315	8.	Girach A, Manner D, Porta M. Diabetic microvascular complications: Can
316		patients at risk be identified? A review. Int J Clin Pract. 2006
317		Nov;60(11):1471–83.

318 9. Msanga D, Reis K, Kayange N, Bakalemwa R, Kidenya B, Hau D, et al. Diabetic

319		Microvascular Complications Among Children and Adolescents in
320		Northwestern Tanzania: A Cross-Sectional Study. Ann Glob Heal [Internet].
321		2020 [cited 2023 Feb 2];86(1). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7181947/
322	10.	Seid MA, Akalu Y, Gela YY, Belsti Y, Diress M, Fekadu SA, et al. Microvascular
323		complications and its predictors among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at
324		Dessie town hospitals, Ethiopia. Diabetol Metab Syndr [Internet]. 2021 Dec 1
325		[cited 2023 Feb 2];13(1):86. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC8369723/
326	11.	Govindarajan Venguidesvarane A, Jasmine A, Varadarajan S, Shriraam V,
327		Muthuthandavan AR, Durai V, et al. Prevalence of Vascular Complications
328		Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients in a Rural Health Center in South India. J
329		Prim Care Community Heal. 2020;11.
330	12.	Dégano IR, Marrugat J, Grau M, Salvador-González B, Ramos R, Zamora A, et
331		al. The association between education and cardiovascular disease incidence is
332		mediated by hypertension, diabetes, and body mass index. Sci Rep [Internet].
333		2017 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Feb 2];7(1). Available from:
334		https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28959022/
335	13.	Katulanda P, Ranasinghe P, Jayawardena R, Constantine GR, Sheriff MHR,
336		Matthews DR. The prevalence, patterns and predictors of diabetic peripheral
337		neuropathy in a developing country. Diabetol Metab Syndr [Internet]. 2012
338		[cited 2023 Feb 2];4(1):21. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3408375/
339	14.	AlQabandi Y, Nandula SA, Boddepalli CS, Gutlapalli SD, Lavu VK, Abdelwahab
340		RAM, et al. Physical Activity Status and Diabetic Retinopathy: A Review.
341		Cureus J Med Sci [Internet]. 2022 Aug 21 [cited 2023 Feb 2];14(8). Available
342		from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/106869-physical-activity-status-and-
343		diabetic-retinopathy-a-review
344	15.	Yan X, Han X, Wu C, Shang X, Zhang L, He M. Effect of physical activity on

	reducing the risk of diabetic retinopathy progression: 10-year prospective
	findings from the 45 and Up Study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1 [cited
	2023 Feb 2];16(1). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7808642/
16.	Liao D, Ma L, Liu J, Fu P. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for diabetic
	nephropathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort
	studies. PLoS One [Internet]. 2019 Jul 1 [cited 2023 Feb 2];14(2):e0210213.
	Available from:
	https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0210213
17.	Agarwal R. Smoking, oxidative stress and inflammation: Impact on resting
	energy expenditure in diabetic nephropathy. BMC Nephrol [Internet]. 2005
	Nov 22 [cited 2023 Feb 2];6(1):1–9. Available from:
	https://bmcnephrol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2369-6-13
	16.