Protocol for a prospective, multicentre, cross-sectional cohort study to assess personal light exposure

- 3
- 4 Carolina Guidolin^[1, 2]
- 5 Sam Aerts ^[3]
- 6 Gabriel Kwaku Agbeshie^[4]
- 7 Kwadwo Owusu Akuffo^[4]
- 8 Sema Nur Aydin^[5]
- 9 David Baeza Moyano^[6]
- 10 John Bolte^[3, 7]
- 11 Kai Broszio^[8]
- 12 Guadalupe Cantarero-García^[6]
- 13 Altug Didikoglu^[5]
- 14 Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano [6]
- 15 Hongli Joosten-Ma^[3]
- 16 Sofia Melero-Tur^[6]
- 17 Maria Nilsson Tengelin^[9]
- 18 María Concepción Pérez Gutiérrez ^[6]
- 19 Oliver Stefani^[10]
- 20 Ingemar Svensson^[9]
- 21 Ljiljana Udovicic^[8]
- ²² Johannes Zauner^[2]
- 23 Manuel Spitschan^{[1, 2, 11]*}
- 24
- 25 1 Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Max Planck Research Group Translational Sensory & Circadian
- 26 Neuroscience
- 27 2 TUM School of Medicine and Health, Department Health and Sports Sciences, Chronobiology & Health, Technical
- 28 University of Munich
- 29 3 Research Group Smart Sensor Systems, The Hague University of Applied Sciences
- 30 4 Department of Optometry and Visual Science, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology
- 31 5 Department of Neuroscience, Izmir Institute of Technology
- 32 6 Research Group ARIE, Department of Architecture and Design, Universidad San Pablo-CEU, CEU Universities
- 33 7 National Institute for Public Health and the Environment of the Netherlands (RIVM)
- 34 8 Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA)
- 35 9 Department of Measurement Science and Technology, RISE Research Institutes of Sweden
- 36 10 Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts
- 37 11 TUM Institute for Advanced Study (TUM-IAS), Technical University of Munich
- 38
- 39 * Corresponding author: manuel.spitschan@tum.de
- 40 All authors except C.G. and M.S. listed in alphabetical order.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

41 Author contributions

- 42 Conceptualisation: C.G., M.S.
- 43 Data curation: n/a
- 44 Formal Analysis: n/a
- 45 *Funding acquisition*: L.U., D.B.M., M.N.T., O.S., M.S.
- 46 Investigation: n/a
- 47 Methodology: C.G., L.U., K.B., D.B.M., S.M.-T., G.C-G., R.A.G.-L., S.A., J.B., H.J.-M., M.N.T., O.S.,
- 48 A.D., J.Z., M.S.
- 49 Project administration: M.S.
- 50 Resources: n/a
- 51 Software: C.G., J.Z., M.S.
- 52 Supervision: n/a
- 53 Validation: n/a
- 54 Visualisation: C.G.
- 55 Writing original draft: C.G., M.S.
- 56 Writing review & editing: C.G., S.A., G.K.A., K.O.A., S.N.A., R.A.G.-L., D.B.M., J.B., K.B., G.C.-G.,
- 57 A.D., H.J.-M., S.M.-T., M.N.T., M.C.P.G., O.S., I.S., L.U., J.Z., M.S.

58 Funding statement

The project (22NRM05 MeLiDos) has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, co-financed by the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by the Participating States. Further finding is provided by the TUM Global Incentive Fund (GIF0000031) to support the collaboration between TUM and KNUST, and TÜBİTAK –The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Türkiye (Grant no. 224S740).

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

64 Abstract

Light profoundly impacts many aspects of human physiology and behaviour, including the 65 synchronization of the circadian clock, the production of melatonin, and cognition. These effects of 66 light, termed the non-visual effects of light, have been primarily investigated in laboratory settings, 67 68 where light intensity, spectrum and timing can be carefully controlled to draw associations with physiological outcomes of interest. Recently, the increasing availability of wearable light loggers has 69 opened the possibility of studying personal light exposure in free-living conditions where people 70 engage in activities of daily living, vielding findings associating aspects of light exposure and health 71 outcomes, supporting the importance of adequate light exposure at appropriate times for human 72 health. However, comprehensive protocols capturing environmental (e.g., geographical location, 73 season, climate, photoperiod) and individual factors (e.g., culture, personal habits, behaviour, 74 commute type, profession) contributing to the measured light exposure are currently lacking. Here, we 75 present a protocol that combines smartphone-based experience sampling (experience sampling 76 implementing Karolinska Sleepiness Scale, KSS ratings) and high-guality light exposure data 77 collection at three body sites (near-corneal plane between the two eyes mounted on spectacle, neck-78 worn pendant/badge, and wrist-worn watch-like design) to capture daily factors related to individuals' 79 light exposure. We will implement the protocol in an international multi-centre study to investigate the 80 81 environmental and socio-cultural factors influencing light exposure patterns in Germany, Ghana, 82 Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, and Turkey (minimum n=15, target n=30 per site, minimum n=90, target 83 n=180 across all sites). With the resulting dataset, lifestyle and context-specific factors that contribute 84 to healthy light exposure will be identified. This information is essential in designing effective public health interventions. 85

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

86 Introduction

87 Background

Light exposure significantly affects human health and behaviour, modulating sleep, cognitive functions and neuroendocrine processes [1]. The effects of light on human physiology can be observed acutely as changes in subjective alertness, mood, sleep architecture, heart rate and suppression of the hormone melatonin, usually produced by the brain before the biological night [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. Furthermore, environmental light acts as the main "zeitgeber" (German for "time giver") for our circadian system, synchronising endogenous physiological processes to the environmental 24-hour light-dark cycle so that bodily functions are temporally organised to match environmental stimuli [1].

Human circadian rhythms have developed under the stable, naturally occurring 24-hour light-dark 95 cycle, characterised by bright days and dark nights. However, in modern, industrialised societies, we 96 often lack the "dark nights" and the "bright days" that our circadian rhythms adapted for, as individuals 97 can effectively turn night into day with the use of electric light [7]. The advent of light emitting diode 98 (LED) lamps, favourable for their affordable price and energy saving properties, together with the 99 availability of emissive displays such as smartphones and laptops, has played an important role in 100 increasing accessibility and use of electric light [8]. Furthermore, modern lifestyles are characterised 101 by considerable amount of time spent indoors, with estimates reporting up to 90% of indoor time, thus 102 implying insufficient exposure to daylight [9]. These aspects of the modern lifestyle and lighting 103 landscape have caught particular attention from researchers, who are actively investigating the health 104 105 consequences of aberrant light exposures.

Epidemiological studies have drawn associations between evening light exposure and breast cancer risk, leading to evening light together with insufficient daylight being classified as a human carcinogen [10]. Similarly, cross-sectional and prospective longitudinal studies have shown that presence of light in the sleep environment is linked to higher obesity risk, a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease [11], [12]. New evidence is also demonstrating the role of wrongly timed light exposure for the development of mood disorders [13].

Altogether, the current evidence highlights the need to promote healthy light exposure in public health agendas. Recently, Golombek and colleagues [14] have proposed the notion of "sleep capital", defined as the compound social, economic and health gain derived, among other factors, by healthy light exposure, consisting of bright (day)light exposure during the day and lack of light at night. As the authors argue, investing in sleep capital by adopting interventions which include adjusting light exposure is necessary for a healthy and productive society and could have profound economic implications, such as increased productivity, cognitive performance, reduced accident rate, and better

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

overall brain health [14]. In terms of defining the appropriate levels of light exposure, recent efforts have been undertaken by an interdisciplinary expert group. Drawing together evidence from laboratory and field studies, Brown and colleagues [15] have provided a framework for understanding the appropriate light amounts for healthy, day-active individuals during daytime, evening, and nighttime hours to maintain optimal physiology and circadian health.

124 Measuring determinants of light exposure in the real-world

125 Given the existing recommendations for optimal light exposure, an important question to address is whether the real-world light exposure patterns experienced by an individual, known as their "spectral 126 diet" [16] actually meet these recommendations, and if this is not the case, what are the daily 127 behaviours and contexts hindering appropriate light exposure? Light exposure in free-living conditions 128 can be measured using wearable devices, known as light loggers, that are worn in various positions 129 on the body, including the wrist (e.g. as a wristwatch), chest (e.g. as a pendant or brooch), or eye level 130 (e.g. on a pair of glasses) by study participants [17]. When worn continuously over time, these 131 wearable devices approximate the retinal irradiance an individual receives daily. The melanopic retinal 132 irradiance drives the physiological effects of light [18]. Light exposure patterns can yield light metrics, 133 including time spent above a specific light threshold (time above threshold, TAT; [19]) and variability of 134 light timing (mean light timing, MLiT; [20]), which can subsequently be linked to health outcomes of 135 interest and compared to the recommended light levels [15], [18]. 136

As wearable light loggers become more accessible, research on light exposure patterns in free-living 137 conditions has surged [17]. Most of the literature, however, remains descriptive, linking light metrics to 138 one or two health outcomes of interest, or showing that individuals indeed largely fail to meet the 139 recommended light levels [21]. While highly informative, these investigations fail to capture the 140 contextual and behavioural dimensions leading to a given light exposure pattern. As proposed by Biller 141 142 and colleagues [22], an individual's light exposure profile ultimately depends on many factors, including environmental (geographical location, sunshine hours, climate, temperature and 143 photoperiod), cultural (customs, festivities and norms) and behavioural (lifestyle choices such as 144 commute type and profession, as well as individual preferences) ones, which together interact with the 145 built environment (different window and glazing types, lighting design and architecture). 146

147 Importantly, while some determinants of daily light exposure are independent of the individual (e.g. 148 type of lights present in one's office, type of windows and glazing), individuals can exert a level of 149 control on their light exposure by actively seeking or avoiding behaviours which involve specific light 150 exposure (e.g. having lunch break outside or inside) [23], [24]. Considering the growing evidence that 151 well-timed light exposure is crucial to support human health, it is vital not only to describe the timing 152 and quantities of light that individuals receive during the day but also to understand which contextual

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

and behavioural factors contribute to specific light exposure patterns. Once these have been identified, target behaviours and barriers that prevent optimal light exposure can be addressed by delivering precision behavioural health interventions in simple and accessible ways, such as using mobile apps and chatbots [22].

Here, we outline a comprehensive study protocol for field studies to collect rich and high-quality 157 158 datasets comprising of light exposure data and its contextual and behavioural contributors. To obtain clean light exposure data from the light loggers, we describe in detail how to instruct participants and 159 ensure their compliance with the protocol. Additionally, we present a questionnaire structure designed 160 to capture daily factors linked to individual light exposure using a mobile app interface. Overall, this 161 protocol provides a framework that researchers interested in collecting light exposure data can flexibly 162 adjust. We will use this protocol to create a reference dataset that characterises individual light 163 exposure over seven days at six different geographical locations in Europe and Africa. Our dataset will 164 characterise light exposure and probe the suitability of light logging devices in different geographical 165 and sociocultural contexts. This will help identify context- and lifestyle-specific factors associated with 166 healthy light exposure patterns, which will serve as a first step to designing effective public health 167 interventions. 168

169 Objectives

170 The three objectives of the study are

- To characterise individuals' light exposure over seven days utilizing a near-corneal-plane light
 logger placed at the centre of non-prescription glasses frame, along with a light logger as a
 chest-worn pendant and a wrist-worn light logger;
- To collect data across six countries (Germany, Ghana, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, and
 Turkey); and
- To investigate the correlations between personal light exposure, physiological variables such
 as chronotype and light sensitivity, and behavioural outcomes including exercise, mood, and
 alertness.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

179 Methods and materials

180 Sample

181 Geographical sites and research centres involved

The novelty of this study is that personal light exposure data will be collected across various 182 geographical locations using the same, harmonised protocol. We aim to leverage the collaboration 183 between the following research centres to collect data in six countries: Federal Institute for 184 Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA) and Technical University of Munich (TUM) in Germany, 185 Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST) in Ghana, The Hague University of 186 Applied Sciences (THUAS) in the Netherlands, Fundación Universitaria San Pablo CEU (FUSP-CEU) 187 188 in Spain, Research Institutes of Sweden (RISE) in Sweden, and the Izmir Institute of Technology (IZTECH) in Turkey. We believe that the diversity in culture, latitude, photoperiod, climate, built 189 environment and, hence, light exposure behaviours between these six locations, will provide 190 interesting insights for the objectives of this study. 191

192 Participant recruitment

Participants will be recruited by self-selection through advertisements which will be posted at the local 193 hubs as well as in local newsletters. Participants interested in the study will be directed to an online 194 platform (Research Electronic Data Capture; REDCap) [25], [26] for the initial screening survey. 195 Detailed information about the study and its aim will be provided during this screening step. Inclusion 196 and exclusion criteria (Table 1) will be tested using a questionnaire on the same online platform. This 197 questionnaire will also collect demographic data (age, sex, gender, native language(s) and 198 occupational status). If eligible for the study, participants will then be contacted by the experimenters 199 200 to agree on possible participation dates and discuss any further questions.

201 Furthermore, they will be sent a picture of what the light logger looks like and asked if they feel 202 comfortable wearing them throughout the experimental week. They will also be informed about the availability of the researchers throughout the experiment in case of doubts or technical issues with the 203 light logger. Participants will be compensated at the end of the study according to their compliance 204 with the experimental procedure: for every day of wearing the light logger for at least 80% of their 205 waking hours (as defined by the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; MCTQ) [27], volunteers will 206 receive financial compensation, such that those adhering to the whole duration of the experiment will 207 receive more than those adhering, for example, to only four out of the seven experimental days. The 208 rates of financial compensation will depend on each measurement site and local customs. Data 209

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

collection can terminate after reaching at least n=15 per site, with a target of n=30. The researchers will terminate the study for an individual participant in case of technical issues which do not allow the experiment to continue, e.g., when the light logger is not working as expected.

213 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Eligible participants will be selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed in Table 1. 214 These include demographic as well as mental and physical health parameters. Individuals with 215 corrected vision requiring prescription glasses during the experimental week will be excluded due to 216 incompatibility with our light glasses. However, individuals with a) prescription lenses or b) prescription 217 glasses but are able and willing to wear prescription contact lenses during the experimental week will 218 be able to participate in our study. Individuals suffering from psychiatric or sleep disorders will be 219 excluded from the study. Furthermore, intake of any drugs and/or medications known to influence 220 photosensitivity will be considered a criterion for exclusion. Finally, only people based at or near (<60 221 km) the local hubs of each geographical location during the weekdays (Monday to Friday) of the 222 experiment will be accepted for this study to have similar environmental conditions across participants 223 at each measurement hub. All criteria mentioned above for inclusion and exclusion will be assessed 224 by self-report through REDCap [25], [26]. The eligibility criteria used here can be modified for studies 225 in which the goal is to assess a different population. 226

227 Protocol

228 Study design

This experiment is an observational field study in which all participants at the six different sites will undergo the same experimental conditions and questionnaires. These are shown in **Table 2**.

231 Procedure

A schematic representation of the experimental procedure is illustrated in Figure 1. Eligible 232 participants will start the experiment on a Monday with an in-person visit to the office or laboratory of 233 the selected hub and finish the experiment on the following Monday. On the first Monday (day 1), they 234 will receive a detailed explanation of the experiment and sign an informed consent document. 235 Volunteers will then be provided with three wearable light loggers to be worn at the near-corneal 236 plane, at the chest level and at the wrist. They will receive detailed instructions on using both devices 237 238 correctly, including removing them when in contact with water and during contact sports. Participants 239 will also install the MyCap app [28], which integrates with REDCap and is used to fill in daily

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

questionnaires and set alarms on their phones as reminders to complete the scheduled questionnaires
 on the app. Before leaving, participants will complete two questionnaires measuring circadian time and
 circadian preference (Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; MCTQ and Morning Eveningness
 Questionnaire; MEQ).

Participants will wear the three light loggers throughout the week during their daily activities. 244 245 Participants will be instructed to log non-wear time as follows. When taking off the spectacle-mounted light logger during the day, they will press the event button on the device and place it in a black bag. 246 They will then log this action in the "Wear log" on the MyCap app. Similarly, when putting the light 247 logger back on, they will take it out of the black bag, press the event button, and log this action in the 248 "Wear log" on the MyCap app. If the participants forget the black bag, they will be prompted to 249 describe where they placed the light glasses instead. Before sleep, participants will place the 250 spectacle-worn light logger facing upwards on a bedside table or flat surface near their bed. They will 251 also log this action in the "Wear log". In case participants exit the local area (defined as a 60 km radius 252 from the local hub), they will describe where they are located at this time and report when they re-253 entered the local area on the "Wear log". In case they forget to log an activity, participants are allowed 254 to log any of the five possible "Wear log" events ("Light logger on", "Light logger off", "Light logger off 255 256 before sleep", "Exiting local area", "Re-entering local area") as "past events" which happened 257 previously (see Appendix).

Every morning after waking up, participants will start wearing the light logger and log this in the "Wear 258 log" on the MyCap app. They will also fill in a questionnaire regarding their sleep (Consensus Sleep 259 Diary; CSD). Throughout the day, they will receive notifications at four scheduled times to fill in 260 questionnaires regarding their current light conditions (modified Harvard Light Exposure Assessment 261 Questionnaire; modified H-LEA), alertness (Karolinska Sleepiness Scale; KSS) and mood 262 (MoodZoom). In the evening, participants will complete questionnaires about their light exposure and 263 activities during the last 24 hours (modified H-LEA), wellbeing (WHO-5 Wellbeing Index; WHO-5) and 264 exercise (custom questionnaire). Throughout the experiment, participants will also report their positive 265 and negative experiences in the "Experience log" (see Appendix). 266

Participants will return to the local centre on the following Monday, one week after the experiment 267 starts. On this day, they will return the devices and complete a retrospective questionnaire regarding 268 their light exposure (Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment; LEBA), light sensitivity (Visual Light 269 Sensitivity Questionnaire-8; VLSQ-8), and their sleep environment (Assessment of Sleep Environment 270 questionnaire; ASE) during the seven days they participated in the study. Furthermore, they will 271 complete open-ended questions about their opinions on the light logger device (see Appendix). After 272 273 completing these questionnaires, participants will be reimbursed based on their compliance with the 274 experiment. The devices will be charged, and the next set of participants will start the experiment later 275 that day.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

276 Measures

In this section, we provide detailed information on the objective and subjective health-related measures collected in this study and described in *Procedure*.

279 Objective health-related measures

280 Personal light logging

To measure personal light exposure, we will deploy ActLumus light loggers (Condor Instruments, São Paulo, Brazil) worn by participants for one week. ActLumus light loggers contain ten spectral channels, the outputs of which are combined to estimate photopic and melanopic irradiance. Throughout the trial, participants will wear three light loggers:

- To measure light centrally in the near-corneal plane, the light loggers will be placed on the
 frame of non-prescription glasses. A 3D-printed holder for the light loggers has been designed
 and attached to the bridge of the glasses frame, enabling the insertion and removal of the
 ActLumus devices.
- 289 2. To measure light on the chest, the light loggers will be clipped to clothing or worn as a 290 pendant.
- 3. To measure light on the wrist, a conventional location, the light loggers will be worn with manufacturer-provided wrist bands.

The choice of having three light loggers instead of only one is a technical one. Currently, there is no 293 "best practice" for which measurement level (eye, chest, or wrist) is most accurate, or whether the 294 three are comparable. For this reason, we collect light exposure data at all three levels, with the intent 295 of exploring how the measured illuminance compares between them. The sampling interval of each 296 297 ActLumus light logger will be set to 10 seconds to achieve highly temporally resolved data, and the devices will never be turned off nor charged during the experimental week. Light exposure data for 298 299 each participant will then be downloaded only upon the return of the devices on the final Monday (day 8). The choice of light loggers used here can vary depending on the availability. 300

As the use of non-prescription glasses still requires the use of lenses without optical power, the transmittance properties of the lenses will be measured between 250 and 2500 nm.

303 Activity measurement

One of the ActLumus light loggers will be worn on the wrist. The ActLumus measures movement through an integrated tri-axial accelerometer and is used in field studies such as ours to distinguish wake and sleep time. Participants will be instructed to keep the wrist-worn device on during the day and night and only remove it when in contact with water and during contact sports.

Physiological, behavioural and contextual determinants of personal light exposure

To understand which physiological, behavioural and contextual factors contribute to participants' objectively measured light exposure, we will collect a variety of subjectively reported health-related measures that can provide insights into subjects' daily activities and environments. This information will be collected at study intake, throughout the study or at discharge in the form of questionnaires through the REDCap/MyCap interface, as described in *Procedure* (refer to **Figure 1** for the frequency and timing of each measurement).

316 Physiological measures

317 Chronotype questionnaires

On the first day of the experiment, participants will complete two questionnaires measuring circadian time and circadian preference: the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ, [27]) and the Morning-Eveningness Questionnaire (MEQ, [29]). The MCTQ is used to assess circadian time using questions about their sleep and wake habits during work and free days and commute type. The MEQ is used to determine the circadian preference of individuals to perform certain activities at specific times of the day.

324 Visual Light Sensitivity Questionnaire-8 (VLSQ-8)

Participants complete the 8-point Visual Light Sensitivity Questionnaire-8 (VLSQ-8; [30]) at study discharge to answer questions about their visual light sensitivity during the experimental week. The questions include aspects of frequency and severity of photosensitivity as well as impacts of photosensitivity on daily behaviours, and participants answer using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "Never" to 5 = "Always").

- 330 Behavioural measures
- 331 Morning sleep log

Every morning after waking up, participants fill in the core Consensus Sleep Diary [31] consisting of 9 items to assess their sleep timing, sleep duration during the night, and subjective sleep quality. This last item is scored on a five-point Likert scale (1 = "Very poor" to 5 = "Very good").

335 Ecological momentary assessment ("Current conditions")

Four times a day (at 11:00, 14:00, 17:00 and 20:00), participants fill in a questionnaire concerning their current light conditions, mood and sleepiness. The researcher sends a reminder message through the

338 REDCap/MyCap messaging channel, and phone alarms set by participants at these times serve to 339 ensure compliance. Firstly, current light conditions are tested through a multiple-choice question, where participants can choose one of 8 possible light scenarios as the "main light source" and, if 340 applicable, as the "secondary light source". The potential light sources to choose from consist of the 341 same categories listed in the modified Harvard Light Exposure Assessment diary, which participants 342 343 fill in every evening (see "Light exposure and activity diary", H-LEA; [32]). Secondly, a modified MoodZoom questionnaire [33] assesses current mood. Lastly, sleepiness is assessed using the 344 Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS; [34]) on a 10-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = "Extremely alert" 345 to 10 = "Extremely sleepy, fighting sleep". 346

347 Exercise log

Every evening before sleep, participants complete a custom-made questionnaire about the exercise they performed during the day. This questionnaire was designed to assess intensity (vigorous/moderate/light, lack of exercise) and location (indoors/outdoors) of exercise, as well as sedentary time ("How much time did you spend sitting or reclining?").

352 Wellbeing log

Every evening before sleep, participants complete a modified version of the WHO-5 Wellbeing Index [35], consisting of 5 statements (1 = "I have felt cheerful and in good spirits", 2 = "I have felt calm and relaxed", 3 = "I have felt active and vigorous", 4 = "How would you rate the quality of your sleep last night?", and 5 = "My daily life has been filled with things that interest me"). Participants have to express agreement using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 = "At no time" to 5 = "All of the time" (for statements 1, 2, 3 and 5) and from 1 = "Very poor" to 5 = "Very good" for statement 4.

359 Worktime log

Every evening before sleep, participants complete a custom-made questionnaire on the clock times they went to their workplace, how, and when they returned home.

362 Light exposure and activity log

Every evening, participants have to fill in a modified version of the Harvard Light Exposure Assessment (H-LEA; [32]). This is referred to as "mH-LEA" and is done on paper using a form provided by the experimenter during the in-person visit (see Appendix). Participants are asked to report, for each hour of the day, the primary light source they are exposed to and the activity they performed in that hour. The primary light source is described as "the biggest and brightest light source". They can choose between 8 light categories ("Electric light source indoors (e.g., lamps such as LEDs)", "Electric light source outdoors (e.g., street lights)", "Daylight indoors (through windows)",

370 "Daylight outdoors (including being in the shade)", "Emissive displays (e.g., smartphone, laptop etc.)", 371 "Darkness (outdoors and/or indoors)", "Light entering from outside during sleep (e.g., daylight, street lights etc.)"). If they believe they are exposed to a combination of lights within the same hour, they can 372 373 choose from a list of possible combinations. With regards to their activity, they could choose between 8 categories ("Sleeping in bed", "Awake at home", "On the road with public transport/car", "On the road 374 with bike/on foot", "Working in the office/from home", "Working outdoors (including lunch break 375 outdoors), "Free time outdoors (e.g. garden/park etc.), "Other: please specify (e.g. sport)". To ensure 376 that participants complete this task, they send a picture of the completed form every night and upload 377 it to a shared folder (separate for each participant) where the experimenter could check compliance. 378 Furthermore, they are asked to rate the confidence in their answers on MyCap, where they can 379 answer using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = "Not confident at all" to 5 = "Completely" 380 381 confident".

382 Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment (LEBA)

The 22-item Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment (LEBA: [23]) is used to retrospectively assess 383 384 individuals' light behaviours during the experimental week at study discharge. Since the first three items of this instrument ask questions related to wearing blue-filtering, orange-tinted and/or red-tinted 385 glasses, which do not apply to our participants due to the presence of the light logger device, these 386 items are eliminated. The final questionnaire thus comprises the remaining 19 items. These concern 387 specific behaviours such as exposure to daylight, smartphone use, light-related bedtime habits and 388 electric light use at home. Participants can express the frequency of such behaviours using a 5-point 389 Likert scale ranging from 1 = "Never" to 5 = "Always". 390

- 391 Contextual measures
- 392 Assessment of Sleep Environment (ASE) questionnaire

The 13-item Assessment of Sleep Environment (ASE) questionnaire is used to ask participants about aspects such as light, noise, temperature and humidity in their sleeping environment [36], which might affect their sleeping quality as well as the light measured by the light logger placed next to participants during sleep (e.g., in case of light coming through windows during sleep). Participants can express their agreement to each item using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "Strongly agree" to 5 = "Strongly disagree").

399 Environmental light logging

To measure the environmental light in the local site during each experimental week, one ActLumus light logger will optionally be placed on the rooftop of a chosen building. The set-up for these

402 environmental light measurements consists of a black metal floor, where the device lies horizontally, 403 covered by a plastic half-dome to minimise light scattering while ensuring protection from the 404 elements. This set-up is placed on the rooftop before participants start the study every week and 405 remains there for the entire week until participants discharge, measuring environmental light with a sampling interval of 30 seconds. Each day, a researcher will check and, if necessary, clean the 406 outside and/or the inside of the set-up from dirt or rain. At the end of each experimental week, the data 407 from this environmental light logger will be downloaded, and the device will be charged before being 408 placed back on the rooftop just before the next participants start the study on the same day. When 409 such measurements are impossible, secondary data sources, including historical weather data, 410 sunshine duration, sunrise/sunset times, or existing radiation measurement infrastructure, will be 411 412 used.

413 Translation and adaptation of questionnaires

To run the study in our five sites, translation of surveys and questionnaires is required. To this end, a team-based, multi-step process will be employed to achieve this goal, involving a diverse group of individuals, including trained translators and experts in the survey's subject matter (based on the "TRAPD" approach to translate questionnaires). The source language is English and the target languages are German (Germany), Dutch (Netherlands), Swedish (Sweden), Spanish (Spain) and Turkish (Turkey). In Ghana, the original English version is used. A detailed description of the strategy used to translate the questionnaires in reported in the Appendix.

421 Trial feasibility

422 The current protocol was **trialled** in an independent data collection effort taking place from August to November 2023 in Tübingen, Germany. A total of 26 participants (14 female; mean age±1SD: 28.0±5.2) worn a corneal-plane 423 light logger (ActLumus) and a wrist-worn actigraphy and light logger (ActTrust2) for a week (Monday to Monday), 424 and completed the same subjective health-related measures described in the current protocol. The protocol was 425 found to be largely feasible, and feedback from the participants was taken on in refining the protocol presented here. 426 Furthermore, successful strategies for ensuring data quality throughout the experiment as well as during data 427 428 analysis were documented and will be implemented in the current protocol. This will ensure a standardised data curation and analysis approach across the six geographical locations. The data collected in this independent data 429 430 collection campaign will be published independently of this protocol.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

431 Statistical analysis

432 Power analysis

A sample size calculation based on power analysis was performed based on a framework described 433 434 elsewhere [37]. The calculation was based on a historical dataset [38] provided by one of the geographical locations (Germany: BAuA); where participants measured light exposure for multiple 435 days in winter, spring, and summer with devices attached to clothing at chest height. A suitable subset 436 of this data was used to calculate the necessary sample size to reach a power of 0.8 across common 437 light exposure metrics when comparing them between winter and summer seasons. While the 438 experiment producing the historical data deviates somewhat from the current study's experimental 439 structure, it still allows for a realistic comparison of metrics between different environmental conditions 440 while considering intra-individual variability. The sample size calculation is based on a bootstrap 441 resampling of daily metrics between winter and summer. For each resampled dataset, significance 442 was tested in a mixed-effect model (fixed effect: season, random effect: participants) with a 443 significance level of 0.05. The fraction of significant differences was compared against the power level 444 threshold of 0.8. The required sample size is the minimum sample size that reaches this threshold, 445 with 1000 resamples per sample size (sample sizes from 3 to 50 were tested). A total of twelve 446 447 metrics were analyzed:

- Geometric mean of melanopic EDI (Ix)
- Geometric standard deviation of melanopic EDI (lx)
- Luminous exposure (lx*h)
- Time above 250 lux (h)
- Time above 1000 lux (h)
- Mean timing of light above 250 lux (h)
- Mean timing of light below 10 lux (h)
- Intradaily variability
- Mean across the darkest (L5) hours (lx)
- Midpoint of the darkest (L5) hours (Ix)
- Mean across brightest (M10) hours (lx)
- Midpoint of the brightest (M10) hours (Ix)

Three metrics had no effect in the historical dataset and thus did not reach the power threshold (Geometric standard deviation, mean timing of light above 250 lux, midpoint of darkest 5 hours). With a sample size of 15 participants, eight out of nine metrics showed sufficient power (intradaily variability: 21 participants to threshold power). Even considering a high dropout rate of 33% leaves

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

seven out of nine metrics sufficiently powered (mean of darkest 5 hours: 15 participants to thresholdpower).

466 Pre-processing

467 Objectively measured light exposure data will be log-transformed (base 10) to account for large light 468 level differences, such as indoor and outdoor light exposure.

Data from the light logger will be processed to separate non-wear time from wear time. For this purpose, the Wear log will be considered the "ground truth" in terms of detection of non-wear time. Once confirmed by visual inspection, non-wear times of ≥ 5 minutes will be removed.

We will apply stringent exclusion criteria for our confirmatory tests (see Confirmatory analysis). We will exclude the following missing data in hourly analyses:

- Missing entry on the modified H-LEA for a given hour during waking hours: if no category has
 been selected for a given waking hour (waking hours as specified in the sleep log of the
 corresponding day);
- Non-wear times of 50% for a given hour.

Furthermore, we will exclude an individual day from the analysis if 20% of the objective light exposure data from a participant's waking hours (specified in the MCTQ) is missing. This does not apply to the first and last experimental days, as these are not "complete" days (participants will receive and return the light logger throughout the day).

When data have been excluded from confirmatory analyses, we may include them in future exploratory analyses.

484 Statistical analysis and pre-processing

We plan to analvse data with the R software the package LiahtLoaR 485 all and (https://tscnlab.github.io/LightLogR/index.html) which provides a workflow for the processing, 486 visualization and metrics calculation based on wearable light logger data. If not otherwise specified 487 below, the planned method for statistical analysis is through (linear) mixed-effect models implemented 488 with the lme4 package [39]. Equations follow the notation used by the package. p-values obtained by 489 likelihood-ratio tests of the full model with the effect in question, against the model without the effect. 490 p-values less than or equal to 0.05 will be considered significant. p-value adjustment for multiple tests 491 within each hypothesis is planned using Benjamini and Hochberg's false discovery rate (FDR) method 492 [40]. 493

494 Confirmatory analysis

495 We plan to perform the three following confirmatory analyses:

H1: We hypothesize that there is a significant relationship between hourly self-reported light
 exposure categories and hourly median objective light exposure.

- a. Preparation: Hourly entry on light sources from daily modified H-LEA will serve as
 categorical variables. In the case of two light sources for a given hour, only the primary
 light source will be considered (as reported by participants). The median melanopic
 equivalent daylight illuminance (melanopic equivalent daylight illuminance; mEDI) as
 measured objectively by the light logger for the corresponding hour will be calculated.
- b. Analysis: mel EDI is used as the dependent variable, and H-LEA as the fixed effect,
 participants within each geolocation as random effect. Participant's geolocation, sex,
 age, occupational status and chronotype (MCTQ) are added as covariates. The
 dependency of mel EDI and H-LEA as well as the weekday is allowed to vary between
 participants within a geolocation. The resulting formula is as follows:
- 508

E(mel EDI) = H-LEA + geolocation + weekday + sex + age + occupational status +

509 chronotype + (1 + H-LEA + weekday | geolocation: participant) (1)

- 510 2. H2: We hypothesize that MCTQ-measured chronotype MSF_{sc} (mid-sleep on free days corrected 511 for sleep debt on weekdays) and $MLiT^{250 \ lx \ mEDl}$ (mean light timing >250 lx melanopic EDI) are 512 correlated, such that earlier chronotypes receive light earlier in the day.
- 513a. Preparation: calculate MCTQ-derived MSFsc for each participant and calculate MLIT514mEDI as average clock time of all data points >250 lx mEDI over the six measurement515days for each participant.
- b. Analysis: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between MSF_{sc} and 6-day average
 MLiT^{250 lx mEDI} for each participant. Additional models with various ring-fenced covariates
 will be built in future steps.
- 519 3. H3: We hypothesize that there is a significant difference between daily average objective light 520 exposure and geographical location, and additionally, that the differences in photoperiod explain 521 a substantial part of that relationship
- 522a. Preparation: calculate the daily mel EDI light dose (in lx*h) as measured objectively by523the light logger for the corresponding day. Calculate also the photoperiod of that day for524a given location as the time from sunrise until sunset (sun elevation equal to zero), as525calculated by the sun angles given from the oce R package [41].
- 526 b. Analysis: daily mel EDI light dose (in lx*h)is the dependent continuous variable. 527 Geolocation is the independent categorical variable. A second step also includes 528 photoperiod. Weekday, sex, age, and chronotype are covariates. Participant ID within 529 geolocation is a random effect, as is the weekday effect for each participant. The full 530 formula is as follows:

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

531 E(Light Dose) = geolocation + photoperiod + weekday + sex + age + chronotype +532 (1 + weekday|geolocation:participant) (2)

533 Exploratory analyses

534 We plan to explore several relationships regarding physiological, behavioural, and contextual self-535 reported data. These are listed here for transparency.

- 536 1. Light exposure
- 1.1. Relationship between metrics of light exposure that describe light properties (melanopic EDI
 and photopic illuminance) and between metrics describing the temporal pattern of light
 exposure, including light regularity index (LRI), intraday variability (IV), interday stability (IS).
- 540 1.2. Comparison between objectively measured personal light exposure during weekdays and541 weekends.
- 1.3. Relationship between retrospective light exposure items as measured by the LEBA
 instrument and objective light exposure.
- 544 1.4. Relationship between environmental conditions during the experimental week (e.g. 545 photoperiod availability, sunlight hours and temperature) and objective personal light 546 exposure.
- 547 1.5. Relationship between objective personal light exposure measured and mood and alertness
 548 ratings measured throughout the day.
- 549 1.6. Relationship between subjective light sensitivity as reported by the VLSQ-8 and objective
 550 personal light exposure.
- 1.7. Relationship between daily objective personal light exposure and wellbeing scores as
 measured by the WHO-5 questionnaire.
- 1.8. Relationship between exercise frequency and type as measured by the exercise log and
 objective personal light exposure.
- 1.9. Relationship between geolocation, photoperiod and other metrics of light exposure (see 1.1),
 also in interaction with the weekday.
- 557 2. Light logger acceptability:
- 558 2.1. Descriptive analysis of open-ended questions on wearing the light logger.
- *2.2.* Relationship between negative and positive experiences as reported in the experience log
 and non-wear time as reported in the wear log.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

561 Data storage and privacy

562 Data collected through REDCap and MyCap will be pseudonymized in the system and stored on this 563 system until the end of data analysis. Anonymised data will be made publicly available after the 564 publication of the primary research publication.

565 Outcome measures

566 Primary outcome measures

567 Our primary outcome measures are listed in **Table 3**. They include objectively measured daily light 568 exposure (examined in H1 and H3) and chronotype (examined in H2).

569 Secondary outcome measures

570 Our secondary outcome measures will be described using summary statistics and explored in 571 exploratory analyses. Specifically, we will explore the relationship between objectively measured 572 personal light exposure, physiological variables (chronotype and light sensitivity), behavioural 573 variables (such as exercise, mood, and alertness) and contextual variables (sleep environment).

⁵⁷⁴ Code, data and materials availability

575 Upon conclusion of the primary analyses, the data will be made available under the Creative 576 Commons license (CC-BY) with no reservations in the supplementary material of the research 577 publication and/or on a public repository (e.g., FigShare).

578 Additional files

579 GuidolinEtAl_2024_Appendix.pdf: Supplementary document containing additional information on data 580 collection, and translation/adaptation of questionnaires used in the study.

581 Ethics approval

This research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Technical University of Munich (2023-115-S-KK).

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

584 Conflicts of interest

- 585 The authors declare that the study will be conducted without any financial or commercial relationships
- that could be explained as a conflict of interest.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figures 587

588 MONDAY TUESDAY WEDNESDAY THURSDAY FRIDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY MONDAY ED MD ED MD ED MD ED MD ED MD ED MD 14:00: next participants 14:00 ED 9:00 ED (evening diary): MD (morning diary): Retrospective questionnaires: Subjective light exposure (modified H-LEA) · Sleep (CSD) 1. Sleep environment: ASE 2. Light exposure: LEBA Exercise (custom questionnaire) Wellbeing (WHO-5) 3. Light sensitivity: VLSQ-8 1. Light glasses instructions 2. Chronotype: MCTQ +MEQ #Ecological momentary assessment (4 times/day): Continuous logs: Acceptability and feedback: 1. Modified TFA Light conditions (modified H-LEA) Mood (MoodZoom) Wear log 2. Open-ended questions Experience log Alertness (KSS)

589

590 Figure 1: Schematic representation of the experimental timeline of the experiment (Monday to

591 Monday).

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

592 Tables

593

Aspect	Assessment modality	Exclusion criterion and cut-off	Timing of Screening	
Age	Self-report	<18 years	Initial screening	
		>65 years	survey	
Psychiatric and sleep disorders	Self-report	Presence of any	Initial screening survey	
Tobacco and recreational drug use	Self-report	Regular use (1/week or more)	Initial screening survey	
Medication intake	Self-report	Presence of any known to influence photosensitivity	Initial screening survey	
Visual acuity	Self-report	Requirement of prescription glasses during the experimental week	Initial screening survey	
Normal vision	Self-report	History of ocular or retinal diseases, colour blindness	Initial screening survey	
Location during experimental week	Self-report	Exiting local hubs (≥60 km) during weekdays (Monday to Friday) of the experimental week	Initial screening survey	
Shift work	Self-report	Shiftwork in the past two months	Initial screening survey	
Parenthood	Self-report	Parent of a child <1 year old	Initial screening survey	
Full-time employment	Self-report	Unemployment, leave, working part-time (<80%), studying	Initial screening survey	

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Read-out	Measurement modality	Sampling frequency	Timing of sampling	N per participant
Objective individual light exposure	Light logger	Continuous measurement over 7 days	Every 10 seconds	Approx. 10080
Objective activity/rest	Actimeter	Continuous measurement over 7 days	Every 10 seconds	Approx. 10080
Chronotype	Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ, circadian time) and Morning Evening Questionnaire (MEQ, circadian preference)	1 measurement over 7 days	First experimental day	1
Subjective sleep	Consensus Sleep Diary (CSD)	7 measurements over 7 days	Every morning	7
Subjective hourly light exposure and activities Subjective wellbeing	Modified Harvard Light Exposure Questionnaire (modified H-LEA) WHO-5 wellbeing index (WHO-5)	 7 measurements over 7 days 7 measurements over 7 days 	Every evening Every evening	7 7
Exercise	Exercise log	7 measurements	Every evening	7
frequency and type		over 7 days		
Subjective light exposure	ModifiedHarvardLightExposureQuestionnaire(modifiedH-LEA).Experience	24 measurements over 7 days	4 times/day	22

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	sampling: punctual measurement on participants' current light conditions			
Subjective alertness	Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS). Experience sampling: punctual measurement on participants' current light conditions	22 measurements over 7 days	4 times/day	22
Subjective mood	MoodZoom questionnaire	VoodZoom 22 measurements 4 questionnaire over 7 days		22
Experience log	Custom-made questionnaire and open-ended questions about positive and negative experiences wearing the light logger	Continuous measurement over 7 days	Throughout the experiment	Depending on participant
Wear log	Custom-made questionnaire about time of taking the device off and putting it back on	Continuous measurement over 7 days	Throughout the experiment	Depending on participant
Subjective light sensitivity	Visual Light Sensitivity Questionnaire 8 (VLSQ-8)	1 measurement over 7 days	Last experimental day	1
User experience of wearing the light logger	Open-ended questions	1 measurement over 7 days	Last experimental day	1
Sleep environment	Assessment of sleep environment	1 measurement over 7 days	Last experimental day	1

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	questionn	aire (ASE)					
Subjective light	Light	Exposure	1	measurement	Last	experimental	1
exposure	Behaviour		over	7 days	day		
	Assessment (LEBA)						

596 **Table 2:** Measurement schedule.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Measurement modality	Derived measure and unit	Definition	Number of measurements per participant	Pre-processing	Linked confirmatory analysis
Objective light exposure at three sites	Melanopic EDI (lux)	Weighted spectral irradiance	Depending on participant	 Removal of non- wear times ≥10 minutes after visual inspection and if probability score between non-wear sources ≥0.66 Removal of single day if 20% data is missing during given day between Tuesday and Sunday Removal of participant if missing data for entire day between Tuesday and Sunday 	H1, H2 & H3
Subjective light exposure	Rating – different modified H-LEA categories	Perceived light exposure	7	 Removal of hours where entry is missing Exclusion of secondary light source for hourly each entry 	H1
Chronotype	MCTQ	Chronotype	1	Calculation on MSF _{sc}	H2

Table 3: *Primary outcome measures*

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

600 References

- [1] C. Blume, C. Garbazza, and M. Spitschan, "Effects of light on human circadian rhythms, sleep and mood," *Somnologie*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 147–156, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11818-019-00215-x.
- [2] Cibeira et al., "Healthcare | Free Full-Text | Bright Light Therapy in Older Adults with Moderate to
 Very Severe Dementia: Immediate Effects on Behavior, Mood, and Physiological Parameters."
 Accessed: Aug. 02, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/9/8/1065
- [3] R. Lok, K. C. H. J. Smolders, D. G. M. Beersma, and Y. A. W. de Kort, "Light, alertness, and alerting effects of white light: a literature overview," *J Biol Rhythms*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 589–601, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1177/0748730418796443.
- [4] S. L. Chellappa, M. C. M. Gordijn, and C. Cajochen, "Can light make us bright? Effects of light on cognition and sleep," in *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 190, Elsevier, 2011, pp. 119–133. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53817-8.00007-4.
- [5] S. L. Chellappa, R. Lasauskaite, and C. Cajochen, "In a Heartbeat: Light and Cardiovascular
 Physiology," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 8, 2017, Accessed: Dec. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available:
 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2017.00541
- [6] C. B. Swope *et al.*, "Factors associated with variability in the melatonin suppression response to
 light: A narrative review," *Chronobiology International*, pp. 1–15, Mar. 2023, doi:
 10.1080/07420528.2023.2188091.
- [7] S. L. Chellappa, "Individual differences in light sensitivity affect sleep and circadian rhythms," *Sleep*, vol. 44, no. 2, p. zsaa214, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsaa214.
- [8] S. Westland, Q. Pan, and S. Lee, "A review of the effects of colour and light on non-image function in humans," *Coloration Technology*, vol. 133, no. 5, pp. 349–361, 2017, doi: 10.1111/cote.12289.
- [9] N. E. Klepeis *et al.*, "The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants," *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*, vol. 11, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Jul. 2001, doi: 10.1038/sj.jea.7500165.
- [10]K. Y. Lai, C. Sarkar, M. Y. Ni, L. W. T. Cheung, J. Gallacher, and C. Webster, "Exposure to light at night (LAN) and risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 762, p. 143159, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143159.
- [11]Y. Cho, S.-H. Ryu, B. R. Lee, K. H. Kim, E. Lee, and J. Choi, "Effects of artificial light at night on human health: A literature review of observational and experimental studies applied to exposure assessment," *Chronobiology International*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1294–1310, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.3109/07420528.2015.1073158.
- [12]Y.-M. M. Park, A. J. White, C. L. Jackson, C. R. Weinberg, and D. P. Sandler, "Association of 632 Exposure to Artificial Light at Night While Sleeping With Risk of Obesity in Women," JAMA 633 634 Internal Medicine, vol. 179, no. 8. pp. 1061-1071. Aug. 2019. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0571. 635
- [13]A. C. Burns *et al.*, "Day and night light exposure are associated with psychiatric disorders: an
 objective light study in >85,000 people," *Nat. Mental Health*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 853–862, Nov.
 2023, doi: 10.1038/s44220-023-00135-8.
- [14]D. A. Golombek *et al.*, "Sleep Capital: Linking Brain Health to Wellbeing and Economic
 Productivity Across the Lifespan," *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, Jul. 2024, doi:
 10.1016/j.jagp.2024.07.011.
- [15]T. M. Brown *et al.*, "Recommendations for daytime, evening, and nighttime indoor light exposure to
 best support physiology, sleep, and wakefulness in healthy adults," *PLoS Biol*, vol. 20, no. 3, p.
 e3001571, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001571.
- [16]F. S. Webler, M. Spitschan, R. G. Foster, M. Andersen, and S. N. Peirson, "What is the 'spectral diet' of humans?," *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 30, pp. 80–86, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.06.006.
- [17]S. Hartmeyer, F. Webler, and M. Andersen, "Towards a framework for light-dosimetry studies:
 Methodological considerations," *Lighting Research & Technology*, p. 14771535221103258, Jul.
 2022, doi: 10.1177/14771535221103258.

- [18]M. Spitschan *et al.*, "Verification, analytical validation and clinical validation (V3) of wearable
 dosimeters and light loggers," *Digit Health*, vol. 8, p. 20552076221144858, 2022, doi:
 10.1177/20552076221144858.
- [19]S. Hartmeyer and M. Andersen, "Towards a framework for light-dosimetry studies: Quantification
 metrics," *Lighting Research & Technology*, p. 147715352311705, May 2023, doi:
 10.1177/14771535231170500.
- [20]K. J. Reid, G. Santostasi, K. G. Baron, J. Wilson, J. Kang, and P. C. Zee, "Timing and intensity of
 light correlate with body weight in adults," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. e92251, 2014, doi:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0092251.
- [21]A. Didikoglu *et al.*, "Associations between light exposure and sleep timing and sleepiness while
 awake in a sample of UK adults in everyday life," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 120, no. 42, p. e2301608120, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1073/pnas.2301608120.
- 663 [22]A. M. Biller, P. Balakrishnan, and M. Spitschan, "Behavioural determinants of physiologically-664 relevant light exposure," Mar. 18, 2024, *OSF*. doi: 10.31219/osf.io/xpt4e.
- [23]M. A. Siraji *et al.*, "An inventory of human light exposure behaviour," *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p.
 22151, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-48241-y.
- [24]M. A. Siraji, M. Spitschan, V. Kalavally, and S. Haque, "Light exposure behaviors predict mood,
 memory and sleep quality," *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 12425, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598 023-39636-y.
- [25]P. A. Harris, R. Taylor, R. Thielke, J. Payne, N. Gonzalez, and J. G. Conde, "Research electronic
 data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing
 translational research informatics support," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp.
 377–381, Apr. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010.
- [26]P. A. Harris *et al.*, "The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software
 platform partners," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 95, p. 103208, Jul. 2019, doi:
 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208.
- [27]T. Roenneberg, L. K. Keller, D. Fischer, J. L. Matera, C. Vetter, and E. C. Winnebeck, "Human activity and rest in situ," *Methods Enzymol*, vol. 552, pp. 257–283, 2015, doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2014.11.028.
- [28]P. A. Harris *et al.*, "MyCap: a flexible and configurable platform for mobilizing the participant voice," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. ooac047, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooac047.
- [29]J. A. Horne and O. Östberg, "Individual differences in human circadian rhythms," *Biological Psychology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 179–190, Sep. 1977, doi: 10.1016/0301-0511(77)90001-1.
- [30] J. D. Verriotto *et al.*, "New Methods for Quantification of Visual Photosensitivity Threshold and Symptoms," *Transl Vis Sci Technol*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 18, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1167/tvst.6.4.18.
- [31]C. E. Carney *et al.*, "The consensus sleep diary: standardizing prospective sleep self-monitoring,"
 Sleep, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 287–302, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.5665/sleep.1642.
- [32]A. Bajaj, B. Rosner, S. W. Lockley, and E. S. Schernhammer, "Validation of a light questionnaire
 with real-life photopic illuminance measurements: the Harvard Light Exposure Assessment
 questionnaire," *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1341–1349, Jul. 2011, doi:
 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0204.
- [33]A. Tsanas *et al.*, "Daily longitudinal self-monitoring of mood variability in bipolar disorder and
 borderline personality disorder," *J Affect Disord*, vol. 205, pp. 225–233, Nov. 2016, doi:
 10.1016/j.jad.2016.06.065.
- [34]T. Akerstedt and M. Gillberg, "Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual," *Int J Neurosci*, vol. 52, no. 1–2, pp. 29–37, May 1990, doi: 10.3109/00207459008994241.
- [35]Bech, P., "Measuring the dimensions of psychological general well-being by the WHO-5.," *QoL Newsletter*, vol. 32, pp. 15–16, 2004.
- [36]M. A. Grandner *et al.*, "Development and Initial Validation of the Assessment of Sleep
 Environment (ASE): Describing and Quantifying the Impact of Subjective Environmental Factors
 on Sleep," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 20, p. 13599, Oct. 2022, doi:
 10.3390/ijerph192013599.
- [37] J. Zauner, L. Udovicic, and M. Spitschan, "Power analysis for personal light exposure

- measurements and interventions," In Review, preprint, Dec. 2023. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-3771881/v1.
- [38]L. L. A. Price, M. Khazova, and L. Udovičić, "Assessment of the Light Exposures of Shift-working
 Nurses in London and Dortmund in Relation to Recommendations for Sleep and Circadian
 Health," *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 447–458, Apr. 2022, doi:
 10.1093/annweh/wxab092.
- [39]D. Bates, M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker, "Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Ime4,"
 J. Stat. Soft., vol. 67, no. 1, 2015, doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- [40]Y. Benjamini and Y. Hochberg, "Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 289–300, Jan. 1995, doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.
- [41]D. Kelley and C. Richards, oce: Analysis of Oceanographic Data. 2024. [Online]. Available:
 https://dankelley.github.io/oce/
- 717