# 1 Protocol for a prospective, multicentre, cross-sectional 2 cohort study to assess personal light exposure

- 3
- 4 Carolina Guidolin  $[1, 2]$
- 5 Sam Aerts<sup>[3]</sup>
- 6 Gabriel Kwaku Agbeshie<sup>[4]</sup>
- 7 Kwadwo Owusu Akuffo<sup>[4]</sup>
- 8 Sema Nur Aydin<sup>[5]</sup>
- 9 David Baeza Moyano<sup>[6]</sup>
- 10 John Bolte  $[3, 7]$
- 11 Kai Broszio<sup>[8]</sup>
- 12 Guadalupe Cantarero-García<sup>[6]</sup>
- 13 Altug Didikoglu<sup>[5]</sup>
- 14 Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano<sup>[6]</sup>
- 15 Hongli Joosten-Ma<sup>[3]</sup>
- 16 Sofia Melero-Tur<sup>[6]</sup>
- 17 Maria Nilsson Tengelin<sup>[9]</sup>
- 18 María Concepción Pérez Gutiérrez<sup>[6]</sup>
- 19 Oliver Stefani<sup>[10]</sup>
- 20 Ingemar Svensson<sup>[9]</sup>
- 21 Ljiljana Udovicic <sup>[8]</sup>
- 22 Johannes Zauner<sup>[2]</sup>
- 23 Manuel Spitschan [1, 2, 11]\*
- 24
- 25 1 Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Max Planck Research Group Translational Sensory & Circadian
- 26 Neuroscience
- 27 2 TUM School of Medicine and Health, Department Health and Sports Sciences, Chronobiology & Health, Technical
- 28 University of Munich
- 29 3 Research Group Smart Sensor Systems, The Hague University of Applied Sciences
- 30 4 Department of Optometry and Visual Science, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology
- 31 5 Department of Neuroscience, Izmir Institute of Technology
- 32 6 Research Group ARIE, Department of Architecture and Design, Universidad San Pablo-CEU, CEU Universities
- 33 7 National Institute for Public Health and the Environment of the Netherlands (RIVM)
- 34 8 Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA)
- 35 9 Department of Measurement Science and Technology, RISE Research Institutes of Sweden
- 36 10 Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts
- 37 11 TUM Institute for Advanced Study (TUM-IAS), Technical University of Munich
- 38
- 39 \* Corresponding author: manuel.spitschan@tum.de
- 40 All authors except C.G. and M.S. listed in alphabetical order.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

## 41 Author contributions

- 42 *Conceptualisation*: C.G., M.S.
- 43 *Data curation*: n/a
- 44 *Formal Analysis*: n/a
- 45 *Funding acquisition*: L.U., D.B.M., M.N.T., O.S., M.S.
- 46 *Investigation*: n/a
- 47 *Methodology*: C.G., L.U., K.B., D.B.M., S.M.-T., G.C-G., R.A.G.-L., S.A., J.B., H.J.-M., M.N.T., O.S.,
- 48 A.D., J.Z., M.S.
- 49 *Project administration*: M.S.
- 50 *Resources*: n/a
- 51 *Software*: C.G., J.Z., M.S.
- 52 *Supervision*: n/a
- 53 *Validation*: n/a
- 54 *Visualisation*: C.G.
- 55 *Writing original draft*: C.G., M.S.
- 56 *Writing review & editing*: C.G., S.A., G.K.A., K.O.A., S.N.A., R.A.G.-L., D.B.M., J.B., K.B., G.C.-G.,
- 57 A.D., H.J.-M., S.M.-T., M.N.T., M.C.P.G., O.S., I.S., L.U., J.Z., M.S.

## 58 Funding statement

59 The project (22NRM05 MeLiDos) has received funding from the European Partnership on Metrology, 60 co-financed by the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme and by 61 the Participating States. Further finding is provided by the TUM Global Incentive Fund (GIF0000031) 62 to support the collaboration between TUM and KNUST, and TÜBİTAK –The Scientific and<br>63 Technological Research Council of Türkiye (Grant no. 224S740). Technological Research Council of Türkiye (Grant no. 224S740).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

## 64 Abstract

65 Light profoundly impacts many aspects of human physiology and behaviour, including the 66 synchronization of the circadian clock, the production of melatonin, and cognition. These effects of 67 light, termed the non-visual effects of light, have been primarily investigated in laboratory settings, 68 where light intensity, spectrum and timing can be carefully controlled to draw associations with 69 physiological outcomes of interest. Recently, the increasing availability of wearable light loggers has 70 opened the possibility of studying personal light exposure in free-living conditions where people 71 engage in activities of daily living, yielding findings associating aspects of light exposure and health 72 outcomes, supporting the importance of adequate light exposure at appropriate times for human 73 health. However, comprehensive protocols capturing *environmental* (e.g., geographical location, 74 season, climate, photoperiod) and *individual* factors (e.g., culture, personal habits, behaviour, 75 commute type, profession) contributing to the measured light exposure are currently lacking. Here, we 76 present a protocol that combines smartphone-based experience sampling (experience sampling 77 implementing Karolinska Sleepiness Scale, KSS ratings) and high-quality light exposure data 78 collection at three body sites (near-corneal plane between the two eyes mounted on spectacle, neck-79 worn pendant/badge, and wrist-worn watch-like design) to capture daily factors related to individuals' 80 light exposure. We will implement the protocol in an international multi-centre study to investigate the 81 environmental and socio-cultural factors influencing light exposure patterns in Germany, Ghana, 82 Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, and Turkey (minimum n=15, target n=30 per site, minimum n=90, target 83 n=180 across all sites). With the resulting dataset, lifestyle and context-specific factors that contribute 84 to healthy light exposure will be identified. This information is essential in designing effective public 85 health interventions.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

## 86 Introduction

## 87 Background

88 Light exposure significantly affects human health and behaviour, modulating sleep, cognitive functions 89 and neuroendocrine processes [1]. The effects of light on human physiology can be observed acutely 90 as changes in subjective alertness, mood, sleep architecture, heart rate and suppression of the 91 hormone melatonin, usually produced by the brain before the biological night [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. 92 Furthermore, environmental light acts as the main "zeitgeber" (German for "time giver") for our 93 circadian system, synchronising endogenous physiological processes to the environmental 24-hour 94 light-dark cycle so that bodily functions are temporally organised to match environmental stimuli [1].

95 Human circadian rhythms have developed under the stable, naturally occurring 24-hour light-dark 96 cycle, characterised by bright days and dark nights. However, in modern, industrialised societies, we 97 often lack the "dark nights" and the "bright days" that our circadian rhythms adapted for, as individuals 98 can effectively turn night into day with the use of electric light [7]. The advent of light emitting diode 99 (LED) lamps, favourable for their affordable price and energy saving properties, together with the 100 availability of emissive displays such as smartphones and laptops, has played an important role in 101 increasing accessibility and use of electric light [8]. Furthermore, modern lifestyles are characterised 102 by considerable amount of time spent indoors, with estimates reporting up to 90% of indoor time, thus 103 implying insufficient exposure to daylight [9]. These aspects of the modern lifestyle and lighting 104 landscape have caught particular attention from researchers, who are actively investigating the health 105 consequences of aberrant light exposures.

106 Epidemiological studies have drawn associations between evening light exposure and breast cancer 107 risk, leading to evening light together with insufficient daylight being classified as a human carcinogen 108 [10]. Similarly, cross-sectional and prospective longitudinal studies have shown that presence of light 109 in the sleep environment is linked to higher obesity risk, a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease 110 [11], [12]. New evidence is also demonstrating the role of wrongly timed light exposure for the 111 development of mood disorders [13].

112 Altogether, the current evidence highlights the need to promote healthy light exposure in public health 113 agendas. Recently, Golombek and colleagues [14] have proposed the notion of "sleep capital", 114 defined as the compound social, economic and health gain derived, among other factors, by healthy 115 light exposure, consisting of bright (day)light exposure during the day and lack of light at night. As the 116 authors argue, investing in sleep capital by adopting interventions which include adjusting light 117 exposure is necessary for a healthy and productive society and could have profound economic 118 implications, such as increased productivity, cognitive performance, reduced accident rate, and better

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

119 overall brain health [14]. In terms of defining the appropriate levels of light exposure, recent efforts 120 have been undertaken by an interdisciplinary expert group. Drawing together evidence from laboratory 121 and field studies, Brown and colleagues [15] have provided a framework for understanding the 122 appropriate light amounts for healthy, day-active individuals during daytime, evening, and nighttime 123 hours to maintain optimal physiology and circadian health.

## 124 Measuring determinants of light exposure in the real-world

125 Given the existing recommendations for optimal light exposure, an important question to address is 126 whether the real-world light exposure patterns experienced by an individual, known as their "spectral 127 diet" [16] actually meet these recommendations, and if this is not the case, what are the daily 128 behaviours and contexts hindering appropriate light exposure? Light exposure in free-living conditions 129 can be measured using wearable devices, known as light loggers, that are worn in various positions 130 on the body, including the wrist (e.g. as a wristwatch), chest (e.g. as a pendant or brooch), or eye level 131 (e.g. on a pair of glasses) by study participants [17]. When worn continuously over time, these 132 wearable devices approximate the retinal irradiance an individual receives daily. The melanopic retinal 133 irradiance drives the physiological effects of light [18]. Light exposure patterns can yield light metrics, 134 including time spent above a specific light threshold (time above threshold, TAT; [19]) and variability of 135 light timing (mean light timing, MLiT; [20]), which can subsequently be linked to health outcomes of 136 interest and compared to the recommended light levels [15], [18].

137 As wearable light loggers become more accessible, research on light exposure patterns in free-living 138 conditions has surged [17]. Most of the literature, however, remains descriptive, linking light metrics to 139 one or two health outcomes of interest, or showing that individuals indeed largely fail to meet the 140 recommended light levels [21]. While highly informative, these investigations fail to capture the 141 contextual and behavioural dimensions leading to a given light exposure pattern. As proposed by Biller 142 and colleagues [22], an individual's light exposure profile ultimately depends on many factors, 143 including environmental (geographical location, sunshine hours, climate, temperature and 144 photoperiod), cultural (customs, festivities and norms) and behavioural (lifestyle choices such as 145 commute type and profession, as well as individual preferences) ones, which together interact with the 146 built environment (different window and glazing types, lighting design and architecture).

147 Importantly, while some determinants of daily light exposure are independent of the individual (e.g. 148 type of lights present in one's office, type of windows and glazing), individuals can exert a level of 149 control on their light exposure by actively seeking or avoiding behaviours which involve specific light 150 exposure (e.g. having lunch break outside or inside) [23], [24]. Considering the growing evidence that 151 well-timed light exposure is crucial to support human health, it is vital not only to describe the timing 152 and quantities of light that individuals receive during the day but also to understand which contextual

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

153 and behavioural factors contribute to specific light exposure patterns. Once these have been 154 identified, target behaviours and barriers that prevent optimal light exposure can be addressed by 155 delivering precision behavioural health interventions in simple and accessible ways, such as using 156 mobile apps and chatbots [22].

157 Here, we outline a comprehensive study protocol for field studies to collect rich and high-quality 158 datasets comprising of light exposure data and its contextual and behavioural contributors. To obtain 159 clean light exposure data from the light loggers, we describe in detail how to instruct participants and 160 ensure their compliance with the protocol. Additionally, we present a questionnaire structure designed 161 to capture daily factors linked to individual light exposure using a mobile app interface. Overall, this 162 protocol provides a framework that researchers interested in collecting light exposure data can flexibly 163 adjust. We will use this protocol to create a reference dataset that characterises individual light 164 exposure over seven days at six different geographical locations in Europe and Africa. Our dataset will 165 characterise light exposure and probe the suitability of light logging devices in different geographical 166 and sociocultural contexts. This will help identify context- and lifestyle-specific factors associated with 167 healthy light exposure patterns, which will serve as a first step to designing effective public health 168 interventions.

## 169 Objectives

170 The three objectives of the study are

- 171 1. To characterise individuals' light exposure over seven days utilizing a near-corneal-plane light 172 logger placed at the centre of non-prescription glasses frame, along with a light logger as a 173 chest-worn pendant and a wrist-worn light logger;
- 174 2. To collect data across six countries (Germany, Ghana, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, and 175 Turkey); and
- 176 3. To investigate the correlations between personal light exposure, physiological variables such 177 as chronotype and light sensitivity, and behavioural outcomes including exercise, mood, and 178 alertness.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

## 179 Methods and materials

## 180 Sample

### 181 Geographical sites and research centres involved

182 The novelty of this study is that personal light exposure data will be collected across various 183 geographical locations using the same, harmonised protocol. We aim to leverage the collaboration 184 between the following research centres to collect data in six countries: Federal Institute for 185 Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA) and Technical University of Munich (TUM) in Germany, 186 Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST) in Ghana, The Hague University of 187 Applied Sciences (THUAS) in the Netherlands, Fundación Universitaria San Pablo CEU (FUSP-CEU) 188 in Spain, Research Institutes of Sweden (RISE) in Sweden, and the Izmir Institute of Technology 189 (IZTECH) in Turkey. We believe that the diversity in culture, latitude, photoperiod, climate, built 190 environment and, hence, light exposure behaviours between these six locations, will provide 191 interesting insights for the objectives of this study.

#### 192 Participant recruitment

193 Participants will be recruited by self-selection through advertisements which will be posted at the local 194 hubs as well as in local newsletters. Participants interested in the study will be directed to an online 195 platform (Research Electronic Data Capture; REDCap) [25], [26] for the initial screening survey. 196 Detailed information about the study and its aim will be provided during this screening step. Inclusion 197 and exclusion criteria (**Table 1**) will be tested using a questionnaire on the same online platform. This 198 questionnaire will also collect demographic data (age, sex, gender, native language(s) and 199 occupational status). If eligible for the study, participants will then be contacted by the experimenters 200 to agree on possible participation dates and discuss any further questions.

201 Furthermore, they will be sent a picture of what the light logger looks like and asked if they feel 202 comfortable wearing them throughout the experimental week. They will also be informed about the 203 availability of the researchers throughout the experiment in case of doubts or technical issues with the 204 light logger. Participants will be compensated at the end of the study according to their compliance 205 with the experimental procedure: for every day of wearing the light logger for at least 80% of their 206 waking hours (as defined by the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; MCTQ) [27], volunteers will 207 receive financial compensation, such that those adhering to the whole duration of the experiment will 208 receive more than those adhering, for example, to only four out of the seven experimental days. The 209 rates of financial compensation will depend on each measurement site and local customs. Data

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

210 collection can terminate after reaching at least n=15 per site, with a target of n=30. The researchers 211 will terminate the study for an individual participant in case of technical issues which do not allow the 212 experiment to continue, e.g., when the light logger is not working as expected.

### 213 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

214 Eligible participants will be selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed in **Table 1**. 215 These include demographic as well as mental and physical health parameters. Individuals with 216 corrected vision requiring prescription glasses during the experimental week will be excluded due to 217 incompatibility with our light glasses. However, individuals with a) prescription lenses or b) prescription 218 glasses but are able and willing to wear prescription contact lenses during the experimental week will 219 be able to participate in our study. Individuals suffering from psychiatric or sleep disorders will be 220 excluded from the study. Furthermore, intake of any drugs and/or medications known to influence 221 photosensitivity will be considered a criterion for exclusion. Finally, only people based at or near (<60 222 km) the local hubs of each geographical location during the weekdays (Monday to Friday) of the 223 experiment will be accepted for this study to have similar environmental conditions across participants 224 at each measurement hub. All criteria mentioned above for inclusion and exclusion will be assessed 225 by self-report through REDCap [25], [26]. The eligibility criteria used here can be modified for studies 226 in which the goal is to assess a different population.

## 227 Protocol

## 228 Study design

229 This experiment is an observational field study in which all participants at the six different sites will 230 undergo the same experimental conditions and questionnaires. These are shown in **Table 2**.

### 231 Procedure

232 A schematic representation of the experimental procedure is illustrated in **Figure 1**. Eligible 233 participants will start the experiment on a Monday with an in-person visit to the office or laboratory of 234 the selected hub and finish the experiment on the following Monday. On the first Monday (day 1), they 235 will receive a detailed explanation of the experiment and sign an informed consent document. 236 Volunteers will then be provided with three wearable light loggers to be worn at the near-corneal 237 plane, at the chest level and at the wrist. They will receive detailed instructions on using both devices 238 correctly, including removing them when in contact with water and during contact sports. Participants 239 will also install the MyCap app [28], which integrates with REDCap and is used to fill in daily

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

240 questionnaires and set alarms on their phones as reminders to complete the scheduled questionnaires 241 on the app. Before leaving, participants will complete two questionnaires measuring circadian time and 242 circadian preference (Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; MCTQ and Morning Eveningness 243 Questionnaire; MEQ).

244 Participants will wear the three light loggers throughout the week during their daily activities. 245 Participants will be instructed to log non-wear time as follows. When taking off the spectacle-mounted 246 light logger during the day, they will press the event button on the device and place it in a black bag. 247 They will then log this action in the "Wear log" on the MyCap app. Similarly, when putting the light 248 logger back on, they will take it out of the black bag, press the event button, and log this action in the 249 "Wear log" on the MyCap app. If the participants forget the black bag, they will be prompted to 250 describe where they placed the light glasses instead. Before sleep, participants will place the 251 spectacle-worn light logger facing upwards on a bedside table or flat surface near their bed. They will 252 also log this action in the "Wear log". In case participants exit the local area (defined as a 60 km radius 253 from the local hub), they will describe where they are located at this time and report when they re-254 entered the local area on the "Wear log". In case they forget to log an activity, participants are allowed 255 to log any of the five possible "Wear log" events ("Light logger on", "Light logger off", "Light logger off 256 before sleep", "Exiting local area", "Re-entering local area") as "past events" which happened 257 previously (see Appendix).

258 Every morning after waking up, participants will start wearing the light logger and log this in the "Wear 259 log" on the MyCap app. They will also fill in a questionnaire regarding their sleep (Consensus Sleep 260 Diary; CSD). Throughout the day, they will receive notifications at four scheduled times to fill in 261 questionnaires regarding their current light conditions (modified Harvard Light Exposure Assessment 262 Questionnaire; modified H-LEA), alertness (Karolinska Sleepiness Scale; KSS) and mood 263 (MoodZoom). In the evening, participants will complete questionnaires about their light exposure and 264 activities during the last 24 hours (modified H-LEA), wellbeing (WHO-5 Wellbeing Index; WHO-5) and 265 exercise (custom questionnaire). Throughout the experiment, participants will also report their positive 266 and negative experiences in the "Experience log" (see Appendix).

267 Participants will return to the local centre on the following Monday, one week after the experiment 268 starts. On this day, they will return the devices and complete a retrospective questionnaire regarding 269 their light exposure (Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment; LEBA), light sensitivity (Visual Light 270 Sensitivity Questionnaire-8; VLSQ-8), and their sleep environment (Assessment of Sleep Environment 271 questionnaire; ASE) during the seven days they participated in the study. Furthermore, they will 272 complete open-ended questions about their opinions on the light logger device (see Appendix). After 273 completing these questionnaires, participants will be reimbursed based on their compliance with the 274 experiment. The devices will be charged, and the next set of participants will start the experiment later 275 that day.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

## 276 Measures

277 In this section, we provide detailed information on the objective and subjective health-related 278 measures collected in this study and described in *Procedure*.

279 Objective health-related measures

#### 280 Personal light logging

281 To measure personal light exposure, we will deploy ActLumus light loggers (Condor Instruments, São 282 Paulo, Brazil) worn by participants for one week. ActLumus light loggers contain ten spectral channels, 283 the outputs of which are combined to estimate photopic and melanopic irradiance. Throughout the 284 trial, participants will wear three light loggers:

- 285 1. To measure light centrally in the near-corneal plane, the light loggers will be placed on the 286 frame of non-prescription glasses. A 3D-printed holder for the light loggers has been designed 287 and attached to the bridge of the glasses frame, enabling the insertion and removal of the 288 ActLumus devices.
- 289 2. To measure light on the chest, the light loggers will be clipped to clothing or worn as a 290 pendant.
- 291 3. To measure light on the wrist, a conventional location, the light loggers will be worn with 292 manufacturer-provided wrist bands.

293 The choice of having three light loggers instead of only one is a technical one. Currently, there is no 294 "best practice" for which measurement level (eye, chest, or wrist) is most accurate, or whether the 295 three are comparable. For this reason, we collect light exposure data at all three levels, with the intent 296 of exploring how the measured illuminance compares between them. The sampling interval of each 297 ActLumus light logger will be set to 10 seconds to achieve highly temporally resolved data, and the 298 devices will never be turned off nor charged during the experimental week. Light exposure data for 299 each participant will then be downloaded only upon the return of the devices on the final Monday (day 300 8). The choice of light loggers used here can vary depending on the availability.

301 As the use of non-prescription glasses still requires the use of lenses without optical power, the 302 transmittance properties of the lenses will be measured between 250 and 2500 nm.

#### 303 Activity measurement

304 One of the ActLumus light loggers will be worn on the wrist. The ActLumus measures movement 305 through an integrated tri-axial accelerometer and is used in field studies such as ours to distinguish 306 wake and sleep time. Participants will be instructed to keep the wrist-worn device on during the day 307 and night and only remove it when in contact with water and during contact sports.

## 308 Physiological, behavioural and contextual determinants of personal light 309 exposure

310 To understand which physiological, behavioural and contextual factors contribute to participants' 311 objectively measured light exposure, we will collect a variety of subjectively reported health-related 312 measures that can provide insights into subjects' daily activities and environments. This information 313 will be collected at study intake, throughout the study or at discharge in the form of questionnaires 314 through the REDCap/MyCap interface, as described in *Procedure* (refer to **Figure 1** for the frequency 315 and timing of each measurement).

316 Physiological measures

#### 317 Chronotype questionnaires

318 On the first day of the experiment, participants will complete two questionnaires measuring circadian 319 time and circadian preference: the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ, [27]) and the Morning-320 Eveningness Questionnaire (MEQ, [29]). The MCTQ is used to assess circadian time using questions 321 about their sleep and wake habits during work and free days and commute type. The MEQ is used to 322 determine the circadian preference of individuals to perform certain activities at specific times of the 323 day.

324 Visual Light Sensitivity Questionnaire-8 (VLSQ-8)

325 Participants complete the 8-point Visual Light Sensitivity Questionnaire-8 (VLSQ-8; [30]) at study 326 discharge to answer questions about their visual light sensitivity during the experimental week. The 327 questions include aspects of frequency and severity of photosensitivity as well as impacts of 328 photosensitivity on daily behaviours, and participants answer using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "Never" 329 to  $5 = "Always").$ 

- 330 Behavioural measures
- 331 Morning sleep log

332 Every morning after waking up, participants fill in the core Consensus Sleep Diary [31] consisting of 9 333 items to assess their sleep timing, sleep duration during the night, and subjective sleep quality. This 334 last item is scored on a five-point Likert scale  $(1 = "Very poor"$  to  $5 = "Very good".$ 

335 Ecological momentary assessment ("Current conditions")

336 Four times a day (at 11:00, 14:00, 17:00 and 20:00), participants fill in a questionnaire concerning their 337 current light conditions, mood and sleepiness. The researcher sends a reminder message through the

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

338 REDCap/MyCap messaging channel, and phone alarms set by participants at these times serve to 339 ensure compliance. Firstly, current light conditions are tested through a multiple-choice question, 340 where participants can choose one of 8 possible light scenarios as the "main light source" and, if 341 applicable, as the "secondary light source". The potential light sources to choose from consist of the 342 same categories listed in the modified Harvard Light Exposure Assessment diary, which participants 343 fill in every evening (see "Light exposure and activity diary", H-LEA; [32]). Secondly, a modified 344 MoodZoom questionnaire [33] assesses current mood. Lastly, sleepiness is assessed using the 345 Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS; [34]) on a 10-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = "Extremely alert"  $346$  to  $10 =$  "Extremely sleepy, fighting sleep".

#### 347 Exercise log

348 Every evening before sleep, participants complete a custom-made questionnaire about the exercise 349 they performed during the day. This questionnaire was designed to assess intensity 350 (vigorous/moderate/light, lack of exercise) and location (indoors/outdoors) of exercise, as well as 351 sedentary time ("How much time did you spend sitting or reclining?"*).* 

#### 352 Wellbeing log

353 Every evening before sleep, participants complete a modified version of the WHO-5 Wellbeing Index 354 [35], consisting of 5 statements (1 = "I have felt cheerful and in good spirits", 2 = "I have felt calm and  $355$  relaxed",  $3 =$  "I have felt active and vigorous",  $4 =$  "How would you rate the quality of your sleep last 356 night?", and 5 = "My daily life has been filled with things that interest me"). Participants have to 357 express agreement using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from  $0 = "At no time"$  to  $5 = "All of the time"$ 358 (for statements 1, 2, 3 and 5) and from  $1 =$  "Very poor" to  $5 =$  "Very good" for statement 4.

#### 359 Worktime log

360 Every evening before sleep, participants complete a custom-made questionnaire on the clock times 361 they went to their workplace, how, and when they returned home.

#### 362 Light exposure and activity log

363 Every evening, participants have to fill in a modified version of the Harvard Light Exposure 364 Assessment (H-LEA; [32]). This is referred to as "mH-LEA" and is done on paper using a form 365 provided by the experimenter during the in-person visit (see Appendix). Participants are asked to 366 report, for each hour of the day, the primary light source they are exposed to and the activity they 367 performed in that hour. The primary light source is described as "the biggest and brightest light 368 source". They can choose between 8 light categories ("Electric light source indoors (e.g., lamps such 369 as LEDs)", "Electric light source outdoors (e.g., street lights)", "Daylight indoors (through windows)",

370 "Daylight outdoors (including being in the shade)", "Emissive displays (e.g., smartphone, laptop etc.)", 371 "Darkness (outdoors and/or indoors)", "Light entering from outside during sleep (e.g., daylight, street 372 lights etc.)"). If they believe they are exposed to a combination of lights within the same hour, they can 373 choose from a list of possible combinations. With regards to their activity, they could choose between 374 8 categories ("Sleeping in bed", "Awake at home", "On the road with public transport/car", "On the road 375 with bike/on foot", "Working in the office/from home", "Working outdoors (including lunch break 376 outdoors), "Free time outdoors (e.g. garden/park etc.), "Other: please specify (e.g. sport)". To ensure 377 that participants complete this task, they send a picture of the completed form every night and upload 378 it to a shared folder (separate for each participant) where the experimenter could check compliance. 379 Furthermore, they are asked to rate the confidence in their answers on MyCap, where they can 380 answer using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from  $1 =$  "Not confident at all" to  $5 =$  "Completely 381 confident".

382 Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment (LEBA)

383 The 22-item Light Exposure Behaviour Assessment (LEBA; [23]) is used to retrospectively assess 384 individuals' light behaviours during the experimental week at study discharge. Since the first three 385 items of this instrument ask questions related to wearing blue-filtering, orange-tinted and/or red-tinted 386 glasses, which do not apply to our participants due to the presence of the light logger device, these 387 items are eliminated. The final questionnaire thus comprises the remaining 19 items. These concern 388 specific behaviours such as exposure to daylight, smartphone use, light-related bedtime habits and 389 electric light use at home. Participants can express the frequency of such behaviours using a 5-point 390 Likert scale ranging from  $1 =$  "Never" to  $5 =$  "Always".

- 391 Contextual measures
- 392 Assessment of Sleep Environment (ASE) questionnaire

393 The 13-item Assessment of Sleep Environment (ASE) questionnaire is used to ask participants about 394 aspects such as light, noise, temperature and humidity in their sleeping environment [36], which might 395 affect their sleeping quality as well as the light measured by the light logger placed next to participants 396 during sleep (e.g., in case of light coming through windows during sleep). Participants can express 397 their agreement to each item using a 5-point Likert scale  $(1 = "Strongly agree"$  to  $5 = "Strongly"$ 398 disagree").

#### 399 Environmental light logging

400 To measure the environmental light in the local site during each experimental week, one ActLumus 401 light logger will optionally be placed on the rooftop of a chosen building. The set-up for these

402 environmental light measurements consists of a black metal floor, where the device lies horizontally, 403 covered by a plastic half-dome to minimise light scattering while ensuring protection from the 404 elements. This set-up is placed on the rooftop before participants start the study every week and 405 remains there for the entire week until participants discharge, measuring environmental light with a 406 sampling interval of 30 seconds. Each day, a researcher will check and, if necessary, clean the 407 outside and/or the inside of the set-up from dirt or rain. At the end of each experimental week, the data 408 from this environmental light logger will be downloaded, and the device will be charged before being 409 placed back on the rooftop just before the next participants start the study on the same day. When 410 such measurements are impossible, secondary data sources, including historical weather data, 411 sunshine duration, sunrise/sunset times, or existing radiation measurement infrastructure, will be 412 used.

## 413 Translation and adaptation of questionnaires

414 To run the study in our five sites, translation of surveys and questionnaires is required. To this end, a 415 team-based, multi-step process will be employed to achieve this goal, involving a diverse group of 416 individuals, including trained translators and experts in the survey's subject matter (based on the 417 "TRAPD" approach to translate questionnaires). The source language is English and the target 418 languages are German (Germany), Dutch (Netherlands), Swedish (Sweden), Spanish (Spain) and 419 Turkish (Turkey). In Ghana, the original English version is used. A detailed description of the strategy 420 used to translate the questionnaires in reported in the Appendix.

#### 421 Trial feasibility

<sup>422</sup>The current protocol was trialled in an independent data collection effort taking place from August to November <sup>423</sup>2023 in Tübingen, Germany. A total of 26 participants (14 female; mean age±1SD: 28.0±5.2) worn a corneal-plane 424 light logger (ActLumus) and a wrist-worn actigraphy and light logger (ActTrust2) for a week (Monday to Monday), 425 and completed the same subjective health-related measures described in the current protocol. The protocol was 426 found to be largely feasible, and feedback from the participants was taken on in refining the protocol presented here. 427 Furthermore, successful strategies for ensuring data quality throughout the experiment as well as during data 428 analysis were documented and will be implemented in the current protocol. This will ensure a standardised data 429 curation and analysis approach across the six geographical locations. The data collected in this independent data 430 collection campaign will be published independently of this protocol.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

## 431 Statistical analysis

### 432 Power analysis

433 A sample size calculation based on power analysis was performed based on a framework described 434 elsewhere [37]. The calculation was based on a historical dataset [38] provided by one of the 435 geographical locations (Germany: BAuA); where participants measured light exposure for multiple 436 days in winter, spring, and summer with devices attached to clothing at chest height. A suitable subset 437 of this data was used to calculate the necessary sample size to reach a power of 0.8 across common 438 light exposure metrics when comparing them between winter and summer seasons. While the 439 experiment producing the historical data deviates somewhat from the current study's experimental 440 structure, it still allows for a realistic comparison of metrics between different environmental conditions 441 while considering intra-individual variability. The sample size calculation is based on a bootstrap 442 resampling of daily metrics between winter and summer. For each resampled dataset, significance 443 was tested in a mixed-effect model (fixed effect: season, random effect: participants) with a 444 significance level of 0.05. The fraction of significant differences was compared against the power level 445 threshold of 0.8. The required sample size is the minimum sample size that reaches this threshold, 446 with 1000 resamples per sample size (sample sizes from 3 to 50 were tested). A total of twelve 447 metrics were analyzed:

- 448 Geometric mean of melanopic EDI (lx)
- 449 Geometric standard deviation of melanopic EDI (lx)
- 450 Luminous exposure (lx\\*h)
- 451 Time above 250 lux (h)
- 452 Time above 1000 lux (h)
- 453 Mean timing of light above 250 lux (h)
- 454 Mean timing of light below 10 lux (h)
- 455 Intradaily variability
- 456 Mean across the darkest (L5) hours (lx)
- 457 Midpoint of the darkest (L5) hours (lx)
- 458 Mean across brightest (M10) hours (lx)
- 459 Midpoint of the brightest (M10) hours (lx)

460 Three metrics had no effect in the historical dataset and thus did not reach the power threshold 461 (Geometric standard deviation, mean timing of light above 250 lux, midpoint of darkest 5 hours). With 462 a sample size of 15 participants, eight out of nine metrics showed sufficient power (intradaily 463 variability: 21 participants to threshold power). Even considering a high dropout rate of 33% leaves

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

464 seven out of nine metrics sufficiently powered (mean of darkest 5 hours: 15 participants to threshold 465 power).

### 466 Pre-processing

467 Objectively measured light exposure data will be log-transformed (base 10) to account for large light 468 level differences, such as indoor and outdoor light exposure.

469 Data from the light logger will be processed to separate non-wear time from wear time. For this 470 purpose, the Wear log will be considered the "ground truth" in terms of detection of non-wear time. 471 Once confirmed by visual inspection, non-wear times of ≥5 minutes will be removed.<br>472 We will apply stringent exclusion criteria for our confirmatory tests (see Confirmatory

We will apply stringent exclusion criteria for our confirmatory tests (see Confirmatory analysis). We will 473 exclude the following missing data in hourly analyses:

- 474 Missing entry on the modified H-LEA for a given hour during waking hours: if no category has 475 been selected for a given waking hour (waking hours as specified in the sleep log of the 476 corresponding day);
- 477 Non-wear times of 50% for a given hour.

478 Furthermore, we will exclude an individual day from the analysis if 20% of the objective light exposure 479 data from a participant's waking hours (specified in the MCTQ) is missing. This does not apply to the 480 first and last experimental days, as these are not "complete" days (participants will receive and return 481 the light logger throughout the day).

482 When data have been excluded from confirmatory analyses, we may include them in future 483 exploratory analyses.

#### 484 Statistical analysis and pre-processing

485 We plan to analyse all data with the R software and the package LightLogR 486 (https://tscnlab.github.io/LightLogR/index.html) which provides a workflow for the processing, 487 visualization and metrics calculation based on wearable light logger data. If not otherwise specified 488 below, the planned method for statistical analysis is through (linear) mixed-effect models implemented 489 with the lme4 package [39]. Equations follow the notation used by the package. p-values obtained by 490 likelihood-ratio tests of the full model with the effect in question, against the model without the effect. 491 p-values less than or equal to 0.05 will be considered significant. p-value adjustment for multiple tests 492 within each hypothesis is planned using Benjamini and Hochberg's *false discovery rate* (FDR) method 493 [40].

## 494 Confirmatory analysis

495 We plan to perform the three following confirmatory analyses:

496 1. H1: We hypothesize that there is a significant relationship between hourly self-reported light 497 exposure categories and hourly median objective light exposure.

- 498 a. Preparation: Hourly entry on light sources from daily modified H-LEA will serve as 499 categorical variables. In the case of two light sources for a given hour, only the primary 500 light source will be considered (as reported by participants). The median melanopic 501 equivalent daylight illuminance (melanopic equivalent daylight illuminance; mEDI) as 502 measured objectively by the light logger for the corresponding hour will be calculated.
- 503 b. Analysis: mel EDI is used as the dependent variable, and H-LEA as the fixed effect, 504 participants within each geolocation as random effect. Participant's geolocation, sex, 505 age, occupational status and chronotype (MCTQ) are added as covariates. The 506 dependency of mel EDI and H-LEA as well as the weekday is allowed to vary between 507 participants within a geolocation. The resulting formula is as follows:
- 

```
508 E(E(\text{mel EDI}) = H-LEA + geolocation + weekday + sex + age + occupational status +
```
 $H(t) = H(t)H + g(t)dt$ <br>notype  $+ (1 + H \cdot LEA + \text{weak day} | geolocation:$  participant) (1)<br>othesize that MCTO-measured chronotype MSE (mid-sleep on free days co 509 chronotype + (

- thronotype + (1 + H-LEA + weekday | geolocation: participant) (1)<br>10 12. H2: We hypothesize that MCTQ-measured chronotype MSF<sub>sc</sub> (mid-sleep on free days corrected<br>11 11 1500 for sleep debt on weekdays) and MLiT<sup>250 lx mED</sup> 510 2. H2: We hypothesize that MCTQ-measured chronotype  $MSE_{\text{sc}}$  (mid-sleep on free days corrected 512 correlated, such that earlier chronotypes receive light earlier in the day.
- 513 **a.** Preparation: calculate MCTQ-derived MSF<sub>sc</sub> for each participant and calculate MLiT<sup>250 lx</sup> 514 **EDI** as average clock time of all data points >250 lx mEDI over the six measurement 515 days for each participant.
- $516$  b. Analysis: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between MSF $_{\rm sc}$  and 6-day average 517 MLiT<sup>250 lx mEDI</sup> for each participant. Additional models with various ring-fenced covariates 518 will be built in future steps.
- 519 3. H3: We hypothesize that there is a significant difference between daily average objective light 520 exposure and geographical location, and additionally, that the differences in photoperiod explain 521 a substantial part of that relationship
- 522 a. Preparation: calculate the daily mel EDI light dose (in lx\*h) as measured objectively by 523 the light logger for the corresponding day. Calculate also the photoperiod of that day for 524 a given location as the time from sunrise until sunset (sun elevation equal to zero), as 525 calculated by the sun angles given from the *oce* R package [41].
- 526 b. Analysis: daily mel EDI light dose (in lx\*h)is the dependent continuous variable. 527 Geolocation is the independent categorical variable. A second step also includes 528 photoperiod. Weekday, sex, age, and chronotype are covariates. Participant ID within 529 geolocation is a random effect, as is the weekday effect for each participant. The full 530 formula is as follows:

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

531  $E(Light \, \text{Dose}) =$  geolocation + photoperiod + weekday + sex + age + chronotype +

532  $(1 + \text{weekday} | \text{gelocation:participant})$  (2)

### 533 Exploratory analyses

534 We plan to explore several relationships regarding physiological, behavioural, and contextual self-535 reported data. These are listed here for transparency.

- 536 1. Light exposure
- 537 1.1. Relationship between metrics of light exposure that describe light properties (melanopic EDI 538 and photopic illuminance) and between metrics describing the temporal pattern of light 539 exposure, including light regularity index (LRI), intraday variability (IV), interday stability (IS).
- 540 1.2. Comparison between objectively measured personal light exposure during weekdays and 541 weekends.
- 542 1.3. Relationship between retrospective light exposure items as measured by the LEBA 543 instrument and objective light exposure.
- 544 1.4. Relationship between environmental conditions during the experimental week (e.g. 545 photoperiod availability, sunlight hours and temperature) and objective personal light 546 exposure.
- 547 1.5. Relationship between objective personal light exposure measured and mood and alertness 548 ratings measured throughout the day.
- 549 1.6. Relationship between subjective light sensitivity as reported by the VLSQ-8 and objective 550 personal light exposure.
- 551 1.7. Relationship between daily objective personal light exposure and wellbeing scores as 552 measured by the WHO-5 questionnaire.
- 553 1.8. Relationship between exercise frequency and type as measured by the exercise log and 554 objective personal light exposure.
- 555 1.9. Relationship between geolocation, photoperiod and other metrics of light exposure (see 1.1), 556 also in interaction with the weekday.
- 557 2. Light logger acceptability:
- 558 2.1. Descriptive analysis of open-ended questions on wearing the light logger.
- 559 2.2. Relationship between negative and positive experiences as reported in the experience log 560 and non-wear time as reported in the wear log.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

#### 561 Data storage and privacy

562 Data collected through REDCap and MyCap will be pseudonymized in the system and stored on this 563 system until the end of data analysis. Anonymised data will be made publicly available after the 564 publication of the primary research publication.

### 565 Outcome measures

#### 566 Primary outcome measures

567 Our primary outcome measures are listed in **Table 3**. They include objectively measured daily light 568 exposure (examined in H1 and H3) and chronotype (examined in H2).

#### 569 Secondary outcome measures

570 Our secondary outcome measures will be described using summary statistics and explored in 571 exploratory analyses. Specifically, we will explore the relationship between objectively measured 572 personal light exposure, physiological variables (chronotype and light sensitivity), behavioural 573 variables (such as exercise, mood, and alertness) and contextual variables (sleep environment).

## 574 Code, data and materials availability

575 Upon conclusion of the primary analyses, the data will be made available under the Creative 576 Commons license (CC-BY) with no reservations in the supplementary material of the research 577 publication and/or on a public repository (e.g., FigShare).

## 578 Additional files

579 GuidolinEtAl\_2024\_Appendix.pdf: Supplementary document containing additional information on data 580 collection, and translation/adaptation of questionnaires used in the study.

## 581 Ethics approval

582 This research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Technical 583 University of Munich (2023-115-S-KK).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

# 584 Conflicts of interest

- 585 The authors declare that the study will be conducted without any financial or commercial relationships
- 586 that could be explained as a conflict of interest.

587 Figures



589

588

590 Figure 1: Schematic representation of the experimental timeline of the experiment (Monday to

591 Monday).

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

# 592 Tables

593



594 **Table 1***:* Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

595

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



596 **Table 2:** Measurement schedule.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



597

598 **Table 3:** *Primary outcome measures*

599

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

## 600 References

- 601 [1] C. Blume, C. Garbazza, and M. Spitschan, "Effects of light on human circadian rhythms, sleep and 602 mood," *Somnologie*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 147–156, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11818-019-00215-x.
- 603 [2] Cibeira et al., "Healthcare | Free Full-Text | Bright Light Therapy in Older Adults with Moderate to 604 Very Severe Dementia: Immediate Effects on Behavior, Mood, and Physiological Parameters." 605 Accessed: Aug. 02, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/9/8/1065
- 606 [3] R. Lok, K. C. H. J. Smolders, D. G. M. Beersma, and Y. A. W. de Kort, "Light, alertness, and 607 alerting effects of white light: a literature overview," *J Biol Rhythms*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 589–601, 608 Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1177/0748730418796443.
- 609 [4] S. L. Chellappa, M. C. M. Gordijn, and C. Cajochen, "Can light make us bright? Effects of light on 610 cognition and sleep," in *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 190, Elsevier, 2011, pp. 119–133. doi: 611 10.1016/B978-0-444-53817-8.00007-4.
- 612 [5] S. L. Chellappa, R. Lasauskaite, and C. Cajochen, "In a Heartbeat: Light and Cardiovascular 613 Physiology," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 8, 2017, Accessed: Dec. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available: 614 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2017.00541
- 615 [6] C. B. Swope *et al.*, "Factors associated with variability in the melatonin suppression response to 616 light: A narrative review," *Chronobiology International*, pp. 1–15, Mar. 2023, doi: 617 10.1080/07420528.2023.2188091.
- 618 [7] S. L. Chellappa, "Individual differences in light sensitivity affect sleep and circadian rhythms," 619 *Sleep*, vol. 44, no. 2, p. zsaa214, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsaa214.
- 620 [8] S. Westland, Q. Pan, and S. Lee, "A review of the effects of colour and light on non-image function 621 in humans," *Coloration Technology*, vol. 133, no. 5, pp. 349–361, 2017, doi: 10.1111/cote.12289.
- 622 [9] N. E. Klepeis *et al.*, "The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for 623 assessing exposure to environmental pollutants," *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*, vol. 11, no. 3, 624 Art. no. 3, Jul. 2001, doi: 10.1038/sj.jea.7500165.
- 625 [10] K. Y. Lai, C. Sarkar, M. Y. Ni, L. W. T. Cheung, J. Gallacher, and C. Webster, "Exposure to light at 626 night (LAN) and risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Science of The*  627 *Total Environment*, vol. 762, p. 143159, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143159.
- 628 [11] Y. Cho, S.-H. Ryu, B. R. Lee, K. H. Kim, E. Lee, and J. Choi, "Effects of artificial light at night on 629 human health: A literature review of observational and experimental studies applied to exposure 630 assessment," *Chronobiology International*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1294–1310, Oct. 2015, doi: 631 10.3109/07420528.2015.1073158.
- 632 [12] Y.-M. M. Park, A. J. White, C. L. Jackson, C. R. Weinberg, and D. P. Sandler, "Association of 633 Exposure to Artificial Light at Night While Sleeping With Risk of Obesity in Women," *JAMA*  634 *Internal Medicine*, vol. 179, no. 8, pp. 1061–1071, Aug. 2019, doi: 635 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0571.
- 636 [13] A. C. Burns *et al.*, "Day and night light exposure are associated with psychiatric disorders: an 637 objective light study in >85,000 people," *Nat. Mental Health*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 853–862, Nov. 638 2023, doi: 10.1038/s44220-023-00135-8.
- 639 [14] D. A. Golombek *et al.*, "Sleep Capital: Linking Brain Health to Wellbeing and Economic 640 Productivity Across the Lifespan," *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, Jul. 2024, doi: 641 10.1016/j.jagp.2024.07.011.
- 642 [15] T. M. Brown *et al.*, "Recommendations for daytime, evening, and nighttime indoor light exposure to 643 best support physiology, sleep, and wakefulness in healthy adults," *PLoS Biol*, vol. 20, no. 3, p. 644 e3001571, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001571.
- 645 [16] F. S. Webler, M. Spitschan, R. G. Foster, M. Andersen, and S. N. Peirson, "What is the 'spectral 646 diet' of humans?," *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 30, pp. 80–86, Dec. 2019, doi: 647 10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.06.006.
- 648 [17] S. Hartmeyer, F. Webler, and M. Andersen, "Towards a framework for light-dosimetry studies: 649 Methodological considerations," *Lighting Research & Technology*, p. 14771535221103258, Jul. 650 2022, doi: 10.1177/14771535221103258.

- 651 [18] M. Spitschan *et al.*, "Verification, analytical validation and clinical validation (V3) of wearable 652 dosimeters and light loggers," *Digit Health*, vol. 8, p. 20552076221144858, 2022, doi: 653 10.1177/20552076221144858.
- 654 [19] S. Hartmeyer and M. Andersen, "Towards a framework for light-dosimetry studies: Quantification 655 metrics," *Lighting Research & Technology*, p. 147715352311705, May 2023, doi: 656 10.1177/14771535231170500.
- 657 [20] K. J. Reid, G. Santostasi, K. G. Baron, J. Wilson, J. Kang, and P. C. Zee, "Timing and intensity of 658 light correlate with body weight in adults," *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. e92251, 2014, doi: 659 10.1371/journal.pone.0092251.
- 660 [21] A. Didikoglu *et al.*, "Associations between light exposure and sleep timing and sleepiness while 661 awake in a sample of UK adults in everyday life," *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  662 *Sciences*, vol. 120, no. 42, p. e2301608120, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1073/pnas.2301608120.
- 663 [22] A. M. Biller, P. Balakrishnan, and M. Spitschan, "Behavioural determinants of physiologically-664 relevant light exposure," Mar. 18, 2024, *OSF*. doi: 10.31219/osf.io/xpt4e.
- 665 [23] M. A. Siraji *et al.*, "An inventory of human light exposure behaviour," *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 666 22151, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-48241-y.
- 667 [24] M. A. Siraji, M. Spitschan, V. Kalavally, and S. Haque, "Light exposure behaviors predict mood, 668 memory and sleep quality," *Sci Rep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 12425, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41598- 669 023-39636-y.
- 670 [25] P. A. Harris, R. Taylor, R. Thielke, J. Payne, N. Gonzalez, and J. G. Conde, "Research electronic 671 data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing 672 translational research informatics support," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 673 377–381, Apr. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010.
- 674 [26] P. A. Harris *et al.*, "The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software 675 platform partners," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 95, p. 103208, Jul. 2019, doi: 676 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208.
- 677 [27] T. Roenneberg, L. K. Keller, D. Fischer, J. L. Matera, C. Vetter, and E. C. Winnebeck, "Human 678 activity and rest in situ," *Methods Enzymol*, vol. 552, pp. 257–283, 2015, doi: 679 10.1016/bs.mie.2014.11.028.
- 680 [28] P. A. Harris *et al.*, "MyCap: a flexible and configurable platform for mobilizing the participant 681 voice," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. ooac047, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooac047.
- 682 [29] J. A. Horne and O. Östberg, "Individual differences in human circadian rhythms," *Biological*  683 *Psychology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 179–190, Sep. 1977, doi: 10.1016/0301-0511(77)90001-1.
- 684 [30] J. D. Verriotto *et al.*, "New Methods for Quantification of Visual Photosensitivity Threshold and 685 Symptoms," *Transl Vis Sci Technol*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 18, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1167/tvst.6.4.18.
- 686 [31] C. E. Carney *et al.*, "The consensus sleep diary: standardizing prospective sleep self-monitoring," 687 *Sleep*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 287–302, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.5665/sleep.1642.
- 688 [32] A. Bajaj, B. Rosner, S. W. Lockley, and E. S. Schernhammer, "Validation of a light questionnaire 689 with real-life photopic illuminance measurements: the Harvard Light Exposure Assessment 690 questionnaire," *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1341–1349, Jul. 2011, doi: 691 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0204.
- 692 [33] A. Tsanas *et al.*, "Daily longitudinal self-monitoring of mood variability in bipolar disorder and 693 borderline personality disorder," *J Affect Disord*, vol. 205, pp. 225–233, Nov. 2016, doi: 694 10.1016/j.jad.2016.06.065.
- 695 [34] T. Akerstedt and M. Gillberg, "Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual," *Int J*  696 *Neurosci*, vol. 52, no. 1–2, pp. 29–37, May 1990, doi: 10.3109/00207459008994241.
- 697 [35] Bech, P., "Measuring the dimensions of psychological general well-being by the WHO-5.," *QoL*  698 *Newsletter*, vol. 32, pp. 15–16, 2004.
- 699 [36] M. A. Grandner *et al.*, "Development and Initial Validation of the Assessment of Sleep 700 Environment (ASE): Describing and Quantifying the Impact of Subjective Environmental Factors 701 on Sleep," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 20, p. 13599, Oct. 2022, doi: 702 10.3390/ijerph192013599.
- 703 [37] J. Zauner, L. Udovicic, and M. Spitschan, "Power analysis for personal light exposure

- 704 measurements and interventions," In Review, preprint, Dec. 2023. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-705 3771881/v1.
- 706 [38] L. L. A. Price, M. Khazova, and L. Udovičić, "Assessment of the Light Exposures of Shift-working<br>707 **Nurses in London and Dortmund in Relation to Recommendations for Sleep and Circadian** Nurses in London and Dortmund in Relation to Recommendations for Sleep and Circadian 708 Health," *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 447–458, Apr. 2022, doi: 709 10.1093/annweh/wxab092.
- 710 [39] D. Bates, M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker, "Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using **lme4**," 711 *J. Stat. Soft.*, vol. 67, no. 1, 2015, doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- 712 [40] Y. Benjamini and Y. Hochberg, "Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful 713 Approach to Multiple Testing," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 714 vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 289–300, Jan. 1995, doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.
- 715 [41] D. Kelley and C. Richards, *oce: Analysis of Oceanographic Data*. 2024. [Online]. Available: 716 https://dankelley.github.io/oce/
- 717