

1 **Title: Longitudinal changes in anthropometric, physiological, and physical**
2 **qualities of international women's rugby league players.**

3 **Running title: Anthropometric, physiological, and physical qualities of**
4 **international women's rugby league players**

5 **Authors:** Sean Scantlebury^{1,2}, Nessian Costello¹, Cameron Owen^{1,2}, Sarah Chantler^{1,2},
6 Carlos Ramirez¹, Santiago Zabaloy³, Neil Collins^{1,2} Hayden Allen¹, Gemma Phillips^{2,4},
7 Marina Alexander¹, Matthew Barlow¹, Emily Williams¹, Peter Mackreth¹, Stuart
8 Barrow², Anthony Clarke¹, Benjamin Samuels¹, Stephanie Roe¹, Cameron Blake¹, Ben
9 Jones^{1,2,5,6,7}

10 **Institutional Affiliations:**

11 ¹Carnegie School of Sports, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, United Kingdom

12 ²England Performance Unit, Rugby Football League, Manchester, United Kingdom

13 ³Faculty of Physical Activity and Sports, University of Flores, Buenos Aires, Argentina

14 ⁴Hull Kingston Rovers, Hull, United Kingdom^{7,8} Head of Sports Science, Scotland
15 Rugby, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

16 ⁵ Division of Physiological Sciences and Health through Physical Activity, Lifestyle
17 and Sport Research Centre, Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences,
18 University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

19 ⁶ School of Behavioural and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Australian
20 Catholic University, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

21 ⁷ Premiership Rugby, London, United Kingdom

22

23 **Corresponding Author:** Sean Scantlebury

24 s.scantlebury@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

25 **Abstract**

26 This is the first study to assess longitudinal changes in anthropometric, physiological,
27 and physical qualities of international women's rugby league players. Thirteen forwards
28 and 11 backs were tested three times over a 10-month period. Assessments included:
29 standing height and body mass, body composition measured by dual x-ray
30 absorptiometry (DXA), a blood panel, resting metabolic rate (RMR) assessed by
31 indirect calorimetry, aerobic capacity (i.e., $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$) evaluated by an incremental
32 treadmill test, and isometric force production measured by a force plate. During the pre-
33 season phase, lean mass increased significantly by ~2% for backs (testing point 1: 47
34 kg; testing point 2: 48 kg) and forwards (testing point 1: 50 kg; testing point 2: 51 kg) (p
35 $= \leq 0.05$). Backs significantly increased their $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ by 22% from testing point 1
36 ($40 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) to testing point 3 ($49 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) ($p = \leq 0.04$). The $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ of
37 forwards increased by 10% from testing point 1 ($41 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) to testing point 3 (45
38 $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$), however this change was not significant ($p = \geq 0.05$). Body mass (values
39 represent the range of means across the three testing points) (backs: 68 kg; forwards:
40 77-78 kg), fat mass percentage (backs: 25-26%; forwards: 30-31%), resting metabolic
41 rate (backs: $7 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$; forwards: $7 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$), isometric mid-thigh pull (backs: 2106-
42 2180 N; forwards: 2155-2241 N), isometric bench press (backs: 799-822 N; forwards:
43 999-1024 N), isometric prone row (backs: 625-628 N; forwards: 667-678 N) and bloods
44 (backs: ferritin 21-29 $\mu\text{g/L}$, haemoglobin 137-140 g/L , iron 17-21 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, transferrin 3
45 g/L , transferrin saturation 23-28%; forwards: ferritin 31-33 $\mu\text{g/L}$, haemoglobin 141-
46 145 g/L , iron 20-23 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, transferrin 3 g/L , transferrin saturation 26-31%) did not
47 change ($p = \geq 0.05$). This study provides novel longitudinal data which can be used to
48 better prepare women rugby league players for the unique demands of their sport,
49 underpinning female athlete health.

50 **Introduction**

51 Rugby league is a field-based team sport characterised by intermittent bouts of
52 high-intensity actions such as sprinting, changes of direction, tackling and collisions
53 interspersed by bouts of low-intensity activity [1–3]. These demands necessitate the
54 development of specific physical attributes to optimise performance, support recovery,
55 and reduce injury risk [4–6]. International women’s rugby league players have superior
56 speed, power, and high-intensity intermittent running capabilities compared to domestic
57 players [7]. While previous studies have reported the anthropometric and physical
58 characteristics of international women’s rugby league players [7–9] longitudinal
59 changes in anthropometric and physical qualities have not been reported.

60 Longitudinal changes in anthropometric and physical qualities are important, to
61 evaluate how women’s rugby league players develop within their unique context. For
62 example, access to training facilities and expert guidance, as well as player’s work
63 commitments, can restrict training opportunities, thereby influencing their long-term
64 physical development [10]. As such, there is a need to increase the evidence base in
65 women’s rugby league [11], aligning with the identified research priority of physical
66 performance in women’s rugby [12].

67 The longitudinal quantification of elite women’s rugby league players
68 anthropometric, physiological, and physical attributes are needed to establish normative
69 standards for pre- and in-season periods. These standards can be used in athlete
70 profiling, identifying strengths and weaknesses, performance monitoring, and talent
71 identification strategies [13]. Therefore, the aim of this study is to use valid, and reliable
72 testing measures to quantify changes in body composition, blood markers, energy
73 requirements, strength, and aerobic capacity of women’s international rugby league

74 players over three testing points in the lead up to the 2022 Rugby League World Cup
75 (RLWC).

76

77 **Methods**

78 **Experimental approach to the problem**

79 This study utilised a cohort study design to establish the anthropometric,
80 physiological, and physical characteristics of international women's rugby league
81 players leading up to the 2022 RLWC. To achieve this, participants completed testing at
82 three testing points; 1) December 2021: The beginning of the 2022 Women's Super
83 League (WSL) pre-season, 2) March 2022: the end of the 2022 WSL pre-season, and 3)
84 October 2022: following the completion of the 2022 WSL season and prior to the
85 RLWC.

86 Data collection included anthropometric measurements (height and body mass),
87 body composition, resting metabolic rate (RMR), blood profiling, alongside
88 assessments of endurance capacity (maximum oxygen consumption [$\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$]) and
89 isometric strength.

90 Body composition and RMR were measured by dual-energy x-ray
91 absorptiometry (DXA) and indirect calorimetry, respectively. Aerobic capacity was
92 assessed through an incremental treadmill protocol, while isometric force production
93 involved measurements of the isometric mid-thigh pull, isometric bench press, and
94 isometric prone row via force plates.

95 A visual representation of a typical testing day is shown in Figure 1. Participants
96 fasted until they completed the RMR assessment, DXA scan, and blood tests.
97 Subsequently, participants were provided with a standardised breakfast consisting of
98 overnight oats with chia seeds, stewed apple, and granola. This breakfast provided

99 approximately 524 kilocalories per portion, with a macronutrient composition of 55 g
100 carbohydrate, 10 g of protein, and 26 g of fat. Participants consumed fluids *ab libitum*.
101 Following breakfast, participants engaged in individual coaching and psychology
102 workshops.

103

104 **Figure 1.** A typical testing day schedule. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).
105 Resting metabolic rate (RMR).

106

107 **Participants**

108 The sample size for this study was determined by the maximum squad size
109 permitted for the 2022 RLWC. Consequently, a total of 24 women’s rugby league
110 players were purposefully recruited. Recruitment began on the 7th of December 2021
111 and ended on the 27th of October 2022. Participants provided written informed consent.
112 Participants were categorised as Tier 4 “elite/international level” [14]. To be eligible for
113 participation at each testing point, participants were required to meet the following
114 criteria: 1) international squad registration, 2) >18 years, and 3) absence of injury or
115 illness on the day of testing. Therefore, the final sample comprised of 24 international
116 women’s rugby league players, with 13 classified as forwards (age: 26.2 ± 4.6 years;
117 height: 167.4 ± 4.1 cm), and 11 classified as backs (age: 26.3 ± 5.8 years; height: 169.8
118 ± 4.6 cm). Not all participants completed all tests at each testing point (Table 1).

119 Participants competed in the highest standard of the domestic women’s rugby
120 league competition (i.e., WSL), where they regularly engaged in two to three training
121 sessions and one match per week during the season, in addition to international training.
122 Throughout the season, participants followed physical training programmes prescribed
123 by the international strength and conditioning coach at their respective domestic clubs.

124 Further details regarding their annual training plan are provided in Table 2, and
125 representative pre- and in-season weekly training microcycles are outlined in Tables 3
126 and 4. Weekly training schedules varied among participants due to their club training,
127 competition, and work schedules. The research ethics committee of Leeds Beckett
128 University gave ethical approval for this work (reference number: 91130).

Test	Testing point 1 (n)	Testing point 2 (n)	Testing point 3 (n)
Height	17	21	24
Body Mass	17	21	24
Blood work	17	21	24
Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry	17	21	24
Resting metabolic rate	17	21	24
Isometric mid-thigh pull	17	19	24
Isometric bench press	-	19	24
Isometric prone row	-	19	24
V\dot{V}O₂max	13	15	21

129

130 **Table 1.** The number of participants who completed each test at each testing point.

131

132

133
134

Table 2. Summary of the macrocycle for an international women’s rugby league squad

Stage of the Season	Training Phase	Duration	Main Objectives
Off-Season	General preparation and conditioning	October 2021 – January 2022 Frequency: 2 to 3 sessions a week.	Maintain physical qualities (e.g., speed, endurance, and strength) and recover from rugby specific training and competition.
Pre-Season	Specific preparation and pre-competitive	January 2022 – March 2022 Frequency: 4 to 5 sessions a week.	Develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, and strength). Strength training sessions focused on muscular hypertrophy. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Endurance capacity was developed using small sided games. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individuals’ end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test [15].
In-Season	Competitive	March 2022 – September 2022 Frequency: 3 to 4 sessions a week. 1 weekly competition (friendly and/or official)	Continue to develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, strength, and power). Strength training sessions included max strength, strength-speed, and plyometric exercises. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individual’s end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test.
Pre-World Cup	Specific preparation and pre-competitive	September 2022 – October 2022 Frequency: 3 to 4 sessions a week.	Continue to develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, strength, and power). Strength training sessions included max strength, strength-speed, and plyometric exercises. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individual’s end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test.

135

136 **Table 3.** Typical pre-season weekly training schedule of an international women’s rugby league squad

		<p>TEC/TAC (60’-120’) <i>Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.</i></p> <p>Speed block (10-20’) Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m to 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)</p> <p>Conditioning (20’) (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps</p> <p>Strength Block (60’): Hypertrophy Lower body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: BS, FS, TBD, RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL, HT, CR, PR, plank, BR. (70%–80% 1RM)</p>		<p>TEC/TAC (60’-120’) <i>Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.</i></p> <p>Speed block (10-20’) Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)</p> <p>Conditioning (20’) (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps</p> <p>Strength Block (60’) Hypertrophy Upper body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: BP, SA DB row, SA DB press; Pull-ups, SA DB SP, BC, TE, PR, Dead bugs. (70%–80% 1RM)</p>		<p>TEC/TAC (60’-120’) <i>Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.</i></p> <p>Speed block (10-20’) Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)</p> <p>Conditioning (20’) (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps</p> <p>Strength Block (60’) Hypertrophy Full body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: BS, FS, RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL, HT, CR BP, SA DB row, SA DB press, SA DB SP, MP, Pull ups, Plank, Dead bugs. (70%–80% 1RM)</p>
Rest	<p>Conditioning (30’) (80% VIFT) 3 mins work, 2 mins rest 1 set x 6 reps</p>	Rest	Rest	Rest		

137

138 Abbreviations: TEC/TAC, technical and tactical training; VIFT, 30:15 intermittent fitness test end speed; 1RM, 1-repetition maximum; SA, single arm; DB, dumbbell; BS, back squat; FS, front squat; TBD, trap bar
139 deadlift; RDL, Romanian deadlift; BSS, Bulgarian split squat; LL, lateral lunge; HT, hip thrust; CR, calf raise; BP, bench press; BO row, bent-over row; SP, shoulder press; MP, military press; BC, bicep curl; TE, tricep
140 extension; PR, Paloff rotation; BR, barbell rollout.

141 **Table 4.** Typical in-season weekly training schedule of an international women’s rugby league squad

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Competition	Rest	TEC/TAC (60’-120’) <i>Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.</i>	Strength Block (60’): S/PT Upper body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: HC, HP, PPU, SA DB row, SA DB press; Pull-ups, SA DB SP, BC, TE, PR, Dead bugs. (70%–90% 1RM)	TEC/TAC (60’-120’) <i>Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.</i>	Strength Block (60’): S/PT Full body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: HP, Jump squat, BJ, bounding, MBS, deadlift, TBD, BP, BO row, SP, pull-ups, Nordics, Isometric hamstring hold, PR. (70%–90% 1RM)	Rest
		Speed block (10-20’) Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m to 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)		Speed block (10-20’) Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10-m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)		
		Conditioning (20’) (90%-100% VIFT) 15s - 30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps		Conditioning (20’) (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps		
		Strength Block (60’): S/PT Lower body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: JS, DJ, BJ, Bounding, BS, FS, TBD, RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL, HT, CR, PR, plank, BR. (70%–90% 1RM)				

142 Abbreviations: TEC/TAC, technical and tactical training; VIFT, 30:15 intermittent fitness test end speed; 1RM, 1-repetition maximum; S/PT, strength/power training; SA, single arm; DB, dumbbell; HC, hang clean;
 143 HP, high pulls; JS, jump shrug; DJ, drop jump; BJ, box jump; MBS, med ball slam; PPU, plyometric push-up; BS, back squat; FS, front squat; TBD, trap bar deadlift; RDL, Romanian deadlift; BSS, Bulgarian split
 144 squat; LL, lateral lunge; HT, hip thrust; CR, calf raise; BP, bench press; BO row, bent-over row; SP, shoulder press; LP, landmine press; BC, bicep curl; TE, tricep extension; PR, Paloff rotation; BR, barbell rollout.

145 **Procedures**

146 *Anthropometrics and body composition*

147 On the morning of testing, measurements of fasted body mass (SECA, model-
148 875, Hamburg, Germany) and stature (SECA, model-213, Hamburg, Germany) were
149 taken to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, following the guidelines of the International
150 Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry.

151 Participants then completed a DXA scan (Lunar iDXA, GE Medical Systems,
152 United Kingdom) following established best practice protocols [3]. The scans were
153 conducted with participants in a euhydrated state (osmolality: $<700 \text{ mOsmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$),
154 wearing minimal clothing, and with shoes and jewellery removed. To minimise
155 potential confounding factors, participants refrained from intensive exercise, consuming
156 alcohol, or consuming caffeine for ≥ 8 hours prior the scan.

157 The GE Lunar iDXA system (GE Healthcare, Pollards Wood, Buckinghamshire;
158 Encore software version 18) employed the OneScan functionality for performing lumbar
159 spine (L1-L4) and dual hip (total hip and neck of femur) scans without repositioning the
160 participants. Correct positioning was ensured by utilising the GE dual femur positioning
161 device, which required participants to rotate both legs internally between 15 and 25
162 degrees. Total body positioning involved centring participants on the table, with their
163 hands at their sides, and Velcro straps used to secure their legs at the ankles. Regular
164 quality assurance was maintained through calibration block and spine phantom
165 assessments, which showed no observed drifts.

166 In-vivo precision (coefficient of variation, CV) for the DXA measurements
167 conducted by the Leeds Beckett DXA unit were as follows: 0.82% for lumbar spine
168 bone mineral density (BMD), 0.98% for total hip BMD and 1.34% for femoral neck

169 BMD. The CV for total body composition measurements were 0.99% for fat, 0.98% for
170 fat mass, and 0.42% for lean.

171

172 *Resting metabolic rate*

173 Resting metabolic rate was measured using open-circuit indirect calorimetry
174 (Cortex 3B-R3 MetaLyzer, CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) and a
175 transparent ventilated hood system (Cortex Canopy System, CORTEX Biophysik
176 GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). All measurements were conducted in accordance with
177 established best practice protocols, including an overnight fast and abstention from
178 alcohol, nicotine, and caffeine for a minimum of 8 hours [16].

179 Data were collected over 20 minutes, with the second 10 minutes used to
180 calculate RMR [17], as previously described [18]. The average CV for $V\dot{O}_2$ (oxygen
181 consumption), $V\dot{CO}_2$ (carbon dioxide production), and respiratory exchange ratio
182 (RER) across the three testing points was $10.6 \pm 3.7\%$, $11.6 \pm 4.9\%$, and $6.4 \pm 2.7\%$,
183 respectively.

184

185 *Blood measures*

186 Venous blood samples were collected using standardised venepuncture
187 techniques, performed by a qualified medical practitioner. Blood samples were drawn
188 into appropriate tubes (BD Vacutainer®, Plymouth) to conduct a full blood count and
189 assess the iron profile of participants. For the iron profile analysis, blood samples were
190 centrifuged at 3000 revolutions per minute for a duration of 10 minutes at a temperature
191 of 4 degrees Celsius. Subsequently, the resulting serum was pipetted into microtubes

192 and then stored at -20 degrees Celsius to preserve it for future analysis. All blood
193 samples were analysed together at an external laboratory (Northumbria, UK). The
194 assessment of serum iron, ferritin and transferrin levels was carried out using
195 standardised procedures and manufactures instructions (AU Beckman Coulter analyser).

196

197 *Isometric Force*

198 Prior to commencing the strength testing, which included the isometric mid-
199 thigh pull, isometric bench-press, and isometric prone row, participants completed a
200 standardised warm-up consisting of dynamic stretches and simple bodyweight
201 movements such as squats, lunges, push-ups. The warm-up was overseen by a qualified
202 strength and conditioning coach and lasted 5 minutes.

203 The isometric mid-thigh pull, was selected as a measure of global full body
204 strength [19], and executed in a specialised adjustable rack equipped with a force plate
205 (Kistler [family type 9260AA]). Procedures of the isometric mid-thigh pull followed
206 previously published guidelines [20]. The height of the bar was adjusted to align with
207 each participant's mid-thigh level, resulting in a knee angle of 125°-145° and hip flexion
208 angle of 140°-150°. Participants completed this test twice, with a 3 minute rest between
209 efforts [21]. To elicit maximal effort, participants were instructed to exert maximum
210 force as quickly as possible after a 3 second countdown [21]. The highest peak vertical
211 force recorded from the two attempts was used and expressed in Newtons (N).

212 The isometric bench press and isometric prone row were performed using
213 specialised adjustable racks connected to a force plate (Kistler [family type 9253B])
214 following previously published guidelines [22,23]. In the isometric bench press,
215 participants were positioned with their back flat on the bench fitted within the rack,

216 ensuring that the bar was level with their chest. For the isometric prone row,
217 participants lay with their chest flat on the bench within the rack, with the bar
218 positioned at chest level. In both tests, participants were required to maintain a 90⁰ -
219 120⁰ elbow angle, and the bar position was adjusted accordingly. During both the
220 isometric bench press and isometric prone row, participants were instructed to exert
221 maximum force as rapidly as possible. Two attempts were recorded for each test, with a
222 3-minute rest period between trials. Verbal encouragement was provided during each
223 attempt. The highest peak vertical force recorded from the two attempts was used and
224 expressed in Newtons (N). Notably, the isometric bench press and isometric prone row
225 were not completed during testing point 1 due to equipment failure.

226

227 *Aerobic capacity*

228 Maximal oxygen uptake was determined through a running-based incremental
229 ramp exercise test conducted on a slat-belt treadmill (Woodway ELG, Woodway,
230 Birmingham, UK). The test protocol began with 3 minutes of walking at 3 km/h,
231 followed by an initial running speed of 7 km/h. The running speed increased by 1 km/h
232 every minute until the participants reached volitional exhaustion. The treadmill was set
233 to a 1% incline throughout the test.

234 Pulmonary gaseous exchange was assessed using online, breath-by-breath gas
235 measurement (Metalyzer 3B; Cortex Medical, Germany). Prior to the test, a two-point
236 calibration of the gas analyser was performed in accordance with the manufacturer's
237 guidelines. The calibration of the O₂ and CO₂ analysers was executed using ambient air
238 and a known calibration gas (15.00 % O₂, 5.00 % CO₂) (Cortex Medical, Germany). A

239 3 L calibration syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas, USA) was employed for the calibration
240 of the volume transducer.

241 To measure heart rate responses during exercise, a Bluetooth heart rate strap
242 (Polar H10; Polar, Finland) was utilised. The highest 30 second average $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ value
243 attained during the incremental ramp test was selected as the criterion for determining
244 the participant's $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$.

245

246 **Statistical analysis**

247 All statistical analyses were conducted using RStudio (V 4.2.0, RStudio, Boston, MA,
248 USA). Estimated means (*emmeans*) [24] were determined for each testing point using
249 mixed models (*lme4*) [25]. The characteristic of interest served as the dependent
250 variable, while testing point was included as a fixed effect, with player considered as a
251 random effect. When examining differences between player positions (forwards and
252 backs), position was added as a fixed effect, and an interaction term was incorporated
253 into the model. Model assumptions were assessed using the *performance* package [26].
254 An outlier exceeding 1200 N was removed from the prone row data prior to modelling.

255 Ferritin and transferrin saturation were identified as log normal in distribution;
256 therefore, outcomes were log transformed before analysis, and the results were back-
257 transformed for reporting. Body fat percentage was modelled using a beta distribution,
258 considering its values range between 0 and 1. Post-hoc comparisons were made between
259 player positions and testing timepoints, with a significance level set as $\alpha = 0.05$.
260 Means and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were reported, along with effect sizes
261 and rate ratios where appropriate.

262 **Results**

263 *Anthropometrics and body composition*

264 Table 5 displays the mean (95% confidence intervals) for body mass, lean mass,
265 fat mass, and body fat % for backs and forwards during the three testing points. Backs
266 had a significantly lower body mass and body fat % than forwards across all three
267 testing points ($p \leq 0.04$). Backs and forwards had a significant increase in lean mass
268 from testing point 1 to testing point 2 (backs: $\Delta 1.1$ kg; forwards: $\Delta 0.9$ kg; $p \leq 0.02$).
269 There were no other significant changes in body composition across testing points.

270

271 *Energy requirements*

272 The RMR (range of means across the three testing points) for backs (6.53 – 7.07
273 MJ·day⁻¹) and forwards (6.55 – 7.04 MJ·day⁻¹) did not significantly differ at testing
274 points 1 ($p = 0.87$), 2 ($p = 0.25$), or 3 ($p = 0.95$). There was no significant difference in
275 RMR within forwards or backs across testing points (backs: $p \geq 0.22$, forwards: $p \geq$
276 0.11; Table 5).

277

278 **Table 5.** The mean (95% CI) for body composition, energy requirements, blood measures, endurance capacity, and isometric strength of backs
 279 and forwards across the three testing points.

		Backs			Forward		
		Testing point 1	Testing point 2	Testing point 3	Testing point 1	Testing point 2	Testing point 3
Body composition	Body Mass (kg)	68 [^] (62 - 73)	68 [^] (63 - 74)	68 [^] (63 - 74)	77 (71 - 82)	78 (72 - 83)	77 (72 - 82)
	Lean Mass (g)	47170 [*] (43985 - 50354)	48314 (45149 - 51478)	47916 (44761 - 51071)	50411 [*] (4703 - 53320)	51333 (48428 - 54238)	50883 (47981 - 53784)
	Fat Mass (g)	17405 [^] (13880 - 20930)	17053 [^] (13593 - 20513)	17127 [^] (13698 - 20555)	22945 (19770 - 26119)	23077 (19912.2-26241.5)	22866 (19712 - 26019)
	Body Fat (%)	26 [^] (24 - 20)	25 [^] (23 - 28)	26 [^] (23 - 29)	31 (28 - 34)	31 (28 - 33)	30 (28 - 33)
Energy Requirements	RMR (MJ·day ⁻¹)	7.07 (6.44 - 7.70)	6.61 (6.05 - 7.17)	6.53 (6.04 - 7.02)	7.01 (6.52 - 7.49)	7.04 (6.57 - 7.51)	6.55 (6.10 - 7.00)
Blood work	Ferritin (ug/L)	29 (19 - 45)	21 (14 - 32)	25 (17 - 37)	32 (22 - 47)	33 (22.6-46.9)	31 (21.6-44.8)
	HB (g/L)	140 (135-146)	139 (134-144)	137 (133 - 141)	143 (139 - 147)	141 (137-145)	145 (138-145)
	Iron (umol/L)	21 (15 - 27)	18 (13 - 24)	17 (12 - 21)	23 (19 - 27)	22 (17 - 26)	20 (15 - 24)
	TRF (g/L)	3 (2 - 3)	3 (2 - 3)	3 (2 - 3)	3 (2-3)	3 (2 - 3)	3 (2 - 3)
	TRS Sat (%)	28 (20 - 39)	23 (17 - 31)	24 (19 - 31)	31 (24 - 39)	28 (22-36)	26 (20 - 33)
Aerobic Capacity	V̇O _{2max} (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	40 ^{*#} (36 - 44)	45 (42 - 49)	49 (46 - 52)	41 (38 - 45)	42 (39 - 45)	45 (42 - 48)
Force Production	Isometric Mid-Thigh Pull (n)	2175 (2029 - 2322)	2180 (2037 - 2323)	2106 (1976 - 2237)	2155 (2032 - 2278)	2212 (2091 - 2335)	2241 (2121 - 2361)
	Isometric Bench Press (n)	-	822 [^] (668 - 978)	799 [^] (655 - 925)	-	1024 (987 - 1151)	999 (874 - 1123)
	Isometric Prone Row (n)	-	628 (553 - 702)	625 (553 - 697)	-	678 (611 - 745)	667 (601 - 733)

280 Significance: p≤0.05, *significantly different to testing point 2, #significantly different to testing point 3, ^significantly different to forwards.

281 Kg – Kilograms, g – grams, % - percentage, n – newtons, N, ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ – Millilitres per kilogram per minute, HB – haemoglobin, TRF – transferrin, TRS Sat – transferrin saturation

282 *Blood markers*

283 Mean ferritin (backs: 21 – 28ug/L; forwards: 31 – 33ug/L), haemoglobin (backs:
284 137 – 140g/L; forwards: 141 – 145g/L), iron (backs: 17 – 21umol/L; forwards: 20 –
285 23umol/L), transferrin (backs: 3g/L; forwards: 3g/L), and transferrin saturation (backs:
286 23 – 28%; forwards: 26 – 31%), did not significantly differ between forwards and backs
287 ($p \geq 0.11$) and did not significantly change across testing points ($p \geq 0.07$) (Table 5).

288

289 *Aerobic capacity*

290 The mean $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ for backs displayed a significant increase, rising from
291 $40\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 1 to $45\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 2 and further to
292 $49\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 3 ($p \leq 0.04$). The mean $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ for forwards increased
293 from $41\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 1 to $42\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 2 and to
294 $45\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ at testing point 3 however these changes were not significant ($p \geq 0.05$).
295 $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ did not differ between forwards and backs ($p \geq 0.07$) (Table 5).

296

297 *Isometric Force Production*

298 The mean isometric mid-thigh pull scores showed no significant differences
299 between backs (2106 – 2180 N) and forwards (2155 – 2241 N) ($p \geq 0.13$). Forwards
300 exhibited greater isometric bench press scores at testing points 2 (forwards: 1024 N;
301 backs: 822 N) and 3 (forwards: 999 N; backs: 799 N) ($p \leq 0.05$). There were no
302 significant differences in isometric prone row scores between backs and forwards
303 (backs: 625 – 628 N; forwards 667 – 678 N) ($p \geq 0.31$). Strength scores did not
304 significantly change across testing points ($p \geq 0.09$) (Table 5).

305

306

307 **Discussion**

308 This is the first study to provide longitudinal changes specific to player positions
309 for a range of anthropometric, physiological, and physical characteristics in
310 international women's rugby league players. Notably, increases in lean mass were
311 observed during the pre-season (from testing point 1 to testing point 2), and backs
312 demonstrated improvements in $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ across the three testing points. Conversely, no
313 significant changes were observed in fat mass, RMR, blood markers, or strength over
314 time. Importantly, the call to better support female rugby league players through
315 research [11] is addressed in this study. The novel longitudinal data presented
316 supplements the existing evidence base and provides important information which may
317 be used to profile and better support international women's rugby league players in
318 practice.

319 Consistent with previous literature in women's rugby league players, this study
320 confirms that forwards tend to have greater body mass and body fat percentages
321 compared to backs, with no significant differences in lean mass [7–9]. Interestingly,
322 both backs and forwards exhibited an increase in lean mass from testing point 1 to
323 testing point 2, aligning with the training programme's emphasis on muscular
324 hypertrophy during this phase. However, there were no significant changes observed in
325 body mass or fat mass across the three testing points. It is worth noting that, when
326 compared to data from 2014 [8], the average lean mass of English international backs
327 increased by 3.8 kg, and forwards by 1.6 kg. In contrast, Australian backs (53.3 kg) and
328 forwards (60.1 kg) have greater fat-free mass and a lower fat mass percentage (backs:
329 20.3 %, forwards: 23.9 %) than their English counterparts. We acknowledge that
330 differences in positional classifications, such as the categorisation of hookers, locks, and
331 half-backs, may have influenced these comparisons. However, given the recognised

332 positive association between body mass, sprint momentum and collision dominance in
333 female rugby [6] it is imperative to focus on developing the lean mass of international
334 women's rugby league players to improve their performance.

335 This study provides a criterion assessed RMR data for women's senior
336 international rugby players for the first time. Measured RMR closely align with the
337 mean values reported for a combined sample of female elite (n=18) and sub-elite (n=18)
338 rugby players in Ireland [27], differing by only $0.16 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$. However, it is noteworthy
339 that the RMR values observed in this study are $\sim 1.06 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ and $\sim 3.24 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ lower
340 than those reported for male senior professional rugby league and rugby union players
341 in the English Super League [28] and Premiership [29], respectively. Furthermore,
342 there were no significant differences in RMR across player positions (forwards vs.
343 backs; $0.07 \pm 0.87 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$) or testing points ($-0.13 \pm 1.03 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$).

344 Based on doubly labelled water-assessed energy expenditure data from our own
345 laboratory, senior women's international rugby union players have a mean in-season
346 physical activity level of 2.0 arbitrary units (AU) (range: 1.6 - 2.3 AU; Wilson et al.,
347 *unpublished observations*). When considering the mean RMR of $6.74 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$
348 measured in this study, the calculated total energy expenditure for a 73 kg women's
349 international rugby player is $\sim 13.48 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ (range: $10.78 - 15.50 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$; 73 kg is
350 the average body mass of players in this study). Following established sport nutrition
351 guidelines (protein: 1.6 - 2.0 gBM; fat: 20 - 35% of total energy expenditure) [30], this
352 would allow for a daily dietary carbohydrate intake of $6.33 - 8.84 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ to achieve
353 energy balance (equivalent to 378 - 528 g or 5.2 - 7.2 gBM of carbohydrate). Notably,
354 female rugby players will need to consistently consume in excess of these values to
355 achieve a positive energy balance associated with targeted increases in lean mass or

356 overall body mass [30]. These findings now provide a valuable evidence base to support
357 improved dietary intakes for female rugby players.

358 While iron profiles represented by blood measures did not show any statistical
359 differences between player positions or testing points, it is notable that the mean ferritin
360 levels remained consistently below the threshold for stage one iron deficiency (<35
361 ug/L) across all testing points [31]. Although not statistically significant, there was a
362 trend towards decreases in ferritin levels from testing point 1 to testing point 2,
363 particularly amongst backs. This trend was further emphasised by the classification of
364 eleven players as stage 2 iron-deficient non-anaemia (Ferritin <20 ug/L) at different
365 testing points. The observed changes in ferritin levels may be indicative of the
366 increasing intensity of the training program and of the modest increases in lean mass
367 observed during the pre-season period, a pattern consistent with the changes seen in
368 female combat trainees over a 16 week basic combat training program [32]. Ferritin,
369 unlike serum iron and transferrin saturation, tends to remain stable throughout the
370 menstrual cycle [33], suggesting its specific role in capturing specific changes due to
371 training load.

372 All players reported eumenorrhea at testing point 2, with a third (7 players)
373 using hormonal contraceptives. While low iron stores, as approximated from ferritin
374 levels, may compromise aerobic capacity or adaptations to training, the impact on lean
375 mass remains unclear [34,35]. These findings advocate for the inclusion of full iron
376 profiles, rather than relying solely on haemoglobin levels, in the performance program
377 for female rugby players. The evidence strongly supports iron supplementation once
378 ferritin levels drop below 20 ug/L [36], and dietary support to meet iron intakes
379 requirements should be considered for players during intense training periods such as
380 pre-season in this study.

381 Measures of force production, including isometric mid-thigh pull and prone row,
382 showed no significant differences between forwards and backs, while forwards
383 demonstrated greater bench press peak force. Peak force remained stable across the
384 three testing points. Research in elite male rugby league players highlighted that pre-
385 season improvements in lower body strength were linked to lifting heavier loads (>80%
386 1RM;) [37]. In contrast, this study's pre-season training emphasized muscular
387 hypertrophy with lighter loads (8-12 repetitions at 70-80% 1RM), possibly explaining
388 why isometric mid-thigh pull peak force did not increase alongside lean mass. Rugby
389 league's multifaceted demands necessitate simultaneous development of various
390 physical characteristics (e.g., strength, speed, and endurance), but concurrent training
391 across modalities can sometimes limit strength gains [38]. Here, isometric peak force
392 improvements were largely maintained rather than improved during the in-season
393 period, in line with prior findings [37]. Comparing these results with previous research,
394 the mean isometric mid-thigh pull peak force for Australian women's backs (+369 N)
395 and forwards (+515 N) surpassed the values found for backs and forwards in this study
396 [9]. Maximal strength is a pivotal determinant of successful rugby league performance,
397 correlating with improved technical skill, greater match-play distances covered,
398 enhanced sprint performance, and higher playing standards [37,39]. Hence, prioritising
399 maximal strength development during the pre-season period is crucial, as this phase
400 often yields more noticeable strength improvements compared to the in-season phase
401 [37].

402 Aerobic capacity analysis revealed no significant differences between backs and
403 forwards, but a large disparity in their $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ improvements (backs: +22.5%
404 forwards: +10%). It's important to note that a scarcity of research in female sports,
405 particularly those studying longitudinal changes, hinders a direct comparison to the

406 $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ values documented in this study. Minahan et al., [9] estimated the $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ of
407 the Australian RL squad using a prediction equation based on their 30:15 intermittent
408 fitness test score. The average $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ for English backs (+1.8 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and
409 forwards (+2.1 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) surpassed that of their Australian counterparts. Given the
410 demands of rugby league match-play, where players must manage repeated high-
411 intensity efforts and prolonged periods of play, a well-developed aerobic capacity is
412 essential [3,40]. This study shows that aerobic capacity can be developed during both
413 pre-season and in-season periods, however, it may come at the cost of restricting the
414 concurrent development of other critical anthropometric and physical qualities, such as
415 lean mass and muscular strength.

416

417 **Limitations and strengths**

418 Participants in this study were selected to be part of an international Rugby
419 League World Cup squad, limiting recruitment to 24 participants. While the participant
420 recruitment criteria ensured a high-quality sample of international standard athletes, it
421 also imposes constraints on the study's statistical power and the generalisability of
422 findings to the broader women's rugby league population. Moreover, it is important to
423 acknowledge the absence of dynamic strength-power measures (e.g., 1-repetition
424 maximum in the squat, vertical jumps) and sprint tests. Despite these limitations, which
425 are common in applied research [41], this study also has several strengths. For example,
426 this study utilised several criterion methods (i.e., indirect calorimetry and lab based
427 $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ testing), while also evaluating critical elements of female athlete health (e.g.,
428 energy requirements and micronutrient status). As such, practitioners now have an
429 enhanced evidence base to support athlete-centred interventions within practice.

430

431 **Conclusion**

432 During the pre-season period, both forwards and backs exhibited increases in
433 lean mass, while backs demonstrated significant improvements in $V\dot{O}_2\text{max}$ across all
434 testing points. However, no significant changes were observed in body mass, fat mass,
435 body fat percentage, energy requirements, blood markers, or strength measures. The
436 findings of this study contribute valuable position-specific normative data
437 encompassing body composition, energy requirements, blood profiles, strength, and
438 aerobic capacity for senior female rugby league players. These insights fill critical gaps
439 in the existing evidence base and provide practitioners with knowledge to inform
440 training strategies and better prepare women's rugby league players for the unique
441 demands of their sport.

442

443 **Practical applications**

444 The findings of this study offer practitioners normative values when assessing
445 the unique characteristics of women's rugby league players, enabling a more precise
446 and individualised approach to training and preparation. By analysing anthropometric,
447 physiological, and physical traits, practitioners can identify position-specific strengths
448 and weaknesses, providing insights for tailored training programs that align with the
449 demands of women's rugby league. Furthermore, the inclusion of iron profile
450 monitoring, especially during intensified training phases, can contribute to players'
451 overall health and performance. The proposed energy and macronutrient reference
452 values (energy: 0.14-21 MJ kg^{-1} ; carbohydrate: 5.2-7.2 g BM ; protein: 1.6 g BM ; fat 20-
453 35% of total energy expenditure), equips practitioners with the means to optimise
454 players' nutritional strategies, enhance body composition, and better prepare them for
455 training and competition.

456

457 **Acknowledgements**

458 The authors would like to thank the Rugby Football League and the England
459 Performance Unit for supporting this study.

460

461 **References**

- 462 1. Emmonds S, Weaving D, Dalton-Barron N, Rennie G, Hunwicks R, Tee J, et al.
463 Locomotor characteristics of the women's inaugural super league competition
464 and the rugby league world cup. *J Sports Sci.* 2020; 1–8.
465 doi:10.1080/02640414.2020.1790815
- 466 2. Quinn K, Newans T, Buxton S, Thomson T, Tyler R, Minahan C. Movement
467 patterns of players in the Australian Women's Rugby League team during
468 international competition. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2020;23: 315–319.
469 doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.10.009
- 470 3. Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K, Nicholson G, Rutherford Z, Till K. Physical
471 Qualities of International Female Rugby League Players by Playing Position. *J*
472 *strength Cond Res.* 2016;30: 1333–1340. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001225
- 473 4. Gabbett TJ, Ullah S, Finch CF. Identifying risk factors for contact injury in
474 professional rugby league players - Application of a frailty model for recurrent
475 injury. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2012;15: 496–504. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2012.03.017
- 476 5. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, Hulin BT. Influence of physical qualities
477 on post-match fatigue in rugby league players. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015;18: 209–
478 213. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2014.01.009
- 479 6. Woodhouse LN, Bennett M, Tallent J, Patterson SD, Waldron M. The
480 relationship between physical characteristics and match collision performance
481 among elite international female rugby union players. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2022;23:
482 1849-1858 doi:10.1080/17461391.2022.2144765
- 483 7. Scantlebury S, McCormack S, Sawczuk T, Emmonds S, Collins N, Beech J, et al.
484 The anthropometric and physical qualities of women's rugby league Super
485 League and international players; identifying differences in playing position and
486 level. *PLoS One.* 2022;17: 1–13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249803
- 487 8. Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K, Nicholson G, Rutherford Z, Till K. Physical

- 488 qualities of international female rugby league players by playing position. J
489 Strength Cond Res. 2016;30: 1333–1340.
- 490 9. Minahan C, Newans T, Quinn K, Parsonage J, Buxton S, Bellinger P. Strong,
491 fast, fit, lean, and safe: A positional comparison of physical and physiological
492 qualities within the 2020 Australian women’s Rugby league team. J Strength
493 Cond Res. 2021;35: S11–S19. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004106
- 494 10. Scantlebury S, Ramirez C, Cummins C, Stokes K, Tee J, Minahan C, et al. Injury
495 risk factors and barriers to their mitigation for women playing rugby league: A
496 Delphi study. J Sports Sci. 2022;40:1436-1449
- 497 11. Cummins C, Melinz J, King D, Sanctuary C, Murphy A. Call to action: a
498 collaborative framework to better support female rugby league players. British
499 journal of sports medicine. 2020. pp. 501–502. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-
500 101403
- 501 12. Heyward O, Emmonds S, Roe G, Scantlebury S, Stokes K, Jones B. Applied
502 sports science and sports medicine in women’s rugby: systematic scoping review
503 and Delphi study to establish future research priorities. BMJ Open Sport Exerc
504 Med. 2022;8: 1–18. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001287
- 505 13. Weakley J, Black G, McLaren S, Scantlebury S, Suchomel TJ, McMahon E, et
506 al. Testing and Profiling Athletes: Recommendations for Test Selection,
507 Implementation, and Maximizing Information. Strength Cond J. 2023;Publish
508 Ah: 1–21. doi:10.1519/ssc.0000000000000784
- 509 14. McKay AKA, Stellingwerff T, Smith ES, Martin DT, Mujika I, Goosey-Tolfrey
510 VL, et al. Defining Training and Performance Caliber: A Participant
511 Classification Framework. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2022;17: 317–331.
512 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2021-0451
- 513 15. Buchheit M. The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test: a new intermittent running field
514 test for intermittent sport players-Part 1. Approach du Handball. 2005;87: 27–34.
- 515 16. Fullmer S, Benson-Davies S, Earthman CP, Frankenfield DC, Gradwell E, Lee
516 PSP, et al. Evidence Analysis Library Review of Best Practices for Performing
517 Indirect Calorimetry in Healthy and Non-Critically Ill Individuals. J Acad Nutr
518 Diet. 2015;115: 1417-1446.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2015.04.003
- 519 17. Iraki J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, Slater G, Areta JL. Reliability of resting metabolic
520 rate between and within day measurements using the Vyntus CPX system and
521 comparison against predictive formulas. Nutr Health. 2023;29: 107–114.

- 522 doi:10.1177/02601060211057324
- 523 18. Costello N, Deighton K, Preston T, Matu J, Rowe J, Jones B. Are professional
524 young rugby league players eating enough? Energy intake, expenditure and
525 balance during a pre-season. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2019;19: 123–132.
526 doi:10.1080/17461391.2018.1527950
- 527 19. Fernandes JFT, Daniels M, Myler L, Twist C. Influence of playing standard on
528 upper- and lower-body strength, power, and velocity characteristics of elite rugby
529 league players. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol.* 2019;4. doi:10.3390/jfmk4020022
- 530 20. Comfort P, Dos’ Santos T, Beckham GK, Stone MH, Guppy SN, Haff GG.
531 Standardization and Methodological Considerations for the Isometric Midthigh
532 Pull. *Strength Cond J.* 2019;41: 57–79. doi:10.1519/SSC.0000000000000433
- 533 21. Haff G, Carlock J, Hartman M, Kilgore J, Kawamori N, Jackson J, et al. Force-
534 Time curve characteristics of dynamic and isometric muscle actions of elite
535 women olympic weightlifters. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19: 741–748.
- 536 22. Lum D, Aziz L. Validity and Reliability of the Isometric Prone Bench Pull Test.
537 *Int J Sports Med.* 2020;41: 520–527. doi:10.1055/a-1103-2173
- 538 23. Murphy A, Wilson G, Pryor J, Newton R. Isometric Assessment of Muscular
539 Function: The Effect of Joint Angle. *J Appl Biomech.* 1995;11: 205–215.
- 540 24. Lenth R. `_emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means_` (R
541 Package version 1.8.7) [Computer software]. 2023.
- 542 25. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
543 using lme4. *J Stat Softw.* 2015;67. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- 544 26. Lüdtke D, Ben-Shachar M, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D. performance: An
545 R Package for Assessment, Comparison and Testing of Statistical Models. *J*
546 *Open Source Softw.* 2021;6: 3139. doi:10.21105/joss.03139
- 547 27. O’Neill JERG, Walsh CS, McNulty SJ, Gantly HC, Corish ME, Crognale D, et
548 al. Resting Metabolic Rate in Female Rugby Players: Differences in Measured
549 Versus Predicted Values. *J strength Cond Res.* 2020; 36: 845-850.
550 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000003634
- 551 28. Morehen J, Bradley W, Clarke J, Twist C, Hambly C, Speakman J, et al. The
552 assessment of total energy expenditure during a 14-day ‘in-season’ period of
553 professional rugby league players using the Doubly Labelled Water method. *Int J*
554 *Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2016;26: 464–472.
- 555 29. Hudson JF, Phelan MM, Owens DJ, Morton JP, Close GL, Stewart CE. “Fuel for

- 556 the Damage Induced”: Untargeted Metabolomics in Elite Rugby Union Match
557 Play. *Metabolites*. 2021;11: 544. doi:10.3390/metabo11080544
- 558 30. Thomas DT, Burke LM, Erdman KA. Nutrition and Athletic Performance. *Med*
559 *Sci Sports Exerc*. 2016;48: 543–568. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000852
- 560 31. Sim M, Garvican-Lewis LA, Cox GR, Govus A, McKay AKA, Stellingwerff T,
561 et al. Iron considerations for the athlete: a narrative review. *Eur J Appl Physiol*.
562 2019;119: 1463–1478. doi:10.1007/s00421-019-04157-y
- 563 32. Martin NM, Conlon CA, Smeele RJM, Mugridge OAR, Von Hurst PR, McClung
564 JP, et al. Iron status and associations with physical performance during basic
565 combat training in female New Zealand Army recruits. *Br J Nutr*. 2019;121:
566 887–893. doi:10.1017/S0007114519000199
- 567 33. Badenhorst CE, Forsyth AK, Govus AD. A contemporary understanding of iron
568 metabolism in active premenopausal females. *Front Sport Act Living*. 2022;4:
569 903937. doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.903937
- 570 34. Shoemaker ME, Salmon OF, Smith CM, Duarte-Gardea MO, Cramer JT.
571 Influences of Vitamin D and Iron Status on Skeletal Muscle Health: A Narrative
572 Review. *Nutrients*. 2022;14: 1–34. doi:10.3390/nu14132717
- 573 35. Colenso-Semple LM, D’Souza AC, Elliott-Sale KJ, Phillips SM. Current
574 evidence shows no influence of women’s menstrual cycle phase on acute strength
575 performance or adaptations to resistance exercise training. *Front Sport Act*
576 *Living*. 2023;5: 1054542. doi:10.3389/fspor.2023.1054542
- 577 36. Rubeor A, Goojha C, Manning J, White J. Does Iron Supplementation Improve
578 Performance in Iron-Deficient Nonanemic Athletes? *Sports Health*. 2018;10:
579 400–405. doi:10.1177/1941738118777488
- 580 37. Redman KJ, Kelly VG, Beckman EM. Seasonal changes in strength and power in
581 elite rugby league: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Sport Sci Med*.
582 2021;20: 721–731. doi:10.52082/jssm.2021.721
- 583 38. Vechin FC, Conceição MS, Telles GD, Libardi CA, Ugrinowitsch C. Interference
584 Phenomenon with Concurrent Strength and High-Intensity Interval Training-
585 Based Aerobic Training: An Updated Model. *Sport Med*. 2021;51: 599–605.
586 doi:10.1007/s40279-020-01421-6
- 587 39. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG. Applied sport science of rugby league.
588 *Sport Med*. 2014;44: 1087–1100. doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0190-x
- 589 40. Emmonds S, Weaving D, Dalton-Barron N, Rennie G, Hunwicks R, Tee J, et al.

- 590 Locomotor characteristics of the women’s inaugural super league competition
591 and the rugby league world cup. J Sports Sci. 2020;38: 1–8.
592 doi:10.1080/02640414.2020.1790815
- 593 41. Zabaloy S, Gálvez González J, Giráldez J, Fink B, Alcaraz PE, Pereira L, et al.
594 Changes in body composition and physical performance measures during a
595 regular competitive season among young backs and forwards rugby players.
596 Sport Biomech. 2022;00: 1–18. doi:10.1080/14763141.2022.2144755
597

TESTING POINT 1

TESTING POINT 2

TESTING POINT 3

End of Season

Start of Season

End of Season

01



DXA

02



RMR

03



Bloods

04



Breakfast

05



Coaching

06



Psychology

07



Strength

08



V02 Max