Title: Longitudinal changes in anthropometric, physiological, and physical
 qualities of international women's rugby league players.

3	Running title: Anthropometric, physiological, and physical qualities of
4	international women's rugby league players
5	Authors: Sean Scantlebury ^{1,2} , Nessan Costello ¹ , Cameron Owen ^{1,2} , Sarah Chantler ^{1,2} ,
6	Carlos Ramirez ¹ , Santiago Zabaloy ³ , Neil Collins ^{1,2} Hayden Allen ¹ , Gemma Phillips ^{2,4} ,
7	Marina Alexander ¹ , Matthew Barlow ¹ , Emily Williams ¹ , Peter Mackreth ¹ , Stuart
8	Barrow ² , Anthony Clarke ¹ , Benjamin Samuels ¹ , Stephanie Roe ¹ , Cameron Blake ¹ , Ben
9	Jones ^{1,2,5,6,7}
10	Institutional Affiliations:
11	¹ Carnegie School of Sports, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, United Kingdom
12	² England Performance Unit, Rugby Football League, Manchester, United Kingdom
13	³ Faculty of Physical Activity and Sports, University of Flores, Buenos Aires, Argentina
14	⁴ Hull Kingston Rovers, Hull, United Kingdom7 ⁸ Head of Sports Science, Scotland
15	Rugby, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
16	⁵ Division of Physiological Sciences and Health through Physical Activity, Lifestyle
17	and Sport Research Centre, Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences,
18	University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
19	⁶ School of Behavioural and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Australian
20	Catholic University, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
21	⁷ Premiership Rugby, London, United Kingdom
22	
23	Corresponding Author: Sean Scantlebury

24 s.scantlebury@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

25 Abstract

26 This is the first study to assess longitudinal changes in anthropometric, physiological, 27 and physical qualities of international women's rugby league players. Thirteen forwards and 11 backs were tested three times over a 10-month period. Assessments included: 28 standing height and body mass, body composition measured by dual x-ray 29 30 absorptiometry (DXA), a blood panel, resting metabolic rate (RMR) assessed by 31 indirect calorimetry, aerobic capacity (i.e., $V \square O_2 max$) evaluated by an incremental 32 treadmill test, and isometric force production measured by a force plate. During the pre-33 season phase, lean mass increased significantly by $\sim 2\%$ for backs (testing point 1: 47 34 kg; testing point 2: 48 kg) and forwards (testing point 1: 50 kg; testing point 2: 51 kg) (p 35 $= \leq 0.05$). Backs significantly increased their V \square O₂max by 22% from testing point 1 (40 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) to testing point 3 (49 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) (p = ≤ 0.04). The V \square O₂max of 36 forwards increased by 10% from testing point 1 (41 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) to testing point 3 (45 37 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), however this change was not significant ($p = \ge 0.05$). Body mass (values 38 39 represent the range of means across the three testing points) (backs: 68 kg; forwards: 77-78 kg), fat mass percentage (backs: 25-26%; forwards: 30-31%), resting metabolic 40 rate (backs: 7 MJ·day⁻¹; forwards: 7 MJ·day⁻¹), isometric mid-thigh pull (backs: 2106-41 2180 N; forwards: 2155-2241 N), isometric bench press (backs: 799-822 N; forwards: 42 999-1024 N), isometric prone row (backs: 625-628 N; forwards: 667-678 N) and bloods 43 (backs: ferritin 21-29 ug/L, haemoglobin 137-140 g/L, iron 17-21 umol/L, transferrin 3 44 45 g/L, transferring saturation 23-28%; forwards: ferritin 31-33 ug/L, haemoglobin 141-46 145 g/L, iron 20-23 umol/L, transferrin 3 g/L, transferrin saturation 26-31%) did not change ($p = \ge 0.05$). This study provides novel longitudinal data which can be used to 47 better prepare women rugby league players for the unique demands of their sport, 48 underpinning female athlete health. 49

50 Introduction

51 Rugby league is a field-based team sport characterised by intermittent bouts of 52 high-intensity actions such as sprinting, changes of direction, tackling and collisions 53 interspersed by bouts of low-intensity activity [1-3]. These demands necessitate the development of specific physical attributes to optimise performance, support recovery, 54 55 and reduce injury risk [4–6]. International women's rugby league players have superior 56 speed, power, and high-intensity intermittent running capabilities compared to domestic players [7]. While previous studies have reported the anthropometric and physical 57 58 characteristics of international women's rugby league players [7–9] longitudinal 59 changes in anthropometric and physical qualities have not been reported.

Longitudinal changes in anthropometric and physical qualities are important, to evaluate how women's rugby league players develop within their unique context. For example, access to training facilities and expert guidance, as well as player's work commitments, can restrict training opportunities, thereby influencing their long-term physical development [10]. As such, there is a need to increase the evidence base in women's rugby league [11], aligning with the identified research priority of physical performance in women's rugby [12].

The longitudinal quantification of elite women's rugby league players anthropometric, physiological, and physical attributes are needed to establish normative standards for pre- and in-season periods. These standards can be used in athlete profiling, identifying strengths and weaknesses, performance monitoring, and talent identification strategies [13]. Therefore, the aim of this study is to use valid, and reliable testing measures to quantify changes in body composition, blood markers, energy requirements, strength, and aerobic capacity of women's international rugby league

74 players over three testing points in the lead up to the 2022 Rugby League World Cup

75 (RLWC).

76

77 Methods

78 Experimental approach to the problem

This study utilised a cohort study design to establish the anthropometric, physiological, and physical characteristics of international women's rugby league players leading up to the 2022 RLWC. To achieve this, participants completed testing at three testing points; 1) December 2021: The beginning of the 2022 Women's Super League (WSL) pre-season, 2) March 2022: the end of the 2022 WSL pre-season, and 3) October 2022: following the completion of the 2022 WSL season and prior to the RLWC.

Data collection included anthropometric measurements (height and body mass), body composition, resting metabolic rate (RMR), blood profiling, alongside assessments of endurance capacity (maximum oxygen consumption $[V\square O_2max]$) and isometric strength.

90 Body composition and RMR were measured by dual-energy x-ray 91 absorptiometry (DXA) and indirect calorimetry, respectively. Aerobic capacity was 92 assessed through an incremental treadmill protocol, while isometric force production 93 involved measurements of the isometric mid-thigh pull, isometric bench press, and 94 isometric prone row via force plates.

A visual representation of a typical testing day is shown in Figure 1. Participants
fasted until they completed the RMR assessment, DXA scan, and blood tests.
Subsequently, participants were provided with a standardised breakfast consisting of
overnight oats with chia seeds, stewed apple, and granola. This breakfast provided

99	approximately 524 kilocalories per portion, with a macronutrient composition of 55 g
100	carbohydrate, 10 g of protein, and 26 g of fat. Participants consumed fluids ab libitum.
101	Following breakfast, participants engaged in individual coaching and psychology
102	workshops.

103

Figure 1. A typical testing day schedule. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).
Resting metabolic rate (RMR).

106

107 **Participants**

108 The sample size for this study was determined by the maximum squad size permitted for the 2022 RLWC. Consequently, a total of 24 women's rugby league 109 players were purposefully recruited. Recruitment began on the 7th of December 2021 110 and ended on the 27th of October 2022. Participants provided written informed consent. 111 Participants were categorised as Tier 4 "elite/international level" [14]. To be eligible for 112 113 participation at each testing point, participants were required to meet the following criteria: 1) international squad registration, 2) >18 years, and 3) absence of injury or 114 illness on the day of testing. Therefore, the final sample comprised of 24 international 115 women's rugby league players, with 13 classified as forwards (age: 26.2 ± 4.6 years; 116 height: 167.4 ± 4.1 cm), and 11 classified as backs (age: 26.3 ± 5.8 years; height: 169.8 117 \pm 4.6 cm). Not all participants completed all tests at each testing point (Table 1). 118

Participants competed in the highest standard of the domestic women's rugby league competition (i.e., WSL), where they regularly engaged in two to three training sessions and one match per week during the season, in addition to international training. Throughout the season, participants followed physical training programmes prescribed by the international strength and conditioning coach at their respective domestic clubs.

Further details regarding their annual training plan are provided in Table 2, and representative pre- and in-season weekly training microcycles are outlined in Tables 3 and 4. Weekly training schedules varied among participants due to their club training, competition, and work schedules. The research ethics committee of Leeds Beckett University gave ethical approval for this work (reference number: 91130).

Test	Testing point 1	Testing point 2	Testing point 3
1051	(n)	(n)	(n)
Height	17	21	24
Body Mass	17	21	24
Blood work	17	21	24
Dual-energy x-ray	17	21	24
absorptiometry	1,	-1	21
Resting metabolic rate	17	21	24
Isometric mid-thigh pull	17	19	24
Isometric bench press	-	19	24
Isometric prone row	-	19	24
$V\Box O_2max$	13	15	21

129

130 **Table 1.** The number of participants who completed each test at each testing point.

131

Table 2. Summary of the macrocycle for an international women's rugby league squad

Stage of the Season	Training Phase	Duration	Main Objectives
Off-Season	General preparation and conditioning	October 2021 – January 2022 Frequency: 2 to 3 sessions a week.	Maintain physical qualities (e.g., speed, endurance, and strength) and recover from rugby specific training and competition.
Pre-Season	Specific preparation and pre-competitive	January 2022 – March 2022 Frequency: 4 to 5 sessions a week.	Develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, and strength). Strength training sessions focused on muscular hypertrophy. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Endurance capacity was developed using small sided games. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individuals' end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test [15].
In-Season	Competitive	March 2022 – September 2022 Frequency: 3 to 4 sessions a week. 1 weekly competition (friendly and/or official)	Continue to develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, strength, and power). Strength training sessions included max strength, strength-speed, and plyometric exercises. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individual's end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test.
Pre-World Cup	Specific preparation and pre-competitive	September 2022 – October 2022 Frequency: 3 to 4 sessions a week.	Continue to develop physical qualities (i.e., speed, endurance, strength, and power). Strength training sessions included max strength, strength-speed, and plyometric exercises. Speed development was incorporated into pre-training session warmups. Conditioning sessions were prescribed via the individual's end velocity following the 30:15 intermittent fitness test.

136 **Table 3.** Typical pre-season weekly training schedule of an international women's rugby league squad

TEC/TAC (60'-120') Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.

> Speed block (10-20') Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m to 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)

Conditioning (30')
(80% VIFT)Rest3 mins work, 2 mins
rest
1 set x 6 reps

Conditioning (20') s (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps

Strength Block (60'): Hypertrophy Lower body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: BS, FS, TBD, RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL, HT, CR, PR, plank, BR. (70%–80% 1RM) TEC/TAC (60'-120') Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.

> Speed block (10-20') Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)

Conditioning (20') (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps

Rest

Strength Block (60') Hypertrophy Upper body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: BP, SA DB row, SA DB press; Pull-ups, SA DB SP, BC, TE, PR, Dead bugs. (70%–80% 1RM) **TEC/TAC** (60'-120') Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac.

> Speed block (10-20') Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps)

Conditioning (20') (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps

Rest

Strength Block (60') Hypertrophy Full body
Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle:
BS, FS, RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL,
HT, CR BP, SA DB row, SA DB
press, SA DB SP, MP, Pull ups,
Plank, Dead bugs.
(70%–80% 1RM) Abbreviations: TEC/TAC, technical and tactical training; VIFT, 30:15 intermittent fitness test end speed; 1RM, 1-repetition maximum; SA, single arm; DB, dumbbell; BS, back squat; FS, front squat; TBD, trap bar

138 139 140 deadlift; RDL, Romanian deadlift; BSS, Bulgarian split squat; LL, lateral lunge; HT, hip thrust; CR, calf raise; BP, bench press; BO row, bent-over row; SP, shoulder press; MP, military press; BC, bicep curl; TE, tricep extension; PR, Paloff rotation; BR, barbell rollout.

141	Table 4.	Typical in-	season weekly	training so	chedule of a	n international	l women's rugb	v league squad
								J rougere selance

 Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday Tuesday TEC/TAC (60'-120') Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac. Speed block (10-20') Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10m to 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps) Conditioning (20') (90%-100% VIFT) 15s - 30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps Strength Block (60'): S/PT Lower body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: JS, DJ, BJ, Bounding, BS, FS, TBD,	Wednesday Strength Block (60'): S/PT Upper body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: HC, HP, PPU, SA DB row, SA DB press; Pull-ups, SA DB SP, BC, TE, PR, Dead bugs. (70%–90% 1RM)	TEC/TAC (60'-120') Speed and conditioning included in tec/tac. Speed block (10-20') Sprint mechanics Acceleration 10-m – 20m (2 reps) Max velocity 40m (1-3 reps) Conditioning (20') (90%-100% VIFT) 15s -30s work, 15s – 30s rest 2-3 sets x 8-10 reps	Friday Strength Block (60'): S/PT Full body Traditional exercises included (but not limited to) during the mesocycle: HP, Jump squat, BJ, bounding, MBS, deadlift, TBD, BP, BO row, SP, pull-ups, Nordics, Isometric hamstring hold, PR. (70%–90% 1RM)	Saturday
		RDL, Nordics, BSS, LL, HT, CR, PR, plank, BR. (70%–90% 1RM)				

Abbreviations: TEC/TAC, technical and tactical training; VIFT, 30:15 intermittent fitness test end speed; 1RM, 1-repetition maximum; S/PT, strength/power training; SA, single arm; DB, dumbbell; HC, hang clean;
 HP, high pulls; JS, jump shrug; DJ, drop jump; BJ, box jump; MBS, med ball slam; PPU, plyometric push-up; BS, back squat; FS, front squat; TBD, trap bar deadlift; RDL, Romanian deadlift; BSS, Bulgarian split

144 squat; LL, lateral lunge; HT, hip thrust; CR, calf raise; BP, bench press; BO row, bent-over row; SP, shoulder press; LP, landmine press; BC, bicep curl; TE, tricep extension; PR, Paloff rotation; BR, barbell rollout.

145 **Procedures**

146 Anthropometrics and body composition

On the morning of testing, measurements of fasted body mass (SECA, model-148 875, Hamburg, Germany) and stature (SECA, model-213, Hamburg, Germany) were 149 taken to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, following the guidelines of the International 150 Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry.

Participants then completed a DXA scan (Lunar iDXA, GE Medical Systems, United Kingdom) following established best practice protocols [3]. The scans were conducted with participants in a euhydrated state (osmolality: $<700 \text{ mOsmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$), wearing minimal clothing, and with shoes and jewellery removed. To minimise potential confounding factors, participants refrained from intensive exercise, consuming alcohol, or consuming caffeine for ≥ 8 hours prior the scan.

The GE Lunar iDXA system (GE Healthcare, Pollards Wood, Buckinghamshire; 157 Encore software version 18) employed the OneScan functionality for performing lumbar 158 spine (L1-L4) and dual hip (total hip and neck of femur) scans without repositioning the 159 participants. Correct positioning was ensured by utilising the GE dual femur positioning 160 device, which required participants to rotate both legs internally between 15 and 25 161 degrees. Total body positioning involved centring participants on the table, with their 162 hands at their sides, and Velcro straps used to secure their legs at the ankles. Regular 163 quality assurance was maintained through calibration block and spine phantom 164 assessments, which showed no observed drifts. 165

In-vivo precision (coefficient of variation, CV) for the DXA measurements conducted by the Leeds Beckett DXA unit were as follows: 0.82% for lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD), 0.98% for total hip BMD and 1.34% for femoral neck

169 BMD. The CV for total body composition measurements were 0.99% for fat, 0.98% for

170 fat mass, and 0.42% for lean.

171

172 *Resting metabolic rate*

173 Resting metabolic rate was measured using open-circuit indirect calorimetry 174 (Cortex 3B-R3 MetaLyzer, CORTEX Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) and a 175 transparent ventilated hood system (Cortex Canopy System, CORTEX Biophysik 176 GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). All measurements were conducted in accordance with 177 established best practice protocols, including an overnight fast and abstention from 178 alcohol, nicotine, and caffeine for a minimum of 8 hours [16].

Data were collected over 20 minutes, with the second 10 minutes used to calculate RMR [17], as previously described [18]. The average CV for V \square O2 (oxygen consumption), V \square CO2 (carbon dioxide production), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) across the three testing points was 10.6 ± 3.7%, 11.6 ± 4.9%, and 6.4 ± 2.7%, respectively.

184

185 Blood measures

Venous blood samples were collected using standardised venepuncture techniques, performed by a qualified medical practitioner. Blood samples were drawn into appropriate tubes (BD Vacutainer ®, Plymouth) to conduct a full blood count and assess the iron profile of participants. For the iron profile analysis, blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 revolutions per minute for a duration of 10 minutes at a temperature of 4 degrees Celsius. Subsequently, the resulting serum was pipetted into microtubes

and then stored at -20 degrees Celsius to preserve it for future analysis. All blood samples were analysed together at an external laboratory (Northumbria, UK). The assessment of serum iron, ferritin and transferrin levels was carried out using standardised procedures and manufactures instructions (AU Beckman Coulter analyser).

196

197 Isometric Force

Prior to commencing the strength testing, which included the isometric midthigh pull, isometric bench-press, and isometric prone row, participants completed a standardised warm-up consisting of dynamic stretches and simple bodyweight movements such as squats, lunges, push-ups. The warm-up was overseen by a qualified strength and conditioning coach and lasted 5 minutes.

203 The isometric mid-thigh pull, was selected as a measure of global full body strength [19], and executed in a specialised adjustable rack equipped with a force plate 204 205 (Kistler [family type 9260AA]). Procedures of the isometric mid-thigh pull followed previously published guidelines [20]. The height of the bar was adjusted to align with 206 each participant's mid-thigh level, resulting in a knee angle of 125°-145° and hip flexion 207 208 angle of 140°-150°. Participants completed this test twice, with a 3 minute rest between 209 efforts [21]. To elicit maximal effort, participants were instructed to exert maximum 210 force as quickly as possible after a 3 second countdown [21]. The highest peak vertical 211 force recorded from the two attempts was used and expressed in Newtons (N).

The isometric bench press and isometric prone row were performed using specialised adjustable racks connected to a force plate (Kistler [family type 9253B]) following previously published guidelines [22,23]. In the isometric bench press, participants were positioned with their back flat on the bench fitted within the rack,

216 ensuring that the bar was level with their chest. For the isometric prone row, 217 participants lay with their chest flat on the bench within the rack, with the bar 218 positioned at chest level. In both tests, participants were required to maintain a 90° - 120° elbow angle, and the bar position was adjusted accordingly. During both the 219 isometric bench press and isometric prone row, participants were instructed to exert 220 221 maximum force as rapidly as possible. Two attempts were recorded for each test, with a 222 3-minute rest period between trials. Verbal encouragement was provided during each 223 attempt. The highest peak vertical force recorded from the two attempts was used and 224 expressed in Newtons (N). Notably, the isometric bench press and isometric prone row 225 were not completed during testing point 1 due to equipment failure.

226

227 Aerobic capacity

Maximal oxygen uptake was determined through a running-based incremental ramp exercise test conducted on a slat-belt treadmill (Woodway ELG, Woodway, Birmingham, UK). The test protocol began with 3 minutes of walking at 3 km/h, followed by an initial running speed of 7 km/h. The running speed increased by 1 km/h every minute until the participants reached volitional exhaustion. The treadmill was set to a 1% incline throughout the test.

Pulmonary gaseous exchange was assessed using online, breath-by-breath gas measurement (Metalyzer 3B; Cortex Medical, Germany). Prior to the test, a two-point calibration of the gas analyser was performed in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. The calibration of the O_2 and CO_2 analysers was executed using ambient air and a known calibration gas (15.00 % O_2 , 5.00 % CO_2) (Cortex Medical, Germany). A

239 3 L calibration syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas, USA) was employed for the calibration
240 of the volume transducer.

To measure heart rate responses during exercise, a Bluetooth heart rate strap (Polar H10; Polar, Finland) was utilised. The highest 30 second average $V \square O_2$ value attained during the incremental ramp test was selected as the criterion for determining the participant's $V \square O_{2max}$.

245

246 Statistical analysis

247 All statistical analyses were conducted using RStudio (V 4.2.0, RStudio, Boston, MA, 248 USA). Estimated means (*emmeans*) [24] were determined for each testing point using 249 mixed models (*lme4*) [25]. The characteristic of interest served as the dependent 250 variable, while testing point was included as a fixed effect, with player considered as a random effect. When examining differences between player positions (forwards and 251 252 backs), position was added as a fixed effect, and an interaction term was incorporated 253 into the model. Model assumptions were assessed using the *performance* package [26]. 254 An outlier exceeding 1200 N was removed from the prone row data prior to modelling.

Ferritin and transferrin saturation were identified as log normal in distribution; therefore, outcomes were log transformed before analysis, and the results were backtransformed for reporting. Body fat percentage was modelled using a beta distribution, considering its values range between 0 and 1. Post-hoc comparisons were made between player positions and testing timepoints, with a significance level set as alpha = 0.05. Means and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were reported, along with effect sizes and rate ratios where appropriate.

262 **Results**

263 Anthropometrics and body composition

264	Table 5 displays the mean (95% confidence intervals) for body mass, lean mass,
265	fat mass, and body fat % for backs and forwards during the three testing points. Backs
266	had a significantly lower body mass and body fat % than forwards across all three
267	testing points (p = \leq 0.04). Backs and forwards had a significant increase in lean mass
268	from testing point 1 to testing point 2 (backs: $\Delta 1.1$ kg; forwards: $\Delta 0.9$ kg; $p \le 0.02$).
269	There were no other significant changes in body composition across testing points.
270	
271	Energy requirements
272	The RMR (range of means across the three testing points) for backs $(6.53 - 7.07)$
273	$MJ'day^{-1}$) and forwards (6.55 - 7.04 $MJ'day^{-1}$) did not significantly differ at testing
274	points 1 (p = 0.87), 2 (p = 0.25), or 3 (p = 0.95). There was no significant difference in
275	RMR within forwards or backs across testing points (backs: $p \ge 0.22$, forwards: $p \ge$

276 0.11; Table 5).

Table 5. The mean (95% CI) for body composition, energy requirements, blood measures, endurance capacity, and isometric strength of backs and forwards across the three testing points.

			Backs			Forward	
		Testing point 1	Testing point 2	Testing point 3	Testing point 1	Testing point 2	Testing point 3
	Dody Mass (kg)	68	68	68	77	78	77
	Douy Mass (kg)	(62 - 73)	(63 - 74)	(63 - 74)	(71 - 82)	(72 - 83)	(72 -82)
	Lean Mass (g)	47170^{*}	48314	47916	50411*	51333	50883
Body	Lean Mass (g)	(43985 - 50354)	(45149 - 51478)	(44761 - 51071)	(4703 - 53320)	(48428 - 54238)	(47981 - 53784)
composition	Fat Mass (a)	17405^	17053^	17127^	22945	23077	22866
	Fat Mass (g)	(13880 - 20930)	(13593 - 20513)	(13698 - 20555)	(19770 - 26119)	(19912.2-26241.5)	(19712 - 26019)
	Pody Eat (%)	26^	25^	26^	31	31	30
	Douy Fat (%)	(24 - 20)	(23 – 28)	(23 – 29)	(28 – 34)	(28 – 33)	(28 – 33)
Energy	RMR	7.07	6.61	6.53	7.01	7.04	6.55
Requirements	(MJ·day ⁻¹)	(6.44 - 7.70)	(6.05 - 7.17)	(6.04 - 7.02)	(6.52 - 7.49)	(6.57 - 7.51)	(6.10 - 7.00)
	Ferritin (ug/L)	29	21	25	32	33	31
		(19 - 45)	(14 - 32)	(17 - 37)	(22 - 47)	(22.6-46.9)	(21.6-44.8)
	HB (g/L)	140	139	137	143	141	145
		(135-146)	(134-144)	(133 - 141)	(139 - 147)	(137-145)	(138-145)
Pland work	Iron (umol/L)	21	18	17	23	22	20
DIOOU WOLK		(15 - 27)	(13 - 24)	(12 - 21)	(19 - 27)	(17 - 26)	(15 - 24)
	$TPF(\alpha/I)$	3	3	3	3	3	3
	$I K \Gamma (g/L)$	(2 - 3)	(2 - 3)	(2 - 3)	(2-3)	(2 - 3)	(2 - 3)
	TPS Sat (%)	28	23	24	31	28	26
	1 K5 Sat (70)	(20 - 39)	(17 - 31)	(19 - 31)	(24 - 39)	(22-36)	(20 - 33)
Aerobic	$V \square O_{2max}$	$40^{*\#}$	45	49	41	42	45
Capacity	$(ml \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$	(36 - 44)	(42 - 49)	(46 - 52)	(38 - 45)	(39 - 45)	(42 - 48)
	Isometric Mid-	2175	2180	2106	2155	2212	2241
	Thigh Pull (n)	(2029 - 2322)	(2037 - 2323)	(1976 - 2237)	(2032 - 2278)	(2091 - 2335)	(2121 - 2361)
Force	Isometric Bench		822^	799^	. ,	1024	999
Production	Press (n)	-	(668 - 978)	(655 - 925)	-	(987 - 1151)	(874 - 1123)

(553 - 697)

Significance: p=≤0.05, *significantly different to testing point 2, *significantly different to testing point 3, *significantly different to forwards.

Isometric Prone

Row (n)

Kg - Kilograms, g - grams, % - percentage, n - newtons, N, ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ - Millilitres per kilogram per minute, HB - haemoglobin, TRF - transferrin, TRS Sat - transferrin saturation

(553 - 702)

(601 - 733)

(611 - 745)

282 Blood markers

283	Mean ferritin (backs: 21 – 28ug/L; forwards: 31 – 33ug/L), haemoglobin (backs:
284	137 - 140g/L; forwards: 141 - 145g/L), iron (backs: 17 - 21umol/L; forwards: 20 -
285	23umol/L), transferrin (backs: 3g/L; forwards: 3g/L), and transferrin saturation (backs:
286	23 - 28%; forwards: $26 - 31%$), did not significantly differ between forwards and backs
287	$(p = \ge 0.11)$ and did not significantly change across testing points $(p \ge 0.07)$ (Table 5).

288

289 *Aerobic capacity*

The mean $V \square O_{2max}$ for backs displayed a significant increase, rising from 40ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 1 to 45ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 2 and further to 49ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 3 (p \leq 0.04). The mean $V \square O_{2max}$ for forwards increased from 41ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 1 to 42ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 2 and to 45ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ at testing point 3 however these changes were not significant (p \geq 0.05). $V \square O_{2max}$ did not differ between forwards and backs (p \geq 0.07) (Table 5).

296

297 Isometric Force Production

The mean isometric mid-thigh pull scores showed no significant differences between backs (2106 – 2180 N) and forwards (2155 – 2241 N) ($p \ge 0.13$). Forwards exhibited greater isometric bench press scores at testing points 2 (forwards: 1024 N; backs: 822 N) and 3 (forwards: 999 N; backs: 799 N) ($p \le 0.05$). There were no significant differences in isometric prone row scores between backs and forwards (backs: 625 – 628 N; forwards 667 – 678 N) ($p \ge 0.31$). Strength scores did not significantly change across testing points ($p \ge 0.09$) (Table 5).

- 305
- 306

307 Discussion

308 This is the first study to provide longitudinal changes specific to player positions 309 for a range of anthropometric, physiological, and physical characteristics in 310 international women's rugby league players. Notably, increases in lean mass were 311 observed during the pre-season (from testing point 1 to testing point 2), and backs 312 demonstrated improvements in $V \square O_{2max}$ across the three testing points. Conversely, no 313 significant changes were observed in fat mass, RMR, blood markers, or strength over 314 time. Importantly, the call to better support female rugby league players through 315 research [11] is addressed in this study. The novel longitudinal data presented 316 supplements the existing evidence base and provides important information which may 317 be used to profile and better support international women's rugby league players in 318 practice.

319 Consistent with previous literature in women's rugby league players, this study 320 confirms that forwards tend to have greater body mass and body fat percentages 321 compared to backs, with no significant differences in lean mass [7–9]. Interestingly, both backs and forwards exhibited an increase in lean mass from testing point 1 to 322 323 testing point 2, aligning with the training programme's emphasis on muscular hypertrophy during this phase. However, there were no significant changes observed in 324 325 body mass or fat mass across the three testing points. It is worth noting that, when 326 compared to data from 2014 [8], the average lean mass of English international backs 327 increased by 3.8 kg, and forwards by 1.6 kg. In contrast, Australian backs (53.3 kg) and 328 forwards (60.1 kg) have greater fat-free mass and a lower fat mass percentage (backs: 329 20.3 %, forwards: 23.9 %) than their English counterparts. We acknowledge that 330 differences in positional classifications, such as the categorisation of hookers, locks, and 331 half-backs, may have influenced these comparisons. However, given the recognised

positive association between body mass, sprint momentum and collision dominance in
female rugby [6] it is imperative to focus on developing the lean mass of international
women's rugby league players to improve their performance.

This study provides a criterion assessed RMR data for women's senior 335 international rugby players for the first time. Measured RMR closely align with the 336 mean values reported for a combined sample of female elite (n=18) and sub-elite (n=18)337 rugby players in Ireland [27], differing by only 0.16 MJ day⁻¹. However, it is noteworthy 338 that the RMR values observed in this study are ~ 1.06 MJ day⁻¹ and ~ 3.24 MJ day⁻¹ lower 339 340 than those reported for male senior professional rugby league and rugby union players 341 in the English Super League [28] and Premiership [29], respectively. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in RMR across player positions (forwards vs. 342 backs; $0.07 \pm 0.87 \text{ MJ} \text{ day}^{-1}$) or testing points (-0.13 ± 1.03 MJ day^{-1}). 343

Based on doubly labelled water-assessed energy expenditure data from our own 344 345 laboratory, senior women's international rugby union players have a mean in-season physical activity level of 2.0 arbitrary units (AU) (range: 1.6 - 2.3 AU; Wilson et al., 346 unpublished observations). When considering the mean RMR of 6.74 MJ day⁻¹ 347 348 measured in this study, the calculated total energy expenditure for a 73 kg women's international rugby player is ~13.48 MJ day⁻¹ (range: 10.78 - 15.50 MJ day⁻¹; 73 kg is 349 the average body mass of players in this study). Following established sport nutrition 350 351 guidelines (protein: 1.6 - 2.0 g BM; fat: 20 - 35% of total energy expenditure) [30], this would allow for a daily dietary carbohydrate intake of 6.33 - 8.84 MJ day⁻¹ to achieve 352 353 energy balance (equivalent to 378 - 528 g or 5.2 - 7.2 g BM of carbohydrate). Notably, female rugby players will need to consistently consume in excess of these values to 354 355 achieve a positive energy balance associated with targeted increases in lean mass or

overall body mass [30]. These findings now provide a valuable evidence base to support

357 improved dietary intakes for female rugby players.

358 While iron profiles represented by blood measures did not show any statistical differences between player positions or testing points, it is notable that the mean ferritin 359 levels remained consistently below the threshold for stage one iron deficiency (<35 360 361 ug/L) across all testing points [31]. Although not statistically significant, there was a 362 trend towards decreases in ferritin levels from testing point 1 to testing point 2, particularly amongst backs. This trend was further emphasised by the classification of 363 364 eleven players as stage 2 iron-deficient non-anaemia (Ferritin <20 ug/L) at different 365 testing points. The observed changes in ferritin levels may be indicative of the 366 increasing intensity of the training program and of the modest increases in lean mass observed during the pre-season period, a pattern consistent with the changes seen in 367 368 female combat trainees over a 16 week basic combat training program [32]. Ferritin, 369 unlike serum iron and transferrin saturation, tends to remain stable throughout the 370 menstrual cycle [33], suggesting its specific role in capturing specific changes due to 371 training load.

372 All players reported eumenorrhea at testing point 2, with a third (7 players) 373 using hormonal contraceptives. While low iron stores, as approximated from ferritin levels, may compromise aerobic capacity or adaptations to training, the impact on lean 374 375 mass remains unclear [34,35]. These findings advocate for the inclusion of full iron 376 profiles, rather than relying solely on haemoglobin levels, in the performance program 377 for female rugby players. The evidence strongly supports iron supplementation once 378 ferritin levels drop below 20 ug/L [36], and dietary support to meet iron intakes requirements should be considered for players during intense training periods such as 379 380 pre-season in this study.

381 Measures of force production, including isometric mid-thigh pull and prone row, 382 showed no significant differences between forwards and backs, while forwards 383 demonstrated greater bench press peak force. Peak force remained stable across the 384 three testing points. Research in elite male rugby league players highlighted that preseason improvements in lower body strength were linked to lifting heavier loads (>80% 385 1RM;) [37]. In contrast, this study's pre-season training emphasized muscular 386 387 hypertrophy with lighter loads (8-12 repetitions at 70-80% 1RM), possibly explaining why isometric mid-thigh pull peak force did not increase alongside lean mass. Rugby 388 389 league's multifaceted demands necessitate simultaneous development of various 390 physical characteristics (e.g., strength, speed, and endurance), but concurrent training 391 across modalities can sometimes limit strength gains [38]. Here, isometric peak force improvements were largely maintained rather than improved during the in-season 392 393 period, in line with prior findings [37]. Comparing these results with previous research, 394 the mean isometric mid-thigh pull peak force for Australian women's backs (+369 N) 395 and forwards (+515 N) surpassed the values found for backs and forwards in this study [9]. Maximal strength is a pivotal determinant of successful rugby league performance, 396 397 correlating with improved technical skill, greater match-play distances covered, enhanced sprint performance, and higher playing standards [37,39]. Hence, prioritising 398 399 maximal strength development during the pre-season period is crucial, as this phase often yields more noticeable strength improvements compared to the in-season phase 400 401 [37].

402 Aerobic capacity analysis revealed no significant differences between backs and 403 forwards, but a large disparity in their $V \square O_{2max}$ improvements (backs: +22.5% 404 forwards: +10%). It's important to note that a scarcity of research in female sports, 405 particularly those studying longitudinal changes, hinders a direct comparison to the

 $V \square O_{2max}$ values documented in this study. Minahan et al., [9] estimated the $V \square O_{2max}$ of 406 the Australian RL squad using a prediction equation based on their 30:15 intermittent 407 fitness test score. The average $V \square O_{2max}$ for English backs (+1.8 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and 408 forwards (+2.1 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) surpassed that of their Australian counterparts. Given the 409 410 demands of rugby league match-play, where players must manage repeated highintensity efforts and prolonged periods of play, a well-developed aerobic capacity is 411 412 essential [3,40]. This study shows that aerobic capacity can be developed during both pre-season and in-season periods, however, it may come at the cost of restricting the 413 414 concurrent development of other critical anthropometric and physical qualities, such as 415 lean mass and muscular strength.

416

417 Limitations and strengths

Participants in this study were selected to be part of an international Rugby 418 419 League World Cup squad, limiting recruitment to 24 participants. While the participant 420 recruitment criteria ensured a high-quality sample of international standard athletes, it also imposes constraints on the study's statistical power and the generalisability of 421 422 findings to the broader women's rugby league population. Moreover, it is important to acknowledge the absence of dynamic strength-power measures (e.g., 1-repetition 423 424 maximum in the squat, vertical jumps) and sprint tests. Despite these limitations, which are common in applied research [41], this study also has several strengths. For example, 425 426 this study utilised several criterion methods (i.e., indirect calorimetry and lab based 427 $V \square O_{2max}$ testing), while also evaluating critical elements of female athlete health (e.g., energy requirements and micronutrient status). As such, practitioners now have an 428 429 enhanced evidence base to support athlete-centred interventions within practice.

431 Conclusion

432 During the pre-season period, both forwards and backs exhibited increases in 433 lean mass, while backs demonstrated significant improvements in $V \square O_2$ max across all 434 testing points. However, no significant changes were observed in body mass, fat mass, body fat percentage, energy requirements, blood markers, or strength measures. The 435 findings of this study contribute valuable position-specific normative data 436 encompassing body composition, energy requirements, blood profiles, strength, and 437 aerobic capacity for senior female rugby league players. These insights fill critical gaps 438 439 in the existing evidence base and provide practitioners with knowledge to inform 440 training strategies and better prepare women's rugby league players for the unique 441 demands of their sport.

442

443 **Practical applications**

The findings of this study offer practitioners normative values when assessing 444 the unique characteristics of women's rugby league players, enabling a more precise 445 and individualised approach to training and preparation. By analysing anthropometric, 446 447 physiological, and physical traits, practitioners can identify position-specific strengths and weaknesses, providing insights for tailored training programs that align with the 448 449 demands of women's rugby league. Furthermore, the inclusion of iron profile 450 monitoring, especially during intensified training phases, can contribute to players' 451 overall health and performance. The proposed energy and macronutrient reference values (energy: 0.14-21 MJ kg⁻¹; carbohydrate: 5.2-7.2 gBM; protein: 1.6 gBM; fat 20-452 35% of total energy expenditure), equips practitioners with the means to optimise 453 players' nutritional strategies, enhance body composition, and better prepare them for 454 training and competition. 455

456

457 Acknowledgements

- 458 The authors would like to thank the Rugby Football League and the England
- 459 Performance Unit for supporting this study.
- 460

461 **References**

462	1.	Emmonds S, Weaving D, Dalton-Barron N, Rennie G, Hunwicks R, Tee J, et al.
463		Locomotor characteristics of the women's inaugural super league competition
464		and the rugby league world cup. J Sports Sci. 2020; 1-8.
465		doi:10.1080/02640414.2020.1790815
466	2.	Quinn K, Newans T, Buxton S, Thomson T, Tyler R, Minahan C. Movement
467		patterns of players in the Australian Women's Rugby League team during
468		international competition. J Sci Med Sport. 2020;23: 315-319.
469		doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.10.009
470	3.	Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K, Nicholson G, Rutherford Z, Till K. Physical
471		Qualities of International Female Rugby League Players by Playing Position. J
472		strength Cond Res. 2016;30: 1333–1340. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000001225
473	4.	Gabbett TJ, Ullah S, Finch CF. Identifying risk factors for contact injury in
474		professional rugby league players - Application of a frailty model for recurrent
475		injury. J Sci Med Sport. 2012;15: 496–504. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2012.03.017
476	5.	Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, Hulin BT. Influence of physical qualities
477		on post-match fatigue in rugby league players. J Sci Med Sport. 2015;18: 209–
478		213. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2014.01.009
479	6.	Woodhouse LN, Bennett M, Tallent J, Patterson SD, Waldron M. The
480		relationship between physical characteristics and match collision performance
481		among elite international female rugby union players. Eur J Sport Sci. 2022;23:
482		1849-1858 doi:10.1080/17461391.2022.2144765
483	7.	Scantlebury S, McCormack S, Sawczuk T, Emmonds S, Collins N, Beech J, et al.
484		The anthropometric and physical qualities of women's rugby league Super
485		League and international players; identifying differences in playing position and
486		level. PLoS One. 2022;17: 1–13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249803

487 8. Jones B, Emmonds S, Hind K, Nicholson G, Rutherford Z, Till K. Physical

488		qualities of international female rugby league players by playing position. J
489		Strength Cond Res. 2016;30: 1333–1340.
490	9.	Minahan C, Newans T, Quinn K, Parsonage J, Buxton S, Bellinger P. Strong,
491		fast, fit, lean, and safe: A positional comparison of physical and physiological
492		qualities within the 2020 Australian women's Rugby league team. J Strength
493		Cond Res. 2021;35: S11–S19. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000004106
494	10.	Scantlebury S, Ramirez C, Cummins C, Stokes K, Tee J, Minahan C, et al. Injury
495		risk factors and barriers to their mitigation for women playing rugby league: A
496		Delphi study. J Sports Sci. 2022;40:1436-1449
497	11.	Cummins C, Melinz J, King D, Sanctuary C, Murphy A. Call to action: a
498		collaborative framework to better support female rugby league players. British
499		journal of sports medicine. 2020. pp. 501-502. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-
500		101403
501	12.	Heyward O, Emmonds S, Roe G, Scantlebury S, Stokes K, Jones B. Applied
502		sports science and sports medicine in women's rugby: systematic scoping review
503		and Delphi study to establish future research priorities. BMJ Open Sport Exerc
504		Med. 2022;8: 1-18. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001287
505	13.	Weakley J, Black G, McLaren S, Scantlebury S, Suchomel TJ, McMahon E, et
506		al. Testing and Profiling Athletes: Recommendations for Test Selection,
507		Implementation, and Maximizing Information. Strength Cond J. 2023; Publish
508		Ah: 1–21. doi:10.1519/ssc.000000000000784
509	14.	McKay AKA, Stellingwerff T, Smith ES, Martin DT, Mujika I, Goosey-Tolfrey
510		VL, et al. Defining Training and Performance Caliber: A Participant
511		Classification Framework. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2022;17: 317-331.
512		doi:10.1123/ijspp.2021-0451
513	15.	Buchheit M. The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test: a new intermittent running field
514		test for intermittent sport players-Part 1. Approch du Handball. 2005;87: 27-34.
515	16.	Fullmer S, Benson-Davies S, Earthman CP, Frankenfield DC, Gradwell E, Lee
516		PSP, et al. Evidence Analysis Library Review of Best Practices for Performing
517		Indirect Calorimetry in Healthy and Non-Critically Ill Individuals. J Acad Nutr
518		Diet. 2015;115: 1417-1446.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2015.04.003
519	17.	Iraki J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, Slater G, Areta JL. Reliability of resting metabolic
520		rate between and within day measurements using the Vyntus CPX system and
521		comparison against predictive formulas. Nutr Health. 2023;29: 107–114.

522		doi:10.1177/02601060211057324
523	18.	Costello N, Deighton K, Preston T, Matu J, Rowe J, Jones B. Are professional
524		young rugby league players eating enough? Energy intake, expenditure and
525		balance during a pre-season. Eur J Sport Sci. 2019;19: 123-132.
526		doi:10.1080/17461391.2018.1527950
527	19.	Fernandes JFT, Daniels M, Myler L, Twist C. Influence of playing standard on
528		upper- and lower-body strength, power, and velocity characteristics of elite rugby
529		league players. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2019;4. doi:10.3390/jfmk4020022
530	20.	Comfort P, Dos'Santos T, Beckham GK, Stone MH, Guppy SN, Haff GG.
531		Standardization and Methodological Considerations for the Isometric Midthigh
532		Pull. Strength Cond J. 2019;41: 57-79. doi:10.1519/SSC.000000000000433
533	21.	Haff G, Carlock J, Hartman M, Kilgore J, Kawamori N, Jackson J, et al. Force-
534		Time curve characteristics of dynamic and isometric muscle actions of elite
535		women olympic weightlifters. J Strength Cond Res. 2005;19: 741-748.
536	22.	Lum D, Aziz L. Validity and Reliability of the Isometric Prone Bench Pull Test.
537		Int J Sports Med. 2020;41: 520-527. doi:10.1055/a-1103-2173
538	23.	Murphy A, Wilson G, Pryor J, Newton R. Isometric Assessment of Muscular
539		Function: The Effect of Joint Angle. J Appl Biomech. 1995;11: 205–215.
540	24.	Lenth Remmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means_(R
541		Package version 1.8.7) [Computer software]. 2023.
542	25.	Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
543		using lme4. J Stat Softw. 2015;67. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
544	26.	Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar M, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D. performance: An
545		R Package for Assessment, Comparison and Testing of Statistical Models. J
546		Open Source Softw. 2021;6: 3139. doi:10.21105/joss.03139
547	27.	O I Neill JERG, Walsh CS, McNulty SJ, Gantly HC, Corish ME, Crognale D, et
548		al. Resting Metabolic Rate in Female Rugby Players: Differences in Measured
549		Versus Predicted Values. J strength Cond Res. 2020; 36: 845-850.
550		doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000003634
551	28.	Morehen J, Bradley W, Clarke J, Twist C, Hambly C, Speakman J, et al. The
552		assessment of total energy expenditure during a 14-day 'in-season' period of
553		professional rugby league players using the Doubly Labelled Water method. Int J
554		Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2016;26: 464–472.
555	29.	Hudson JF, Phelan MM, Owens DJ, Morton JP, Close GL, Stewart CE. "Fuel for

556		the Damage Induced": Untargeted Metabolomics in Elite Rugby Union Match
557		Play. Metabolites. 2021;11: 544. doi:10.3390/metabo11080544
558	30.	Thomas DT, Burke LM, Erdman KA. Nutrition and Athletic Performance. Med
559		Sci Sports Exerc. 2016;48: 543-568. doi:10.1249/MSS.000000000000852
560	31.	Sim M, Garvican-Lewis LA, Cox GR, Govus A, McKay AKA, Stellingwerff T,
561		et al. Iron considerations for the athlete: a narrative review. Eur J Appl Physiol.
562		2019;119: 1463–1478. doi:10.1007/s00421-019-04157-y
563	32.	Martin NM, Conlon CA, Smeele RJM, Mugridge OAR, Von Hurst PR, McClung
564		JP, et al. Iron status and associations with physical performance during basic
565		combat training in female New Zealand Army recruits. Br J Nutr. 2019;121:
566		887-893. doi:10.1017/S0007114519000199
567	33.	Badenhorst CE, Forsyth AK, Govus AD. A contemporary understanding of iron
568		metabolism in active premenopausal females. Front Sport Act Living. 2022;4:
569		903937. doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.903937
570	34.	Shoemaker ME, Salmon OF, Smith CM, Duarte-Gardea MO, Cramer JT.
571		Influences of Vitamin D and Iron Status on Skeletal Muscle Health: A Narrative
572		Review. Nutrients. 2022;14: 1-34. doi:10.3390/nu14132717
573	35.	Colenso-Semple LM, D'Souza AC, Elliott-Sale KJ, Phillips SM. Current
574		evidence shows no influence of women's menstrual cycle phase on acute strength
575		performance or adaptations to resistance exercise training. Front Sport Act
576		Living. 2023;5: 1054542. doi:10.3389/fspor.2023.1054542
577	36.	Rubeor A, Goojha C, Manning J, White J. Does Iron Supplementation Improve
578		Performance in Iron-Deficient Nonanemic Athletes? Sports Health. 2018;10:
579		400–405. doi:10.1177/1941738118777488
580	37.	Redman KJ, Kelly VG, Beckman EM. Seasonal changes in strength and power in
581		elite rugby league: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Sport Sci Med.
582		2021;20: 721-731. doi:10.52082/jssm.2021.721
583	38.	Vechin FC, Conceição MS, Telles GD, Libardi CA, Ugrinowitsch C. Interference
584		Phenomenon with Concurrent Strength and High-Intensity Interval Training-
585		Based Aerobic Training: An Updated Model. Sport Med. 2021;51: 599-605.
586		doi:10.1007/s40279-020-01421-6
587	39.	Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG. Applied sport science of rugby league.
588		Sport Med. 2014;44: 1087–1100. doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0190-x
589	40.	Emmonds S, Weaving D, Dalton-Barron N, Rennie G, Hunwicks R, Tee J, et al.

- 590 Locomotor characteristics of the women's inaugural super league competition
- and the rugby league world cup. J Sports Sci. 2020;38: 1–8.
- 592 doi:10.1080/02640414.2020.1790815
- 593 41. Zabaloy S, Gálvez González J, Giráldez J, Fink B, Alcaraz PE, Pereira L, et al.
- 594 Changes in body composition and physical performance measures during a
- regular competitive season among young backs and forwards rugby players.
- 596 Sport Biomech. 2022;00: 1–18. doi:10.1080/14763141.2022.2144755
- 597



