- 1 Kangaroo Mother Care prior to clinical stabilisation: Implementation barriers and
- 2 facilitators reported by caregivers and health care providers in Uganda.
- 3 Victor S. Tumukunde^{1,4}, Joseph Katongole¹, Stella Namukwaya¹, Melissa M. Medvedev^{2,3,4},
- 4 Moffat Nyirenda^{1, 4}, Cally J. Tann^{2,4,5} Janet Seeley^{1,6} and Joy E. Lawn^{2,4}
- 5 Authors
- 6 1. Medical Research Council/Uganda Virus Research Institute and London School of Hygiene
- 7 & Tropical Medicine Uganda Research Unit, PO Box 49, Entebbe, Uganda.
- 8 2. Maternal, Adolescent, Reproductive, & Child Health Centre, London School of Hygiene &
- 9 Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK.
- 10 3. Department of Paediatrics, University of California San Francisco, 550 16th Street, Box
- 11 1224, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA
- 12 4. Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical
- 13 Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK.
- 14 5. Department of Neonatal Medicine, University College London, 235 Euston Road, London
- 15 NW1 2BU, UK.

23

- 16 6. Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine,
- 17 Tavistock Place, London WC1H 9SH, UK.
- 18 Corresponding author: Victor S. Tumukunde
- 19 Address: Medical Research Council/Uganda Virus Research Institute and LSHTM Uganda
- 20 Research Unit, PO Box 49, Entebbe, Uganda
- 21 Email: Victor.tumukunde@mrcuganda.org

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Abstract Kangaroo mother care (KMC) is an evidence-based method to improve newborn survival. However, scale-up even for stable newborns has been slow, with reported barriers to implementation. We examined facilitators and barriers to initiating KMC before stabilisation amongst neonates recruited to the OMWaNA study in Uganda. A qualitative sub-study was conducted during the OMWaNA randomized controlled trial examining the mortality effect of KMC prior to stabilisation amongst newborns (700-2000g). At the four trial site hospitals, focus group discussions (FGDs) were conducted with caregivers, and separately with healthcare providers and in-depth interviews (IDIs) with caregivers (admitted/recently discharged), and key informant interviews (KII) with hospital administrators/neonatal healthcare providers. The WHO Building Blocks was used to guide thematic analysis. Eight FGDs (4 caregivers, 4 healthcare workers), 41 caregiver IDIs (26 mothers, 8 grandmothers and 7 fathers) and 23 KIIs were conducted. Key themes based on the building blocks were family and community support and involvement, health workforce, medical supplies and commodities, infrastructure and design, financing, and health facility leadership. We found availability of an additional family member in the hospital, and support from healthcare workers, were facilitators for KMC before stability. The caregiver's positive attitude towards KMC facilitated KMC practice but was impeded by the lack of knowledge on the benefits of KMC or how to perform it. Implementation barriers included: Fear of inadvertently causing harm to the newborn, inadequate space

- 45 to perform KMC in the neonatal care unit and a limited number of trained healthcare
- workers coupled with insufficient medical supplies.

- 47 In the Ugandan government hospital setting, the presence of a family member in the
- 48 hospital, adequate provision of healthcare workers knowledgeable in supporting KMC
- 49 prior to stability, and adequate space for KMC beds where neonatal care is being
- delivered, can enable implementation of KMC before stability.
- 52 **Key words**: Early Kangaroo Mother Care, neonatal care, preterm and low birthweight.

Introduction

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

Globally an estimated 2.3 million neonatal deaths occurred in 2021(1), with over 80% occurring in small vulnerable newborns, primarily due to prematurity and/or being smallfor-gestational age (2). Major mortality reductions could be achieved by improving facility-based care of small and sick neonates in low- and middle-income country (LMIC) settings(3-5). Kangaroo mother care (KMC), as a component of this small and sick newborn care, is associated with decreased mortality among clinically stable newborns (6-8). However there are several barriers to KMC implementation within and outside the healthcare system(9). KMC is a package including early, continuous, and prolonged skin-to-skin contact (SSC), usually with the mother, promotion of exclusive breastmilk feeding, early hospital discharge, and adequate support and close follow-up at home (10). KMC has been found to be associated with decreased mortality, sepsis, hypothermia, hypoglycaemia, and length of hospital stay (6). KMC also has multiple benefits for the neonate and their family including improved duration of breastfeeding, improved growth outcomes (11, 12), supporting maternal-infant bonding and improved maternal mood (13). A WHO-led trial recently reported a 25% reduction in mortality within 28 days among neonates born weighing 1000-1799g who received KMC immediately after birth, relative to those who received standard care with KMC after stabilisation(3). Following this, WHO updated their KMC recommendation for newborns from the birth weight of ≤2000g, initiated as soon as newborns are clinically stable (14), to KMC for all

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

newborns weighing <2500 grams (g), even prior to clinical stability(15). The WHO has since released updated guidelines recommending KMC for all low birth weight (LBW) newborns, that should be initiated as soon as possible after birth and should be given for 8-24 hours (15). Despite clear evidence of impact in improving survival among newborns(16), scale-up of KMC, even for stable newborns, has been slow, hindered by a lack of national investment to implement at scale, and hard to track given lack of coverage data in routine health information systems(17, 18). The majority of mothers of LBW newborns are committed to KMC, satisfied with the results of weight gain and interested in continuing KMC at home (19). However, barriers to KMC provision amongst stable newborns have been reported at both the health facility and community level (9, 20, 21). Studies have found that a lack of beds and space, privacy issues, inadequate caregiver education, insufficient staff and monitoring devices, and difficulties motivating mothers to devote time to KMC, were common barriers to KMC practice in facilities (22-27). Maternal factors, such as fatigue, depression, and postpartum pain, especially after a caesarean section, may reduce uptake (9, 28, 29). The considerable time needed to provide continuous and extended KMC has been reported as a barrier for mothers and their families, due to competing household and work responsibilities, lack of money for transportation, and time required for commuting (29, 30). At the community level, barriers to KMC adoption and continuity include stigma and guilt related to having a preterm newborn, gender roles in childcare, and adherence to traditional newborn practices(22, 30-32).

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

Most published studies on KMC barriers and facilitators have been carried out amongst stable newborns. Yet in the wake of the new WHO recommendations that KMC should be initiated as soon as possible after birth, there is need to understand the facilitators and barriers of KMC implementation prior to clinical stability. One study that examined the feasibility of KMC amongst newborns (≥700q to ≤2000q) before clinical stability in a level-2 neonatal care unit in Uganda found that KMC was acceptable, but with reservations concerning infant monitoring and space availability (22). The OMWaNA trial ("Operationalising Kangaroo Mother Care amongst low birthweight neonates in Uganda") recruited 2228 neonates ≤2000g between 2019-22, to a randomized controlled trial examining the effect of KMC 'prior to stability' on mortality and morbidity outcomes in five government hospital facilities in Uganda (33). This trial offered an important opportunity to explore, for the first time, the barriers and facilitators of KMC implemented prior to stability amongst small vulnerable newborns (33). In this paper we examine the barriers and facilitators to initiating KMC prior to clinical stability from different stakeholders' perspectives.

Methods

Study design and setting

This was a qualitative study embedded within the OMWaNA trial (33). This randomised trial was conducted in the WHO level-2 neonatal units of five government hospitals in Uganda from 09-10-2019 to 31-07-2022. The participating hospitals were Entebbe Regional Referral Hospital, Jinja Regional Referral Hospital, Masaka Regional Referral Hospital, Iganga District Hospital and Kawempe National Referral Hospital. Entebbe Hospital was not utilised for this sub-study because of early discontinuation due to becoming the national Covid-19 referral hospital and the neonatal unit being restricted. The trial recruited neonates with birthweight of 700-2000g prior to clinical stability, which was defined as receiving ≥1 of the following treatments: oxygen support, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), intravenous (IV) fluids indicated for neonates unable to take enteral feeds, therapeutic antibiotics, and phenobarbital medication used to treat neonatal seizures. Pragmatic infrastructure improvements were done prior to trial initiation, to allow for caregivers' beds in the neonatal care units enabling safe implementation of the intervention of KMC prior to clinical stability (34).

Participant Recruitment

Caregivers were selected from among those who were either still admitted or already discharged with available phone contacts. Identified participants were contacted and given an appointment to return to the hospital for the interviews. The selected healthcare worker participants included the research assistants for the OMWaNA trial

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

and the government healthcare workers working in the neonatal care units who were on duty at the time of the interview or willing to come to the hospital on their off-duty days. The hospital administrators were found in their offices on the appointed days for the interviews. Written informed consent was first sought from all participants. An impartial witness was used during the consenting of non-literate caregivers as per the ICH-GCP (International Council for Harmonisation of Good Clinical Practice) guidelines. Data collection and management Focus group discussions (FGD) were held with caregivers, and separately with healthcare providers, at four of the OMWaNA trial sites. In addition, in-depth interviews (IDI) with caregivers and key informant interviews (KII) with neonatal healthcare providers and hospital administrators were conducted. The interviews were conducted by a trained social science research assistant and the lead investigator, and audio recorded. In the event the participant declined the use of the audio recorder, notes were taken, and the interview was written up from those notes. FGDs, IDIs and KIIs were conducted in Luganda, Lusoga and English languages using structured topic guides which were piloted on 4 caregivers and 3 nurses from one hospital. In total; 8 FGDs (4 healthcare worker, 4 caregiver); 41 caregiver IDIs; 19 healthcare worker KIIs (paediatricians, medical officers, nurses); and 4 hospital administrator KIIs, were conducted. All data were stored on secure servers at the MRC/UVRI & LSHTM Uganda Research

Unit. The scripts and audio files were stored on a computer as password protected files.

No names or other direct identifiers appeared in the transcripts or recordings; only unique identification codes were used to ensure confidentiality.

Data analysis

Data were analysed using a thematic content approach. The analysis involved familiarization with the data, identifying codes and themes, developing a coding framework, and applying it to all of the data. The principal investigator together with the social scientist read all the transcripts, generated themes and developed the final coding framework; the coding of all scripts was managed using Nvivo 12.

Theoretical framing

The WHO Health Systems Building Blocks for Strengthening of Health Systems (35) has been adapted and applied to the provision of small and sick newborn care (SSNC). This adapted version describes health system in terms of seven components (building blocks) notably; family centred care at the core and then infrastructure, leadership/governance, financing, health workforce, medicines/supplies and health information systems(36, 37). We utilised these Building Blocks already adapted for SSNC as a framework around which to inform our thematic analysis(36). The Building Blocks align to WHO norms for care of small and sick newborns (15) and also the more recent WHO/UNICEF 10 core components for SSNC, of which seven are these Health Systems Building Blocks (38) however, health information systems was not linked to the generated themes from the interviews. Therefore, only six components were used. We mapped our generated themes to this version of the conceptual framework to guide our

analysis of facilitators and barriers to delivery of KMC prior to stability, as shown in figure 1. In the results section we present the findings of both the barriers and facilitators by each main theme in turn.

Results

The FGDs, IDI and KIIs, were conducted across the 4 hospitals as indicated in table 1. The barriers and facilitators were categorized under five themes, as described above, which are i) family and community support and involvement, ii) health workforce, iii) medicines and supplies iv) infrastructure, and v) health facility leadership. The main themes and sub-themes are summarized in table 2.

Table 1: Participants interviewed from different hospitals.

	Persons	Hospital-1		Hospital-2		Hospital-3		Hospital-4	
Hospital type		National		Regional		Regional		District	
Study arm		кмс	Contro	КМС	Contro	KMC	Control	кмс	Contro
Number of HCWs FGD		1		1		1		1	
Number of Caregiver FGD		1		1		1		1	
Caregiver IDIs	Mothers	3	2	3	4	3	2	4	5
	Grand Mothers	2	0	1	1	1	1	2	0
	Fathers	2	0	2	0	0	1	2	0
KII with HCWs	Neonatal	2		4		2		1	
	Medical								
	Paediatricians	1		1		1		1	

Administrators	1	1	1	1

185

Table 2. Overview of the barriers and facilitators of KMC prior to clinical stability.

	Facilitators	Barriers
Main themes	Sub-themes	Sub-themes
Family & Community support & involvement	 Financial support from family member Presence of family member in the hospital Provision of meals for the KMC mothers 	 Caregiver being alone in the hospital. No other person to do KMC Cultural beliefs Fear of hurting the small baby Fear of handling the baby Maternal morbidity
Health workforce	 Supportive & encouraging HCWs to caregivers. Availability of adequate number of trained HCWs in preterm care Educating caregivers on KMC practice and its benefits 	 Lack of knowledge on benefits of KMC and how to perform it. Rude and unsupportive HCW Fear of unstable baby deteriorating
Medical supplies & devices	 Availability of medicine for care of sick newborns and timely treatment Availability of medical equipment for monitoring sick newborns Availability of adequate oxygen supply points 	 Buying medicines from outside the hospital Sick newborns missing treatment
Infrastructure and design	 Availability of adequate space with privacy Adequate number of KMC beds Clean environment and bathrooms/toilets 	Lack of privacyUnclean bathroomsOvercrowding
Health facility leadership	 Adequate staffing for KMC care Training of HCW in preterm care Clear KMC guidelines / policies 	Lack of involvement by the leadership
Financing	Adequate finances to support recruitment of healthcare worker and infrastructure improvement.	Not improving budgetary allocation to meet the demand

Family and community support and involvement

Facilitators:

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

Family and community support and involvement referred to the perception and reality that the caregiver's family members assisted her or him to perform KMC. This support in the care of newborns had a positive impact on the continuity of KMC practice. Family members, especially fathers, provided financial support, and grandmothers and sisters helped with chores in the hospital such as washing clothes and going out to buy necessities. Family members who had had experience of taking care of a preterm infant previously, encouraged caregivers to perform KMC. The positive attributes of family support were mentioned by both the healthcare workers and caregivers, especially mothers. One mother, for example, mentioned the food which family members brought as very helpful, and confidence in financial support once home with the new baby, kept her focussed on performing KMC. Caregivers were grateful to have someone as a substitute KMC provider such as grandmothers, husbands, and sisters. One mother described how she would ask the baby's father to help her by putting the baby into KMC. She went on to say, 'some days he does put them, and you have to thank God when he wears the Kangaroo wrap'. One father also described his involvement in the care of the newborn in a positive light: "I wouldn't refuse to do what I have been told to do to enable my baby to add weight because I also want him to gain weight". Thus, the presence of a family member in the hospital to support the mother was fundamental for continuity of KMC prior to stability.

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

Both mothers and fathers were motivated and hopeful about KMC practice. During one FGD, a mother said, "For you to benefit from the Kangaroo method, you have to be patient and be ready to face all the challenges and accept all what the health workers tell you to use or stop using". The fathers who were interviewed expressed their determination to perform KMC following the explanation of the benefits. One father commented: "The fact that I want my baby to be alive gives me hope and strength to do whatever I am told to do as long as it will help him. I have to follow what I am told so that I get what I want from it. I wouldn't refuse to do what I have been told to do to enable my baby to add weight because I also want him to gain weight." Barriers: Some families lacked the finances to support the hospital stay, which undermined the continuity of KMC prior to stability. Given that most families depended on fathers as the source of finances for upkeep during the hospital stay, they played a role in decision making as elaborated in the quote by one mother below. "The father of the baby had stopped giving us money for food, remember I was in ward eight for a whole month so when they told us to buy syrups for the baby, we called him because we didn't have money anymore, but he told us that he didn't have money anymore and that he was tired of the situation even the money for food we didn't know where to get it from".

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

In such situations of financial constraint, families wanted the mother and baby discharged quickly, as mentioned by one healthcare worker: "they want to leave early because of their financial status". Poorer families may have wanted to support the mother but were unable to do so, thus impeding KMC continuity. Other families actively discouraged KMC by advising caregivers to use alternative methods of warming preterm babies, such as using hot water in jerricans and using a charcoal stove under the bed: "the community usually think of other methods like using a hot charcoal stove or putting jerry cans filled with hot water around the baby", said one father. A more fundamental barrier to KMC was the belief that preterm infants cannot survive and that KMC is a waste of time. This belief led to some fathers withdrawing all the financial support for the upkeep of the mother and child during the hospital stay and demanding discharge. One healthcare worker said: "a father seeing the baby on oxygen believes the baby is dead and demands for discharge". However, some mothers got financial support from other sources rather than the fathers. These included other family members and credit facilities as mentioned in the quotes below. "A family member might come and give you 50,000/-, at that time, the focus immediately goes back to the child. You send the 20,000/- to help at home and you remain with the 30,000/- to help at the hospital" (Mother). "The mother got a loan from a certain SACCO [Savings and Credit Cooperative Organization] she belongs to. She has not paid them yet" (Father).

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

The combination of lack of financial support, and inadequate knowledge on survival of preterm infants and how KMC benefits unstable small and sick newborns impeded KMC continuity greatly. There were other cultural barriers to KMC, such as prohibitions on a woman's in-laws seeing her undressed, or a grandmother helping to practice KMC in front of her son-inlaw. One father commented: "the grandmother to the baby cannot undress and do kangaroo in the presence of the baby's father", and he went on to say that "a mother cannot do KMC in the presence of her father in-law". Also, beliefs surrounding the gender roles were mentioned, with one father saying that: "the community can't imagine us men putting our babies in the chest for a while" meaning that this is supposed to be done by the mother. This deprived the mother of the help of a substitute KMC provider in case of twins or maternal morbidity. Fatigue, tiredness, and maternal morbidity especially after caesarean section were mentioned as some of the maternal concerns that limited KMC prior to stabilization. This was described by one healthcare worker: "there is no one to do Kangaroo especially for a mother who has been operated upon and as a caretaker she is still weak and receiving medication". One mother also described the difficulties related with the skinto-skin position, "I experienced back ache when I put the child in my chest while in one position facing up, I also get chest pain because of putting the child in the chest for long." These maternal related factors that impeded KMC might be alleviated with the presence of a family member to help in performing KMC during the hospital stay.

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

Health workforce Facilitators: Healthcare workers' availability and ability to health educate, counsel, and encourage caregivers to perform KMC, facilitated its implementation prior to stability. One female caregiver said, "the healthcare workers made me get used [to Kangaroo]. Another caregiver commented: "the nurse told me that her last born was also a preterm baby and encouraged me that my baby will grow normally if I continue putting the baby in my chest daily." Enabling the caregivers to understand the advantages of KMC had a positive impact on the continuity of KMC practice. A male caregiver described the information he had received from a healthcare worker: She [the health care worker] told us to put the baby in the chest on bare skin and tie the baby to get warmth, that because this baby is still very young it still needs the mother's warmth so when you put the baby in the chest it will be as if they are in the womb. My wife started putting the baby and she also told me that even if we go back home, we should continue putting the baby in the chest even I the husband I should help my wife to put the baby in the chest and we did, we put the baby in the chest like for thirty minutes." This provision of clear information was helpful to the caregivers, and several caregivers

expressed their appreciation for the information they were given.

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

Within the KMC ward, peer support from other mothers who shared their KMC experiences also promoted the practice. One mother described the encouragement she and the new mother had received when they were facing challenges associated with KMC. "During that time people would come in and they had brought their babies for review, and they would tell us their stories and encouraged us to be strong that also their babies were like that, which made us believe." With such encouragement fears were alleviated, and caregivers began to practice KMC. One mother said: "at first, I saw that it was a burden but after I believed that the preterm baby is my own and I want the baby to survive so I must do whatever they tell me". Continuous counselling on the benefits of KMC and encouragement of caregivers to perform KMC by healthcare workers and peers was seen as a motivating factor. Barriers: Low staffing levels of healthcare workers limited their capacity to monitor and support implementation of KMC prior to stabilization as described by one of the healthcare workers, "shortage of staff makes monitoring hard because sometimes we are overwhelmed by the numbers". This in turn limits the healthcare worker's zeal to support the implementation of KMC prior to stabilisation. Healthcare workers mentioned that the lack of understanding of KMC procedures and its benefits by the caregivers was an impediment to KMC implementation. One

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

healthcare worker observed that: "there is not enough education about what Kangaroo is". This led to poor practice of KMC with no skin-to-skin contact as described by another healthcare worker: "you find the mother dressed, and the baby is dressed while in KMC position". With low staffing levels and associated worker load, the HCWs are unable to support caregivers fully with health education and the necessary counselling, thus impeding the KMC practice in the hospital. Fear by the healthcare workers that caregivers do not know how to do KMC and that they might harm the unstable baby was another hinderance. One healthcare worker said that "in my opinion, the other fear is lack of knowledge and skills gap [by caregivers] of doing KMC". She went on to give an experience of a fatal outcome: "we got a preterm in that they pretended to put the baby in KMC but instead killed the baby [healthcare worker narrating how a caregiver performed KMC wrongly and the newborn died]". This fear was augmented by the fact that KMC prior to stability was a new intervention to the healthcare workers and they had no prior training in the procedure before the trial. This was particularly the case for the regular hospital staff who were not trial specific healthcare workers. There were some healthcare workers who continued to hold negative attitude towards KMC due to a lack of adequate knowledge of KMC among unstable pre-term babies. The healthcare workers need to be "educated because not all of them know how to take care of the neonates, so they should be trained" as expressed by one neonatal care unit in-charge. The caregivers interpreted the poor attitude of HCWs as poor 'customer' care

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

which discouraged them from practicing KMC at the health facility. This was described by one mother that "nurses should change, they reach a point where they shout at us vet even us, we are not yet used to the babies and still scared". In some instances, caregivers received conflicting instructions from the HCWs which is likely to be due to lack of training. For example, one mother said, "a doctor tells you to give the baby 20 millilitres of breast milk but don't breast feed and another HCW comes and complain as to why you are not breast feeding the baby and tells you to breast feed". Healthcare workers' lack of knowledge on KMC prior to stability could be the cause of this communication gap between the healthcare workers and the caregivers which discouraged the implementation of KMC prior to stabilisation. Medical supplies and commodities Facilitators: The availability of medicine and timely treatment enabled the caregivers to perform KMC with the hope that the newborns would survive. This was described by one caregiver: "the babies would receive treatment through cannulas all the time until we left when the babies had improved, they gave them good treatment". This gave the caregivers enough time to perform KMC as they did not have to stop KMC to go and buy the medicines.

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

Healthcare workers felt that having functioning equipment was an important facilitator for the care of small and sick newborns, "we also need functional monitors because we need to monitor these babies". The equipment enabled them to monitor the sick newborn's progress while in KMC. Barriers: An inadequate supply of medicines and other essentials for small and sick newborn care was a barrier as described by one mother that "Musawo (healthcare worker) might send you for medicine and you fail to get it". This created uncertainty about the survival of the newborns and interrupted the skin-to-skin practice which demoralised the caregivers. The lack of an adequate number of oxygen supply outlets on the ward hindered KMC prior to stability in one hospital, as babies had to be nursed from shared oxygen points away from KMC beds. This meant that newborns who needed oxygen support had to halt KMC and be transferred to oxygen outlet points as elaborated by one healthcare "Sometimes when you initiate a baby on KMC, you find that we don't have oxygen and we have to use the few concentrators we have for all the babies". A lack of functioning equipment for monitoring the vital signs of sick newborns was also reported as a barrier. Without vital monitoring, the healthcare workers feared that the newborn might die unnoticed while in skin-to-skin position as described by one healthcare worker: "you might find this baby in KMC, dead".

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

There was also the reported fear by the healthcare workers and caregivers of disconnecting oxygen tubes and vital sign monitors from the newborns while performing KMC. One mother expressed her fear of the machines: "Since it is the one helping my baby to breathe, what if you touch it yet you do not know how to put it right and instead you be the one to kill your own baby". Another caregiver thought that "the oxygen tubes would come out of the nose and the baby fails to breathe". A grandmother concurred with this view: "when the baby is on oxygen it is not possible to do KMC because the baby has tubes in the nose, how can you carry the baby without those tubes coming out?". Some healthcare workers also feared that "the mother might take-off the baby from oxygen while putting the baby in the chest because the nurse has told them to put babies in kangaroo and baby might stop breathing". The fear of harming the baby is a crucial barrier to implementation of KMC prior to stability. Infrastructure and design Facilitators: The availability of space and beds for practicing KMC on wards was reported in one hospital to have encouraged the caregivers to continue with KMC prior to stability. Caregivers in that site reported privacy on the KMC ward as a motivator to continue with the KMC practice as compared to the general wards: "every parent who is doing

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

Kangaroo has their own bed even Nalongo [mother of twins] was given two beds and she has enough space to do Kangaroo." Room for KMC with beds and privacy for the mothers greatly facilitated implementation of KMC prior to stability. Barriers: The lack of cleanliness in the hospitals and the washrooms was another hindrance reported at two of the hospitals. The poor state of the toilets and the inability of the hospital to clean the gowns worn by the KMC mothers was reported as a barrier too. This was expressed by one caregiver: "uncleanliness in your environment for example when you enter a dirty place, or you don't wash hands, but you come and touch the baby" are some of the limiting factors for the continued stay in the hospital. Inadequate space that led to overcrowding in the KMC ward and babies sharing incubators in instances where they had to return the babies to the warmer or incubator made the caregivers worry about the health of their newborns. Caregivers worried about the spread of infection through the sharing of medical devices and equipment. One caregiver described how her baby was sharing a vital sign monitoring machine with other babies, "sometimes, they would remove it from my baby and put it on another baby, then bring it back after some time, they should increase on the amount of equipment at the hospital". One healthcare worker intimated that "we don't have space where to put these mothers to practice KMC". Another healthcare worker said that "they would even tell us they want to go home since they have been discharged from the maternity ward and wondered why we can't discharge them". The lack of adequate infrastructure hindered the continuity of KMC prior to stability.

Health facility leadership

Healthcare workers from one of the hospitals reported a lack of adequate involvement by hospital leadership which hindered full scale capacity building in terms of staff training and supply of other resources for KMC implementation. This was expressed by a healthcare worker who rated hospital administration involvement to be: "almost 20% support and that is the provision of those four beds and the oxygen" and was quick to add that "we actually need people to push them to understand KMC so that they can help us".

Financing

The hospital leadership we interacted with acknowledged the ever-increasing demand for neonatal care services amidst limited resources. The administrators in all four hospitals mentioned low staffing levels, limited space for adequate KMC ward and an insufficient budget allocation; as described by one administrator; "we are operating in a very old staff structure even when the numbers [of patients] have increased, if we have 30 midwives and three duties how many can work per shift?" For implementation of KMC prior to stability to be successful, there is need for increased funding to support infrastructure improvement and increase the staffing levels especially for the nurses.

We have described above the identified facilitators and barriers of KMC prior to stabilisation, which are both for general KMC implementation and for specific KMC prior to stability. It is clear from our findings that the successful implementation of KMC depends on the willingness of caregivers and healthcare workers to practice it, and the provision of adequate facilities in hospitals to support KMC.

Discussion

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

This qualitative study presents insights for the implementation of KMC prior to stability from the perspective of the caregivers, healthcare providers and hospital administrators in four neonatal care units in Uganda. The important facilitators identified included: presence of a family member in the hospital as a substitute KMC provider, availability of vital signs monitoring devices, adequate oxygen supply points and adequate number of staff trained in KMC prior to stability. The important barriers included: maternal morbidity, fear of hurting the unstable newborn, fear of disconnecting oxygen and unstable baby deteriorating, absence of supplies for care of sick newborn, healthcare workers' lack of knowledge in KMC prior to stability, lack of adequate space for KMC beds within the neonatal care unit and inadequate involvement of the hospital leadership. Family support and involvement as a facilitator of KMC has been reported in other studies among stable neonates which postulated that, competing activities like household chores are a hinderance to continuity of KMC (39), in this study we found that activities like taking care of the laundry during the hospital stay and going to buy food interfered with care. However, an important hindrance was the absence of medicines for SSNC necessitating caregivers to move outside of the health facility to

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

buy these medicines specifically hindered the continuity of KMC prior to stability. The presence of a family member in the hospital to help with these errands allowed the mother to perform KMC continuously, a finding corroborated in the work of Smith et al. (31). In our study, other family members also helped in performing KMC in case of twins or maternal morbidity. Thus, the barrier to KMC prior to stability due to maternal morbidity, fatigue and tiredness could be alleviated by allowing a family member to perform KMC on behalf of the mother. This facilitator has been reported in other studies at the community level and facility level where the sister, husband or mother-in-law helped to perform KMC at home or at the health facility (20, 27). Research findings also show that, the presence of a companion in the hospital facilitates promotion of KMC and strengthens moral support for the mothers (25). In practical terms, facilitating KMC also requires space and washrooms and possibly financial support for families. We found that cultural beliefs and practices were a barrier to immediate KMC practice echoing findings from studies of KMC among stable neonates (31, 40, 41). Although health education for mothers on the benefits of KMC tended to improve on the acceptability of the practice(22, 31), mothers tend not to have enough power in their family to repudiate their family members' beliefs (42). Given that parents of preterm babies desire more information than what is provided normally (43), investing in health education of these parents is likely to dispel the belief surrounding the survival of these preterm infants and thus promote KMC prior to stability. This is in line with the WHO recommendations that families of LBW infants should be given extra support to care for their infants. This support may include education, counselling, discharge preparation

and peer support (15). Such interventions should also target the older generations of the family unit that is, the mothers-in-law and grandmothers, who do not find KMC to be appropriate (44).

The low staffing levels and an insufficient number of trained healthcare workers in small and sick newborn care inhibits the implementation of KMC at the health facilities. This is because the healthcare workers felt that they do not have enough time to educate caregivers on KMC and they believed that these babies required close monitoring which was hard given the low staffing levels. This has been described in another study in which nurses believed that supporting the mothers was important but a lack of staff could not allow them to provide such supportive care (29, 45, 46). If the healthcare workers would choose peer mothers on the KMC ward to support the new mothers in doing KMC, this would increase on the information sharing and subsequent uptake of the intervention without straining the already over-worked healthcare workers. This is because, support from peer caregivers has demonstrated to be important in facilitating KMC practice (21, 47).

We found that mothers fear the clinical environment especially the monitoring machines, oxygen, and intravenous lines. First-time mothers particularly fear to touch their own vulnerable babies, demonstrating the great need for support from the healthcare workers as reported in other studies (21, 45). For the implementation of KMC prior to stability to succeed, there needs to be a trained healthcare worker to champion the training of other

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

healthcare workers and who will in turn support the caregiver trainings. This mirrors what was found by Lee et al.(48), who observed that when a healthcare worker who served as a promoter of KMC in a facility leaves, it increases the difficulty of educating other staff members on the practice of skin to skin care (48). With the training, the perceived fear of harming the baby by both healthcare workers and caregivers will likely be alleviated and hence facilitate KMC prior to stability as some studies have found that inadequate training in KMC led to nurses having conflicting knowledge of duration of skin-to-skin contact and are hesitant to use KMC for infants with catheters, whether intravenous or umbilical (49). There were similar findings in this study where caregivers received conflicting instructions from the HCWs which was likely due to knowledge gap in care of small and sick newborns. Space for KMC beds with privacy and clean sanitary facilities was found in our study to be a motivator for continuity of KMC prior to stability. Crowding and insufficient space has been a cause of hastened discharge from the health facilities with conventional KMC (21, 50), coupled with a lack of privacy and discomfort with being undressed in the presence of (28, 51). For the implementation of KMC prior to stabilization to occur, strangers infrastructure remodelling is crucial to create space that can accommodate adult beds within the neonatal care units with good sanitary facilities for caregivers (34). In addition, these facilities require an adequate number of oxygen supply points to support unstable newborns during KMC. Support from the hospital management in terms of adequate staff allocation, training, availability of medical supplies and treatment guidelines was described as an important facilitator of KMC prior to stability. The same was reported by Jieya et al., (40) that provision

of necessary equipment, KMC rooms and identification of nurses to specialize in KMC coupled with routine supervision from hospital management, was an incentive for the healthcare workers to continue implementing KMC(21, 40, 52). The same is likely to facilitate the implementation of KMC prior to stabilization. However, the hospital managers blame the lack of resources that have failed them to recruit more healthcare workers and improve infrastructure as the main hinderances to KMC implementation.

Strength and limitations

The main strength of the study is that it has drawn on the rich body of primary qualitative data using KII, IDI and FGD, providing information that can help policymakers in the implementation of the new WHO guidelines that recommend immediate KMC. This is the first multi-site study to look at the barriers and facilitators of KMC prior to stability from the perspective of the healthcare workers and the caregivers. The study covered 4 health facilities at different levels of care that is, national referral, regional referral and district hospital which increases the generalizability of the findings. The limitations of this study are that we had a limited number of male caregivers (fathers) participating and thus we could not get their views on paternal involvement in care of preterm babies, we sampled on the basis of availability which introduced some bias in our sampling. In addition, we were not able to capture the detailed demographic description of the respondents for further categorization of the responses.

Conclusion and recommendation

The presence of a family member as a substitute KMC provider, recruitment, and training of healthcare workers in care of small and sick newborns, who will in turn support the families through education and counselling on KMC prior to stability are crucial for implementation of immediate KMC. Resource mobilisation for infrastructural remodelling to expand the existing neonatal care units to be able to accommodate mothers' bed for KMC is foundational for implementing KMC prior to stability with respect for families and health workers.

Acknowledgements

Most importantly, we thank the mothers, newborns, and families who participated in this study. We give huge appreciation to the neonatal unit nurses, doctors, and staff at, Iganga, Jinja, Kawempe, and Masaka Hospitals for their participation and dedication

throughout the study. We also thank Salama Kasoga for assisting with data collection.

Ethical Issues

Participants gave informed consent before participation in the study and all the collected information was used with the observance of the confidentiality rules. The ethical approval was obtained from Uganda Virus Research Institute ethics committee and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine research ethics committee.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

VST conceptualised this qualitative study, wrote the protocol, drafted the tools, analysed and interpreted the data, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MMM, CJT MN and JEL reviewed the protocol, JS reviewed the interview tools and the methods section of the protocol. VST, JK and SN conducted the interviews, transcribed the scripts, coded and analysed the data. MMM, CJT, JS, and JEL interpreted the data and critically revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

References

- 1. UN-IGME. Levels & Trends in Child Mortality. Report 2022. New York: UNICEF;
- 570 2023

568

- 2. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every Newborn:
- 572 progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. The Lancet. 2014;384(9938):189-
- 573 205.
- 574 3. Lawn JE, Davidge R, Paul VK, von Xylander S, de Graft Johnson J, Costello A,
- et al. Born too soon: care for the preterm baby. Reproductive health. 2013;10(1):S5.
- 576 4. English M, Karumbi J, Maina M, Aluvaala J, Gupta A, Zwarenstein M, Opiyo N.
- 577 The need for pragmatic clinical trials in low and middle income settings—taking essential
- 578 neonatal interventions delivered as part of inpatient care as an illustrative example.
- 579 BMC medicine. 2016;14:1-7.
- 580 5. Moxon SG, Lawn JE, Dickson KE, Simen-Kapeu A, Gupta G, Deorari A, et al.
- Inpatient care of small and sick newborns: a multi-country analysis of health system
- bottlenecks and potential solutions. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2015;15:1-19.
- 583 6. Conde-Agudelo A, Díaz-Rossello JL. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity
- and mortality in low birthweight infants. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
- 585 2016(8).

- 586 7. Boundy EO, Dastjerdi R, Spiegelman D, Fawzi WW, Missmer SA, Lieberman E,
- 587 et al. Kangaroo mother care and neonatal outcomes: a meta-analysis. Pediatrics.
- 588 2016;137(1):e20152238.
- 589 8. Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Rizvi A, Gaffey MF, Walker N, Horton S, et al. Evidence-
- based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done
- and at what cost? The lancet. 2013;382(9890):452-77.
- 592 9. Chan G, Bergelson I, Smith ER, Skotnes T, Wall S. Barriers and enablers of
- kangaroo mother care implementation from a health systems perspective: a systematic
- review. Health policy and planning. 2017;32(10):1466-75.
- 595 10. WHO. Kangaroo mother care: a practical guide Geneva: WHO; 2003 [Available
- from: http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/9241590351/en/.
- 597 11. Sharma D, Farahbakhsh N, Sharma S, Sharma P, Sharma A. Role of kangaroo
- 598 mother care in growth and breast feeding rates in very low birth weight (VLBW)
- 599 neonates: a systematic review. The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine: the
- official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia
- and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstetricians.
- 602 2019;32(1):129-42.
- 603 12. Nazir S, Mubashar A, Naeem B, Riaz M, Aman L, Salman N. Role of Kangaroo
- Mother Care in Growth and Breast Feeding Rates in Very Low Birth Weight (VLBW)
- Neonates. Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences. 2023;17(02):777-.

- 606 13. Athanasopoulou E, Fox JR. Effects of kangaroo mother care on maternal mood
- and interaction patterns between parents and their preterm, low birth weight infants: a
- systematic review. Infant mental health journal. 2014;35(3):245-62.
- 609 14. WHO. WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm birth
- outcomes [Internet]. Geneva; 2015. Available from:
- 611 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/183037/1/9789241508988_eng.pdf 2015.
- 612 15. WHO. Recommendations for care of the preterm or low birth weight infant.
- 613 Geneva: WHO; 2022. Report No.: 978-92-4-005826-2 (electronic version).
- 614 16. Conde-Agudelo A, Diaz-Rossello JL. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity
- and mortality in low birthweight infants. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews.
- 616 2016(8):CD002771.
- 17. Vesel L, Bergh AM, Kerber KJ, Valsangkar B, Mazia G, Moxon SG, et al.
- 618 Kangaroo mother care: A multi-country analysis of health system bottlenecks and
- 619 potential solutions. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2015;15(S5).
- 18. Lawn JE, Mwansa-Kambafwile J, Horta BL, Barros FC, Cousens S. 'Kangaroo
- mother care'to prevent neonatal deaths due to preterm birth complications. International
- 622 journal of epidemiology. 2010;39(suppl_1):i144-i54.

- 623 19. Parikh S, Banker D, Shah U, Bala D. Barriers in implementing community based
- kangaroo mother care in low income community. NHL Journal of Medical Sciences.
- 625 2013;2(1):36-8.
- 626 20. Mustikawati IS, Pratomo H, Martha E, Murty AI, Adisasmita AC. Barriers and
- facilitators to the implementation of Kangaroo Mother Care in the community-A
- 628 qualitative study. Journal of Neonatal Nursing. 2020;26(2):109-14.
- 629 21. Kinshella MW, Hiwa T, Pickerill K, Vidler M, Dube Q, Goldfarb D, et al. Barriers
- and facilitators of facility-based kangaroo mother care in sub-Saharan Africa: a
- 631 systematic review. BMC Pregnancy & Childbirth. 2021;21(1):176.
- 632 22. Morgan MC, Nambuya H, Waiswa P, Tann C, Elbourne D, Seeley J, et al.
- Kangaroo mother care for clinically unstable neonates weighing≤ 2000 g: Is it feasible at
- a hospital in Uganda? Journal of global health. 2018;8(1).
- 635 23. Ferrarello D, Hatfield L. Barriers to skin-to-skin care during the postpartum stay.
- 636 MCN: The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing. 2014;39(1):56-61.
- 637 24. Heinemann AB, Hellström-Westas L, Hedberg Nygvist K. Factors affecting
- parents' presence with their extremely preterm infants in a neonatal intensive care
- 639 room. Acta Paediatrica. 2013;102(7):695-702.

- 640 25. Bergh A-M, Davy K, Otai CD, Nalongo AK, Sengendo NH, Aliganyira P.
- 641 Evaluation of kangaroo mother care services in Uganda. Washington D.C. and
- 642 Kampala: Save the Children; 2012.
- 643 26. Chisenga JZ, Chalanda M, Ngwale M. Kangaroo Mother Care: A review of
- mothers"experiences at Bwaila hospital and Zomba Central hospital (Malawi).
- 645 Midwifery. 2015;31(2):305-15.
- 646 27. Naloli M, Ssenyonga LV, Kagoya EK, Nteziyaremye J, Nekaka R. Kangaroo
- Mother Care: a qualitative study of the practice and experiences of mothers of preterm
- neonates in a tertiary teaching hospital in Eastern Uganda. International Journal for
- Research in Health Sciences and Nursing. 2021;7(11):1890.
- 650 28. Blomqvist YT, Frolund L, Rubertsson C, Nyqvist KH. Provision of Kangaroo
- 651 Mother Care: supportive factors and barriers perceived by parents. Scandinavian
- 652 journal of caring sciences. 2013;27(2):345-53.
- 653 29. Suitor C. Kangaroo mother care: A literature review of barriers and facilitators to
- implementation in the neonatal intensive care unit. Journal of Neonatal Nursing.
- 655 2023;29(2):245-52.
- 656 30. Chan GJ, Labar AS, Wall S, Atun R. Kangaroo mother care: a systematic review
- of barriers and enablers. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2016;94(2):130.

- 658 31. Smith ER, Bergelson I, Constantian S, Valsangkar B, Chan GJ. Barriers and
- enablers of health system adoption of kangaroo mother care: a systematic review of
- caregiver perspectives. BMC Pediatrics. 2017;17(1):35.
- 661 32. Waiswa P, Nyanzi S, Namusoko-Kalungi S, Peterson S, Tomson G, Pariyo GW.
- 'I never thought that this baby would survive; I thought that it would die any time':
- perceptions and care for preterm babies in eastern Uganda. Tropical Medicine &
- 664 International Health. 2010;15(10):1140-7.
- 665 33. Medvedev MM, Tumukunde V, Mambule I, Tann CJ, Waiswa P, Canter RR, et al.
- Operationalising kangaroo Mother care before stabilisation amongst low birth Weight
- Neonates in Africa (OMWaNA): protocol for a randomised controlled trial to examine
- mortality impact in Uganda. Trials. 2020;21(1):126.
- 669 34. Medvedev MM, Tumukunde V, Kirabo-Nagemi C, Greco G, Mambule I, Katumba
- K, et al. Process and costs for readiness to safely implement immediate kangaroo
- mother care: a mixed methods evaluation from the OMWaNA trial at five hospitals in
- Uganda. BMC health services research. 2023;23(1):1-16.
- 673 35. WHO. Everybody's business--strengthening health systems to improve health
- outcomes: WHO's framework for action. Geneva: WHO; 2007. Report No.: 9241596074.
- 675 36. NEST360 U. Newborn toolkit <u>www.newborntoolkit.org2023</u> [

- 676 37. Dickson KE, Simen-Kapeu A, Kinney MV, Huicho L, Vesel L, Lackritz E, et al.
- 677 Every Newborn: health-systems bottlenecks and strategies to accelerate scale-up in
- 678 countries. The Lancet. 2014;384(9941):438-54.
- 679 38. Expert W-U, on Small CC. A comprehensive model for scaling up care for small
- and/or sick newborns at district level-based on country experiences presented at a
- 681 WHO-UNICEF expert consultation. Journal of Global Health. 2023;13.
- 682 39. Leonard A, Mayers P. Parents' lived experience of providing kangaroo care to
- their preterm infants. Health SA Gesondheid. 2008;13(4):16-28.
- 40. Yue J, Liu J, Williams S, Zhang B, Zhao Y, Zhang Q, et al. Barriers and
- 685 facilitators of kangaroo mother care adoption in five Chinese hospitals: a qualitative
- 686 study. BMC public health. 2020;20(1):1234.
- 687 41. Bilal SM, Tadele H, Abebo TA, Tadesse BT, Muleta M, F WG, et al. Barriers for
- kangaroo mother care (KMC) acceptance, and practices in southern Ethiopia: a model
- 689 for scaling up uptake and adherence using qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy &
- 690 Childbirth. 2021;21(1):25.
- 691 42. Hariati S, Sutomo R, McKenna L, Reisenhofer S, Lusmilasari L, Febriani AD.
- 692 Indonesian mothers' beliefs on caring practices at home for preterm babies after
- 693 hospital discharge: A qualitative study. Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing.
- 694 2021;26(3):e12330.

- 695 43. Brazy J, Anderson BH, Becker P, Becker M. How parents of premature infants
- 696 gather information and obtain support. Neonatal network. 2001;20(2):41-8.
- 697 44. Kambarami R. Kangaroo care and multiple births. Annals of Tropical Paediatrics.
- 698 2002;22(1):107-8.
- 699 45. Negarandeh R, Hassankhani H, Jabraeili M, Abbaszadeh M, Best A. Health care
- staff support for mothers in NICU: a focused ethnography study. BMC Pregnancy and
- 701 Childbirth. 2021;21(1):1-12.
- 702 46. Kinshella MW, Salimu S, Chiwaya B, Chikoti F, Chirambo L, Mwaungulu E, et al.
- "So sometimes, it looks like it's a neglected ward": Health worker perspectives on
- implementing kangaroo mother care in southern Malawi. PLoS ONE.
- 705 2020;15(12):e0243770.
- 706 47. Kurniawati, Rustina Y, Budiati T. Peer Support Increases Maternal Confidence,
- 707 Kangaroo Mother Care Implementation and Weight Gain in LBW Infants.
- 708 Comprehensive child and adolescent nursing. 2019;42(sup1):252-60.
- 709 48. Lee HC, Martin-Anderson S, Dudley RA. Clinician perspectives on barriers to and
- opportunities for skin-to-skin contact for premature infants in neonatal intensive care
- 711 units. Breastfeeding Medicine. 2012;7(2):79-84.

- 712 49. Lemmen D, Fristedt P, Lundqvist A. Kangaroo care in a neonatal context:
- parents' experiences of information and communication of nurse-parents. The open
- 714 nursing journal. 2013;7:41.
- 50. Bergh A-M, Manu R, Davy K, Van Rooyen E, Asare GQ, Williams JKA, et al.
- 716 Translating research findings into practice—the implementation of kangaroo mother care
- 717 in Ghana. Implementation science. 2012;7:1-9.
- 718 51. Nahidi F, Tavafian SS, Haidarzade M, Hajizadeh E. Opinions of the midwives
- about enabling factors of skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth: a descriptive
- study. Journal of family & reproductive health. 2014;8(3):107.
- 721 52. Maniago JD, Almazan JU, Albougami AS. Nurses' kangaroo mother care practice
- 722 implementation and future challenges: An integrative review. Scandinavian Journal of
- 723 Caring Sciences. 2020;34(2):293-304.