

Enhanced Patient Portal Engagement Associated with Improved Weight Loss Outcomes in Post-Bariatric Surgery Patients

Authors: Xinmeng Zhang¹, BS; Kaidi Kang², MS; Chao Yan³, PhD; Yubo Feng¹, MS; Simon Vandekar², PhD; Danxia Yu⁴, PhD; S. Trent Rosenbloom^{3,5}, MD; Jason Samuels⁶, MD; Gitanjali Srivastava^{5,6,7,8}, MD; Brandon Williams^{6,8}, MD; Vance L. Albaugh⁹, MD, PhD; Wayne J. English^{6,8}, MD; Charles R. Flynn^{6,8}, PhD; You Chen^{1,3}, PhD

Affiliations:

¹Department of Computer Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

²Department of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

³Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

⁴Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA.

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

⁶Department of Surgery, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

⁷Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

⁸Vanderbilt Weight Loss Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

⁹Metamor Institute, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

Corresponding Author:

You Chen, PhD

Suite 1475, 2525 West End Avenue

Department of Biomedical Informatics

Vanderbilt University Medical Center

Nashville, TN 37203

Email: you.chen@vumc.org

Abstract

Background: Bariatric surgery is an effective intervention for obesity, but it requires comprehensive postoperative self-management to achieve optimal outcomes. While patient portals are generally seen as beneficial in engaging patients in health management, the link between their use and post-bariatric surgery weight loss remains unclear.

Objective: This study investigated the association between patient portal engagement and postoperative body mass index (BMI) reduction among bariatric surgery patients.

Methods: This retrospective longitudinal study included patients who underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) or sleeve gastrectomy (SG) at Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) between January 2018 and March 2021. Using generalized estimating equations, we estimated the association between active days of postoperative patient portal use and the reduction of BMI percentage (%BMI) at 3, 6, and 12 months post-surgery. Covariates included duration since surgery, the patient's age at the time of surgery, gender, race and ethnicity, type of bariatric surgery, severity of comorbid conditions, and socioeconomic disadvantage.

Results: The study included 1,415 patients, mostly female (80.9%), with diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds. 805 (56.9%) patients underwent RYGB and 610 (43.1%) underwent SG. By one-year post-surgery, the mean (SD) %BMI reduction was 31.1% (8.3%), and the mean (SD) number of patient portal active days was 61.0 (41.2). A significantly positive association was observed between patient portal engagement and %BMI reduction, with variations revealed over time. Each 10-day increment of active portal use was associated with a 0.57% ([95% CI: 0.42- 0.72], $P < .001$) and 0.35% ([95% CI: 0.22- 0.49], $P < .001$) %BMI reduction at 3 and 6 months postoperatively. The association was not statistically significant at 12 months postoperatively ($\beta = -0.07$, [95% CI: -0.24- 0.09], $P = .54$). Various portal functions, including messaging, visits, my record, medical tools, billing, resources, and others, were positively associated with %BMI reduction at 3- and 6-months follow-ups.

Conclusions: Greater patient portal engagement, which may represent stronger adherence to postoperative instructions, better self-management of health, and enhanced communication with care teams, was associated with improved postoperative weight loss. Future investigations are needed to identify important portal features that contribute to the long-term success of weight loss management.

Keywords: patient portal; patient engagement; bariatric surgery

Introduction

Obesity continues to be a significant health concern in the United States, with approximately 42% and 9.2% of adults classified as having obesity (body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m²) and severe obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²), respectively.[1] Research has consistently demonstrated that individuals with obesity face an elevated risk for a range of health complications, including but not limited to,

cardiovascular disease, diabetes, respiratory problems, and metabolic syndrome.[2–6] Bariatric surgery remains the most effective intervention for individuals who struggle to achieve sustainable weight loss solely through diet and exercise, whether through its effects of restricting food intake or modifying the digestive system.[7,8]

Comprehensive postoperative care following hospital discharge plays a pivotal role in achieving optimal outcomes and enduring success for bariatric surgery patients.[9] Effective health tracking, patient self-management, and efficient collaboration between patients and their care teams, are of utmost importance in working towards shared goals, including sustained weight loss, improved health conditions, and enhanced overall quality of life. Such postoperative needs have been increasingly supported by both web-based and mobile app-based patient portals,[10] which typically provide patients access to their own electronic health information and serve as platforms for timely communication and regular health information exchange with their care teams. Growing evidence underscores the efficacy of portal usage in delivering continuous support and guidance, monitoring key indicators related to primary interventions, enhancing medication adherence, and fostering self-management in promoting behavioral and lifestyle modifications.[11,12] Despite the reported merits of patient portal usage in general settings of care, its application to bariatric surgery has not been evaluated. In particular, there remains a gap in understanding the link between patient portal engagement and weight loss following bariatric surgery.

In the present study, we investigated the association between patient portal engagement and weight loss outcomes, spanning from 3 months to one-year post-bariatric surgery. We hypothesized that greater engagement with patient portals is associated with enhanced weight loss. Moreover, we investigated how such an association varies over time.

Methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRB) at Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) under IRB#221459. A full waiver of written informed consent from patients was granted by the IRB due to the fact that this study is retrospective with minimal risks to patients. This study follows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

Study Settings

We conducted a single-site retrospective longitudinal study at Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC), a large academic medical center in Nashville, TN, USA that provides primary and specialty referral care to patients from across the Southeast. This study included patients who underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) or sleeve gastrectomy (SG) operations, the most commonly performed operations,[13] between January 1, 2018, and March 1, 2021, inclusive, as part of their participation in the VUMC Surgical Weight Loss Program. The specific end date reflected the most

current data update within the available dataset. The VUMC Surgical Weight Loss Program is accredited by the Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program (MBSAQIP).[14] VUMC deployed its patient portal, My Health at Vanderbilt (MHAV), in 2004, and migrated to Epic's MyChart platform in late 2017 as part of a wider electronic health record system update across VUMC. Like most patient portals, MHAV allows patients to access their own electronic health information, make appointments, manage medications, and interact with their care providers through a secure messaging system.[15] MHAV currently has over 1 million users and is accessed over 30 million times annually.

Data

The cohort was obtained from the VUMC bariatric surgery Quality, Efficacy, and Safety (QES) registry, a VUMC-specific database used exclusively for internal research and quality enhancement. We considered initial RYGB or SG operations performed at VUMC and excluded any subsequent surgical revisions due to their inherent complexity. We identified the date of each patient's initial bariatric surgery (day zero) as the index event. Following the surgery, patients were scheduled for clinic follow-ups at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperative. We collected BMI data from QES registry and EHR. The observations with missing weights at the follow-up visits at the 3, 6, or 12 months post-surgery and the patients who lacked weight records immediately prior to surgery (baseline) were excluded.

Patient demographic and clinical information were extracted from Epic electronic health record (EHR) system, which was deployed at VUMC in 2017. Demographic information includes age at surgery, sex (female or male), and self-reported race and ethnicity (Black, White, or other Races/Ethnicities) from EHR. We grouped American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Asian Indian, Chinese, Cuban, Filipino, Guamanian or Chamorro, Hispanic or Latino, Japanese, Korean, Mexican, Mexican American or Chicano, Native Hawaiian, other Asian, other Pacific Islander, Puerto Rican, Samoan, Vietnamese, and none of the above into "other Races/Ethnicities" to avoid unstable estimates due to their small cohort sizes. Past medical conditions of each patient, encompassing a period of 10 years up to the index event, were extracted to calculate the Charlson comorbidity index (CCI).[16,17] To account for socioeconomic status, we used the area deprivation index (ADI),[18] a widely adopted measure indicating the neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage level. In our analysis, we determined patients' ADI by their respective 5-digit Zip Codes.

We extracted patient portal engagement history from MHAV event logs, which record every action taken by system users through provided interfaces.[19–24] These included unique patient identifiers, event timestamps, and event types. For each patient, we focused on the timeframe starting from day 5 post-surgery—typically regarded as the commencement of the post-discharge phase—up to 12 months postoperative. We categorized event types to seven portal functions: messaging (support communication between healthcare providers and patients), visits (facilitate appointment management), my record (provide lists of allergies,

immunizations, medical history, medications, pharmacy, preventive care, test results, and vitals), medical tools (allow patients to view document and add devices), billing (support account payment and insurance management), resources (provide patient education materials), and others (include additional functions not specified above, such as “Send proxy invite”).[19] The full list of event types associated with these functions is reported in Supplement eTable 1.

Measure Definition

There is no universally agreed upon measure of patient portal engagement. For this study, we quantified engagement by measuring the number of days in which a patient performed any action within MHAV after logging in, as recorded in the event logs of MHAV. Days that solely consisted of login and logout events were not counted. As such, we use “active days of portal use” interchangeably to refer to this measurement. We trimmed outliers where the number of active days of portal use surpassed 110 (95th quantile of portal use) to mitigate the risk of deriving biased analysis. We tracked the number of active days of portal usage from day 5 to the conclusion of the 3, 6, and 12 postoperative months, respectively.

Postoperative weight loss outcome is defined as the percentage of BMI (%BMI) reduction at month m compared to the baseline BMI:

$$\%BMI_m = \frac{BMI_{baseline} - BMI_m}{BMI_{baseline}} \times 100\%$$

The primary goal of this study is to examine the association between patient portal engagement and %BMI reduction at different follow-up time points.

Statistical Analysis

The data analysis was performed between February 2023 and November 2023. We used generalized estimating equation [25] with identity link function and exchangeable correlation structure to model the association of patient portal engagement with %BMI reduction. In addition to P-values, effect size estimates were also reported using a robust effect size index (RESI) along with its 95% confidence intervals (CIs).[26,27] The RESI is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ Cohen’s d under some assumptions,[28] so Cohen’s suggested interpretations for ES are: none to small (RESI=[0, 0.1]); small to medium (RESI=(0.1, 0.25]); and medium to large (RESI=(0.25, 0.4]). The direction of the RESI estimates indicates the association direction. The independent and dependent variable are the number of active days of portal use (winsorized at 110-day) and the %BMI reduction at the 3, 6, or 12 months post-operation, respectively. Covariates included the duration since surgery (in months), the patient’s age at the time of surgery (in years), gender (female or male), race and ethnicity (Black, White, or other Races/Ethnicities), type of bariatric surgery (RYGB or SG), CCI score, and ADI. Conceptual framework was included in Supplement eFigure 2.

We centered our analyses around the primary hypothesis that greater patient portal engagement is associated with more %BMI reduction at a given month, and this association varies over time. Therefore, the primary analysis incorporated the interaction term between portal engagement and duration since surgery. We also conducted a secondary analysis to test the relative influence of specific portal functions. As part of this, we restricted our analysis to the active days of each portal function separately. A sensitivity analysis was performed by adding BMI immediately prior to surgery (BMI at baseline) to the primary model to account for its potential mediation effect. In addition, considering the overlap of our study period with the COVID-19 pandemic, during which MHAV usage spiked as a lot of healthcare moved to virtual delivery,[29] we conducted an additional sensitivity analysis by dividing the patient cohort based on whether their surgeries occurred before March 1, 2020. We then determined if the associations between portal engagement and %BMI reduction were consistent before and during the pandemic.

All statistical analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.3.1). A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Descriptive Analysis

The bariatric surgery cohort comprises 1,415 patients, with 3,377 observations within one-year follow-up visits (study cohort flow diagram shown in Supplement eFigure 1). 805 (56.9%) patients underwent RYGB and 610 (43.1%) underwent SG. 1,145 (80.9%) patients are female (Table 1). The mean (SD) age as of the surgery date is 44.5 (11.4) years, with a mean (SD) baseline BMI of 47.0 (7.71) kg/m². After surgery, 95.1%, 76.7%, and 66.9% of patients had a follow-up at the 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively. The mean (SD) %BMI reduction at the 3, 6, and 12 months is 15.9% (3.73%), 24.1% (5.58%), and 31.1% (8.30%), respectively. Over 98% of bariatric surgery patients utilized MHAV after surgery. Patients have a mean (SD) engagement of 24.2 (13.5), 37.8 (22.9), and 61.0 (41.2) active days within the first 3, 6, and 12 months post-surgery, respectively. Winsorization of portal engagement affected 137 (4.06%) observations from 130 (9.19%) patients.

Table 1. Characteristics of study cohort.

Characteristics	Overall (N=1,415)	RYGB (N=805)	VSG (N=610)
	Patient, No. (%)		
BMI records missing			
3 months	70 (4.9%)	34 (4.2%)	36 (5.9%)
6 months	329 (23.3%)	172 (21.4%)	157 (25.7%)
12 months	469 (33.1%)	237 (29.4%)	232 (38.0%)

Characteristics	Overall (N=1,415)	RYGB (N=805)	VSG (N=610)
Gender			
Female	1145 (80.9%)	656 (81.5%)	489 (80.2%)
Male	270 (19.1%)	149 (18.5%)	121 (19.8%)
Race and ethnicity			
Black	282 (19.9%)	110 (13.7%)	172 (28.2%)
White	1088 (76.9%)	668 (83.0%)	420 (68.9%)
Other Races/Ethnicities	45 (3.2%)	27 (3.4%)	18 (3.0%)
	Mean (SD)		
Age at baseline	44.5 (11.4)	44.4 (11.0)	44.8 (12.0)
Baseline BMI	47.0 (7.71)	47.3 (7.88)	46.6 (7.48)
%BMI reduction			
3 months	15.9 (3.73)	16.5 (3.83)	15.0 (3.42)
6 months	24.1 (5.58)	25.5 (5.21)	22.0 (5.46)
12 months	31.1 (8.30)	33.5 (7.42)	27.4 (8.22)
Portal active days^a			
3 months	24.2 (13.5)	24.6 (13.9)	23.7 (12.8)
6 months	37.8 (22.9)	38.9 (24.0)	36.3 (21.4)
12 months	61.0 (41.2)	63.2 (43.3)	58.1 (38.0)
Function active days at 12-months¹			
Billing	19.5 (17.3)	20.4 (18.6)	18.4 (15.4)
Medical tools	38.9 (42.3)	40.9 (44.7)	36.2 (38.8)
Messaging	36.9 (25.6)	37.8 (26.2)	35.8 (24.7)
My record	59.4 (40.4)	61.5 (42.4)	56.7 (37.3)
Resources	9.09 (19.2)	8.74 (19.2)	9.56 (19.0)
Visit	55.6 (40.3)	57.1 (42.2)	53.6 (37.6)
Others	13.0 (15.1)	13.9 (16.3)	11.8 (13.4)
Area Deprivation Index (ADI)	56.3 (19.1)	56.7 (19.3)	55.7 (18.8)
Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)	1.23 (1.59)	1.27 (1.51)	1.19 (1.68)
^a The portal active days are not winsorized.			

Multivariate Analysis

Our results revealed significant associations between patient portal engagement and %BMI reduction: an additional 10-day increment in active portal engagement is associated with a 0.57 ([95% CI: 0.42- 0.72], $P < .001$), and 0.35 ([95% CI: 0.22-

0.49], $P < .001$) increase in the expected %BMI reduction at the 3- and 6-month follow-ups, respectively (Figure 1). This association is not statistically significant at the 12-month follow-ups ($\beta = -0.07$, [95% CI: -0.24- 0.09], $P = .54$). Moreover, the analysis demonstrated that the effect of portal engagement over %BMI reduction has significant variation over time. For each additional month, the effect over %BMI reduction decreases by 0.07 ([95% CI: -0.09- -0.05], $P < .001$) (Table 2).




Figure 1. The estimated association strengths (regression coefficients) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals between portal engagement and the %BMI reduction at the 3, 6 and 12 months, respectively.

Table 2: Model results of the relationship between portal engagement and %BMI reduction over time following bariatric surgery. All other independent variables factored in as covariates.

Independent variables	Regression coefficient (95% CI)	P	RESI (95% CI)
Portal engagement (per 10-days)	0.78 [0.62- 0.94]	<.001	0.25 [0.19- 0.33]
Portal engagement x months	-0.07 [-0.09- -0.05]	<.001	-0.22 [-0.28- -0.16]
Age (per 5-years)	-0.26 [-0.38- -0.14]	<.001	-0.11 [-0.17- -0.06]
Male ^a	0.21 [-0.41- 0.83]	.51	0.02 [-0.04- 0.06]
Black ^b	-2.52 [-3.16- -1.87]	<.001	-0.20 [-0.26- -0.15]
Other Races/Ethnicities ^b	-1.54 [-3.19- 0.10]	.07	-0.05 [-0.11- 0.01]
ADI	0.01 [-0.01- 0.02]	.31	0.03 [-0.03- 0.08]
CCI	-0.35 [-0.52- -0.18]	<.001	-0.10 [-0.16- -0.06]
SG ^c	-2.90 [-3.41- -2.40]	<.001	-0.30 [-0.36- -0.24]
Months post-surgery	1.86 [1.76- 1.97]	<.001	0.91 [0.84- 1.00]

^a The reference group for gender is female.

^b The reference group for race and ethnicity is White.

^c The reference group for operation type is RYGB.

A significantly lower expected %BMI reduction was observed in Black patients ($\beta = -2.52$, [95% CI: -3.16- -1.87], $P < .001$) compared to White patients (Table 2). Such difference is not significant for patients who were self-identified as other Races/Ethnicities ($\beta = -1.54$, [95% CI: -3.19- 0.10], $P = .07$). In terms of age at operation, a 5-year increase was found to be significantly associated with a 0.26 decrease in the expected %BMI reduction ($\beta = -0.26$, [95% CI: -0.38- -0.14], $P < .001$). When evaluating comorbidities, our findings indicated that patients with a higher CCI score were associated with a significantly lower expected %BMI reduction ($\beta = -0.35$, [95% CI: -0.52- -0.18], $P < .001$). In the context of surgery type, our results indicated the expected %BMI reduction among the patients who underwent SG is 2.90 lower than those who received RYGB ($\beta = -2.90$, [95% CI: -3.41- -2.40], $P < .001$). Additionally, patients from areas with different ADI did not show significant differences in %BMI reduction ($\beta = 0.01$, [95% CI: -0.01- 0.02], $P = .31$).

Regarding specific portal functions, “My Record” and “Visit” functions – enabling patients to access their medications and test results, and manage their appointments, respectively – were the most frequently utilized (Table 1). Our analysis found that every portal function had a significant positive association with %BMI reduction at 3- and 6-month follow-ups. Specifically, “Resources”, “Others”, and “Messaging” functions showed the strongest associations at 3 months ($\beta = 1.31$, [95% CI: 0.80- 1.82], $P < .001$ for “Resources”; $\beta = 1.02$, [95% CI: 0.63- 1.40], $P < .001$ for “Others”; $\beta = 0.86$, [95% CI: 0.65- 1.08], $P < .001$ for “Messaging”) and 6 months ($\beta = 0.84$, [95% CI: 0.46- 1.22], $P < .001$ for “Resources”; $\beta = 0.59$, [95% CI: 0.28- 0.90], $P < .001$ for “Others”; $\beta = 0.55$, [95% CI: 0.37- 0.73], $P < .001$ for “Messaging”) (Table 3). However, no functions showed a significant positive association with %BMI reduction at 12-month follow-up.

Table 3: Association strengths between specific portal functions and the expected %BMI reduction at 3, 6 and 12 months.

Portal function	3 months		6 months		12 months	
	β [95% CI]	<i>P</i>	β [95% CI]	<i>P</i>	β [95% CI]	<i>P</i>
Resources	1.31 [0.80- 1.82]	<.001	0.84 [0.46- 1.22]	<.001	-0.10 [-0.35- 0.15]	.60
Others	1.02 [0.63- 1.40]	<.001	0.59 [0.28- 0.90]	<.001	-0.27 [-0.56- 0.02]	.07
Messaging	0.86 [0.65- 1.08]	<.001	0.55 [0.37- 0.73]	<.001	-0.08 [-0.29- 0.14]	.65
Billing	0.67 [0.37- 0.97]	<.001	0.49 [0.23- 0.75]	<.001	0.13 [-0.20- 0.46]	.60
My record	0.58 [0.42- 0.73]	<.001	0.36 [0.23- 0.50]	<.001	-0.06 [-0.23- 0.10]	.61
Visits	0.56 [0.41- 0.72]	<.001	0.35 [0.22- 0.49]	<.001	-0.07 [-0.23- 0.10]	.56
Medical tools	0.32 [0.17- 0.47]	<.001	0.22 [0.10- 0.34]	<.001	0.02 [-0.12- 0.17]	.93

The sensitivity analysis (Figure 1, Supplement eTable 2) indicated that the positive association between portal engagement and %BMI reduction at both 3 and 6

months persisted after adjusting for baseline BMI ($\beta=0.57$, [95% CI: 0.42- 0.71], $P < .001$ at 3 months, and $\beta=0.36$, [95% CI: 0.22- 0.49], $P < .001$ at 6 months). Portal engagement increased significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic, with mean (SD) engagement rising from 51.9 (38.7) active days prior to the pandemic to 77.9 (40.3) days amidst it at 12 months postoperative (Supplement eTable 3). The analysis confirmed that the positive association between portal engagement and %BMI was consistent across the pre-pandemic and pandemic period (Supplement eTables 4 and 5).

Discussion

Bariatric surgery necessitates sustained postoperative care, and prior studies have suggested that patient portals can enhance health awareness and therapy adherence.[11,30,31] Echoing findings from these studies, our retrospective longitudinal study suggested that increased portal engagement positively associated with better weight loss outcomes post-bariatric surgery with a medium effect size (RESI=0.25, [95% CI: 0.19- 0.33]), which underscores the pivotal role of patient portals in potentially enhancing post-surgery care and outcomes.

Our findings emphasized the importance of early patient portal engagement in promoting BMI reduction after bariatric surgery. We demonstrated that the strongest association between portal engagement and BMI reduction presented in the initial 3 months postoperative. This finding aligns with prior studies, which indicated that weight changes during the early postoperative period are predictive of long-term weight loss success.[32–34] Silveria et al. demonstrated that patients achieving less than 5% total body weight reduction at 1 month and 10% at 3 months following RYGB are associated with suboptimal weight loss at 1 year.[33] Manning et al. found that weight loss velocity between 3 to 6 months is associated with maximal weight loss percentage.[34] Our results add to the existing literature by revealing the temporal shifts of patient portal engagement, underscoring the vital role that patient portal engagement plays in fostering favorable long-term weight loss outcomes.

However, loss to follow-ups remains a significant challenge in bariatric surgery studies. In our study, follow-up rates were 95.1%, 76.7%, and 66.9% at 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively. Potential reasons for this loss includes patients with fewer symptoms opting out of later follow-up appointments at the surgery center, changes in their residence and insurance status, etc [35]. This decline in follow-up rates from 6 months to 12 months may reduce the power to capture the association between portal engagement and BMI reduction and may cause a potential selection bias at 12 months.

Further investigation into the specific functions of the portal revealed that the “Resources” (e.g., “View Education Point”) and “Messaging” functions have the strongest associations with %BMI reduction, suggesting these functions could be particularly beneficial to postoperative management. Notably, by the 6-month

follow-up, patients engaging with the “Resources” and “Messaging” functions for every 100 active days saw an additional reduction in BMI by 8.4% and 5.5%, respectively. These findings align with prior study, which indicates that portal may be a source of context-based educational materials for self-management.[12] While “Billing” and “other functions” showed positive associations with %BMI reduction, they frequently co-occurred with other functions. In particular, “Billing” and “other functions” occurred together with “Visit” functions in 92% and 90% active days, respectively, suggesting that their statistical significance may be an artifact of metric design rather than evidence of clinically meaningful postoperative patient engagement through the portal.

Our research provides in-depth, longitudinal analysis of patient portal use in bariatric surgery, highlighting its benefits in the management of postoperative weight loss resources and the communication between clinicians and patients. However, more needs to be done to familiarize both patients and healthcare professionals with portal usage. Encouragement from healthcare providers and user-friendly designs from vendors are essential.[36–38] Nonetheless, the increasing use of portals may lead to clinician burnout,[39,40] underscoring the need for strategies that balance efficient digital interaction management with healthcare team well-being. Achieving this equilibrium is crucial for maximizing patient satisfaction and the effective use of digital health tools.

In addition to patient portal engagement, we observed that younger patients tended to achieve better postoperative outcome (Table 2). This could be attributed to faster metabolic rates in younger individuals.[41] Our findings align with prior studies that reported racial differences in weight loss after bariatric surgery, noting that self-identified Black patients experienced less weight loss compared to their White counterparts; however, the underlying causative reasons remain unclear.[42] We also found that patients with multiple comorbidities exhibited less weight loss, highlighting the needs for tailored postoperative care for patients with more complex health conditions. Our analysis revealed that patient undergoing RYGB lost more weight loss than those who had SG, consistent with existing literature.[8,43] The duration post-surgery was positively associated with BMI reduction. It highlighted the importance of considering the timeline in post-bariatric surgery outcomes analysis. While these observations were significant, they were not the primary focus of this work and warrant further investigation.

Limitations

Several limitations in this study are worth acknowledging. First, this study was conducted at a single academic medical center. The generalizability of the findings needs to be confirmed in other large surgical weight loss programs. Second, while we adjusted for a range of common factors associated with bariatric surgery outcomes, there could be confounding effects from other factors not within our data access, such as individual-level socioeconomic status and lifestyle factors. Lastly, the direct effects of specific clinic-oriented activities conducted via the portal—such as

medication refill requests or appointment scheduling—on improved weight loss outcomes have yet to be determined. In this study, these actions were not investigated in detail due to the smaller sample sizes they represent, which could result in a lack of statistical power and unstable estimates. Moving forward, our research aims to identify and scrutinize these pivotal actions more closely and assess their associations with post-bariatric surgery outcomes.

Conclusions

In this retrospective study, we examined longitudinal data from VUMC bariatric surgery registry and patient portal utilization. Our findings suggest that higher portal engagement was associated with better post-surgery weight loss. The proposed portal engagement metric and longitudinal analysis framework has the potential to be applied to other diseases that also require long-term care. Future studies can consider incorporating additional post-surgery factors, including dietary intake and comorbidity improvement, to gain a more holistic understanding of long-term outcomes after bariatric surgery.

Acknowledgements

XZ, CY, KK, and YC contributed to study conception and design. XZ and YC accessed and verified the underlying data. XZ, KK, CY, YF, and YC performed data analysis and interpretation. KK, XZ, and SV contributed to statistical design. XZ and YC drafted the manuscript. YC obtained funding and supervised this study. All authors contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

This research was supported, in part, by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01DK126721. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflicts of Interest

GS receives consulting fees from Novo Nordisk, Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, and Eli Lilly. GS is on the Speaker's Bureau for Novo Nordisk and Rhythm Pharmaceutical and receives industry support for clinical trials from Eli Lilly.

References

- 1 Products - Data Briefs - Number 360 - February 2020. 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db360.htm> (accessed 27 December 2023)
- 2 Managing Overweight and Obesity in Adults: Systematic Evidence Review from the Obesity Expert Panel. <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/sites/default/files/media/docs/obesity-evidence-review.pdf> (accessed 27 December 2023)

- 3 Li TY, Rana JS, Manson JE, *et al.* Obesity as compared with physical activity in predicting risk of coronary heart disease in women. *Circulation*. 2006;113:499–506. PMID: 16449729.
- 4 Hsu C, McCulloch CE, Iribarren C, *et al.* Body mass index and risk for end-stage renal disease. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;144:21–8. PMID: 16389251.
- 5 Aminian A, Tu C, Milinovich A, *et al.* Association of Weight Loss Achieved Through Metabolic Surgery With Risk and Severity of COVID-19 Infection. *JAMA Surg*. 2022;157:221–30. PMID: 34964827.
- 6 Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:1925–32. PMID: 19460605.
- 7 Wolfe BM, Kvach E, Eckel RH. Treatment of Obesity: Weight Loss and Bariatric Surgery. *Circ Res*. 2016;118:1844–55. PMID: 27230645.
- 8 Maciejewski ML, Arterburn DE, Van Scoyoc L, *et al.* Bariatric Surgery and Long-term Durability of Weight Loss. *JAMA Surg*. 2016;151:1046–55. PMID: 27579793.
- 9 Madura JA, DiBaise JK. Quick fix or long-term cure? Pros and cons of bariatric surgery. *F1000 Med Rep*. 2012;4:19. PMID: 23091563.
- 10 Robinson JR, Davis SE, Cronin RM, *et al.* Use of a Patient Portal During Hospital Admissions to Surgical Services. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc*. 2017;2016:1967–76. PMID: 28269956.
- 11 Kruse CS, Bolton K, Freriks G. The effect of patient portals on quality outcomes and its implications to meaningful use: a systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17:e44. PMID: 25669240.
- 12 Johnson KB, Ibrahim SA, Rosenbloom ST. Ensuring Equitable Access to Patient Portals—Closing the “Techquity” Gap. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2023;4:e233406–e233406. PMID: 37948065.
- 13 Kizy S, Jahansouz C, Wirth K, *et al.* Bariatric Surgery: A Perspective for Primary Care. *Diabetes Spectr Publ Am Diabetes Assoc*. 2017;30:265–76. PMID: 29151717.
- 14 Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program. ACS. <https://www.facs.org/quality-programs/accreditation-and-verification/metabolic-and-bariatric-surgery-accreditation-and-quality-improvement-program/> (accessed 27 December 2023)

- 15 Steitz BD, Wong JIS, Cobb JG, *et al.* Policies and procedures governing patient portal use at an Academic Medical Center. *JAMIA Open*. 2019;2:479–88. PMID: 32025645.
- 16 Gasparini A. comorbidity: An R package for computing comorbidity scores. *J Open Source Softw*. 2018;3:648. PMID: 29897411.
- 17 Sundararajan V, Henderson T, Perry C, *et al.* New ICD-10 version of the Charlson comorbidity index predicted in-hospital mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2004;57:1288–94. PMID: 15617955.
- 18 Kind AJH, Buckingham WR. Making Neighborhood-Disadvantage Metrics Accessible — The Neighborhood Atlas. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:2456–8. PMID: 29949490.
- 19 Di Tosto G, McAlearney AS, Fareed N, *et al.* Metrics for Outpatient Portal Use Based on Log File Analysis: Algorithm Development. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22:e16849. PMID: 32530435.
- 20 Yan C, Zhang X, Yang Y, *et al.* Differences in Health Professionals' Engagement With Electronic Health Records Based on Inpatient Race and Ethnicity. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6:e2336383. PMID: 37812421.
- 21 Adler-Milstein J, Adelman JS, Tai-Seale M, *et al.* EHR audit logs: A new goldmine for health services research? *J Biomed Inform*. 2020;101:103343. PMID: 31821887.
- 22 Chen B, Alrifai W, Gao C, *et al.* Mining tasks and task characteristics from electronic health record audit logs with unsupervised machine learning. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA*. 2021;28:1168–77. PMID: 33576432.
- 23 Zhang X, Yan C, Malin BA, *et al.* Predicting next-day discharge via electronic health record access logs. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA*. 2021;28:2670–80. PMID: 34592753.
- 24 Rule A, Chiang MF, Hribar MR. Using electronic health record audit logs to study clinical activity: a systematic review of aims, measures, and methods. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA*. 2020;27:480–90. PMID: 31750912.
- 25 Liang K-Y, Zeger SL. Longitudinal Data Analysis Using Generalized Linear Models. *Biometrika*. 1986;73:13–22.
- 26 Kang K, Jones MT, Armstrong K, *et al.* Accurate Confidence and Bayesian Interval Estimation for Non-centrality Parameters and Effect Size Indices. *Psychometrika*. 2023;88:253–73. PMID: 36725775.

- 27 Kang K, Seidlitz J, Bethlehem RAI, *et al.* Study design features that improve effect sizes in cross-sectional and longitudinal brain-wide association studies. *BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol.* 2023;2023.05.29.542742.
- 28 Vandekar S, Tao R, Blume J. A Robust Effect Size Index. *Psychometrika.* 2020;85:232–46. PMID: 32232646.
- 29 Richwine C. Progress and Persistent Disparities in Patient Access to Electronic Health Information. *JAMA Health Forum.* 2023;4:e233883. PMID: 37948063.
- 30 Singh P, Jonnalagadda P, Morgan E, *et al.* Outpatient portal use in prenatal care: differential use by race, risk, and area social determinants of health. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA.* 2021;29:364–71. PMID: 34741505.
- 31 Lyles CR, Sarkar U, Ralston JD, *et al.* Patient-provider communication and trust in relation to use of an online patient portal among diabetes patients: The Diabetes and Aging Study. *J Am Med Inform Assoc JAMIA.* 2013;20:1128–31. PMID: 23676243.
- 32 Park JY. Weight Loss Prediction after Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. *J Obes Metab Syndr.* 2023;32:46–54. PMID: 36945076.
- 33 Silveira FC, Docherty NG, Sallet PC, *et al.* Early Post-operative Weight Change After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass Predicts Weight Loss at 12-Month Follow-up. *Obes Surg.* 2020;30:5020–5. PMID: 32857300.
- 34 Manning S, Pucci A, Carter NC, *et al.* Early postoperative weight loss predicts maximal weight loss after sleeve gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Surg Endosc.* 2015;29:1484–91. PMID: 25239175.
- 35 Higa K, Ho T, Tercero F, *et al.* Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: 10-year follow-up. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2011;7:516–25. PMID: 21333610.
- 36 Sada A, Harmsen WS, McKenzie TJ, *et al.* Telemedicine Video Follow-Up for Bariatric Surgery Patients: What Do Patients Prefer? A Prepandemic Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial. *Am Surg.* 2023;89:2806–9. PMID: 34775810.
- 37 An Q, Kelley MM, Hanners A, *et al.* Sustainable Development for Mobile Health Apps Using the Human-Centered Design Process. *JMIR Form Res.* 2023;7:e45694. PMID: 37624639.
- 38 Gleason KT, Wu MMJ, Wec A, *et al.* Patient Portal Use Among Older Adults With Dementia Diagnosis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2023;183:881–3. PMID: 37358848.
- 39 Stillman M. Death by Patient Portal. *JAMA.* 2023;330:223–4. PMID: 37389857.

- 40 Budd J. Burnout Related to Electronic Health Record Use in Primary Care. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2023;14:21501319231166921. PMID: 37073905.
- 41 Woźniewska P, Diemiszczyk I, Groth D, *et al*. The influence of patient's age on metabolic and bariatric results of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in 2-year observation. *BMC Surg*. 2020;20:323. PMID: 33298009.
- 42 Admiraal WM, Celik F, Gerdes VE, *et al*. Ethnic differences in weight loss and diabetes remission after bariatric surgery: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:1951–8. PMID: 22923683.
- 43 Grönroos S, Helmiö M, Juuti A, *et al*. Effect of Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy vs Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass on Weight Loss and Quality of Life at 7 Years in Patients With Morbid Obesity: The SLEEVEPASS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Surg*. 2021;156:137–46. PMID: 33295955.