

1 **UPTAKE OF REPRODUCTIVE, MATERNAL AND NEWBORN HEALTH IN THE**
2 **CONTEXT OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN KENYA**

3 **Authors:** Joyce Jebet^{1*}, Ruth Muia², Abednego Ongeso³, Blasio Omuga⁴, Grace Omoni⁴,
4 Miriam Wagoro⁴

5 **Collaborators:** Leonard Sharia⁵, Ruth Kilonzo⁵, Fredrick Kinyenze⁵, Henry Mutiso⁶,
6 Ngumbao Mwatete⁶, Zawadi Lewa⁶, Dan Okoro⁷

- 7 1. National Defence University – Kenya, Defence College of Health Sciences
8 2. Ministry of Health, Kenya, National Cancer Institute
9 3. Aga Khan University, Kenya, School of Nursing and Midwifery
10 4. The University of Nairobi-Department of Nursing Sciences
11 5. Kitui County, Division of Reproductive and Public Health
12 6. Kilifi County, Division of Reproductive and Public Health
13 7. UNFPA, Kenya Country Office

14
15 *Corresponding author: Joyce Jebet; jjcheptum@gmail.com

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Abstract

30 **Background:** The outbreak of COVID 19 in 2019 lead to destabilization of all sectors
31 globally including access to health care. The strain on the health care system as a result
32 of the disease outbreak led to a shift in operations in the health care system. Maternal and
33 neonatal care was affected as women and their families could not freely access health care
34 owing to the restrictions to curb the spread of COVID 19. This led to a risk of a reversal
35 in the gains made in maternal and neonatal health, prompting the need to strengthen
36 community midwifery.

37 **Aim:** The aim of this study was to strengthen community reproductive, maternal and
38 newborn health in the context of COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya.

39 **Materials and methods:** This is part of an interventional study that sought to strengthen
40 community maternal and neonatal health services. A baseline survey was conducted to
41 assess the uptake of reproductive, maternal and neonatal care services in one sub-county
42 in Kilifi and Kitui, where five wards in each sub-county were selected. An interviewer
43 administered questionnaire was used to collect data. The sample size for the two Counties
44 was 414.

45 **Results:** There was a total of 378 respondents mostly comprising a rural population 75.7%
46 (n=286). Outpatient and COVID 19 services (tests and vaccine) were most sought 37.5%
47 (n= 137) and 29.9% (n=109) respectively. Antenatal care services were sought by 26%
48 (n=95) of the respondents, postnatal care 9% (n=33) and skilled birth attendance 8.8%
49 (n=32).

50 **Conclusion:** The most utilized health facility services were outpatient and COVID 19
51 services. Antenatal care was also sought, however skilled birth attendance and postnatal
52 care services were least sought.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63 **Introduction**

64 Maternal and neonatal mortality is still a major public health concern in developing
65 nations. According to the Kenya Demographic Health Survey (1) report, Kenya's
66 maternal mortality ratio (MMR) of 362 per 100,000 live births and 23 stillbirths per 1,000
67 was way above the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) set target of MMR of 70 per
68 100,000 and 12 stillbirths per 1,000 live births (2).

69 While the health facility delivery rates had increased from 43% in the year 2008/09 to
70 62% in 2014 and 75% in 2022 according to the Kenya demographic Health Survey (KDHS)
71 reports (3)(4), the country has challenges in moving towards attaining the Sustainable
72 Development Goal (SDG) 3 which aims at reducing maternal mortality ratio to less than
73 70 women per 100,000 live births, neonatal mortality rate to less than 12 per 1,000 live
74 births. These challenges are confounded by the COVID -19 pandemic that has affected
75 access to for maternal and neonatal health (MNH) services. According to (5), there were
76 no significant changes on ANC attendance, Facility births, FP uptake and PNC
77 attendance among women, however the study reported an increase in teenage
78 pregnancy. Fresh still births increased with a marked increase in FP uptake among
79 adolescents to include increase in Caesarean Sections among adolescents. These declining
80 indicators have been associated with government-imposed travel restrictions, curfews,
81 loss of many families' income and fear about COVID infections among clients seeking
82 Reproductive, Maternal and Neonatal Health (RMNH) services in health facilities. These

83 factors poor indicators and service disruptions are likely to adversely affect the gains
84 made in provision of Maternal and Neonatal Health services (MNH) in the country if no
85 strategic interventions are instituted. With most published studies on COVID 19 and
86 RMNH in Kenya being qualitative in nature, data on current trends on RMNH indicators
87 is scanty.

88

89 To address MNH needs in the context of COVID 19, the government of Kenya developed
90 guidelines to guide the provision of RMNH services during this period. In addition, the
91 National Guidelines for continuity of Community Health Services was as developed to
92 give policy direction on management of the health services at the Primary Health care
93 level during the pandemic. Development of these Guidelines was the step towards the
94 right direction as it was in tandem with the move towards the achievement of Universal
95 Health Coverage by the year 2030. The Kenya country is committed to achieve the UHC
96 through strategic strengthening of the Primary Health Care system at the community
97 level with particular focus on Kenya Essential Package of Health (KEPH) Levels 1- 3
98 (individuals, Households, Dispensaries and health Centres). Moreover, Universal Health
99 Coverage was one of the presidential Flagship projects reflected on the big 4 agendas of
100 the current government of Kenya to be institutionalized by the year 2022. Nevertheless,
101 the in RMNH guidelines (2020) does not comprehensively address the continuity of the
102 Reproductive, Maternal and Neonatal Health (RMNH) services in the context of
103 COVID19 at the community level. In addition, the continuity of community health

104 services in COVID19 situation guidelines (2020) don't show an implementation
105 framework of RMNH service provision.

106
107 Strengthening the community reproductive, maternal and newborn health services is in
108 line with Kenya health policy framework and SDG 3 and therefore adapting an
109 appropriate model that has proven potential to enhance access to RMNH will guide
110 policy direction on this area. This will provide an Impetus for reviewing/revising the
111 existing RMNH Guidelines and the community Health guideline with a view to
112 strengthening access to Reproductive, Maternal and Newborn Health (RMNH) services
113 at the community level. Therefore, this intervention is timely and is likely to be highly
114 supported if it is successful in the context of strengthening primary Health care towards
115 achievement of Universal health Coverage making country replication feasible and much
116 easier. The goal of the project was improve access to community RMNH services in the
117 context of COVID -19 pandemic in Kenya.

118

119 **Materials and Methods**

120 This was a mixed method implementation research that utilized both quantitative study
121 design. It was implemented through a cyclic process of implementing and learning to
122 improve the practice. The implementation sites were Kilifi and Kitui Counties, which
123 were purposively selected owing to their high burden for maternal and neonatal health

124 and availability of a robust community health system. A baseline survey was conducted
125 focusing on selected community health units and the link health facilities to determine
126 the disruptions of health services at the onset and during COVID 19 pandemic.
127 Therefore, gap analysis baseline survey with a focus to service uptake of Reproductive,
128 Maternal and Neonatal Health (RMNH) Service at the level of County Health
129 Management Teams (CHMT)/ sub-County CHMT, facility service managers and service
130 providers was conducted. Baseline assessment of the facility was conducted using a
131 facility assessment tool, Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with the service providers and
132 Client exit Interviews was used.

133

134 **Results**

135 **Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants**

136 The study enrolled 378 females aged between 16 and 59 years from Kilifi and Kitui
137 counties (46.8% and
138 53.2%). Their sociodemographic characteristics are outlined in Table 1. The median
139 (interquartile range) age of the study participants was 27.0 (23.0 - 38.0) years. Those
140 who were aged between 21-30 years were 47.4% while those between the age of 31 and
141 40 years were 17.2%. The majority were married (65.6%), Christians (92.1%), Self-
142 employment (72.2%) and hailed from rural areas (75.7%). Analysis of the educational

143 background of the study participants revealed that those who had primary and
144 secondary level education were 32.8% and 40.5% respectively.

145 *Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants*

146 **Uptake of community RMNH services**

147 A total of 221 respondents (58.5%) responded they or their family sought RMNH
148 services during the COVID-19 era. ANC, PNC, CWC, FP and maternity services were
149 sought by 29.5%, 14.5%, 30.7%, 10.8% and 13.3% of the respondents respectively. The
150 service providers for RMNH services as reported by the respondents included nurses
151 and community midwives (89.1% n=337), Community Health Volunteer (4.0% n=15)
152 and Community Health Assistants (0.5%). Other respondents sought services from
153 other providers who included herbalists, relatives and traditional healers (1.9% n=7),
154 whereas others did self-medication (4.5% n=17)

155 A total of 41 respondents (10.8%) changed their provider of RMNH services from
156 during the COVID-19 period. The reasons provided for the change included fear of
157 getting COVID-19 infection (43.9%), health facility being designated as an isolation
158 centre (14.6%), transport constraints (14.6%) and lack of pertinent information from the
159 CHV (7.3%). Other reasons included unavailability of the healthcare workers (4.9%),
160 long distance to the health centre (4.9%), and Financial constraints (4.9%).

161 Most of the study participants reported public facilities as their current health facility
162 for seeking RMNH services and also preferred type of health facility for seeking RMNH

163 services (95.2% and 92.3% respectively). Those who were seeking RMNH services in
164 private health facilities at the time of conducting the study were 4.2%. Additionally,
165 7.1% reported that they preferred seeking RMNH services in private health facilities.

166 *Figure 1: Services sought during COVID-19 era*

167 **Uptake of community RMNH services**

168 The health care rated the uptake of RMNH services before the onset of COVID 19 as
169 higher (57.1%) or lower (42.9%). However during the peak of COVID 19 in 2020,
170 majority (90%) rated the services as disrupted. Most of them (63.3%) agreed that the
171 services uptake in 2021 (post-COVID 19) were higher.

172 *Table 2: Rating the service uptake for RMNH pre- and post-COVID 19*

173 **Reasons for not seeking RMNH services during the COVID-19 era**

174 Most of the respondents (61.3%) reported that they were not sick/did not require
175 RMNH services during the period under enquiry. Those who failed to seek RMNH
176 services for fear of contracting COVID-19 infection in the health facilities were 3.9%
177 while 1.2% reported that COVID-19 restrictions prevented them from seeking the
178 services.

179 *Table 3: Reasons for not seeking RMNH services*

180 **Barriers that hindered utilization of RMNH services in the community**

181 The reported barriers included fear of acquiring COVID-19 infection (38.6%), lack of
182 knowledge (16.7%), religion (8.7%), financial difficulties (5.6%) and unfavourable
183 treatment from nurses (4.8%).

184 *Table 4: Barriers that prevent utilization of RMNH services in the community*

185 **Opportunities for improving RMNH services in the community**

186 These included increasing the number of health facilities (33.6%), bringing services to
187 the people (18.8%), providing access to contraceptives and safe delivery (10.4%) and
188 providing access to contraceptives and safe delivery (5.8%) (Table 4)

189 Among the suggestions to improve uptake of RMNH services were health
190 education/promotion (34.4%), increment of health facilities (15.3%), recruitment of
191 more health care workers (11.6%), raising awareness in the community (11.1%), and
192 empowerment of women (8.2%) (Table 5).

193 *Table 5: Opportunities for improving RMNH services in the community*

194 **Discussion**

195 The respondents were aged between 16 to 59 years with a median of 27 years. This
196 indicates that majority were a younger population. This could be explained by the
197 Kenya's expanding pyramid population where there are younger people at the bottom.
198 This age category is also the group which is economically productive and also in

199 reproductive matters. Age affects economic productivity in that with increasing age,
200 there is reduced economic productivity (6).

201 The study population was a rural community with low socio-economic status evidenced
202 by their monthly average income of less than 5,000 Kenyan shillings per month. In
203 addition, majority of them had primary or secondary level of education as their higher
204 achievement. There is a strong relationship between level of education and income
205 where, a higher level of education is linked to a higher income (7,8).

206 The most sought health facility services were outpatient department services, child
207 welfare services and COVID 19 services which included tests and vaccines. However,
208 maternity services for skilled birth attendance and postnatal care (PNC) were least sought
209 though antenatal care (ANC) was highly sought. This could indicate that there was a
210 likelihood of homebirths during the time and also ignorance on postnatal care services.
211 Although studies (9)(10) have established that women who attend ANC are more likely
212 to have a hospital birth and subsequently seek PNC services, this study established the
213 contrary. This could be attributed to the fear of contracting COVID 19 at the time due to
214 rumours and misconceptions that had not been dispelled. Other reasons for not seeking
215 care in health facilities included distance, stigma and availability of herbalists.

216

217 Access to RMNH was affected by many barriers during the COVID 19 pandemic. In the
218 current study, barriers to accessing RMNH ranged from fear of acquiring COVID 19, lack

219 of knowledge, false information, misconceptions and myths about COVID 19 and
220 religion. Financial and transport challenges was also a barrier. Negative attitude of health
221 care workers also contributed to clients not seeking services. Similar findings were
222 established in other studies (11)(12). Other than lock down and restriction of movement,
223 distance to the health facilities also contributed as a barrier to accessing reproductive
224 health services. This was not only unique to Kenya but other countries as well (11,13–15).
225 The barriers during the COVID 19 pandemic opens a discussion on what could be done
226 during the pandemic. Some of the opportunities as suggested by the respondents include
227 creating awareness to the community, women empowerment, mass media
228 communication and bringing services to the people. In addition, the findings of this study
229 posits the need to utilize technology to bridge the gap of access to services. To address
230 the gap of distance to the health facilities, there is need to have equity in distribution of
231 the health facilities. This calls for concerted efforts by governments to address this and
232 prevent similar challenges in case of other pandemic situations (14).

233 **Conclusions**

234 Uptake of reproductive, maternal and neonatal health services were affected during the
235 COVID 19 pandemic. Several barriers including fear of contracting COVID 19, stigma,
236 perception on lack of services and negative attitude of health care providers contributed
237 to low uptake of services.

238

239 **Acknowledgments**

240 We acknowledge the United Nations People’s Fund (UNFPA) for their financial support
241 towards this project. We also acknowledge AMREF for administration of the funds. In
242 addition, we acknowledge Kilifi and Kitui County management for actively supporting
243 the project.

244 **References**

- 245 1. KDHS. Key Indicators 2014 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS)
246 Millennium Development Goals for 2015. 2014;
- 247 2. United Nations. SDG 3: Ensure Healthy Lives and Promote Wellbeing For All At
248 All Ages [Internet]. UN Website. 2015. p. 2. Available from:
249 <http://www.who.int/sdg/targets/en/>
- 250 3. KDHS. 2014 DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY. Kenya Natl Bur Stat.
251 2014;
- 252 4. KNBS, ICF. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2022. Key Indicators Report.
253 Kenya Natl Bur Stat Minist Heal [Internet]. 2023;5(1):1-105. Available from:
254 <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR143/PR143.pdf>
- 255 5. Shikuku DN, Nyaoke I, Gichuru S, Maina O, Eyinda M, Godia P, et al. Early
256 indirect impact of COVID-19 pandemic on utilization and outcomes of
257 reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health services in Kenya.

- 258 medRxiv. 2020;
- 259 6. Domenech J, E. Jimeno &, D. Belo. The impact of ageing on the labour force and
260 productivity : six of one , half a dozen of the other. CaixaBank research. 2020. p.
261 2016–7.
- 262 7. Patrinos HA, Psacharopoulos G. Strong link between education and earnings. The
263 World Bank Blogs. 2018. p. 1–7.
- 264 8. Mou W. A Quantitative Analysis of the Relationship between Education Level
265 and Income. J Educ Humanit Soc Sci. 2023;12.
- 266 9. Fekadu GA, Ambaw F, Kidanie SA. Facility delivery and postnatal care services
267 use among mothers who attended four or more antenatal care visits in Ethiopia:
268 Further analysis of the 2016 demographic and health survey. BMC Pregnancy
269 Childbirth. 2019;19(1).
- 270 10. Onwuka CI, Ezugwu EC, Obi SN, Onwuka C, Dim CC, Chigbu C, et al. Postnatal
271 care services use by mothers: A comparative study of defaulters versus attendees
272 of postnatal clinics in Enugu. PLoS One. 2023;18(3 March).
- 273 11. Kelly J, Gittings L, Laurenzi C, Glinski CD, Mangqalaza H, Ralayo N, et al. HIV
274 and SRH healthcare delivery experiences of South African healthcare workers and
275 adolescents and young people during COVID-19. Psychol Heal Med.
276 2022;27(sup1).

- 277 12. Barua M, Chowdhury S, Saha A, Mia C, Sajow SH, Sarker M. Community-based
278 referral transportation system for accessing emergency obstetric services in the
279 Rohingya refugee camp during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh:
280 facilitators and barriers through beneficiaries' and providers' lens using a mixed-
281 method design. *Confl Health*. 2022;16(1).
- 282 13. Bolarinwa OA, Odimegwu C, Okeke SR, Ajayi K V., Sah RK. Barriers and
283 facilitators to accessing and using sexual and reproductive health services during
284 the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak in Africa: a protocol for a systematic review
285 and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13(6).
- 286 14. Mambo SB, Sikakulya FK, Ssebuufu R, Mulumba Y, Wasswa H, Mbina SA, et al.
287 Challenges in Access and Utilization of Sexual and Reproductive Health Services
288 Among Youth During the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown in Uganda: An Online
289 Cross-Sectional Survey. *Front Reprod Heal*. 2022;3.
- 290 15. Juma K, Kageha E, Ushie BA, Muga W. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on
291 Sexual and Reproductive Health Services in Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Kenya,
292 Malawi and Uganda. APHRC [Internet]. 2022; Available from:
293 10.13140/RG.2.2.11991.14244

294

295 **Other elements**

296 Tables and figures are uploaded separately.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants

Characteristic	No (n=378)	%
Age (years)		
Range	16 to 59 years	
Median (Interquartile range)	27.0 (23.0 - 38.0) years	
Mean \pm standard deviation	29.3 \pm 8.76 years	
<21	55	14.6
21-30	179	47.4
31-40	65	17.2
41-50	52	13.8
>50	27	7.1
Marital status		
Single	117	31
Married	248	65.6
Widowed	7	1.9
Divorced	3	0.8
Separated	3	0.8
Education level		
None	9	2.4
Primary	124	32.8
Secondary	153	40.5
College/University	92	24.3
Religion		
Christian	348	92.1
Muslim	27	7.1
Other	3	0.8
Occupation		
Paid employment	93	24.6
Self-employment	273	72.2
Not employed/Student	12	3.2
Income (KSh.)		
Below 1000	62	16.4
1000 – 3000	90	23.8
3001 – 5000	65	17.2
More than 5000	144	38.1
None	7	1.9
Residence		
Urban	92	24.3
Rural	286	75.7

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.18.24301357>; this version posted January 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license](#).

County		
Kilifi	178	46.8
Kitui	201	53.2




Figure 1: Services sought during COVID-19 era

Table 2: Rating the service uptake for RMNH pre- and post-COVID 19

Question	Category	Count	Column N %
How would you rate the service uptake for RMNH before the onset of COVID 19?	Higher	16	57.1%
	The same as now	0	0.0%
	Lower	12	42.9%
How would you rate the service uptake for RMNH in the peak period of COVID in 2020?	Service uptake not affected	2	6.7%
	Services disrupted	27	90.0%
	Higher service uptake	1	3.3%
Q19 How would you rate the service uptake for RMNH currently in 2021 compared to 2020?	Higher	19	63.3%
	The same as now	3	10.0%
	Lower	8	26.7%

Table 3: Reasons for not seeking RMNH services

Reason	Number (n=155)	%
Not sick	95	61.3
Fear of contracting COVID-19 in the health facilities	6	3.9
Had information that no drugs were available	3	1.9
Visiting the herbalist	2	1.3
Not aware of the services	2	1.3
Stigma and fear/distance and fear/Presence of herbal doctors and midwives	2	1.3
Advanced age	2	1.3
COVID-19 protocols prevented	2	1.3
Don't Know	2	1.3

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.18.24301357>; this version posted January 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Table 4: Barriers that prevent utilization of RMNH services in the community

Barrier	No (n-378)	%
Fear of acquiring COVID-19 infection	146	38.6
Lack of knowledge and understanding of the importance of services/poor health education	63	16.7
False information, misconceptions, myths, misbeliefs etc.	33	8.7
Religion	21	5.6
Financial difficulties	18	4.8
Bad treatment from nurses/ health workers	16	4.2
Long-distance to health facilities	15	4.0
Traditional beliefs	6	1.6
Side effects of contraceptives	4	1.1
Transport challenges	4	1.1
Others	52	13.8

Table 5: Opportunities for improving RMNH services in the community

Opportunity/Solution	No (n=378)	%
Increase number of health facilities	127	33.6
Bring services to the people	71	18.8
Provide access to contraceptives and safe delivery	61	16.2
Recruit/train more health workers	20	5.3
Mass communication and creation of awareness	19	5.0
Provide access to contraception and safe abortion	16	4.2
Empowering of women	10	2.6
Create community awareness	9	2.4
Improve health services in the facility	9	2.4
Health education	5	1.3
Others	31	8.2

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.18.24301357>; this version posted January 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).