

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

1 Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 Gerald Mukisa Nsereko¹, Loyce Kiiza Kobusingye¹, Khamisi Musanje¹, Joanita Nangendo²,
9 Simon Nantamu¹, and Martin Mabunda Baluku¹

10

11

12

13

14 ¹Department of Educational, Social and Organizational Psychology, Makerere University,
15 Kampala, Uganda

16 ² Clinical Epidemiology Unit, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala,
17 Uganda

18 *Correspondence: jeromegerald.jg@gmail.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 **NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

31 **Abstract**

32 The government of Uganda and its implementing partners have made significant
33 investments in HIV self-testing (HST) services to reduce clinic-related barriers to HIV testing.
34 However, although HSTs have been around for a reasonable time, their uptake, especially
35 among unskilled working individuals, has remained suboptimal, which threatens the efforts to
36 achieve the 95-95-95 UNAIDS goals to end HIV by 2030.

37 This study set out to examine whether knowledge and individual beliefs about self-
38 testing influence the use of HIV self-tests among casual workers in Kampala. This cross-
39 sectional study was conducted among 453 participants selected via systematic random
40 sampling from Darling Uganda Ltd. in Wakiso district between July and August 2023.
41 Standardized questionnaires were used, and the analysis was performed using Pearson
42 Correlations and Linear Regression in SPSS.

43 The findings indicate that HIV self-testing (HST) knowledge was positively related to
44 HST use ($r=.387$, $p\leq.01$), perceived susceptibility ($r=.212$, $p\leq.01$), perceived benefits ($r=.152$,
45 $p\leq.01$), and perceived barriers ($r=.101$, $p\leq.05$). Individual beliefs, that is, perceived
46 susceptibility ($r=.355$, $p\leq.01$), perceived benefits ($r=.487$, $p\leq.01$), and perceived barriers
47 ($r=.148$, $p\leq.01$), were significantly related to HST use. Perceived benefits were the best
48 predictor of HST use among this population ($\beta=.442$, $p=.000$). Therefore, (44.2%) of HST use
49 was attributed to the benefits attached to the service.

50 Therefore, increasing awareness regarding the benefits of HST among such
51 populations increases the chances of HIV testing, particularly among men. This can pave the
52 way for the 95-95-95 goal by 2030 of UNAIDS.

53

54 **Introduction**

55 HIV remains a major global public health challenge. By 2022 [1], approximately 40
56 million people were estimated to be living with HIV, of which 25.7 million people live in sub-
57 Saharan Africa [1]. In Uganda alone, about 1.5 million people are estimated to live with HIV
58 [1]. In 2022, of the 1.5 million HIV infections in Uganda, 5.8% occurred among people aged
59 15-49 years and above who form the largest percentage of the national workforce [2]. This
60 creates a need to intensify interventions to reduce HIV infection in this sub-population. To
61 reduce the burden of HIV and possibly end it by 2030, the UNAIDS proposed ambitious 95-
62 95-95 targets in 2020[1]. Uganda has made strides toward the 95-95-95 HIV UNAIDS target
63 [2, 3].

64 Provider stigma, that is, fear of being seen testing at the clinic, distance to health centres
65 (in some rural areas), financial constraints, and access to testing sites, have been identified as
66 the main barriers to HIV testing [5]. Incidentally, most of these are common to clinic-based
67 testing, hence a need to promote other HIV testing methods [6]. HST services are increasingly
68 gaining traction as important alternatives to counteract barriers surrounding clinic-based testing
69 [7]. They are considered private and convenient ways to help people who do not know their
70 status [7]. By employing either oral swab or finger-prick self-test kits, the person can carry
71 out this action wherever it's most convenient for them; at home, at work, or elsewhere [8, 9,
72 10].

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

73 The increase in infections among adults has been attributed to limited testing, for
74 example, in 2018, approximately 60% of adults in Uganda were reluctant to test for HIV given
75 the stigma surrounding a positive HIV test result [2, 11]. Knowledge of one's HIV status is
76 considered the first step in the HIV care cascade and an entry point for reducing HIV infections
77 [12, 13]. In this regard, the Uganda Government has launched several community-based
78 campaigns to create awareness and encourage voluntary testing among the population [2].
79 While community-targeted strategies are important in promoting public health initiatives,
80 certain contexts, like workplaces, seem to miss out on such initiatives, yet adults spend more
81 time at the workplace than in communities [14]. Due to the hectic schedules, tight deadlines,
82 and occasionally inconvenient and time-consuming commutes to pharmacies or medical
83 facilities from work, this population finds it hard to do testing for HIV [4].

84 HIV self-testing Kits are available for free in public health centers and at low cost in pharmacies
85 to increase accessibility. However, test accessibility does not guarantee successful coverage
86 and use [15]. Perceived susceptibility, (individual knowledge about the tests and
87 attitudes/beliefs), perceived benefits and perceived barriers towards actual usage, maybe be
88 better understood through obtaining insights into the targeted potential users [16]. The Health
89 Belief Model (HBM) proposes that for individuals to change their behavior towards a health
90 intervention, they are influenced by both modifying factors and individual beliefs [17]. This
91 study aimed to test whether HST knowledge and individual beliefs predict use of HST services
92 among workers through the health beliefs model lens.

93

94 **Methods**

95 **Study design and setting**

96 We conducted a cross-sectional survey between June and September 2023 to gather
97 information from many respondents in a short period of time and in the most effective way
98 [18]. The study is part of a bigger study titled “Effect of a workplace based HIVST intervention
99 on HIVST use among unskilled workers in Wakiso”. It was undertaken in Darling Uganda Ltd,
100 a manufacturing company located on Jinja Road, Namanve Industrial area. The organization is
101 a component of the Darling Group, which conducts business in 18 nations in Eastern, Western,
102 Southern, and Central regions of Africa, producing premium hair extensions produced from
103 premium Japanese fiber for both the domestic and foreign markets. The company has a little
104 over 3,000 unskilled workers who support the entire production process coming from several
105 units like packing, sorting, lifting, sealing among others. These require a sizable number of
106 human resource due to the high demand of hair extensions in the Ugandan market and beyond.
107 Majority of the employees have attained lower high school education with basic life skills that
108 help them perform tasks given to them in Darling Uganda.

109 **Study population**

110 Participants were enrolled into the study during the month of June 2023 if they met the
111 following eligibility criteria; aged 18 years and above and willing to offer a written informed
112 consent to join the study. Participants were excluded if they showed disinterest in participating.

113 **Ethics**

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

114 The study was approved by the Makerere University School of Medicine Research
115 Ethics Committee; Mak-SOMREC-2022-515 and received administrative clearance from the
116 participating study site (Darling Uganda Limited). All prospective participants gave written
117 consent prior to joining the study.

118 **Sampling and sample size**

119 The modified Kish Leslie formula ($N = (Z2\alpha/2P(1-P))/ d2/*DE$) (21) was used to
120 determine the required sample size. A 95% confidence interval equivalent to 1.96 ($Z2\alpha$),
121 assuming a design effect (DE) = 2, 5% tolerable random error (d), 15% probability of not using
122 the HST and (P) 85% sample proportion that uses HST [19] informed the study. An estimated
123 minimum sample size of 425 unskilled workers both male and female was arrived at. However,
124 453 was the total of participants who agreed to engage in the study.

125 Systematic random sampling was used. Here the participants were listed and numbered
126 according to the sampling frame, the population (3000) was divided by the required sample
127 size of 453. An interval fraction of (7) was used to select elements for inclusion into the study
128 depending on the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

129 **Data collection**

130 The study adapted self-administered closed-ended questionnaires; data was gathered on a 5-
131 point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). HST knowledge was
132 measured using HIV self-testing knowledge questionnaire HSTKQ (Gumede & Sibiya, 2018).
133 The HSTKQ is a 15-item tool with a reliability of ($\alpha = .811$). Individual beliefs were measured
134 with the HIV Testing Belief Scale (HTBS) developed by Alemayehu (2015). The HTBS is a
135 39-item tool designed to measure the HBM constructs. The current study used 3 items for
136 perceived susceptibility with a reliability of ($\alpha = .485$), 6 items for barriers ($\alpha = .718$), and 6
137 items for benefits ($\alpha = .631$) as they relate to workers' use of HST. Self-testing use was
138 measured using a nine-item tool for HIV self-testing (used in Senegal) to measure use and
139 acceptability (Lyons et al., 2019) with a reliability index of ($\alpha = .861$). The tools were translated
140 into Luganda (a local dialect) to help in easy comprehension.

141 **Data analysis**

142 Data was analysed using descriptives, Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient
143 (PPMCC), and regression. Descriptives of the different categories of background
144 characteristics were presented to show the percent distribution of respondents. Correlational
145 and Linear regression was used to examine HST knowledge, individual beliefs and HST use
146 among unskilled workers in Wakiso.

147 **Results**

148 Cisgender female respondents were 53% more than the cisgender males at 47%. About
149 29.2% of the respondents were aged 22-25. Majority of the participants were married 33.8%.
150 Concerning religion, majority were born again Christians at 32.9%. From the study results,
151 40.6% of the respondents had a high school level of education. Regarding sexual activity,
152 64.9% reported to having been sexually active in the past 6 months. Table 1 summarizes the
153 demographic information of the study participants.

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

154 Table 1: Descriptives

Variable	Levels	Frequency	Percentage
Sex	Male	213	47.0
	Female	240	53.0
	Total	453	100.0
Age	18-21	105	23.4
	22-25	131	29.2
	26-29	76	17.0
	30-33	58	12.9
	34-37	26	5.8
	38-41	42	9.4
	Above 41	10	2.2
	Total	453	100.0
	Level of education	Primary	80
Highschool		180	40.6
Certificate		99	22.3
Diploma		40	9.0
Other		44	9.9
Total		453	100.0

155

156 HST knowledge is positively related to perceived susceptibility ($r=.212$, $p\leq.01$),
 157 perceived benefits ($r=.152$, $p\leq.01$), perceived barriers ($r=.101$, $p\leq.05$), and HST use ($r=.387$,
 158 $p\leq.01$). Individual beliefs of perceived susceptibility ($r=.355$, $p\leq.01$); perceived benefits
 159 ($r=.487$, $p\leq.01$); and perceived barriers ($r=.148$, $p\leq.01$) are significantly related to HST use.

160 Table 2: correlation results

P	1	2	3	4	5
1. HST Knowledge	1				
2. Perceived Susceptibility	.212**	1			
3. Perceived Benefits	.152**	.374**	1		
4. Perceived Barriers	.101*	.111*	.101*	1	
5. HST Use	.387**	.355**	.487**	.148**	1

161 * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, N = 453

162 The regression results in Table 3 indicate that perceived benefits are the biggest
 163 predictor of HST use among unskilled workers ($\beta=.442$, $p=.000$) that is, 44.2% of HST use is
 164 attributed to perceived benefits. This is followed by HST knowledge ($\beta=.285$, $p=.000$),
 165 susceptibility ($\beta=.140$, $p=.001$), and finally barriers ($\beta=.048$, $p=.097$). HST knowledge,
 166 susceptibility, benefits, and barriers predict HST use by 35.4% [Ad $R^2=.354$, $F(62.9)$,
 167 $p<.001$].

168 Table 3: Regression

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Anova		
	β	Std. Error	Beta			Ad Square	R F	Sig.
1 (Constant)	.605	.243		2.48	.013			
HST knowledge	.285	.038	.293	7.52	<.000			
Susceptibility	.140	.041	.143	3.46	<.001	<.354	62.9	<.001 ^b
Benefits	.442	.047	.382	9.33	<.000			
Barriers	.048	.029	.064	1.66	.097			

169 a. Dependent Variable: HST use.

170

171 **Discussion**

172 The study intended to examine the influence of HST knowledge and individual
 173 beliefs on HST use in central Uganda. The findings indicate that HIV self-testing (HST)
 174 knowledge was positively related to HST use, perceived susceptibility, perceived benefits, and
 175 perceived barriers. Individual beliefs, that is, perceived susceptibility, perceived benefits, and
 176 perceived barriers, were significantly related to HST use. Perceived benefits were the best
 177 predictor of HST use among this population.

178

179 It was hypothesized that HST knowledge significantly relates and predicts HST use.
 180 The findings indicate that there exists a positive significant relationship between HST
 181 knowledge and HST use nevertheless, it predicts up to 28.5% of HST use among unskilled
 182 workers. Employees who possess adequate or basic knowledge about HST such as, the time it
 183 takes to get back the results, the accuracy of the results, the interpretation of results, and how
 184 HST is administered among others take an extra step and use the HST kit. Additionally, such
 185 an employee is likely to be more careful with his/her life/health by ensuring HIV testing comes
 186 first before engaging in any transactional or non-transactional sexual behavior which might be
 187 risky.

188 These findings corroborate those of Vara and colleagues who found out that possession
 189 of knowledge about HIV richly aids in overcoming fear and denial, that is, people that were
 190 initially afraid of HIV and in denial of its existence around them is overcome once they get to
 191 learn more about it which then influences their perceptions towards it and contributes to
 192 behavior modification [20]. Similarly, being knowledgeable about prevention, treatment,
 193 transmission and other facts about HIV, encourages logical safe sex behavior [21]. Therefore,
 194 the possibility that the possession of adequate and accurate knowledge is highly correlated to
 195 preventive efforts is a powerful motivating factor in most educational projects related to
 196 HIV/AIDS. The intention to utilize HST has been proven to be influenced by knowledge [20].

197 It was hypothesized that HST knowledge is significantly related to individual perceived
 198 susceptibility. The findings indicate that there is a significant relationship between HST
 199 knowledge and individual perceived susceptibility. An employee who has full information
 200 regarding HST is most likely to have high perceived susceptibility simply because being aware
 201 of what the test can do and how to use it effectively makes an individual perceive themselves

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

202 as susceptible to acquiring HIV. Knowledge can be very life threatening at times that a person
203 with it will feel at risk of becoming a victim because they are aware of the aftermath of their
204 actions if they do not pay attention to their behavior. In this case, a person will be afraid of
205 engaging in any risky sexual behaviors because of the costs attached. However, a person who
206 has shallow or no knowledge about HST may not see themselves at risk because they do not
207 know the costs attached to being unsure of their HIV sero-status and psychological wellbeing.

208 The above findings are in contrast with a Ghanaian study where Ghanaian adolescents
209 had a negative impression of their risk of contracting HIV, in other words, they did not find
210 themselves to be at risk. This can be attributed to the kind of behaviors they were engaged in
211 or even ignorance. The findings further suggest that 16% of the male adolescents and 15% of
212 the females thought they were at risk. This represents a small number of adolescents that find
213 themselves susceptible to contracting HIV [22]. A surprising finding also indicates that
214 individuals who felt more susceptible to contracting HIV, 70% males and 59% of the older
215 adolescents, were more engaged in sexual activity [22]. The findings imply that older teenagers
216 compared to those between the ages of 10 and 14, were more likely to believe they were at
217 danger of obtaining HIV. In order to lower their chances of catching HIV, some, almost 57%
218 of females and 47% of males, said they avoided having intercourse, while others, 12% of
219 females and 20% of males, said they used condoms [22].

220 It was also hypothesized that knowledge of HST is significantly related to individual
221 perceived benefits. The findings confirm that HST knowledge has a positive significant
222 relationship with individual perceived benefits of using HST whereby, possession or
223 acquisition of key information regarding HST use is most likely going to positively increase
224 the use of the intervention. Similarly, an employee, after realizing that HST outweighs any
225 other options and, most importantly, with the added benefits such as privacy, convenience, time
226 saving and easy interpretation among so much more; such an individual is most likely to use
227 the method for he/she had already been equipped with the necessary knowledge and
228 information pertaining HST.

229 Contrary to the study findings, a study in Kenya conducted by Olakunde and colleagues
230 [23] among young adults found that concerns and misconceptions relating to HST (inaccurate
231 results, harmful, ill-omened) were reasons for non-use of HST. In fact, young adults who stated
232 that they had heard of HST, were, prior to the study, also significantly less likely to be willing
233 to use HST because of their prior knowledge of self-test kits. Participants with the lowest levels
234 of education were also less likely to endorse HST [23]. Students in Uganda who had ever tested
235 for HIV and were sexually active, indicated the need for frequent HIV testing and chose to use
236 self-test kits because they (kits) were practical, simple to use, and guaranteed privacy [24].

237 It was also hypothesized that HST knowledge is significantly related to individual
238 perceived barriers. The findings indicate that HST knowledge has a significant positive
239 relationship with individual perceived barriers. The results indicate that employees that possess
240 HST knowledge have higher chances of perceiving HST as a barrier. In other words, the
241 knowledge of HST makes them much more worried to attempt and use the service. This could
242 be attributed to the fear that comes should the results turn out negative or, from experiences
243 with people that have in the past succumbed to HIV, hence posing as a barrier to HST. Men, in
244 most cases, have been found unreceptive towards HST due to busy work schedules and
245 demands from aspects of life hence finding HIV testing not only a waste of time, but also, in

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

246 some cases, taken for granted; they imagine that a negative HIV test result for their spouses
247 who visit health centres during antenatal care [25], implies that they too are negative hence
248 seeing no need of going for HIV testing [26].

249 It was hypothesized that individual perceived susceptibility positively relates and
250 predict HST use. The study findings indicate that individual's perceived susceptibility has a
251 significant positive relationship with HST use and predicts HST use by 14%, that is, employees
252 who find themselves highly susceptible to acquire HIV, are more likely going to be drawn
253 towards undertaking HST to ensure they are always safe. In this study, 90% of the employees
254 found themselves to be highly susceptible to contracting HIV depending on either the partners'
255 behavior or their own. Therefore, this led to 87% of the employees to feel inclined toward using
256 HST if provided at work given the benefits that come along with it.

257 The findings concur with those of Magno and Castellós who discovered that numerous
258 factors, including STIs, alcohol usage, drug injection, and several-sexual-partners, affect young
259 women's susceptibility to HIV [27]. The risk perception and willingness to test for HIV
260 were higher among young women who engage in these high-risk behaviors. Finally, students
261 in Uganda who were sexually active chose to use self-test kits because they were practical,
262 simple to use, and guaranteed privacy [24]. However, contrarily, participants in Kenya were
263 considerably less likely to utilize an oral HST kit if they had recently engaged in high-risk
264 sexual behaviors [23].

265 It was also hypothesized that individual perceived benefits significantly relate and
266 predict HST use among unskilled workers. The findings indicate that individual perceive
267 benefits have a positive significant relationship with HST use and perceived individual benefits
268 stood out with a prediction rate of 44.2% on HST use. Employees who knew about the benefits
269 that result from HST were more prepared to participate in HST since the benefits outweighed
270 the cons. Therefore, to increase HST especially in a busy working environment, benefits
271 pertaining a particular health intervention must be laid out so that respondents get a chance to
272 weigh the risks and benefits of such a practice.

273 Similarly, self-testing willingness appeared to be mostly motivated by the need to
274 obtain convenient and private information about one's HIV status [23]. In a study of key HIV
275 stakeholders, HST was viewed as a method of addressing persons who are underserved or
276 unknown. For instance, taking a test in a health centre would not be appropriate in a
277 professional workplace where everyone wears business attire. Such a group is probably going
278 to adhere to the new HST trend [26]. Results from the HST were seen to be more trustworthy
279 than those obtained from regular HIV testing at clinics. Over 80% of Ugandan fishermen who
280 participated in a study of men in Sub-Saharan Africa agreed with the HST findings [28].

281 It was finally hypothesized that individual perceived barriers significantly relate and
282 predict HST use among unskilled employees. The findings further confirm that individual
283 perceived barriers do have a positive significant relationship with HST use and a prediction
284 level of 4.8% that is, 64% of the employees who perceived other underlying barriers to HST
285 also strongly agreed on using it in other words, be it that HST would pose some unwanted
286 challenges to some individuals such as lack of counselling before and after services, the
287 credibility of results and falsification among others, this did not however limit them from
288 wanting to use the method if provided. Hence affirming the importance of HST.

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

289 Equally to the findings, a study in Uganda found out that, men's non-engagement in
290 HIV testing programs has often been attributed to work commitments and a lack of time [29].
291 However, Muwanguzi and colleagues confirmed that accessibility to HIV testing services was
292 a motivator for workplace-based HIV self-testing [14]. Self-testing for HIV at work could be a
293 potential solution to the problems with facility-based testing that are now present, such as long
294 wait times, big lineups, and a lack of counsellors [30]. Unfortunately, the difficulty in
295 connecting to care after HIV self-testing still exists [31]. Oduetse and colleagues suggest
296 providing follow-up assistance to everyone who purchases test kits to strengthen the connection
297 to post-test services [32].

298

299 **Conclusion**

300 Making individuals aware of the benefits of HST use and equipping them with
301 sufficient knowledge pertaining the desired action would go a long way in curbing down the
302 indulgence in risky sexual behaviors and decrease the spread of HIV especially in populations
303 with limited access to health care.

304 **Acknowledgements**

305 Research reported in this publication was supported by the Fogarty International
306 Center, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institute of Mental
307 Health, of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number D43 TW011304. The content
308 is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views
309 of the National Institutes of Health. In a unique way, we do recognize the Department of
310 Educational, Social and Organizational Psychology for having been a safe harbour and source
311 of wisdom during the entire process and finally the research assistants who made this work
312 come to life.

313 **Author contributions**

314 **Conceptualization:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Martin Mabunda Baluku, Khamis Musanje,
315 Loyce Kobusingye, Joanita Nangendo

316 **Formal analysis:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Simon Nantamu, Martin Mabunda Baluku

317 **Funding acquisition:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko

318 **Investigation:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko

319 **Methodology:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Martin Mabunda Baluku, Khamis Musanje

320 **Project administration:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Ayesiga Eron Robinah

321 **Resources:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko

322 **Supervision:** Loyce Kiiza Kobusingye, Martin Mabunda Baluku Khamis Musanje

323 **Validation:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Loyce Kiiza Kobusingye, Martin Mabunda Baluku
324 Khamis Musanje

325 **Visualization:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Loyce Kiiza Kobusingye, Martin Mabunda Baluku
326 Khamis Musanje

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

327 **Writing – original draft:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Khamis Musanje, Martin Mabunda Baluku

328 **Writing – review & editing:** Gerald Mukisa Nsereko, Martin Mabunda Baluku, Khamis
329 Musanje, Loyce Kiiza Kobusingye, Simon Nantamu, Joanita Nangendo, and Peter Nsubuga.

330

331 **References**

- 332 1. UNAIDS. Global HIV statistics. 2022:1-6.
- 333 2. UPHIA. Population-based HIV impact assessment. 2022:2020-3.
- 334 3. UNAIDS. UNAIDS data 2021. 2021:4-38.
- 335 4. WHO, ILO. POLICY BRIEF HIV SELF-TESTING AT WORKPLACES :
336 APPROACHES TO IMPLEMENTATION AND SUSTAINABLE FINANCING.
337 2022(May).
- 338 5. Nakanwagi S, Matovu JKB, Kintu BN, Kaharuzza F, Wanyenze RK. Facilitators and
339 Barriers to Linkage to HIV Care among Female Sex Workers Receiving HIV Testing
340 Services at a Community-Based Organization in Periurban Uganda : A Qualitative
341 Study. 2016;2016.
- 342 6. Johnson CC, Kennedy C, Fonner, Siegfried N, Figueroa C, Dalal S, et al. Examining
343 the effects of HIV self-testing compared to standard. Journal of the International AIDS
344 Society; 2017. p. 10-.
- 345 7. Kelvin EA, Mwai E, Romo ML, George G, Govender K, Je M, et al. Evaluating oral
346 HIV self-testing to increase HIV testing uptake among truck drivers in Kenya.
347 2017(July):1-68.
- 348 8. MOH. ACCELERATION OF HIV PREVENTION in uganda. 2018.
- 349 9. Muwanguzi PA, Nasuuna EM, Namimbi F, Osingada CP, Ngabirano TD. Venues and
350 methods to improve professional men ' s access to HIV self- testing and linkage to HIV
351 prevention or treatment : a qualitative study. 2021;6:1-12.
- 352 10. WHO, ILO. HIV SELF-TESTING AT THE WORKPLACE. 2020;2016:1-8.
- 353 11. Vithalani J, Herreros-Villanueva M. HIV Epidemiology in Uganda: survey based on
354 age, gender, number of sexual partners and frequency of testing. Afr Health Sci.
355 2018;18(3):523-30.
- 356 12. Ministry Of Health U. Consolidated Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of
357 HIV and AIDS in Uganda. February, 2020. 2020(February):142-70.
- 358 13. Shapiro Lenz D. UNDERSTANDING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMPASSION AND
359 EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT. 2017.
- 360 14. Muwanguzi PA, Ngabirano TD, Kiwanuka N, Nelson LE, Nasuuna EM, Osingada CP,
361 et al. The Effects of Workplace-Based HIV Self-testing on Uptake of Testing and
362 Linkage to HIV Care or Prevention by Men in Uganda (WISe-Men): Protocol for a
363 Cluster Randomized Trial. JMIR Res Protoc. 2021;10(11):e25099.
- 364 15. Eshun-Wilson I, Jamil MS, Witzel TC, Glidded DV, Johnson C, Le Trouneau N, et al.
365 A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analyses to Assess the Effectiveness of
366 Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Self-testing Distribution Strategies. Clin Infect
367 Dis. 2021;73(4):e1018-e28.
- 368 16. Luo YF, Chen LC, Yang SC, Hong SH. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP)
369 toward COVID-19 Pandemic among the Public in Taiwan: A Cross-Sectional Study.
370 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022;19(5):1-14.
- 371 17. Strecher VJ, Champion VL, Rosenstock IM. Strecher, Health Belief model, Handbook
372 Health Beh, 1997.pdf. 1997. p. 71-91.

Self-testing knowledge and beliefs on HIV self-testing use in central Uganda.

- 373 18. Mertens D. *Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology: Integrating*
374 *Diversity with Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods* 5th edition 2019.
- 375 19. Bosco MJ, Tusiime JB, Mayega RW, Nalubega S. Uptake of oral-based HIV self-
376 testing among Key Populations taking HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in central
377 Uganda. 2020;1-36.
- 378 20. Vara PA, Buhulula LS, Mohammed FA, Njau B. Level of knowledge, acceptability,
379 and willingness to use oral fluid HIV self-testing among medical students in
380 Kilimanjaro region, Tanzania: a descriptive cross-sectional study. *AIDS Research and*
381 *Therapy*. 2020;17(1):56.
- 382 21. Ryan S, Hahn E, Rao A, Mwinnyaa G, Black J, Maharaj R, et al. The impact of HIV
383 knowledge and attitudes on HIV testing acceptance among patients in an emergency
384 department in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1066.
- 385 22. Darteh EKM, Kumi-kyereme A, Awusabo-asare K. Perception of Risk of HIV among
386 Adolescents' Living in an Urban Slum in Ghana. 2016;20(1):62-70.
- 387 23. Olakunde BO, Alemu D, Conserve DF, Mathai M, Mak'anyengo MO, Jennings Mayo-
388 Wilson L. Awareness of and willingness to use oral HIV self-test kits among Kenyan
389 young adults living in informal urban settlements: a cross-sectional survey. *AIDS Care*
390 *- Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*. 2022;1-11.
- 391 24. Segawa I, Bakeera-Kitaka S, Ssebambulidde K, Muwonge TR, Oriokot L, Ojiambo
392 KO, et al. Factors associated with HIV self-testing among female university students in
393 Uganda: a cross-sectional study. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2022;19(1):59.
- 394 25. Choko AT, Nanfuka M, Birungi J, Taasi G, Kitembo P, Hellinginger S. A pilot trial of
395 the peer-based distribution of HIV self-test kits among fishermen in Bulisa, Uganda.
396 *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(11):1-12.
- 397 26. Makusha T, Knight L, Taegtmeier M, Tulloch O, Davids A, Lim J, et al. HIV Self-
398 Testing Could "Revolutionize Testing in South Africa, but It Has Got to Be Done
399 Properly": Perceptions of Key Stakeholders. *PLOS ONE*. 2015;10(3):e0122783.
- 400 27. Magno L, Castellanos MEP. Meanings and vulnerability to HIV/AIDS among long-
401 distance truck drivers in Brazil. *Revista de saude publica*. 2016;50:76-.
- 402 28. Hamilton A, Thompson N, Choko AT, Hlongwa M, Jolly P, Korte JE, et al. HIV Self-
403 Testing Uptake and Intervention Strategies Among Men in Sub-Saharan Africa: A
404 Systematic Review. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:594298.
- 405 29. Camlin CS, Ssemmondo E, Chamie G, El Ayadi AM, Kwarisiima D, Sang N, et al.
406 Men "missing" from population-based HIV testing: insights from qualitative research.
407 *AIDS Care*. 2016;28(sup3):67-73.
- 408 30. Siu GE, Wight D, Seeley JA. Masculinity, social context and HIV testing: an
409 ethnographic study of men in Busia district, rural eastern Uganda. *BMC Public Health*.
410 2014;14(1):33.
- 411 31. WHO. Guidelines on HIV self-testing and partner notification. Who. 2016(December).
- 412 32. Oduetse OK, Nkomo B, Majingo N, Mashalla Y, Seloilwe E. Perceptions and attitudes
413 towards acceptability of HIV self-testing among female sex workers in Selibe Phikwe,
414 Botswana. *African Journal of AIDS Research*. 2019;18(3):192-7.