

1 Developing and evaluating a brief, socially primed video intervention to enable
2 bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A randomised control trial

3
4 **Authors:**

5 *Jean Skelton^{1, 2}, Anne Templeton², Jennifer Dang Guay², Lisa MacInnes¹, Gareth
6 Clegg^{1, 3}

7
8 ¹Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

9 ²School of Philosophy, Psychology, & Language Sciences, University of Edinburgh,
10 Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

11 ³Scottish Ambulance Service, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

12
13 ***Corresponding Author:**

14 Jean Skelton

15 School of Philosophy, Psychology, and Language Sciences

16 7 George Square

17 The University of Edinburgh

18 Edinburgh EH8 9JZ

19 jean.skelton@ed.ac.uk

20 Abstract

21 Background: Over 30,000 people experience out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United
22 Kingdom annually, with only 7-8% of patients surviving. One of the most effective methods of
23 improving survival outcomes is prompt bystander intervention in the form of calling the
24 emergency services and initiating chest compressions, also known as CPR. Additionally, the
25 public must feel empowered to take action and use this knowledge in an emergency.

26

27 This study aimed to evaluate an ultra-brief CPR familiarisation video that uses empowering
28 social priming language to frame CPR as a norm in Scotland.

29

30 Methods: In a randomised control trial, participants ($n = 86$) were assigned to view either an
31 ultra-brief CPR video intervention or a traditional long form CPR video intervention. Following
32 completion of a pre-intervention questionnaire examining demographic variables and prior CPR
33 knowledge, participants completed an emergency services-led resuscitation simulation in a
34 portable simulation suite using a CPR manikin that measures resuscitation quality. Participants
35 then completed questionnaires examining social identity and attitudes towards performing CPR.

36

37 Results: Aside from the CPR quality metrics of time carrying out CPR chest compressions
38 (where the ultra-brief video condition scored higher scores) and average compressions per
39 minute (where the long form video condition scored higher scores), there were no significant
40 differences in CPR quality between the two conditions. Regarding the social identity measures,
41 participants in the ultra-brief video condition scored higher on measures of “shared social
42 identity with the video instructor” and “expected emergency support from other Scottish people”.
43 There were no significant group differences in attitudes towards performing CPR.

44

45 Conclusions: Ultra-brief CPR video interventions hold promise as a method of equipping the
46 public with basic resuscitation skills and empowering the viewer to intervene in an emergency.
47 These interventions may be an effective avenue for equipping at-risk groups with resuscitation
48 skills and for supplementing traditional resuscitation training courses.

49 Background

50 Each year over 30,000 people in the United Kingdom (UK) experience out-of-hospital
51 cardiac arrest (OHCA), but only 7 - 8% of patients survive to hospital discharge (1,2).
52 The most effective method for improving survival from OHCA is prompt bystander action
53 in the form of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR; 3,4), which has been shown to
54 double or even triple OHCA survival outcomes (5). In order to facilitate bystander
55 intervention, members of the public need to be equipped with CPR knowledge and feel
56 empowered to use their CPR skills in an emergency (6).

57 Current methods of CPR training are generally long, information heavy, and delivered
58 by perceived experts (7,8). They require a significant investment of time and attention
59 and can give the impression that CPR is complicated and should be performed by
60 skilled professionals (9,10). Furthermore, those most likely to be a bystander in an
61 OHCA are often those not captured by traditional CPR training, such as people from
62 areas of multiple deprivation and older people (11,12).

63 One approach to reducing these barriers to learning CPR is to use an 'ultra-brief video'
64 (UBV) CPR intervention. A UBV CPR intervention is a short, shareable method of
65 enabling the viewer to identify a cardiac arrest while equipping them with a fundamental
66 knowledge of compression-only CPR. Participants exposed to CPR UBVs are more
67 likely to attempt CPR, have higher quality CPR, and have higher self-reported
68 confidence in their CPR abilities in comparison to untrained laypersons (10,13,14),
69 emphasising the potential utility of this methodology in widening access to CPR
70 familiarisation.

71
72 While CPR knowledge is a key component to improving OHCA survival, it is not the only
73 barrier to prompt bystander action (9). A survey conducted in Scotland found that only
74 72% of trained individuals felt confident to use their CPR skills in an emergency (15).
75 Similarly, a UK-wide survey of 4,000 participants found that only 51% of respondents
76 felt confident to perform CPR in an OHCA (16). One potential approach to increasing
77 willingness to perform bystander CPR is to emphasise the role of social identity in
78 emergency contexts. Social identity refers to people's memberships of particular social
79 groups, such as their occupation or nationality. These social groups have associated
80 social norms, which dictate the rules and beliefs of what it means to be a part of that
81 group. Social norms guide behaviour and can be pivotal for the provision of social
82 support (17–19). For example, demonstrating that helping others is an integral part of a
83 national group's identity has led to widespread helping behaviours at the country level
84 (20). Notably, having a shared social identity (i.e., the perception that people feel part of
85 the same group) is important in emergency contexts, as people are more likely to
86 coordinate with others and trust their information when they feel part of the same group
87 (21,22).

88
89 In light of these findings, this study aimed to create and evaluate a maximally accessible
90 UBV CPR intervention that frames CPR as a social norm in Scotland. In order to
91 highlight CPR as a norm, collectivist language was primed in the script, using phrases
92 such as "In Scotland, we look out for each other". These calculated language choices
93 were designed to increase the salience of Scottish identity, empowering the viewer to

94 see bystander CPR as a norm in Scotland and, by extension, to use their CPR skills
95 when needed.

96

97 While UBVs have been shown to facilitate better CPR quality than control conditions,
98 less is known about their efficacy in comparison to traditional, long form CPR training
99 videos (LFVs). In the current study, a randomised control trial was designed to compare
100 the proposed UBV with the 2020 16-minute British Heart Foundation (BHF) 'Call Push
101 Rescue' compression-only CPR familiarisation video (23). The Call Push Rescue video
102 was chosen for this comparison as it is a commonly used online training tool in the UK.
103 In addition to the video interventions, each condition included a practical CPR
104 component to enable compression practice, an important quality predictor in CPR
105 familiarisation (14). For the LFV condition, the BHF 'Call Push Rescue' video
106 incorporates the use of a Laerdal Mini Anne manikin, therefore LFV participants used
107 this manikin for the associated compression practice. As the UBV had no specific
108 associated manikin type, Save a Life for Scotland (SALFS) CPR bags were used for
109 compression practice as these bag manikins are freely available to the Scottish public,
110 corresponding with the accessibility ethos of the UBV intervention (see Figure 1 for
111 examples of each manikin).

112

113 **Fig 1. Materials used for compression practice.**

114 Left side: British Heart Foundation Call Push Rescue inflatable Mini Anne manikin. Right
115 side: Save a Life for Scotland CPR bag. By filling the CPR bag with clothing or a pillow,
116 the user can practice chest compressions.

117
118 Participants then took part in a simulated OHCA scenario in which they performed
119 bystander CPR on a Laerdal Little Anne Quality CPR (QCPR) manikin, which measures
120 multiple CPR quality metrics using wireless sensors embedded in the manikin. In order
121 to maximise ecological validity, the simulations included a mobile phone which
122 connected to trained research staff acting as emergency services call handlers to guide
123 the participants in the basics of bystander resuscitation, as is standard in real OHCA
124 emergency services calls in the UK (24). Simulations were filmed using a multi-camera,
125 portable Motorola simulation suite to generate further data on the accuracy and validity
126 of the simulations.

127

128 *Study aims:*

129 1) Develop a compression-only CPR familiarisation intervention video which is
130 short, shareable, and employs a social identity approach with the goal of
131 maximising accessibility to CPR knowledge and increasing the likelihood of
132 performing effective CPR.

133

134 2) Evaluate the effectiveness of this UBV in comparison to a longer-form, traditional
135 CPR familiarisation video in relation to emergency services-led CPR quality.

136

137 3) Examine group differences between the UBV and LFV conditions with respect to
138 social identity, shared social identity with Scottish people, expected support from

139 Scottish people, trust in the video instructor, and perceiving CPR as a social
140 norm in Scotland.

141

142 4) Examine group differences between the UBV and LFV conditions in terms of
143 willingness to perform CPR, confidence in ability to perform CPR, perceived
144 barriers to CPR initiation, and understanding of the respective CPR
145 familiarisation videos.

146

147 *Hypotheses:*

148 1) There will be no statistically significant differences between the UBV and LFV
149 groups in terms of CPR quality metrics as measured by the QCPR manikin.

150

151 2) The UBV group will score higher than the LFV group on measures of social
152 identity, indicating greater social identification with the UBV video instructor and
153 other Scottish people.

154

155 3) There will be no statistically significant differences between the UBV and LFV
156 groups on the variables of willingness to perform CPR, confidence in ability to
157 perform CPR, perceived barriers to CPR initiation, and understanding of the
158 respective CPR familiarisation videos.

159

160 *Primary outcome variable:*

161 1) CPR quality as measured by the QCPR manikin.

162 *Secondary outcome variables:*

- 163 1) Social identity as measured by the post-intervention questionnaire.
- 164 2) Attitudes towards the assigned video as measured by the post-intervention
165 questionnaire.
- 166 3) Attitudes towards performing CPR as measured by the post-intervention
167 questionnaire.

168 **Methods**

169 **Design:**

170 Two prior between-subjects experimental sub-studies were conducted to develop and
171 test the socially primed UBV script. Further details on these studies can be found in the
172 Supplementary Materials (S1 Appendix). Once the script was finalised, a test video was
173 created. A parallel randomised control trial design with a one-to-one allocation ratio was
174 employed. Participants were randomly assigned to one of two conditions: the refined 2-
175 minute UBV, or a traditional, longer-form BHF CPR familiarisation video. A priori sample
176 size calculation using G*Power based on the large effect sizes observed in previous
177 literature (10, 14) yielded a required sample size of 74 participants (80% power, alpha =
178 0.05, two-sided test) to detect a significant difference in the primary outcome variable of
179 CPR quality between groups.

180

181 **Participants:**

182 Participants ($n = 86$) were recruited from staff and students at the University of
183 Edinburgh. Descriptive statistics for the demographic variables are shown in Table 1.

184 Exclusion criteria were being under 18 years of age, being a healthcare professional,
 185 being CPR trained in the last 18 months, and not being a staff member or student at the
 186 University of Edinburgh. Four participants were excluded for not meeting these criteria,
 187 resulting in a sample of 82 participants.

188
 189

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for demographic variables in each condition.

Variable	UBV (n = 41)		LFV (n = 40)	
		%		%
Age Range	18-24	71	18-24	50
	25-34	22	25-34	30
	35-44	7	35-44	10
			45-54	5
			55-64	5
Gender	Female	63	Female	57.5
	Male	15	Male	22.5
	Non-binary	2	Non-binary	2.5
	Prefer not to say	20	Prefer not to say	17.5
Region of Residence	Scotland	83	Scotland	85
	Outside UK	5	Outside UK	2.5
	Not Disclosed	12	Northern Ireland	2.5
			Not Disclosed	10
Employment	Employed full time	9.8	Employed full time	22.5
	Employed part time	2.4	Employed part time	5
	Student	85.4	Student	70
	Unemployed	2.4	Unemployed	2.5

190 *A summary of the descriptive statistics for the demographic variables. Percentage of the total sample per*
 191 *condition is displayed.*

192

193 In relation to the QCPR data specifically, eight participants were excluded due to
 194 technical issues with the QCPR manikin or ending the simulation early due to exertion,
 195 resulting in a final QCPR sample size of 75 participants (UBV n = 38, LFV n = 37).

196
197 Regarding the pre-intervention questionnaire, complete data for 81 participants were
198 collected (UBV $n = 41$, LFV $n = 40$). A single participant's data was lost due to technical
199 issues. For the post-intervention questionnaire, complete data were collected for all 82
200 participants (UBV condition $n = 42$, LFV condition $n = 40$). A flowchart of participant
201 recruitment and exclusion can be seen in Figure 2.

202

203 **Fig 2. Study protocol.**

204 Flow chart detailing the recruitment and testing process of the randomised control trial.
205

206 **Materials:**

207 Once written consent was obtained, participants completed a pre-intervention
208 questionnaire, which included information about demographic characteristics and prior
209 CPR knowledge, and a post-intervention questionnaire, which examined social identity,
210 feedback on the assigned video intervention, and attitudes towards performing CPR.
211 These measures were validated during the UBV video development (see S1 Appendix).
212 The pre-intervention demographic variables were: age range, gender, region of
213 residence, employment, and prior CPR knowledge. Participants also completed a
214 screening measure at this time to ensure they met the inclusion criteria.

215

216 Regarding the CPR interventions, the BHF 'Call Push Rescue' video and associated
217 Mini Anne manikin were used in the LFV condition, while the novel SALFS 2-minute
218 CPR familiarisation video and SALFS bag manikin was used as the UBV intervention.
219 QCPR metrics were recorded using the Laerdal QCPR App (Version 5.1.0; 25). The

220 following CPR quality metrics were examined: compression depth, which measures
221 percentage time spent performing compressions at the correct depth; chest
222 compression fraction (CCF), which measures percentage time where adequate
223 compressions are occurring; release of compressions, which quantifies adequate
224 release of a compression; total number of compressions; percentage of compressions
225 between the optimum rate of 100 - 120 beats per minute (bpm); and average number of
226 compressions per minute. An overall compression score and total composite CPR score
227 were also examined, as calculated by a scoring algorithm developed by Laerdal
228 Medical. See S2 Appendix for further information on the calculation and
229 operationalisation of the Q CPR metrics. All simulations were filmed using Motorola
230 Solutions VB400 cameras in a portable, multi-angle simulation suite. Motorola
231 VideoManager software (Version 16.2; 26) was employed in order to gather feedback
232 from Scottish Ambulance Service paramedics and call handlers on the quality and
233 ecological validity of the simulations.

234
235 The post-questionnaire variables examined in relation to social identity were: Scottish
236 social identity, or the degree to which participants identify with Scottishness; shared
237 Social identity with the video instructor, or the extent to which participants identified as
238 being in the same social group as their video instructor; trust in the person providing
239 instruction, which examined participant trust in the video instructor; perceived norm of
240 helping, or the extent to which participants associated helping behaviours with
241 Scottishness; and expected support, which examined expected levels of support from

242 Scottish people in an emergency. Social identity questionnaire items were scored on a
243 Likert scale ranging from 1 (“Strongly Disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly Agree”).

244

245 With regard to attitudes towards the assigned video intervention, the post-intervention
246 questionnaire captured participant views on the following variables: anxiety from the
247 video instructions, which examined participants’ anxiety towards the video instructor;
248 sufficiency of practical CPR information, or the extent to which participants felt their
249 video furnished them with sufficient information; and clarity, which examined how clear
250 the participants found their video. Regarding attitudes towards performing CPR, the
251 post-intervention questionnaire examined confidence in performing CPR, which
252 examined participants’ confidence in their CPR ability; willingness to perform CPR,
253 which explored participant willingness to perform bystander CPR; and barriers to CPR
254 performance, which explored participants’ perceived barriers to performing bystander
255 CPR. Questionnaire items that explored attitudes towards performing CPR and the
256 assigned video intervention were scored on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (“Strongly
257 Disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly Agree”). All materials and data used are available on the
258 Open Science Framework (27).

259

260 Procedure

261 Ethical approval was secured from the University of Edinburgh’s Medical Education
262 Ethics Committee (Reference: 2022/02). Participants were randomised to their assigned
263 condition prior to participation using an algorithm-based computer randomisation
264 programme, “Research Randomizer” (28). The randomisation sequence was stored on

265 an encrypted file on OneDrive. Participants, but not research staff, were blinded to their
266 condition. All allocation and recruitment was carried out by the lead research assistant
267 from the 1st of July 2022 to the 28th of February 2023 in the Queen's Medical Research
268 Institute, University of Edinburgh.

269
270 On arrival, participants completed the pre-intervention questionnaire using a digital form
271 on a study laptop. Participants then watched their assigned video and completed the
272 associated compression practice before undergoing a two-minute simulation of an
273 OHCA in the simulation suite. At the beginning of the simulation, participants were given
274 a smart phone to call a preloaded emergency services contact. This call went to a
275 research assistant trained in using the Medical Priority Dispatch System (MPDS), the
276 emergency call handling system used for dispatching the emergency services in the UK
277 and guiding bystanders through the fundamentals of CPR. Scottish Ambulance Service
278 call handlers trained the research team in the proper use of this script and reviewed the
279 simulation videos for quality control. During the simulation, participants were required to
280 correctly identify a cardiac arrest, call the emergency services, and perform 2-minutes
281 of emergency services-led bystander CPR on the QCPR manikin. After 2-minutes of
282 bystander CPR, the research assistant terminated the simulation. Participants then
283 completed the post-intervention questionnaire using a digital form on a study laptop. A
284 detailed overview of the study protocol is available on the Open Science Framework
285 (27).

286

287 Analysis:

288 Analyses were conducted using R version 4.2.2 (29) and R Studio version
289 2023.03.1+446 (30). Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to assess normality of the data for
290 each outcome variable, which were not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$). Given the small
291 sample size and the categorical nature of the demographic data, Fisher's Exact Test of
292 Independence was used to examine significant inter-group differences in demographic
293 variables. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests for independent samples were used to
294 examine average differences between the UBV and LFV groups for the variables of
295 prior CPR knowledge, QCPR metrics, social identity, attitudes towards the assigned
296 video intervention, and attitudes towards performing CPR. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney
297 tests for independent samples were reported using medians (*Mdn*), interquartile ranges
298 (*IQR*), and standardised *Z*-scores, while effect sizes were reported as Cohen's *d*.

299 Results

300 There were no significant differences in demographic variables and prior CPR
301 knowledge between the UBV and LFV conditions ($p > 0.05$ for all).

302

303 For all QCPR metrics excluding CCF and average compressions per minute, there were
304 no statistically significant differences found between groups ($p > 0.05$). With regard to
305 CCF percentage scores, the UBV group (*Mdn* = 98, *IQR* = 7.25) had a significantly
306 higher score than the LFV group (*Mdn* = 89, *IQR* = 15), and a medium-to-large effect
307 size was observed ($d = 0.74$, $Z = -2.79$, $p < 0.005$). The LFV group had significantly
308 higher average compressions per minute (*Mdn* = 117 bpm, *IQR* = 4 bpm) than the UBV

309 group ($Mdn = 112$ bpm, $IQR = 17.5$ bpm; $Z = -3.17$, $p < 0.002$) and a medium effect size
 310 was observed ($d = 0.44$). However, both of these compression rates fell within the
 311 clinically recommended range of 100-120 compressions per minute (31). Feedback
 312 from Scottish Ambulance Service paramedics and call handlers on the simulation video
 313 data confirmed that the MPDS script was being used adequately and that the
 314 simulations were being performed accurately. A summary of the QCPR performance
 315 metrics for each group can be found in Table 2.

316

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for the QCPR metrics observed in each condition

Variable	UBV (n = 38)		LFV (n = 37)	
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
CCF * (%)	98	7.25	89	15
Compression Depth (%)	86	76.25	96	37
Release (%)	100	3.5	100	1
Overall Compression Score (%)	91	37.5	91	15
Total Compressions	214	54.75	202	37
Compressions between 100-120 bpm (%)	58	43	59	35
Average Compressions per Minute *	112	17.5	117	4
Total CPR Score (%)	68	66.25	56	74

A summary of the QCPR variables per condition. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are presented.

*Note: * = statistically significant differences.*

317

318 Regarding the variables relevant to shared social identity, video intervention feedback,
 319 and group attitudes towards performing CPR, none of the survey metrics aside from
 320 shared social identity with the video instructor and expected support were found to have
 321 statistically significant inter-group differences ($p > 0.05$ for all). Participants in the UBV
 322 condition had greater feelings of shared social identity with the CPR instructor ($Mdn =$

323 12, *IQR* = 3.75) than the LFV group (*Mdn* = 11, *IQR* = 3; $Z = -2.45$, $p < 0.015$), with a
324 medium effect size ($d = 0.40$) observed. Similarly, participants in the UBV condition
325 (*Median* = 9, *IQR* = 2) had greater feelings of expected support from Scottish people
326 than the LFV group (*Median* = 8, *IQR* = 2, $Z = -2.86$, $p < 0.004$) with a large effect size
327 ($d = 0.88$) observed. Importantly, there were no significant group differences in terms of
328 attitudes towards performing CPR or perceived clarity and understanding of the
329 respective video instructions. A summary of the post-intervention survey metrics for
330 each group can be found in Table 3.

331

332

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for the social identity and video feedback variables across conditions.

Variable	UBV (n = 42)		LFV (n = 40)		Max. Possible Score
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
Scottish Social Identity	14	5	14	5.5	20
Shared Social Identity with the Video Instructor *	12	3.75	11	3	15
Trust in the Person Providing Instruction	5	1	5	0	5
Perceived Norm of Helping	4	1	4	1	5
Expected Support *	9	2	8	2	10
Confidence in Performing CPR	12	3	12	2	15
Willingness to Perform CPR	8	1	8.5	2	10
Anxiety from the Video Instructions	9	2	9	2	10
Sufficiency of Practical CPR Information	14	3	15	2	15
Clarity	15	1	15	2.25	15
Barriers to CPR Performance	11	3.75	10.5	5.25	20

Summary of the social identity and video feedback variables. Medians, interquartile ranges (IQR), and maximum possible scores are presented. High scores indicate a stronger presence of the variable of interest.

*Note: * = statistically significant differences.*

333

334 Discussion

335 This study demonstrated that aside from the variables of CCF (where the UBV condition
 336 demonstrated significantly higher scores) and average compressions per minute (where
 337 the LFV condition had significantly higher scores, yet both condition's median scores fell
 338 within the clinically acceptable range of 100-120 bpm), there were no significant
 339 differences in CPR performance between participants who watched a 2-minute UBV
 340 CPR intervention in conjunction with a SALFS bag manikin and those who watched a

341 16-minute LFV intervention with an incorporated Laerdal Mini Anne manikin. Most
342 notably, there were no statistically significant differences between conditions on the
343 overall CPR composite score. Regarding the social identity variables, participants in the
344 UBV condition reported significantly higher levels of shared social identity with the CPR
345 video instructor in comparison to the LFV group, as well as greater levels of expected
346 support from other Scottish people in an emergency. There were no differences
347 between conditions in relation to attitudes towards performing CPR. Both groups felt
348 their respective videos provided sufficient CPR information and clarity of instruction, and
349 there were no significant differences in perceived barriers to CPR and anxiety after
350 watching the video instructions.

351
352 Previous research has demonstrated the efficacy of UBVs as CPR interventions.
353 Bobrow and colleagues (14) found that participants exposed to UBVs had significantly
354 higher average compression rate and depth scores than an untrained control group.
355 Similarly, Panchal and colleagues (10) found that participants who viewed a UBV were
356 more likely to call for emergency services and faster to begin chest compressions than
357 an untrained control group. While these studies demonstrate the utility of UBV CPR
358 familiarisation in comparison to untrained laypersons, the current study expands upon
359 these findings by evaluating the effectiveness of a UBV in comparison to a LFV
360 intervention in the context of emergency services-led bystander CPR. By demonstrating
361 no clinically significant differences between the UBV and LFV conditions (excluding the
362 variable of CCF, in which the UBV condition scored higher than the LFV condition), this

363 study provides an empirical basis for the efficacy of UBVs in the context of CPR

364 familiarisation.

365

366 The findings of this study hold key implications for both CPR familiarisation and wider

367 public health training initiatives. UBV familiarisation could be a key method to equip

368 traditionally hard-to-reach groups (9,12) and those most at risk of witnessing a cardiac

369 arrest, who often find it difficult to access or engage with traditional methods of

370 instruction (12,15,32). Furthermore, UBV interventions could be used to supplement

371 traditional CPR training methods as a means of refreshing knowledge and enabling

372 easy sharing of CPR skills, for example on social media. This UBV is also unique in that

373 it considers the wider system of resuscitation - explaining CPR in a realistic context that

374 includes the emergency services call handler, the patient, and the bystander.

375

376 A strong body of research evidences the role of social identity processes in emergency

377 helping behaviours (19,21,22). Research conducted by Drury and colleagues found that

378 bystanders are more likely to provide support to and expect support from members of

379 their own social group in an emergency context (22,33). Similarly, past research has

380 found that framing helping behaviour as being intrinsic to particular social identities

381 resulted in increased helping and health-related behaviours in the associated social

382 group (17,20,34). The current study considered multiple avenues through which social

383 identity could be harnessed in the UBV to facilitate helping behaviours. These included

384 raising the salience of Scottish social identity (i.e., casting an actor with a Scottish

385 accent), while also framing the bystander, instructor, emergency services, and the

386 patient as being part of the same social group. The UBV script explicitly defined social
387 norms associated with Scottish identity (i.e., including lines such as “in Scotland we look
388 out for each other”). Participants who watched the UBV demonstrated significantly
389 higher questionnaire scores in ‘expected support from other Scottish people’ and
390 ‘shared social identity with the video instructor’ compared to the non-Scottish LFV.
391 These findings hold key implications for the use of social identity priming in CPR training
392 materials, as people have been shown to adhere to behaviours that they believe are
393 expected of them (20,35,36). Furthermore, these results highlight the potential for using
394 social identity priming as a means of facilitating bystander compliance with emergency
395 instructions, as people are more likely to follow emergency instructions from those seen
396 as part of their social group (21,37,38). This social identification with others in an
397 emergency relates to collective agency, or working with others to overcome an obstacle,
398 and a willingness to help others (38). These findings suggest that increasing the
399 salience of national identity and presenting CPR as a social norm is possible in the
400 context of even a UBV, and that social identity priming may be a potential mechanism
401 for encouraging bystander CPR.

402
403 This study also presented some novel methodological approaches for evaluating CPR
404 interventions. The research team developed a portable simulation suite in collaboration
405 with Motorola Solutions which enabled the running of simulations in a more naturalistic
406 environment, as opposed to being in a stationary, clinical simulation suite. This
407 maintained greater ecological validity and allowed richer data collection on CPR quality
408 using multi-angle video recordings of the simulation, which enabled feedback from

409 Scottish Ambulance Service call handlers and paramedics on simulation accuracy.
410 Fidelity was also maximised by including a trained emergency services call handler in
411 the simulation, a component often omitted in OHCA simulation research. In the majority
412 of OHCA cases, bystander resuscitation is facilitated by an emergency services call
413 handler (39–41). The addition of a call handler in the current study enabled testing of
414 the adequacy of UBV CPR familiarisation in the context of a real-world system of care.
415
416 Finally, there are key study limitations to be considered. This study took place during
417 the COVID-19 lockdowns, with government restrictions making recruitment of larger
418 samples drawn from outside the University of Edinburgh impossible. Future work could
419 examine the efficacy of socially primed UBVs using a more representative sample. Also,
420 this study did not examine decay of CPR performance quality over time (42). Future
421 research could explore post-UBV CPR performance longitudinally to further probe its
422 long-term efficacy and the need to refresh CPR knowledge.

423 Conclusions

424 To the best of the authors' knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the effectiveness
425 of a low-cost, shareable UBV CPR intervention in comparison to a traditional LFV
426 intervention. Furthermore, it is the first study to employ a social identity approach to
427 CPR UBV intervention development with the goal of increasing the likelihood of
428 bystander CPR. These findings suggest that UBV interventions are a viable way to
429 equip the public with basic CPR knowledge, and that priming the viewer with collectivist
430 language increases the salience of a shared sense of social identity with the instructor
431 and the level of expected support from others. This method of CPR knowledge

432 dissemination holds promise for maximising the shareability of CPR skills, and for
433 potentially empowering bystander action through social identity priming.

434 Acknowledgements:

435 The authors would like to thank the following people for their invaluable assistance
436 during the course of this project: Donald McPhail and Judith Richardson of the Scottish
437 Ambulance Service; Eilish Murphy, James Nicholson, Dominika Skrocka, Susan
438 Gardner, Diane Lac, David Souter, Brian Gilhooley, Elsbeth Dewhirst, Sara Illicic and
439 Molly Brewster of the University of Edinburgh/NHS Lothian; and all the volunteers who
440 took part.

441

442 Supplementary Appendices:

443 S1 Appendix. Summary of UBV script development and testing

444 S2 Appendix. Laerdal QCPR Metrics

References

- 445
- 446 1. Perkins GD, Brace-McDonnell SJ. The UK Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Outcome
447 (OHCAO) project. *BMJ Open*. 2015 Oct;5(10):e008736.
- 448 2. Perkins GD, Lockey AS, de Belder MA, Moore F, Weissberg P, Gray H. National initiatives
449 to improve outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in England. *Emerg Med J*. 2016
450 Jul;33(7):448–51.
- 451 3. Scottish Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest data linkage project: Initial results [Internet].
452 Available from: [https://www.gov.scot/publications/initial-results-scottish-out-hospital-cardiac-](https://www.gov.scot/publications/initial-results-scottish-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest-data-linkage-project/pages/4/)
453 [arrest-data-linkage-project/pages/4/](https://www.gov.scot/publications/initial-results-scottish-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest-data-linkage-project/pages/4/)
- 454 4. Bobrow BJ, Panczyk M, Subido C. Dispatch-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation: The
455 anchor link in the chain of survival. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2012 Jun;18(3):228.
- 456 5. Sasson C, Rogers MAM, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of Survival From Out-of-
457 Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010 Jan;3(1):63–81.
- 458 6. Out of hospital cardiac arrest: Strategy 2021 to 2026 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 24].
459 Available from: [http://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest-](http://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest-strategy-2021-2026/)
460 [strategy-2021-2026/](http://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest-strategy-2021-2026/)
- 461 7. McGovern SK, Blewer AL, Murray A, Leary M, Abella BS, Merchant RM. Characterizing
462 barriers to CPR training attainment using Twitter. *Resuscitation*. 2018 Jun 1;127:164–7.
- 463 8. Vaillancourt C, Kasaboski A, Charette M, Islam R, Osmond M, Wells GA, et al. Barriers and
464 facilitators to CPR training and performing CPR in an older population most likely to witness
465 cardiac arrest: A national survey. *Resuscitation*. 2013 Dec 1;84(12):1747–52.
- 466 9. Dobbie F, Angus K, Uny I, Duncan E, MacInnes L, Hasseld L, et al. Protocol for a
467 systematic review to identify the barriers and facilitators to deliver bystander
468 cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in disadvantaged communities. *Syst Rev*. 2018 Sep
469 17;7(1):143.
- 470 10. Panchal AR, Meziab O, Stolz U, Anderson W, Bartlett M, Spaitte DW, et al. The impact of

- 471 ultra-brief chest compression-only CPR video training on responsiveness, compression rate,
472 and hands-off time interval among bystanders in a shopping mall. *Resuscitation*. 2014 Sep
473 1;85(9):1287–90.
- 474 11. Becker TK, Gul SS, Cohen SA, Maciel CB, Baron-Lee J, Murphy TW, et al. Public
475 perception towards bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Emerg Med J*. 2019 Nov
476 1;36(11):660–5.
- 477 12. Dobbie F, Uny I, Eadie D, Duncan E, Stead M, Bauld L, et al. Barriers to bystander CPR in
478 deprived communities: Findings from a qualitative study. *PLOS ONE*. 2020 Jun
479 10;15(6):e0233675.
- 480 13. Bobrow BJ, Vadeboncoeur TF, Spaite DW, Potts J, Denninghoff K, Chikani V, et al. Impact
481 of brief or ultra-brief Hands-Only CPR video training on the confidence of lay citizens to
482 perform CPR. *Resuscitation*. 2010 Dec 1;81(2):S96.
- 483 14. Bobrow BJ, Vadeboncoeur TF, Spaite DW, Potts J, Denninghoff K, Chikani V, et al. The
484 Effectiveness of Ultrabrief and Brief Educational Videos for Training Lay Responders in
485 Hands-Only Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2011
486 Mar;4(2):220–6.
- 487 15. Dobbie F, MacKintosh AM, Bauld L. Exploring the knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour of
488 the general public to responding to out-of-hospital cardiac arrest [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023
489 Jun 8]. Available from: [http://www.gov.scot/publications/exploring-knowledge-attitudes-
490 behaviour-general-public-responding-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest/](http://www.gov.scot/publications/exploring-knowledge-attitudes-behaviour-general-public-responding-out-hospital-cardiac-arrest/)
- 491 16. British Heart Foundation. Only half of UK adults confident they could perform CPR on a
492 loved one [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 29]. Available from: [https://www.bhf.org.uk/what-we-
493 do/news-from-the-bhf/news-archive/2023/february/only-half-of-uk-adults-confident-they-
494 could-perform-cpr-on-a-loved-one](https://www.bhf.org.uk/what-we-do/news-from-the-bhf/news-archive/2023/february/only-half-of-uk-adults-confident-they-could-perform-cpr-on-a-loved-one)
- 495 17. Haslam SA, Jetten J, Postmes T, Haslam C. Social Identity, Health and Well-Being: An
496 Emerging Agenda for Applied Psychology. *Appl Psychol*. 2009 Jan;58(1):1–23.

- 497 18. Neville FG, Templeton A, Smith JR, Louis WR. Social norms, social identities and the
498 COVID-19 pandemic: Theory and recommendations. *Soc Personal Psychol Compass*.
499 2021;15(5):e12596.
- 500 19. Levine M, Prosser A, Evans D, Reicher S. Identity and Emergency Intervention: How Social
501 Group Membership and Inclusiveness of Group Boundaries Shape Helping Behavior. *Pers*
502 *Soc Psychol Bull*. 2005 May 1;31:443–53.
- 503 20. Reicher S, Cassidy C, Wolpert I, Hopkins N, Levine M. Saving Bulgaria's Jews: An analysis
504 of social identity and the mobilisation of social solidarity. *Eur J Soc Psychol*. 2006;36(1):49–
505 72.
- 506 21. Carter HE, Drury J, Rubin GJ, Williams R, Amlôt R. Emergency responders' experiences of
507 and expectations regarding decontamination. *Int J Emerg Serv*. 2014;3(2):179–92.
- 508 22. Templeton A, Nash C, Lewis L, Gwynne S, Spearpoint M. Information sharing and support
509 among residents in response to fire incidents in high-rise residential buildings. *Int J Disaster*
510 *Risk Reduct*. 2023 Jun 15;92:103713.
- 511 23. British Heart Foundation. Call Push Rescue - Learn CPR safely during the Coronavirus
512 pandemic [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 May 2]. Available from:
513 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ROEyvmZDStI>
- 514 24. Clegg GR, Lyon RM, James S, Branigan HP, Bard EG, Egan GJ. Dispatch-assisted CPR:
515 Where are the hold-ups during calls to emergency dispatchers? A preliminary analysis of
516 caller–dispatcher interactions during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using a novel call
517 transcription technique. *Resuscitation*. 2014 Jan 1;85(1):49–52.
- 518 25. Laerdal Medical. QCPR App. 2022 Jul; [https://laerdal.com/us/products/simulation-](https://laerdal.com/us/products/simulation-training/resuscitation-training/qcpr-app/)
519 [training/resuscitation-training/qcpr-app/](https://laerdal.com/us/products/simulation-training/resuscitation-training/qcpr-app/)
- 520 26. Motorola Solutions. VideoManager. 2022 May;
521 [https://www.motorolasolutions.com/en_xu/video-security-access-](https://www.motorolasolutions.com/en_xu/video-security-access-control/videomanager/downloads.html)
522 [control/videomanager/downloads.html](https://www.motorolasolutions.com/en_xu/video-security-access-control/videomanager/downloads.html)

- 523 27. Skelton J, Guay JD, Templeton A, MacInnes L, Clegg G. Developing and evaluating a brief,
524 socially-primed video intervention to enable bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A
525 randomised trial. 2023 Jun 21 [cited 2024 Jan 3]; Available from:
526 https://osf.io/feh54/?view_only=3dc9b6f366044aa19bd165d40b0b7b0e
- 527 28. Research Randomizer [Internet]. [cited 2023 May 2]. Available from: <https://randomizer.org/>
- 528 29. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria;
529 2022. Available from: <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 530 30. R Studio Team. Rstudio: Integrated Development for R. Rstudio, Inc. Boston, MA; 2022.
531 Available from: <https://posit.co/download/rstudio-desktop/>
- 532 31. Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, Castrén M, Smyth MA, Olasveengen T, et al.
533 European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 2. Adult basic
534 life support and automated external defibrillation. Resuscitation. 2015 Oct 1;95:81–99.
- 535 32. Del Rios M, Han J, Cano A, Ramirez V, Morales G, Campbell TL, et al. Pay It Forward: High
536 School Video-based Instruction Can Disseminate CPR Knowledge in Priority
537 Neighborhoods. West J Emerg Med. 2018 Mar;19(2):423–9.
- 538 33. Drury J, Brown R, González R, Miranda D. Emergent social identity and observing social
539 support predict social support provided by survivors in a disaster: Solidarity in the 2010
540 Chile earthquake. Eur J Soc Psychol. 2016;46(2):209–23.
- 541 34. Frank LB, Chatterjee JS, Chaudhuri ST, Lapsansky C, Bhanot A, Murphy ST. Conversation
542 and Compliance: Role of Interpersonal Discussion and Social Norms in Public
543 Communication Campaigns. J Health Commun. 2012 Oct 1;17(9):1050–67.
- 544 35. Levine M, Manning R. Social identity, group processes, and helping in emergencies. Eur
545 Rev Soc Psychol. 2013 Dec 1;24(1):225–51.
- 546 36. Bicchieri C, Chavez A. Behaving as expected: Public information and fairness norms. J
547 Behav Decis Mak. 2010;23(2):161–78.
- 548 37. Drury J, Carter H, Cocking C, Ntontis E, Tekin Guven S, Amlôt R. Facilitating Collective

- 549 Psychosocial Resilience in the Public in Emergencies: Twelve Recommendations Based on
550 the Social Identity Approach. *Front Public Health* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 Jun 9];7.
551 Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00141>
- 552 38. Carter H, Drury J, Rubin GJ, Williams R, Amlôt R. Applying Crowd Psychology to Develop
553 Recommendations for the Management of Mass Decontamination. *Health Secur.* 2015 Feb
554 1;13(1):45–53.
- 555 39. Tanaka Y, Taniguchi J, Wato Y, Yoshida Y, Inaba H. The continuous quality improvement
556 project for telephone-assisted instruction of cardiopulmonary resuscitation increased the
557 incidence of bystander CPR and improved the outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests.
558 *Resuscitation.* 2012 Oct 1;83(10):1235–41.
- 559 40. Kim MW, Kim TH, Song KJ, Shin SD, Kim CH, Lee EJ, et al. Comparison between
560 dispatcher-assisted bystander CPR and self-led bystander CPR in out-of-hospital cardiac
561 arrest (OHCA). *Resuscitation.* 2021 Jan 1;158:64–70.
- 562 41. Maier M, Luger M, Baubin M. Telephone-assisted CPR. *Notf Rettungsmedizin.*
563 2016;19(6):468–72.
- 564 42. Woollard M, Whitfield R, Newcombe RG, Colquhoun M, Vetter N, Chamberlain D. Optimal
565 refresher training intervals for AED and CPR skills: A randomised controlled trial.
566 *Resuscitation.* 2006 Nov 1;71(2):237–47.



Figure 1

Brief CPR video testing




Figure 2