

# Predictors of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in North-Central Nigeria

Authors: Ayodotun Olutola<sup>\*1</sup>, Ibrahim Bola Gobir<sup>1</sup>, Deus Bazira<sup>1</sup>, Samson Agboola<sup>2</sup>, Fatimah Ohunene Sanni<sup>2</sup>, Azeez Akanbi Bello<sup>2</sup>, Nnadozie Onyinyechi Havila<sup>2</sup>, Aisha Adamu<sup>2</sup>, Fatima Bello<sup>3</sup>, Suzzy Angmun Otubo<sup>3</sup>, Mercy Piring'ar Nyang<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Center for Global Health Practice and Impact, Georgetown University, Washington DC, United States of America

<sup>2</sup>Georgetown Global Health Nigeria, Federal Capital Territory, Abuja, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Savannah Health System Innovation Limited, Federal Capital Territory, Abuja, Nigeria

<sup>#a</sup>Current address: No 2 Babatope Ajakaiye Crescent, Jahi. Abuja

<sup>#a</sup>Corresponding author

Email: [ao728@georgetown.edu](mailto:ao728@georgetown.edu) (AO)

22

## 23 Abstract

24 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy has emerged as a major challenge to global efforts to control the  
25 pandemic, particularly in Nigeria, where hesitancy to other effective vaccines such as polio and  
26 measles has been widely reported. Several individual, societal, and structural factors contribute to  
27 this behaviour and prevent the effectiveness of COVID-19 prevention efforts.

28 **Objectives:** This study sought to assess the factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy  
29 in the six states of north-central Nigeria.

30 **Methods:** A population-based cross-sectional online survey was conducted among residents using  
31 a semi-structured questionnaire adapted from the WHO SAGE vaccine hesitancy scale and  
32 distributed via social media networks over 8-weeks.

33 **Results:** A total of 1,999 responses were received, of which 570 were set aside comprising 512  
34 respondents that resided outside the study area, 12 respondents that reported no knowledge of the  
35 COVID-19 vaccine, and 46 entries with missing data. Of 1,429 included in the analysis, 1,008  
36 (70.5%) were willing to be vaccinated and/or already vaccinated and 421 (29.5%) were unwilling  
37 to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. Post-secondary education (AOR: 0.51, 0.37-0.69), household  
38 income above the minimum wage of 30,000 Naira per month (AOR: 0.68, 0.50-0.94) and people  
39 of the Islamic faith (AOR: 0.69, 0.53-0.90) were found to be associated with lower levels of  
40 hesitancy. The dominant reasons for hesitancy were concerns about side effects (37.5%), doubt  
41 about the existence of COVID-19 (11.0%), and the perception of time required to receive the  
42 vaccine (9.6%). Hesitant respondents relied on health workers (33.0%) and social media (23.3%)  
43 as their trusted sources of health information, and less than a third (31.4%) followed the advice of  
44 their religious and community leaders.

**Conclusion:**

All three factors of confidence, complacency and convenience influenced hesitancy in our study. Socioeconomic factors are major drivers of hesitancy. Therefore, hesitancy is as much a social issue as health and requires a multisectoral approach to educating communities and building trust in health and social institutions.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, vaccination rates, vaccine hesitancy, vaccine acceptance, socioeconomic factors

## Introduction

The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) was declared a global pandemic in March 2020. As of August 2023, globally, 769 million cases and 6.9 million deaths were estimated to have occurred. Against higher predictions, Nigeria recorded only 265,000 cases, 266,675 and 3,155 deaths from the disease during the same period [1, 2]. The exponential spread of COVID-19 and associated mortality, particularly among the elderly and people with comorbidities called for concerted efforts to develop vaccines. Traditional vaccine development from the preclinical phase to licensing takes on average more than 10 years [3]. However, the 2014 Ebola epidemic spurred the development of novel platforms that shorten the time for sequencing and vaccine trials from years to weeks [4]. Leveraging these platforms and multisectoral collaborations, several vaccines were rapidly produced and approved for distribution. Nearly one year after the first case of COVID-19 was published, Janssen announced the success of a phase 3 trial of a potential COVID-19 vaccine [5]. The bid by developed nations to hasten research and access to vaccines assumed economic and political dimensions and, vaccines were initially more readily available in the developed countries. While nations scrambled for vaccines, a greater challenge was ensuring that eligible populations accepted to be vaccinated.

In March 2021, Nigeria received the first batch of the AstraZeneca vaccine and began vaccinations, prioritizing health workers and individuals most at risk for COVID-19. As of September 21, 2022, 64.36% of the people in North America [6] compared to 15% of the people in Nigeria [7] had been fully vaccinated with COVID-19 vaccine. Several pre-vaccination studies reported varying degrees of reluctance and even refusal to take the vaccine among younger people, people of low socio-economic status, people with a negative attitude towards scientific research and low trust in government and health institutions. Some studies found an association between religious beliefs, history of vaccine hesitancy, history of chronic illnesses, and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy [8].

Concerns about the side effects of the COVID-19 vaccine were the most frequently expressed reason for the reluctance to COVID-19 vaccines in a study conducted across 5 African countries [9].

The World Health Organization defines vaccine hesitancy as a delay in the acceptance or complete refusal of vaccines despite the availability of vaccine services is regarded as vaccine hesitancy. [10] It has been classified as one of the top ten public health issues. The concept of vaccine hesitancy is complex and context-specific. Factors such as age, culture, socio-economic status, and trust in the healthcare system have been found to influence vaccine hesitancy [11, 12]. The WHO SAGE working group identified three barriers to vaccine uptake known as the 3C's: Confidence in vaccines and trust in the system that delivers them, complacency, when perceived risks of vaccine-preventable diseases are low or when vaccination is considered secondary to other responsibilities at a given point in time and convenience which denotes the extent to which physical availability, affordability, and access to information and other immunization services exist [13].

Modern anti-vaccine movements are fuelled by claims that vaccines are the root causes of certain diseases. For example, diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus vaccines were falsely linked to neurological disorders and speculations that measles, rubella, and mumps vaccines were a cause of autism in children [14]. Fears of vaccines containing birth control compounds and a failed meningitis vaccine trial that resulted in catastrophic consequences in Northern Nigeria aggravate tendencies for hesitant behaviour [15, 16]. Other factors such as the unprecedented speed in the development of COVID-19 vaccines, concerns about potential adverse effects, perceived inequities in vaccine distribution, and political inclinations are some contemporary issues fuel COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.[17]

As vaccines remain the safest strategy for achieving herd immunity, an understanding of the contextual determinants of hesitancy will inform interventions to improve vaccine uptake or alternative interventions to protect hesitant populations.

This study sought to deconstruct the underlying drivers and its correlates including individual, interpersonal, and societal factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among residents in North Central Nigeria.

## Method

### Study design

We obtained data through a population-based cross-sectional online survey conducted among residents in the seven North-central states in Nigeria; Federal Capital Territory, Nasarawa, Niger, Kogi, Benue, Plateau, and Kwara states. The semi-structured survey questionnaire used was adapted from the WHO SAGE vaccine hesitancy scale [13] and refined through a literature review to identify factors critical to vaccine hesitancy. The survey was developed using REDCap and was distributed via social media networks (WhatsApp, Telegram, LinkedIn, Instagram, and Facebook) over 8 weeks from the 7<sup>th</sup> of March 2022 to the 30<sup>th</sup> of April 2022.

### Data Collection

The convenience sampling method was used to recruit respondents for the study. The entry page of the survey contained survey information and study objectives. Respondents' consent was documented by clicking on the agreement button after reading the survey information. The respondents completed a questionnaire in four sections that captured socio-demographic characteristics, information about acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine and COVID-19-related

perceptions. Approval was received from the Nigerian National Health Research Ethics Committee and Georgetown University Institutional Review Board.

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

1,487 persons who met the inclusion criteria to participate in the study were recruited through distribution on social networks such as private messaging, electronic mails, social media platforms such as WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram, and Facebook.

Exclusion criteria include age below 18 years or residence outside of the study area.

All participants provided written and informed permission.

### **Sample Size Determination**

The sample size calculation is based on the adult population in Nigeria, which is 115,897,765 (Nigeria Bureau of Statistics). with a 95% confidence interval and a margin of error of 2.5% with an estimated retention rate of 80% using StatCalc. The calculated minimum sample size was 1,771 for persons older than 18 years living in North central Nigeria. A total of 1,999 responses were received from the distributed questionnaires through distribution on social media platforms such as WhatsApp, LinkedIn, Instagram and Facebook.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The summary statistics were obtained for all variables using descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations. A chi-square test was used to examine the association of sociodemographic characteristics with attitudes toward COVID-19 Vaccination. The analysis to examine the factors associated with vaccine hesitancy was carried out using logistic regression. All analysis was performed at a 5% significance level and carried out using IBM Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) software, version 25.

157

## 158 **ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

### 159 **Informed consent**

160 The survey's home page contained information about the study's objectives, eligibility criteria, data  
161 protection, and researcher disclaimers. Participants provided written informed consent. It was  
162 deemed sufficient to provide informed consent if a participant checked the "I agree" box on the  
163 survey. Participants' entries that did not match the inclusion criteria were not processed for data  
164 analysis.

### 165 **Confidentiality**

166 Each submission was made anonymously. Participants' personal information was not gathered.  
167 The subjects' identities were kept hidden.

### 168 **Risks and benefits**

169 There were no negative consequences for the subjects' rights or well-being. Participating in the  
170 study provided no immediate benefits.

### 171 **Ethical clearance**


172 The study protocol was submitted for assessment and approval to the Nigerian Health Research  
173 Ethics Committee (NHREC).

## 174 **Results**

175 A total of 1,999 survey entries were recorded and 570 were excluded comprising 512 respondents  
176 that resided outside the study area, 12 respondents that reported no knowledge of the COVID-19  
177 vaccine, and 46 entries with missing data. Among the 1,429 respondents in the study, 1,008



(70.5%) were willing to accept or had received at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine while 421 (29.5%) were unvaccinated and hesitant.



**Fig 1. Flow diagram of the study sample size**

## Demographic characteristics of the respondents

More than third (36.5%) of the respondents were residents of the FCT while the rest resided in Benue (5.9%), Kogi (10.4%), Kwara (3.3%), Nasarawa (15.4%), Niger (16.6%), and Plateau States (11.8%). The majority of respondents were male (60.3%), 18 -25yrs old (43.9%), single (64.6%), Christian faith (57.4%), post-secondary-education (80.3%) and employed (32.4%). Close to half of the respondents (45.7%) had a monthly income between N30,000 and N150,000. About two-thirds of the respondents (68.2%) reported losing their income during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of all respondents, N=1,487**

Variable	N=1487	%
<b>State of residence</b>		
Benue	88	5.9
FCT	543	36.5
Kogi	155	10.4
Kwara	49	3.3
Nasarawa	229	15.4
Niger	247	16.6
Plateau	176	11.8
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	896	60.3
Female	591	39.7
<b>Age group (years)</b>		
18–25	653	43.9
26–45	701	47.1
>45	133	8.9
<b>Marital status</b>		
Single	961	64.6
Married	414	27.8
Previously married	112	7.5
<b>Religion</b>		
Christianity	853	57.4
Islam	598	40.2
Others	36	2.4
<b>Post Secondary education</b>		
No	293	19.7
Yes	1194	80.3

<b>Occupation</b>		
Salaried employment	481	32.4
Self-employed	341	22.9
Student	434	29.2
Unemployed	231	15.5
<b>Loss of income due to the pandemic</b>		
Yes	1014	68.2
No	473	31.8
<b>Monthly Household income</b>		
<N30,000	555	37.3
N30,000-N150,000	680	45.7
>N150,000	252	16.9

## Sociodemographic predictors of COVID-19 Vaccine hesitancy.

Persons with post-secondary education were 50% less likely to be hesitant than those without post-secondary education while persons of the Muslim faith were 30% less likely to be hesitant compared to the Christian faith. Odds for hesitancy were increasingly reduced for income bands above 30,000 Naira.

**Table 2. Sociodemographic predictors of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among respondents**


Variable	Total N	Hesitant n (%)	P Value	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value**
<b>Total</b>	1,429	421 (29.5)				
<b>State</b>			0.12			
FCT	524	149 (28.4)		Ref	Ref	0.28
Benue	79	28 (35.4)		1.38 (0.84-2.27)	1.17 (0.69-1.97)	
Kogi	147	53 (36.1)		1.42 (0.96-2.09)	1.20 (0.80-1.80)	
Kwara	46	11 (23.9)		0.79 (0.39-1.60)	0.80 (0.39-1.64)	
Nasarawa	223	52 (23.3)		0.77 (0.53-1.10)	0.70 (0.48-1.03)	
Niger	238	75 (31.5)		1.16 (0.83-1.62)	1.12 (0.78-1.61)	
Plateau	172	53 (30.8)		1.12 (0.77-1.63)	1.03 (0.70-1.53)	
<b>Sex</b>			0.52			
Female	562	171 (30.4)		Ref	Ref	0.96
Male	867	250 (28.8)		0.93 (0.73-1.17)	1.01 (0.79-1.29)	
<b>Age</b>			0.31			

18-25	618	195 (31.6)		Ref	Ref	0.53
26-45	678	190 (28.0)		0.84 (0.67-1.05)	0.85 (0.63-1.15)	
>45	133	36 (27.1)		0.81(0.53-1.22)	0.78 (0.44-1.37)	
<b>Marital status</b>			0.25			
Single	916	274 (29.9)		Ref	Ref	0.43
Married	404	109 (27.0)		0.87 (0.67-1.12)	0.94 (0.68-1.30)	
Previously married	109	38 (34.9)		1.25 (0.83-1.91)	1.31 (0.77-2.33)	
<b>Religion</b>			0.005			
Christianity	817	258 (31.6)		Ref	Ref	0.005
Islam	577	147 (25.5)		0.74 (0.58-0.94)	0.69 (0.53-0.90)	
Others	35	16 (0.83-1.9) (45.7)		1.82 (0.92-3.61)	1.62 (0.80-3.30)	<0.001
<b>Post Secondary education</b>			<0.001			
No	285	124 (44.0)		Ref	Ref	<0.001
Yes	1,144	297 (26.0)		0.46 (0.35-0.60)	0.51 (0.37-0.69)	
<b>Occupation</b>			0.002			
Self-employed	330	119 (36.1)		Ref	Ref	
Salaried worker	471	115 (24.4)		0.57 (0.42-0.78)	0.77 (0.55-1.08)	<0.001
Student	404	112 (27.7)		0.68 (0.50-0.93)	0.60 (0.41-0.85)	
Unemployed	224	75 (33.5)		0.89 (0.62-1.28)	0.67 (0.45-1.02)	
<b>Monthly Household income</b>			0.001			
<N30,000	523	185 (35.4)		Ref	Ref	0.03
N30,000-N150,000	660	177 (26.8)		0.67 (0.52-0.86)	0.68 (0.50-0.94)	
>N150,000	246	59 (24.0)		0.58 (0.41-0.81)	0.58 (0.37-0.92)	

218

## 219 **Other factors influencing Vaccine Hesitancy.**

220 Concerns about side effects were the most common reasons for vaccine hesitancy (37.5%). Others  
 221 expressed reasons such as doubt about the existence of COVID-19 (11.0%), the perception of time  
 222 required to receive the vaccine (9.6%), dislike or fear of needles (7.2%) and possible complications  
 223 caused by underlying medical conditions (5.5%). A few believed that the vaccines were not  
 224 effective (6.5%) (Fig. 2).



**Fig 2. Other factors influencing Vaccine Hesitancy.**

More than 70% of respondents expressed lack of trust in government to purchase the highest quality of vaccine or in the pharmaceuticals to produce safe vaccines. Over 60% thought the vaccine to be unsafe, non-essential and the vaccination process to be inconvenient. The majority held the opinion that non-pharmaceutical interventions are sufficient protection against and can eradicate COVID-19. The majority of community leaders including religious leaders (85.6%) support vaccination, however 68.8% hesitant expressed unwillingness to follow the advice of these leaders. (Table 3).


**Table 3. COVID-19 vaccine-related perceived beliefs, concerns, risk, trust, and location perceptions.**

Factors	Yes		No	
Leaders, gatekeepers, and pro-vaccination				
Do religious leaders in your community support taking the COVID-19 vaccine?	360	85.5%	61	14.5%
Do politicians, teachers, and health workers in your community support vaccination?	392	93.1%	29	6.9%

Do you follow the advice of your religious/cultural leaders about the COVID-19 vaccination?	132	31.4%	289	68.6%
Does your religion/culture recommend against the COVID-19 vaccination?	66	15.7%	355	84.3%
<b>Political influences</b>				
Do you trust that your government is deciding in your best interest concerning the COVID-19 vaccine	156	37.1%	265	62.9%
Are you convinced that your government purchases the highest quality of the COVID-19 vaccine	120	28.5%	301	71.5%
Do you trust that the government is making the best efforts to store the vaccines in the right conditions?	133	31.6%	288	68.4%
<b>Geographical barriers</b>				
Does distance limit you from getting the vaccine?	157	37.3%	264	62.7%
Does clinic time or waiting at the clinic prevent you from getting the COVID-19 vaccine?	182	43.2%	239	56.8%
<b>Pharmaceutical industry</b>				
Do you think governments are pushed by the pharmaceutical industries to recommend vaccines?	315	74.8%	106	25.2%
Do you trust the pharmaceutical companies that produce the COVID-19 vaccine?	118	28.0%	303	72.0%
<b>The Vaccines/Vaccination issues</b>				
Do you think the vaccine is safe	142	33.7%	279	66.3%
The COVID-19 vaccine is essential for us	160	38.0%	261	62.0%
Do you trust the healthcare workers to safely administer the vaccine to you?	200	47.5%	221	52.5%
Is the vaccination process convenient, i.e., is it easier for you to get vaccinated	159	37.8%	262	62.2%
Do you think that if everyone in society maintains preventive measures (face masks, social distancing, etc.), COVID-19 can be eradicated without vaccination?	285	67.7%	136	32.3%

238

239 The majority (33.0%) of the respondents indicated that health workers were their most trusted  
240 source of information. Social media was trusted most by 24.0% of the respondents and 23.3%  
241 trusted family, friends, and community while 14.7% indicated mass media as the most trusted  
242 source of information (Fig 3).



**Fig. 3. The most trusted source of information about the vaccine**

## Discussion

In this study, 29.3% of the respondents were hesitant to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. A systematic review of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy rates in Africa reported that vaccine hesitancy rates varied between 2.1% to 93.1% based on studies from 17 African countries [18]. Hesitancy rates above 50% have also been reported in China, Malaysia, the U.S.A., Australia, Pakistan and Italy [11, 19, 20, 21]

Some sociodemographic characteristics such as religious status, education and employment status were found to be significantly associated with vaccine hesitancy in the study. Post-secondary education was associated with a 50% risk reduction in the rate of hesitancy. This is consistent with most other studies with similar findings reinforcing the value of education in influencing health-seeking behaviours. [22]. Access to correct information about the vaccine and peer pressure in schools and workplaces contribute to knowledge and attitudes towards health interventions. [23] Students had a lower risk of hesitancy compared to self-employed persons. Increasing household income above the national minimum wage of 30,000 was significantly associated with a lower risk of hesitancy, with respondents earning over 150,000 monthly demonstrating approximately a 50% reduction in risk. Muslim faith was found to be associated with a 50% lower risk of hesitancy

compared to the Christians. This may not be un-associated with the anti-vaccine messaging that emanated from some prominent Christian leaders at the beginning of the pandemic. [24] Hesitancy rates were comparable among different gender and age categories.

In this study, vaccine hesitancy was influenced by a combination of confidence, convenience, and complacency factors.

Lack of confidence was demonstrated in the belief about the safety of the vaccine, and the risk of side effects. This ranked as the highest concern among others, as nearly half of the vaccine-hesitant respondents cited concerns about the safety and side effects of the vaccine, and this aligns with findings from other studies [25, 26, 27]. They also expressed a lack of trust in both the government of Nigeria and pharmaceutical companies to deliver the vaccine with the sole purpose of improving quality of life. Similar attitudes to vaccines have been demonstrated in other settings such as polio vaccination drive where citizens in Northern Nigeria perceived the vaccines to contain compounds with sterilization properties. [28]. The unprecedented speed of production of these vaccines and the global issues that limited access to specific types of vaccines were diversely interpreted by local opinion leaders and the citizenry. The Astra Zeneca vaccine was quickly tagged as inferior to the preferred options in the USA such as Moderna and Pfizer vaccines. These findings are consistent with the results of other COVID-19 acceptance studies as well as the broader vaccine hesitancy literature. According to Larson et al in 2018 [24], a lack of trust in the broader society, including government, economic and health systems is directly or indirectly related to vaccination and can have a profound effect on the trust in vaccines.

Complacency factors were demonstrated by those who expressed that the COVID infection was not real, the vaccine was not required and those who felt the use of universal precautions and personal protective equipment were adequate to address the epidemic. Similar views have been



documented elsewhere, with the belief that COVID-19 was a politically or business-motivated construct for selfish reasons. Among others, some rumoured that it was engineered to facilitate the inoculation of tracking chips into humans or a ploy by pharmaceutical companies to sell their products. Some others voiced COVID-19 as a punishment from God, or an effect of 5G technology deployment, while others believed it was just the regular flu [29]. A low level of education and exposure to health information may have facilitated the spread of misinformation and reduced trust in the vaccines and their efficacy. Vaccine-hesitant respondents indicated that health workers and the social media were their most trusted source of health information. they believed the media reports claiming the vaccine was not safe as other research around vaccine hesitancy has reported similar findings. [22, 26] Public health messaging has traditionally relied on publication in academic journals, media campaigns on television and radio, or visually appealing infographics. Research has shown that healthcare providers and pro-vaccine groups are not as active and connected as antivaccine movements on social media. Concern for damage to professional image, data security issues and the risk of perpetuating personal biases have been identified as reasons for the limited use of social media by healthcare providers [30]. This is because many conspiracy theories and propaganda about the COVID-19 vaccine originate and spread on social media platforms.

Convenience factors were dominated by factors that affect access to the vaccine such as the distance from homes to vaccination centres and the perceived waiting time required to complete the vaccination. All these factors relate to both financial and non-financial costs of receiving the vaccines. Factors such as the low level of income of most respondents could contribute to the unaffordable cost and convenience issues.

We acknowledge some limitations of the study which may have affected the generalizability of the study. Completion of the survey was based on self-selection and may have introduced some bias in the study population. The online nature of the survey may have led to the underrepresentation of people with limited access to mobile devices and may have influenced the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents with the recruitment of more of the younger population below 35 years and more educated populations. In addition, the inferences from this study relate to the COVID-19 vaccine and may not apply to vaccines for other diseases.

## Conclusion

The study demonstrates the impact of social determinants of health on the acceptance and uptake of COVID vaccine. Socioeconomic factors such as educational attainment, income and faith are major drivers of hesitancy. These and other findings influenced varied degrees of confidence, complacency and convenience factors that were associated with hesitancy in the study. Hesitancy is as much a social issue as health and requires a multisectoral approach in educating communities and building trust in health and social institutions. Approaches to health education must be adaptive to build societal trust in medical products and service providers using conventional platforms such as educational institutions, congregational settings, media, and the social media to disseminate health messages and scientific breakthroughs. Such interventions will be more effective when routinized prior to major events like the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to express gratitude to Center for Global Health Practice and Impact (CGHPI), Center for Clinical Care and Clinical Research (CCCRN), Georgetown Global Health Nigeria (GGHN), Savannah Health System Innovation Ltd (SHSIL), and everyone who supported and contributed to protocol development, data collection and development of the manuscript.

## Funding:

The study was funded by Georgetown University Medical Center, Dean of Research. However, the funder did not play any role in the research.

## References

1. Nigeria Center for Disease Control (2023). COVID-19 in Nigeria. Accessed 29 August from <https://covid19.ncdc.gov.ng/>
2. World Health Organization (2022). WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) weekly epidemiological update Dashboard. Accessed 10 August 2023 from <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update-on-covid-19---10-august2023#:~:text=As%20of%206%20August%202023,in%20testing%20and%20reporting%20glob ally.>
3. Pronker, E. S., Weenen, T. C., Commandeur, H., Claassen, E. H., & Osterhaus, A. D. (2013). Risk in vaccine research and development quantified. *PloS One*, 8(3), e57755.
4. Sandbrink, J. B., & Shattock, R. J. (2020). RNA vaccines: a suitable platform for tackling emerging pandemics? *Frontiers in Immunology*, 11, 608460.

5. Bok, K., Sitar, S., Graham, B. S., & Mascola, J. R. (2021). Accelerated COVID-19 vaccine development: milestones, lessons, and prospects. *Immunity*, 54(8), 1636–1651.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2021.07.017>
6. Our World in Data. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations - Statistics and Research [Internet]. Our World in Data. 2023. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations>
7. Sokunbi, T. O., Oluyedun, A. T., Adegboye, E. A., Oluwatomisin, G. P., & Ibrahim, A. D. (2023). COVID-19 vaccination in Nigeria: Challenges and recommendations for future vaccination initiatives. *Public Health Challenges*, 2(1), e57.
8. Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Beltekian D, Mathieu E, Hasell J, Macdonald B, et al. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Our World in Data [Internet]. 2020 Mar 5; Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations#citation>
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2022). Global Health – Stories: CDC investigates COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and supports vaccine rollout in Nigeria. Accessed 29 September from <https://www.cdc.gov/globalhealth/stories/2022/nigeria-vaccine-rollout.html#:~:text=CDC%20Supports%20Nigeria's%20National%20COVID,vaccination%20campaign%2C%E2%80%9D%20says%20Ikwe>
10. Nazli, Ş. B., Yığman, F., Sevindik, M., & Deniz Özturan, D. (2022). Psychological factors affecting COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *Irish journal of medical science*, 191, 1, 71–80.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-021-02640-0>
11. Solís Arce JS, Warren SS, Meriggi NF, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and hesitancy in low- and middle-income countries. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(8):1385-1394. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01454-y

12. World Health Organization (2020). 10 Global health issues to track in 2021. Accessed 29 September from <https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/10-global-health-issues-to-track-in-2021>
13. Palamenghi, L., Barello, S., Boccia, S., & Graffigna, G. (2020). Mistrust in biomedical research and vaccine hesitancy: the forefront challenge in the battle against COVID-19 in Italy. *European journal of epidemiology*, 35(8), 785–788. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00675-8>
14. Kricorian, K., Civen, R., & Equils, O. (2022). COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: misinformation and perceptions of vaccine safety. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*, 18(1), 1950504. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2021.1950504>
15. Rosenberg, J. (2019). Unpacking the Root Causes and Consequences of Vaccine Hesitancy. Accessed 29 September 2022 from <https://www.ajmc.com/view/unpacking-the-root-causes-and-consequences-of-vaccine-hesitancy>
16. Meo, S.A., Al-Masri, A.A., Klonoff, D.C., Alshahrani, A.N. and Al-khlaiwi, T. (2022). Comparison of Biological, Pharmacological Characteristics, Indications, Contraindications and Adverse Effects of JYNNEOS and ACAM2000 Monkeypox Vaccines. *Vaccines*, 10(11), p.1971. doi:10.3390/vaccines10111971.
17. WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (2014). Appendices to the report of the SAGE working group on vaccine hesitancy. Accessed 29 September from [https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/immunization/sage/2014/october/2-sage-appendices-background-final.pdf?sfvrsn=2259f1bf\\_4](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/immunization/sage/2014/october/2-sage-appendices-background-final.pdf?sfvrsn=2259f1bf_4).
18. Yahya, M. (2007). Polio vaccines – “no thank you!” barriers to polio eradication in Northern Nigeria, *African Affairs*, 106, 423, 185–204. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adm016>

- 395 19. Ghinai, I., Willott, C., Dadari, I., & Larson, H. J. (2013). Listening to the rumours: what the  
396 northern Nigeria polio vaccine boycott can tell us ten years on. *Global public health*, 8,10,  
397 1138–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2013.859720>
- 398 20. Ackah, B. B. B., Woo, M., Stallwood, L., Fazal, Z. A., Okpani, A., Ukah, U. V., et al (2022).  
399 COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Africa: a scoping review. *Global health research and*  
400 *policy*, 7(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-022-00255-1>
- 401 21. Syed Alwi, S., Rafidah, E., Zurraini, A., Juslina, O., Brohi, I. B., and Lukas, S. (2021). A  
402 survey on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and concern among Malaysians. *BMC public*  
403 *health*, 21,1, 1129. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11071-6>
- 404 22. Borriello, A., Master, D., Pellegrini, A., & Rose, J. M. (2021). Preferences for a COVID-19  
405 vaccine in Australia. *Vaccine*, 39, 3, 473–479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.12.032>
- 406 23. Wang, C., Han, B., Zhao, T., Liu, H., Liu, B., Chen, L., Xie, M., Liu, J., Zheng, H., Zhang,  
407 S., Wang, Y., Huang, N., Du, J., Liu, Y. Q., Lu, Q. B., & Cui, F. (2021). Vaccination  
408 willingness, vaccine hesitancy, and estimated coverage at the first round of COVID-19  
409 vaccination in China: A national cross-sectional study. *Vaccine*, 39, 21, 2833–2842.  
410 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.04.020>
- 411 24. Sallam M. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Worldwide: A Concise Systematic Review  
412 of Vaccine Acceptance Rates. *Vaccines*, 9(2), 160. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9020160>
- 413 25. Habersaat KB, Jackson C. Understanding vaccine acceptance and demand—and ways to  
414 increase them. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung - Gesundheitsschutz*. 2019  
415 Dec 4;63(1):32–9.
- 416 26. Sisti LG, Buonsenso D, Moscato U, Costanzo G, Malorni W. The Role of Religions in the  
417 COVID-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research*

and Public Health [Internet]. 2023 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Feb 24];20(3):1691. Available from:  
<https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/20/3/1691>

27. Larson, H. J., Clarke, R. M., Jarrett, C., Eckersberger, E., Levine, Z., Schulz, W. S., et al.  
 (2018). Measuring trust in vaccination: A systematic review. *Human vaccines &  
 immunotherapeutics*, 14, 7, 1599–1609. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1459252>

28. Karlsson, L. C., Soveri, A., Lewandowsky, S., Karlsson, L., Karlsson, H., Nolvi, S., et al.  
 (2021). Fearing the disease or the vaccine: The case of COVID-19. *Personality and  
 individual differences*, 172, 110590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110590>

29. McElfish, P. A., Willis, D. E., Shah, S. K., Bryant-Moore, K., Rojo, M. O., & Selig, J. P.  
 (2021). Sociodemographic Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy, Fear of Infection,  
 and Protection Self-Efficacy. *Journal of primary care & community health*, 12,  
 21501327211040746. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21501327211040746>

30. Ullah, I., Khan, K. S., Tahir, M. J., Ahmed, A., & Harapan, H. (2021). Myths and conspiracy  
 theories on vaccines and COVID-19: Potential effect on global vaccine  
 refusals. *Vacunas*, 22, 2, 93–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacun.2021.01.001>