1	Fracture risk among stroke survivors
2	according to post-stroke disability status and stroke type
3	
4	Dagyeong Lee, MD ^{1*} , In Young Cho, MD ^{1,2*} , Won Hyuk Chang, MD, PhD ^{2,3} , Jung Eun Yoo,
5	MD, PhD ⁴ , Hea Lim Choi, MD ^{2,5} , Jun Hee Park, MD ^{1,6} , Dong Wook Shin, MD, MBA,
6	DrPH ^{1,2†*} , Kyungdo Han, PhD ^{7†*}
7	
8	¹ Department of Family Medicine/Supportive Care Center, Samsung Medical Center,
9	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
10	² Department of Clinical Research Design & Evaluation, Samsung Advanced Institute for
11	Health Science & Technology, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
12	³ Department of Physical & Rehabilitation Medicine, Samsung Medical Center,
13	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
14	⁴ Department of Family Medicine, Healthcare System Gangnam Center, Seoul National
15	University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea
16	⁵ Department of Family Medicine/Executive Healthcare Clinic, Severance Hospital, Yonsei
17	University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
18	⁶ Department of Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic
19	of Korea
20	⁷ Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Soongsil University, Seoul, Republic of
21	Korea
22	

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

²³ [*] These authors contribute	d equally.
---	------------

24

25	[†] Corres	ponding	author:
----	---------------------	---------	---------

26

27	Dong	Wook	Shin	MD	DrPH	MBA
<u> </u>	Dong	WOOK	sinn,	wiD,	$D\Pi \Pi$,	MDA

- 28 Department of Family Medicine/Supportive Care Center, Samsung Medical Center,
- 29 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine
- 30 Department of Clinical Research Design & Evaluation, Samsung Advanced Institute for
- 31 Health Science & Technology, Sungkyunkwan University
- 32 81 Irwon-Ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Republic of Korea
- 33 Tel: 82-2-3410-5252; Fax: 82-2-3410-0388; E-mail: <u>dwshin.md@gmail.com</u>
- 34

35 Kyungdo Han, PhD

- 36 Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Soongsil University, Seoul, Republic of
 37 Korea
- 38 369 Sangdo-Ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 06978, Republic of Korea
- 39 Tel: 82-2-2258-7226; Fax: 82-2-532-6537; E-mail: hkd917@naver.com

40

41 Word count: 5,979 words

1/R

42 Abstract

Background: Stroke survivors face physical and cognitive challenges, including impaired coordination and balance, which can lead to an increased dependency and a higher risk of falls. We aimed to investigate the impact of post-stroke disability status and stroke type on the risk of fracture at various sites compared to a matched comparison group.

47 **Method:** This retrospective cohort study used data from the Korean National Health 48 Insurance System database (2010-2018) and included a total of 223,358 stroke patients and a 49 1:1 matched comparison group. Stroke survivors were grouped based on the presence and 50 severity of their post-stroke disability and stroke type. The primary outcome was the 51 incidence of newly diagnosed fracture. Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were 52 used to calculate the hazard ratios of fractures after adjusting for potential confounders.

Results: Stroke survivors had an increased risk of overall fractures compared to the matched 53 comparison group (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 1.40, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.37-1.43). 54 Specifically, the risk of hip fractures was even greater for stroke survivors: aHR 2.42, 95% CI 55 2.30-2.55. The risk of vertebral fractures (aHR 1.29, 95% CI 1.25-1.34) and other fractures 56 (aHR 1.19, 95% CI 1.15-1.23) also was higher than that of the control group. The risk of hip 57 fractures was highest among stroke survivors with severe post-stroke disability (aHR 4.82, 95% 58 CI 4.28-5.42), while the risk of vertebral or other fractures was highest among those with 59 mild post-stroke disability. There was no significant difference in fracture risk between 60 hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke survivors when stratified by disability status. 61

62 **Conclusion:** Our findings showed an increased risk of subsequent fractures among stroke 63 survivors, particularly those with post-stroke disability and for hip fracture. Bone health 64 assessment and treatment should be emphasized as an essential part of stroke management.

2/R

65

66 Keywords: stroke; fracture; disability; cohort

68 Introduction

According to the Global Burden of Diseases 2019, over 12.2 million new stroke events occur annually¹. Stroke is the second most prevalent cause of death and the third most significant contributor to disability-adjusted life years worldwide². Stroke can have a significant impact on both physical and cognitive abilities³, resulting in coordination and balance impairments⁴. Muscle weakness, immobility, and dependency following stroke can also lead to physical impairment associated with decreased quality of life and higher mortality⁵. Moreover, stroke survivors face an elevated risk of falls⁶.

76 Although these factors suggest that stroke survivors are at increased risk of fractures, previous studies reported heterogeneous results (Table S1)⁷⁻¹⁷. For example, hip fracture risk 77 in stroke survivors compared to a non-stroke group varied across studies, with reported 78 relative risk (RR) of 1.4 to 2.4 in a Taiwanese study¹², RR 11.75 in a Swedish study¹³, and no 79 evidence of increased risk in a US study (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 1.1, 95% confidence 80 interval [CI] 0.6-2.1)¹⁷. In addition, previous studies primarily focused on hip^{9,12,13,15,16} or 81 overall fractures^{7,9,13}, and direct comparisons among different fracture sites were rarely 82 reported^{7,11}. The influence of severity of disability on fracture risk remains uncertain. A US 83 study⁹ found that post-stroke women with severe disability were at increased risk of hip 84 fractures (aHR 2.1, 95% CI 1.4-3.2), but a Taiwanese study⁷ showed an inverse relationship 85 between stroke severity and overall fracture (aHR for moderate vs mild stroke, 0.88; 95% CI 86 0.81-0.96, aHR for severe vs mild stroke, 0.39; 95% CI 0.34-0.44). The effect of stroke type 87 on fracture risk has also been inconsistent; some studies indicated a higher fracture risk in 88 hemorrhagic stroke compared to ischemic stroke^{18,19}, but others reported no significant 89 difference⁷. Moreover, most studies on fracture among stroke survivors failed to differentiate 90 by stroke type $^{8,12,14-17}$. 91

4/R

In this retrospective cohort study, we aimed to investigate the risk of fractures 92 among stroke survivors compared to a non-stroke population. We also investigated the 93 94 impacts of post-stroke disability and stroke type on fracture at various sites. We hypothesized that stroke survivors would have a higher risk of fractures compared to the control group, 95 with the risk varying by fracture site and type of stroke. Additionally, we expected that the 96 severity of post-stroke disability would correlate with an increased fracture risk. 97

98

99

100 Methods

1. Data source 101

This study used the Korean National Health Insurance Service (KNHIS) database, 102 which includes diagnoses and prescriptions for the entire Korean population²⁰ (further details 103 in **Supplementary Methods**). Under the KNHIS, employees and all people aged 40 or above 104 are eligible for general health screening programs²¹. The KNHIS hence collects and manages 105 information on demographic factors, anthropometric measurements, health behaviors, and 106 laboratory results²⁰. This database is a valuable resource for clinical and public health 107 research²¹. 108

109

2. Study population 110

We identified a total of 800,646 individuals who experienced a stroke between 111 January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2018. The definition of stroke was determined by ICD-10 112 codes (Table S2) from hospitalization records, along with the presence of claims for brain 113

5/R

resonance imaging or brain CT scan²². We matched stroke survivors with a comparison group who had no previous stroke event in a 1:1 ratio based on age and sex. The control subjects were assigned an index date, which aligned with the date of stroke diagnosis for their respective matched patients.

Among the selected stroke (n=800,646) and comparison (n=800,646) groups, we 118 included those who underwent a national health check-up within two years of the index year 119 (n=465,257 and n=391,878) to retrieve baseline information on health behaviors and 120 121 comorbidities. We excluded individuals who were younger than 40 years (n=6,719 and n=8,300) to analyze a population at risk for fractures, our outcome of interest. We also 122 excluded participants with a previous diagnosis of fracture (n=62,858 and 59,785) or missing 123 data on covariates (n=13,036 and 13,713) and applied a 1-year lag (n=29,418 and 4,809). The 124 1-year lag was introduced to examine the risk of fracture after the stroke has stabilized and to 125 126 exclude fractures that may occur during the acute stroke phase. In total, 223,358 were included in the stroke group and 322,161 in the comparison group (Figure 1). This study was 127 approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center (IRB No. 2020-12-128 129 068).

130

131 **3. Definition of disability and its severity caused by stroke**

We used data from Korea's National Disability Registration System (KNDRS) to determine post-stroke disability status and severity (further details in **Supplementary Methods**). Disability status and severity information from the KNDRS can be considered reliable and accurate. Grade 1 (most severe) disability from brain injury was defined by the requirement of total assistance from others, whereas grade 6 (least severe) disability was

6/R

defined by the performance of ordinary activities independently according to predefined
eligibility criteria (**Table S3**)²³. For the analyses, we classified grades 1 to 3 as severe
disability and grades 4 to 6 as mild disability.

140

141 **4. Study outcomes and follow-up**

The primary outcome of this study was a newly diagnosed fracture, determined by ICD-10 codes²⁴ (**Table S4**). Hip fracture was defined with the relevant diagnosis codes; vertebral and other fractures were defined by two or more outpatient visits with the relevant diagnosis codes within a 12-month period. "Overall fracture" refers to the occurrence of at least one of the above-mentioned fractures. The study population was followed until the occurrence of a new fracture, censor date, or the end of the study period (December 31, 2018), whichever came first.

149

150 **5. Covariates**

Sociodemographic information such as age, sex, residential area, and income level was obtained from the KNHIS database. Data on lifestyle behaviors (smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity), anthropometric measurements, and laboratory test results were extracted from the general health screening examinations.

155 Comorbidities of the participants were identified using claims and prescription
156 information prior to the index date (further details in Supplementary Methods).

7/R

158 **6. Statistical analysis**

Descriptive analysis was conducted using mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for 159 160 continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical variables. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for 161 fracture risk among stroke survivors compared to the matched comparison group, with 162 adjustment for potential confounders during years of follow-up. Model 1 was unadjusted, and 163 model 2 was adjusted for age (continuous), sex, area of residence (urban, rural), insulin use, 164 165 diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking (none, ex, current), alcohol consumption (non, mild, heavy), and body mass index (BMI) (continuous). In addition, 166 individuals with stroke were categorized into three groups: (1) stroke without disability, (2) 167 stroke with mild disability (grades 4-6), and (3) stroke with severe disability (grades 1-3). 168 We conducted Kaplan-Meier analyses to demonstrate the cumulative incidence probabilities 169 170 of fracture.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.,
 Cary, NC, USA). Two-sided P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

173

174

175 **Results**

176 **1. Baseline characteristics**

Among 223,358 stroke survivors, the mean age was 64.8 ± 10.9 years, and 61.2%were male (**Table 1**). Stroke survivors were more likely to reside in rural areas and had lower incomes than the control group. Compared to the control group, a higher percentage of stroke

8/R

survivors were current smokers (26.1% versus 17.6%), heavy drinkers (8.3% versus 6.7%),
and had a higher BMI. Stroke survivors were more likely to have higher Charlson
Comorbidity Index scores, and a higher prevalence of DM, hypertension, and dyslipidemia.

Among 26,932 stroke survivors with post-stroke disability, the mean age was $66.5 \pm$ 184 10.0 years, and 64.0% were male. Stroke survivors with post-stroke disability had lower 185 incomes and a higher BMI than those without post-stroke disability. Stroke survivors with 186 disability had higher Charlson Comorbidity Index scores than those without post-stroke 187 disability, and a higher prevalence of DM and hypertension.

188

189 2. Risk of subsequent fracture among people with stroke according to post-stroke
190 disability

The mean \pm SD follow-up period for stroke survivors was 3.7 ± 2.5 years, with an average 2.7 ± 2.0 years between stroke and new-onset fracture. The comparison group had a mean \pm SD follow-up period of 4.2 ± 2.5 years. The Kaplan-Meier curves are shown in **Figure 2**.

195 Stroke survivors had a higher risk of overall fracture (aHR 1.40, 95% CI 1.37-1.43) 196 than the comparison group after adjusting for potential confounders (**Table 2**). Stroke 197 survivors with post-stroke disability had an increased risk of overall fractures (aHR 1.69, 95% 198 CI 1.62-1.76). Stroke survivors without post-stroke disability also had a higher risk of overall 199 fractures compared to the comparison group (aHR 1.36, 95% CI 1.33-1.39).

200 Stroke survivors exhibited a higher risk of vertebral fracture (aHR 1.29, 95% CI 201 1.25-1.34) compared to the comparison group (**Table 2**). There was a slight difference in

9/R

vertebral fracture risk by post-stroke disability status (aHR 1.39, 95% CI 1.29-1.48 vs. aHR
1.28, 95% CI 1.24-1.33). Furthermore, among post-stroke disability, those with mild
disability had a higher risk of vertebral fracture (aHR 1.48, 95% CI 1.36-1.60) compared to
those with severe disability (aHR 1.19, 95% CI 1.05-1.35).

Stroke survivors had a higher risk of hip fracture (aHR 2.42, 95% CI 2.30-2.55) compared to the comparison group. Furthermore, stroke survivors with post-stroke disability had an even greater risk of hip fracture (aHR 3.83, 95% CI 3.54-4.15) compared to those without post-stroke disability (aHR 2.22, 95% CI 2.10-2.35). Moreover, those with severe disability had a significantly higher risk of hip fracture (aHR 4.82, 95% CI 4.28-5.42) compared to those with mild post-stroke disability (aHR 3.38, 95% CI 3.06-3.73).

212

213 **3. Fracture risk according to type of stroke**

We compared the risk of fracture between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke after 214 stratifying by post-stroke disability status (Table 3). No significant difference was found in 215 216 the risk of overall fracture between the ischemic and hemorrhagic types: 1.36 (1.33-1.40) vs. 1.33 (1.28-1.39) in survivors without post-stroke disability and 1.67 (1.59-1.75) vs. 1.76 217 (1.62-1.92) with post-stroke disability. However, the risk for vertebral fractures was higher in 218 ischemic stroke survivors compared to hemorrhagic stroke survivors in both strata: without 219 220 disability (aHR 1.32, 95% CI 1.27-1.36; aHR 1.14, 95% CI 1.07-1.22, respectively) and with 221 disability (aHR 1.46, 95% CI 1.35-1.57; aHR 1.13, 95% CI 0.97-1.33, respectively). The risk of hip fracture was higher for hemorrhagic stroke survivors than ischemic stroke survivors in 222 only those with post-stroke disability (aHR 5.34, 95% CI 4.62-6.16; aHR 3.48, 95% CI 3.18-223 224 3.81, respectively).

10/R

225

226

227 Discussion

In our large-scale study, stroke survivors had a 1.40 times increased risk of overall 228 fracture compared to the comparison group after adjusting for potential confounders. Among 229 the fracture sites, hip fractures showed the largest risk among stroke survivors (2.42 times) 230 231 compared to vertebral or other fractures (1.29 and 1.19 times). Stroke survivors with poststroke disability showed a higher risk than those who did not have post-stroke disability, and 232 severe disability was associated with an even higher hip fracture risk (4.82 times). There was 233 no difference in fracture risk between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke when post-stroke 234 disability status was accounted for, except for vertebral fractures which showed higher risk in 235 236 ischemic stroke survivors.

The increased risk of fractures in stroke survivors can be attributed to decreased 237 bone mineral density (BMD) and increased susceptibility to falls following stroke. Bone loss 238 239 after stroke is presumed to be primarily due to immobilization and increased bone turnover. When the skeleton is not subjected to enough mechanical loading, osteoblast activity 240 decreases, leading to reduced bone synthesis²⁵. In a previous study, BMD in the paretic lower 241 limb decreased by 10% among non-ambulatory patients, but only 3% among ambulatory 242 patients²⁶. Stroke survivors, even those with mild symptoms are reported to have reduced 243 physical activity²⁷. Post-stroke falls typically occur during mobilization²⁸, and stroke 244 survivors face an increased risk during basic activities like transferring to and from the bed, 245 chair, and toilet²⁹. 246

247

Our study found that stroke survivors had a higher risk of both hip and vertebral

11/R

fractures compared to the control group, but the risk was higher for hip vs. vertebral fracture. 248 As most hip fracture are caused by falls³⁰, the increased occurrence of hip fractures in the 249 250 stroke group could potentially be attributed to falls resulting from diminished balance⁶. Also, the decreased mobility observed in stroke patients may lead to decreased BMD in the hip and 251 subsequent increase in hip fracture risk because the hip bone is particularly sensitive to 252 weight-bearing²⁶. In contrast, vertebral fractures are commonly associated with osteoporosis 253 (i.e. compression fracture)³¹ but less frequently associated with falls compared to hip 254 fractures³². 255

Prior studies have shown that there is a significant loss of total body BMD, 256 including hip, but not in vertebrae after a severe stroke³³. This may be because those with 257 severe disability spend a significant amount of time lying down⁵, leading to reduced weight-258 bearing activity, which can contribute to greater hip BMD loss²⁶. Meanwhile, those with mild 259 disability are able to perform daily activities independently and may require only occasional 260 assistance from others²³; hence, they have a relatively lower risk of falls and are likely to 261 remain active, and thus are at higher risk of vertebral fractures, which are attributed more to 262 osteoporosis compared to hip fractures³¹. In addition, vertebral fractures were identified 263 through claims data in our study, requiring visit to a hospital. Stroke survivors with mild 264 disability may be more susceptible to experiencing vertebral fracture symptoms compared to 265 266 those with severe disability, and make hospital visits to investigate the cause and be diagnosed with vertebral fractures. In contrast, stroke survivors with severe disability who 267 have limited access to health care may be less likely to seek medical care for minor injuries 268 such as vertebral fractures. People with functional or mental disabilities have difficulty 269 accessing healthcare³⁴. 270

12/R

There was no significant difference in the overall fracture risk between ischemic and 271 hemorrhagic stroke. This is consistent with the results of a recent longitudinal study in 272 273 Taiwan, which reported that the overall fracture risk did not differ between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke as the reference (aHR 1.08, 95% CI 0.92-1.16)⁷. However, when 274 assessing site-specific fractures, the risk of vertebral fracture was slightly higher in ischemic 275 stroke survivors with post-stroke disability and without post-stroke disability, while the risk 276 of hip fracture was higher in hemorrhagic stroke survivors with post-stroke disability. This 277 finding aligns with a Taiwanese study, where hemorrhagic stroke was associated with higher 278 risk of hip fracture (aHR 2.34, 95% CI 1.33-4.11) compared with ischemic stroke (aHR 1.60, 279 95% CI 1.36-1.89)¹⁸. The underlying reasons for these differential risks of vertebral and hip 280 281 fractures in ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke survivors remain unclear. However, previous research suggests that, compared with ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic strokes are more severe³⁵, 282 and thus greater impaired motor function and bone loss due to immobilization may account 283 for the higher risk of hip fracture in hemorrhagic stroke with post-stroke disability¹⁸. 284

285 Our study has important clinical implications. Delayed rehabilitation of stroke survivors is a risk factor for fracture³⁶. Moreover, fractures can result in further functional 286 decline, disrupt stroke rehabilitation, and elevate the risk of subsequent stroke^{37,38}. The 287 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association and guidelines for adult stroke 288 289 rehabilitation and recovery recommend a formal fall prevention program during hospitalization for stroke survivors, and that stroke patients discharged to the community 290 participate in exercise programs with balance training to reduce falls³⁹. A previous study 291 demonstrated reduced fracture risk with regular exercise following acute stroke⁴⁰. In addition, 292 it is necessary to conduct examinations and implement preventive treatments for increased 293 294 bone resorption, which appears to play a significant role in osteoporosis among patients who

13/R

have experienced prolonged bedridden periods due to immobility⁴¹. Our study findings highlight the importance of fracture risk prevention among stroke survivors, which may be accomplished by not only fall risk assessments, exercise, and balance training programs³⁹, but also by supplementation of calcium and vitamin D^{42} and osteoporosis medications⁴³.

This study possesses several strengths, including the utilization of a representative sample obtained from a national database. The substantial number of stroke cases enabled a comprehensive examination of the impact of stroke severity, an aspect often overlooked in prior research. Moreover, stroke severity was defined using a disability grade determined by the Modified Barthel Index, which was validated by specialists. Additionally, the availability of diverse demographic and clinical information facilitated adjustment for confounders in our Cox regression analysis, enhancing the robustness of our findings.

306 Despite the strength and clinical implication of our study, there are certain 307 limitations to acknowledge. First, the analysis did not consider the specific lesion site of stroke as the ICD-10 codes or KNDRS used in this study do not distinguish different lesion 308 sites. Stroke location affects clinical outcomes⁴⁴, and future studies should identify the 309 specific lesion site to help identify stroke survivors more vulnerable to fracture risk. Second, 310 given that the definition of fractures relied on claims data using ICD-10 codes, there is a 311 possibility of under detection of fractures, especially for vertebral fractures. Third, because 312 we used an established public database, we were unable to analyze several factors, including 313 the cause of fractures, family history of fracture, BMD, balance or cognitive impairment, or 314 315 antithrombotic medication status, which may affect fracture risk. Future research should focus on identifying the impact of these factors on fracture risk among stroke survivors. 316 317 Furthermore, our study participants were restricted to those who received health screening

14/R

examinations, potentially representing a cohort that is healthier and more engaged in healthy lifestyles compared to the general population. Finally, the use of a single-country database limits the generalizability of our study to other populations due to differences in medical treatment approaches that may differ by local practice guidelines and healthcare systems, cultural environments, and ethnicity⁴⁵.

In summary, our study showed that stroke survivors had a 1.4-fold increased risk of overall fracture and a 2.4-fold increased risk of hip fracture. These risks were further elevated among individuals with post-stroke disability. When stratifying by post-stroke disability status, no significant difference was observed between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke for overall fracture risk. Fall prevention and comprehensive bone health management should be prioritized in the care of stroke survivors.

329

330

332 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Da-Hyeun Lee and Sang-Eun Lee for the graphical abstract. 333

334

- Funding 335
- None 336

337

- 338 **Conflicts of interest**
- The authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to declare. 339

340

Author contributions 341

manuscript.

D.L. drafted and revised the article. I.Y.C. and D.W.S. interpreted the data and contributed to 342

the study conception and design. K.H. was involved in data acquisition and statistical analysis. 343

W.H.C., J.E.Y., H.L.C., and J.H.P. revised manuscript. All authors read and agreed to the final 344

346

345

Data Availability Statement 347

The KNHIS database is open to researchers whose study protocols are approved by the 348 official review committee. 349

350

Supplemental Material 351

Supplementary Methods 352

16/R

Tables S1-S4 353

354

356 References

- 357 1. Feigin VL, Brainin M, Norrving B, Martins S, Sacco RL, Hacke W, Fisher M, Pandian J, Lindsay P. World Stroke Organization (WSO): global stroke fact sheet 2022. International 358 359 Journal of Stroke. 2022;17:18-29.
- 360 2. Feigin VL, Stark BA, Johnson CO, Roth GA, Bisignano C, Abady GG, Abbasifard M, Abbasi-361 Kangevari M, Abd-Allah F, Abedi V. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its 362 risk factors, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. 363 The Lancet Neurology. 2021;20:795-820.
- Viktorisson A, Andersson EM, Lundström E, Sunnerhagen KS. Levels of physical activity 364 3. 365 before and after stroke in relation to early cognitive function. Scientific Reports. 2021;11:1-366 7.
- Han P, Zhang W, Kang L, Ma Y, Fu L, Jia L, Yu H, Chen X, Hou L, Wang L. Clinical evidence 367 4. 368 of exercise benefits for stroke. Exercise for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and 369 Treatment: From Molecular to Clinical, Part 2. 2017:131-151.
- 370 Dalvandi A, Heikkilä K, Maddah S, Khankeh H, Ekman S-L. Life experiences after stroke 5. 371 among Iranian stroke survivors. International nursing review. 2010;57:247-253.
- Dennis M, Lo K, McDowall M, West T. Fractures after stroke: frequency, types, and 372 6. 373 associations. Stroke. 2002;33:728-734.
- 374 7. Wang H-P, Sung S-F, Yang H-Y, Huang W-T, Hsieh C-Y. Associations between stroke type, stroke severity, and pre-stroke osteoporosis with the risk of post-stroke fracture: A 375 376 nationwide population-based study. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2021;427:117512.
- 377 8. Tanislav C, Kostev K. Factors associated with fracture after stroke and TIA: a long-term 378 follow-up. Osteoporosis International. 2020;31:2395-2402.
- 379 Northuis CA, Crandall CJ, Margolis KL, Diem SJ, Ensrud KE, Lakshminarayan K. Association 9. 380 between post-stroke disability and 5-year hip-fracture risk: The Women's Health Initiative. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2020;29:104976. 381
- Kapral MK, Fang J, Alibhai SM, Cram P, Cheung AM, Casaubon LK, Prager M, Stamplecoski 382 10. 383 M, Rashkovan B, Austin PC. Risk of fractures after stroke: results from the Ontario Stroke 384 Registry. Neurology. 2017;88:57-64.
- Benzinger P, Rapp K, König H, Bleibler F, Globas C, Beyersmann J, Jaensch A, Becker C, 385 11. Büchele G. Risk of osteoporotic fractures following stroke in older persons. Osteoporosis 386 387 International. 2015;26:1341-1349.
- Lin HL, Lin HC, Tseng YF, Liao HH, Worly J, Pan CY, Hsu CY. Hip fracture after first-ever 388 12. 389 stroke: a population-based study. Acta Neurologica Scandinavica. 2015;131:158-163.
- Sennerby U, Melhus H, Gedeborg R, Byberg L, Garmo H, Ahlbom A, Pedersen NL, 390 13. 391 Michaëlsson K. Cardiovascular diseases and risk of hip fracture. Jama. 2009;302:1666-1673.
- 392 Brown DL, Morgenstern LB, Majersik JJ, Kleerekoper M, Lisabeth LD. Risk of fractures after 14.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.19.23300259; this version posted December 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 393 stroke. *Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2008;25:95-99.
- 39415.Kanis J, Oden A, Johnell O. Acute and long-term increase in fracture risk after395hospitalization for stroke. *Stroke*. 2001;32:702-706.
- Ramnemark A, Nyberg L, Borssén B, Olsson T, Gustafson Y. Fractures after stroke.
 Osteoporosis International. 1998;8:92-95.
- Melton Iii L, Brown Jr R, Achenbach S, O'Fallon W, Whisnant J, Whisnant J. Long-term
 fracture risk following ischemic stroke: a population-based study. *Osteoporosis international.* 2001;12:980-986.
- 401 18. Zheng J-Q, Lai H-J, Zheng C-M, Yen Y-C, Lu K-C, Hu C-J, Lee H-H, Wang Y-H. Association
 402 of stroke subtypes with risk of hip fracture: a population-based study in Taiwan. *Archives*403 *of osteoporosis*. 2017;12:1-8.
- Lisabeth LD, Morgenstern LB, Wing JJ, Sanchez BN, Zahuranec DB, Skolarus LE, Burke JF,
 Kleerekoper M, Smith MA, Brown DL. Poststroke fractures in a bi-ethnic community. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2012;21:471-477.
- 20. Cheol Seong S, Kim Y-Y, Khang Y-H, Heon Park J, Kang H-J, Lee H, Do C-H, Song J-S,
 Hyon Bang J, Ha S. Data resource profile: the national health information database of the
 National Health Insurance Service in South Korea. *International journal of epidemiology*.
 2017;46:799-800.
- 411 21. Shin DW, Cho J, Park JH, Cho B. National General Health Screening Program in Korea:
 412 history, current status, and future direction. *Precision and Future Medicine*. 2022;6:9-31.
- 413 22. Jeong S-M, Lee HR, Han K, Jeon KH, Kim D, Yoo JE, Cho MH, Chun S, Lee SP, Nam K-W.
 414 Association of change in alcohol consumption with risk of ischemic stroke. *Stroke*.
 415 2022;53:2488-2496.
- 416 23. Kim M, Jung W, Kim SY, Park JH, Shin DW. The Korea National Disability Registration
 417 System. *Epidemiology and Health*. 2023:e2023053.
- 418 24. Yoo JE, Shin DW, Han K, Kim D, Yoon JW, Lee D-Y. Association of female reproductive
 419 factors with incidence of fracture among postmenopausal women in Korea. *JAMA network*420 *open.* 2021;4:e2030405-e2030405.
- 421 25. Yuan Y, Chen X, Zhang L, Wu J, Guo J, Zou D, Chen B, Sun Z, Shen C, Zou J. The roles of
 422 exercise in bone remodeling and in prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Progress in*423 *Biophysics and Molecular Biology*. 2016;122:122-130.
- 424 26. Jørgensen L, Jacobsen B, Wilsgaard T, Magnus J. Walking after stroke: does it matter?
 425 Changes in bone mineral density within the first 12 months after stroke. A longitudinal
 426 study. *Osteoporosis international*. 2000;11:381-387.
- 427 27. Hildebrand M, Brewer M, Wolf T. The impact of mild stroke on participation in physical
 428 fitness activities. *Stroke research and treatment*. 2012;2012.
- 429 28. Chaiwanichsiri D, Jiamworakul A, Kitisomprayoonkul W. Falls among stroke patients in Thai
 430 Red Cross rehabilitation center. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand=*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.19.23300259; this version posted December 21, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in pernetuity

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

431 *Chotmaihet Thangphaet*. 2006;89:S47-52.

- 432 29. Wada N, Sohmiya M, Shimizu T, Okamoto K, Shirakura K. Clinical analysis of risk factors for
 433 falls in home-living stroke patients using functional evaluation tools. *Archives of physical*434 *medicine and rehabilitation*. 2007;88:1601-1605.
- 435 30. LeBlanc KE, Muncie Jr HL, LeBlanc LL. Hip fracture: diagnosis, treatment, and secondary
 436 prevention. *American family physician*. 2014;89:945-951.
- 437 31. Genant HK, Cooper C, Poor G, Reid I, Ehrlich G, Kanis J, Nordin BC, Barrett-Connor E, Black
 438 D, Bonjour J. Interim report and recommendations of the World Health Organization task439 force for osteoporosis. *Osteoporosis international*. 1999;10:259.
- 440 32. Vieira ER, Palmer RC, Chaves PH. Prevention of falls in older people living in the 441 community. *Bmj.* 2016;353.
- Ramnemark A, Nyberg L, Lorentzon R, Englund U, Gustafson Y. Progressive
 hemiosteoporosis on the paretic side and increased bone mineral density in the
 nonparetic arm the first year after severe stroke. *Osteoporosis International.* 1999;9:269275.
- 44634.Krahn GL, Walker DK, Correa-De-Araujo R. Persons with disabilities as an unrecognized447health disparity population. American journal of public health. 2015;105:S198-S206.
- 448 35. Andersen KK, Olsen TS, Dehlendorff C, Kammersgaard LP. Hemorrhagic and ischemic 449 strokes compared: stroke severity, mortality, and risk factors. *Stroke*. 2009;40:2068-2072.
- Waring J, Bishop S, Marshall F. A qualitative study of professional and carer perceptions of
 the threats to safe hospital discharge for stroke and hip fracture patients in the English
 National Health Service. *BMC health services research*. 2016;16:1-14.
- 453 37. Huo K, Hashim SI, Yong KL, Su H, Qu Q-M. Impact and risk factors of post-stroke bone 454 fracture. *World journal of experimental medicine*. 2016;6:1.
- 455 38. Lamo-Espinosa JM, Mariscal G, Gómez-Álvarez J, San-Julián M. Incidence and risk factors 456 for stroke after hip fracture: a meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*. 2023;13:17618.
- Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, Boehme AK,
 Buxton AE, Carson AP, Commodore-Mensah Y. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2022
 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2022;145:e153-e639.
- 460 40. Cheon Dy, Han K-D, Lee JH, Yu K-H, Choi BY, Lee M. Impact of changes in physical activity
 461 and incident fracture after acute ischemic stroke. *Scientific Reports.* 2023;13:16715.
- 462 41. Eimori K, Endo N, Uchiyama S, Takahashi Y, Kawashima H, Watanabe K. Disrupted bone
 463 metabolism in long-term bedridden patients. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0156991.
- 464 42. Gittler M, Davis AM. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery. Jama.
 465 2018;319:820-821.
- 466 43. Poole KE, Loveridge N, Rose CM, Warburton EA, Reeve J. A single infusion of zoledronate
 467 prevents bone loss after stroke. *Stroke*. 2007;38:1519-1525.
- 468 44. Cheng B, Forkert ND, Zavaglia M, Hilgetag CC, Golsari A, Siemonsen S, Fiehler J, Pedraza S,

469 Puig J, Cho T-H. Influence of stroke infarct location on functional outcome measured by the modified rankin scale. Stroke. 2014;45:1695-1702. 470

- 471 45. Cauley JA. Defining ethnic and racial differences in osteoporosis and fragility fractures. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* ®. 2011;469:1891-1899. 472
- 473

21/R

475 Figure Legends

476 Figure 1. Flowchart of the study population

477

- 478 Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves displaying the estimated incidence probability of overall
- 479 fracture
- 480 A, Risk of overall fracture in stroke survivors and control group; B, Risk of overall fracture in
- 481 stroke by severity of disability

	Study population			Stroke sur		
	Matched controls (N= 322,161)	Stroke survivors (N= 223,358)	P-value	Without post-stroke disabilityWith post-stroke disability(N= 196,426)(N= 26,932)		P-value
Mean age, years	65.2 ± 11.2	64.8 ± 10.9	< 0.001	64.6 ± 11.0	66.5 ± 10.0	< 0.001
Sex, male	197,357 (61.3)	136,623 (61.2)	0.5	19,400 (60.8)	17,223 (64.0)	< 0.001
Smoking			< 0.001			< 0.001
Non-smoker	192,161 (59.7)	124,483 (55.7)		109,232 (55.6)	15,251 (56.6)	
Ex-smoker	73,321 (22.8)	40,633 (18.2)		35,687 (18.2)	4,946 (18.4)	
Current smoker	56,679 (17.6)	58,242 (26.1)		51,507 (26.2)	6,735 (25.0)	
Alcohol consumption			< 0.001			< 0.001
Non	198,336 (61.6)	136,167 (61.0)		118,718 (60.4)	17,449 (64.8)	
Mild	102,170 (31.7)	68,701 (30.8)		61,347 (31.2)	7,354 (27.3)	
Heavy	21,655 (6.7)	18,490 (8.3)		51,507 (26.2)	6,735 (25.0)	
Regular physical activity	72,905 (22.6)	42,941 (19.2)	< 0.001	38,147 (19.42)	4,794 (17.8)	< 0.001
Anthropometrics						
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.1 ± 3.1	24.3 ± 3.2	< 0.001	24.3 ± 3.1	24.6 ± 3.3	< 0.001
Waist circumference, cm	83.1 ± 8.5	84.0 ± 8.6	< 0.001	83.8 ± 8.6	85.1 ± 8.7	< 0.001
Systolic BP, mmHg	127.0 ± 15.3	131.8 ± 17.4	< 0.001	131.7 ± 17.34	132.5 ± 17.4	< 0.001
Diastolic BP, mmHg	77.3 ± 9.8	80.2 ± 11.3	< 0.001	80.2 ± 11.3	80.3 ± 11.2	< 0.001
Comorbidity						
Hypertension	164,380 (51.0)	171,966 (77.0)	< 0.001	149,710 (76.2)	22,256 (82.6)	< 0.001
Diabetes Mellitus	60,105 (18.7)	67,565 (30.3)	< 0.001	58.293 (29.7)	9,272 (34.4)	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	114,553 (35.6)	158,225 (70.8)	< 0.001	139,603 (71.1)	18,622 (69.1)	< 0.001
Laboratory findings						
Glucose, fasting, mg/dL	103.8 ± 26.2	110.6 ± 37.8	< 0.001	110.4 ± 37.7	132.5 ± 17.4	< 0.001
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	85.0 ± 42.4	83.7 ± 40.4	< 0.001	83.8 ± 40.4	83.3 ± 40.4	< 0.001
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	194.5 ± 38.3	198.2 ± 40.8	< 0.001	198.5 ± 40.8	195.8 ± 41.3	< 0.001
Urban residency	141,212 (43.8)	89,610 (40.1)	< 0.001	79,442 (40.4)	101,68 (37.7)	< 0.001
Income of lowest 25%	61,013 (19.0)	48,564 (21.7)	< 0.001	41,902 (21.3)	6,662 (24.7)	< 0.001
Charlson comorbidity index	1.8 ± 1.9	4.3 ± 2.4	< 0.001	4.3 ± 2.3	4.8 ± 2.5	< 0.001
Follow-up duration, years	4.2 ± 2.5	3.7 ± 2.5	< 0.001	3.7 ± 2.5	3.7 ± 2.5	< 0.001

483 **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the study population

484 Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or number (%); BP, blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

	Number	Event number (n)	IR per 1,000 person- years	Model 1 (Crude) HR (95% CI)	Model 2 aHR (95% CI)
Overall fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	20,398	15.1	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors	223,358	16,344	19.7	1.31 (1.28, 1.34)	1.40 (1.37, 1.43)
No post-stroke disability	196,426	13,885	19.0	1.27 (1.24, 1.30)	1.36 (1.33, 1.39)
Post-stroke Disability	26,932	2,459	24.4	1.63 (1.56, 1.70)	1.69 (1.62, 1.76)
Mild post-stroke disability	17,544	1,659	24.8	1.65 (1.57, 1.74)	1.67 (1.58, 1.75)
Severe post-stroke disability	9,388	800	23.6	1.57 (1.47, 1.69)	1.73 (1.61, 1.86)
Vertebral fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	9,797	7.1	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors	223,358	6,952	8.1	1.16 (1.12, 1.19)	1.29 (1.25, 1.34)
No post-stroke disability	196,426	6,009	8.0	1.14 (1.11, 1.18)	1.28 (1.24, 1.33)
Post-stroke Disability	26,932	943	9.0	1.28 (1.20, 1.37)	1.39 (1.29, 1.48)
Mild post-stroke disability	17,544	691	9.9	1.42 (1.31, 1.53)	1.48 (1.36, 1.60)
Severe post-stroke disability	9,388	252	7.1	1.01 (0.89, 1.15)	1.19 (1.05, 1.35)
Hip fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	3,102	2.2	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors	223,358	4,027	4.7	2.14 (2.04, 2.24)	2.42 (2.30, 2.55)
No post-stroke disability	196,426	3,223	4.2	1.95 (1.86, 2.05)	2.22 (2.10, 2.35)
Post-stroke Disability	26,932	804	7.6	3.50 (3.23, 3.78)	3.83 (3.54, 4.15)
Mild post-stroke disability	17,544	488	7.0	3.18 (2.89, 3.50)	3.38 (3.06, 3.73)
Severe post-stroke disability	9,388	316	9.0	4.13 (3.68, 4.64)	4.82 (4.28, 5.42)
Other fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	8,933	6.5	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors	223,358	6,609	7.7	1.20 (1.16, 1.24)	1.19 (1.15, 1.23)
No post-stroke disability	196,426	5691	7.6	1.17 (1.14, 1.21)	1.16 (1.12, 1.21)
Post-stroke Disability	26,932	918	8.8	1.36 (1.27, 1.45)	1.36 (1.27, 1.45)

485 **Table 2.** Fracture risks among stroke survivors compared to matched controls and according to post-stroke disability

Mild post-stroke disability	17,544	635	9.1	1.42 (1.31, 1.53)	1.39 (1.28, 1.51)
Severe post-stroke disability	9,388	283	8.0	1.24 (1.10, 1.40)	1.29 (1.14, 1.45)

486 IR, incidence rate; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

487 Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, area of residence, insulin, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, and body mass index

	Number	Event number (n)	IR per 1,000 person- years	Model 1 (Crude) HR (95% CI)	Model 2 aHR (95% CI)
Overall fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	20,398	15.1	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors without post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	40,875	2,554	16.6	1.10 (1.06, 1.15)	1.33 (1.28, 1.39)
Ischemic	155,551	11,331	19.7	1.31 (1.28, 1.34)	1.36 (1.33, 1.40)
Stroke survivors with post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	6,475	553	22.7	1.5 (1.39, 1.64)	1.76 (1.62, 1.92)
Ischemic	20,457	1,906	25.0	1.66 (1.59, 1.74)	1.67 (1.59, 1.75)
Vertebral fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	9,797	7.1	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors without post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	40,875	955	6.0	0.86 (0.80, 0.92)	1.14 (1.07, 1.22)
Ischemic	155,551	5,054	8.5	1.22 (1.18, 1.26)	1.32 (1.27, 1.36)
Stroke survivors with post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	6,475	159	6.2	0.89 (0.76, 1.04)	1.13 (0.97, 1.33)
Ischemic	20,457	784	10.0	1.41 (1.31, 1.51)	1.46 (1.35, 1.57)
Hip fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	3,102	2.2	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)
Stroke survivors without post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	40,875	545	3.4	1.56 (1.43, 1.71)	2.43 (2.21, 2.67)
Ischemic	155,551	2,678	4.5	2.05 (1.95, 2.16)	2.17 (2.06, 2.30)
Stroke survivors with post-stroke disability					
Hemorrhagic	6,475	203	8.0	3.66 (3.18, 4.22)	5.34 (4.62, 6.16)
Ischemic	20,457	601	7.5	3.44 (3.15, 3.76)	3.48 (3.18, 3.81)
Other fracture					
Matched comparison group	322,161	8,933	6.5	1 (ref.)	1 (ref.)

489 **Table 3.** Fracture risks among stroke survivors compared to matched controls and according to type of stroke

Stroke survivors without post-strok	e disability				
Hemorrhagic	40,875	1,220	7.7	1.20 (1.13, 1.27)	1.19 (1.12, 1.26)
Ischemic	155,551	4,471	7.5	1.17 (1.13, 1.21)	1.16 (1.11, 1.20)
Stroke survivors with post-stroke di	isability				
Hemorrhagic	6,475	241	9.6	1.48 (1.30, 1.68)	1.49 (1.31, 1.70)
Ischemic	20,457	677	8.5	1.32 (1.22, 1.42)	1.31 (1.21, 1.42)

490 IR, incidence rate; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

491 Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, area of residence, insulin, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, and body mass index



