It is made available under a	CC-BY	4.0 International	license
------------------------------	-------	-------------------	---------

1	Association between Binge Drinking Behaviors and Comorbidities in Brazil: Network Analysis
2	of a National Health Survey
3	
4	Short title: Binge drinking comorbidities in Brazil
5	
6	Authors: Siddhesh Zadey ^{1,2,3,4} , Diego Franca ⁵ , Pollyana Coelho Pessoa Santos ² , Natan David Pereira ⁵ ,
7	Yolande Pokam Tchuisseu ² , Luciano Andrade ⁶ , Bruno Pereira Nunes ⁷ , Wagner De Lara Machado ⁸ ,
8	Catherine A. Staton ^{1,2,9} , Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci ^{1,2,*}
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	1 Global Emergency Medicine Innovation and Implementation Research Center, Duke University,
12	Durham North Carolina USA
13	2 Duke Global Health Institute, Durham North Carolina USA
14	3 Association for Socially Applicable Research (ASAR), Pune, Maharashtra, India
15	4 Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College, Hospital, and Research Center, Pune, Maharashtra, India
16	5 State University of Maringá, Paraná, Brazil
17	6 Department of Nursing, State University of the West of Parana, Foz do Iguaçu, Parana, Brazil
18	7 Faculty of Nursing, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
19	8 Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
20	9 Department of Emergency Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham North Carolina
21	USA
22	
23	*Corresponding author: Joao Ricardo Nickenig Vissoci
24	Email: jnv4@duke.edu (JRNV)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

25 Abstract

26

27 Alcohol consumption is the sixth leading cause of death globally. Brazil ranks second in alcohol-28 related mortality within the American regions with a notable increase in binge drinking behavior from 2013 (5.9%) to 2019 (17.1%). Binge drinking, a form of alcohol misuse, is a known risk factor for 29 30 several diseases. We aimed to understand the differences in binge drinking across various 31 sociodemographic groups and the patterns of comorbidities in a national-level dataset by doing a 32 cross-sectional network analysis of the 2013 Brazilian National Health Survey. Binge drinking was 33 defined as a binary variable based on alcohol consumption of >5 (4) doses in a month for male (female) responders. Weighted undirected network analysis using Ising models was performed to 34 35 discover the strength of inter-relations between nineteen chronic conditions. In the network, the nodes 36 represented the conditions and the edges were formed by statistical associations derived using logistic 37 regression. Community analyses identified the clusters within networks. A nationally representative sample of 60,202 people revealed the prevalence of binge drinking to be about 13.5%. The study 38 39 revealed a less connected network among binge drinkers, potentially impacting disease associations. 40 Binge drinking demonstrated unique correlations with comorbidities across age, gender, ethnicity, and 41 education levels, highlighting the complex interplay between binge drinking and health outcomes. 42 Recognizing the specific comorbidities associated with binge drinking, such as hypertension and 43 chronic spinal problems, allows healthcare professionals to tailor preventive measures and early interventions. In this sense, differences in binge drinking and its direct association with comorbidities 44 45 as well as in comorbidity structures across sociodemographic characteristics point to the utility of network models to identify specific populations at various health risks. 46

- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 Introduction

52 Alcohol consumption poses a significant global public health concern, with detrimental effects on 53 individuals and societies worldwide. In 2018, the average alcohol consumption per person globally 54 was 6.2 liters of pure alcohol (1), resulting in approximately 3 million deaths annually attributed to 55 harmful alcohol use(2). Compared to American regions. Brazil ranks second in terms of alcohol 56 consumed per person and second in alcohol-related mortality within the American regions, while 57 having the seventh-highest heavy drinking prevalence in 2019(3.4). Furthermore, Brazil not only 58 demonstrates high average alcohol consumption per person-year (7.67 liters)(5) but also a notable 59 increase in binge drinking behavior from 2013 (5.9%) to 2019 (17.1%) (6).

60 The impact of alcohol consumption on public health is represented for more than 200 diseases alcohol-61 related, being the leading risk factor for disease burden among people aged 25 to 49, and the second 62 leading risk factor among people 10 to 24 years old (1,7). The correlation between alcohol 63 consumption and multimorbidities is well-established, with the risk of developing diseases being directly correlated to the quantity of alcohol consumed (8). As such, alcohol use serves as a risk factor 64 65 for systemic hypertension, ischemic heart disease, ischemic stroke, and hepatic conditions, among others (8). The consumption of five or more drinks for men and four or more drinks for women on a 66 single occasion, or binge drinking(9), further exacerbates the burden of diseases. For instance, 67 melanoma, head and neck, testicular, and cervical cancer are more likely in people who report binge 68 69 drinking behavior (10). A Brazilian study found higher odds of currently suffering from depression among the binge-drinking population aged 18-39 years old, and this finding was significantly greater 70 71 in female binge drinkers (11).

Network analysis is a useful technique to investigate the patterns of associations among different conditions at a population scale that measures the strength of the multivariate correlations between several diseases (12). While network analysis has been employed to study comorbidity associations in specific contexts, such as the elderly or individuals with cardiovascular diseases (12,13), limited research exists on the application of network analysis to binge-drinking populations. Most published

57 studies on this topic primarily explore its association with risky behaviors, environmental factors(14–

17), or its correlation with major depressive disorders (18).

There is a paucity of network analysis literature on binge drinking and multimorbidity, particularly within a country with a high prevalence of alcohol consumption. As such, this study aims to examine the complex patterns of comorbidities and binge drinking behavior. We seek to compare the different multimorbidities correlations among binge drinking and non-binge drinking populations using the Brazilian National Healthy Survey. In addition, we aim to explore the interaction of binge drinking and morbidities within different demographic groups of that population.

85 Materials and Methods

86 Study design and Ethics

This was a retrospective secondary data analysis of a nationally representative cross-sectional survey.
This national health survey has been de-identified and made publicly available, and as such an ethics
or regulatory approval is not required according to Brazilian national policies.

90 Setting & Study Population

The data was collected from the 2013 Brazilian National Health Survey (PNS, *Plano Nacional de Saúde*) conducted by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) (19). The survey encompassed a nationwide demographic representation by interviewing 62,986 households across all regions in Brazil, including both urban and rural areas, between January 2013 and December 2013. To gather information about family and household conditions, a single participant above the age of 14, who is deemed capable of providing the requested details, was interviewed in every household.

97 The participants were asked about health access, use of health services, and lifestyle.

98 Each participant completed socio-demographic questions of the PNS questionnaire with information

about sex (male, female), age (18 to 24 years, 25 to 44 years, 45 to 64 years, 65 years or older),

100 race/ethnicity (white, black/parda, yellow-skinned/indigenous), region (North, Northeast, Midwest,

101 Southeast, South), and education level (no schooling, elementary/equivalent, secondary/equivalent,

102 post-secondary/equivalent).

103 Chronic conditions

104 In this study, we selected 13 chronic conditions from the O module of the PNS questionnaire: 105 hypertension, diabetes, cardiac diseases (including myocardial infarction, angina, or congestive heart 106 failure), stroke, asthma, arthritis/rheumatoid arthritis, chronic musculoskeletal back disorders, work-107 related musculoskeletal disorder (WMSD), depression, psychiatric conditions (including 108 schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or obsessive-compulsive disorder), pulmonary disorders (including 109 chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, chronic bronchitis or emphysema), cancer, and chronic 110 kidney failure (19). For chronic musculoskeletal back disorders, the questionnaire asked "Do you have 111 any chronic spinal problems, such as chronic back or neck pain, low back pain, sciatic pain, or vertebral or disc problems?" On the other hand, the other conditions were asked as follows: "Has a 112 doctor ever given you the diagnosis of [...]?" The 13 chronic conditions were dichotomously coded 113 114 as no (0) or yes (1).

115 *Outcome*

The PNS questionnaire measured binge drinking behavior using question 32 of module P, which asked "In the last 30 days, did you consume 5 or more doses of alcoholic beverage on one occasion, if male?" or "In the last 30 days, did you consume 4 or more doses of alcoholic beverage on one occasion, if female?" The answer options were no (0) and yes (1)(19).

120 Statistical methods

121 **Descriptive statistics**

We reported counts and proportions for sociodemographic variables. Also, we estimated the overall prevalence of the chronic conditions, as well as their prevalence in the binge drinking context. Prevalence of the chronic conditions by sociodemographic variables is available in Supplementary Materials. We adjusted all the proportion/prevalence estimates by sample weights.

126

127 Network analysis

128 Network analysis is a popular technique to investigate associations among multiple recurrent diseases 129 in a population. The use of network analysis in the investigation of behavioral associations within 130 large populations is complex, but its application allows the identification of multiple connections 131 between modifiable and non-modifiable behaviors and their role in developing diseases including 132 multimorbidities. The visualization of these associations can be made by network maps, which demonstrate the nature, relationship intensity, directionality, and dimension of the variable 133 134 correlations(20). In the context of multimorbidities, network analyses are able to measure the strength 135 of associations of health conditions, representing them in a multivariate 'map'. Thus, network analysis points to the existence of the relationship among two or more morbidities and, more importantly, the 136 137 association that the condition exerts on the other nodes within the network (12).

In this study, we performed seven sets of network models. The first set contains an overall network, 138 139 with all 13 chronic conditions and binge drinking behavior as nodes. The second one comprised 140 chronic conditions networks divided by binge drinking behavior. The other five sets have chronic 141 conditions and binge drinking behavior as nodes and were divided by the categories of sex, age, race/ethnicity, region, and education level (Table 1). A network model is a statistical and visualization 142 143 technique that allows one to explore the relationship between variables(21,22). In this context, 'nodes' 144 represent variables, and 'edges' represent the conditional association between nodes. Blue (red) edges show positive (negative) conditional associations and the edge thickness reveals the weight of the 145 association. 146

Network set	By	Chronic conditions	Binge drinking behavior
1	_	All	Yes
2	Binge drinking behavior	All	_
3	Sex	All	Yes
4	Age	All	Yes

147 **Table 1: Overview of the seven network sets.**

5	Race/ethnicity	All	Yes
6	Region	All	Yes
7	Education level	All	Yes

148

149 Due to the dichotomous nature of the variables, we estimated Ising Models for each network set, which performs nodewise logistic regressions(23). To handle false-positive coefficients, we used the 150 151 Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO)(24). LASSO penalizes all edge-weights 152 toward zero and sets small weights to exactly zero, returning a sparser network. To choose the most 153 appropriate strength of the penalty, we used the Extended Bayesian Information Criterion (EBIC) and 154 set its tuning parameter λ to 0.25 (25). We computed centrality measures of betweenness (i.e., how 155 often a node serves as the shortest path between other nodes), closeness (i.e., how close a node is, in 156 average, to all other nodes), and expected influence (i.e., sum of all edge-weights connected to a node, 157 considering the direction of the association), to investigate the importance of each node to the network 158 structure (26,27).

159 We estimated node *predictability* for each node in order to measure how nodes connected to a 160 particular node can predict it. In the network, predictability can be visualized by the ring around each 161 node: colored areas mean the proportion of predictability each node has. We used the marginal correct classification (CCmarg) predictability measure. The interpretation is similar to the R^2 (28). To find 162 163 node communities, we performed the spinglass algorithm, which implies that connected nodes should 164 belong to the same community, and nodes of different communities should not present links between 165 them (29). To check the edge-weight accuracy and the centrality measures stability, we estimated 166 1000 new samples through *bootstrapping* (23). Afterwards, we calculated bootstrapped confidence 167 intervals for edge-weights and correlation stability coefficients (CS-coefficient) for centrality 168 measures.

169 Data analysis was conducted in R software (v, 4.1.1) (30). We used the following R packages: survey 170 (31) to adjust prevalences/proportions by sample weights, mgm (32) to estimate networks, ggraph

171 (33) to visualize the networks and compute centrality measures, *igraph* (34) to find node communities,

172 and *bootnet* (23) to perform bootstrapping analysis.

173 **Results**

174 This study analyzed a total of 60,202 individuals, including 8,104 people with binge drinking behavior 175 and 52,098 with no binge drinking behavior. In both analyzed groups, the majority of the population 176 was from the Southeast region, reported to be black, and aged between 25 to 44 years old (Table 2). Among the binge drinking group, males presented the majority (74.5%), compared to females 177 178 (25.5%), and 37.7% of the total binge drinking population completed a secondary educational level. 179 In addition, the Northeast and Midwest regions presented a higher prevalence of people in the binge 180 drinking group. People with hypertension and chronic spinal problems were the two groups with the 181 highest prevalence of binge drinking, 13.7%, and 14.7% respectively (Table 3).

182

183 **Table 2: Study Sample characteristics by binge drinking behavior status.**

		Overall	Binge drink	king behavior	No binge dri	inking behavior
Characteristic	$N = 60,202^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²	N = 8,104 ¹	% (95% CI) ²	$N = 52,098^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²
Sex						
Male	25,920	47.1% (46.4%, 47.9%)	5,702	74.5% (72.8%, 76.1%)	20,218	42.8% (42.0%, 43.6%)
Female	34,282	52.9% (52.1%, 53.6%)	2,402	25.5% (23.9%, 27.2%)	31,880	57.2% (56.4%, 58.0%)
Age groups (years)						
18 to 24	7,823	15.9% (15.4%, 16.5%)	1,239	20.1% (18.4%, 22.0%)	6,584	15.3% (14.7%, 15.9%)
25 to 44	26,740	40.8% (40.1%, 41.6%)	4,612	53.0% (51.1%, 54.9%)	22,128	38.9% (38.1%, 39.7%)
45 to 64	17,927	31.0% (30.3%, 31.7%)	2,017	24.3% (22.8%, 25.9%)	15,910	32.0% (31.3%, 32.8%)
65 or older	7,712	12.3% (11.8%, 12.8%)	236	2.6% (2.1%, 3.2%)	7,476	13.8% (13.3%, 14.4%)
Race						
White	24,106	47.5% (46.7%, 48.3%)	2,887	43.2% (41.3%, 45.1%)	21,219	48.1% (47.3%, 49.0%)

		Overall	Binge drink	king behavior	No binge dri	inking behavior
Characteristic	$N = 60,202^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²	N = 8,104 ¹	% (95% CI) ²	N = 52,098 ¹	% (95% CI) ²
Black/Parda	35,146	51.2% (50.4%, 52.0%)	5,082	55.6% (53.7%, 57.5%)	30,064	50.5% (49.6%, 51.3%)
Yellow- skinned/Indigenous	950	1.4% (1.2%, 1.5%)	135	1.2% (0.9%, 1.5%)	815	1.4% (1.2%, 1.6%)
Region						
North	12,536	7.4% (7.2%, 7.6%)	1,657	7.7% (7.0%, 8.5%)	10,879	7.4% (7.2%, 7.6%)
Northeast	18,305	26.6% (26.1%, 27.1%)	2,746	30.4% (28.8%, 32.2%)	15,559	26.0% (25.5%, 26.6%)
Midwest	7,519	7.4% (7.2%, 7.6%)	1,144	8.7% (8.0%, 9.5%)	6,375	7.2% (6.9%, 7.4%)
Southeast	14,294	43.8% (43.1%, 44.4%)	1,778	41.1% (39.0%, 43.2%)	12,516	44.2% (43.5%, 44.9%)
South	7,548	14.8% (14.4%, 15.2%)	779	12.0% (10.8%, 13.3%)	6,769	15.2% (14.7%, 15.7%)
Education level						
No schooling	9,434	13.7% (13.2%, 14.2%)	845	8.8% (7.8%, 9.9%)	8,589	14.5% (13.9%, 15.1%)
Elementary/equivalent	20,537	35.2% (34.4%, 35.9%)	2,728	33.7% (31.9%, 35.7%)	17,809	35.4% (34.6%, 36.2%)
Secondary/equivalent	19,438	33.6% (32.9%, 34.4%)	2,973	37.7% (35.7%, 39.8%)	16,465	33.0% (32.2%, 33.8%)
Post- secondary/equivalent	10,793	17.5% (16.7%, 18.3%)	1,558	19.7% (18.0%, 21.6%)	9,235	17.2% (16.4%, 18.0%)

¹Population-weighted n. N represents the sample counts. The % values are based on weighted numbers.

²Population-weighted proportions and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI)

184 Table 3: Comorbidities prevalences distributed in binge drinking behavior and no binge 185 drinking behavior groups.

	Overall		Binge drinking behavior		No binge drinking behavior	
Characteristic	$N = 60,202^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²	N = 8,104 ¹	% (95% CI) ²	N = 52,098 ¹	% (95% CI) ²
Hypertension						
No	47,702	78.6% (78.0%, 79.2%)	6,978	86.3% (85.0%, 87.5%)	40,724	77.4% (76.7%, 78.1%)

	0	verall	Binge drink	ing behavior	No binge dri	nking behavior
- Characteristic	$N = 60,202^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²	N = 8,104 ¹	% (95% CI) ²	N = 52,098 ¹	% (95% CI) ²
Yes	12,500	21.4% (20.8%, 22.0%)	1,126	13.7% (12.5%, 15.0%)	11,374	22.6% (21.9%, 23.3%)
Type 2 diabetes						
No	56,566	93.8% (93.4%, 94.1%)	7,874	97.3% (96.7%, 97.8%)	48,692	93.2% (92.8%, 93.6%)
Yes	3,636	6.2% (5.9%, 6.6%)	230	2.7% (2.2%, 3.3%)	3,406	6.8% (6.4%, 7.2%)
Heart problems						
No	57,969	95.8% (95.5%, 96.1%)	7,949	98.1% (97.6%, 98.5%)	50,020	95.5% (95.1%, 95.8%)
Yes	2,233	4.2% (3.9%, 4.5%)	155	1.9% (1.5%, 2.4%)	2,078	4.5% (4.2%, 4.9%)
Stroke						
No	59,236	98.5% (98.3%, 98.6%)	8,058	99.3% (98.8%, 99.6%)	51,178	98.3% (98.1%, 98.5%)
Yes	966	1.5% (1.4%, 1.7%)	46	0.7% (0.4%, 1.2%)	920	1.7% (1.5%, 1.9%)
Asthma						
No	57,582	95.6% (95.3%, 95.9%)	7,767	96.1% (95.3%, 96.7%)	49,815	95.5% (95.2%, 95.8%)
Yes	2,620	4.4% (4.1%, 4.7%)	337	3.9% (3.3%, 4.7%)	2,283	4.5% (4.2%, 4.8%)
Arthritis/Rheumat ism						
No	56,226	93.6% (93.2%, 93.9%)	7,864	97.1% (96.4%, 97.7%)	48,362	93.0% (92.6%, 93.4%)
Yes	3,976	6.4% (6.1%, 6.8%)	240	2.9% (2.3%, 3.6%)	3,736	7.0% (6.6%, 7.4%)
Chronic spinal problem						
No	49,624	81.5% (80.9%, 82.2%)	6,881	85.3% (83.9%, 86.6%)	42,743	80.9% (80.2%, 81.6%)
Yes	10,578	18.5% (17.8%, 19.1%)	1,223	14.7% (13.4%, 16.1%)	9,355	19.1% (18.4%, 19.8%)

WMSD

	0	verall	Binge drink	ing behavior	No binge dri	nking behavior
Characteristic	$N = 60,202^{1}$	% (95% CI) ²	N = 8,104 ¹	% (95% CI) ²	N = 52,098 ¹	% (95% CI) ²
No	59,053	97.6% (97.3%, 97.8%)	7,943	97.3% (96.6%, 98.0%)	51,110	97.6% (97.3%, 97.8%)
Yes	1,149	2.4% (2.2%, 2.7%)	161	2.7% (2.0%, 3.4%)	988	2.4% (2.2%, 2.7%)
Major depressive disorder						
No	55,967	92.4% (91.9%, 92.8%)	7,723	95.2% (94.4%, 95.9%)	48,244	91.9% (91.4%, 92.4%)
Yes	4,235	7.6% (7.2%, 8.1%)	381	4.8% (4.1%, 5.6%)	3,854	8.1% (7.6%, 8.6%)
Other mental disorders						
No	59,650	99.1% (98.9%, 99.2%)	8,064	99.6% (99.4%, 99.8%)	51,586	99.0% (98.8%, 99.1%)
Yes	552	0.9% (0.8%, 1.1%)	40	0.4% (0.2%, 0.6%)	512	1.0% (0.9%, 1.2%)
Lung problems						
No	59,268	98.2% (98.0%, 98.4%)	7,993	98.6% (98.1%, 99.0%)	51,275	98.2% (97.9%, 98.4%)
Yes	934	1.8% (1.6%, 2.0%)	111	1.4% (1.0%, 1.9%)	823	1.8% (1.6%, 2.1%)
Cancer						
No	59,179	98.2% (98.0%, 98.4%)	8,054	99.2% (98.7%, 99.5%)	51,125	98.0% (97.8%, 98.2%)
Yes	1,023	1.8% (1.6%, 2.0%)	50	0.8% (0.5%, 1.3%)	973	2.0% (1.8%, 2.2%)
Chronic kidney failure						
No	59,363	98.6% (98.4%, 98.7%)	8,028	99.1% (98.8%, 99.4%)	51,335	98.5% (98.3%, 98.7%)
Yes	839	1.4% (1.3%, 1.6%)	76	0.9% (0.6%, 1.2%)	763	1.5% (1.3%, 1.7%)

¹Population-weighted n. N represents the sample counts. The % values are based on weighted numbers.

²Population-weighted proportions and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

In the network analysis of binge drinking and comorbidities together, community 1 was represented by hypertension, type 2 diabetes, heart problems, stroke, and cancer, community 2 by asthma and lung problems, community 3 by arthritis/ rheumatism, chronic spinal problems, work-related musculoskeletal disorder (WMSD), and chronic kidney failure, and community 4 by major depressive disorder, and other mental disorders (Fig 1). Among all these communities, alcohol use was negatively related to almost all community 1 diseases and to arthritis/rheumatism in community 3. Looking at expected influence, binge drinking behavior negatively influenced the network structure.

Fig 1. Binge drinking network and betweenness, closeness, and expected influence among comorbidities.

195 When comparing the comorbidities within binge drinking and no binge drinking behavior groups, 196 networks were less connected in the binge drinking group and community connections changed 197 between networks (Fig 2). Lung problems showed stronger connections with other mental disorders 198 and major depressive disorders and became part of a new community in the binge drinking group 199 composed of asthma, WMSD, major depressive episodes, other mental disorders, and lung problems. 200 Cancer did not connect to other nodes in the binge drinking behavior network. Also, heart problems 201 seemed to be more related to chronic kidney failure and arthritis/rheumatism, generating a new 202 community including these nodes and chronic spinal problems.

Fig 2. Networking and betweenness, closeness, and expected influence comparing different comorbidities within binge drinking and no binge drinking behavior groups.

The betweenness of hypertension was higher for the no binge drinking behavior network, while the variable heart problems had a higher betweenness for the binge drinking behavior network. In terms of expected influence, other mental disorders showed a higher value for the binge drinking behavior network than the other network. The closeness among binge drinking and no binge drinking behavior was very similar except for the higher values of hypertension closeness for the no binge drinking group (Fig 2).

211 Concerning the network analysis comparing different demographic characteristics, binge drinking was 212 more strongly and negatively connected with stroke and cancer in the male than in the female network 213 (S1 Figure, S2 Table). The male network showed stronger connections between nodes from 214 Community 1 and other nodes of the network. Lung problems showed higher betweenness in the male 215 network, while expected influence showed very similar patterns across the networks. Analyzing the 216 network patterns through different ages, weak and negative edges were found between binge drinking 217 behavior and major depressive disorder in people aged 25 to 44 years (S2 Figure, S2 Table). A weak 218 negative connection between binge drinking and Arthritis/Rheumatism or major depressive disorder 219 was also identified in the 45 to 64-year-old population. A sparser network was found for the 18 to 24-220 vear network, revealing a low connection between morbidities in this age group. Binge drinking 221 behavior stratified by age showed low scores for all centrality measures in the four networks. Major 222 depressive disorder showed higher values of Expected Influence in all the networks.

223 Relating to race, binge drinking behavior showed stronger and negative edges with cancer, type 2 224 diabetes, and arthritis/rheumatism in the white network when compared to the black/parda network 225 (S3 Figure, S3 Table). No connection was found between WMSD and lung problems in the white race network, unlike the results of the other networks. For the different regions, binge drinking behavior 226 227 showed the strongest connection with stroke in the Northeast network when compared across all 228 networks (S4 Figure, S4 Table). In addition, binge drinking behavior was not associated with other 229 nodes in the post-secondary/equivalent network (S5 Figure, S5 Table). Lower levels of education 230 showed more connections between binge drinking behavior and chronic conditions.

231

232 Discussion

Using network analysis, our study aimed to understand the associations between binge drinking behaviors and the presence of co-morbid diseases across multiple socio-demographic dimensions. In general, we observed a higher prevalence of binge drinking in men in the 25-44 years age group, who identified as black/pardo, and those with secondary schooling or equivalent. The main network model

revealed hypertension and chronic spinal problems as the main comorbidities among people with
binge drinking. However, variations in the patterns of comorbidities across population groups
belonging to different socio-demographic strata are noteworthy.

240 The overall prevalence of binge drinking in the studied population was found to be 13.5%, which is 241 lower than the global prevalence for individuals over 15 years old in 2016 (18.2%), as reported by the 242 World Health Organization (1). However, it should be noted that the exclusion of adolescents from 243 the study may have influenced this result as the average age of first-time alcohol use among Brazilian 244 adolescents is 13 years old (35). Furthermore, in our study, people aged 25-44 years old were the 245 majority, while young adults represented 20.1% of that population. In terms of gender, there was an 246 increase in weekly alcohol consumption among women from 2013 (23.9%) to 2019 (26%), according 247 to the Brazilian Institute of Geographic and Statistics (36). Notably, the present study reports a 248 prevalence of binge drinking in the women population of 25.5%, higher than the global heavy episodic 249 drinking prevalence of 19.9% for this gender (1). This result can indicate an increased risk for binge 250 drinking among women from Brazil, highlighting the need for attention toward this specific group.

251 While the findings of the 2013 Brazilian National Health Survey, including alcohol use prevalence, 252 have been described previously by Macinko et al (37), the current study focused on highlighting the 253 extent of binge drinking behavior, variations in it across socio-demographic strata, and its association 254 with various comorbidities. In this sense, we observed that the network of the binge drinking behavior 255 group presented much fewer connections than the no binge drinking behavior network. This finding 256 may be indicative of the influence that alcohol use exerts on the connections between multiple 257 diseases. A less connected network suggests that alcohol use may positively impact the presence of 258 certain conditions, such as hypertension or stroke, to the point that it weakens other associations. 259 Hereupon, binge drinking is a recognized risk factor for several conditions, including cardiovascular 260 diseases, type 2 diabetes, and arthritis/ rheumatism, among others (8). Additionally, our results may 261 also reflect lower rates of binge drinking behavior among the elderly population, who are known to 262 have a higher prevalence of comorbidities. In this regard, a study including adults over the age of 50

years with 2 or more comorbidities demonstrated that non-binge drinkers had a higher prevalence of multiple diseases compared to the binge-drinking group within that population (38).

265 The contrast between the network of individuals exhibiting binge drinking behavior and the network 266 of non-binge drinkers is also evident in the patterns of connections within different disease 267 communities. For instance, while community 3 within the no-binge drinking behavior group includes 268 hypertension, type 2 diabetes, heart problems, stroke, and cancer, cancer represents its own 269 community on the binge drinking behavior network. This disparity suggests that alcohol is altering 270 the patterns of connections between comorbidities, possibly exerting a substantial effect on the 271 development of cancer. In fact, alcohol is a well-established risk factor for cancer, including gastric, 272 colorectal, pharyngeal, and breast cancer, and surpasses other potential risk factors in its influence (8). 273 Furthermore, even after diagnosis, alcohol consumption remains a common habit among individuals 274 with cancer. A survey conducted in the United States revealed that among participants diagnosed with 275 cancer over five years before the study, 57.1% reported being current drinkers, with 20.1% engaging 276 in binge drinking behavior (10).

277 Our analysis of the correlation between binge drinking and comorbidities within different socio-278 demographic variables highlighted distinct connections for each group. For example, although it is 279 stated in the literature a positive correlation between alcohol use and mental and behavioral 280 diseases(8,11,39), our findings pointed to a weak negative correlation between binge drink and major 281 depressive disorder (MDD) in patients aged 25 to 64 years old. In addition, the difference between sexes pointed to a strongly negative correlation between binge drink and cancer in males, compared 282 283 to females. Despite that, there is a known correlation between alcohol and cancer, including cancers 284 more frequent in men, such as colorectal, esophagus, throat, and liver cancers (40). About different 285 ethnicities, the white population presented a stronger negative correlation between binge drinking and 286 cancer, type 2 diabetes, and arthritis/ rheumatism. Moreover, although a negative correlation between 287 higher education level and heavy drinkers is well known (41), stronger negative associations between 288 binge drinking and chronic comorbidities were present in the lower educational levels group.

15

289 In the last two decades, network models have gained rapid popularity in health-related analyses to 290 identify patterns of multimorbidity. Through multivariate modeling and sensitivity analyses, the 291 application of networks attempts to understand population-level patterns of multimorbidity 292 associations. These models have been used across different contexts and populations such as elderly 293 health (13), cerebrovascular diseases (12), heart failure, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 294 (42), as well as the correlation of multimorbidities and risk of COVID-19 hospitalization and mortality 295 (43). Furthermore, the easy visualization of information allows the identification of patterns and. 296 subsequently, the development of studies and the practical application of the findings (44). The present 297 study used network analysis to identify patterns of comorbidities in binge-drinking populations in 298 Brazil.

299 Strengths and Limitations

300 Although network models can give insights into the causal pathways between nodes, directionality 301 detection is not possible. Since some of the chronic conditions included in the study could result in 302 death, this study naturally failed in the recruitment of potential participants who may not have survived 303 until the date of the data collection. In addition, recall bias may have affected the results, given that 304 all the measures were self-reported, exposing the data to possible information misreporting situations 305 and inherent bias. Our study is also limited by the small binge-drinking population sample size. It is 306 important to note that this study used the Brazilian National Survey from 2013 and some of these 307 findings may be outdated, mainly, it does not reflect the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Despite the cited limitations, our study descriptively demonstrated the existence of groups more prone to binge drinking behavior. The use of network analysis allows a visual interpretation of the relevant variables in the context of the occurrence of health problems. This methodology enables an interpretation of the perspectives related to comorbidities, demonstrating elements that are common to all groups analyzed and allowing the formulation of hypotheses about the nature of comorbidities and, respectively, the implementation of specific policies and treatments based on the reality of the community (45). Furthermore, it is important to emphasize that the chances of error occurrence are

315 considerably lower due to the extensive tests performed so that they can be conducted in an automated

316 manner (46).

317

318 Authors' contributions

- 319 SZ, DF, and JRNV performed the data curation, formal analysis, and methodology. PCPS and NDP
- 320 participated in the original draft writing and review. SD CAS and JRNV contributed to
- 321 conceptualization, project administration, and writing review. All the authors reviewed and accepted
- 322 the final version.

323

324 **Conflicts of interest**

- 325 Authors declare no competing interests.
- 326

327 Financial Disclosure Statement

- 328 Authors received no specific funding for this work.
- 329

330 Ethics Statement

331 All data used for this analysis was obtained through a national health survey. Thus, this secondary

data analysis used only de-identified publicly available data. Participants were not directly consented

by the research team since all data was collected as a national policy survey. Data and documentation

334 on the data set and data collection procedures are available at: <u>https://www.pns.icict.fiocruz.br/</u>

335

336 Supplementary data:

- 337 S1 Table. Chronic conditions and binge drinking prevalences according to sex.
- 338 S1 Figure. Chronic conditions and binge drinking Network according to sex.
- 339 S2 Table. Chronic conditions and binge drinking prevalences according to age.
- 340 S2 Figure. Chronic conditions and binge drinking Network according to age.

- 341 S3 Table. Chronic conditions and binge drinking prevalences according to ethnicity.
- 342 S3 Figure. Chronic conditions and binge drinking Network according to ethnicity.
- 343 S4 Table. Chronic conditions and binge drinking prevalences according to region.
- 344 S4 Figure. Chronic conditions and binge drinking Network according to region.
- 345 S5 Table. Chronic conditions and binge drinking prevalences according to educational level.
- 346 S5 Figure. Chronic conditions and binge drinking Network according to educational level.
- 347

348 **REFERENCES:**

- World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018 [Internet]. Geneva:
 World Health Organization; 2018 [cited 2023 Mar 20]. 450 p. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274603
- 352 2. WHO. Alcohol [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news 353 room/fact-sheets/detail/alcohol
- 3. Cerca de 85 mil mortes a cada ano são 100% atribuídas ao consumo de álcool nas Américas,
 constata estudo da OPAS/OMS OPAS/OMS | Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde [Internet].
 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.paho.org/pt/noticias/12-4-2021-cerca-85-milmortes-cada-ano-sao-100-atribuidas-ao-consumo-alcool-nas-americas
- 4. Alcohol consumption PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization [Internet]. [cited 2023
 Jul 18]. Available from: https://www.paho.org/en/enlace/alcohol-consumption
- 360 5. Global Information System on Alcohol and Health [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from:
 361 https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/global-information-system-on-alcohol-and-health
- 6. OECD. OECD Reviews of Health Systems: Brazil 2021 [Internet]. OECD; 2021 [cited 2023 Jul
 14]. (OECD Reviews of Health Systems). Available from: https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/socialissues-migration-health/oecd-reviews-of-health-systems-brazil-2021 146d0dea-en
- issues-migration-health/oecd-reviews-of-health-systems-brazil-2021_146d0dea-en
 Global Burden | National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) [Internet]. [cited
 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcohols-effects-health/alcohol-
- 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.niaaa.nin.gov/alconois-effects-nealth/alcon
 topics/alcohol-facts-and-statistics/global-burden
 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.niaaa.nin.gov/alcohols-effects-nealth/alcon
- Shield KD, Parry C, Rehm J. Chronic Diseases and Conditions Related to Alcohol Use. Alcohol
 Res Curr Rev. 2014;35(2):155–71.
- 9. Drinking Levels Defined | National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA)
 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 18]. Available from: https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcoholhealth/overview-alcohol-consumption/moderate-binge-drinking
- Alcohol Use Among Patients With Cancer and Survivors in the United States, 2000–2017 in:
 Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network Volume 18 Issue 1 (2020) [Internet].
 [cited 2023 Jul 18]. Available from: https://jnccn.org/view/journals/jnccn/18/1/article-p69.xml
- 376 11. Oancea SC, de Oliveira GD, Sukumaran P, Vogeltanz-Holm N, Nucci LB. The association
 377 between alcohol consumption and self-reported current depression among adults residing in
 378 Brazil. J Public Health Oxf Engl. 2020 Dec 26;43(2):e204–12.
- IJERPH | Free Full-Text | Multimorbidity Analysis of 13 Systemic Diseases in Northeast
 China [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 18]. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/16604601/17/6/1817
- 382 13. Identifying multimorbidity clusters among Brazilian older adults using network analysis:
- 383 Findings and perspectives | PLOS ONE [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 18]. Available from:

384 https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0271639 385 Lannov S, Baggio S, Heeren A, Dormal V, Maurage P, Billieux J. What is binge drinking? 14 386 Insights from a network perspective. Addict Behav. 2021 Jun 1;117:106848. 387 15. Lorant V, Nicaise P. Binge drinking at University: a social network study in Belgium. Health 388 Promot Int. 2015 Sep;30(3):675-83. 389 16. Knox J, Schneider J, Greene E, Nicholson J, Hasin D, Sandfort T. Using social network 390 analysis to examine alcohol use among adults: A systematic review. PLoS ONE. 2019 Aug 391 22;14(8):e0221360. 392 Hahm HC, Kolaczyk E, Jang J, Swenson T, Bhindarwala AM. Binge drinking trajectories 17. 393 from adolescence to young adulthood: the effects of peer social network. Subst Use Misuse. 2012 394 May;47(6):745–56. 395 Shim EJ, Ha H, Park JE, Kim BS, Chang SM, Hong JP, et al. Gender-based examination of 18. 396 the association between individual symptoms of alcohol use disorder, major depressive disorder. 397 and suicidal behaviors: a network analysis of data from the Korean Epidemiologic Catchment 398 Area Study. J Affect Disord. 2020 Jul 1;272:432-9. 399 19. Brazil National Survey of Health 2013 | GHDx [Internet]. [cited 2023 May 30]. Available 400 from: https://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/brazil-national-survey-health-2013 401 Jones I, Cocker F, Jose M, Charleston M, Neil AL. Methods of analysing patterns of 20. 402 multimorbidity using network analysis: a scoping review. J Public Health. 2023 Aug 1;31(8):1217-23. 403 404 21. Network analysis of multivariate data in psychological science | Nature Reviews Methods 405 Primers [Internet]. [cited 2023 May 30]. Available from: 406 https://www.nature.com/articles/s43586-021-00055-w 407 22. Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, Maas HLJ van der, Borsboom D. Comorbidity: A network 408 perspective. Behav Brain Sci. 2010 Jun;33(2-3):137-50. 409 23. Epskamp S, Borsboom D, Fried EI. Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: 410 A tutorial paper. Behav Res Methods. 2018 Feb 1;50(1):195–212. 411 Tibshirani R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. J R Stat Soc Ser B 24. 412 Methodol. 1996;58(1):267-88. 413 Epskamp S, Fried EI. A tutorial on regularized partial correlation networks. Psychol 25. 414 Methods. 2018;23(4):617-34. 415 Bringmann LF, Elmer T, Epskamp S, Krause RW, Schoch D, Wichers M, et al. What Do 26. 416 Centrality Measures Measure in Psychological Networks? J Abnorm Psychol [Internet]. 2019: 417 Available from: /record/2019-39487-001?doi=1 418 Robinaugh DJ, Millner AJ, McNally RJ. Identifying highly influential nodes in the 27. 419 complicated grief network. J Abnorm Psychol. 2016 Aug;125(6):747-57. 420 Haslbeck JMB, Waldorp LJ. How well do network models predict observations? On the 28. 421 importance of predictability in network models. Behav Res Methods. 2018 Apr 1;50(2):853-61. 422 29. Yang Z, Algesheimer R, Tessone CJ. A Comparative Analysis of Community Detection 423 Algorithms on Artificial Networks. Sci Rep. 2016 Aug 1;6(1):30750. 424 R: The R Project for Statistical Computing [Internet]. [cited 2023 Nov 8]. Available from: 30. 425 https://www.r-project.org/ Lumley, Thomas. Analysis of complex survey samples. [Internet]. Available from: 426 31. 427 file:///C:/Users/polly/OneDrive/Documentos/GEMINI%20research/Binging%20drink/paper-5.pdf 428 32. Haslbeck JMB, Waldorp LJ. mgm: Estimating Time-Varying Mixed Graphical Models in 429 High-Dimensional Data [Internet]. arXiv; 2020 [cited 2023 Nov 8]. Available from: 430 http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.06871 431 Epskamp S, Cramer AOJ, Waldorp LJ, Schmittmann VD, Borsboom D. ggraph: Network 33. 432 Visualizations of Relationships in Psychometric Data. J Stat Softw. 2012 May 24;48:1-18. 433 igraph – Network analysis software [Internet]. [cited 2023 Nov 8]. Available from: 34. 434 https://igraph.org/ 435 Martins-Oliveira JG, Kawachi I, Paiva PCP, Paiva HN de, Pordeus IA, Zarzar PM. 35.

436 437	Correlates of binge drinking among Brazilian adolescents. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. 2018 Oct:23:3445-52
128	26 Desquise Nacional de Saúde (DNS) 2010: consume de álegol CISA Centre de
430	Jos Pesquisa Nacional de Sadde (FNS) 2019. Consumo de alcool - CISA - Centro de
439	https://gige.org.br/pagguige/dedeg.ofigigi/artige/item/260.ppg.2010
440	1005.//Cisa.org.or/pesquisa/dados-oriciais/arugo/item/209-pris-2019
441	57. Macinko J, Mullachery P, Silver D, Jimenez G, Nelo OLM. Patterns of Alconol
442	Consumption and Related Behaviors in Brazil: Evidence from the 2013 National Health Survey
443	(PNS 2013). PLOS ONE. 2015 Jul 31;10(7):e0134153.
444	38. Han BH, Moore AA, Sherman S, Palamar JJ. Prevalence and correlates of binge drinking
445	among older adults with multimorbidity. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018 Jun 1;18/:48–54.
446	39. Parry C, Patra J, Rehm J. Alcohol consumption and non-communicable diseases:
447	epidemiology and policy implications. Addict Abingdon Engl. 2011 Oct;106(10):1718–24.
448	40. Excessive Alcohol Use and Risks to Men's Health CDC [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Nov
449	8]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/fact-sheets/mens-health.htm
450	41. Plens JA, Valente JY, Mari JJ, Ferrari G, Sanchez ZM, Rezende LFM. Patterns of alcohol
451	consumption in Brazilian adults. Sci Rep. 2022 May 21;12(1):8603.
452	42. Carmona-Pirez J, Poblador-Plou B, Diez-Manglano J, Morillo-Jiménez MJ, Marin Trigo JM,
453	loakeim-Skoufa I, et al. Multimorbidity networks of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and
454	heart failure in men and women: Evidence from the EpiChron Cohort. Mech Ageing Dev. 2021
455	Jan 1;193:111392.
456	43. Carmona-Pirez J, Ioakeim-Skouta I, Gimeno-Miguel A, Poblador-Plou B, González-Rubio
457	F, Munoyerro-Muniz D, et al. Multimorbidity Profiles and Infection Severity in COVID-19
458	Population Using Network Analysis in the Andalusian Health Population Database. Int J Environ
459	Res Public Health. 2022 Jan; 19(7): 3808.
460	44. Sensitivity of comorbidity network analysis JAMIA Open Oxford Academic [Internet].
461	[cited 2023 Nov 8]. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jamiaopen/article/3/1/94/5690598
462	45. Anker JJ, Forbes MK, Almquist ZW, Menk JS, Thuras P, Unruh AS, et al. A Network
463	Approach to Modeling Comorbid Internalizing and Alcohol Use Disorders. J Abnorm Psychol.
464	2017 Apr;126(3):325–39.
465	46. Jing C. A Formal Approach to Robustness Testing of Network Protocol [Internet]. Available
466	from: A Formal Approach to Robustness Testing of Network Protocol
46/	
468	
409	
4/0	
4/1	
4/2	
4/3	
4/4	
4/5	
470	
4//	
+/0 170	
+/7 180	
40U 181	
401	
402 183	
40J 181	
+04 185	
40J 186	
487	
TU /	



Fig 1



-O- No binge drinking behavior -O- Binge drinking behavior

Fig 2