

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare Utilization and Mortality by Neighborhood Poverty among Individuals with Congenital Heart Defects, four U.S. Surveillance Sites, 2011-2013

Cheryl L. Raskind-Hood, MS, MPH¹, Vijaya Kancherla, PhD¹, Lindsey C. Ivey, MPH¹, Fred H. Rodriguez III, MD², Anaclare M. Sullivan, MS³, George K. Lui⁴, MD, Lorenzo Botto, PhD⁵, Marcia Feldkamp, PhD⁵, Jennifer S. Li, MD⁶, Alfred D'Ottavio, BSE, BEE⁶, Sherry L. Farr, PhD⁷, Jill Glidewell, MSN, MPH⁷, Wendy M. Book, MD²

¹Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

²Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

³New York State Department of Health, Albany, NY

⁴Divisions of Cardiovascular Medicine and Pediatric Cardiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA

⁵Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

⁶Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC

⁷National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

Short Title: Disparities in Healthcare Utilization and Mortality in CHD

Corresponding author

Cheryl Raskind-Hood, MS, MPH

Research Professor, Department of Epidemiology

1518 Clifton Rd NE, rm 4011

Emory University Rollins School of Public Health

Atlanta, GA, 30322

Email: craskin@emory.edu

Key Words: Congenital Heart Defect, Poverty, Race, Healthcare Utilization, Mortality

DBDID Replication Statement: Analyses have been replicated by Vanessa Villamil (DBDID/BDMRB/LSRT).

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Socioeconomic factors may lead to a disproportionate impact on healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with congenital heart defects (CHD) by race, ethnicity, and socio-economic factors. How neighborhood poverty affects racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with CHD across the lifespan is not well described.

METHODS: Individuals, 1-64 years, with at least one CHD-related ICD-9-CM code were identified from healthcare encounters between 01/01/2011-12/31/2013 from four U.S. sites. Residence was classified into lower or higher poverty neighborhoods based on ZCTA from the 2014 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Multivariable logistic regression models, adjusting for site, sex, CHD anatomic severity, and insurance evaluated associations between race and ethnicity, and healthcare utilization and mortality, stratified by neighborhood poverty.

RESULTS: Of 31,542 individuals, 22.2% were non-Hispanic Black (nHB) and 17.0% Hispanic. In high poverty neighborhoods, nHB (44.4%) and Hispanic (47.7%) individuals, respectively, were more likely to be hospitalized (aOR)=1.2 [95%CI=1.0-1.3] and aOR=1.3 [95%CI=1.2-1.5]) and have ED visits (aOR=1.3 [95%CI=1.2-1.5] and aOR=1.7 [95%CI=1.5-2.0]) compared to non-Hispanic White (nHW) individuals. In high poverty neighborhoods, nHB individuals with CHD had 1.7 times the odds of mortality compared to nHW individuals in high poverty neighborhoods (95%CI=1.1-2.7). Racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization were similar in low poverty neighborhoods, but disparities in mortality were attenuated (aOR for nHB=1.2 [95%CI=0.9-1.7]).

CONCLUSIONS: Racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization were found among individuals with CHD in low and high poverty neighborhoods, but mortality disparities were larger in high poverty neighborhoods. Understanding individual- and community-level social determinants of health, including access to healthcare, may help address racial and ethnic inequities in healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with CHD.

Word Count: 274

INTRODUCTION

Congenital heart defects (CHD) affect approximately 1% of all births in the U.S.¹ Advances in surgery, technology, and peri-operative care for children with CHD have improved survival to adulthood,^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} resulting in more adults than children with CHD in the U.S.^{9, 10} Between 1998 and 2005, the number of hospital admissions for adults with CHD more than doubled¹¹ and mortality from CHD has decreased,¹² yet racial and ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in healthcare utilization and mortality exist.

Social determinants of health are associated with racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization and mortality in the population, and disparities in outcomes have been described among children with CHD. Children with CHD within racial and ethnic minority groups, compared to White children with CHD, have been found to experience greater healthcare lapses among those who underwent CHD surgery,¹³ higher severity of illness scores,¹⁴ increased odds of complications,^{15, 16} greater odds of surgery at lower volume CHD surgical centers for those with hypoplastic left heart syndrome⁸, and higher mortality.^{14, 15, 17, 18, 19} The National Institute of Minority Health and Health Disparities Research Framework considers multiple domains and levels of influence to conceptualize health disparities, including the role of community environment and resources, and health care policies to better understand the relationship of structural discrimination and health disparities.^{20, 21}

Socioeconomic factors and neighborhood poverty are key social determinants of health associated with healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with CHD. While previous studies have shown a decrease in mortality from CHD,^{12, 22, 23} studies have shown disparities in mortality by race, ethnicity, and type of health insurance.^{12, 24} Among children undergoing CHD surgery, those with private insurance had improved outcomes,^{12, 15, 16, 25, 26} and

those from low, compared to high, income neighborhoods had higher mortality.²⁷ Similarly, among adolescents and adults with CHD in a Colorado cohort,²⁸ poverty was associated with higher rates of hospitalization, emergency department (ED) visits, and adverse cardiac outcomes.

Few studies have examined how social determinants of health, including neighborhood poverty, mediate the relationship between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals, including adults, with CHD. One study among CHD individuals examined the modifying effect of neighborhood household income on the relationship between race and mortality, finding that Black individuals had longer length of stay and higher mortality compared to their White counterparts, with mortality potentiated by lower neighborhood income.²⁹ A review by Richardson et al. (2021) showed significant racial and ethnic disparity in healthcare utilization among individuals with CHD, and socioeconomic factors mediated the risk.¹⁵ To expand knowledge on this topic, this study aims to examine the association between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization (outpatient visits, hospitalizations, and ED visits) and mortality, by neighborhood poverty status, among individuals with CHD, aged 1-64 years. Study findings may help determine disparities in morbidity and mortality by race and ethnicity and neighborhood poverty status among people with CHD.

METHODS

This retrospective study of children and adults with CHD used data from four sites (Georgia [GA], North Carolina [NC], New York [NY], and Utah [UT]) participating in a collaborative CHD surveillance project funded by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), CDC-RFA-DD15-1506. Individuals with CHD were identified based on at least one CHD-related *International Classification of Disease version 9.0 Clinical Modification* (ICD-9-CM) code (Appendix A), within the 745.xx-747.xx range. These codes were identified

from electronic administrative and clinical sources, state Medicaid claims, state vital records and birth defects registries. Compilation and sharing of de-identified data with the CDC were approved by each site's Institutional Review Board. A detailed methodology of the parent project has been published.³⁰

CHD case classification

CHD diagnostic codes, which are based on native CHD anatomy, were categorized into one of five mutually exclusive hierarchical CHD severity groupings similar to the Marelli et al. (2007) classification scheme,² integrating both hemodynamic severity and basic anatomy: 1) severe; 2) shunt (excluding isolated 745.5 secundum atrial septal defect/patent foramen ovale); 3) valve; 4) shunt and valve lesions; and 5) 'Other' CHDs (**Appendix A**). Severe CHD includes endocardial cushion defects (745.6 / 745.60 / 745.69), interrupted aortic arch (747.11), tetralogy of Fallot (745.2), total anomalous pulmonary venous return (747.41), tricuspid atresia (746.1), transposition complexes (745.1 / 745.10 / 745.11 / 745.12 / 745.19), truncus arteriosus (745.0) and univentricular hearts (745.3). Individuals with multiple CHD-related ICD-9-CM codes who had at least one severe code were classified as having a severe condition regardless of the number of non-severe codes they had.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The 2011-2013 population included 72,433 individuals with one or more healthcare encounters during the surveillance period with a CHD-related ICD-9-CM code. Exclusions included those: 1) whose age was < 1 year or greater than 64 years (n=10,181 excluded, where $10,181/72,443=14.1\%$ of total leaving 62,252; 2) diagnosed with a 745.5 code in isolation (secundum atrial septal defect/patent foramen ovale) or in combination with either 746.89 (Other specified anomalies of heart: Other) or 746.9 (Unspecified anomaly of heart) (n=14,228

excluded, where $14,228/62,252=22.8\%$ of remaining total leaving 48,024) or any “other CHD” code (n=504 excluded, where $504/48,024=1.0\%$ of remaining total leaving 47,520); 4) diagnosed with only “other CHD” codes (n=11,381 excluded, where $11,381/47,520=23.9\%$ of remaining total leaving 36,139), as these codes are known to have poor positive predictive value for CHD;^{31, 32} 5) with unknown sex (n=1 excluded, where $1/36,139 < 1.0\%$ of remaining total leaving 36,138); 6) with unknown neighborhood income status (n=249 excluded, where $249/36,138=0.7\%$ of remaining total leaving 35,889); 7) with unknown neighborhood poverty status (n=1 excluded, where $1/35,889 < 1.0\%$ of remaining total leaving 35,888); and 8) with unknown race and ethnicity (n=4,346 excluded, where $4,346/35,888=12.1\%$ of remaining total leaving 31,542).

After implementing above exclusions, 31,542 individuals with probable CHD aged 1-64 years, who: 1) had at least one healthcare encounter between 1/1/2011-12/31/2013; 2) had at least one ICD-9-CM CHD-related code documented (see **Appendix A**); and 3) resided in the four site-specific catchment areas were eligible and included in the analysis. Site-specific catchment areas spanned the entire state for individuals that resided in NC and UT. For GA, data were collected from individuals residing within one of the five metropolitan-Atlanta counties (Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett), and for NY, individuals resided in one of 11 NY counties (Allegany, Bronx, Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Erie, Genesee, Monroe, Niagara, Orleans, Wyoming, and Westchester).

Study Variables

Healthcare utilization (Outcome Variable)

Healthcare utilization was categorized as outpatient visits, hospitalizations, and ED visits. If an ED visit led to a hospitalization, the encounter was captured as a hospitalization. Separately

by encounter type, the number of encounters were calculated by combining overlapping date ranges into a single encounter, summing encounters over the surveillance period, and dichotomizing as 0 and ≥ 1 . When dates of different encounter types overlapped, a hierarchical scheme was applied in the following order: 1) inpatient hospitalizations; 2) ED visits; and 3) outpatient visits.

Mortality (Outcome Variable)

Mortality status was determined by deterministically linking individuals to state-specific death certificates at each site. If the individual did not match to a death record during the surveillance period, the person was considered alive.

Race and Ethnicity

Race and ethnicity were based on data recorded in the electronic health records (eHR) and categorized as non-Hispanic White (nHW), non-Hispanic Black (nHB), Hispanic, and other race [Asian, American Indian/Native American, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and multi-racial (excluding Black multi-racial)]. Individuals could have more than one race recorded. For this analysis, individuals with nHB race were categorized as nHB, even if they had other races recorded. All other individuals with multiple races recorded were categorized as multi-racial. (n=161/31,542). For purposes of analysis, racial groups comprising a small percentage of the dataset were combined into a category called “Other” because the sample sizes were too small.

Neighborhood Economic Status

Two metrics were used to examine neighborhood economic status: 1) neighborhood median income; and 2) neighborhood poverty status. Values for each metric were based on individuals’ ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) from the 2014 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, estimated across years 2010-2014.³³ Annual neighborhood median

income in USD (U.S. dollar currency) was classified into 3 groups: <\$40,000, \$40,000-\$75,000, and >\$75,000. Neighborhood poverty status was defined as the percent of households in the ZCTA below 100% of the federal poverty level (FPL) and was classified into low neighborhood poverty ($\leq 25\%$ of households below FPL) and high neighborhood poverty ($> 25\%$ of households below FPL).

Other Co-variables

Individual-level covariates were determined based on literature review and included site, age, sex, CHD anatomic severity, and health insurance. Age in years was calculated by subtracting the individual's date of birth from the date of the individual's first healthcare encounter during the 2011-2013 surveillance period where an eligible CHD-related ICD-9-CM code appeared. Age was categorized into four groups: 1-10 years, 11-18 years, 19-44 years, and 45-64 years. Sex was classified as male or female. CHD anatomic severity was categorized as: 1) severe; 2) shunt (excluding isolated 745.5 secundum atrial septal defect/patent foramen ovale); 3) valve; and 4) shunt and valve lesions. Health insurance status was classified as: 1) "any public", if health insurance was documented at any healthcare encounter as Medicaid or Medicare; 2) "private", if health insurance was documented at all healthcare encounters as a private company, other government which includes military, Veterans Affairs, Tricare, and other federal employee insurance benefits or other health insurance coverage; 3) "none", if all healthcare encounters indicated self-pay, uninsured or "no insurance coverage"; and 4) "unknown", when health insurance status was unavailable.

Statistical Analysis

SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for all analyses. Descriptive analyses were conducted to examine the frequency distributions and percentages of individual

characteristics by race and ethnicity. Bivariate analyses were conducted to describe and compare the associations between race and ethnicity, the covariables, and healthcare utilization (inpatient hospitalizations, ED visits, and outpatient visits) and mortality, using two-sided Chi-square tests. *P* values at <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Effect modification was considered a priori by neighborhood poverty status. Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were estimated using multivariable logistic regression analysis. Potential confounders were selected applying a 10% change-in-estimate criterion. Models were stratified by neighborhood poverty status.

RESULTS

There were 31,542 individuals with CHD identified from four surveillance sites who were eligible for analysis. Overall, 57.6% were nHW, 22.2% were nHB, 17.0% were Hispanic, and 3.2% were another race and ethnicity (**Table 1**). Distribution of all demographics and healthcare types ($p < 0.0001$) and mortality ($p = 0.0007$) differed by race and ethnicity. Among nHW individuals with CHD, 32.0% were 1-10 years of age as compared to 51.3% among nHB and 51.5% among Hispanic individuals with CHD. The proportion of CHD individuals ages 45-64 years was lower than all other age groups in all racial and ethnic groups. Among nHW individuals with CHD, 18.9% were 45-64 years of age as compared to 10.9% and 10.5% for nHB and Hispanic individuals, respectively. Overall median age was 14.0 years. Among nHW individuals with CHD, valve lesions were the most common (44.8%), while shunt lesions were most prevalent among nHB (35.1%), and Hispanic (38.7%) individuals. Additionally, 33.9% of nHW individuals with CHD had public health insurance compared to 71.3% of nHB and 82.0% of Hispanic individuals. Similar patterns of neighborhood median income and poverty status emerged, with less than 20% of nHW and close to half of nHB and Hispanic individuals living in

lower income/higher poverty neighborhoods. For all characteristics, values for individuals with “other” race and ethnicity generally mirrored those of nHB and Hispanic individuals or fell between those of nHW and NHB/Hispanic individuals. Among individuals with CHD, 93.1% had at least one outpatient visit, 42.9% had at least one inpatient hospitalization, and 34.2% visited the ED at least once (**Table 1**). All three encounter types differed significantly by race and ethnicity ($p<0.0001$ for all). Outpatient care was the most frequent across all racial and ethnic groups. Overall, mortality of individuals with CHD during the surveillance period was 1.2%, ranging from 0.9% for Hispanic individuals to 1.6% for nHB ($p=0.0007$) (**Table 1**). Patterns in mortality among nHW, nHB, and Hispanic individuals were similar for younger (1-18 years; nHW: 0.5%; nHB: 0.8%, Hispanic: 0.6%; $p<0.05$) and older age groups (19-64 years; nHW: 1.8%; nHB: 3.5%, Hispanic: 1.7%; $p<0.0001$), with nHB individuals having higher mortality rates than nHW and Hispanic individuals (data not shown).

Table 2 presents stratified results by neighborhood poverty status in an effort to examine effect modification. Within high poverty neighborhoods, nHB and Hispanic individuals together (62.5%; 33.0% nHB and 29.5% Hispanic) accounted for the largest proportion of individuals compared to nHW individuals (33.9%) or other races (3.7%), whereas in low poverty neighborhoods, nHW (69.2%) comprised the majority of individuals compared to the 27.9% of nHB and Hispanic individuals combined (16.9% nHB and 11.0% Hispanic) or other races (3.0%), $p<0.0001$ (data not shown). Among those in high poverty neighborhoods, nHB (44.4%), Hispanic individuals (47.7%), and individuals of other race and ethnicity (55.0%), respectively, had 1.2 (95% CI=1.0-1.3), 1.3 (95% CI 1.2-1.5) and 1.7 (95% CI 1.3-2.1) times higher odds of being hospitalized than nHW (42.3%; referent), respectively, after adjusting for confounders. Non-Hispanic Black [38.9%; aOR=1.3 (95% CI=1.2-1.5)] and Hispanic individuals [66.0%;

aOR=1.7 (95% CI=1.5-2.0)] also had higher adjusted odds of ED visits compared to nHW individuals (26.2%; referent). In addition, in high poverty neighborhoods, 1.1% of nHW (referent), 1.9% of nHB [aOR=1.7 (95% CI=1.1-2.7)], and 0.9% of Hispanic individuals [aOR=0.7 (95% CI=0.4-1.3)] died during the surveillance period. Among those in low poverty neighborhoods, associations between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization were of similar magnitude and direction as those observed in high poverty neighborhoods, with the exceptions that Hispanic individuals (95.8%) had 1.4 (95% CI=1.1-1.7) times higher adjusted odds of outpatient visits compared to nHW (92.0%; referent), and associations between mortality and race and ethnicity between nHB (1.5%) and nHW (1.1%) were attenuated [nHB: aOR=1.2 (95%CI=0.9-1.7)].

DISCUSSION

In this 3-year multi-state health administrative data-based study of race and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization and mortality among children and adults with CHD aged 1-64 years, we found that nHB and Hispanic individuals were significantly more likely to have hospitalizations and have ED visits compared to nHW individuals, irrespective of their neighborhood poverty status. However, when examining mortality, nHB individuals in high poverty neighborhoods had a nearly 2 times higher odds of death compared to nHW, but this disparity in mortality was attenuated for those living in low poverty neighborhoods. This study not only adds to the current understanding of the role of neighborhood poverty contributing towards racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization among individuals with CHD in the U.S. but also extends the understanding of how neighborhood poverty status modifies those associations. Findings from the study are representative of the populations that use healthcare services living in regions with similar socioeconomic and demographic profiles.

We did not find any previous studies that examined the association between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization, or mortality, for adults up to age 64 years with CHD, stratified by neighborhood poverty status. In a study using data from the Pediatric Health Information System among individuals <26 years, Karamlou et al. (2022) found the association between race and ethnicity and mortality to be significant among those with low median neighborhood income (a measure often considered equivalent to neighborhoods of higher poverty),²⁹ similar to our finding showing a significant association between race and ethnicity and mortality among people with CHD residing in high poverty neighborhoods. In our study among individuals aged 1-64 years, the racial and ethnic disparity in mortality was attenuated for those living in low poverty neighborhoods. While findings are similar, the studies are not directly comparable due to differences in the age of individuals in the two studies, where the prior study limited their individuals to age <26 years,²⁹ while our study sample consisted of individuals aged 1-64 years.

Similar to findings from other studies,^{12, 34} mortality was lower for Hispanic individuals compared to nHW individuals and higher for nHB individuals compared to nHW individuals in our study. Our study was one of the first to adjust for CHD anatomic severity along with age, sex, surveillance site, and insurance status, and stratify by neighborhood poverty status. Our analysis did not look at mortality prior to 1 year of age. Karamlou et al. (2022) showed increased mortality among nHB neonates compared to neonates of other racial groups including nHW, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian, and Pacific Islander individuals, and the association was modified by neighborhood household income.²⁹ Our study found that racial and ethnic differences in mortality for people with CHD persist beyond the first year of life, particularly in higher poverty neighborhoods.

Our study found that in high poverty neighborhoods, both nHB and Hispanic individuals with CHD experienced higher ED use compared to nHW individuals. In a recent study by Benavidez et al. (2019), individuals of Hispanic ethnicity and CHD were significantly more likely to experience hospital re-admissions compared to individuals who do not identify as Hispanic.³⁵ Post-operative mortality by race was not predicted by accessing care alone. Barriers impacting healthcare access or long gaps in care are often associated with complications for selected types of CHDs.^{7, 36} While rates of health insurance have improved for adults with CHD since the Affordable Care Act (ACA) was implemented,³⁷ gaps in care utilization persist, with about 50% of adolescents with CHD experiencing barriers to transitioning from pediatric to adult CHD specialty care.⁵

While gaps in CHD specialty care have been associated with adverse outcomes,^{5, 38} our data show increased hospitalizations and ED visits among nHB and Hispanic individuals in both low and high poverty neighborhoods. In addition, no difference by race and ethnicity in outpatient visits in high poverty neighborhoods were revealed, while in low poverty neighborhoods, Hispanic individuals had higher outpatient utilization. We were not able to determine the provider specialty for outpatient visits; thus, while there was little difference in having one or more outpatient encounters by race and ethnicity, there may be differences in the number of outpatient visits and/or in the number of congenital cardiology outpatient encounters. It is possible that individuals with complications due to CHD may present to the ED in a more advanced disease state that could contribute to increased mortality. Surgical complications are an additional factor associated with high healthcare utilization in terms of hospital readmissions; efforts to reduce such complications have been proposed to decrease healthcare utilization and associated costs.^{39, 40}

Ongoing regular care with a congenital cardiologist reduces mortality^{2, 38} and improves outcomes across the CHD anatomic severity levels.⁴¹ Individuals may be out of routine congenital cardiology care for a variety of socioeconomic reasons, including limited access to transportation to centralized tertiary care centers, lack of insurance access, language barriers, lack of paid sick leave, inability to take time off work, economic constraints and limited understanding of the purpose and benefits of routine surveillance care for their CHD.⁵ Thus, individuals who are out of specialty care may seek care through the ED at a higher rate and in a sicker state than those individuals who have remained in congenital cardiology care. Individuals who identify as non-Hispanic Black or as Hispanic may be more likely to live in higher poverty neighborhoods and experience factors that impact access to outpatient CHD specialty care which may contribute to higher inpatient and ED utilization and adverse outcomes. Those living in higher poverty neighborhoods may experience additional challenges accessing congenital cardiology care due to school/work environment, availability and quality of health care services, insurance coverage, health literacy, and community resource constraints.^{20, 21} Our study also appears to demonstrate disparities by age and race and ethnicity in individuals who have had any type of healthcare encounter. It has been reported that children with CHD who identify as Black or Hispanic are less likely to have cardiology follow-up and remain in cardiology care,^{13, 42} which may be related to a variety of issues impacting access to care such as health insurance, transportation, language barriers, implicit bias in the healthcare system, and other social determinants.

Recent recommendations with policy implications for improving healthcare delivery for individuals with CHD recognize the role of individuals' socioeconomic factors, in addition to healthcare delivery and workforce improvements.⁴³ A framework focusing on access to care,

affordability, and accessibility for all populations with CHD has been proposed as a vision for 2030, along with engaged leaders and identification of areas of improvement, training future workforce, and addressing barriers to care.⁴³ Our findings support the complex interplay between race and ethnicity and neighborhood poverty status in healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with CHD. Addressing these would require solutions related to expanded accessibility for lifelong CHD care, improved Medicaid funding or universal healthcare, and understanding issues related to patients, as recommended by Chowdhury et al. (2021). Mainly, our study supports efforts highlighted by Chowdhury et al. for addressing and assessing health care disparities including consistency in care and resources available for minority populations.

Our analysis is strengthened by the large study size spanning multiple geographic regions within the U.S. and diverse health administration data sources and vital records. We included both pediatric and adult healthcare systems and a broad age spectrum. Similar to other studies of CHD using administrative data, our dataset may contain individuals without CHD and may miss individuals with CHD, although in contrast to other studies using administrative data, we constrained our CHD codes to those with higher positive predictive value. We could examine CHD anatomic grouping as a co-variable in understanding racial and ethnic disparities by neighborhood poverty status for different types of healthcare utilization and mortality. However, there are some key limitations. Overall, 12% of individuals were excluded who met the diagnostic criterion, but who did not have information on their race and ethnicity. We conducted separate analyses by including those individuals with “unknown” race and ethnicity (**Table S1**), and while the majority of findings did not change remarkably from the current analysis, for individuals with “unknown” race residing in either high or low poverty neighborhoods, the odds of having a hospitalization or having an emergency room visit was less compared to white

individuals (see **Table S2**). However, heterogeneity of measurement, aggregation of multiple racial groups into “other” category, and missing data issues on race and ethnicity pose challenges when ascertaining and interpreting our findings on healthcare utilization disparities in select minority populations.⁴⁴ Limited availability of racial and ethnic data not only affects the current analysis, but also is necessary to the measurement, identification, understanding and ultimately, the elimination of disparities in health as well as to the improvement of the quality of healthcare in a standardized way for all individuals.^{45, 46, 47, 48} We used eHR data which misses individuals who did not seek medical care. We did not have data on type of outpatient visit, e.g., receipt of congenital cardiology care, limiting our ability to examine variability in the type of outpatient care individuals received. Mortality among individuals with CHD was based on state-specific vital records at each site, rather than NDI, and was limited to the three-year surveillance period. Our reliance on state death certificates may have underestimated mortality over the surveillance period, especially if some deaths occurred outside the catchment regions. Additionally, a longer longitudinal analysis of healthcare utilization and survival in this population would enhance our understanding of healthcare utilization and its effect on the risk of mortality. In addition, there could be multiple reasons for hospitalizations among individuals with CHD, and these reasons can be age-specific.³⁹ Four age groups were examined in the current study, including children (1-10 years) adolescents (11-18 years) and two groups of adults: 19-44 years and 45-64 years. While these groupings allow us to examine age-related effects, more granular analysis of age by race and ethnicity would be possible with larger sample sizes, specifically among older aged categories.

In conclusion, our study showed that healthcare utilization was associated with race and ethnicity among individuals with CHD in both low and high poverty neighborhoods, and with

mortality in high poverty neighborhoods. Assessing community-level social determinants of health, along with access to healthcare, may help close the gaps in racial and ethnic inequities in healthcare utilization and mortality among individuals with CHD.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank all collaborators from each participating site and CDC: Trenton Hoffman, Carol Hogue, Daphne Hsu, Aida Soim, Alissa Van Zutphen, Kirstin Sommerhalter, Ali Zaidi, Sergey Krikov, Matthew Reeder, Kevin Whitehead, Grace Ryan, Karen Chiswell, Tracy Spears, Lauren Sarno, Timothy Hoffman, Karl Welke, Michael Walsh, Tiffany Colarusso, Karrie Downing, Bobby Lyles. The authors would also like to thank the Intermountain Healthcare's Adult Congenital Disease Program and all institutions that contributed data to the project.

Source of Funding: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Grant/Award Number: CDC-RFA-DD15-1506

Disclosures:

Cheryl L. Raskind-Hood - None
Vijaya Kancharla – None
Lindsey C. Ivey – None
Fred H. Rodriguez III, MD – None
Anaclare M. Sullivan – None
George K. Lui – None
Lorenzo Botto - None
Marcia Feldkamp - None
Jennifer S. Li - None
Alfred D'Ottavio - None
Sherry L. Farr - None
Jill Glidewell - None
Wendy M. Book - None

REFERENCES

1. Hoffman JL, Kaplan S. The incidence of congenital heart disease. **J Am Coll Cardiol.** 2002; 39(12):1890-1900.
2. Marelli A.J., Mackie A.S., Ionescu-Ittu R., Rahme E., Pilote L. Congenital heart disease in the general population: changing prevalence and age distribution. **Circulation.** 2007; 115:163-172.
3. Avila P, Mercier LA, Dore A, Marcotte F, Mongeon PF, Ibrahim R, Asgar A, Miro J, Andelfinger G, Mondésert B, de Guise P, Poirier N, Khairy P. Adult congenital heart disease: a growing epidemic. **Can J Cardiol.** 2014; 30(12 suppl): S410–S419.
4. Gurvitz MZ, Inkelas M, Lee M, Stout K, Escarce J, Chang RK. Changes in hospitalization patterns among individuals with congenital heart disease during the transition from adolescence to adulthood. **J Am Coll Cardiol.** 2007; 49:875-882.
5. Gurvitz M, Valente AM, Broberg C, Cook S, Stout K, Kay J, Ting J, Kuehl K, Earing M, Webb G, et al. Alliance for Adult Research in Congenital Cardiology (AARCC) and Adult Congenital Heart Association. Prevalence and predictors of gaps in care among adult congenital heart disease individuals: HEART-ACHD (the Health, Education, and Access Research Trial). **J Am Coll Cardiol.** 2013; 61:2180-2184.
6. Connor, JA, Gauvreau K, Jenkins KJ. Factors associated with increased resource utilization for congenital heart disease. **Pediatrics.** 2005; 116(3):689-695.
7. Patel MS, Kogon BE. Care of the adult congenital heart disease individual in the United States: a summary of the current system. **Pediatr Cardiol.** 2010; 31(4):511-514.
8. Williamson CG, Tran Z, Rudasill S, Hadaya J, Verma A, Bridges AW, Satou G, Biniwale RM, Benharash P. Race-based disparities in access to surgical palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome. **Surgery.** 2022; 172(2):500-505.
9. Gilboa SM, Devine OJ, Kucik JE, Oster ME, Riehle-Colarruso T, Nembhard WN, Xu P, Correa A, Jenkins K, Marelli AJ. Congenital heart defects in the United States: estimating the magnitude of the affected population in 2010. **Circulation.** 2016; 134(2):101-109.
10. Mai CT, Isenburg JL, Canfield MA, Meyer RE, Correa A, Alverson CJ, Lupo PJ, Riehle-Colarusso T, Cho SJ, Aggarwal D, et al.; National Birth Defects Prevention Network. National population-based estimates for major birth defects, 2010-2014. **Birth Defects Res.** 2019 Nov 1;111(18):1420-1435. doi: 10.1002/bdr2.1589. Epub 2019 Oct 3. PMID: 31580536; PMCID: PMC7203968.
11. Opotowsky AR, Siddiqi OK, Webb GD. Trends in hospitalizations for adults with congenital heart disease in the U.S. **J Am Coll Cardiol.** 2009; 54(5):460-467.
12. Lopez KN, Morris SA, Sexson Tejtel SK, Espaillet A, Salemi JL. US Mortality attributable to congenital heart disease across the lifespan from 1999 through 2017 exposes persistent racial and ethnic disparities. **Circulation.** 2020; 142(12):1132-1147.
13. Jackson JL, Morack J, Harris M, DeSalvo J, Daniels CJ, Chisolm DJ. Racial disparities in clinic follow-up early in life among survivors of congenital heart disease. **Congenit Heart Dis.** 2019; 14(2):305-310.
14. Tjoeng YL, Jenkins K, Deen JF, Chan T. Association between race/ethnicity, illness severity, and mortality in children undergoing cardiac surgery. **J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.** 2020; 160(6):1570-1579.e1.
15. Richardson CJ, Itua P, Duong T, Lewars J, Tiesenga F. Racial and socioeconomic disparities in congenital heart surgery: a research article. **J Card Surg.** 2021;36(7):2454-2457.

16. Peyvandi S, Baer RJ, Moon-Grady AJ, Oltman SP, Chambers CD, Norton ME, Rajagopal S, Ryckman KK, Jelliffe-Pawlowski LL, Steuer MA. Socioeconomic mediators of racial and ethnic disparities in congenital heart disease outcomes: a population-based study in California. **J Am Heart Assoc.** 2018; 7(20): e010342.
17. Oster ME, Strickland MJ, Mahle WT. Racial and ethnic disparities in post-operative mortality following congenital heart surgery. **J Pediatr.** 2011; 159:222–226.
18. Fixler DE, Nembhard WN, Salemi JL, Ethen MK, Canfield MA. Mortality in first 5 years in infants with functional single ventricle born in Texas, 1996 to 2003. **Circulation.** 2010; 121(5):644-650.
19. Benavidez OJ, Gauvreau K, Jenkins KJ. Racial and ethnic disparities in mortality following congenital heart surgery. **Pediatr Cardiol.** 2006; 27(3):321-328.
20. National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities NIMHD Research Framework. 2017. Retrieved from <https://nimhd.nih.gov/researchFramework>. Accessed on June 6, 2023.
21. Alvidrez J, Castille D, Laude-Sharp M, Rosario A, Tabor D. The National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities Research Framework. **American Journal of Public Health.** 2019; 109: S16-S20. <https://doi-org.proxy.library.emory.edu/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304883>.
22. Gilboa SM, Salemi JL, Nembhard WN, Fixler DE, Correa A. Mortality resulting from congenital heart disease among children and adults in the United States, 1999 to 2006. **Circulation.** 2010; 122:2254–2263. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.947002.
23. Boneva RS, Botto LD, Moore CA, Yang Q, Correa A, Erickson JD. Mortality associated with congenital heart defects in the United States: trends and racial disparities, 1979-1997. **Circulation.** 2001; 103(19):2376-2381.
24. Tran R, Forman R, Mossialos E, Nasir K, Kulkarni A. Social determinants of disparities in mortality outcomes in congenital heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. **Front Cardiovasc Med.** 2022; 9:829902.
25. DeMone JA, Gonzalez PC, Gauvreau K, Piercey GE, Jenkins KJ. Risk of death for Medicaid recipients undergoing congenital heart surgery. **Pediatr Cardiol.** 2003; 24(2):97-102.
26. Peterson JK, Catton KG, Setty SP. Healthcare disparities in outcomes of a metropolitan congenital heart surgery center: the effect of clinical and socioeconomic factors. **J Racial Ethn Health Disparities.** 2018; 5(2):410-421.
27. Anderson BR, Fieldston ES, Newburger JW, Bacha EA, Glied SA. Disparities in outcomes and resource use after hospitalization for cardiac surgery by neighborhood income. **Pediatrics.** 2018; 141(3): e20172432.
28. Tillman AR, Colborn KL, Scott KA, Davidson AJ, Khanna A, Kao D, McKenzie L, Ong T, Rausch CM, Duca LM, et al. Associations between socioeconomic context and congenital heart disease related outcomes in adolescents and adults. **Am J Cardiol.** 2021; 139:105-115.
29. Karamlou T, Hawke JL, Zafar F, Kafle M, Tweddell JS, Najm HK, Frebis JR, Bryant RG. Widening our focus: characterizing socioeconomic and racial disparities in congenital heart disease. **The Annals of Thoracic Surgery.** 2022; 113(1):157-165.
30. Glidewell JM, Farr SL, Book WM, Botto L, Li JS, Soim AS, Downing KF, Riehle-Colarusso T, D'Ottavio AA, Feldkamp ML, et al. Individuals aged 1-64 years with documented congenital heart defects at healthcare encounters, five U.S. surveillance sites, 2011-2013. **Am Heart J.** 2021; 238:100-108.

31. Rodriguez FH, 3rd, Ephrem G, Gerardin JF, Raskind-Hood C, Hogue C, Book W. The 745.5 issue in code-based, adult congenital heart disease population studies: Relevance to current and future ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM studies. **Congenit Heart Dis**. 2018; 13(1):59-64.
32. Rodriguez FH 3rd, Raskind-Hood CL, Hoffman T, Farr SL, Glidewell J, Li JS, D'Ottavio A, Botto L, Reeder MR, Hsu D, et al. How well do *ICD-9-CM* codes predict true congenital heart defects? a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-based multisite validation project. **J Am Heart Assoc**. 2022 Aug 2; 11(15): e024911. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.024911. Epub 2022 Jul 19. Erratum in: **J Am Heart Assoc**. 2023 Mar 7;12(5): e026865. PMID: 35862148; PMCID: PMC9375472.
33. U.S. Census Bureau. 2014 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year Data Profiles, extracted by ZCTA and GA counties, 2014. <https://data.census.gov/table?g=040XX00US13&tid=ACSDP5Y2014.DP05>.
34. Nembhard WN, Pathak EB, Schocken DD. Racial and ethnic disparities in mortality related to congenital heart defects among children and adults in the United States. **Ethn Dis**. 2008 Autumn; 18(4):442-9. PMID: 19157248.
35. Benavidez OJ, He W, Lahoud-Rahme M. Readmissions following congenital heart surgery in surgery admissions in adult hospitals: risk factors and association with death and coinfectants and children. **Pediatr Cardiol**. 2019; 40(5): 994-1000.
36. Williams RG. Transitioning youth with congenital heart disease from pediatric to adult health care. **J Pediatr**. 2015; 166:15–19.
37. Lin CJ, Novak E, Rich MW, Billadello JJ. Insurance access in adults with congenital heart disease in the Affordable Care Act era. **Congenit Heart Dis**. 2018; 13(3):384-391.
38. Mylotte D, Pilote L, Ionescu-Ittu R, Abrahamowicz M, Khairy P, Therrien J, Mackie AS, Marelli A. Specialized adult congenital heart disease care: the impact of policy on mortality. **Circulation**. 2014; 129(18):1804-1812.
39. Benavidez OJ, Connor JA, Gauvreau K, Jenkins KJ. The contribution of complications to high resource utilization during congenital heart surgery admissions. **Congenit Heart Dis**. 2007; 2(5):319-326.
40. Bhatt AB, Rajabali A, He W, Benavidez OJ. High resource use among adult congenital heart morbidities. **Congenit Heart Dis**. 2015; 10(1):13-20.
41. Willems R, Ombelet F, Goossens E, De Groote K, Budts W, Moniotte S, de Hosson M, Van Bulck L, Marelli A, Moons P, et al.; BELCODAC consortium. Different levels of care for follow-up of adults with congenital heart disease: a cost analysis scrutinizing the impact on medical costs, hospitalizations, and emergency department visits. **Eur J Health Econ**. 2021; 22(6):951-960.
42. Serfas JD, Spates T, D'Ottavio A, Spears T, Ciociola E, Chiswell K, Davidson-Ray L, Ryan G, Forestieri N, Krasuski RA, et al. Disparities in loss to follow-up among adults with congenital heart disease in North Carolina. **World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg**. 2022 Nov; 13(6):707-715. doi: 10.1177/21501351221111998. PMID: 36300264.
43. Chowdhury D, Johnson JN, Baker-Smith CM, Jaquiss RDB, Mahendran AK, Curren V, Bhat A, Patel A, Marshall AC, Fuller S, Marino BS, et al. Health care policy and congenital heart disease: 2020 focus on our 2030 future. **J Am Heart Assoc**. 2021; 10(20): e020605.
44. Viano, S., & Baker, D. J. How Administrative data collection and analysis can better reflect racial and ethnic identities. **Review of Research in Education**. 2020; 44(1):301–331. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X20903321>.

45. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century. Washington (DC): **National Academies Press (US)**; 2001. PMID: 25057539.
46. Bierman AS, Lurie N, Collins KS, Eisenberg JM. Addressing racial and ethnic barriers to effective health care: the need for better data. **Health Aff (Millwood)**. 2002 May-Jun;21(3):91-102. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.21.3.91. PMID: 12026007.
47. Institute of Medicine (US) Subcommittee on Standardized Collection of Race/Ethnicity Data for Healthcare Quality Improvement. Race, ethnicity, and language data: standardization for health care quality improvement. Ulmer C, McFadden B, Nerenz DR, editors. Washington (DC): **National Academies Press (US)**; 2009. PMID: 25032349.
48. Perez V, Hayden L, Mesa J, Bickell N, Abner P, Richardson LD, Ngai KM. Improving individual race and ethnicity data capture to address health disparities: a case study from a large urban health system. **Cureus**. 2022 Jan 5; 14(1): e20973. doi: 10.7759/cureus.20973. PMID: 35154951; PMCID: PMC8815799.

Table 1. Characteristics of individuals with congenital heart defects, total and by racial and ethnic group.

	Total N=31542	Non-Hispanic White n=18173 (57.6%)	Non- Hispanic Black n=6991 (22.2%)	Hispanic n=5365 (17.0%)	Other[†] n=1013 (3.2%)	P-value[§]
Characteristics	n (%)	n (%)[*]	n (%)[*]	n (%)[*]	n (%)[*]	
Site						
Georgia	6070 (19.2)	2854 (15.7)	2415 (34.5)	522 (9.7)	279 (27.5)	<0.0001
North Carolina	11830 (37.5)	8033 (44.2)	2454 (35.1)	981 (18.3)	362 (35.7)	
New York	10240 (32.5)	4247 (23.4)	2091 (29.9)	3534 (65.9)	368 (36.3)	
Utah	3402 (10.8)	3039 (16.7)	31 (0.4)	328 (6.1)	<10 (--)	
Age[†] (years)						
1-10	12700 (40.3)	5815 (32.0)	3585 (51.3)	2765 (51.5)	535 (52.8)	<0.0001
11-18	6251 (19.8)	3842 (21.2)	1311 (18.7)	943 (17.6)	155 (15.3)	
19-44	7705 (24.4)	5076 (27.9)	1333 (19.1)	1096 (20.4)	200 (19.8)	
45-64	4886 (15.5)	3440 (18.9)	762 (10.9)	561 (10.5)	123 (12.1)	
Sex						
Female	15376 (48.8)	8295 (46.6)	3718 (53.2)	2834 (52.8)	529 (52.2)	<0.0001
Male	16166 (51.2)	9878 (54.4)	3273 (46.8)	2531 (47.2)	484 (47.8)	
CHD Anatomic Severity						
Severe	7815 (24.8)	4488 (24.7)	1829 (26.2)	1230 (22.9)	268 (26.5)	<0.0001
Shunt	9067 (28.8)	4163 (22.9)	2452 (35.1)	2076 (38.7)	376 (37.1)	
Valve	12059 (38.2)	8145 (44.8)	2052 (29.3)	1601 (29.8)	261 (25.8)	
Shunt and Valve	2601 (8.2)	1377 (7.6)	658 (9.4)	458 (8.6)	108 (10.6)	
Health Insurance						
Any public	16071 (51.0)	6157 (33.9)	4987 (71.3)	4399 (82.0)	528 (52.1)	<0.0001
Private	12561 (39.8)	10063 (55.4)	1440 (20.6)	700 (13.1)	358 (35.3)	
None	310 (1.0)	148 (0.8)	87 (1.2)	67 (1.3)	<10 (--)	
Unknown	2600 (8.2)	1805 (9.9)	477 (6.8)	199 (3.7)	119 (11.8)	

Neighborhood median income (USD)						
<\$40,000	10003 (31.7)	3295 (18.1)	3313 (47.4)	3039 (56.7)	356 (35.1)	<0.0001
\$40,000-\$75,000	17031 (54.0)	11142 (61.3)	3398 (48.6)	2035 (37.9)	456 (45.0)	
>\$75,000	4508 (14.3)	3736 (20.6)	280 (4.0)	291 (5.4)	201 (19.9)	
Neighborhood poverty status						
Low poverty neighborhood (<25% households below FPL)	21234 (67.3)	14684 (80.8)	3587 (51.3)	2328 (43.4)	635 (62.7)	<0.0001
High poverty neighborhood (≥25% households below FPL)	10308 (32.7)	3489 (19.2)	3404 (48.7)	3037 (56.6)	378 (37.3)	
Healthcare utilization						
Outpatient visits	29352 (93.1)	16730 (92.1)	6532 (93.4)	5175 (96.5)	915 (90.3)	<0.0001
Hospitalizations	13523 (42.9)	7318 (40.3)	3099 (44.3)	2599 (48.4)	507 (50.1)	<0.0001
Emergency Department visits	10778 (34.2)	4827 (26.6)	2453 (35.1)	3226 (60.1)	272 (26.9)	<0.0001
Mortality						
Yes	374 (1.2)	197 (1.1)	115 (1.6)	50 (0.9)	12 (1.2)	0.0007

Acronyms: CHD = congenital heart defects; FPL = Federal Poverty Level; USD = United States dollar currency.

* Column percentages reported in cells.

† Estimates for 1-10-year-olds based on three sites: five counties in metropolitan-Atlanta, GA; 11 counties in NY state; and statewide in NC; estimates for 11-18, 19-44, and 45-64-year-olds based on four sites: five counties in metropolitan-Atlanta, GA; 11 counties in NY; and statewide in NC and UT.

‡ Other racial and ethnic group includes Asian, American Indian/Native American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and multi-racial.

§ Comparing racial and ethnic groups only; analysis does not include “Total” column.

|| Insurance categorization: public defined as Medicaid or Medicare; private defined as private, other government, or other insurance; none defined as self-pay/uninsured; and unknown defined as unavailable, unknown, or no insurance indicated.

Note. Overall, median age was 14.0 years.

Table 2. Associations between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization and mortality, by neighborhood poverty status among individuals with congenital heart defects

High poverty neighborhood (≥25% households below Federal Poverty Level*)								
	Outpatient visits**		Hospitalizations**		Emergency Department visits*		Mortality	
	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)
Non-Hispanic White	3221 (92.3)	1.0 (referent)	1475 (42.3)	1.0 (referent)	914 (26.2)	1.0 (referent)	38 (1.1)	1.0 (referent)
Non-Hispanic Black	3207 (94.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	1511 (44.4)	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	1325 (38.9)	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	63 (1.9)	1.7 (1.1-2.7)
Hispanic	2944 (96.9)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	1449 (47.7)	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	2004 (66.0)	1.7 (1.5-2.0)	27 (0.9)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)
Other [‡]	342 (90.5)	0.6 (0.4-0.9)	208 (55.0)	1.7 (1.3-2.1)	119 (31.5)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	<10 --	1.0 (0.3-2.8)
Low poverty neighborhood (<25% households below Federal Poverty Level*)								
	Outpatient visits**		Hospitalizations**		Emergency Department visits**		Mortality	
	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR[†] (95% CI)
Non-Hispanic White	13509 (92.0)	1.0 (referent)	5843 (39.8)	1.0 (referent)	3913 (26.7)	1.0 (referent)	159 (1.1)	1.0 (referent)
Non-Hispanic Black	3325 (92.7)	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1588 (44.3)	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	1128 (31.5)	1.6 (1.5-1.8)	52 (1.5)	1.2 (0.9-1.7)
Hispanic	2231 (95.8)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1150 (49.4)	1.5 (1.3-1.6)	1222 (52.5)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	23 (1.0)	0.7 (0.5-1.2)
Other [‡]	573 (90.2)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	299 (47.1)	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	153 (24.1)	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	<10 --	1.4 (0.7-2.9)

Acronyms: aOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; CHD = congenital heart defect

*Assessed based on individuals' ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) from the 2014 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, estimated across years 2010-2014 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2014).

** Zero counts for visit types are not included; healthcare utilization visit categories are not mutually exclusive.

† Multivariate analyses adjusted for age, sex, insurance, 4-level CHD severity group, and surveillance site.

‡ Other racial and ethnic group includes Asian, American Indian/Native American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and multi-racial.

Appendix A. ICD-9-CM Codes for Anatomic Complexity of Congenital Heart Defects, 63 codes

Classification	ICD-9-CM Code	ICD-9-CM Description
Severe 16 codes (contains at least one severe code)	745.0	Common truncus
	745.1	Transposition of great vessels
	745.10	Transposition of great vessels: Complete transpos. great vessels
	745.11	Transposition of great vessels: Double outlet right ventricle
	745.12	Transposition of great vessels: Corrected transpos. great vessels
	745.19	Transposition of great vessels: Other
	745.2	Tetralogy of Fallot
	745.3	Common ventricle
	745.6	Endocardial cushion defects
	745.60	Endocardial cushion defects: Endocard cushion, unsp. type
	745.69	Endocardial cushion defects: Other
	746.01	Anomalies of pulmonary valve: Atresia, congenital
	746.1	Tricuspid atresia and stenosis, congenital
	746.7	Hypoplastic left heart syndrome
	747.11	Coarctation of aorta: Interruption of aortic arch
747.41	Anomalies great veins: Tot anomalous pulm. venous connection	
Shunt 7 codes (contains at least one shunt code and no severe or valve codes)	745.4	Ventricular septal defect
	745.5**	Ostium secundum type atrial septal defect **
	745.61	Endocardial cushion defects: Ostium primum defect
	745.8	Bulbus cordis anomalies & anomalies of card septal closure: Other
	745.9	Unspecified defect of septal closure
	747.0	Patent ductus arteriosus
	747.42	Anomalies great veins: Partial anomal. Pulm. venous connection
Valve 17 codes (contains at least one valve code, and no severe or shunt codes)	746.0	Anomalies of pulmonary valve
	746.00	Anomalies of pulm valve: Pulm valve anomaly, unsp.
	746.02	Anomalies of pulmonary valve: Stenosis, congenital
	746.09	Anomalies of pulmonary valve: Other
	746.2	Ebstein's anomaly
	746.3	Congenital stenosis of aortic valve
	746.4	Congenital insufficiency of aortic valve
	746.5	Congenital mitral stenosis
	746.6	Congenital mitral insufficiency
	746.81	Other specified anomalies of heart: Subaortic stenosis
	746.83	Other specified anomalies of heart: Infundibular pulmonic stenosis
	747.1	Coarctation of aorta
	747.10	Coarctation of aorta: Coarctation of aorta (preductal) (postductal)
	747.22	Other anomalies of aorta: Atresia and stenosis of aorta
	747.3	Anomalies of pulmonary artery
747.31	Anomalies of pulmonary artery: Pulm. artery coarctation & atresia	
747.39	Anomalies pulmonary artery: Anomal. pulm. artery & pulm. Circ.	
Shunt and Valve (contains at least one shunt code and at least one valve code, and no severe codes)		

<p>Other (23 codes)</p> <p>(contains one or more codes listed in group, without severe, shunt or valve codes)</p> <p>Excluded from current analysis</p>	648.5	Other current conditions in mother classifiable elsewhere, but complicating preg., childbirth, or puerperium: Congen. cardio dis.
	648.50	Other current conditions in mother classifiable elsewhere, but complicating preg., childbirth, or puerperium: Congen. cardiovasc. dis.: unspecified as to episode of care or not applicable
	648.51	Other current conditions in mother classifiable elsewhere, but complicating preg., childbirth, or puerperium: Congen. cardiovasc. dis.: delivered, w/ or w/o mention of antepartum condition
	648.52	Other current conditions in mother classifiable elsewhere, but complicating preg., childbirth, or puerperium: Congen. cardiovasc. dis.: delivered, w/mention of postpartum complication
	648.53	Other current conditions in mother classifiable elsewhere, but complicating preg., childbirth, or puerperium: Congen. cardiovasc. dis.: antepartum condition or complication
	648.54	Other current conds. in mom classifiable elsewhere, but complic. preg., childbirth, or puerperium: congen. cardio. dis.: PP conds.
	745.7	Cor biloculare
	746.8	Other spec. anomalies of heart
	746.82	Other spec. anomalies of heart: Cor triatriatum
	746.84	Other spec. anomalies of heart: Obstructive anomalies, NEC
	746.85	Other spec. anomalies of heart: Coronary artery anomaly
	746.87	Other spec. anomalies of heart: Malposition of heart & cardi apex
	746.89	Other specified anomalies of heart: Other
	746.9	Unspecified anomaly of heart
	747.2	Other anomalies of aorta
	747.20	Other anomalies of aorta: Anomaly of aorta, unspecified
	747.21	Other anomalies of aorta: Anomalies of aortic arch
	747.29	Other anomalies of aorta: Other
	747.4	Anomalies of great veins
	747.40	Anomalies of great veins: Anomaly of great veins, unspecified
	747.49	Anomalies of great veins: Other anomalies of great veins
	747.9	Unspecified anomaly of circulatory system
	V13.65	Congenital (corrected) malformations: Personal hx of (corrected) congenital malformations of heart and circulatory system

* adopted from Lifespan CHD surveillance project (Glidewell JM, Farr SL, Book WM, Botto L, Li JS, Soim AS, Downing KF, Riehle-Colarusso T, D'Ottavio AA, Feldkamp ML, Khanna AD, Raskind-Hood CL, Sommerhalter KM, Crume TL. Individuals aged 1-64 years with documented congenital heart defects at healthcare encounters, five U.S. surveillance sites, 2011-2013. *Am Heart J.* 2021 Aug;238:100-108).

** 745.5 in isolation or with 'Other' codes have been omitted from analyses due to lack specificity

Notes. **Severe** has at least one severe code, regardless of presence of shunt, valve, or shunt and valve codes. **Shunt and Valve** has shunt AND valve codes; **Shunt** has at least one shunt code, and no valve or severe codes. **Valve** has at least one valve code, and no shunt or severe codes. **Other** has one+ codes in this category, but this category was omitted from analyses due to non-specificity. **745.5** has either one code, 745.5, or code 745.5 in addition to having only codes from the 'Other' category (see Table above); this category was also omitted from analyses due to non-specificity.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Table S1. Characteristics of individuals with congenital heart defects, total and by racial and ethnic group.

	Total N=35888	Non-Hispanic White n=18173 (50.6%)	Non- Hispanic Black n=6991 (19.5%)	Hispanic n=5365 (15.0%)	Other[‡] n=1013 (2.8%)	Unknown n=4346 (12.1%)	P-value[§]
Characteristics	n (%)	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)*	n (%)*	
Site							
Georgia	8165 (22.8)	2854 (15.7)	2415 (34.5)	522 (9.7)	279 (27.5)	2095 (48.2)	<0.0001
North Carolina	12601 (35.1)	8033 (44.2)	2454 (35.1)	981 (18.3)	362 (35.7)	771 (17.7)	
New York	10778 (30.0)	4247 (23.4)	2091 (29.9)	3534 (65.9)	368 (36.3)	538 (12.4)	
Utah	4344 (12.1)	3039 (16.7)	31 (0.5)	328 (6.1)	<10 (--)	942 (21.7)	
Age[†] (years)							
1-10	14804 (41.2)	5815 (32.0)	3585 (51.3)	2765 (51.5)	535 (52.8)	2104 (48.4)	<0.0001
11-18	7497 (20.9)	3842 (21.2)	1311 (18.7)	943 (17.6)	155 (15.3)	1246 (28.7)	
19-44	8353 (23.3)	5076 (27.9)	1333 (19.1)	1096 (20.4)	200 (19.8)	648 (14.9)	
45-64	5234 (14.6)	3440 (18.9)	762 (10.9)	561 (10.5)	123 (12.1)	348 (8.0)	
Sex							
Female	17432 (48.6)	8295 (45.6)	3718 (53.2)	2834 (52.8)	529 (52.2)	2056 (47.3)	<0.0001
Male	18456 (51.4)	9878 (54.4)	3273 (46.8)	2531 (47.2)	484 (47.8)	2290 (52.7)	
CHD Anatomic Severity							
Severe	8693 (24.2)	4488 (24.7)	1829 (26.2)	1230 (22.9)	268 (26.5)	878 (20.2)	<0.0001
Shunt	10609 (29.6)	4163 (22.9)	2452 (35.1)	2076 (38.7)	376 (37.1)	1542 (35.5)	
Valve	13664 (38.1)	8145 (44.8)	2052 (29.3)	1601 (29.8)	261 (25.8)	1605 (36.9)	
Shunt and Valve	2922 (8.1)	1377 (7.6)	658 (9.4)	458 (8.6)	108 (10.6)	321 (7.4)	
Health Insurance							
Any public	17830 (49.7)	6157 (33.9)	4987 (71.3)	4399 (82.0)	528 (52.1)	1759 (40.5)	<0.0001
Private	14791 (41.2)	10063 (55.4)	1440 (20.6)	700 (13.0)	358 (35.3)	2230 (51.3)	
None	370 (1.0)	148 (0.8)	87 (1.3)	67 (1.3)	<10 (--)	60 (1.4)	
Unknown	2897 (8.1)	1805 (9.9)	477 (6.8)	199 (3.7)	119 (11.7)	297 (6.8)	
Neighborhood median income (USD)							

<\$40,000	10922 (30.4)	3295 (18.1)	3313 (47.4)	3039 (56.7)	356 (35.1)	919 (21.2)	<0.0001
\$40,000-\$75,000	19471 (54.3)	11142 (61.3)	3398 (48.6)	2035 (37.9)	456 (45.0)	2440 (56.1)	
>\$75,000	5495 (15.3)	3736 (20.6)	280 (4.0)	291 (5.4)	201 (19.9)	987 (22.7)	
Neighborhood poverty status							
Low poverty neighborhood (<25% households below FPL)	24430 (68.1)	14684 (80.8)	3587 (51.3)	2328 (43.4)	635 (62.7)	3196 (73.5)	<0.0001
High poverty neighborhood (≥25% households below FPL)	11458 (31.9)	3489 (19.2)	3404 (48.7)	3037 (56.6)	378 (37.3)	1150 (26.5)	
Healthcare utilization							
Outpatient visits	33381 (93.0)	16730 (92.1)	6532 (93.4)	5175 (96.5)	915 (90.3)	4029 (92.7)	<0.0001
Hospitalizations	14431 (40.2)	7318 (40.3)	3099 (44.3)	2599 (48.4)	507 (50.1)	908 (20.9)	<0.0001
Emergency Department visits	11313 (31.5)	4827 (26.6)	2453 (35.1)	3226 (60.1)	272 (26.9)	535 (12.3)	<0.0001
Mortality							
Yes	399 (1.1)	197 (1.1)	115 (1.6)	50 (0.9)	12 (1.2)	25 (0.6)	<0.0001

Acronyms: CHD = congenital heart defects; FPL = Federal Poverty Level; USD = United States dollar currency.

* Column percentages reported in cells.

† Estimates for 1-10-year-olds based on three sites: five counties in metropolitan-Atlanta, GA; 11 counties in NY state; and statewide in NC; estimates for 11-18, 19-44, and 45-64-year-olds based on four sites: five counties in metropolitan-Atlanta, GA; 11 counties in NY; and statewide in NC and UT.

‡ Other racial and ethnic group includes Asian, American Indian/Native American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and multi-racial.

§ Comparing racial and ethnic groups only; analysis does not include “Total” column.

|| Insurance categorization: public defined as Medicaid or Medicare; private defined as private, other government, or other insurance; none defined as self-pay/uninsured; and unknown defined as unavailable, unknown, or no insurance indicated.

Table S2. Associations between race and ethnicity and healthcare utilization and mortality, by neighborhood poverty status among individuals with congenital heart defects

High poverty neighborhood (>25% households below Federal Poverty Level*)								
	Outpatient visits**		Hospitalizations**		Emergency Department visits*		Mortality	
	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)
Non-Hispanic White	3221 (92.3)	1.0 (referent)	1475 (42.3)	1.0 (referent)	914 (26.2)	1.0 (referent)	38 (1.1)	1.0 (referent)
Non-Hispanic Black	3207 (94.2)	0.8 (0.7-1.0)	1511 (44.4)	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	1325 (38.9)	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	63 (1.9)	1.7 (1.0-2.6)
Hispanic	2944 (96.9)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	1449 (47.7)	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	2004 (66.0)	1.8 (1.5-2.0)	27 (0.9)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)
Other [‡]	342 (90.5)	0.6 (0.4-0.9)	208 (55.0)	1.7 (1.3-2.1)	119 (31.)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	<10 --	1.0 (0.3-2.8)
Unknown	1071 (93.1)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	226 (19.6)	0.4 (0.4-0.5)	160 (13.9)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	<10 --	0.3 (0.1-1.0)
Low poverty neighborhood (≤25% households below Federal Poverty Level*)								
	Outpatient visits**		Hospitalizations**		Emergency Department visits**		Mortality	
	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)	n (%)	aOR [†] (95% CI)
Non-Hispanic White	13509 (92.0)	1.0 (referent)	5843 (39.8)	1.0 (referent)	3913 (26.7)	1.0 (referent)	159 (1.1)	1.0 (referent)
Non-Hispanic Black	3325 (92.7)	0.8 (0.7-0.9)	1588 (44.3)	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	1128 (31.5)	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	52 (1.5)	1.2 (0.9-1.7)
Hispanic	2231 (95.8)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1150 (49.4)	1.5 (1.3-1.6)	1222 (52.5)	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	23 (1.0)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)
Other [‡]	573 (90.2)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	299 (47.1)	1.5 (1.2-1.7)	153 (24.1)	1.1 (0.8-1.3)	<10 --	1.5 (0.7-3.0)
Unknown	2958 (92.6)	0.8 (0.6-0.9)	682 (21.3)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	375 (11.7)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	21 (0.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)

Acronyms: aOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; CHD = congenital heart defect

*Assessed based on individuals' ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) from the 2014 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, estimated across years 2010-2014 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2014).

** Zero counts for visit types are not included; healthcare utilization visit categories are not mutually exclusive.

† Multivariate analyses adjusted for age, sex, insurance, 4-level CHD severity group, and surveillance site.

‡ Other racial and ethnic group includes Asian, American Indian/Native American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and multi-racial.