It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

1 TITLE

- 2 Fully automated histological classification of cell types and tissue regions of celiac disease is
- 3 feasible and correlates with the Marsh score
- 4

5 AUTHORS

- 6 Michael GRIFFIN¹, M.S., <u>michael.griffin@pathai.com</u>
- 7 Aaron M. GRUVER², M.D., Ph.D., gruver_aaron_m@lilly.com
- 8 Chintan SHAH¹, M.S., <u>chintan.shah@pathai.com</u>
- 9 Qasim WANI¹, B.S., <u>qasim.wani@pathai.com</u>
- 10 Darren FAHY¹, B.S., <u>darren.fahy@pathai.com</u>
- 11 Archit KHOSLA¹, M.S., <u>archit.khosla@pathai.com</u>
- 12 Christian KIRKUP¹, M.S., <u>christian.kirkup@pathai.com</u>
- 13 Daniel BORDERS¹, M.S., <u>daniel.borders@pathai.com</u>
- 14 Jacqueline A. BROSNAN-CASHMAN¹, Ph.D., jackie.brosnancashman@pathai.com
- 15 Angie D. FULFORD², M.S., <u>fulford angie d@lilly.com</u>
- 16 Kelly M. CREDILLE², D.V.M, Ph.D., <u>credille_kelly_m@lilly.com</u>
- 17 Christina JAYSON¹, Ph.D., <u>christina.jayson@pathai.com</u>
- 18 Fedaa NAJDAWI^{1,*}, M.D., <u>fedaa.najdawi@pathai.com</u>
- 19 Klaus GOTTLIEB^{2,*}, M.D., Ph.D., klaus.gottlieb@lilly.com
- 20 * Fedaa Najdawi and Klaus Gottlieb contributed equally as co-senior authors
- 21

22 AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

¹ PathAl, Boston, MA, USA

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 24 ² Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA
- 25
- 26

27 AUTHOR FOR CORRESPONDENCE

- 28 Fedaa Najdawi
- 29 PathAl, Inc.
- 30 1325 Boylston Street, Suite 10000
- 31 Boston, MA 02215
- 32 USA
- 33 Tel: +1-617-500-8457
- 34 Email: fedaa.najdawi@pathai.com
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 4.0
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- -
- 46
- 47

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

48 ABSTRACT

49 **Aims** Histological assessment is essential for the diagnosis and management of celiac

- 50 disease. Current scoring systems, including modified Marsh (Marsh–Oberhuber) score, lack
- 51 inter-pathologist agreement. To address this unmet need, we aimed to develop a fully
- 52 automated, quantitative approach for histology characterisation of celiac disease.
- 53 **Methods** Convolutional neural network models were trained using pathologist
- 54 annotations of haematoxylin and eosin-stained biopsies of celiac disease mucosa and
- 55 normal duodenum to identify cells, tissue and artifact regions. Human interpretable features
- 56 were extracted and the strength of their correlation with Marsh scores were calculated using
- 57 Spearman rank correlations.
- 58 **Results** Our model accurately identified cells, tissue regions and artifacts, including
- 59 distinguishing intraepithelial lymphocytes and differentiating villous epithelium from crypt
- 60 epithelium. Proportional area measurements representing villous atrophy negatively
- 61 correlated with Marsh scores (r=-0.79), while measurements indicative of crypt hyperplasia
- 62 and intraepithelial lymphocytosis positively correlated (r=0.71 and r=0.44, respectively).
- 63 Furthermore, features distinguishing celiac disease from normal colon were identified.
- 64 **Conclusions** Our novel model provides an explainable and fully automated approach for
- histology characterisation of celiac disease that correlates with modified Marsh scores,
- 66 facilitating diagnosis, prognosis, clinical trials and treatment response monitoring.

67 KEYWORDS

- 68 MeSH terms:
- 69 Artificial intelligence
- 70 Celiac disease
- Histology
- Machine learning

73

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

74 KEY MESSAGES

75 What is already known on this topic

76	۶	Prior research has utilised machine learning (ML) techniques to detect celiac disease					
77		and evaluate disease severity based on Marsh scores.					
78	۶	However, existing approaches lack the capability to provide fully explainable tissue					
79		segmentation and cell classifications across whole slide images in celiac disease					
80		histology.					
81	≻	The need for a more comprehensive and interpretable ML-based method for celiac					
82		disease diagnosis and characterisation is evident from the limitations of currently					
83		available scoring systems as well as inter-pathologist variability.					
84	34 What this study adds						
85	۶	This study is the first to introduce an explainable ML-based approach that provides					
86		comprehensive, objective celiac disease histology characterisation, overcoming inter-					
87		observer variability and offering a scalable tool for assessing disease severity and					
88		monitoring treatment response.					
89	How tl	nis study might affect research, practice or policy					
90	>	This study's fully automated and ML-based histological analysis, including the					
91		correlation of Marsh scores, has the potential to enable more precise disease severity					
92		measurement, risk assessment and clinical trial endpoint evaluation, ultimately					

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

94 INTRODUCTION

95 Celiac disease, an autoimmune disease triggered by dietary gluten, affects around 1% of the 96 population.¹ Its diagnosis can be challenging due to symptom diversity, spanning from no symptoms to severe malabsorption.¹² Patients with celiac disease face a slightly increased 97 98 overall risk of developing bowel lymphoma in comparison to the general population.² 99 Histological assessment is crucial for the diagnosis and management of celiac disease.³ as well as for endpoint assessment in clinical trials.⁴ with findings of intraepithelial 100 101 lymphocytosis, crypt hyperplasia and villous atrophy indicative of the presence of the 102 disease.⁵ Clinical study endpoints often rely on a quantification of disease activity. 103 demonstrated by changes in histology and characterised according to disease severity by classification systems such as the modified Marsh (Marsh–Oberhuber) score.³⁶ However. 104 inter-observer agreement is low for these metrics.⁶ The United States Food and Drug 105 106 Administration recommends using a clinically accepted histological scale such as the Marsh 107 score for screening samples in clinical studies of treatments for celiac disease, to ensure 108 patient eligibility at enrolment. Furthermore, histology is also recommended as a co-primary 109 endpoint in these studies.⁷ 110 Celiac disease is often underdiagnosed due to variation between pathologists in their assessment of biopsy tissue samples,⁸ even if multiple biopsies are obtained.³⁵ Poor quality 111 112

contribute to this variability.⁵⁸⁹ Recently, there has been increased interest in applying 113 machine learning (ML) to pathology,^{10 11} including to improve the accuracy and efficiency of 114 115 celiac disease diagnosis.¹²

of biopsy tissue and overlapping histopathology features between related conditions may

Such automation is expected to significantly reduce variability,^{12 13} enabling smaller 116 117 clinical studies to attain sufficient statistical power to demonstrate treatment effects. Indeed, 118 convolutional neural network (CNN) tissue and cell model predictions from gastrointestinal 119 samples have been used to create human interpretable features (HIFs) that enable the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.11.23299520; this version posted December 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

quantitative assessment of inflammatory pathological changes in non-celiac gastrointestinal

121 diseases.¹⁶

120

122	While previous research has successfully employed ML to detect celiac disease and
123	assess disease severity based on Marsh scores, ¹³ this study aims to bridge critical gaps in
124	the current research landscape. The work presented here represents the first report of an ML
125	application for celiac disease that provides fully explainable tissue segmentation and cell
126	classifications across whole slide images (WSIs) of duodenal mucosal biopsies. Through this
127	approach, we have enabled the extraction of HIFs, such as cell densities, cell count
128	proportions, and tissue area proportions, all of which exhibit correlations with Marsh scores.
129	By utilising ML-based quantification, this study aims to objectively and exhaustively
130	characterise celiac disease histology, address the limitations of manual histological
131	assessments, and provide granular data for translational research and clinical trials. We
132	believe such an approach has tremendous potential as a scalable tool for measuring disease
133	severity and monitoring treatment response.

134

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

135 MATERIALS AND METHODS

136 Data set characteristics

- 137 WSIs of haematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained biopsies of duodenal mucosa of varying
- 138 celiac disease severity (N=318) and mucosa of normal duodenum (N=58) were collected
- 139 from PathAI Diagnostics (Memphis, USA) (supplemental figure 1).
- 140 The cohort size was determined based on the project's scope and the availability of
- small intestine biopsies encompassing the full spectrum of celiac disease histology at the
- 142 central laboratory. Slides were scanned at 40x objective magnification using the Aperio
- 143 GT450 slide scanner (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Celiac disease slides were split
- 144 into training (n=230; 72.3%), validation (n=60; 18.9%) and test (n=28; 8.8%) datasets to
- 145 ensure the even distribution of available patient metadata. For normal duodenum, slides
- 146 were divided into a similar ratio of training (n=40; 69.0%), validation (n=12; 20.7%) and test
- 147 (n=6; 10.3%) datasets.

148 Machine learning-based tissue model development

149 We developed a model to identify and quantify relevant tissue regions, and we also utilised a 150 previously trained model to identify and quantify cell types and artifact regions¹⁶ on H&E-151 stained WSIs of celiac disease and normal duodenum (figure 1). Using these identified cells 152 and tissue regions, histological features relevant to celiac disease and representing 153 surrogate measures of modified Marsh score components were quantified, including the 154 proportion of intraepithelial lymphocytes to enterocytes in villous epithelium and the surface 155 areas of villous epithelium and crypt epithelium. The latter two features assess villous height 156 and crypt hyperplasia respectively.

WSIs were annotated by board-certified gastrointestinal pathologists. In total, 8356
tissue region annotations were collected. Annotations of crypt epithelium, villous epithelium,
crypt lumen, lamina propria, blood vessels, muscularis mucosa and other tissue (including
Brunner's glands and submucosa) were used to train a HIF-based tissue segmentation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.11.23299520; this version posted December 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

161 region model. From these annotations, a CNN model was trained to produce pixel-level

162 predictions of small intestinal mucosa tissue regions. Previously developed models to detect

- 163 and exclude tissue artifacts and identify and classify the cells in colon tissue were also
- 164 deployed.¹⁶ Tissue and cell model predictions were visualised as heatmaps on WSIs.
- 165 Heatmap transformations were used to remove artifact regions (e.g. debris, tissue folds, out-
- 166 of-focus regions), extracting features only from high-quality tissue.

167 Validation and review of cell and tissue models

- 168 A PathAl pathologist (F.N.) performed quality control of the tissue labels used for model
- training and qualitatively reviewed the tissue and cell overlays representing model

170 predictions on H&E-stained WSIs. This qualitative review helped guide the iterative model

171 development (**supplemental figure 2**).

To establish ground truth for cell model prediction accuracy, representative image frames were sampled (75 μ mx75 μ m; N=160). Frames were exhaustively annotated for all model-predicted cell types by five gastrointestinal pathologists. Hierarchical clustering was performed on these annotations and model predictions as previously described to identify cell locations.¹⁶ To account for potential pathologist bias and variability, Bayesian-estimated ground truths were used to quantify and compare the performance of the annotators and the model (**supplemental figure 3**).

- 179 Evaluation of model-derived HIFs
- 180 HIFs (e.g. the proportional area of villous epithelium relative to lamina propria) were
- 181 extracted from WSIs of normal duodenum (N=52) and scored celiac disease (N=118). HIFs
- 182 were correlated with modified Marsh scores (type 0, normal lesions; type 1, infiltrative
- 183 lesions; type 2, hyperplastic lesions; and types 3a, 3b and 3c, destructive lesions)⁶ using
- 184 Spearman rank correlations. Scores only assessed the presence of >30 intraepithelial
- 185 lymphocyte cells when differentiating scores 0 from 1 rather than quantifying any further
- 186 increase in intraepithelial lymphocyte cells with increasing disease severity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

187	After establishing correlations between HIFs and modified Marsh scores, potential
188	differences in the model-derived features between celiac disease and normal duodenum
189	were evaluated.

190 Statistical analysis

- 191 To assess cell model performance, the harmonised average of precision and sensitivity (F1
- score) was calculated for both the cell model predictions and each pathologist annotation
- 193 compared to the consensus on representative image frames. To evaluate the model-
- 194 generated HIFs, each HIF was assessed for correlation with consensus modified Marsh
- scores using Spearman rank correlations. Data analyses in this study used the programming
- 196 language Python (OpenEDG Python Institute, West Pomerania, Poland) for tissue and cell
- 197 model development. Additionally, OpenSlide Python (Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh,
- 198 PA, USA) was used to load WSIs, Matplotlib (John D Hunter, Matplotlib Development Team
- and NumFOCUS, Austin, TX, USA) was used for plotting graphs, and PyTorch (PyTorch
- 200 Foundation, the Linux Foundation, San Francisco, CA, USA) was used for tissue and cell
- 201 model development.

To associate model-derived features of celiac disease following correlations with modified Marsh scores, mean (standard deviation) feature levels were used to show differences between celiac disease and normal duodenum. P values were calculated by independent *t*-test.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

206 **RESULTS**

207 Model development for quantitation of celiac disease histological features

208 The tissue model developed, as well as the previously trained cell and artifact models,¹⁶ were 209 deployed on H&E-stained WSIs of celiac disease and normal duodenum. Relevant cell types 210 identified included neutrophils, plasma cells, enterocytes, intraepithelial lymphocytes, non-211 intraepithelial lymphocytes, eosinophils and goblet cells (figure 2); all other cell types are 212 predicted as "other cells". In addition, tissue regions identified included villous epithelium, 213 crypt epithelium, lamina propria, muscularis mucosa and blood vessels (figure 3). Tissue 214 regions such as total epithelium and mucosa could also be extracted from the tissue 215 segmentation overlays. The tissue model distinguished villous epithelium from crypt 216 epithelium. 217 The cell model's performance was validated by comparing it with pathologists'

218 annotations using Bayesian-estimated ground truths. Here, we sought to concentrate this

219 validation on overlapping cells, focusing on cell confusion. The cell model demonstrated

acceptable sensitivity for most cell types (figure 4).

221 Cell model predictions were compared with labels from five gastrointestinal 222 pathologists on representative image frames to determine model accuracy. We reported 223 elements of the F1 score for both cell model predictions and pathologists' labels for each of 224 the cell types (**figure 5A**,**B**). Overall, cell model specificity remained relatively consistent and 225 was similar to that of the pathologists for most cell types, with a slight difference being seen 226 for plasma cells, while sensitivity was more variable outside the intraepithelial lymphocyte 227 class.

228 Correlation of surrogate features with modified Marsh score

229 HIFs from our models were analysed to assess correlation with modified Marsh scores. The

- area of villous epithelium relative to mucosa was negatively correlated with modified Marsh
- score (Spearman r=-0.79, p<0.0001) (figure 6A). The area of crypt epithelium in tissue

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

232 (figure 6B) positively correlated with modified Marsh score (Spearman r=0.71, p<0.0001), as 233 did the number of intraepithelial lymphocyte cells relative to enterocyte cells in villous 234 epithelium (figure 6C) (Spearman r=0.44, p<0.0001). These results are summarised in 235 supplemental table 1. 236 The HIFs extracted from the cell and tissue models distinguished normal biopsies from 237 those with celiac disease. For example, the proportional area of villous epithelium relative to 238 mucosa and the proportional area of villous epithelium relative to crypt epithelium were both 239 lower in celiac disease tissue compared with normal tissue, while the proportional area of 240 crypt epithelium relative to total epithelium, the proportional area of lamina propria over 241 mucosa and the density of intraepithelial lymphocytes in villous epithelium were higher in 242 celiac disease (p<0.0001 for all comparisons) (table 1).

243 **Table 1** Association of model-derived features with celiac disease

		Normal duodenum	Celiac disease	
	Feature	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P value
Footuros	Area proportion of villous epithelium over mucosa in tissue	0.33 (0.08)	0.15 (0.07)	<0.0001
quantifying	Area proportion of villous epithelium over all epithelium in tissue	0.58 (0.10)	0.36 (0.14)	<0.0001
	Area proportion of villous epithelium over lamina propria in tissue	1.11 (0.35)	0.36 (0.23)	<0.0001
	Area proportion of crypt epithelium over usable tissue	0.21 (0.05)	0.23 (0.07)	0.12
Features	Area proportion of lamina propria over crypt epithelium in tissue	1.38 (0.49)	1.90 (0.82)	<0.0001
quantifying crypt hyperplasia	Area proportion of crypt epithelium over all epithelium in tissue	0.42 (0.10)	0.64 (0.14)	<0.0001
	Area proportion of crypt epithelium over mucosa in tissue	0.24 (0.05)	0.27 (0.07)	<0.01
Surrogate features for villous height/ crypt depth ratio	Area proportion of villous epithelium over crypt epithelium in tissue	1.54 (0.87)	0.64 (0.47)	<0.0001
Features quantifying	Count proportion of intraepithelial lymphocytes over enterocytes in villous epithelium	0.20 (0.07)	0.31 (0.11)	<0.0001
intraepithelial lymphocyte infiltration	Density of intraepithelial lymphocytes in villous epithelium	910.27 (303.15)	1446.27 (463.91)	<0.0001
Features	Count proportion of plasma cells over all cells in lamina propria	0.23 (0.05)	0.29 (0.10)	<0.001
quantifying expansion of	Density of plasma cells in lamina propria	2131.66 (593.54)	2725.74 (1171.97)	<0.001
inflammatory cells in lamina	Density of lymphocytes in lamina propria	2483.02 (793.40)	1808.05 (641.31)	<0.0001
propria	Count proportion of lymphocytes over all cells in lamina propria	0.27 (0.06)	0.19 (0.06)	<0.0001

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	Area proportion of lamina propria over mucosa in tissue	0.31 (0.04)	0.47 (0.08)	<0.0001
	Total number of cells in lamina propria	54,013.02 (28142.69)	89,593.13 (50,629.86)	<0.0001
Other features quantifying	Count proportion of neutrophils over all cells in mucosa	0.03 (0.01)	0.05 (0.02)	<0.0001
inflammatory cells	Count proportion of eosinophils over all cells in mucosa	0.02 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	<0.0001

SD, standard deviation.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

245 **DISCUSSION**

246 Histological assessment of celiac disease plays a crucial role in diagnosing disease and 247 evaluating the effectiveness of clinical interventions.³ However, inter-observer variability can affect the consistency and accuracy of results.⁶ To overcome this limitation and augment 248 249 pathologists' assessments of disease severity, we aimed to develop a fully automated and 250 explainable approach to quantify the cellular and tissue-based features of celiac disease in 251 H&E-stained clinical samples. The HIFs extracted from this model reflected histological 252 changes that were measured by modified Marsh scores, potentially providing a quantitative 253 and reproducible means to assess celiac disease severity.

254 Our model produced continuous feature measurements that can be interpreted as 255 surrogate markers of celiac disease pathology (supplemental table 1). The relationship of 256 these features with the ordinal Marsh score categories can be used as a benchmark to 257 measure the model's performance. For example, we examined the area of villous epithelium 258 relative to the area of mucosa as an indicator of villous blunting, a hallmark of celiac disease, 259 and found a negative correlation with higher modified Marsh scores. To gauge crypt 260 hyperplasia, a more subtle feature, we examined the area of crypt epithelium relative to total 261 epithelial area, revealing a positive correlation between this feature and Marsh scores at a 262 Marsh score of 2 and above. The trained cell model directly quantitated the proportion of 263 intraepithelial lymphocytes relative to the number of enterocytes within the villous structures. 264 As expected, these values increased with disease severity.

Existing celiac disease scoring systems, such as the modified Marsh score, primarily rely on qualitative and descriptive categorisations, leading to subjectivity and limited sensitivity to subtle changes.¹⁷ In this study, we propose an alternative approach, utilising ML techniques to enable continuous, quantitative evaluation of the histological changes in celiac disease. By capturing histological alterations on a granular and objective scale, this novel approach offers enhanced sensitivity to changes in intraepithelial lymphocyte density, as well

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

as villous and crypt epithelial surface area, overcoming limitations of the qualitative

assessments of conventional manual scoring systems.

273 Some of our model-extracted HIFs directly guantified features of intraepithelial 274 lymphocytes. This cell type is an essential consideration during disease assessment, as the 275 presence of >30 intraepithelial lymphocytes per 100 enterocytes in the duodenum is a defining feature of celiac disease.¹⁸ The HIFs extracted from our model include count 276 277 proportions and/or density of intraepithelial lymphocytes, specifically in the villous epithelium. 278 This model also allowed for the extraction of features relating to intraepithelial lymphocytes in 279 crypt epithelium and a comparison of their density in villous and crypt epithelium, providing a 280 comprehensive overview of the spatial distribution of this cell type within distinct epithelial 281 regions. Additional relevant features included the proportional area of villous epithelium 282 (quantifying the change related to villous atrophy), the proportional area of crypt epithelium 283 (quantifying crypt hyperplasia) and the ratio of villous epithelium area to crypt epithelium area (quantitatively capturing the relationship of villous height to crypt depth).¹⁹ 284

285 The key strengths of this study become apparent when considering that these model-286 generated features not only bear relevance to the modified Marsh scoring system but are 287 also essential components of the histological hallmarks of celiac disease (table 1).¹⁹ These 288 HIFs encompass features not previously incorporated into any formalised scoring system, 289 such as relative numbers and density of inflammatory cells (including lymphocytes, plasma 290 cells, eosinophils and neutrophils) in lamina propria or in mucosa. These metrics 291 characterise the immune micro-environment within celiac biopsies, as well as the total area 292 and area proportion of lamina propria, capturing the expansion of lamina propria, a 293 phenomenon known to be associated with disease activity.¹⁹ 294 Furthermore, one of the key strengths of this study lies in our model's capacity to 295 discern between normal duodenum and celiac disease through the quantification of features

296 associated with the disease microenvironment in mucosal biopsies. As expected, quantifying

297 features of villous atrophy, as evidenced by reduced area proportion of this feature, and the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.11.23299520; this version posted December 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

298 augmented area proportion signifying mucosa crypt hyperplasia, distinguished between the 299 histology of unaffected biopsies and those indicative of celiac disease. Supplementary 300 quantitative attributes of the inflammatory microenvironment known to be associated with 301 celiac disease, encompassing the infiltration of chronic inflammatory cells like lymphocytes 302 and plasma cells within the lamina propria, coupled with the associated expansion of this layer,¹⁹ further distinguished normal biopsy samples from those with celiac disease. 303 304 Discernible differences between the two groups were also observed in the quantitative evaluation of granulocytes, which has been previously described.^{20 21} 305

306 While our model was limited by the small sample size, additional assessment involving 307 larger cohorts will allow future refinement of the model's performance. The cell model can 308 also be trained specifically on duodenum biopsies and expanded to predict features 309 associated with additional cell types (e.g. Paneth cells). An additional limitation of the current 310 approach is related to the extraction of HIFs across a specific tissue area in the entire slide. 311 which overlooks the potential variation between different tissue fragments. In a manual 312 assessment of celiac disease in biopsies, pathologists often determine disease severity 313 based on the most severely affected tissue region. To address this limitation, future work will 314 focus on reporting HIFs separately for specific regions of interest within the tissue sample. 315 This strategy is expected to allow for a more comprehensive and accurate assessment of 316 disease severity within distinct tissue regions.

317 We foresee that ML-supported histological analysis will play a pivotal role in the 318 advancement of precision medicine for patients with celiac disease. To our knowledge, this is 319 the first report of fully explainable ML-based tissue and cell classifications across the WSIs of 320 mucosal biopsies in celiac disease, enabling the extraction and statistical analysis of HIFs to 321 empower translational research and clinical trials. The resulting quantitative model-generated 322 HIFs can be used to build predictive models of existing Marsh scores or function as a 323 continuous measurement, tracking histological change in celiac biopsies. Expanding upon 324 this foundation, as we proceed to develop classification models aimed at predicting clinical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.11.23299520; this version posted December 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

- 325 outcomes alongside slide-level scores, we anticipate that the interpretability enabled by the
- 326 utilisation of HIFs is poised to serve a dual purpose: validating the integrity of these models
- 327 and revealing novel insights into disease biology. We believe that this ML-based assessment
- 328 has tremendous potential as a scalable tool for measuring disease severity, risk stratification,
- 329 prognostic evaluation, evaluating endpoints in clinical trials and monitoring of treatment
- responses; ultimately, advancing the care of patients with celiac disease.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

331 Acknowledgements

- 332 The authors would like to thank all study participants. The authors are grateful to the
- 333 software engineering and machine learning teams at PathAl for developing the systems and
- 334 pipelines used for model development and feature extraction.

335 Contributors

- 336 MG: conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project
- administration, supervision, validation and visualisation, AMG: funding acquisition and writing
- 338 (review and editing), CS: conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation,
- 339 methodology, validation and visualisation, QW: conceptualisation, data curation, formal
- analysis, investigation, methodology, validation and visualisation, DF: data curation, AK:
- 341 conceptualisation, investigation, methodology, software and writing (review and editing), CK:
- 342 conceptualisation, data curation and writing (review and editing), DB: conceptualisation,
- investigation, formal analysis and writing (review and editing), JABC: writing (original draft)
- and writing (review and editing), CJ: conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis,
- 345 investigation, methodology, project administration, validation and visualisation, FN:
- 346 conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project
- 347 administration, validation, visualisation, writing (original draft) and writing (review and
- 348 editing), KG: conceptualisation, funding acquisition, supervision and writing (review and
- editing). All authors: final approval of the manuscript. FN and KG are joint last authors.

350 Funding support

- 351 This study was sponsored by Eli Lilly and Company. Medical writing assistance was provided
- 352 by Jason Vuong, BPharm, and Clare Weston, MSc, of ProScribe Envision Pharma Group,
- 353 and was funded by Eli Lilly and Company. ProScribe's services complied with international
- 354 guidelines for Good Publication Practice.

355 Role of the sponsor

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 356 Eli Lilly and Company was involved in the study design, oversight and preparation of the
- 357 manuscript.

358 Competing interests

- AMG, ADF, KMC and KG are employees and shareholders of Eli Lilly and Company.
- 360 MG, CS, QS, DF, AK, CK, DB, JABC, CJ and FN are employees of PathAl.
- 361 Patient consent for publication
- 362 Not required.
- 363 Ethics approval
- 364 WCG IRB protocol number: 1316112

365 Data availability statement

366 Model parameters for cell and tissue models, and codes for model training, inference and 367 feature extractions are not disclosed. Access requests for such code will not be considered 368 to safeguard PathAl's intellectual property. All feature tables, as well as source code, for 369 reproducing correlational analyses will be deposited to GitHub prior to publication, and the 370 link will be provided at that time. Access to cell- and tissue-type heatmaps, as well as usage 371 of cell- and tissue-type classification models, are available on reasonable request to 372 academic investigators, without relevant conflicts of interest, for non-commercial use who 373 agree not to distribute the data. Access requests can be made to: publications@pathai.com.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

- 1 Lebwohl B, Sanders DS, Green PHR. Coeliac disease. *Lancet* 2018;391:70–81.
- 2 Marafini I, Monteleone G, Stolfi C. Association between celiac disease and cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21:4155.
- 3 Rubio-Tapia A, Hill ID, Kelly CP, *et al.* ACG clinical guidelines: diagnosis and management of celiac disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:656–76.
- 4 Gottlieb K, Dawson J, Hussain F, *et al.* Development of drugs for celiac disease: review of endpoints for phase 2 and 3 trials. *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)* 2015;3:91–102.
- 5 Green PH, Cellier C. Celiac disease. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:1731–43.
- 6 Corazza GR, Villanacci V, Zambelli C, *et al.* Comparison of the interobserver reproducibility with different histologic criteria used in celiac disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:838–43.
- 7 US Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Celiac disease: developing drugs for adjunctive treatment to a gluten-free diet. 2022. <u>https://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/search-fda-guidance-documents/celiac-disease-developing-drugsadjunctive-treatment-gluten-free-diet</u> (accessed May 2023).
- 8 Mubarak A, Nikkels P, Houwen R, *et al.* Reproducibility of the histological diagnosis of celiac disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2011;46:1065–73.
- 9 Syed S, Ehsan L, Shrivastava A, et al. Artificial intelligence-based analytics for diagnosis of small bowel enteropathies and black box feature detection. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2021;72:833–41.
- 10 Rakha EA, Toss M, Shiino S, *et al.* Current and future applications of artificial intelligence in pathology: a clinical perspective. *J Clin Pathol* 2021;74:409–14.
- 11 Harrison JH, Gilbertson JR, Hanna MG, *et al.* Introduction to artificial intelligence and machine learning for pathology. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2021;145:1228–54.
- 12 Wei JW, Wei JW, Jackson CR, *et al.* Automated detection of celiac disease on duodenal biopsy slides: a deep learning approach. *J Pathol Inform* 2019;10:409–14.

- 13 Koh JEW, De Michele S, Sudarshan VK, *et al.* Automated interpretation of biopsy images for the detection of celiac disease using a machine learning approach. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2021;203:106010.
- Syed S, Al-Boni M, Khan MN, et al. Assessment of machine learning detection of environmental enteropathy and celiac disease in children. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e195822.
- 15 Sali R, Ehsan L, Kowsari K, et al. CeliacNet: celiac disease severity diagnosis on duodenal histopathological images using deep residual networks. Proceedings (IEEE Int Conf Bioinformatics Biomed) 2019;2019:962–67.
- 16 Najdawi F, Sucipto K, Mistry P, *et al.* Artificial intelligence enables quantitative assessment of ulcerative colitis histology. *Mod Pathol* 2023;36:100124.
- 17 Adelman DC, Murray J, Wu TT, *et al.* Measuring change in small intestinal histology in patients with celiac disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018;113:339–47.
- 18 Bao F, Green PH, Bhagat G. An update on celiac disease histopathology and the road ahead. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2012;136:735–45.
- Dickson BC, Streutker CJ, Chetty R. Coeliac disease: an update for pathologists. J
 Clin Pathol 2006;59:1008–16.
- 20 Moran CJ, Kolman OK, Russell GJ*, et al.* Neutrophilic infiltration in gluten-sensitive enteropathy is neither uncommon nor insignificant: assessment of duodenal biopsies from 267 pediatric and adult patients. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2012;36:1339–45.
- 21 Brown IS, Smith J, Rosty C. Gastrointestinal pathology in celiac disease: a case series of 150 consecutive newly diagnosed patients. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2012;138:42–
 - 9.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1Proof-of-concept development of models based on HIFs on training data.CNN, convolutional neural network; H&E, haematoxylin and eosin; HIF, human interpretablefeature; WSI, whole slide image.

Figure 2 Overlays generated by cell segmentation model for model deployment.

Figure 3Tissue segmentation model showing distinct tissue regions. CD, celiac

disease; ND, normal duodenum.

Figure 4 Cell model confusion matrix showing sensitivity across different cell types.

Figure 5Accuracy of cell model predictions compared with pathologists. (A) Specificitycomparison. (B) Sensitivity comparison.

Figure 6 Example cell and tissue segmentation model correlation with modified Marsh score. (A) Surrogate features of villous blunting. (B) Surrogate features of crypt hyperplasia.(C) Surrogate features of intraepithelial lymphocyte infiltration.

Step 1. Deployment Deploy existing colon artifact, cell and goblet cell cytoplasm models



Artifact Cell detection identification Step 2. Training and inference Collect annotations of crypt epithelium, villous epithelium, lumen, lamina propria and muscularis mucosa to train an HIF-based tissue region model

CNN

Inference

Prediction

Training

Tissue-level

annotations

H&E-stained

WSIs

Step 3. Feature extraction Develop read-outs to quantitate relevant histological features





Goblet cell nuclei
Enterocytes
Intraepithelial lymphocytes
Non-intraepithelial lymphocytes
Plasma cells
Eosinophils
Neutrophils
Other cells



	Α	в	С	D	Е	F	G	н	
(H) Plasma cells	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.91	- 0.0
(G) Other cells	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.45	0.26	- 0.2
(F) Neutrophils	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.04	0.00	0.72	0.02	0.05	
(E) Enterocytes	0.15	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.72	0.01	0.02	0.01	- 0.4
(D) Non-intraepithelial lymphocytes	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.45	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.33	- 0.6
(C) Eosinophils	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.6
(B) Intraepithelial lymphocytes	0.01	0.78	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.04	- 0.8
(A) Goblet cell nuclei	0.90	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Ground truth



