

1 **Breast and cervical cancer screening among women at reproductive age in**
2 **Cambodia: A Secondary Analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health**
3 **Survey 2022**

4 Samnang Um^{1,*}, Heng Sopheab^{1‡}

5
6 National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

7
8 ‡ SH also contributed equally to this work.

9 * umsamnang56@gmail.com

10

11 **Abstract**

12 Breast and cervical cancers are the most prevalent diagnosed in women worldwide, significantly
13 contributing to maternal morbidity and mortality. We examined socio-demographic and behavioral factors
14 associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among Cambodian women aged 15-49 years old. We
15 analyzed women's data from the 2022 Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS). In total,
16 19,496 women were interviewed. Multiple logistic regression was performed using STATA V17 to
17 examine factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening. The proportion of breast and
18 cervical cancer screenings was 10.6% and 15.3%, respectively. After being adjusted, factors
19 independently associated with breast cancer screening included age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.51; 95%
20 CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7.09], and 40-49 years [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-
21 7.71], higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], exposure media [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-
22 2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. Similarly, the odds of having cervical
23 cancer screening were age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94;
24 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-12.73], higher education [AOR=1.55; 95%
25 CI: 1.55-2.73], exposure media [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35,1.95], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=2.14; 95%
26 CI: 1.78-2.58]. In conclusion, this study shows the low screening proportion for both breast and cervical
27 cancers, and it also highlights that socio-economic factors are significantly important in determining the
28 health care seeking for these two main cancer screening services among women aged 15-49 years in
29 Cambodia. Therefore, increase of exposure media with health education focusing on these cancer
30 screenings should be made better accessible to women, particularly those of low socio-economic status.

31

32 **Keywords:** Cervical cancer, Breast cancer, Screening, Women, Cambodia Demographic and
33 Health Survey

34

35 **Introduction**

36

37 Cancer is the most significant public health problem worldwide, with approximately 18.1 million
38 cases (8.8 million in women) and 10 million cancer-related mortality reported in 2020 [1].
39 Globally, breast and cervical cancers are the most prevalent diagnosed in women [2], especially
40 since cervical cancer is a relatively more significant problem in low and middle-income
41 countries (LMICs) than breast cancer [3]. According to estimates, cervical cancer accounted for
42 more than 604,000 new cases and 342,000 deaths globally in 2020 [4], while breast cancer
43 affected over 2.3 million women and resulted in 685,000 deaths; these most diagnosed cancers
44 worldwide in women at any age after puberty, but with increasing rates when women get older
45 [4,5]. Evidence suggests that early diagnosis is pivotal in preventing and intervening in these
46 cancers [6]. Early community-based screening and awareness programs have been in place in
47 many developed countries, such as the United States, Finland, Sweden, France, and Japan [7-10].
48 Recently, national screening programs for cervical cancer have been widely provided in Asian
49 countries, including China, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, and Thailand [11]. However, breast cancer
50 screening as a national program has been slowly implemented due to the limited availability of
51 screening guidelines across Asia, access barriers, budgeting constraints, stigma, fear of diagnosis,
52 limited knowledge of breast self-examination, and cultural concerns [5,12].

53

54 Evidence from LMICs such as Cambodia indicates that incomplete treatment and insufficient
55 follow-up are the leading causes of the low proportion of breast and cervical cancer screening,
56 with late detection resulting in high mortality. Other significant contributing factors have
57 included social stigma, negligence, improper referral pathways, a lack of essential health
58 infrastructure facilities, and ineffective treatment [13,14]. In these settings, there are surprisingly
59 few feasible and easily accessible screening programs despite the rising number of instances of
60 breast and cervical cancers. With limited resources, many countries have adopted varying ages
61 for breast and cervical cancer screenings. For instance, the minimum recommended age for
62 breast cancer screening in Vietnam is 20 years, 30 years in India, 35 years in Sri Lanka, and 40
63 years each in China and Pakistan [15-18]. In the case of cervical cancer, China recommends 18
64 years as the minimum age for screening, while it is 20 years in Korea, 30 years each in India and
65 Indonesia, and 35 years in Thailand [11]. Despite these guidelines, the screening prevalence is

66 still low. For instance, cervical cancer screening ranges from 7.3% in Indonesia to 22.3% in India.
67 Lack of screening knowledge, with socio-demographic, economic, cultural, and structural
68 barriers are the main determinant for low screening in LMICs [19]. In Cambodia, breast and
69 cervical cancers are remarkably rising in women in which the burden of breast cancer was 18.9%
70 (1,877 cases), and cervical cancer was 11.4% (1,135 cases) reported in 2020 [1]. The age-
71 standardized incidence rate (13.5 per 100,000 women) and the mortality rate (10.1 per 100,000
72 women) are much higher than regional and global estimates [1]. The recent data from CDHS
73 2021-22 have shown that only 11% of women aged 15-49 reported that healthcare had ever
74 examined them to check for breast cancer and 15% reported that they had been tested for cervical
75 cancer [20]. Breast and cervical cancers are increasing and become a public health problem [20].
76 Given these public health concerns, the breast and cervical cancers were included in the disease
77 priority list in the National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable
78 Diseases (NCDs) 2007-2010 [21]. In 2008, the Cambodian Ministry of Health (MoH) issued a
79 national guideline recommend for cervical cancer screening with visual inspection with acetic
80 acid (VIA) for all sexually active women at every two years and immediate treatment with
81 cryotherapy "e.g. screen and treat"). The new national action plan for cervical cancer prevention
82 and control 2019-2023, has integrated HPV vaccination into the national program to support the
83 prevention of cervical cancer in Cambodia [22]. Also, the new national action plan has addressed
84 the screening methods for breast cancer including breast self-examination, and physical
85 examination and mammography. However, it is expensive to test for Human papillomavirus
86 (HPV), and it is less sensitive and less accurate than polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based
87 test used in more affluent settings [23]. Therefore, Cambodia has limited resources for
88 implementing its strategic plan since it still has many competing healthcare priorities, including
89 infectious diseases, NCD, universal health coverage and quality of health [23]. In 2017-2018,
90 there was an HPV vaccine campaign demonstration project to administer two doses of bivalent
91 HPV vaccine to all 9-year-old girls residing in Svay Rieng and Siem Reap provinces; however, it
92 is not yet widely available in Cambodia [24,25].

93
94 According to existing literature, factors associated with cervical and breast screening among
95 women include higher socioeconomic position (education, occupation and income) [26-29],
96 ethnicity, age, marital status, media exposure [29], increased access to health care [27]; covered

97 by health insurance [29,30], urban residence [26,29], positive lifestyle behaviors such as physical
98 activity and fruit and vegetable consumption [30], cultural taboos, stigma, geographic conditions,
99 and gender inequality [29]. In the context of limited resource countries such as Cambodia, in this
100 study we use the social determinants of health theoretical framework as the potential factors
101 influence women awareness and utilization of health services such as breast and cervical cancer
102 screening [31]. To our knowledge, very limited research has been observed in the area of breast
103 and cervical cancer screening and its associated risk factors among Cambodian women. Thus,
104 this paper aimed to assess the breast and cervical cancer screening services linked to women
105 aged 15-49 and its determinants.

106

107 **Materials and methods**

108

109 **Data sources**

110

111 We used existing women's data from the latest 2022 CDHS, a nationally representative
112 population-based household survey implemented by the National Institute of Statistics (NIS) in
113 collaboration with MoH. Data was collected from September 15, 2021, to February 15, 2022 [20].
114 Two-stage stratified cluster sampling was used to collect the samples. First, selected 709 clusters
115 or enumeration areas (EAs) stratified by urban-rural using probability proportional to cluster size.
116 Second, selected 25-30 households in each EA using systematic sampling. In total, 19,496
117 women aged 15-49 were interviewed face-to-face, using the survey questionnaire, covering
118 socio-demographic characteristics, alcohol drinking, tobacco use, household assets maternal
119 health-related indicators and nutritional status, and data on breast and cervical cancer screening
120 by healthcare providers [20]. The response rate was reported to be 98.2%. Overall, 254 women
121 reported missing for breast and cervical cancer screening were excluded. Data restriction resulted
122 in a final analysis with 19,251 weighted samples of women.

123

124

125 **Measurements**

126

127 **Outcome variables**

128

129 The two separate outcome variables consisting of breast cancer and cervical cancer screening
130 were defined based on the question ‘Has a doctor or other healthcare provider examined your
131 breasts to check for breast cancer, and ‘Has a doctor or other healthcare worker ever tested you
132 for cervical cancer?’ Then the two outcomes were coded as binary variables with Yes =1 to
133 indicate cancer screening, and No = 0 to indicate otherwise, respectively.

134

135 **Independent variables**

136 Independent variables consisted of socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors:
137 Women’s age in years (15-19, 20-29, 30-39, and 40-49), marital status (never-married, currently
138 married, and formerly married), education (no education, primary, secondary, and higher),
139 occupation (not working, professional/formal, and non-professional/informal), and household
140 wealth index reflected to the household assets and dwelling characteristics was categories as
141 poorest, poorer, medium, richer, and richest. Provinces were grouped into four geographical
142 regions (Plains, Tonle Sap, Coastal/sea, and Mountains) and place of residence (rural vs. urban
143 [20]). Health insurance coverage (yes vs. no), health facility visits within the past year (yes vs.
144 no), number of children ever born (no children, one-two children, three or more children).
145 Current smoking was measured at the time of the survey (non-smoker vs. smoker, including daily
146 smoker and occasional smoker). Alcohol consumption in the last 30 days (never drink, ever drink,
147 and current drink which corresponds to one can or bottle of beer, one glass of wine, or one shot
148 of spirits in the last 30 days, and contraceptive uses (hormonal methods and non-hormonal and
149 traditional methods). Media exposure variables were “frequency of reading magazine or
150 newspaper”, “frequency of listening to radio”, and “frequency of watching television”, which
151 were used to calculate the “media exposure score”. Each variable was coded as 0 = not at all, 1 =
152 less than once a week, and at least once a week; the computed “media exposure” index ranged
153 from 0 to 3 and was categorized as (0 = no exposure media, 1 = one type of media, and 2 = two
154 and more types of media). Body mass index (underweight ($< 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), normal weight
155 ($18.5\text{-}24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight, and obesity ($\geq 25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$).

156

157 **Statistical analysis**

158 Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 17 (Stata Crop 2019, College Station,
159 TX). We accounted for CDHS sampling weight and complex survey design using the *survey*

160 *package* in our descriptive and logistic regression analyses. Key socio-demographic
161 characteristics and behavioral factors were described using weighted frequency. Continuous
162 variables were calculated as mean (SD) such as women age. Bivariate analysis with chi-square
163 tests was used to assess the association between the variables of interest (socio-demographic
164 characteristics and behavioral factors) and breast and cervical cancer screening separately.
165 Variables associated with breast and cervical cancer screening with at $p\text{-value} \leq 0.10$ were
166 included in the multiple logistic regression analysis to determine the independent factors
167 associated with the screening for breast and cervical cancer [32]. Multicollinearity between
168 independent variables was checked including women's age, number of children ever born,
169 education, wealth index, occupation, marital status, and place of residence. Variance inflation
170 factor (VIF) scores were 1.53 indicated no collinearity concerns [33].

171

172 **Ethical consideration**

173

174 The study used women's data extracted from the most recent CDHS 2022 publicly available and
175 granted through the DHS program (<https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>) with all
176 removed personal identifiers. Written informed consent was obtained from the parent/guardian
177 of each participant under 18 years of age before data collection. The Cambodia National Ethics
178 Committee for Health Research approved the data collection tools and procedures for CHDS
179 2022 on 10 May 2021 (Ref # 83 NECHR) and ICF's Institutional Review Board (IRB) in
180 Rockville, Maryland, USA.

181

182 **Results**

183

184 **Characteristics of the study samples**

185

186 Women's socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors are described in **Table 1**. The
187 mean age was slightly close to 31 years old (SD = 9.5 years); the age group 30-49 years old
188 accounted for 56.3%. More than 69.0% were currently married. Close to 43.0% of women
189 worked in non-professional or informal jobs, and 27.2% were unemployed. Half of the women
190 completed at least secondary education, while 11.5% had no formal education. Over one-fourth

191 of women (26.9%) had three or more children. Close to one fourth of them (24.2%) reported
 192 using hormonal contraceptives. Over half (53.6%) of the women did not have exposure to media,
 193 and 28.7% were overweight and obese. Less than 2.0% of them reported cigarette smoking, and
 194 16.20% currently drink alcohol. Of the total sample, 35.3% of women were from poor
 195 households. Over half (57.5%) of the women resided in rural areas.

196

197 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of the weighted samples of women
 198 aged 15-49 years old in Cambodia, 2022 (N = 19,251)

199

Variables	Freq.	Percent
Mean age in years (\pmSD)	30.8 (\pm 9.5)	
15-19	2,910	15.12
20-29	5,506	28.60
30-39	6,581	34.19
40-49	4,253	22.09
Marital status		
Never married	4,683	24.33
Currently married	13,361	69.40
Formerly married	1,206	6.26
Occupation		
Non-professional/Informal	8,164	42.41
Professional/Formal	5,852	30.40
Not working	5,234	27.19
Education		
No education	2,226	11.56
Primary	7,458	38.74
Secondary	8,178	42.48
Higher	1,389	7.22
Number of children born		
No birth	5,693	29.57
1-2 children	8,385	43.56
\geq 3 children	5,173	26.87
Contraceptive use		
Not use	10,909	56.67
Hormonal	4,660	24.21
Non-hormonal	3,681	19.12
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)		
None	10,319	53.60
One	6,103	31.70
\geq Two	2,829	14.70
BMI (n=9,708)		
Underweight	1,001	10.31
Normal	5,918	60.96
Overweight/Obese	2,789	28.73
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days		
Never drink	12,548	65.18
Ever drink	3,583	18.61
Current drink	3,119	16.20

Smokes cigarettes		
Non-smoker	18,967	98.52
Smoker	284	1.48
Health insurance coverage		
No	15,063	78.25
Yes	4,188	21.75
Wealth index		
Poor	6,787	35.26
Middle	3,788	19.68
Rich	8,676	45.07
Place of residence		
Urban	8,190	42.54
Rural	11,060	57.45
Geographical region*		
Plain	9,723	50.51
Tonle Sap	5,744	29.84
Plateau/Mountain	2,590	13.45
Coastal	1,194	6.20

Notes: Survey weights were applied to obtain weighted percentages. ***Plains:** Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal, Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo; **Tonle Sap:** Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap, Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey; **Coastal/sea:** Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong; **Mountains:** Kampong Speu, Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.

Prevalence of breast and cervical screening among women and provincial distribution

Overall, 10.6% (95% CI: 9.7%-11.6%) of women had breast cancer screening by health providers, whereas cervical cancer screening was 15.3% (95% CI: 14.2%-16.6%). **Fig 1** indicates the province specific prevalence of breast cancer screening (**Map A**) and cervical cancer screening (**Map B**). The prevalence of breast cancer screening was the highest among women in Siem Reap (17.6%), followed by Phnom Penh (17.2%), Battambang (17.1%), Kampong Thom (15.3%), and the lowest in Kep (2.6%). The prevalence of cervical cancer screening was the highest among women in Phnom Penh (28.5%), followed by Kampong Thom (21.7%), Battambang (20.1%), and the lowest in Kampong Speu (3.1%).

Fig 1. Prevalence of breast cancer screening (**Map A**) and cervical cancer screening (**Map B**) among women by province. Shapefiles for administrative boundaries in Cambodia are publicly accessible through the DHS website at ([UR:https://spatialdata.dhsprogram.com/boundaries/#view=table&countryId=KH](https://spatialdata.dhsprogram.com/boundaries/#view=table&countryId=KH)).

Factors associated with breast cancer screening in bivariate analysis

226
227 **Table 2** shows the association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors
228 and breast cancer screening. Women had a higher proportion of breast cancer screening among
229 those aged 40-49 years (14.4%) than others (p-value <0.001). The women with professional jobs
230 (15.2%) had higher education (22.4%) than other job categories (p-value <0.001). Also, women
231 reported at least three children had a significantly higher experience with breast cancer screening
232 (14.0%) than those with fewer children (p-value < 0.001); likewise, breast cancer screening was
233 higher among women using non-hormonal contraceptives (18.7%) than those with hormonal use
234 (p-value <0.001). Furthermore, following factors were found significantly associated with breast
235 cancer screening: exposure to at least two types of media (16.0%) overweight and obese (14.2%)
236 (p-value <0.001), report of never drinking alcohol (11.3%) (p-value = 0.003), non-smoker
237 (11.3%) (p-value = 0.049), rich wealth quintile households (14.7%) (p-value <0.001), higher in
238 urban areas than rural ones (13.1% vs 8.7%, p-value <0.001).

239

240 **Factors associated with cervical cancer screening in bivariate analysis**

241

242 The study found a strong association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral
243 factors and cervical cancer screening, as indicated in **Table 2**. Among women aged 40-49 years,
244 there was a significantly greater proportion of breast cancer screening (23.2%) compared to other
245 groups (p-value <0.001). This pattern was observed among formerly married women (20.2%) (p-
246 value <0.001), those with professional occupations (23.7%), and those with higher levels of
247 education (23.5%) (p-value <0.001). Also, women reported at least three children had a
248 significantly higher experience with breast cancer screening (21.0%) than women with children
249 less than 3 (p-value < 0.001). Similarly, the prevalence of breast cancer screening was higher
250 among women who used non-hormonal contraceptives (29.3%) than those who used hormonal
251 contraceptives (p-value < 0.001). Moreover, other factors that exhibited a significant association
252 with cervical cancer screening included having exposure to at least two forms of media (22.3%),
253 being overweight or obese (21.6%) (p-value <0.001), reporting current alcohol consumption
254 (19.2%) (p-value 0.001), being a non-smoker (15.5%) (p-value 0.001), rich wealth quintile
255 (22.3%) (p-value <0.001), and residing in urban areas as opposed to rural areas (20.1% vs 11.8%,
256 p-value <0.001).

257

258 **Table 2.** Factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-49 years

259 (N=19,251)

Variables	(%) Breast cancer screening (n=2,039)	X ²	P-value	(%) Cervical cancer screening (n=2,952)	X ²	P-value
Women's age in years						
15-19	1.4	492.6	<0.001	1.0	989.4	<0.001
20-29	7.7			9.6		
30-39	14.7			21.4		
40-49	14.4			23.2		
Marital status						
Never-married	2.3	451.1	<0.001	1.8	876.1	<0.001
Currently married	13.2			19.7		
Formerly married	14.0			20.2		
Occupation						
Professional/Formal	15.2	192.4	<0.001	23.7	465.3	<0.001
Non-professional/Informal	8.8			12.4		
Not working	8.1			10.5		
Education						
No education	8.8	45.3	<0.001	12.9	90.9	<0.001
Primary	10.8			15.8		
Secondary	10.0			14.2		
Higher	15.5			23.5		
Number of children born						
No birth	3.4	437.0	<0.001	4.0	811.9	<0.001
1-2 children	13.4			19.5		
≥ 3 children	14.0			21.0		
Contraceptive use						
Not use	7.9	305.7	<0.001	11.0	715.8	<0.001
Hormonal	11.1			14.6		
Non-hormonal	18.1			29.3		
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)						
None	8.9	106.8	<0.001	13.0	152.0	<0.001
One	11.3			16.0		
Two or more	15.5			22.3		
BMI (n=9,708)						
Underweight	5.3	62.7	<0.001	6.3	148.5	<0.001
Normal	10.5			14.3		
Overweight/Obese	14.2			21.6		
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days						
Never drink	11.3	30.6	0.003	14.3	46.0	<0.001
Ever drink	8.0			15.7		
Current drink	10.8			19.2		
Smokes cigarettes						
Non-smoker	10.6	4.4	0.049	15.5	17.8	<0.001
Smoker	6.8			6.4		
Health insurance coverage						
No	10.5	1.1	0.472	15.0	6.6	0.112

Yes	11.0			16.6		
Wealth index						
Poor	7.4	203.4	<0.001	8.7	602.7	<0.001
Middle	8.3			11.2		
Rich	14.1			22.3		
Place of residence						
Urban	13.1	94.5	<0.001	20.1	253.5	<0.001
Rural	8.7			11.8		
Geographical of region*						
Tonle Sap	13.6	158.0	<0.001	16.6	282.7	<0.001
Plain	10.7			17.8		
Coastal	8.7			12.0		
Plateau/Mountain	4.6			4.8		

260 **Notes:** Survey weights are applied to obtain weighted percentages. ***Plains:** Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal,
 261 Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo; **Tonle Sap:** Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap,
 262 Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey; **Coastal/sea:** Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong; **Mountains:** Kampong Speu,
 263 Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.

264

265

266 **Factors associated with breast cancer screening in multiple logistic regression**

267

268 As shown in **Table 3**, several factors were independently associated with increased odds of
 269 having breast cancer screening among women. These factors included age group 20-29 years
 270 [AOR=2.51; 95% CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7.09], and 40-49 years
 271 [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-7.71], currently married [AOR=2.67; 95% CI: 1.79-3.97], formerly
 272 married [AOR=3.16; 95% CI: 1.93-5.17], completed secondary education [AOR=1.44; 95% CI:
 273 1.12-1.85], higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], using non-hormonal contraceptive
 274 [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.08-1.65], exposure media at least two types [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-
 275 2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. On the contrary, women
 276 reporting ever alcohol consumption [AOR=0.55; 95% CI: 0.45-0.66], and those reporting current
 277 alcohol drinking were associated with lower odds of having breast cancer screening [AOR=0.75;
 278 95% CI: 0.63-0.89].

279

280 **Factors associated with cervical cancer screening in multiple logistic regression**

281

282 Similarly, following factors were independently associated with increased odds of having
 283 cervical cancer screening among women: age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-
 284 4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94; 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-
 285 12.73], currently married [AOR=5.38; 95% CI: 3.52-8.21], formerly married [AOR=5.69; 95%

286 CI: 3.70-8.74], working in the professional and/or formal jobs [AOR=1.38; 1.14-1.66],
 287 completed secondary education [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.09-1.65], had higher education
 288 [AOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.55-2.73], using non-hormonal contraceptive [AOR=1.46; 95% CI: 1.29-
 289 1.66], exposure media at least two types [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35-1.95], and rich wealth
 290 quintile [AOR=2.14; 95% CI: 1.78-2.58]. On the contrary, women reported smoking cigarettes
 291 was associated with lower odds of having cervical cancer screening [AOR=0.43; 95% CI: 0.2-
 292 0.72] (**Table 3**).

293
 294 **Table 3.** Factors independently associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among
 295 women aged 15-49 years: Multivariate logistic regression
 296

Variables	Breast cancer screening (N=19,251)		Cervical cancer screening (N=19,251)	
	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI
Women's age in years				
15-19	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
20-29	2.51 ^{***}	[1.55-4.06]	2.88 ^{***}	[1.76-4.71]
30-39	4.34 ^{***}	[2.66-7.09]	5.94 ^{***}	[3.58-9.83]
40-49	4.66 ^{***}	[2.81,7.71]	7.61 ^{***}	[4.55-12.73]
Marital status				
Never-married	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Currently married	2.67 ^{***}	[1.79-3.97]	5.38 ^{***}	[3.52-8.21]
Formerly married	3.16 ^{***}	[1.93-5.17]	5.69 ^{***}	[3.70-8.74]
Occupation				
Not working	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Professional/Formal	1.20	[0.99-1.47]	1.38 ^{***}	[1.14-1.66]
Non-professional/Informal	0.89	[0.73-1.09]	0.94	[0.79-1.12]
Education				
No education	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Primary	1.19	[0.94-1.52]	1.14	[0.94-1.38]
Secondary	1.44 ^{**}	[1.12-1.85]	1.34 ^{**}	[1.09-1.65]
Higher	1.92 ^{**}	[1.26,2.93]	2.06 ^{***}	[1.55-2.73]
Number of children born				
No birth	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-2 children	1.36	[0.92-2.02]	1.31	[0.99-1.75]
≥ 3 children	1.38	[0.94-2.03]	1.31	[0.94-1.82]
Contraceptive use				
Not use	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Hormonal	0.97	[0.80-1.19]	0.83 [*]	[0.70-0.98]
Non-hormonal	1.34 ^{**}	[1.08-1.65]	1.46 ^{***}	[1.23-1.73]
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)				
None	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
One	1.20 [*]	[1.04-1.39]	1.09	[0.96-1.24]
≥ Two	1.66 ^{***}	[1.32-2.10]	1.62 ^{***}	[1.35-1.95]
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days				
Never drink	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Ever drink	0.55 ^{***}	[0.45-0.66]	0.90	[0.75-1.08]
Current drink	0.75 ^{**}	[0.63-0.89]	1.12	[0.95-1.31]
Smokes cigarettes				

Non-smoker	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Smoker	0.72	[0.43-1.19]	0.43**	[0.26-0.72]
Health insurance coverage				
No	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	0.96	[0.82-1.13]	1.00	[0.86-1.17]
Household wealth index				
Poor	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Middle	1.00	[0.82-1.23]	1.14	[0.95-1.36]
Rich	1.50***	[1.25-1.80]	2.14***	[1.78-2.58]
Place of residence				
Rural	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Urban	0.87	[0.73-1.03]	0.85*	[0.73-1.00]

297

298

299

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

300 **Discussion**

301
302 The study highlights the relatively low proportion of breast cancer screening (10.6%), and
303 cervical cancer screening (15.3%) respectively, compared to some Asian countries such as
304 Thailand (46%-59%) and China (17%-26%) but comparable to Indonesia and India [11]. The
305 study was consistent with a previous study that indicated that most women had performed more
306 cervical cancer screening than breast cancer screening especially in low and middle-income
307 countries [34]. The main reason may be due to the awareness and concern about cervical cancer
308 among women rather than the breast cancer despite the prevalence of breast cancer being
309 relatively higher than cervical cancer [35]. Also, it may be explained by the available national
310 cancer screening guidelines focusing on cervical cancer screening [21,36]. Additionally,
311 awareness about breast self-examination (BSE) in Cambodia was limited. Approximately 60% of
312 Cambodian women were unaware of BSE [37]. Moreover, cancer screening uptake remained low
313 compared to screening rates of above 50% in developed countries [35]. Therefore, strengthening
314 cancer screening in Cambodia requires ongoing efforts.

315
316 Overall, socio-economic factors were associated with breast and cervical cancer screening
317 [38,39]. For example, in our study, the odds of breast cancer screening increased with ages and
318 the highest in age 40-49 years. This corroborates previous studies showing that women aged 40
319 years and above are at a greater risk of developing breast cancer [40,41]. Consequently, women
320 aged 35-39 should undergo routine mammography to enhance the early detection of breast
321 cancer at least at the interval of 1-3 years and annually for women aged 40 years and older [41].
322 Similarly, the odds of being screened for cervical cancer increased with the women's age too,
323 especially among those aged 40-49 years, which is commonly consistent with other studies after
324 years of women's being sexually active [34,42,43]. The American Cancer Society (ACS)
325 recommends that women aged 25 should undergo cervical cancer screening and be tested for
326 HPV every five years through age 65 years [44]. Women with higher education levels were more
327 likely to increase the odds of breast cancer and cervical screening than those without formal
328 education, being consistent with previous studies [38,39]. Moreover, contextual factors such as
329 less educated women living in remote areas may contribute to the less screening [45,46].
330 Similarly, women from rich families and professional or formal employment were more likely to
331 have received breast or cervical cancer screening. These findings are consistent with the results

332 of other international studies [39,47]. A possible reason could be that women with strong
333 financial backing are more likely to afford preventive care services, including breast cancer and
334 cervical cancer screening, which may not be the case for women of the lowest economic status
335 [48]. Another finding worth commenting on is the higher odds of breast cancer and cervical
336 cancer screening among women exposed to the media (e.g., Newspaper, radio, TV) at least once
337 a week compared to those not exposed at all. Therefore, intensive publicity and educational
338 programs on various mass media platforms on the health benefits of periodic breast examinations
339 for early detection and treatment had the potential to improve breast cancer screening behavior
340 among women by building on their awareness levels.

341

342 **Limitation**

343

344 This study has a few limitations. First, the data were cross-sectional, preventing any causal
345 inference between the explanatory and outcome measures. Secondly, since we used existing data,
346 some variables must be added to the dataset—for instance, knowledge and attitude regarding
347 cancer preventive services and frequency of screening. Thirdly, the examination of breast and
348 cervical cancer data was collected as self-reported by the women, which may make our analysis
349 prone to information bias as underestimated. Despite these limitations, this study is the first to
350 assess the socio-economic and behavioral factors associated with breast and cervical cancer
351 screening in a nationally-representative sample of women of reproductive age 15-49 years in
352 Cambodia. Furthermore, the study provides important insights regarding the prevalence and
353 socio-demographic factors of breast cancer and cervical screening services with the nationally
354 representative sample. The findings from this study could be valuable for researchers, clinicians
355 and health policymakers to engage more in developing effective strategies for promoting breast
356 and cervical cancer screening services, awareness among women from different socio-
357 demographic groups since it has played a pivotal role in improving early detection and screening
358 practices. Health policymakers should prioritize health promotion interventions target women
359 living in rural areas, women without formal education, especially in the poor wealth quintile.

360

361 **Conclusion**

362

363 This study shows the relative lower screening proportion for both breast and cervical cancers
364 among Cambodian women reproductive age; and it also highlights that socio-demographic
365 factors are significantly important in determining the of breast and cervical screening services
366 among women aged 15-49 years. The findings emphasize the need for targeted awareness
367 campaigns, continued efforts in health promotion and education, and comprehensive
368 interventions to address these socio-demographic disparities breast and cervical cancer
369 screenings practices. Further studies are therefore necessary to generate a better picture of the
370 situation, including the quality of the health service and factors associated with the accessibility
371 of the available services.

372

373 **Acknowledgments**

374 The authors would like to thank DHS-ICF, who approved the data use for this paper.

375

376 **Abbreviations**

377 ACS; American Cancer Society, AOR; Adjusted odds ratio, BMI; Body mass index, CDHS; Cambodia
378 Demographic Health Survey, EA; Enumeration areas, HPV; Human papillomavirus, NCDs;
379 Noncommunicable diseases, PPS; Probability proportional to size, VIA; Visual inspection with acetic acid,
380 WRA; Women at reproductive age, WHO; World Health Organization.

381

382 **References**

383

384 1. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, et al. Global cancer observatory: cancer
385 today. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2020. 2021.

386 2. Ginsburg O, Bray F, Coleman MP, Vanderpuye V, Eniu A, Kotha SR, et al. The global burden of
387 women's cancers: a grand challenge in global health. *Lancet* (London, England). 2017;389(10071):847-60.
388 Epub 2016/11/07. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31392-7. PubMed PMID: 27814965; PubMed Central
389 PMCID: PMC6191029.

390 3. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute
391 and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global
392 Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* (London, England). 2015;386(9995):743-800. Epub 2015/06/13.
393 doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60692-4. PubMed PMID: 26063472; PubMed Central PMCID:
394 PMCPmc4561509.

395 4. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018:
396 GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer*
397 *J Clin*. 2018;68(6):394-424. Epub 2018/09/13. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492. PubMed PMID: 30207593.


- 398 5. Lim YX, Lim ZL, Ho PJ, Li J. Breast Cancer in Asia: Incidence, Mortality, Early Detection,
399 Mammography Programs, and Risk-Based Screening Initiatives. *Cancers*. 2022;14(17). Epub 2022/09/10.
400 doi: 10.3390/cancers14174218. PubMed PMID: 36077752; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9454998.
- 401 6. World Health Organization. Cancer - Screening and early detection 2010 [cited 2023 July]. Available
402 from: [https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/cancer-screening-and-early-detection-of-](https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/cancer-screening-and-early-detection-of-cancer)
403 [cancer](https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/cancer-screening-and-early-detection-of-cancer).
- 404 7. Machii R, Takahashi H. Japanese cancer screening programs during the COVID-19 pandemic:
405 Changes in participation between 2017-2020. *Cancer epidemiology*. 2023;82:102313. Epub 2022/12/13.
406 doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2022.102313. PubMed PMID: 36508964; PubMed Central PMCID:
407 PMCPmc9726684.
- 408 8. Ma ZQ, Richardson LC. Cancer Screening Prevalence and Associated Factors Among US Adults.
409 *Preventing chronic disease*. 2022;19:E22. Epub 2022/04/22. doi: 10.5888/pcd19.220063. PubMed PMID:
410 35446757; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9044902.
- 411 9. Autier P, Sullivan R. Population Screening for Cancer in High-Income Settings: Lessons for Low-
412 and Middle-Income Economies. *J Glob Oncol*. 2019;5:1-5. Epub 2019/02/05. doi: 10.1200/jgo.18.00235.
413 PubMed PMID: 30715958; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6426516.
- 414 10. Ouahnnon L, Rougé Bugat ME, Lamy S, Druel V, Delpierre C, Grosclaude P. Social and territorial
415 inequalities in breast and cervical cancers screening uptake: a cross-sectional study in France. *BMJ Open*.
416 2022;12(2):e055363. Epub 2022/02/24. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055363. PubMed PMID: 35193917;
417 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc8867371.
- 418 11. Aoki ES, Yin R, Li K, Bhatla N, Singhal S, Ocviyanti D, et al. National screening programs for
419 cervical cancer in Asian countries. *Journal of gynecologic oncology*. 2020;31(3):e55. Epub 2020/04/09.
420 doi: 10.3802/jgo.2020.31.e55. PubMed PMID: 32266804; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc7189071.
- 421 12. Schliemann D, Hoe WMK, Mohan D, Allotey P, Reidpath DD, Tan MM, et al. Challenges and
422 opportunities for breast cancer early detection among rural dwelling women in Segamat District, Malaysia:
423 A qualitative study. *PloS one*. 2022;17(5):e0267308. Epub 2022/05/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267308.
424 PubMed PMID: 35594267; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9122189.
- 425 13. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA: a cancer*
426 *journal for clinicians*. 2011;61(2):69-90.
- 427 14. Dey S. Preventing breast cancer in LMICs via screening and/or early detection: The real and the
428 surreal. *World journal of clinical oncology*. 2014;5(3):509.
- 429 15. Toan DTT, Son DT, Hung LX, Minh LN, Mai DL, Hoat LN. Knowledge, attitude, and practice
430 regarding breast cancer early detection among women in a mountainous area in Northern Vietnam. *Cancer*
431 *Control*. 2019;26(1):1073274819863777.
- 432 16. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Operational Framework: Management of Common Cancers
433 2016. Available from: [http://cancerindia.org.in/wp-](http://cancerindia.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf)
434 [content/uploads/2017/11/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf](http://cancerindia.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf).
- 435 17. Huang Y, Tong Z, Chen K, Wang Y, Liu P, Gu L, et al. Interpretation of breast cancer screening
436 guideline for Chinese women. *Cancer biology & medicine*. 2019;16(4):825.
- 437 18. Majeed AI, Hafeez A, Khan SA. Strengthening Breast Cancer Screening Mammography Services in
438 Pakistan Using Islamabad Capital Territory as a Pilot Public Health Intervention. *Healthcare (Basel,*
439 *Switzerland)*. 2022;10(6). Epub 2022/06/25. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10061106. PubMed PMID: 35742157;
440 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9223128.
- 441 19. Srinath A, van Merode F, Rao SV, Pavlova M. Barriers to cervical cancer and breast cancer
442 screening uptake in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Health policy and planning*.
443 2023;38(4):509-27. Epub 2022/12/17. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czac104. PubMed PMID: 36525529; PubMed
444 Central PMCID: PMCPmc10089064.
- 445 20. National Institute of Statistics PP, Cambodia, Ministry of Health Phnom Penh, Cambodia, The DHS
446 Program, ICF, Rockville, Maryland, USA. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2021–22. Phnom
447 Penh, Cambodia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIS, MoH, and ICF. 2022.

- 448 21. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of
449 Noncommunicable Disease 2007-2010 [cited June 2023]. Available from:
450 https://www.ghdonline.org/uploads/Cambodia_NCD_Strategy_2007-10.pdf.
- 451 22. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Action Plan for Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control
452 2019-2023. 2019. Available from: [https://www.iccp-](https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B5_MIIB5%20NAP-CCPT%202019%20EN.pdf)
453 [portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B5_MIIB5%20NAP-CCPT%202019%20EN.pdf](https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B5_MIIB5%20NAP-CCPT%202019%20EN.pdf).
- 454 23. Ueda Y, Kawana K, Yanaihara N, Banno K, Chhit M, Uy K, et al. Development and evaluation of a
455 cervical cancer screening system in Cambodia: A collaborative project of the Cambodian Society of
456 Gynecology and Obstetrics and Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. *The journal of obstetrics and*
457 *gynaecology research*. 2019;45(7):1260-7. Epub 2019/04/13. doi: 10.1111/jog.13968. PubMed PMID:
458 30977232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6618121.
- 459 24. Touch S, Oh JK. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward cervical cancer prevention among
460 women in Kampong Speu Province, Cambodia. *BMC cancer*. 2018;18(1):294. Epub 2018/03/17. doi:
461 10.1186/s12885-018-4198-8. PubMed PMID: 29544466; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6618121.
- 462 25. Garon J, Wuddhika IV, Sreenivasan N, Wannemuehler K, Vutthikol Y, Chhorvann C, et al.
463 Community-based household assessment of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination coverage and
464 acceptability - HPV vaccine demonstration program, Cambodia - 2017. *Vaccine*. 2019;37(9):1202-8.
465 Epub 2019/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.12.052. PubMed PMID: 30686637; PubMed Central
466 PMCID: PMC6372342.
- 467 26. Phaswana-Mafuya N, Peltzer K. Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening Prevalence and Associated
468 Factors among Women in the South African General Population. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*.
469 2018;19(6):1465-70. Epub 2018/06/25. doi: 10.22034/apjcp.2018.19.6.1465. PubMed PMID: 29936716;
470 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6103566.
- 471 27. Narayan A, Fischer A, Zhang Z, Woods R, Morris E, Harvey S. Nationwide cross-sectional
472 adherence to mammography screening guidelines: national behavioral risk factor surveillance system
473 survey results. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2017;164(3):719-25. Epub 2017/05/15. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-
474 4286-5. PubMed PMID: 28508184.
- 475 28. Serral G, Borrell C, Puigpinós IRR. [Socioeconomic inequalities in mammography screening in
476 Spanish women aged 45 to 69]. *Gac Sanit*. 2018;32(1):61-7. Epub 2017/03/06. doi:
477 10.1016/j.gaceta.2016.12.010. PubMed PMID: 28274621.
- 478 29. Lamichhane B, Adhikari B, Poudel L, Pandey AR, Kakchhapati S, K CS, et al. Factors associated
479 with uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening among Nepalese women: Evidence from Nepal
480 Demographic and Health Survey 2022. *PLOS global public health*. 2024;4(3):e0002971. Epub 2024/03/11.
481 doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0002971. PubMed PMID: 38466682; PubMed Central PMCID:
482 PMC610927089.
- 483 30. Theme Filha MM, Leal MD, Oliveira EF, Esteves-Pereira AP, Gama SG. Regional and social
484 inequalities in the performance of Pap test and screening mammography and their correlation with
485 lifestyle: Brazilian national health survey, 2013. *Int J Equity Health*. 2016;15(1):136. Epub 2016/11/17. doi:
486 10.1186/s12939-016-0430-9. PubMed PMID: 27852313; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5112710.
- 487 31. Asare M, Flannery M, Kamen C. Social Determinants of Health: A Framework for Studying Cancer
488 Health Disparities and Minority Participation in Research. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2017;44(1):20-3. doi:
489 10.1188/17.Onf.20-23. PubMed PMID: 28060469; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5583708.
- 490 32. Um S, Yom A, J AM, Sopheab H. Overweight and obesity among women at reproductive age in
491 Cambodia: Data analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014. *PLOS global public health*.
492 2023;3(3):e0001768. Epub 2023/04/01. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0001768. PubMed PMID: 37000710;
493 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC665241.
- 494 33. Midi H, Sarkar SK, Rana S. Collinearity diagnostics of binary logistic regression model. *Journal of*
495 *interdisciplinary mathematics*. 2010;13(3):253-67.
- 496 34. Keetile M, Ndlovu K, Letamo G, Disang M, Yaya S, Navaneetham K. Factors associated with and
497 socioeconomic inequalities in breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-64 years in

- 498 Botswana. PloS one. 2021;16(8):e0255581. Epub 2021/08/05. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255581.
499 PubMed PMID: 34347841; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCmc8336819.
- 500 35. Globocan 2020. Primary and secondary prevention of cancer: response by country [July 2023].
501 Available from: <https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.24766>.
- 502 36. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategic Plan for the Prevention and Control of
503 Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020 [cited June 2023]. Available from: https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B3_NSP-NCD%202013-2020_Final%20approved.pdf.
- 504 37. Vorn R, Ryu E, Srun S, Chang S, Suh I, Kim W. Breast and cervical cancer screening for risk
505 assessment in Cambodian women. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of
506 Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2020;40(3):395-400. Epub 2020/02/25. doi:
507 10.1080/01443615.2019.1633515. PubMed PMID: 32091288.
- 508 38. Damiani G, Basso D, Acampora A, Bianchi CB, Silvestrini G, Frisicale EM, et al. The impact of
509 level of education on adherence to breast and cervical cancer screening: Evidence from a systematic
510 review and meta-analysis. Prev Med. 2015;81:281-9. Epub 2015/09/27. doi:
511 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.09.011. PubMed PMID: 26408405.
- 512 39. Akinyemiju T, Ogunsina K, Sakhujia S, Ogbhodo V, Braithwaite D. Life-course socioeconomic status
513 and breast and cervical cancer screening: analysis of the WHO's Study on Global Ageing and Adult
514 Health (SAGE). BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012753. Epub 2016/11/25. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012753.
515 PubMed PMID: 27881528; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCmc5129035.
- 516 40. Melnikow J, Henderson JT, Burda BU, Senger CA, Durbin S, Weyrich MS. Screening for Cervical
517 Cancer With High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Testing: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic
518 Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Jama. 2018;320(7):687-705. Epub 2018/08/25. doi:
519 10.1001/jama.2018.10400. PubMed PMID: 30140883.
- 520 41. Kmietowicz Z. Women aged over 40 who are at increased risk of breast cancer should get annual
521 mammograms. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2004;328(7455):1515. Epub 2004/06/26. doi:
522 10.1136/bmj.328.7455.1515. PubMed PMID: 15217851; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCmc437135.
- 523 42. Smith JS, Melendy A, Rana RK, Pimenta JM. Age-specific prevalence of infection with human
524 papillomavirus in females: a global review. The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the
525 Society for Adolescent Medicine. 2008;43(4 Suppl):S5-25, S.e1-41. Epub 2008/10/01. doi:
526 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.07.009. PubMed PMID: 18809145.
- 527 43. Lin S, Gao K, Gu S, You L, Qian S, Tang M, et al. Worldwide trends in cervical cancer incidence
528 and mortality, with predictions for the next 15 years. Cancer. 2021;127(21):4030-9. Epub 2021/08/10. doi:
529 10.1002/cncr.33795. PubMed PMID: 34368955.
- 530 44. Fontham ETH, Wolf AMD, Church TR, Etzioni R, Flowers CR, Herzig A, et al. Cervical cancer
531 screening for individuals at average risk: 2020 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. CA
532 Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(5):321-46. Epub 2020/07/31. doi: 10.3322/caac.21628. PubMed PMID: 32729638.
- 533 45. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). General population census of the Kingdom of Cambodia 2019
534 (2020) [cited November 2021]. Available from:
535 <https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/Census2019/Final%20General%20Population%20Census%202019-English.pdf>.
- 536 46. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). Report of Cambodia Socio-Economic Survey 2019-2020 2020
537 [cited 2023 July]. Available from:
538 https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/CSSES/Final%20Report%20of%20Cambodia%20Socio-Economic%20Survey%202019-20_EN.pdf.
- 539 47. Damiani G, Federico B, Basso D, Ronconi A, Bianchi CB, Anzellotti GM, et al. Socioeconomic
540 disparities in the uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening in Italy: a cross sectional study. BMC
541 Public Health. 2012;12:99. Epub 2012/02/07. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-99. PubMed PMID: 22305108;
542 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPCmc3314546.
- 543 48. screening Wppom. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-position-paper-on-mammography-screening>.
- 544
545
546
547

Map A

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477>; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Map B

