- 1 Comprehensive profiling of social mixing patterns in resource poor countries: a mixed methods research
- 2 protocol
- 3
- 4 Obianuju Genevieve Aguolu¹³, Moses Chapa Kiti³, Kristin Nelson³, Carol Y. Liu³, Maria Sundaram¹¹,
- 5 Sergio Gramacho³, Samuel Jenness³, Alessia Melegaro⁴, Charfudin Sacoor⁵, Azucena Bardaji^{5,6,12}, Ivalda
- 6 Macicame⁷, Americo Jose⁷, Nilzio Cavele⁷, Felizarda Amosse⁵, Migdalia Uamba⁷, Edgar Jamisse⁵,
- 7 Corssino Tchavana⁵, Herberth Giovanni Maldonado Briones⁸, Claudia Jarquín⁸, María Ajsivinac⁸,
- 8 Lauren Pischel², Noureen Ahmed¹, Venkata Raghava Mohan⁹, Rajan Srinivasan⁹, Prasanna Samuel⁹,
- 9 Gifta John⁹, Kye Ellington³, Orvalho Augusto Joaquim⁵, Alana Zelaya³, Sara Kim³, Holin Chen³, Momin
- 10 Kazi¹⁰, Fauzia Malik¹, Inci Yildirim², Benjamin Lopman^{3*}, Saad B. Omer^{1*}
- 11
- 12 Affiliations
- ¹Peter O'Donnell Jr. School of Public Health at UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas
- ² Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, Connecticut, USA
- 15 ³Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Georgia, USA
- ⁴ DONDENA Centre for Research in Social Dynamics and Public Policy, Bocconi University, Italy
- ⁵ Manhiça Health Research Centre, Manhica, Mozambique
- 18 ⁶ ISGlobal, Hospital Clinic Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁹ ⁷ Polana Caniço Health Research and Training Centre, CISPOC, Mozambique
- 20 ⁸Centro de Estudios en Salud (CES), Universidad del Valle de Guatemala
- 21 ⁹ Christian Medical College Vellore, India
- ¹⁰ The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistán
- ²³ ¹¹Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Population Health, Marshfield Clinic Research Institute,
- 24 Marshfield, Wisconsin, USA
- ¹² Consorcio de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid,
 Spain
- ¹³ Division of Epidemiology, College of Public Heath, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio
- 28
- 29 *Contributed equally as co-Principal Investigators
- 30
- 31 Corresponding author
- 32 Obianuju Genevieve Aguolu, MBBS, MPH, PhD
- 33 Clinical Assistant Professor
- 34 Division of Epidemiology
- 35 College of Public Heath
- 36 The Ohio State University
- 37 346 Cunz Hall, 1841 Neil Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210
- 38 aguolu.1@osu.edu
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49

50 Funding

- 51 This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) [grant number: 1 R01 HD097175-01].
- 52 The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of NIH or the
- 53 US government. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish,
- 54 or preparation of the manuscript.
- 55

56 **Competing interests**

- 57 No competing interests were disclosed.
- 58

59 Data Availability

- 60 All data and code generated during the current study will be made available via our GitHub repository.
- 61 The data will also be made available to download on Zenodo.
- 62

63

64

65

66

68 ABSTRACT

- 69 Background: Low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) bear a disproportionate burden of
- 70 communicable diseases. Social interaction data inform infectious disease models and disease prevention
- strategies. The variations in demographics and contact patterns across ages, cultures, and locations
- 72 significantly impact infectious disease dynamics and pathogen transmission. LMICs lack sufficient social
- 73 interaction data for infectious disease modeling.

74 **Methods**: To address this gap, we will collect qualitative and quantitative data from eight study sites

- 75 (encompassing both rural and urban settings) across Guatemala, India, Pakistan, and Mozambique. We
- 76 will conduct focus group discussions and cognitive interviews to assess the feasibility and acceptability of
- our data collection tools at each site. Thematic and rapid analyses will help to identify key themes and
- categories through coding, guiding the design of quantitative data collection tools (enrollment survey,
- contact diaries, exit survey, and wearable proximity sensors) and the implementation of study procedures.
- 80 We will create three age-specific contact matrices (physical, nonphysical, and both) at each study site
- 81 using data from standardized contact diaries to characterize the patterns of social mixing. Regression
- analysis will be conducted to identify key drivers of contacts. We will comprehensively profile the
- 83 frequency, duration, and intensity of infants' interactions with household members using high resolution
- 84 data from the proximity sensors and calculating infants' proximity score (fraction of time spent by each
- household member in proximity with the infant, over the total infant contact time) for each household
- 86 member.
- 87 **Discussion:** Our qualitative data yielded insights into the perceptions and acceptability of contact diaries
- and wearable proximity sensors for collecting social mixing data in LMICs. The quantitative data will
- 89 allow a more accurate representation of human interactions that lead to the transmission of pathogens
- 90 through close contact in LMICs. Our findings will provide more appropriate social mixing data for
- parameterizing mathematical models of LMIC populations. Our study tools could be adapted for other
 studies.
- Keywords: social mixing, low-and-middle-income countries, mixed methods study, mathematical
 modeling
- 95
- 96
- 97
- ,
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 101
- 101
- 102
- 103
- 104

105 Introduction

106	Infectious diseases account for almost half of all child deaths worldwide.(1) Diarrhea, lower respiratory
107	infections, and malaria are the leading causes of death among the 5-14-year-old age group.(1) The
108	world's poorest billion bear a disproportionate burden of these diseases.(2) For example, 91% of all
109	deaths due to diarrheal diseases and 64% of all deaths due to respiratory illnesses occur in low-and-
110	middle-income countries (LMICs).(3) The recent increase in the incidence of emerging infectious diseases
111	and the recent COVID-19 pandemic have placed extreme stress on already fragile healthcare
112	systems.(4,5) Global structural inequalities further exacerbate COVID-19-related health disparities in the
113	LMICs, such as delayed access to vaccines and therapeutics.(6) During earlier phases of the pandemic,
114	nonpharmacological strategies such as quarantine, physical distancing, stay-at-home orders, and work-
115	from-home policies were the major tools used globally to mitigate the spread of the virus.(7)
116	Mathematical models of infectious diseases based on surveillance data can be used to address both
117	scientific hypotheses and infectious disease-control policy questions and are increasingly influential in
118	informing health policy and investment strategies globally.(8)
119 120	Direct data on social contacts collected for eight high-income European populations in the POLYMOD
121	study between May 2005 and September 2006 have informed many models with resulting policies.(9-20)
122	However, demographic structures and contact data differ between populations. The structure of human
123	contact networks varies by age, culture, and location with profound implications for pathogen dynamics
124	and transmission.(20) Social contact patterns may differ in different geographic areas due to a variety of
125	differences in social institutions, population density and family structure.(20-22) Despite these
126	differences, there is a lack of systematically collected data on social mixing in LMICs. Models
127	specifically parameterized to LMICs that incorporate localized social mixing patterns are essential to
128	inform public health policies.
129	While some studies have collected social mixing data in low-income settings,(11-20) they were
130	conducted in single populations using varying approaches. Therefore, it is difficult to infer whether

131 differences represent true variation between populations or are an artifact of study design. Furthermore, data have traditionally been collected using paper-based contact diaries, which are subject to recall errors 132 133 and bias. Recently, automated methods of data collection utilizing portable technology such as mobile 134 phones and low-powered Bluetooth wearable sensors have become popular.(23) Thus, social mixing 135 study data are limited for parameterizing models of infectious diseases in LMICs. Information on changes 136 in contact patterns during times when physical distancing measures were implemented (for example, as 137 part of a public health response to the COVID-19 pandemic) can further inform mathematical models, by 138 noting the maximum reduction in social contacts that is realistically achievable in restrictive 139 environments.(24)

140

141 We propose a multicountry mixed methods (qualitative and quantitative) study with the overall goal of 142 using standardized methods (contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors) to collect social contact data 143 from urban and rural populations in LMICs. The contact diary will be used to collect self-reported data on 144 participants and their contact(s), as well as individual characteristics of the person they had contact with, 145 such as age, sex, and relationship to the contact person. The wearable proximity sensor is powered by a 146 battery and uses a low-power active-radio frequency identification (RFID) technology to transmit and 147 receive signals when hung around the neck or worn in a shirt/blouse pocket. This device will be used to 148 collect contact data among family members of infants younger than 6 months old. The study will include 149 individuals from all age groups. Furthermore, special focus will be given to studying the social 150 interactions of infants younger than six months of age. Data will be rigorously collected from four 151 different LMICs to capture a diverse cross-section of geographic locations: Guatemala (Central America), 152 India and Pakistan (South Asia) and Mozambigue (Sub Saharan Africa). These sites were selected due to 153 the presence of a strong community-based infrastructure, existing disease and demographic surveillance 154 systems, and history of collaborating with the study team, and to ensure geographic diversity. The data 155 collected in this study will be made publicly available to be used in transmission dynamic models aiming

to accurately represent human interactions that lead to transmission of respiratory and gastrointestinalinfections.

158 Materials and Methods

159 This is a multicountry cross-sectional survey. Our main study objective is to characterize social contact

160 patterns in urban and rural settings in low-and-middle-income countries. Specifically, we aim to i)

161 Explore the perceptions and acceptability of paper contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors to

162 collect data on social contact and mixing patterns between individuals; ii) Characterize the patterns of

163 social contact and mixing in urban and rural settings using standardized social contact diaries; and iii)

164 Comprehensively profile the social contacts of infants with their household members using wearable

165 proximity sensors. We will use qualitative (formative phase) and quantitative methods for our data

166 collection and analysis. Data will be collected in four countries: Mozambique, Guatemala, India, and

167 Pakistan (table 1, figure 1), one rural and one urban site, for a total of 8 study sites in each country.

168

Country	Mozambic	lue	Guatemala		India		Pakistan	
Study site	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban
	Manhiça District, Maputo Province	Bairro Polana Caniço, Maputo City	Concepción Chiquirichapa: Telená, Tuilcanabaj (Centro/Bodega) San Juan Ostuncalco: Monrovia and La Esperanza in the Quezaltenango Department	San Juan Ostuncalco: Centro San Juan. Concepción Chiquirichapa: Centro Concepción	Kilarasampet, Kathalampet in Kaniyambadi Block, Vellore district, Tamil Nadu.	Ward 59, Vellore corporation	Matiari	Ali Akber Shah Goth in Karachi East
Source of participant data	Existing demograph surveilland (DSS) in N and Polana	hic ce system Manhiça a Caniço	Randomized samp selected household identified by aerial	ling from s in 57 clusters imaging	Existing DSS		Existing <5-year- 15–49-year- women i Karachi;	DSS for old and ear-old n

169 **Table** 1. Study site characteristics

170

171 Figure 1. Global map showing the location of Guatemala, India, Mozambique, and Pakistan.

172 Each country has one rural and one urban site. There are separate rural (Manhica and Matiari) and urban

173 (Maputo and Karachi East) sites in Mozambique and Pakistan, respectively. In Guatemala, rural and

174 urban clusters will be identified from the Quetzaltenango and xxx. In India, rural and urban areas will be

175 selected from Vellore.

176	Data collection tools
177	For the qualitative phase, data were collected through focus group discussions (FGDs) and psychometric
178	testing through cognitive interviews. For the quantitative phase, data will be collected using an enrollment
179	survey, paper and electronic contact diaries, an exit survey, and wearable proximity sensors.
180	Enrollment survey: The enrollment survey will be used to enroll participants after they provided
181	consent. It contains questions on demographic details of the participant including age, sex, school
182	enrollment, occupation, study site, as well as household characteristics such as household size and
183	composition, and sources of water.
184	Contact diary. We developed paper and electronic contact diaries to collect data on participants' social
185	mixing. We defined contact as:
186	• Nonphysical contact: This involves a two-way conversation with three or more words exchanged
187	in the physical presence of another person.
188	• Physical contact: This involves directly touching someone (skin-to-skin contact) or the clothes
189	they are wearing (for example a handshake, fist bump, elbow bump, foot bump, hug, kiss, etc.).
190	• Direct proximity: This involves being within 6 feet for 20 seconds or more.
191	The paper diary will be given to participants to self-report data on their contacts and characteristics of the
192	person with whom they had contact, such as age (approximate where appropriate), sex, relationship to the
193	contact person, location, frequency, and duration of contact. The electronic contact diary was designed in
194	REDCap and downloaded onto mobile tablets used by the field staff. For each site, we developed a set of
195	culturally relevant images representative of the 10 age groups that a contact may fall into. We classified
196	the age groups as follows: <6 months, 6-11 months, 1-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years, 15-19 years, 20-29
197	years, 30-39 years, 40-59 years, and 60+ years. Participants will use the set of images to give estimates of
198	the age of their contacts. Each tool was translated to the major local languages for each study site
199	(Portuguese in Mozambique; Spanish in Guatemala; Tamil in India, and Urdu and Sindhi in Pakistan).
200	Each participant will be asked to randomly select the first day of contact diary keeping from a set of 7
201	cards, each symbolizing a day of the week. One day before the selected first contact diary day, the

participants will be trained in how to complete the diary. For adults or children unable to self-complete the diary, they will select a third-party person (henceforth called a "shadow") to assist them in recording their contacts. Visit 3 will occurr one day after the second diary day. The field staff will assess the paper contact diary for completeness and inconsistencies and work with the participants to make any necessary amendments. Once the verification process is complete, data in the paper diary will then be keyed into the electronic diary by the field staff.

Exit survey: The exit survey will capture additional details such as sentiments regarding the accuracy of
 the captured contacts, social and behavioral changes during the COVID-19 pandemic, and COVID-19
 vaccination status.

211 Wearable proximity sensors. We purchased an open-source off-the-shelf proximity sensor that was 212 manufactured in the US, satisfies Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations, is relatively 213 cheap, customizable, and small enough to be carried by people of all ages (figure 2). We selected the 214 Adafruit Feather nRF52840 Sense sensor [https://learn.adafruit.com/adafruit-feather-sense] that uses 215 active unidirectional Bluetooth Low Energy operating at 2.4 GHz to periodically transmit and receive 216 data packets over short ranges relevant for respiratory infection spread. (25) It measures 51 mm x 23 mm x 217 7.2 mm and weighs approximately 6 grams. It has an on-board memory chip for data storage and is 218 powered by a 3.7 V 500 mAh. We redesigned and updated the firmware used in the SocioPatterns project 219 [www.sociopatterns.org] to be compatible with our new sensors. We also designed and 3D-printed 220 tamper-proof, weather-proof plastic cases to enclose the sensor and battery. A fully assembled sensor with 221 a battery and plastic case has a total cost of \sim \$40 and weighs \sim 20-30 grams. Prior to deployment, we 222 conducted rigorous testing on case design, utility (ensuring radio communication between sensor dyads is 223 achieved), signal propagation (how far signals are propagated, effects of multipath on signal strength), 224 durability of battery charge and storage space capabilities (to establish optimum experiment duration). 225 When in proximity, sensor dyads exchange data packets consisting of the sensor identifier (ID), 226 timestamp, and transmission power level (received signal strength indicator, RSSI). Similar to previous 227 studies, a 'contact' was defined as occurring between two individuals if their sensors exchanged at least

228 one data packet over a 20-second interval. After a contact is established, its duration is defined as the 229 interval in which the devices continue to exchange at least one packet for every subsequent 20s interval. 230 Conversely, a contact is defined as broken if a 20-s interval lapses with no exchange of radio packets. (26) 231 When worn on the chest area, signal exchange occurs when individuals are face-to-face within 1-1.5 232 meters of each other, thus defining proximity that may be relevant for transmission of respiratory 233 infections. Assuming 8 hours of continuous data collection per day, the onboard sensor memory can log 234 data packets for up to 7 days. It is stressed that the sensors detect face-to-face proximity between 235 individuals by assessing the attenuation of the signals with distance but not an actual physical touch. 236 Previous sensor deployment suggested that a 20-second interaction can be considered as a reasonable duration to describe "the fastest social interactions", such as a quick conversation or handshake in a social 237 gathering that may lead to the transmission of respiratory infections through droplets from coughing or 238 239 sneezing.(26) 240 Once a battery has been inserted to activate the sensor, the sensor is sealed in a plastic case fastened with 241 screws and additionally inserted into a cover that is disposed of after use to prevent potential infection 242 transmission. We will request that the study participants hang the sensor at their chest by wearing it on a 243 lanyard around the neck or insert it into the front pocket of a shirt/blouse or attach it to clothing using a 244 clip. At the end of the study period, used sensors will be collected by study staff and the plastic covers 245 will be disinfected. Data from each sensor will be downloaded onto a password-protected laptop. After 246 data is downloaded, study staff will erase the data logs from the sensors and recharge them in readiness 247 for the next deployment.

248

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the sensors.

The sensor board consists of an antenna, flash storage device, and mini-USB port (A). A sensor will be powered by a battery and placed in a plastic case with a sealable weather-proof cover that will be

disinfected in between each use (B). Sensors transmit signals only in the forward direction, thus only

detecting close face-to-face interactions when hung around the neck or worn in a shirt/blouse pocket (C).

254 The signals containing data packets are stored in the on-board memory of the sensor.

255 Formative (Qualitative) Phase

256 The goal of the formative phase is to understand contextual factors and attributes of the community

- associated with the feasibility and acceptability of paper diaries and wearable proximity sensors in each
- site. This understanding will inform the design of data collection tools and implementation of the survey
- and other relevant study procedures.

260 Selection criteria: Residents of the communities who consented to participate in the study Error!

261 **Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.**were included in the qualitative study.

262 Residents who Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error!

263 Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not

264 found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference

source not found.did not consent to participate and non-permanent residents of the rural or urban

266 municipalities Error! Reference source not found.were not included.

267 **Training of field staff**: In-country study team members were trained in research ethics, data tools, and

268 data collection methods before the initiation of study activities. Due to travel and congregation

restrictions in place in the countries during the pademic, trainings were conducted online via Zoom, an

270 online meeting platform. When restrictions were lifted, trainings were conducted in person. The training

271 focused on the objectives of the formative study, recruiting methods, data collection tools, techniques of

272 conducting focus group discussions, incorporating feedback on the FGD guide, data collection

273 procedures, data management, translation, and transcription. Quality control of qualitative data and

research ethics were also included in the training. After rapid analysis of the focus group discussions,

cognitive interview guides were finalized, and the field staff were trained to conduct cognitive interviews

276 (CI). The data were analysed on-site.

Focus group discussions: A focus group discussion guide designed with the assistance of the team anthropologist was used to facilitate the discussions. The questions in the guide focused on identifying community perceptions and assessing the acceptability and feasibility of our study procedures, and the use of the contact diary and sensor. Participants also discussed potential barriers and suggested solutions

281 to overcome any challenges within their families or communities. Thirteen focus group discussions were 282 conducted per study site. The following groups were recruited to participate in the FGDs: 1) community 283 and religious leaders, 2) parents/caregivers of infants < 6 months old, 3) male and 4) female community 284 or household elders, and 5) teenagers. 285 Sample size: Between 1-2 FGD activities were conducted per site for each group, with 6-8 participants 286 per activity. To counter refusals and no-shows, we invited eight to twelve participants to each FGD. 287 However, we maintained a minimum of 6 participants per discussion group. The sessions were held at a 288 location convenient to participants in the community and conducted in a local language chosen by 289 participants, after obtaining their consent. During the FGDs, participants received an explanation and 290 demonstration of the use and operation of the proximity sensor and paper contact diaries through 291 illustrative images and simulations of use and function. Participants provided their feedback on the 292 design, language, ease of understanding, sociocultural barriers, and the study implementation process and 293 use of these tools. Each FGD lasted 60 minutes. All FGDs were recorded and translated to English for 294 analysis.

295 **Cognitive interviews:** During cognitive interviews, we clarified through a few carefully selected 296 questions on how community members understand and interpret survey questions and procedures, 297 specifically what these questions mean in the local context. The interviews helped to ensure that survey 298 items achieved their measurement purpose and elicited the intended meaning of the question. With the 299 assistance of the team anthropologist, we developed a cognitive interview guide that included select 300 survey and contact diary questions that were difficult to understand during FGDs. The cognitive 301 interviews identified comprehension and language problems, i.e., not knowing the meaning of 302 words/phrases, or having different local or cultural terms that are more appropriate or better understood; 303 inclusion/exclusion problems, i.e., determining whether certain concepts are to be considered within the 304 scope of an item, and whether caregivers include or exclude experiences within the intended scope of the 305 question; temporal problems, i.e., the period to which the question applies; logical problems, e.g., how 306 participants interpret certain phrases in survey questions, and computational problems, e.g., readability of

307 items, and guessing age of contacts. The interviewer starts by obtaining written consent from participants. 308 Participants were then asked to read each question, and before responding with an answer, they 309 paraphrased the question in their own words for the interviewer to ensure that they understood the 310 meaning correctly. While answering the question, they could also 'think aloud' to share what was going 311 through their mind when they are considering the response options. Participants were then asked to 312 complete a template of actionable recommendations based on the interviews. A separate note-taker helped 313 to document the interview. The cognitive interviews took place with 3 individuals per age group per site. 314 Six age groups were covered for at least 18 individuals in each study site. 315 **Recruitment procedures:** Appropriate strategies were used to recruit participants to each site. First, we 316 held meetings with local relevant stakeholders such as local authorities (chiefs of administrative posts and 317 localities, heads of the villages, chiefs of neighborhoods or any other authority), religious leaders, and 318 health practitioners to explain the purpose of conducting this study and identify potential participants. 319 Meetings were held according to the epidemiological situation and the COVID-19 alert system and 320 guidelines from the Ministry of Health. A strict protocol of facial masking, hand hygiene, social 321 distancing, and a well-ventilated room were followed. The dates and venues of meetings were defined 322 according to the availability of the participants and according to the epidemiological situation and 323 COVID-19 alert system of the Ministry of Health for the various sites. Where demographic surveillance 324 data were available, participants were selected at random based on 10 age groups identified for the 325 quantitative phase (i.e., <6 months, 6-11 months, 1-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-14 years, 15-19 years, 20-29 326 years, 30-39 years, 40-59 years, and 60+ years). In addition, we used the following methods of 327 recruitment for specific groups: i. Community and religious leaders were identified with the support of the heads of localities and of the 328 329 administrative post, and religious leaders' group with support of the religious affairs commission, 330 where large parts of the religions that were present in the study area are represented. 331 ii. Elders were recruited with the support of neighborhood chiefs and community leaders based on 332 selection criteria discussed with the study team.

- 333 iii. Parents of infants were identified by generating a list of all infants aged up to 6 months of age as of
- data collection time, and identifying the names of their parents and household locations.

335 iv. Teachers were identified with the support of the principals or pedagogical heads of schools from the

- 336 study area, based on criteria to be discussed with the study team.
- **Table 2.** Recruitment period for qualitative phase.

Study Sites	Recruitment Start Date	Recruitment End Date	
Mozambique	04 August 2020	16 October 2020	
Guatemala	19 July 2021	05 November 2021	
India	16 February 2022	12 April 2022	
Pakistan	14 Dec 2022	18 Feb 2023	

338

339 **Qualitative data analysis:** Qualitative data were analyzed and suitable recommendations for updating the 340 survey questions were finalized with input from the principal investigators. Following each focus group 341 discussion meeting, the facilitator prepared a summary of impressions. The notes and audio recording 342 from the focus group discussion were transcribed and translated into English and study team members 343 reviewed the transcripts. Rapid analysis techniques (27,28) were applied to achieve results informing the 344 main study tools. Separate coding frameworks were developed for focus group discussions and cognitive 345 interview data. The thematic analysis method (29,30) was used to analyse the transcripts and identify and 346 organize themes into clusters and categories through coding. The analysis included reading the extended 347 transcript summaries several times to achieve an understanding of the meanings conveyed, identifying 348 important phrases, and articulating and confirming meanings through research team discussions to reach 349 agreement. Respondents' answers to each question were grouped, organized, and classified into 350 categories. Information obtained informed the development and refinement of the quantitative phase tools 351 and procedures for each respective site. Recommendations were made for modifications to the 352 quantitative phase study procedures and tools as appropriate. 353 Qualitative data management: At each study site, coinvestigators supervised the process of data 354 collection. All audios, interviews, and summaries were coded and saved in the local team's servers. All

data will be shared with Yale University and Emory University team members through a secure online

356 data sharing and storage platform. Only trained team members will be able to access the documents on 357 this platform. At all sites, all focus group discussions and cognitive interviews were audio-recorded, and 358 all texts or audio captured were transcribed in preferred local languages and then translated into English 359 verbatim. The languages used at each site were as follows: Portuguese in Mozambique; Spanish in 360 Guatemala; Tamil in India, and Urdu and Sindhi in Pakistan. Transcripts and field notes were anonymized 361 through the redaction of identifying details prior to translation. Digital recordings of FGDs and cognitive 362 interviews were destroyed after transcription; therefore, only the researchers conducting the FGDs, and 363 cognitive interviews and the transcriber had access to unredacted data. All quotations or descriptions used 364 in reports were anonymized, and additional potentially identifying details will be redacted or altered to 365 further protect anonymity. All photographs and video footage were only taken with consent and would be 366 appropriately anonymized. To ensure privacy, participants were requested to choose between the actual 367 name or nickname for the contact person or location. All signed consent forms were stored by the data 368 manager in a locked cabinet in the office.

369 **Quantitative Phase**

370 The goal of the quantitative phase is to collect and summarize data on the rates and characteristics of 371 social contacts using social contact diaries and electronic proximity sensors. Quantitative data collection 372 was scheduled in phases across the sites over two years.

373	Table 3. Recruitment period for quantitative phase

Study Site	Recruitment Start Date	Recruitment End Date
Mozambique	05 April 2021	05 April 2022
Guatemala	03 March 2022	22 December 2022
India	25 July 2022	04 February 2023
Pakistan	02 June 2023	Ongoing

374

375 **Contact diary study**

376 Sample size: Our study sample will be divided into 10 age groups (<6 months, 6-11 months, 1-4 years, 5-

377 9 years, 10-14 years, 15-19 years, 20-29 years, 30-39 years, 40-59 years, and 60+ years). We framed our

378 sample size calculations to detect sufficient precision in infants <6 months old, an age group of high

379 interest. We powered each age group to have a standard deviation consistent with the precision in the 380 estimate of the youngest age group (0-4 years) in the POLYMOD study (standard deviation = 7.65381 contacts per day) (31). We chose to base our sample size calculation on the youngest age group because 382 infants less than six months of age represent the smallest fraction of the overall population size and whose 383 data are largely unavailable since they require a literate older person to keep records on their behalf (19). 384 We inflated the sample size by 10% for each age group to account for attrition due to study withdrawal 385 and loss to follow-up. This resulted in a sample size of 63 per age group per site, thus 630 for each site, 386 1260 per country, and 5040 participants overall.

387

Electronic proximity sensor study

388 Sample size: We will recruit 63 households per site (126 per country). At each site, households with a

child aged <6 months, 6-11 months, 1–4-years were selected randomly and proportional to these age

390 groups.

391 *Participant selection:* Once we locate a household, we will make an initial visit (visit 1) to seek

392 permission from the head of the household to allow us to engage the other household members. In

393 Guatemala only, where we do not have sampling frame, we identified household clusters around a 200 m²

radius using satellite imagery from Bing. From a central location in each cluster, we approached all

395 households in sequence until we attained our target sample size. We will obtain written informed consent

396 (adults ≥ 18 years old) or assent (for children aged ≤ 18 years).

397 Study procedures: To train all the participants in diary-keeping and sensor-wearing procedures, we will 398 request the head of the household to select a day of the week when all household members will be present 399 at the same time. On this selected day (start day), we will visit the household and train the members on 400 the diary and sensor study procedures (figures 3 and 4). Each participant will wear the sensor over 7 401 continuous days for data collection. Participants will be required to doff the sensor when not in use such 402 as when sleeping or leaving the house, or when encountering water. In addition, the head of the household 403 will select one day at random (diary day one) between the start day and end day (7 days after start day). 404 Each household member will be required to keep a record of their contacts in the paper diary on two

405	consecutive days. The research team will record the date and time when the sensors were issued and
406	collected, while participants will keep a daily log of when the sensors were worn or taken off. Data from
407	each sensor will be individually downloaded into a laptop at the site office.
408 409 410 411 412 413	Figure 3. Flow of field visits showing data collection procedures for the three visits (contact diary study)Figure 4. Flow of field visit showing data collection procedures for the three visits for the (proximity sensors study)
414	Quantitative data analysis
415	Construction of age-specific contact matrices. The primary outcome from this analysis will be age-
416	specific contact matrices containing the average (or expected) number of contacts made per day between
417	age groups combinations. We will create three age-specific contact matrices for each study site: a matrix
418	of physical contacts only, a matrix of nonphysical contacts, and a matrix of all contacts (both physical and
419	nonphysical contacts).
420	Identifying predictors of number and duration of contacts. We will investigate whether certain
421	demographic and biologic characteristics are predictors of the frequency and nature of contacts. This
422	regression analysis will result in the identification of key drivers of contact.
423	Defining contacts using wearable sensors. To comprehensively profile the frequency, duration and
424	intensity of social contacts of young infants with their household members, we will analyze high
425	resolution data collected using wearable proximity sensing devices. Data collected from each participant
426	will be obtained and cleaned separately. In sensitivity analyses, different time-interval definitions of a
427	'contact' will be considered.
428	Validation of diary-based collection (Sensor Study). We will use the sensor data to validate the diary data
429	using two methods. First, using variables that characterize each contact such as age, sex, duration, and
430	relationship, we will identify contacts that were identified by [1] diary, [2] sensor, or [3] both. We will
431	then calculate the level of agreement between diary and sensor data using Cohen's kappa coefficient (k).
432	Second, using sensor data, we will construct age-specific contact matrices containing the average number

433 of contacts made per day using the methods described in the contact diary study. We will compare these 434 matrices with those generated from diary data but restricted to household contacts using the coefficient of 435 accuracy (C(a) and the coefficient of determination (r_c). C(a) measures the accuracy and r_c measures the 436 precision of the relationship between the two data collection methods (32). We will then perform the 437 same comparisons for data on the duration of contacts. 438 *Characterizing the social contact of young infants*. We will generate a detailed characterization of the 439 social contacts of infants aged <6 months with their household members. To do this, we will calculate the

440 infant proximity score for each household member, which is defined as the fraction of time spent by each

441 household member in proximity with the infant, over the total infant contact time.(33) Certain

442 demographic and behavior characteristics (e.g., maternal age, sex, household size, and country) are

443 predictors of the infant proximity score. To evaluate the association between social contacts and these

444 predictors, we will use regression methods such as negative binomial regression where the dependent

445 variable is the infant proximity score.

446 Estimating effects on total number and duration of contacts. We hypothesize that certain demographic 447 and behavior characteristics (e.g., maternal age, sex, household size, and country) are predictors of the 448 frequency and nature of social contacts of young infants. Identifying these factors will aid in generalizing 449 our findings and serve as a guide for other researchers to select the right contact matrices and determine 450 what predictors are important to include in future analyses. To evaluate the association between predictors 451 and the total number or duration of contacts, we will use similar regression methods as above. In addition 452 to providing an analytical understanding of the determinants of contact frequency and types, the results 453 from this analysis will help to guide researchers on which contact matrix is best suited to a given 454 modeling application or generalizable to similar populations.

455 *Creation and analysis of an aggregated contact network.* We will create an undirected social contact

456 network at the household level, aggregated over the whole study period per site, draw network graphs,

457 and calculate descriptive network statistics. Nodes represent study participants and edges are the presence

458 of at least one recorded contact event between individuals during a contact window (figure 5.) Edge

459 attributes will reflect the type or intensity of the contact (i.e., cumulative duration of contact). The

- 460 attributes of the nodes will be included using the demographic data and relationship to participant as
- 461 collected during the initial interview of study participants. We will calculate [1] the degrees of each node
- 462 (k) and describe the distribution by degrees P(k); [2] the distance (d) between nodes (e.g., the shortest
- 463 distance between individuals in households) and the average distance $d(\overline{d})$; and [3] the intermediation
- 464 centrality defined as the proportion of the shortest paths that pass through a single node Bi. These
- statistics can subsequently be used to explicitly model disease transmission in networks.[27] We will also
- 466 use an adjacency matrix (A_{ij}) to summarize connections made with our populations. Using the adjacency
- 467 matrix, we will calculate the average number of contacts according to the following formula: $\overline{n} = \frac{1}{N} \Sigma_{ij} A_{ij}$,
- 468 where N is the population size (i.e., size of the households).(35)

469 **Figure 5**. Household contact network.

470 Contact networks will be visualized in order to depict the presence, type and characteristics of contacts
471 (edges). Here, as an example, household members are represented as nodes color coded for relationship to
472 the infant. The thickness of the edges represents cumulative time in contact, with thicker/darker edges
473 representing more time spent in contact.

- 474
- 475

Quantitative data management

476 Study data will be collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Emory

477 University(36,37). REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based software platform

478 designed to support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive interface for validated data

- 479 capture; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated export
- 480 procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and 4) procedures for data

481 integration and interoperability with external sources. A different project will be created for each country,

- 482 such that country study teams will only have access to country-specific data.
- 483 Security of the sensors. Signals in the power range emitted by the sensors do not pose reported radiation
- harm while in use by humans. Additionally, the radio system for these sensors is approved for use by the
- 485 United States Federal Communications Commission (US FCC). The sensor is FCC certified and licensed
- 486 under 47 CFR part 2, which means that it does not cause harmful interference to radio signals from

487 household appliances. Additionally, the beacon is certified to comply with rules limiting other policy 488 objectives - such as human exposure limits to radio frequencies and hearing aid compatibility (HAC) with 489 mobile wireless devices. The sensor and battery will be stored in a small weather resistant box that is 490 sealed with two small screws. This box will then be inserted into an additional outer protector that will be 491 discarded after each use. A strap will be attached to the outer protector, so that the participant can use it 492 hanging around the neck, and it will be adjusted so that it is kept at chest level. For use in young children, 493 an appropriate hook will be provided so that it can be attached to the participant's clothing. Parents will be 494 shown how to attach the sensor onto children's outer garments. 495 Data security specifications. The data exchanged between the sensors is automatically encrypted during 496 its internal storage. We have developed programs using Python software that data managers will run to

497 automatically download data from sensors. The sensors must be connected to the computer using data
498 cables before the data can be downloaded. Only data administrators will have access to these programs.
499 The decryption of the data will be done by the data analyst.

500

Ethics approval and consent to participate

501 The study protocol and all qualitative and quantitative survey tools were submitted to the Institutional 502 Review Boards (IRBs) of all the study sites as well as the national ethics committees of all the 503 international sites before initiation of study activities. This study addresses household and individual 504 information and all data collection shall follow institutional, national, and international policies and 505 procedures or guidelines for protecting the information provided by participants and maintaining the 506 confidentiality of participants and their contacts. To comply with this, the field staff were trained in the 507 need to ensure that data collection is done accordingly and ensure maximum confidentiality possible 508 under field conditions. Informed consent to participate was taken from parents/legal guardians of minor 509 participants. For all the sites, we developed the following consent and assent forms for both qualitative 510 and quantitative data collection activities: Consent for Adult Participants (applicable to individuals aged 511 18 years or older to give consent for themselves to participate in the study); Consent form for Parents and

512	Guardians of Individuals under 18 years old (applicable to parents and legal guardians of children under
513	the age of 18 years to give consent for them to participate in the study); Assent form for Adolescents aged
514	12 to 17 years (applicable to adolescents aged 12 to 17 years old to give assent for themselves to
515	participate in the study); and Consent for Household Heads (applicable to heads of households to give
516	consent for themselves and all members of their household to participate in the study). In Guatemala, we
517	obtained either written or verbal assent for children older than 7 years for focal group discussion and
518	cognitive interviews, upon request of the community. This was approved by the UVG IRB. Ethical
519	approval of the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board for all the sites as well as at
520	Emory University before the onset of study activities. Ethical approval dates and numbers for all study

521 sites:

Site	Emory Approval date	Approval number	Site approval date
Parent (Emory	March 4, 2020	00105630	3/04/2020
University) protocol			
Yale University	Reliance Agreement:	2000026911	6/12/2019 (Yale IRB)
Protocol	November 11, 2019		
Mozambique	August 9, 2020	CIBS-CISM/012/2021	3/26/2020 (CISM)
Guatemala	July 21, 2021	223-12-2020	1/25/2021 (National IRB)
			3/03/2021 (UVG IRB)
India	June 26, 2019	IRB Min. No. 12065	2/02/2022 (CMC IRB)
Pakistan	December 22, 2022	2022-7912-23648	12/22/2022 (AKU ERC)

522

523 Status and timeline of the study

524 Qualitative data collection has been completed in three sites and is ongoing in the fourth site. IRB

525 approval has been obtained in all the sites. Quantitative data collection is expected to be complete by

526 March 2024.

527 Discussion

528 This multicountry cross-sectional study using qualitative (formative phase) and quantitative data from

529 Mozambique, Guatemala, India, and Pakistan is the first study to comprehensively characterize the

530 patterns of social contact and mixing in urban and rural LMIC settings using standardized social contact

diaries. We will also profile the social contacts of infants with their household members using contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors. The deidentified data collected in this study will be made publicly available for use in dynamic transmission models of infectious diseases. These empirical data will allow a more accurate representation of human interactions that lead to the transmission of pathogens transmitted through close contact.

The qualitative, formative phase of this study is unique and will generate useful information regarding perceptions and acceptability of contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors to collect data on social contact and mixing patterns between individuals in LMICs. This information will be used for developing more complex studies that use contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors. Finally, conducting this study in LMICs will generate results that may be more representative of contact patterns in such setting. Settings. Currently, many modelers use European data from POLYMOD or POLYMOD data projected onto LMIC populations.

543 Despite the use of rigorous mixed qualitative and quantitative methods, we anticipate some challenges. 544 Participants may only recall contacts that are recent or salient (those that are most important, such as 545 meeting family or friends compared to strangers). This may lead to an underestimation of contact 546 rates. We expect that children who are not in school and those aged less than 10 years old may not be able 547 to self-report their contacts. Additionally, adults who are unable to or have limited ability to read and 548 write may not be able to record their contacts in the paper contact diaries. For these groups, we will assign 549 parents/guardians as "shadows" to the children to help with recording contacts. Then adult participants 550 will be asked to select an individual to record their contacts on their behalf. This would require adequate 551 training of both participants and shadows to understand diary keeping procedures while respecting the 552 privacy of the participants. Furthermore, to profile the social contacts of infants with their household 553 members using contact diaries and wearable proximity sensors, only interactions between participants 554 wearing sensors will be recorded. Sensors do not record touch or other characteristics of interactions between participants. Therefore, while the sensors provide accurate and time-resolved data on physical 555 556 proximity, they lack the richness of the characteristics of the contact. The site-specific contact matrices

- and all underlying data will be made publicly available. Study findings will be published in scientific
- 558 journals and presented at national and international scientific and programmatic forums.

571 Authors' contributions

Contributor Role	Role Definition	Author
Conceptualization	Ideas; formulation or	Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Saad
	evolution of overarching	B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik
	research goals and aims.	
Data Curation	Management activities to	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Holin Chen, Sara Kim, Carol Liu,
	annotate (produce	Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr.
	metadata), scrub data and	Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik
	maintain research data	
	(including software code,	
	where it is necessary for	
	interpreting the data	
	itself) for initial use and	
	later reuse.	
Formal Analysis	Application of statistical,	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Holin Chen, Sara Kim, Carol Liu,
	mathematical,	Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Noureen Ahmed, Dr. Saad
	computational, or other	B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik
	formal techniques to	
	analyze or synthesize	
	study data.	
Funding	Acquisition of the	Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman
Acquisition	financial support for the	
	project leading to this	
	publication.	
Investigation	Conducting a research	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Alana Zelaya, Dr. Obianuju G.
	and investigation process,	Aguolu, Noureen Ahmed, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr.
	specifically performing	Benjamin Lopman, Edgar Jamisse, Americo Jose,
		Charfudin Sacoor, Ivalda Macicame, Felizarda

	the experiments, or data/evidence collection.	Amosse, Nilzio Cavele, Corssino Tchavana, Migdalia Uamba, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Venkata Raghava Mohan, Prasanna Samuel, Dr. Fauzia Malik, Dr. Azucena Bardají, Dr. Herberth Maldonado, Dr. María Ajsivinac, MPH, Claudia Jarquín
Methodology	Development or design of methodology; creation of models.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Alana Zelaya, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Lauren Pischel, Noureen Ahmed, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Venkata Raghava Mohan, Prasanna Samuel, Carol Liu, Dr. Maria Sundaram, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik
Project Administration	Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Noureen Ahmed, Alana Zelaya, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Venkata Raghava Mohan, Rajan Srinivasan, Dr. Maria Sundaram, Charfudin Sacoor, Dr Ivalda Macicame, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik, Dr. Herberth Maldonado
Resources	Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Noureen Ahmed, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr Fauzia Malik
Software	Programming, software development; designing computer programs; implementation of the computer code and supporting algorithms; testing of existing code components.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Sergio Gramacho, Corssino Tchavana, Nilzio Cavele, Kye Ellington, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman
Supervision	Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Venkata Raghava Mohan, Rajan Srinivasan, Gifta John, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr Fauzia Malik, Dr. Azucena Bardají, Dr. Herberth Maldonado
Validation	Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication /reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik, Noureen Ahmed
Visualization	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Noureen Ahmed, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Dr. Fauzia Malik

	visualization/data presentation.	
Writing – Original Draft Preparation	Creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation).	Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Benjamin Lopman
Writing – Review & Editing	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages.	Dr. Moses C. Kiti, Dr. Obianuju G. Aguolu, Noureen Ahmed, Carol Liu, Dr. Saad B. Omer, Dr. Lauren Pischel, Dr. Inci Yildirim, Venkata Raghava Mohan, Rajan Srinivasan, Dr. Maria Sundaram, Dr. Benjamin Lopman, Charfudin Sacoor, Dr Ivalda Macicame, Dr. Fauzia Malik, Dr. Azucena Bardají, Dr. Herberth Maldonado, Dr. María Ajsivinac, MPH Claudia Jarquín

572

573

574

580

581

587

575 Acknowledgement

576 We thank the many contributors to this study for their expertise and assistance throughout all aspects of 577 our study and for their help in writing the manuscript.

578 Supporting information

- 579 1. Survey:
 - a. Enrollment survey
 - b. Electronic contact diary
- 582 c. Exit survey
- 583 2. Paper contact diary
- 584 3. Places diary
- 585 4. Focus group discussion guide
- 586 5. Cognitive interview guide

588 Figures

- 589 1. Figure 1. Global map showing the location of Guatemala, India, Mozambique, and Pakistan.
- 590 2. Figure 2. Schematic representation of the sensors.
- 591 3. Figure 3. Flow of field visits showing data collection procedures for the three visits (contact diary
 592 study)
- Figure 4. Flow of field visit showing data collection procedures for the three visits for the (proximity sensor device study)
- 595 5. Figure 5. Household contact network. 596

597 List of abbreviations

- 598 LMICs: low-and-middle-income countries
- 599 FGDs: focus group discussions
- 600

606		References					
607 608 609 610	1.	Liang J, Du Y, Qu X, Ke C, Yi G, Liu M, et al. The Causes of Death and Their Influence in Life Expectancy of Children Aged 5–14 Years in Low- and Middle-Income Countries From 1990 to 2019. Frontiers in Pediatrics [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 9];10. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.829201					
611 612 613 614	2.	Jamison DT, Summers LH, Alleyne G, Arrow KJ, Berkley S, Binagwaho A, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging within a generation. The Lancet [Internet]. 2013 Dec 7 [cited 2022 Nov 9];382(9908):1898–955. Available from: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736%2813%2962105-4/fulltext					
615 616 617 618 619 620	3.	Department of Data and Analytics (DNA), Division of Data, Analytics and Delivery for Impact (DDI), Department of Data and Analytics (DNA), Division of Data, Analytics and Delivery for Impact (DDI), Department of Data Analytics (DNA) Division of Data, Analytics and Delivery for Impact (DDI) WHO, Geneva. WHO methods and data sources for country-level causes of death 2000-2019 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 9]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/gho-documents/global-health-estimates/ghe2019_cod_methods.pdf					
621 622 623 624	4.	Grimm CA. Hospitals Reported That the COVID-19 Pandemic Has Significantly Strained Health Care Delivery [Internet]. USA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General; 2021 Mar [cited 2022 Nov 19] p. 62. Report No.: OEI-09-21-00140. Available from: https://oig.hhs.gov/oei/reports/OEI-09-21-00140.pdf					
625 626 627 628 629 630 631	5.	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning, and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Service. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Hospital and Outpatient Clinician Workforce: Challenges and policy responses [Internet]. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General; 2022 May [cited 2022 Nov 11]. Report No.: Issue Brief No. HP-2022-13. Available from: https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/9cc72124abd9ea25d58a22c7692dccb6/aspe-covid- workforce-report.pdf					
632 633 634	6.	Ho A, Dascalu I. Global Disparity and Solidarity in a Pandemic. Hastings Center Report [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 9];50(3):65–7. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hast.1138					
635 636 637	7.	Huang C, Liu X, Sun S, Li SC, Deng M, He G, et al. Insights into the transmission of respiratory infectious diseases through empirical human contact networks. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2016 Aug 16 [cited 2022 Nov 9];6:31484. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4985757/					
638 639 640	8.	Grassly NC, Fraser C. Mathematical models of infectious disease transmission. Nature Reviews Microbiology [Internet]. 2008 Jun 1;6(6):477–87. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1845					
641 642 643 644	9.	Campbell PT, McVernon J, Shrestha N, Nathan PM, Geard N. Who's holding the baby? A prospective diary study of the contact patterns of mothers with an infant. BMC Infectious Diseases [Internet]. 2017 Sep 20 [cited 2022 Nov 16];17(1):634. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2735-8					
645 646	10.	Knight GM, Dharan NJ, Fox GJ, Stennis N, Zwerling A, Khurana R, et al. Bridging the gap between evidence and policy for infectious diseases: How models can aid public health decision-making.					

- International Journal of Infectious Diseases [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2022 Nov 16];42:17–23. Available
 from: https://www.mendeley.com/catalogue/378149a0-66ce-3874-a459-0d4114f72c95/
- Leung K, Jit M, Lau EHY, Wu JT. Social contact patterns relevant to the spread of respiratory
 infectious diseases in Hong Kong. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2017 Aug 11 [cited 2022 Nov 16];7:7974.
 Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5554254/
- I2. Johnstone-Robertson SP, Mark D, Morrow C, Middelkoop K, Chiswell M, Aquino LDH, et al. Social
 mixing patterns within a South African township community: implications for respiratory disease
 transmission and control. Am J Epidemiol. 2011 Dec 1;174(11):1246–55.
- Fu Y chih, Wang DW, Chuang JH. Representative Contact Diaries for Modeling the Spread of
 Infectious Diseases in Taiwan. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2012 Oct 3 [cited 2022 Nov 16];7(10):e45113.
 Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0045113
- I4. Ibuka Y, Ohkusa Y, Sugawara T, Chapman GB, Yamin D, Atkins KE, et al. Social contacts,
 vaccination decisions and influenza in Japan. J Epidemiol Community Health [Internet]. 2016 Feb
 [cited 2022 Nov 16];70(2):162–7. Available from:
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4752620/
- 15. Stein ML, Steenbergen JE van, Chanyasanha C, Tipayamongkholgul M, Buskens V, Heijden PGM
 van der, et al. Online Respondent-Driven Sampling for Studying Contact Patterns Relevant for the
 Spread of Close-Contact Pathogens: A Pilot Study in Thailand. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2014 Jan 8
 [cited 2022 Nov 16];9(1):e85256. Available from:
- 666 https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0085256
- 16. Dodd PJ, Looker C, Plumb ID, Bond V, Schaap A, Shanaube K, et al. Age- and Sex-Specific Social
 Contact Patterns and Incidence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. Am J Epidemiol. 2016 Jan
 15;183(2):156–66.
- Ajelli M, Litvinova M. Estimating contact patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases in
 Russia. J Theor Biol. 2017 Apr 21;419:1–7.
- Blackwood JC, Cummings D a. T, Iamsirithaworn S, Rohani P. Using age-stratified incidence data to
 examine the transmission consequences of pertussis vaccination. Epidemics. 2016 Sep;16:1–7.
- Kiti MC, Kinyanjui TM, Koech DC, Munywoki PK, Medley GF, Nokes DJ. Quantifying AgeRelated Rates of Social Contact Using Diaries in a Rural Coastal Population of Kenya. PLOS ONE
 [Internet]. 2014 Aug 15 [cited 2022 Nov 16];9(8):e104786. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0104786
- 678 20. Grijalva CG, Goeyvaerts N, Verastegui H, Edwards KM, Gil AI, Lanata CF, et al. A Household679 Based Study of Contact Networks Relevant for the Spread of Infectious Diseases in the Highlands of
 680 Peru. PLOS ONE [Internet]. 2015 Mar 3 [cited 2022 Nov 16];10(3):e0118457. Available from:
 681 https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0118457
- Prem K, Cook AR, Jit M. Projecting social contact matrices in 152 countries using contact surveys
 and demographic data. PLOS Computational Biology [Internet]. 2017 Sep 12 [cited 2022 Nov
 18];13(9):e1005697. Available from:
- 685 https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005697

- 22. Thindwa D, Jambo KC, Ojal J, MacPherson P, Dennis Phiri M, Pinsent A, et al. Social mixing
 patterns relevant to infectious diseases spread by close contact in urban Blantyre, Malawi. Epidemics
 [Internet]. 2022 Sep [cited 2022 Nov 18];40:100590. Available from:
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9176177/
- Vijayan V, Connolly JP, Condell J, McKelvey N, Gardiner P. Review of Wearable Devices and Data
 Collection Considerations for Connected Health. Sensors (Basel) [Internet]. 2021 Aug 19 [cited 2023
 Jul 5];21(16):5589. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8402237/
- Liu CY, Berlin J, Kiti MC, Del Fava E, Grow A, Zagheni E, et al. Rapid Review of Social Contact
 Patterns During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Epidemiology. 2021 Nov 1;32(6):781–91.
- Vanhems P, Barrat A, Cattuto C, Pinton JF, Khanafer N, Régis C, et al. Estimating Potential Infection
 Transmission Routes in Hospital Wards Using Wearable Proximity Sensors. PLOS ONE [Internet].
 2013 Sep 11 [cited 2023 Aug 9];8(9):e73970. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0073970
- 699 26. Cattuto C, van den Broeck W, Barrat A, Colizza V, Pinton JF, Vespignani A. Dynamics of person-to 700 person interactions from distributed RFID sensor networks. PLoS ONE. 2010;5(7):1–9.
- 701 27. Nevedal AL, Reardon CM, Opra Widerquist MA, Jackson GL, Cutrona SL, White BS, et al. Rapid
 702 versus traditional qualitative analysis using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation
 703 Research (CFIR). Implementation Science [Internet]. 2021 Jul 2 [cited 2023 Aug 9];16(1):67.
 704 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-021-01111-5
- Palinkas LA, Mendon SJ, Hamilton AB. Innovations in Mixed Methods Evaluations. Annu Rev
 Public Health [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2023 Aug 9];40:423–42. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6501787/
- Provide Strain V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology
 [Internet]. 2008 Jul 21 [cited 2023 Aug 9]; Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- 30. Campbell K, Orr E, Durepos P, Nguyen L, Li L, Whitmore C, et al. Reflexive Thematic Analysis for
 Applied Qualitative Health Research. The Qualitative Report [Internet]. 2021 Jun 25;26(6):2011–28.
 Available from: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr/vol26/iss6/24
- Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, et al. Social contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases. PLoS Medicine. 2008;5(3):0381–91.
- 32. Lin L, Torbeck LD. Coefficient of Accuracy and Concordance Correlation Coefficient: New
 Statistics for Methods Comparison. PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology
 [Internet]. 1998 Mar 1 [cited 2023 Jul 17];52(2):55–9. Available from: https://journal.pda.org/content/52/2/55
- 33. Ozella L, Gesualdo F, Tizzoni M, Rizzo C, Pandolfi E, Campagna I, et al. Close encounters between
 infants and household members measured through wearable proximity sensors. PLoS One.
 2018;13(6):e0198733.

- 34. Danon L, Ford AP, House T, Jewell CP, Keeling MJ, Roberts GO, et al. Networks and the
 Epidemiology of Infectious Disease. Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2023 Jul
 17];2011:284909. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3062985/
- 35. Gregson S, Mushati P, White PJ, Mlilo M, Mundandi C, Nyamukapa C. Informal confidential voting
 interview methods and temporal changes in reported sexual risk behaviour for HIV transmission in
 sub-Saharan Africa. Sexually Transmitted Infections [Internet]. 2004 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Jul
 171:80(suppl 2):ii36–42. Available from: https://sti.bmi.com/content/80/suppl 2/ii36
- 36. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data Capture
 (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational
 research informatics support. J Biomed Inform [Internet]. 2009 Apr [cited 2023 Aug 3];42(2):377–
 81. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2700030/
- 37. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The REDCap Consortium:
 Building an International Community of Software Platform Partners. J Biomed Inform [Internet].
- 736
 2019 Jul [cited 2023 Aug 3];95:103208. Available from:
- 737 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7254481/
- 738



A Hung around neck B FKELL LP50305 3.78<</td> 500-46 A FKELL LP50305 3.78<</td> 500-46 C Hung around neck In upper garment pocket



	Sampling	Data collection				Data quality control	
	Sampling of households (Rural = 63, Urban = 63)	HH visit 1: Household head consent.	HH visit 2: Recruitment & enrollment. Issue sensor, diary, and place tracker.		HH visit 3. Collection of sensors and completion of diary and place tracker.	Weekly indicator report	Monthly wrap-up
Personnel	Data manager (Site/Emory)	Field worker	Field worker		Field worker	Data manager (Site/Emory)	Data manager (Site/Emory)
Activity	*Quota-based sampling of households based on age distribution.	*Study explanation *Informed consent from household head *Collect demographic, household and GPS data *Select first day of sensor keeping. *For each household member registered, assign random diary keeping day. *Set appointment date to administer group consent to entire household.	*Study explanation and informed consent/assent to all household members. *Assign 7 continuous days of sensor keeping to household members. *Issue sensors to each household member. *Coach on use of sensor. *Issue paper diary and place tracker. Assign days to participants. *Coach on use of diary and place tracker.	Keep Day 1 & 2 Diary	*Collection of sensors. *Fill Redcap diary for each household member	*Produce report on sampling indicators *Summary of issue log *Have a weekly meeting to review progress, challenges, propose solutions.	

