

Population age as a key factor in the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine
SBIDER (Systems Biology & Infectious Disease Epidemiology Research) Centre at
University of Warwick, UK
inesteruk@yahoo.com

Matt Keeling

School of Life Sciences & Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, UK

ABSTRACT

The population and governments of many countries are losing interest in the SARS-CoV-2 infection, the number of tests and the number of new cases detected is sharply decreasing. To compare the accumulated numbers CC of cases and deaths DC per million and to answer the question why the less vaccinated Africa has accumulated 36 times lower CC values and 15 times lower DC values than Europe, simple statistical analysis have been performed. CC and DC values demonstrated rather strong correlation with the median age of populations. One-year increment in the median year yields 12-18 thousand increase in CC values and 52-83 increase in DC values. Zero-COVID countries succeed to have much lower numbers of deaths per capita and case fatality ratios DC/CC .

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic dynamics, Zero-COVID strategy, numbers of cases and deaths per capita, case fatality risk, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, statistical methods.

The fourth year of the COVID-19 pandemic [1, 2] is characterized by a high number of re-infections [3-5] associated with the circulation of new strains of SARS-CoV-2 [6-8] and an increase in the case fatality risk CFR (in 2023 the global CFR values were almost twice higher than in 2022 [9]). Nevertheless, the population and governments of many countries are losing interest in the infection, the number of tests and the number of new

NOTE: This paper reports research that is currently under review. In particular, the IJS, China and Japan have stopped to update their COVID-19 datasets after May 15, 2023; Turkey has reported no new cases

in 2023, [1, 2]. Before the data update is finally stopped, it would be very interesting to compare the accumulated numbers CC of cases and deaths DC per capita and to answer the question why the less vaccinated Africa has accumulated 36 times lower CC values and 15 times lower DC values than Europe (see [1, 2], lines 74 and 75 in Table S1). This information, as well as CFR values, would make it possible to evaluate the methods of combating the pandemic, and to assess trends in pandemic dynamics, in particular, its relationship with the age of the populations.

The severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection (in particular, the need for oxygen supplementation) increases for older patients [10]. Younger populations have less clinical cases per capita [11]. Almost half of the infected children less than 15 years old can be asymptomatic [12]. Together with many asymptomatic adult patients [13 - 17] they can make the main part of pandemic dynamics invisible [18]. Paper [11] (published in 2020) predicted that “Without effective control measures, regions with relatively older populations could see disproportionately more cases of COVID-19, particularly in the later stages of an unmitigated epidemic”. In this study we will verify this hypothesis and analyze the results of Zero-COVID strategy which “involves using public health measures such as contact tracing, mass testing, border quarantine, lockdowns, and mitigation software in order to stop community transmission of COVID-19 as soon as it is detected”, [19]. The goal of Zero-COVID strategy is to “get the area back to zero new infections and resume normal economic and social activities” [19]. It was applied in different countries mostly in Asia and Oceania in 2020, 2021 and early 2022.

We will use the accumulated numbers of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases CC_i and deaths DC_i per million ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 79$) for some countries, regions, continents, and the world listed in COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU), [2] (version of file updated on September 28, 2023, see supplementary Table S1). We have chosen the countries with the population larger than 20 millions (according [20]; all figures correspond to October 11, 2023) making exception for Ukraine, Syria, Afghanistan, Yemen, and Russia to avoid influence of military operations on the COVID-19 statistics. There is no data from North Korea. Since Chinese statistics shows some contradictions (see, e.g., [21] or compare JHU files updated on September 28 and March 9, 2023), we have used only figures for Taiwan and Hong Kong. In particular, in Table S1 we show corresponding CC_i and DC_i values from the March-9-version of JHU file (not available on September 28). The CC_i and DC_i values for Taiwan and Hong Kong for 2023 we have calculated with the use of [22-25].

We have added the figures corresponding to some countries with population less than 20 millions representing different regions and information about 10 Zero-COVID

countries and regions (see lines 63-72 in Table S1). It must be noted that aggregated values of CC_i and DC_i corresponding to EU, continents and the world (see lines 73-79 in Table S1) contain the information about all the countries (in particular, Ukrainian and Chinese datasets). We have listed also the information about the median ages A_i from [20, 26] (see Table S1).

The case fatality risks can be calculated by dividing corresponding DC_i values by CC_i according to the formula $CFR_i = (DC_i/CC_i) * 100\%$. The results of calculations are also listed in Table S1. We will use the linear regression and Fisher test [27] to investigate the links between age A and CC , DC and CFR values (see Supplementary). The numbers of observations n will correspond to the countries listed in lines 1-62 of Table S1 ($n=62$), Zero-COVID countries (lines 63-72, $n=10$), and total dataset including continents and the world ($n=79$).

The results of calculations are listed in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 1 with the use of small markers and thin lines for values accumulated before December 31, 2022 and large markers and bold lines for values accumulated before September 10, 2023. Since the differences in best fitting lines for CRF calculated with the use of 2022 and 2023 datasets are very small (compare values of parameters a and b listed in Table 1, lines 13-18), we show only bold lines corresponding to September 10, 2023. Blue color corresponds to the accumulated numbers of cases per ten persons ($CC/100,000$), black color - to the accumulated numbers of deaths per thousand ($DC/1000$) and red one - to the CFR values in %. “Circles” show the data for Zero-COVID countries, “squares” – aggregated characteristics for EU, continents and the world.

Table 1. Optimal values of parameters in eq. (S1), correlation coefficients and the results of Fisher test applications.

No.	Dataset	Number of observations n	Correlation coefficient R	Optimal values of parameter a in eq. (S1)	Optimal values of parameter b in eq. (S1)	Experimental value of the Fisher function F , eq. (S2), $m=2$	Critical value of Fisher function $F_c(I, n-2)$ for the confidence level 0.05, [28]	F/F_c
Correlations for numbers of COVID-19 cases per million accumulated as of December 31, 2022, $CC^{(1)}$								
1	Countries S1, 1-62	62	0.7264	-230313.1	12067.305	67.04	4.0	16.8
2	Zero-COVID	10	0.7536	-245204.0	14918.425	10.51	5.32	2.0
3	Total	79	0.7675	-258318.2	13385.145	110.40	3.98	27.8
Correlations for numbers of COVID-19 cases per million accumulated as of September 10, 2023, $CC^{(2)}$								
4	Countries S1, 1-62	62	0.7308	-238708.4	12464.814	68.78	4.0	17.2
5	Zero-COVID	10	0.7348	-310865.6	17640.497	9.39	5.32	1.8
6	Total	79	0.7653	-277002.4	14248.047	108.83	3.98	27.4
Correlations for numbers deaths per million accumulated as of December 31, 2022, $DC^{(1)}$								
7	Countries S1,1-62	62	0.5878	-1222.941	80.0912	31.667	4.0	7.9
8	Zero-COVID	10	0.6765	-1277.277	51.7604	6.75	5.32	1.3
9	Total	79	0.5361	-1009.386	69.4481	31.06	3.98	7.8
Correlations for numbers deaths per million accumulated as of September 10, 2023, $DC^{(2)}$								
10	Countries S1, 1-62	62	0.5983	-1292.541	83.4639	33.45	4.0	8.4
11	Zero-COVID	10	0.7058	-1448.283	58.9263	7.94	5.32	1.5
12	Total	79	0.5550	-1095.528	73.5575	34.28	3.98	8.6
Correlations for the case fatality risks as of December 31, 2022, $CFR^{(1)}$								
13	Countries S1, 1-62	62	-0.3885	3.21772	-0.05371	10.67	4.0	2.7
14	Zero-COVID	10	0.4260	-0.16976	0.01022	1.77	5.32	0.3
15	Total	79	-0.4420	3.22287	-0.05871	18.70	3.98	4.7
Correlations for the case fatality risks as of September 10, 2023, $CFR^{(2)}$								
16	Countries S1, 1-62	62	-0.3839	3.18254	-0.05278	10.37	4.0	2.6
17	Zero-COVID	10	0.4430	-0.18372	0.01085	1.95	5.32	0.4
18	Total	79	-0.4374	3.18636	-0.05772	18.22	3.98	4.6
19	Countries S1, 1-62, $A_i < 30$	34	0.0207	2.00123	0.005783	0.014	4.16	0.0033

The accumulated numbers of cases per capita demonstrate rather strong correlation with the median age. High values of the correlation coefficient in lines 1-6 of Table 1 show that more than 52% of variations in *CC* are connected with the age. The values of the parameter *b* (eq. S1) listed in these lines demonstrate that one-year increment in the median year yields 12-18 thousand increase in *CC* values. Probably due to contact tracing and mass testing, Zero-COVID countries have accumulated more cases per capita (compare dashed-dotted blue lines with solid and dashed blue lines).

The accumulated numbers of deaths per capita demonstrate weaker correlation with the median age. The values of the correlation coefficient in lines 7-12 of Table 1 show that only 29- 50% of variations in *DC* are related to the age. The values of the parameter *b* demonstrate that one-year increment in the median year yields 52-83 increase in *DC* values. Zero-COVID countries succeed to have much lower numbers of deaths per capita (compare dashed-dotted black lines with solid and dashed black lines).

The Fisher test supports the growth of *CC* and *DC* values with the increase of median age. Even for small number of Zero-COVID countries ($n=10$), the correlations are valid at the significance level 0.05 (see last values in lines 1-12 of Table 1). For other countries the correlations were supported at the much higher significance levels (e.g., 0.001). Thus, we really see disproportionally more COVID-19 cases and deaths in regions with older populations. Even in Zero-COVID countries, where special and sometimes very severe lockdowns and control measures were applied, we see the same correlation. Nevertheless, these countries succeed to reduce the case fatality risks significantly (compare red best fitting lines).

Since in 2020 younger populations had less clinical cases per capita [11], it was expected to obtain lower *CFR* values as well. Nevertheless, rows 13, 15, 16, 18 in Table 1 and red solid and dashed lines indicate the opposite trend (for Zero-COVID countries no correlations were revealed, see rows 14 and 17). Lower values of r^2 (in comparison with correlations for *CC* and *DC*) allow concluding that the influence of age on case fatality risk is rather weak. For example, no correlation was revealed for the countries with the median age less than 30 years (see line 19 in Table 1). The probability that a person tested positive will die (i.e., *CFR*) depends not only on the individual state of health and immunity (related to age and vaccinations), but also to a large extent on the speed and quality of medical care, which are better in countries with higher incomes (and older populations). As of August 1, 2022, the *DC* and *CFR* values decreased for richer European countries [29]. Probably, the better medical care and higher vaccination level in richer countries helps to reduce *CFR* despite older populations.




Fig. 1. Accumulated numbers of COVID-19 cases per ten persons ($CC/100,000$; blue), accumulated numbers of deaths per thousand ($DC/1000$; black), case fatality risks (CFR , %, red), and best fitting lines versus median age in years.

Bolder lines and larger markers correspond to September 10, 2023. Solid lines were calculated with the use of total dataset listed in Table S1, dashed lines – only to countries listed in lines 1-62, dashed-dotted lines – to Zero-COVID countries listed in lines 63-72.

Clarification point: No humans or human data was used during this study

Data availability: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this text.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Solidarity Satellite Programme of Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, UK. The authors are grateful to Professor Robin Thompson and Oleksii Rodionov for their support and providing very useful information.

References

1. <https://covid19.who.int/data>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
2. COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). <https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/tree/master/public/data>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
3. <https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/covid-19-reinfection-data>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.

4. Guedes, A.R., Oliveira, M.S., Tavares, B.M. *et al.* Reinfection rate in a cohort of healthcare workers over 2 years of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sci Rep* 13, 712 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-25908-6>
5. Flacco M E et al. Risk of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection 18 Months After Primary Infection: Population-Level Observational Study. *Front. Public Health*, 02 May 2022. Sec. Infectious Diseases: Epidemiology and Prevention. Volume 10 - 2022 | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.884121>
6. <https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
7. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Variants_of_SARS-CoV-2. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
8. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/variant-classifications.html>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
9. I. Nesteruk. Can we start to ignore the SARS-CoV-2 disease? Preprint posted in medRxiv 2023.09.18.; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.18.23295709>
10. Statsenko Y. et al. Impact of Age and Sex on COVID-19 Severity Assessed From Radiologic and Clinical Findings. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, 25 February 2022. Sec. Clinical Microbiology Volume 11 – 2021. | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.777070>
11. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M; CMMID COVID-19 working group; Eggo RM. Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nat Med.* 2020 Aug;26(8):1205-1211. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9. Epub 2020 Jun 16. PMID: 32546824.
12. Fowlkes A.L., Yoon S.K., Lutrick K., Gwynn L., Burns J., Grant L., Phillips A., Ellingson K., Ferraris M., LeClair M., et al. Effectiveness of 2-Dose BNT162b2 (Pfizer BioNTech) mRNA Vaccine in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Children Aged 5-11 Years and Adolescents Aged 12–15 Years -PROTECT Cohort, July 2021–February 2022. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 2022;71:422–428. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7111e1.
13. Shang W, Kang L, Cao G, Wang Y, Gao P, Liu J, Liu M. Percentage of Asymptomatic Infections among SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant-Positive Individuals: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2022 Jun 30;10(7):1049. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10071049. PMID: 35891214; PMCID: PMC9321237.
14. Schreiber, P.W., Scheier, T., Wolfensberger, A. *et al.* Parallel dynamics in the yield of universal SARS-CoV-2 admission screening and population incidence. *Sci Rep* 13, 7296 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33824-6>
15. <https://edition.cnn.com/2020/11/02/europe/slovakia-mass-coronavirus-test-intl/index.html>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
16. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/slovakias-second-round-coronavirus-tests-draws-large-crowds>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
17. <https://podillyanews.com/2020/12/17/u-shkolah-hmelnytskogo-provely-eksperyment-z-testuvannyama-covid-19/>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
18. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2021, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 85–96. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487
19. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zero-COVID>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
20. <https://database.earth/population>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.
21. Nesteruk, I. What is wrong with Chinese COVID-19 statistics? *Epidemiology Biostatistics and Public Health* 18(1):9-11, 2023. DOI: 10.54103/2282-0930/20637
22. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/taiwan/>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.
23. <https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/taiwan-population/>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.

24. <https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/china-hong-kong-sar-population/>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.
25. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/china-hong-kong-sar/>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.
26. <https://www.visualcapitalist.com/mapped-the-median-age-of-every-continent/>. Retrieved September 30, 2023.
27. Draper NR, Smith H. Applied regression analysis. 3rd ed. John Wiley; 1998.
28. <https://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/cd-22/manual/v2appendixc.pdf>. Retrieved November 23, 2023.
29. I. Nesteruk, O. Rodionov. The COVID-19 pandemic in rich and poor countries. Preprint. Research Square. Posted December 7, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2348206/v1>

Supplementary

The linear regression is used to calculate the regression coefficients r and the coefficients a and b of corresponding best fitting straight lines, [27]:

$$y = a + bA \quad (S1)$$

where explanatory variable is median age A and dependent variables y are CC , DC and CFR .

The F-test for the null hypothesis that says that the proposed linear relationship (S1) fits the data sets. The experimental values of the Fisher function can be calculated with the use of the formula:

$$F = \frac{r^2(n-m)}{(1-r^2)(m-1)} \quad (S2)$$

where n is the number of observations (number of countries and regions taken for statistical analysis); $m=2$ is the number of parameters in the regression equation, [27]. The corresponding experimental values F have to be compared with the critical values $F_c(k_1, k_2)$ of the Fisher function at a desired significance or confidence level ($k_1 = m-1$, $k_2 = n-m$, see, e.g., [28]). If $F / F_c(k_1, k_2) < 1$, the null hypothesis is not supported by the results of observations. The highest values of $F / F_c(k_1, k_2)$ correspond to the most reliable correlations.

Table S1. Median age, accumulated numbers the COVID-19 cases and deaths per capita, case fatality risks.

No i	Country or region	Me- dian age in years, [20, 26], A_i	Accumulated numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases per million, [2]		Accumulated numbers of COVID-19 related deaths per million, [2]		Case fatality risks, $CFR_i^{(j)} = [DC_i^{(j)} / CC_i^{(j)}]$ *100%; $j=1,2$	
			Dec. 31, 2022, $CC_i^{(1)}$	Sep. 10, 2023, $CC_i^{(2)}$	Dec. 31, 2022, $DC_i^{(1)}$	Sep. 10, 2023, $DC_i^{(2)}$	Dec. 31, 2022, $CFR_i^{(1)}\%$	Sep. 10, 2023, $CFR_i^{(2)}\%$
1	USA	38.5	293865.4	305763.9	3199.789	3331.912	1.089	1.090
2	India	28.7	31526.41	31751.74	374.479	375.414	1.188	1.182
3	France	41.7	587831.2	603427.6	2501.554	2599.316	0.426	0.431
4	Germany	47.8	446707.5	461051.1	1987.985	2098.829	0.445	0.455
5	Brazil	33.2	168602.6	175183.5	3221.972	3272.712	1.911	1.868
6	Japan	48.6	234809.8	272715.7	463.995	602.606	0.198	0.221
7	Italy	46.5	426312.9	440207.7	3130.08	3242.127	0.734	0.736
8	UK	40.6	358390.2	366026.1	3201.28	3396.691	0.893	0.928
9	Turkey	32.2	199255.1	199255.1	1188.394	1188.394	0.596	0.596
10	Mexico	29.3	57030.53	60217.33	2599.908	2623.915	4.559	4.357
11	Peru	29.1	130816.2	132711.8	6407.655	6504.19	4.898	4.901
12	Iran	31.7	85386.89	85993	1633.891	1652.796	1.914	1.922
13	Indonesia	31.1	24391.22	24730.83	582.981	587.721	2.390	2.376
14	Canada	41.8	116834.2	122158	1268.284	1382.081	1.086	1.131
15	South Africa	28.8	67595.88	67995.81	1712.495	1712.946	2.533	2.519
16	Egypt	24.1	4644.856	4649.271	223.461	223.714	4.811	4.812
17	Israel	30.4	491245.2	511817.9	1235.475	1340.353	0.251	0.262
18	Nigeria	18.6	1219.221	1311.295	14.437	14.437	1.184	1.101
19	Spain	44.8	289221.145	293960.095	2500.976	2562.143	0.865	0.872
20	Poland	40.0	159780.34	163577.777	2973.946	3001.771	1.861	1.835
21	Nether- lands	42.0	487705.377	490669.334	1308.129	1308.698	0.268	0.267
22	Belgium	41.3	401933.335	412808.235	2858.547	2946.056	0.711	0.714
23	Sweden	39.7	254066.009	257375.787	2114.443	2345.453	0.832	0.911
24	Iceland	36.5	555675.336	560580.097	498.789	498.789	0.0897	0.0890
25	Romania	41.3	168291.091	174427.636	3427.086	3473.985	2.036	1.992
26	Azerbaijan	32.5	79774.549	80366.068	966.202	994.586	1.211	1.238
27	Kazakhstan	29.5	76994.337	77474.851	982.473	983.194	1.276	1.269
28	Uzbekistan	27.0	4831.168	5017.32	29.341	29.341	0.607	0.585
29	Philippines	25.0	35156.576	35569.213	565.919	576.909	1.610	1.622
30	Malaysia	30.8	148097.472	151069.579	1086.003	1095.726	0.733	0.725
31	Thailand	40.2	65887.24	66346.366	469.601	480.745	0.713	0.725
32	Pakistan	20.6	6681.446	6702.563	129.906	129.995	1.944	1.939
33	Bangladesh	27.1	11900.042	11949.065	171.976	172.192	1.445	1.441
34	Sri Lanka	33.0	30774.981	30806.815	770.286	773.263	2.503	2.510
35	Nepal	24.4	32768.547	32847.898	393.452	393.845	1.201	1.199

36	United Arab Emirates	33.5	110888.963	113019.214	248.699	248.805	0.224	0.220
37	Saudi Arabia	30.6	22713.834	23111.678	261.338	264.936	1.151	1.146
38	Ethiopia	18.8	4036.102	4061.025	61.371	61.388	1.521	1.512
39	Tanzania	17.0	647.289	657.702	12.901	12.916	1.993	1.964
40	Kenya	19.6	6338.811	6367.037	105.28	105.298	1.661	1.654
41	Uganda	16.3	3595.587	3637.111	76.826	76.868	2.137	2.113
42	Sudan	18.6	1358.999	1365.207	106.562	107.65	7.841	7.885
43	Algeria	28.2	6040.033	6054.732	153.241	153.241	2.537	2.531
44	Morocco	29.3	33945.988	34069.54	434.994	435.074	1.281	1.277
45	Angola	16.3	2953.02	2977.1	54.23	54.399	1.836	1.827
46	Cameroon	17.7	4441.878	4481.177	70.393	70.716	1.585	1.578
47	Democratic republic of Congo	15.6	961.234	998.18	14.766	14.827	1.536	1.485
48	Cote d'Ivoire	17.9	3122.844	3136.942	29.509	29.651	0.945	0.945
49	Mada-gascar	19.3	2287.946	2307.803	47.886	48.123	2.093	2.085
50	Malawi	17.2	4322.942	4358.325	131.583	131.632	3.044	3.020
51	Mali	15.3	1450.411	1467.407	32.885	32.885	2.267	2.241
52	Mozam-bique	17.0	7007.654	7086.697	67.699	68.215	0.966	0.963
53	Niger	14.5	362.256	363.057	11.981	12.019	3.307	3.310
54	Zambia	17.3	16695.05	17448.934	200.972	203.27	1.204	1.165
55	Burkina Faso	16.9	971.299	972.754	17.421	17.465	1.794	1.795
56	Ghana	20.7	5109.591	5127.664	43.643	43.673	0.854	0.852
57	Argentina	31.9	217338.356	220929.146	2859.219	2866.866	1.316	1.298
58	Colombia	31.6	122317.762	123007.934	2740.851	2755.56	2.241	2.240
59	Panama	29.3	232223.876	237606.332	1943.255	1962.763	0.837	0.826
60	Cuba	41.4	99175.202	99454.451	760.779	760.779	0.767	0.765
61	Ecuador	28.3	58038.38	59392.36	1997	2002.277	3.441	3.371
62	Venezuela	28.3	19447.171	19528.686	206.03	206.913	1.059	1.060
63	South Korea	43.2	560818.7	667207.1	622.822	693.495	0.111	0.104
64	Australia	37.5	412017.8	442814.2	680.587	900.471	0.165	0.203
65	New Zealand	37.2	396786	458570.6	449.541	635.259	0.113	0.139
66	Vietnam	31.9	117379.7	118378.2	439.835	440.039	0.375	0.372
67	Taiwan	42.3	370284.7	428515.6	638.377	795.2	0.172	0.186
68	Hong Kong	45.6	350605	389150.1**	1576.608	1895.5**	0.450	0.487
69	Bhutan	29.4	79916.21	80128.37	26.839	26.839	0.0336	0.0335
70	Tonga	21.9	153574.1	157401.3	112.289	112.289	0.0731	0.0713
71	Singapore	42.8	390521.6	455261.1	303.529	332.09	0.0777	0.0729
72	Montserrat	43.7	317924.3	317924.3	1812.826	1812.826	0.570	0.570

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

73	European Union	44.4	397673.6	408494.6	2668.518	2763.03	0.671	0.676
74	Europe	42	325338.4	334708.4	2700.244	2788.427	0.830	0.833
75	Africa	18	9111.662	9201.963	181.186	181.545	1.989	1.973
76	Asia	31	58153.69	63664.54	325.065	345.988	0.559	0.543
77	North America	35	199059.8	207318.4	2580.998	2670.316	1.297	1.288
78	South America	31	152849.9	157558.3	3071.714	3104.744	2.010	1.971
79	The world	30.5	91468.7	96645.07	842.509	872.575	0.921	0.903

* values calculated with the use of [22, 23]; ** values calculated with the use of [24, 25].

Zero-COVID countries are marked by green color. Aggregated characteristics are magenta.