

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

EMS injury cause codes more accurate than emergency department visit ICD-10-CM codes for firearm injury intent in North Carolina

Nicole L. Snyder¹, Amy Ising^{1*}, Anna E. Waller¹

¹ Carolina Center for Health Informatics in the Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

*Corresponding author
E-mail: amy_ising@med.unc.edu (AI)

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background**

27 The timeliness, accuracy, and completeness of data for firearm injury surveillance is crucial for
28 public health surveillance efforts and informing injury prevention measures. While emergency
29 department (ED) visit data can provide near real-time information on firearms injuries, there are
30 concerns surrounding the accuracy of intent coding in these data. We examined whether
31 emergency medical service (EMS) data provide more accurate firearm injury intent coding in
32 comparison to ED data.

33 **Methods**

34 We applied a firearm injury definition to EMS encounter data in NC's statewide syndromic
35 surveillance system (NC DETECT), from January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022. Each
36 record was manually reviewed to determine shooter and intent, and the corresponding manual
37 classifications were compared to the injury cause codes entered in the EMS data and to ED visit
38 records, where linkage was possible.

39 **Results**

40 We identified 9557 EMS encounters from January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022 meeting
41 our firearm injury definition. After removing false positives and duplicates, 8584 records were
42 available for manual injury classification. Overall, our analysis demonstrated that manual and
43 EMS injury cause code classification were comparable. However, for the 3401 EMS encounters
44 that could be linked to an ED visit record, only 18.3% (n = 355) of the 1945 assaults and 22.2%
45 (n = 38) of the 171 intentional self-harm suicide encounters we identified in the EMS records were
46 identified as assault firearm injures in the ED visit data. This demonstrates a marked difference
47 in the intent coding between the two data sources.

48 **Conclusions**

49 This study illustrates both the value of examining EMS encounters for firearm injury intent, and
50 the challenges of accurate intent coding in the ED setting. The results also suggest the importance
51 of developing better guidance around intent coding for firearm injuries in the ED.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 **Introduction**

60 As firearm injury continues to be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States
61 (US), public health researchers continue to seek improvements to the timeliness, accuracy, and
62 completeness of firearm injury surveillance [1]. In North Carolina (NC), firearm injury surveillance
63 relies primarily on the NC Violent Death Reporting System (NC-VDRS), hospital administrative
64 data, and syndromic surveillance emergency department visit data [2]. While the syndromic
65 surveillance emergency department (ED) visit data provides near real time information on nonfatal
66 firearm injury in NC, there are concerns about accuracy when subtyping firearm injuries by intent
67 (assault, unintentional, intentional self-harm). For example, while some studies estimate
68 unintentional firearm injury to represent 16% or less of all nonfatal firearm injury, approximately
69 60% of ED firearm visits in NC are identified as unintentional [3, 4]. Several other studies that
70 have documented these coding intent discrepancies and the potential overclassification of
71 nonfatal firearm injury as unintentional, relied on urban, Level I Trauma center data from a small
72 number of hospitals [5, 6]. For comparison, we aimed to quantify any coding intent discrepancies
73 using data from a statewide system in a predominantly rural state. To achieve this, we compared
74 firearm injury intent classifications as identified in statewide emergency medical services (EMS)
75 encounter data to the firearm injury intent classifications identified in syndromic surveillance ED
76 visit data, for those patients transported to the ED by EMS. We specifically chose to examine
77 EMS data because EMS narratives provide rich contextual details on the entire EMS encounter
78 and can, therefore, provide insights into firearm injury intent.

79 **Methods**

80 We conducted this evaluation in several steps. First, we applied a firearm injury definition to EMS
81 encounter data for encounters sent to NC DETECT, NC's statewide syndromic surveillance
82 system, from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022. We then manually reviewed each

83 record and documented shooter and intent. Third, we compared manual intent classifications to
84 those documented in the EMS data based solely on injury cause code. Finally, we linked the EMS
85 records to corresponding ED visit records, where possible, to compare our manually assigned
86 intent classifications to the intent coding in the ED visit data. The UNC IRB determined this study
87 to be exempt from full review.

88

89 **EMS firearm V1 definition**

90 The EMS firearm definition we used for this analysis is outlined in Table 1. It searches the
91 complaint for gunshot wound related injuries OR the injury cause field for firearm-related ICD-10-
92 CM codes. The definition also searches for gunshot wound related injuries in the narrative if the
93 dispatch complaint is *Stab/Gunshot Wound/Penetrating Trauma*. This initial definition was
94 designed to maximize sensitivity, while excluding EMS encounters that are not for active
95 responses based on EMS patient disposition.

96

97 **Table 1. EMS Firearm V1 Definition.**

Complaint Terms	GSW or gunshot or "gun shot" or "shot myself" or "shot self*" or firearm or "I got shot" or "been shot" or "shot gun" or shotgun
Injury cause codes	W34, W34.0, W34.09, W34.00, W34.00XA, W33.02, W33.03, W33.09, W33.01, W32.0, W32, W34.1, W33.0, W33, X94.0XXA, X95.9, X95.9XXA, Y22, Y22.XXXA, Y23.1, X72.XXXA, X74.9, Y35.0, Y35.02, Y35.023, Y35.03, Y35.092, Y35.093, Y35.003, Y23.3, Y22.0, Y24.8, Y23.8, Y23, Y23.0, Y23.0XXA, Y38.4X, Y24.9, Y24.9XXA, Y23.9
Dispatch complaint AND narrative	dispatch complaint is <i>Stab/Gunshot Wound/Penetrating Trauma</i> AND narrative keywords of GSW or gun shot or gunshot
Patient disposition exclusions	Assist, Agency; Assist, Public, Assist; Unit, Canceled (Prior to Arrival At Scene); Canceled on Scene (No Patient Contact); Canceled on Scene (No Patient Found); Standby-No Services or Support Provided; Standby-Public Safety, Fire, or EMS Operational Support Provided; Transport Non-Patient, Organs, etc.

98

99 **EMS encounter manual review**

100 With approval from the NC Office of Emergency Medical Services, we downloaded all EMS
101 encounters from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022 meeting the firearm v1 definition
102 from NC's statewide syndromic surveillance system NC DETECT on February 1, 2023 with an
103 update to incorporate additional records on May 1, 2023[7]. The authors did not have access to
104 any information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection. For each
105 EMS encounter, we manually documented the shooter (self, other, unknown) and intent
106 (intentional, unintentional, undetermined) in a spreadsheet, which we also collapsed into the
107 categories used in injury intent coding in the International Classification of Diseases: assault
108 (other – intentional), self-inflicted (self – intentional), unintentional (other, unknown, and self) and
109 undetermined (other, unknown, and self). We also indicated false positives. We then added notes,
110 as needed, to document our rationale for any of these classifications. EMS encounters were
111 reviewed by only one person, but all challenging EMS encounters were reviewed by three
112 reviewers to achieve consensus on coding. We included both non-fatal and fatal firearm injuries
113 in our review. Additional decision points are listed below:

- 114 • Intent was assigned using complaint, narrative, and injury cause code fields. Sometimes
115 these fields provided conflicting information. When that occurred, the reviewer used group
116 consensus to determine intent.
- 117 • If injury cause was coded as undetermined, a more precise intent may have been assigned
118 based on the narrative description.
- 119 • When the narrative described an incident as a drive-by shooting or shot by someone else
120 while in a car with no documented/undetermined injury cause, intent was classified as
121 assault.
- 122 • If a patient was transported to an ED by EMS, stabilized, and then transferred by EMS to
123 another ED, both EMS encounters were coded.

- 124 • For deaths at the scene with inconclusive intent in the EMS record, death certificate data
125 were consulted to determine intent, if a linkage could be identified based on patient age,
126 sex, race, county of residence and date of death.

127

128 **False positives**

129 We excluded any encounters identified by the firearm v.1 definition that described injuries caused
130 by bb guns, pellet guns, nail guns, air guns, or firearms used as a blunt weapon, e.g., “pistol whip.”
131 We also excluded subsequent encounters for previous firearm injury and near misses.

132

133 **EMS-specific descriptive analyses**

134 After we completed the manual review and removed false positives, we conducted a descriptive
135 analysis of EMS firearm encounters. We calculated counts and crude rates statewide and by EMS
136 county by sex, race, ethnicity, and age group. We compared the intent as identified in the injury
137 cause field to the intent assigned manually during the review process. We classified EMS
138 encounters by injury cause code into assault, intentional self-harm/suicide, unintentional,
139 undetermined, and a final category of non-firearm injury cause codes. If the EMS encounter
140 record included two conflicting firearm injury cause codes, we classified the encounter based on
141 the first code listed.

142

143 **EMS-ED linkage**

144 The EMS encounters from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022 meeting the EMS firearm
145 v1 definition were linked, when possible, to the corresponding ED visit record and provided to the
146 authors for analysis on October 19, 2023. The linkage used an existing hierarchical deterministic
147 process to match records based on drop-off time by EMS, arrival time in the ED, EMS destination

148 name, EMS destination type, patient date of birth, sex and race/ethnicity, ED facility name, and
149 ED transport mode [8]. The linkage rules use the same variables across multiple steps with
150 different matching criteria to maximize the number of linkages while minimizing false linkages.
151 Several updates were made to the linkage process as part of this analysis to increase the
152 likelihood of a successful linkage; we updated the EMS encounter destination type required for
153 linkage to include missing and *Freestanding Emergency Department* destination types as well as
154 *Hospital-Emergency Department*. We also allowed records in the linkage process with missing
155 race and updated the free text list of hospital names used by EMS agencies in the Destination
156 Name field to include additional aliases and misspellings, increasing that list from 3213 to 5739
157 entries.

158

159 **EMS-ED linkage descriptive analyses**

160 We determined the number and percentage of linked EMS records to corresponding ED visits
161 and compared the EMS manually assigned intent to the intent in the ED visit data as identified by
162 ED firearm injury intent definitions developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
163 (CDC) and implemented in NC DETECT [9, 10].

164

165 **Results and discussion**

166

167 We identified 9557 EMS encounters from January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022 meeting
168 our firearm injury definition. Of these, 969 (10%) were identified as false positives and four records
169 were duplicates, leaving 8584 EMS encounters for this analysis.

170

171 **False positive analysis**

172 We grouped the 969 false positives we observed in our data into seven categories, as shown in
173 Table 2. We then analyzed the false positives to inform improvements to our EMS firearm injury
174 definition.

175 **Table 2. EMS Syndrome Definition False Positive Categories.**

False Positive Category	Count (%)
Other types of injuries or other types of false positives that could not be categorized	222 (22.9%)
Pistol whips with no penetrating firearm discharge injury	185 (19.1%)
Subsequent encounters for a previous firearm injury	173 (17.9%)
Firearm injuries by bb guns, pellet guns, air guns, nail guns, etc.	121 (12.5%)
Near misses, e.g., injuries sustained near gunshots but with no evidence of direct injury by a bullet	113 (11.7%)
Mental health concerns without firearm injury	92 (9.5%)
Stabbings	63 (6.5%)

176
177 The largest number of false positives, 22.9% (n = 222) fell into the *other types of injuries* category,
178 which included EMS encounters with no documented firearm discharge and other types of
179 assault. Pistol whips were also a common source of false positives; 173 (93.5%) of these had a
180 firearm injury cause code, typically *Assault by unspecified firearm discharge (X95.9)*, even though
181 there was no documented firearm discharge injury in the EMS record. We often identified
182 subsequent encounters (n = 173, 17.9%) in the EMS narrative text; 80 (46.2%) of these
183 subsequent encounter records had a firearm discharge injury cause code. Injuries sustained near
184 gunshots but with no evidence of direct injury by a bullet, e.g., injuries sustained by falls running
185 away from gunshots, lacerations sustained from broken glass caused by bullets hitting
186 windshields and windows, etc., comprised 11.7% (n = 113) of false positives. Examples of the 92
187 encounters (9.5%) that fell into the mental health category included family members of firearm
188 injury victims who had a mental health crisis after the firearm injury event, suicidal patients with
189 firearms who did not suffer firearm injury, patients with mental health conditions claiming firearm
190 injury with no identified firearm injury, and patients with substance misuse claiming firearm injury
191 with no identified firearm injury. The 63 (6.5%) stabbing-related false positives resulted primarily
192 from including the *Stab/Gunshot Wound/Penetrating Trauma* dispatch complaint in our definition

193 and this dispatch complaint text being repeated in the narrative text. Based on our false positive
194 analysis, we hypothesize that the addition of chief complaint exclusion terms used in the CDC-
195 developed ED firearm definition may improve our EMS firearm definition [9]. Additionally,
196 exclusion terms that address false positives, e.g., pistol whips, even when the encounter has a
197 firearm discharge injury cause code, will require logic that applies these exclusion terms to
198 encounters with firearm discharge injury cause codes in addition to exclusion terms specific to
199 the complaint and narrative free text fields.

200

201 **EMS descriptive analyses**

202 The primary cause of firearm related injury in 2021-2022 EMS encounter data was assault, which
203 encompassed 51.8% (n = 4446) of all recorded events, followed by undetermined (n = 1694;
204 19.7%), intentional self-harm (n = 1581;18.4%), and unintentional (n = 862; 10.1%). The ICD-10-
205 CM injury intent coding does not classify unintentional and undetermined firearm injury by
206 perpetrator, but our manual intent coding revealed that 7.3% (n = 634) of EMS firearm encounters
207 were for unintentional self-inflicted injury, e.g., persons shooting themselves while cleaning,
208 holstering, storing, retrieving, stepping on and/or dropping firearms. This finding presents an
209 opportunity to inform unintentional firearm injury prevention messaging with specific scenarios.
210 For example, public health messaging could remind firearm owners to clean their firearms while
211 sober, and to store their firearms unloaded.

212

213 **Fig 1. EMS Firearm Injuries by shooter and intent**

214

215

216 **EMS demographic analysis**

217 We categorized firearm injury intent by key demographics, revealing several important differences
218 by sex, age group, and race/ethnicity, as shown in Table 3. Notably, EMS firearm injuries were

219 more than 7.0 times more likely to occur among males in comparison to females. Males were also
220 4.7 times more likely to be involved in an assault-related firearm injury and 6.2 times more likely
221 to be involved in an intentional self-inflicted firearm injury compared to females. With respect to
222 age group, the highest rate of firearms related assaults was among those aged 19 to 24 (112.77
223 events per 100,000), followed by those aged 15 to 18 (92.90 events per 100,000). In contrast,
224 those aged 65+, followed closely by those aged 19 to 24 years of age, were more likely to be
225 involved in an intentional self-inflicted firearm injury, with rates of 23.31 per 100,000 and 19.28
226 per 100,000, respectively. For race/ethnicity, Black or African Americans were 13.3 times more
227 likely to be involved in a firearm injury related to assault in comparison to White persons, while
228 White persons had the highest rate of intentional self-inflicted firearm injury, highlighting the
229 disparities among these two demographic groups. Notably, American Indian/Alaskan Native
230 (AIAN) persons had the second highest assault firearm injury rate, which was nearly four times
231 higher than Whites, at 41.43 per 100,000.

232 These results highlight the importance of targeted interventions. For example, holistic
233 interventions that target middle-school and high-school age students could result in significant
234 reductions in assault and intentional self-harm events. Recent efforts focused on establishing
235 universal firearms risk injury screening in care settings for adolescents and youths [11], as well
236 as developing a better understanding of firearm access among this group [12], may help drive
237 preventative mechanisms in this area. Interventions that examine the underlying causes of the
238 increasing rates of intentional self-harm among those aged 65+ [13], might lead to interventions
239 such as increased health care provider communication and policies that address firearms access
240 to those with signs of dementia and/or depression [14, 15]. Finally, holistic efforts to address the
241 disparities that exist among different racial and ethnic groups with higher rates of firearm-related
242 injury events [16] would be an important step forward for reducing injuries among these groups.

244 **Table 3. EMS Firearm Injury Demographics, by Count and Crude Rate.^a**

Demographic	Assault	Intentional Self-Harm	Undetermined	Unintentional	All Intents
Total	4446 (39.17)	1581 (13.93)	1694 (14.93)	863 (7.60)	8584 (75.64)
Sex					
Male	3621 (70.34)	1342 (26.07)	1403 (27.25)	743 (14.43)	7109 (138.09)
Female	808 (14.77)	230 (4.21)	270 (4.90)	112 (2.05)	1420 (25.99)
Unknown/Missing	17	9	21	8	55
Age Group					
Infant/Preschool (0-4)	19 (3.20)	0 (0.00)	20 (3.37)	26 (4.37)	65 (10.93)
Elementary School (5-9)	23 (3.80)	0 (0.00)	19 (3.14)	14 (2.31)	56 (9.25)
Middle School (10-14)	86 (13.23)	22 (3.38)	36 (5.54)	32 (4.92)	176 (27.07)
High School (15-18)	515 (92.90)	66 (11.91)	199 (35.90)	53 (9.56)	833 (150.26)
College (19-24)	1012 (112.77)	173 (19.28)	354 (39.45)	175 (19.50)	1714 (190.99)
Young Adult (25-44)	2074 (75.25)	434 (15.75)	751 (27.25)	311 (11.28)	3579 (129.54)
Middle Aged (45-64)	580 (21.41)	443 (16.35)	229 (8.45)	155 (5.72)	1407 (51.93)
Senior (65+)	91 (4.93)	430 (23.31)	51 (2.76)	90 (4.88)	662 (35.88)
Unknown/Missing	46	13	35	7	101
Race/Ethnicity					
American Indian/Alaskan Native	74 (41.43)	6 (3.36)	61 (34.15)	12 (6.72)	153 (85.67)
Asian/Pacific Islander	22 (5.63)	17 (4.35)	7 (1.79)	11 (2.82)	57 (14.59)
Black or African American	3103 (139.28)	211 (9.47)	1020 (45.78)	266 (11.94)	4600 (206.47)
Hispanic or Latino	281 (24.24)	56 (4.83)	89 (7.68)	45 (3.88)	471 (40.63)
White	801 (10.83)	1246 (16.85)	351 (4.75)	485 (6.56)	2883 (38.99)
Unknown/Missing	165	45	166	44	420

^aData are reported as count followed by rates per 100,000 persons within a given demographic in the state of North Carolina. For the total row, the total population was used for the denominator.

245
246
247
248
249

250

251 **Manual intent and injury cause code classification comparison**

252 Next, we compared our manually assigned intent classifications to the EMS injury cause codes
253 (ICD-10-CM) alone. The results are shown in Table 4. There were 2358 firearm injury encounters
254 (27.5%) that were missing an injury cause code. Of the remaining 6226 EMS encounters, our
255 manual intent coding was comparable to the intent identified solely by the injury cause code.
256 Although our manual intent classifications referenced the injury cause codes during review, these
257 results suggest that EMS injury cause codes can serve as a reliable indicator of firearm injury
258 intent when available.

259 **Table 4. Manual and Injury Cause Code Intent Classification Comparison, Count (Column**
260 **%).**

Intent	Manual Injury Classification	EMS Injury Cause Code Classification*
Assault	4446 (51.8%)	3055 (49.1%)
Intentional Self - Harm/Suicide	1581 (18.4%)	1111 (17.8%)
Unintentional	862 (10.1%)	580 (9.3%)
Undetermined	1694 (19.7%)	1211 (19.5%)
Multiple Intent Codes	---	105 (1.7%)
Other (non-firearm)	--	164 (2.6%)
Total	8584 (100%)	6226 (100%)

261 *Missing = 2,358

262
263 We further examined instances where our manual review did NOT match the intent as assigned
264 by the EMS injury cause code. Our manual intent classifications did not agree with the assigned
265 injury cause code intents for 773 EMS encounters, of which 641 (82.9%) were assigned an
266 undetermined injury cause code. With manual review of the narrative text, we were able to assign
267 436 of these to assault, followed by 156 to intentional self-harm and 49 to unintentional. The
268 manual intent assignments resulted in more specific intent coding rather than a change of intent

269 for most EMS encounters. With an improvement in completeness, EMS injury cause codes alone
270 can be a reliable source of intent-specific firearm injury surveillance.

271 EMS disposition

272 We also grouped the EMS firearm injury encounters by EMS disposition to document both the
273 continuum of care for patients initially encountered by EMS, and to assess the potential for linking
274 each event to ED visit data (Table 5). Most events were recorded as '*Patient Treated, Transported*
275 *by this EMS Unit*' (n = 6054, 71%). A total of 1889 patients (22%) were dead at the scene from
276 firearm injury, 67% (n = 1062) of which were from intentionally self-inflicted gunshot wounds. For
277 intents other than intentional self-harm, the majority of EMS encounters for firearm injury result in
278 EMS transport.

279 **Table 5. EMS Disposition by Intent by Count (Row %).**

Intent	All Encounters	Patient treated, transported by this EMS Unit	Patient Dead at Scene (with and without transport)	Other Disposition
Assault	4446	3484 (78%)	602 (14%)	360 (8%)
Intentional Self-Harm	1581	467 (30%)	1062 (67%)	52 (3%)
Unintentional	863	770 (89%)	15 (2%)	78 (9%)
Undetermined	1694	1333 (79%)	210 (12%)	151 (9%)
All Intents	8584	6054 (71%)	1889 (22%)	641 (7%)

280

281 EMS-ED linkage results

282 We then examined the linkage between the firearm injury events in our EMS analysis with ED
283 data with the goal of comparing firearm injury intent across the two data sources. Of the 8854
284 EMS encounters included in our analysis, 6054 had a disposition of '*Patient Treated, Transported*
285 *by this EMS Unit*' and, of those, 5558 had a destination type of '*Hospital-Emergency Department*',
286 '*Freestanding Emergency Department*' or '*Missing*', and 5353 of those were transported to an ED
287 in NC DETECT (i.e., all civilian, acute care EDs in NC), based on a review of the free text

288 destination name. We were able to link 3401 (63.5%) of these EMS encounters to a corresponding
 289 ED visit (Figure 2).

290 **Fig 2. EMS-ED linkage results**

291
 292 For the EMS records that we successfully linked to an ED visit record (n = 3401), only
 293 18.3% (n=355) of the assaults we identified in the EMS data (n=1945) were binned into the CDC
 294 Firearm Assault v1 definition, and only 22.2% (n=38) of the intentional self-harm suicide
 295 encounters (n=171) were binned into the CDC Firearm Intentional Self-Harm v1 definition. These
 296 findings illustrate the challenges of accurate intent coding in the ED setting and the potential
 297 impact of the CMS coding guidance that requires injury intent to default to unintentional if the
 298 intent is not identified in the physician documentation [17]. They also suggest that EMS
 299 encounters may serve as a better proximate for understanding intent for firearm injury than ED
 300 data, given the current coding guidance. EMS encounters identified as firearm injuries that were
 301 not identified as firearm injuries in the ED data often had trauma-related chief complaints and/or
 302 had diagnosis codes for penetrating injuries without an external mechanism firearm ICD-10-CM
 303 code.

304 **Table 6. Count (Row %) of EMS encounters linked to ED visits and binned into ED**
 305 **Firearm Syndromes by EMS Manual Intent.^a**

Intent of EMS records assigned by manual review	EMS Firearm Encounters linked to ED visits	ED CDC Firearm All Intents V2	ED CDC Firearm Assault V1	ED CDC Firearm Intentional Self-Harm V1	ED CDC Firearm Unintentional / Undetermined V1	Not binned into any ED firearm injury-related syndrome
Assault	1945	1794 (92.2%)	355 (18.3%)	6 (0.3%)	1234 (63.4%)	151 (7.8%)
Unintentional (self, other, or unknown shooter)	509	472 (92.7%)	9 (1.8%)	3 (0.59%)	378 (74.3%)	37 (7.3%)
Intentional Self-Harm / Suicide	171	136 (79.5%)	3 (1.8%)	38 (22.2%)	77 (45.0%)	35 (20.4%)

Undetermined (self, other, or unknown shooter)	776	721 (92.9%)	126 (16.2%)	6 (0.77%)	482 (62.1%)	55 (7.1%)
---	-----	----------------	----------------	--------------	----------------	--------------

306 ^a An ED visit can be binned into 0,1 or more syndromes.

307

308 While this study did not include a comprehensive review of EMS encounters transported to an ED
309 that were not successfully linked to an ED visit record, our review of missed linkages revealed
310 that missing or inaccurate dates of birth represented a significant cause of missed linkages. For
311 serious events such as firearm injury, a reliable date of birth may be challenging for EMS to record
312 at the scene.

313 **ED disposition for EMS-ED linked encounters**

314 As shown in Table 7, 1721 (50.6%) of the ED visits linked to an EMS encounter had an ED
315 disposition of discharged home, with 26.8% (n=913) admitted; 230 (6.8%) had a disposition of
316 died in the ED. Of those who died in the ED, 76 (33%) were identified in the EMS encounter as
317 intentional self-harm, and 103 (44.8%) as assault. These data indicate the overall severity of
318 firearm injury and the lethality of firearms when used with intent to inflict injury. Only 2 (0.8%)
319 deaths in the ED were for EMS identified unintentional firearm injuries.

320 **Table 7. ED Disposition for EMS-ED linkage.**

ED Disposition for EMS-ED Linked Data	Count (%)
Discharged to home or self-care (routine discharge)	1721 (50.6%)
Admitted to Hospital	913 (26.8%)
Died	230 (6.8%)
Transferred/discharged to another short-term general hospital	249 (6.5%)
Transferred/discharged to another type of care setting	65 (1.9%)
Transferred/discharged to prison or jail	54 (1.6%)
Left AMA / Discontinued Care	36 (1.1%)
Unknown/Missing	133 (3.9%)
Total	3401 (100%)

321

322 **Limitations**

323 The analysis presented in this report has several limitations. When manually coding intent, we
324 relied on the EMS narrative but if the reporting of the incident intent to or by EMS was inaccurate,
325 then the resulting intent coding may be inaccurate. For example, if the patient stated that they
326 were shot in a drive-by shooting we coded this EMS encounter as an assault, but the patient may
327 have unintentionally shot themselves and reported it as a drive-by shooting. In addition, our
328 descriptive analysis included both nonfatal and fatal EMS encounters. While this reflects the
329 comprehensive EMS data for firearm injury, certain findings may be different when analyzing
330 nonfatal and fatal EMS firearm injury encounters separately. Finally, most of the EMS encounters
331 in this analysis were reviewed by only one reviewer; intent classifications may have been
332 assigned differently by a different reviewer.

333

334 **Conclusions**

335 Timely and accurate injury cause codes are crucial for understanding the nature and scope of
336 firearm-related injuries. In this study, we manually assigned intent to 8584 eligible NC EMS
337 encounters from 2021 and 2022 to better understand the landscape of EMS-related firearm injury
338 calls and to assess the accuracy of firearm injury coding in the ED setting. Manual coding of the
339 data for shooter and intent revealed comparable results to those obtained from examining EMS
340 assigned injury cause code classifications, when available. Of the 63.5% encounters (n = 3401)
341 that could be linked to ED visit data, 1,945 of those were coded in the EMS data as assaults
342 (57.2%). However, only 18.3% (n = 355) of those encounters were classified as assaults based
343 on the ED CDC Assault v1 definition. Similarly, of the 171 encounters coded as intentional self-
344 harm suicide encounters in the EMS, only 22.2% (n = 38) were binned to the CDC Firearm
345 Intentional Self-Harm v1 definition. The ED data likely reflects current CMS coding guidance that

346 requires injury intent to default to unintentional if the intent is not identified in the physician
347 documentation [17].

348 The outcomes of our study reveal that EMS encounter data may be useful for obtaining
349 more granular data from the scene of the injury incident. Such data might be important for
350 developing tailored approaches that reflect regional and demographic differences in firearms
351 injuries. In contrast, the lack of such detailed information in the ED visit data may limit such
352 interventions. For example, if an encounter is the result of an assault, but it is coded as
353 unintentional or undetermined, a patient may not be flagged for post-discharge follow-up that is
354 directed towards supporting victims of assault. Combined, the results of this work illustrate the
355 importance of accurate intent coding for firearms injuries and provides a foundation for the
356 discussion of better coding guidance in the ED setting.

357

358 **Acknowledgements**

359

360 The North Carolina Disease Event Tracking and Epidemiologic Collection Tool (NC DETECT) is
361 an advanced, statewide public health surveillance system. NC DETECT is supported by the North
362 Carolina Division of Public Health through a federal Public Health Emergency Preparedness
363 Grant and is managed through a collaboration between NC DPH and the University of North
364 Carolina at Chapel Hill Department of Emergency Medicine's Carolina Center for Health
365 Informatics. The findings and conclusions in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not
366 necessarily represent the views of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services,
367 Division of Public Health.

368

369 The NC OEMS and the NC EMS Data System supports state, regional and local EMS and
370 healthcare related service delivery from a patient care, resource allocation, and regulatory
371 perspective. This manuscript was not prepared in collaboration with investigators of the NC EMS

372 Data System and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of the NC OEMS, ESO or the
373 study sites participating in the NC EMS Data System.

374

375 The authors also wish to thank Matthew Kerber for his work on the EMS-ED linkage components
376 of this study.

377

378 **References**

379

- 380 1. Barber C, Cook PJ, Parker ST. The emerging infrastructure of US firearms injury
381 data. *Preventive Medicine*. 2022;165:107129.
- 382 2. Keeping Families and Communities Safe: Public Health Approaches to Reduce
383 Violence and Firearm Misuse Leading to Injury and Death [White Paper]. 2022.
- 384 3. Cook PJ, Parker ST. Correcting Misinformation on Firearms Injuries. *JAMA Netw*
385 *Open*. 2022;5(12):e2246434.
- 386 4. NC-FASTER Reports. Carolina Center for Health Informatics in the Department of
387 Emergency Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill & NC Division of
388 Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health. 2023. [https://ncdetect.org/nc-](https://ncdetect.org/nc-faster-firearm-quarterly-reports/)
389 [faster-firearm-quarterly-reports/](https://ncdetect.org/nc-faster-firearm-quarterly-reports/).
- 390 5. Miller M, Azrael D, Yenduri R, Barber C, Bowen A, MacPhaul E, et al. Assessment of
391 the Accuracy of Firearm Injury Intent Coding at 3 US Hospitals. *JAMA Netw Open*.
392 2022;5(12):e2246429.
- 393 6. MacPhaul E, Zhou L, Mooney SJ, Azrael D, Bowen A, Rowhani-Rahbar A, et al.
394 Classifying Firearm Injury Intent in Electronic Hospital Records Using Natural
395 Language Processing. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(4):e235870.
- 396 7. Hakenewerth AM, Waller AE, Ising AI, Tintinalli JE. North Carolina Disease Event
397 Tracking and Epidemiologic Collection Tool (NC DETECT) and the National Hospital
398 Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS): comparison of emergency department
399 data. *Academic emergency medicine*. 2009;16(3):261-9.
- 400 8. Fix J, Ising AI, Proescholdbell SK, Falls DM, Wolff CS, Fernandez AR, et al. Linking
401 Emergency Medical Services and Emergency Department Data to Improve
402 Overdose Surveillance in North Carolina. *Public Health Rep*.
403 2021;136(1_suppl):54S-61S.
- 404 9. Zwald ML, Holland KM, Bowen DA, Simon TR, Dahlberg LL, Stein Z, et al. Using the
405 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Syndromic Surveillance
406 Program Data to Monitor Trends in US Emergency Department Visits for Firearm
407 Injuries, 2018 to 2019. (1097-6760 (Electronic)).
- 408 10. Emergency Department Syndrome & Custom Event Definitions. Carolina Center for
409 Health Informatics in the Department of Emergency Medicine, University of North
410 Carolina at Chapel Hill & NC Division of Health and Human Services, Division of
411 Public Health. 2023. <https://ncdetect.org/case-definitions/>

- 412 11. Ngo QM, Sigel E, Moon A, Stein SF, Massey LS, Rivara F, et al. State of the
413 science: a scoping review of primary prevention of firearm injuries among children
414 and adolescents. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2019;42(4):811-29.
- 415 12. Fleegler EW. Our Limited Knowledge of Youth Suicide Risk and Firearm Access.
416 *JAMA Network Open*. 2021;4(10):e2127965-e.
- 417 13. El Ibrahimy S, Xiao Y, Bergeron CD, Beckford NY, Virgen EM, Smith ML. Suicide
418 Distribution and Trends Among Male Older Adults in the U.S., 1999-2018. *Am J Prev*
419 *Med*. 2021;60(6):802-11.
- 420 14. Morgan ER, Gomez A, Rivara FP, Rowhani-Rahbar A. Household Firearm
421 Ownership and Storage, Suicide Risk Factors, and Memory Loss Among Older
422 Adults: Results From a Statewide Survey. *Annals of Internal Medicine*.
423 2019;171(3):220-2.
- 424 15. Mulcahy LB, Goyal MK, Cohen J. Documentation of Firearm Safety Screening
425 During Emergency Department Visits for Assault-Related Injury. *Health Promotion*
426 *Practice*. 2021;23(6):941-3.
- 427 16. Akinyemi OA, Weldeslase TA, Hughes K, Williams M, Cornwell EE. The Distressed
428 Communities Index: A Measure of Community-Level Economic Deprivation and Rate
429 of Firearm Injuries in Maryland. *The American Surgeon*TM.
430 2023:00031348231191243.
- 431 17. ICD-10-CM Official Guidelines for Coding and Reporting: FY 2023 -- UPDATED April
432 1, 2023. 2023. [https://www.cms.gov/files/document/fy-2023-icd-10-cm-coding-](https://www.cms.gov/files/document/fy-2023-icd-10-cm-coding-guidelines-updated-01/11/2023.pdf)
433 [guidelines-updated-01/11/2023.pdf](https://www.cms.gov/files/document/fy-2023-icd-10-cm-coding-guidelines-updated-01/11/2023.pdf)

434




Fig 1

EMS Firearm Injuries

(2021-2022)

N = 8,584

(See Figure 1)

Treated and Transported by an EMS Unit

n = 6,054

(70.5%)

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.21.23298854>; this version posted November 22, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Destination type of Hospital ED, Freestanding ED, or Missing

eligible for linkage to an ED in NC DETECT

n = 5,353

(88.4%)

Successfully Linked to ED

n = 3,401

(63.5%)

Fig 2