# Monitoring ESBL-Escherichia coli in Swiss wastewater between

# November 2021 and November 2022: insights into population

## 3 carriage

1 2

6 7

8

1112

4 Sheena Conforti<sup>1,2</sup>, Aurélie Holschneider<sup>1</sup>, Émile Sylvestre<sup>1</sup>, Timothy R. Julian<sup>1,3,4</sup>

#### Affiliations:

- 1. Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dübendorf CH-8600, Switzerland
- 2. Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zürich, 4051 Basel, Switzerland
- 9 3. Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, 4051 Basel, Switzerland
- 10 4. University of Basel, 4055 Basel, Switzerland

#### Abstract

- 13 **Background:** Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses a global health threat, causing millions of deaths
- 14 annually, with expectations of increased impact in the future. Wastewater surveillance offers a cost-
- 15 effective, non-invasive tool to understand AMR carriage trends within a population.
- 16 **Aim:** We monitored extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* (ESBL-*E. coli*) weekly
- in influent wastewater from six wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in Switzerland (November 2021
- 18 to November 2022) to investigate spatio-temporal variations, explore correlations with environmental
- variables, develop a predictive model for ESBL-*E. coli* carriage in the community, and detect the most
- 20 prevalent ESBL-genes.
- 21 **Methods:** We cultured total and ESBL-*E. coli* in 300 wastewater samples to quantify daily loads and
- 22 percentage of ESBL-E. coli. Additionally, we screened 234 ESBL-E. coli isolates using molecular-
- methods for the presence of 18 ESBL-gene families.
- 24 **Results:** We found a population-weighted mean percentage of ESBL-*E. coli* of 1.9% (95%CI 1.8%,
- 25 2%) across all sites and weeks, which can inform ESBL-E. coli carriage. Concentrations of ESBL-E. coli
- 26 varied across WWTPs and time, with higher values observed in WWTPs serving larger populations.
- 27 Recent precipitations (previous 24-/96-hours) showed no significant association with ESBL-E. coli,
- while temperature occasionally had a moderate impact (p<0.05, correlation coefficients approximately
- 29 0.40) in some locations. We identified  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ ,  $bla_{CTX-M-9}$  and  $bla_{TEM}$  as the predominant ESBL-gene
- 30 families.
- 31 Conclusions: Our study demonstrates that wastewater-based surveillance of culturable ESBL-E. coli
- 32 provides insights into AMR trends in Switzerland and may also inform resistance. These findings
- 33 establish a foundation for long-term, nationally established monitoring protocols and provide
- information that may help inform targeted public health interventions.

#### Introduction

- 36 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is listed as one of the top ten most serious global health threats by the
- World Health Organization [1]. In 2019, an estimated five million deaths globally were associated with
- 38 AMR infections [2]. Among the factors contributing to these fatalities is the transmission of AMR, with
- 39 environmental pathways playing a significant role in both the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria
- 40 (ARB) and the acquisition of antibiotic-resistant genes (ARGs) by clinically relevant pathogens [3].
- 41 ESBL-*E. coli* are resistant to clinically important antimicrobial drugs and are considered important
- vectors in the transmission of ARGs [4]. ESBL-*E. coli* are transmissible through human and animal
- faecal pollution and are commonly found in inflow wastewater worldwide [5-8]. Wastewater
- 44 monitoring provides a comprehensive perspective of AMR carried across a human population [9,10],
- 45 and it is more advantageous than the current patient sampling since it avoids privacy and ethical
- 46 concerns related to the collection of patient data [11]. A centralized monitoring of AMR in wastewater
- 47 can reflect community-level dissemination of AMR, providing understanding of the evolution and the
- 48 spread of clinically relevant ARBs [11]. A previous study on carbapenemase-producing *E. coli* (CPE)
- 49 conducted in Dutch municipal wastewater showed its sensitivity in estimating CPE prevalence in
- 50 humans [12], suggesting that wastewater monitoring can inform prevalence rates and dynamics of
- other fecally shed antimicrobial resistant bacteria such as ESBL-*E. coli.*
- 52 Recently, ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae were monitored on a monthly scale in municipal
- 53 wastewater of Basel, Switzerland [13]. Building on this, a centralized wastewater monitoring system of
- 54 AMR and ARBs in the Swiss population may enable public health officials to better understand
- 55 challenges associated with antimicrobial resistance and to target interventions more effectively.
- 56 Previous studies showed that antibiotic resistance prevalence in bacteria from wastewater follows
- similar trends to the rates monitored in clinical environments [5,6,8,14].
- 58 Trends in ESBL-E. coli prevalence in wastewater are likely reflective of trends in ESBL-E. coli carriage
- 59 in the population served. That is, concentrations of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater are a function of the
- 60 prevalence of people carrying ESBL-E. coli in the catchment, as well as the proportion of ESBL-E. coli
- among all E. coli shed by carriers. However, concentrations may also be influenced by in sewer fate
- 62 and transport processes, including temperature dependent decay and potential non-human sources of
- 63 ESBL-*E. coli* entering combined sewers from urban water run-off [15]. Temporal trends in ESBL-*E. coli*
- 64 concentrations may therefore reflect changes in carriage within the population, changes in shedding
- load dynamics, or environmental impacts on fate and transport. Previous studies of ESBL-E. coli
- prevalence report both, significant variations in ESBL-E. coli prevalence between months [13,16] as
- well as relatively stable percentages over time [6,4,17,18].
- 68 In this one-year longitudinal study, we monitored ESBL-E. coli in Swiss municipal wastewater weekly
- 69 from six WWTPs. Using culture-based methods, we investigated national spatio-temporal variations of
- 70 ESBL-*E. coli*, developed a predictive model for ESBL-*E. coli* carriage, explored ESBL-*E. coli* correlations
- 71 with environmental variables, and assessed monitoring frequency impact on ESBL-E. coli
- 72 concentrations estimation. Molecular methods characterized the presence of ESBL genes in 234
- 73 isolates. Our findings offer insights into ESBL-*E. coli* prevalence, distribution, and associated genes in
- 74 Swiss wastewater, and may act as a basis for long-term wastewater-based surveillance of AMR.

#### **Methods**

#### **Samples collection**

Wastewater samples were collected from six wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in Switzerland serving an estimated 1.23 million residents (14% of the population): ARA Altenrhein (64,000 residents), ARA Chur (55,000 residents), STEP d'Aïre Genève (454,000 residents), ARA Sensetal Laupen (62,000 residents), IDA CDA Lugano (124,000 residents), and ARA Werdhölzli Zürich (471,000 residents) (Figure 1). Samples were collected weekly over a 1-year period from November 16, 2021, to November 29, 2022 (Supplementary Table S1). Wastewater was sampled using 24-hr flow-proportional composite sampling and transported to Eawag (Dübendorf, CH) in high-density polyethylene bottles with ice packs, stored at 4°C and processed within 48 hours.




Figure 1: Geographic distribution, catchment area, and number of people served at each of the six investigated wastewater treatment plants in Switzerland.

#### **Enumeration of total and ESBL-***E. coli*

To enumerate total *E. coli*, wastewater samples were serially diluted 100-fold with sterile 0.9% NaCl and 100µl were plated on CHROMagar Orientation chromogenic media (CHROMagar<sup>™</sup>, bio-Mérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France). To enumerate ESBL-*E. coli*, 100µl of undiluted wastewater samples were plated on CHROMagar ESBL chromogenic media (CHROMagar<sup>™</sup> ESBL, bio-Mérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France). Samples were plated in single replicates until February 08, 2022, and afterward in duplicates. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Then, colony concentrations were determined by counting the dark pink to reddish colonies on the CHROMagar Orientation for total *E. coli* and CHROMagar ESBL for ESBL- *E. coli*.

#### Isolation, culturing and DNA extraction of ESBL- E. coli

A subset of presumptive ESBL-*E. coli* colonies were isolated every four weeks from CHROMagar ESBL plates. Specifically, three colonies per WWTP were streaked on LB Agar (Lennox) and incubated at 37°C for 20-24 hours. Single colonies were liquid cultured in Luria Broth (AppliChem), and DNA was extracted from 100µl of enriched liquid culture by boiling it at 100°C for one hour. DNA was stored at -20°C for subsequent analyses.

#### Screening of ESBL-genes in ESBL-E. coli using dMLA

- 106 A total of 234 ESBL-E. coli isolates underwent screening for 18 ESBL-gene families using the digital
- 107 Multiplex Ligation Assay (dMLA) [19]. Three screenings were conducted to cover all isolates, each
- including three no template controls (NTC) with DNA-/RNAse free water. The 72 half-probes used in
- 109 the dMLA were mixed to achieve a final concentration of  $1\mu$ M each. Subsequent ligation and PCR
- followed the previously described method [19] and were carried out in 96-well PCR Skirted plates
- (Milian) sealed with SilverSeal Sealer (Greiner Bio-One GmbH). Each well contained only one isolate or
- 112 control sample. The PCR products (4µL from each), barcoded during the dMLA protocol, were pooled
- and purified using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit. The pooled purified PCR products were
- then sent for Illumina MiSeq sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany) with 150bp
- paired-end reads.

105

116

125

#### dMLA sequence data analysis

- NGS reads in FASTQ format were processed using Nextflow (Seqera Labs, v21.10.0.5640). The
- 118 nsearch software [20] filtered reads with a minimum identity threshold of 0.8 and allowed one
- expected error per base pair. A Python (v3.9.2) code expanded degenerated sequences, retained
- matches to target probe-pairs, and classified reads by forward primer barcode and target probe-pair.
- Target quantification was performed in R (v4.1.1). To determine the detection limits for dMLA, false
- positive signals from the three NTC samples in each screening were analysed as previously reported
- [19]. To limit false positives, genes were only considered present if detectable by both probe-pairs,
- genes positive to one probe-pair were considered negative.

#### Statistical analyses

- All analyses were performed in R (v4.1.1) and R Studio (v2022.12.0.353). The loads (CFUs/(person-
- day)) of total- and ESBL-E. coli were calculated by normalizing estimated concentrations by the
- 128 corresponding daily flow rate (m³/day) of the WWTP and the number of residents connected to the
- 129 WWTP. For both the percentage of ESBL-E. coli and the loads, the average was computed when
- sample duplicates were available to both display and analyse the data. Statistical analysis used
- 131 Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric tests with Dunn's pairwise comparisons and Bonferroni adjustment to
- assess differences in ESBL-E. coli percentages and loads among WWTPs and across months within
- each WWTP.
- The Spearman's correlation coefficient was employed to assess the relationship between ESBL-E. coli
- percentage, total- and ESBL-E. coli loads, and the corresponding environmental variables
- 136 (precipitation, temperature). An alpha value of 0.05 was used to determine significance of
- associations, with p-values corrected using the Bonferroni adjustment. Precipitation data (daily total
- and cumulative sum over 96 hours) and air temperature data (daily average from 6-18 UTC) were
- obtained from the Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss (IDAWEB 1.3.5.0
- 140 ©2016MeteoSwiss).
- 141 The impact of monitoring frequency on estimating the mean ESBL-E. coli percentage was assessed
- using a Bayesian inference method [21]. Candidate models, gamma and log-normal distributions,
- were selected to describe temporal variations in ESBL-E. coli percentage, and their fit was compared
- 144 using the Bayesian Deviance Information Criterion. In comparing candidate models, the gamma
- distribution generally provided a better fit than the log-normal distribution at most sites, leading to its
- selection for evaluating percentages (Supplementary Table S2). The standard error of the mean (SE)
- of the gamma distribution was calculated as  $SE = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2 N'}}$  where  $\alpha$  represents the shape parameter,  $\beta$
- the rate parameter, and N the sample size. Subsequently, the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the
- mean, indicating the uncertainty of estimates, was calculated using the z-distribution.

- 151 ESBL-E. coli percentage in wastewater is conceptually related to the prevalence of ESBL-E. coli carriers
- within the domestic population contributing to the wastewater. Prevalence of ESBL-E. coli carriers is
- estimated by the ESBL-*E. coli* percentage in wastewater, denoted as  $\pi_{WW}$ , divided by the average
- proportion of ESBL-E. coli relative to the total E. coli shed only by carriers in the population, denoted
- as  $\bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}$  (Equation 1). In this study,  $\bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is not quantified, so the range of prevalence rates is modelled as
- 156 a function of  $\overline{\pi}_{ww}$ .

$$\frac{N_C}{N_T} = \frac{\bar{\pi}_{WW}}{\bar{\pi}_C}$$
 Equation 1

- This model assumes constant  $\bar{\pi}_C$ ,  $N_C$ , and  $N_T$  over the data collection period for estimating  $\bar{\pi}_{WW}$ . The
- total population ( $N_T$ ) includes only people colonized with *E. coli*, so is less than or equal to the total
- catchment population, considering those who do not shed *E. coli*. The model neglects fate and
- transport processes within the sewer system and during sample storage and transport.  $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$  and  $\overline{\pi}_{C}$
- are estimated using the arithmetic mean. The model was applied for each WWTP, where  $\bar{\pi}_{\scriptscriptstyle WW}$  and its
- 95% CI were predicted from the gamma distribution (refer to Section "Impact of monitoring frequency on moon ESRI F. colling recentage estimate") and for combined WWTPs, using a population
- frequency on mean ESBL-*E. coli* percentage estimate") and for combined WWTPs, using a population-
- weighted mean. The 95% CI was computed using the SE of the weighted mean, calculated using the
- method proposed by Endlich et al. [22], and the z-distribution.

### Results

- Total *E. coli* were detectable in all samples with median load value of  $1.4 \times 10^{10}$  (IQR= $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ ).
- Similarly, ESBL-*E. coli* were always detectable with median 2.1x10<sup>8</sup> (IQR=1.9x10<sup>8</sup>) CFUs/(person-day).
- 170 The ESBL-E. coli median percentage was 1.6% (IQR=0.89%), and the population-adjusted averaged
- 171 ESBL-*E. coli* percentage was 1.9% (±95% CI 0.1%). More information on summary statistics can be
- seen in Supplementary Table S3. ESBL-*E. coli* percentages significantly differed among WWTPs (p <
- 0.001), with the highest median percentage in Geneva (2.1%; IOR=1.0%) and the lowest in Sensetal-
- Laupen (1.3;IQR=0.7%) (Figure 2). No significant monthly variations in ESBL-E. coli percentages were
- detected within any WWTPs (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Tables S4, S5). Total E. coli
- loads differed significantly among WWTPs (p < 0.001), with the highest median loads in Altenrhein
- 177 (2.3x10<sup>10</sup> CFUs/person-day;IQR=1.4x10<sup>10</sup>), and the lowest in Lugano (8.8x10<sup>9</sup> CFUs/person-
- day; IOR= $4.6 \times 10^9$ ) (Figure 2). Monthly variations were observed in Zurich (p < 0.001), Sensetal-
- Laupen (p < 0.05), Geneva (p < 0.001), and Altenrhein (p < 0.05). ESBL-E. coli loads varied
- significantly among WWTPs (p < 0.001), with the highest median loads in Altenrhein (3.3 $\times$ 108)
- 100 Significantly united with 5 (b) 100 1, with the highest included in Allement (1.5.4.6)
- 181 CFUs/person-day;IQR=2.3x10<sup>8</sup>), and the lowest in Lugano (1.7x10<sup>8</sup> CFUs/person-day;IQR=1.6x10<sup>8</sup>),
- mirroring the pattern observed for total *E. coli* loads (Figure 2). Monthly variations were observed
- within Zurich (p < 0.001), Sensetal-Laupen (p < 0.001), and Geneva (p < 0.001). Notably, STEP
- 184 d'Aïre Genève had significantly higher ESBL-*E. coli* loads in November 2022 compared to November
- 185 2021 (p < 0.05) and March 2022 (p < 0.05).





Figure 2: Comparative analysis of percentage and loads of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates among six wastewater treatment plants in Switzerland from November 2021 – November 2022

CDF: cumulative distribution function; CFUs: colony forming units; *E. coli: Escherichia coli*; ESBL: extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase; WWTP: wastewater treatment plant;

(A) the percentage of ESBL-E. coli over total E. coli by WWTP, (B) the CDF of the percentage of ESBL-E. coli over total E. coli in each WWTP. (C) the  $\log_{10}$  transformed loads of total E. coli by WWTP, and (D) the CDF of the  $\log_{10}$  transformed loads of ESBL-E. coli by WWTP, and (F) shows the CDF of the  $\log_{10}$  transformed ESBL-E. coli loads in each WWTP. In (A), (C) and (E) black circles represent the average of duplicate values, while salmon triangles represent a single value where duplicates were unavailable. \* indicates a significant difference with p<0.02 and \*\* with p<0.001.

We established a mechanistic relationship between estimated ESBL-*E. coli* percentage in wastewater and the average percentage of ESBL-*E. coli* in carriers' guts (Figure 3). Considering the observed 1.9% population-averaged ESBL-*E. coli* percentage in Swiss wastewater, our model indicates prevalence between 1.9% (assuming all shed *E. coli* is ESBL-*E. coli*) and 100% (assuming 1.9% of all shed *E. coli* is ESBL-*E. coli*) (Table 1). At the European average ESBL-*E. coli* prevalence of 6% [23], approximately 32% of *E. coli* shed by carriers in Switzerland are ESBL-*E. coli*. Notably, if we consider the average ESBL-*E. coli* proportion in the gut of children in Bangladesh (19.3%) [24], ESBL-*E. coli* carriage prevalence in Switzerland varies between 9.2% and 10.5%. Assuming the consistent ESBL-*E. coli* proportion in carriers, observed differences in ESBL-*E. coli* percentages among WWTPs imply varying carriage rates. Estimated carriage rates range from 7.1% (95% CI 6.3%, 7.9%) in Sensetal-Laupen to 10.5% (95% CI 9.5%, 11.4%) in Geneva (Supplementary Figures S2 and S3).






Figure 3: Relationship between percentage of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates in wastewater, prevalence of carriage within the community and proportion in the gut in Switzerland

*E. coli:* Escherichia coli; ESBL: extended-spectrum β-lactamase;  $N_C$ : prevalence of ESBL-E. coli carriers;  $N_T$ : total population size;  $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$ : mean percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater;  $\overline{\pi}_C$ : mean proportion of ESBL-E. coli relative to total E. coli in the gut discharged by carriers into wastewater

- (A) visualization of the relationship between percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater ( $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$ ).and prevalence of carriage within the community based on estimates of ESBL-E. coli out of total E. coli in the gut ( $\overline{\pi}_C$ , x-axis) equal to the percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater. If the proportion of ESBL-E. coli in the gut ( $\overline{\pi}_C$ ) equals the percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater ( $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$ ), then 100% of the community shedding E. coli carries ESBL-E. coli ( $\frac{N_C}{N_T}$ , y-axis). The grey-shaded area on the graph represents the 95% confidence interval of the population-weighted mean percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater.
- (B) describes the same relationship based on the percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater ( $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$ ) as a predictor (x-axis). Here, multiple values of  $\overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are assumed (straight lines), and the prevalence of ESBL-E. coli carriage within the community ( $\frac{N_{\mathcal{C}}}{N_{\pi'}}$ , y-axis) can be inferred based on the percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater ( $\overline{\pi}_{WW}$ ).

The dark-blue dotted line (6%) reflects an estimation by Bezabih et al. (2021) [23] for ESBL-*E. coli* carriage within Europe. Assuming this estimation holds for Switzerland, it corresponds to a 32% ESBL-*E. coli* proportion in the gut (light-blue dotted line). Alternatively, if the ESBL-*E. coli* proportion in the gut is approximately 19% (brown dotted line), as estimated in children from Bangladesh [31], then the carriage prevalence in Switzerland is approximately 10% (green line).

# Table 1: Relationship between prevalence of ESBL-*E. coli* carriers and ESBL-*E. coli* percentage in wastewater

The table displays the estimated ESBL-*E. coli* carriage prevalence in the community as a function of the ESBL-*E. coli* proportion in the gut and the ESBL-*E. coli* percentage in wastewater. To describe the relationship within each wastewater treatment plant, the gamma arithmetic mean of the wastewater percentage was used. To describe the relationship across all WWTPs (Switzerland), the population-weighted mean was used.

Average proportion of ESBL- <i>E. coli</i> out of total <i>E. coli</i> in the gut (%)	Prevalence of ESBL- <i>E. coli</i> carriage within the community (%) (95% CI of the mean)						
	Switzerlan d	ARA Werdhöl zli Zürich	STEP d'Aïre Genève	IDA CDA Lugano	ARA Altenrhein	ARA Sensetal Laupen	ARA Chur
1.9	100 (93.8 - 100)	100 (87.4 - 100)	100 (96.8 - 100)	100 (91.8 - 100)	90.7 (80.4 - 100)	72.3 (64.3 – 80.3)	73.5 (62.1 - 85)
10	18.9 (17.7 – 20.2)	19.1 (16.6 - 21.6)	20.2 (18.4 - 22)	19.7 (17.4- 22)	17.2 (15.3 – 19.2)	13.7 (12.2 – 15.2)	14 (11.8- 16.1)
19.3ª	9.9 (9.2 - 10.5)	9.9 (8.6 - 11.2)	10.5 (9.5 – 11.4)	10.2 (9 – 11.4)	8.9 (7.9 - 10)	7.1 (6.3 – 7.9)	7.3 (6.1 - 8.4)
30	6.3 (5.9 – 6.7)	6.4 (5.5 - 7.2)	6.7 (6.1 - 7.3)	6.6 (5.8 - 7.3)	5.7 (5.1 – 6.4)	4.6 (4.1 - 5.1)	4.7 (3.9 - 5.4)
40	4.8 (4.4 – 5.1)	4.8 (4.2 - 5.4)	5.1 (4.6 - 5.5)	4.9 (4.4 - 5.5)	4.3 (3.3 – 4.8)	3.4 (3.1 – 3.8)	3.5 (3 - 4)
50	3.8 (3.6 – 4.1)	3.8 (3.3 - 4.3)	4 (3.7 - 4.4)	3.9 (3.56 - 4.4)	3.4 (3.1 – 3.8)	2.8 (2.4 - 3.1)	2.8 (2.4 – 3.2)
60	3.2 (3 – 3.4)	3.2 (2.8 - 3.6)	3.4 (3.1 – 3.7)	3.3 (2.9 – 3.7)	2.9 (2.5 - 3.2)	2.3 (2.1 - 2.5)	2.3 (2 - 2.7)
70	2.7 (2.5 – 2.9)	2.7 (2.4 - 3.1)	2.9 (2.6 - 3.1)	2.8 (2.5 - 3.1)	2.5 (2.2 – 2.7)	2 (1.8 - 2.2)	2 (1.7 - 2.3)
80	2.4 (2.2 – 2.5)	2.4 (2.1 - 2.7)	2.5 (2.3 – 2.8)	2.5 (2.2 – 2.8)	2.2 (1.9 - 2.4)	1.7 (1.5 – 1.9)	1.8 (1.5 - 2)
90	2.1 (2 – 2.3)	2.1 (1.9 - 2.4)	2.2 (2 - 2.4)	2.2 (1.9 - 2.4)	1.9 (1.7 - 2.1)	1.5 (1.4 - 1.7)	1.6 (1.3 - 1.8)
100	1.9 (1.8 - 2)	1.9 (1.7 - 2.2)	2 (1.8 - 2.2)	2 (1.7 - 2.2)	1.7 (1.5 – 1.9)	1.4 (1.2 - 1.5)	1.4 (1.2 - 1.6)

<sup>a</sup>Expected average proportion of ESBL-*E. coli* out of total *E. coli* in the gut in Switzerland is 19.3%; estimate obtained from the mean proportion found in children in Bangladesh in a previous cohort [24].

The model of the relationships between prevalence and percentage of ESBL-E. coli in wastewater assumes fate and transport processes are negligible. Investigating the impacts of environmental variables of precipitation and temperature, which might influence fate and transport, showed weak correlations (Spearman's  $\rho$  ranging from -0.36 to 0.45) with ESBL-E. coli prevalence in wastewater. Air temperature showed positive correlations with ESBL-E. coli percentage in Chur ( $\rho$  = 0.45,  $\rho$  < 0.01) and Altenrhein ( $\rho$  = 0.4,  $\rho$  < 0.05), as well as with ESBL-E. coli loads in Altenrhein ( $\rho$  = 0.41,  $\rho$  < 0.05) and Geneva ( $\rho$  = 0.43,  $\rho$  < 0.05). Precipitation did not significantly influence ESBL-E. coli or total E. coli loads (Supplementary Table S6, Figure S4).

One year of weekly ESBL-*E. coli* data allowed us to explore the impact of monitoring frequency on uncertainty in the estimated annual mean percentage of ESBL-*E. coli* (Figure 4). Our analysis, based

on the assumption of a consistent annual mean ESBL-E. coli percentage, demonstrates that sampling once a week results in a 95% confidence interval width below  $\pm 0.25\%$ . Variations exist among WWTPs, notably with Zurich, Lugano, and Chur displaying higher uncertainty in ESBL-E. coli percentage compared to other WWTPs at a given sampling frequency.




Figure 4: Effect of monitoring frequency on the confidence interval of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* percentage in wastewater

CI: confidence interval; *E. coli*: *Escherichia coli*; ESBL: extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase; WWTP: wastewater treatment plant

The red vertical line highlights the sampling frequency adopted within our study (once a week).

The digital Multiplex Ligation Assay (Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S7) showed that out of 234 ESBL-*E. coli* isolates, 201 (86%) tested positive for at least one target gene family. The positivity rate was consistent across WWTPs, ranging from 72% (n=31 out of 39) in Chur to 92% (n=36 out of 39) in Altenrhein. The most prevalent ESBL-gene family was CTX-M1, identified in 126 isolates (54% of all screened, 95% CI 48%, 59%), present in each WWTP in a minimum of 40% of isolates tested. CTX-M9 and TEM were the second most common, with CTX-M9 detected in 22% (95% CI 14.6%, 29.9%) and TEM also detected in 22% (95% CI 16.9%, 27.5%) of the total ESBL-*E. coli* isolates screened. Less frequent gene families (GES, VIM, PDC) were found in only one isolate each in specific WWTPs (0.4% prevalence, 95% CI 0%, 1.3%). All 18 targeted ESBL-gene families were detected at least once, but there were no instances where all 18 ESBL-gene families were detected within in a single

WWTP (Figure 5). Geneva exhibited the highest number of unique gene families (16 out of 18), and Lugano had the least with 10 gene families. Carbapenem resistance, induced by OXA-48, OXA-51, and OXA-231, was infrequently detected in a small number of isolates from all WWTPs except Lugano. No temporal trends in the distribution of ESBL-gene families among isolates were observed (Supplementary Figure S7).




Figure 5: Distribution of 18 extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase gene families among 201 ESBL-*E. coli* isolates isolated from wastewater, Switzerland, November 2021 – October 2022

 $E.\ coli:$  Escherichia coli; ESBL: extended-spectrum β-lactamase; N: number of isolates positive to the gene family Screening of 234 ESBL- $E.\ coli$  isolates (x-axis) for 18 β-lactamase gene families (y-axis) using dMLA with a 36-probe pair mixture (two for each family; named 1 and 2 on the y-axis). Black rectangles indicate presence of the ESBL-gene family in the ESBL- $E.\ coli$  isolate. ESBL- $E.\ coli$  isolates are grouped by wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) and arranged by sampling date (November 2021 to October 2022). Horizontal barplots on the side indicate the number (N) of ESBL- $E.\ coli$  positive to each ESBL-gene family in the respective WWTP. Only strains positive for at least one gene are shown on the heatmap; meaning that both probe-pairs of that gene family were detected in the isolate. 33 strains were negative for all genes and are not represented.

#### Discussion

Our results reveal widespread dissemination of ESBL-E. coli in Swiss wastewater, with a median concentration of  $6.8 \times 10^2$  CFUs/ml and corresponding loads of  $2.1 \times 10^8$  CFUs/(person-day). This aligns with previous concentration estimates in Germany ( $7.6 \times 10^2$  CFUs/ml) [25] and the canton of Basel, Switzerland ( $9.5 \times 10^1$  CFUs/ml) [13]. Across the six Swiss wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), we observed a median overall ESBL-E. coli percentage of 1.6% (IQR = 0.89), consistent with global rates reported in Sweden (2.3%) [5] and Japan (2.2%) [26]. European data ranged from 1.6% in Greece to 4.4% in Germany, with other countries falling in between, including Denmark, Finland, Norway, Belgium, Italy, and Spain [8].

Studies have demonstrated a correlation between ESBL-*E. coli* prevalence in wastewater and clinical isolates from the same geographic area [5,6], and across geographical locations [8]. Our study highlighted significant variations in ESBL-*E. coli* percentages on a spatial scale, indicating potential differences in carriage rates at each location. The larger WWTPs investigated in terms of number of

inhabitants connected to the sewage, namely Zurich, Geneva, and Lugano, showed significantly higher

- 303 ESBL-*E. coli* percentages throughout the sampling period compared to the smallest WWTPs of Chur
- and Sensetal-Laupen. Similarly, densely populated regions in Germany also showed increased ESBL-*E.*
- 305 coli percentage in wastewater [25], suggesting that higher population density facilitates ESBL-E. coli
- 306 transmission.
- There are other potential causes for higher percentages of ESBL-*E. coli* than population density alone.
- 308 Zurich and Geneva are also home of to large international airports, associated with increased
- international travel and food import, which are known risk factors for the spread of resistant bacterial
- 310 strains across borders [27,28]. Furthermore, the cities of Zurich and Geneva contain multiple
- 311 healthcare clinics and hospitals, known to have higher carriage rates compared to community settings
- 312 [24]. An alternative explanation is that people in larger catchments may have higher proportions of
- 313 ESBL-*E. coli* in their gut than people in smaller catchments.
- Under the assumption that percentage of ESBL-*E. coli* out of total *E. coli* iin the gut is on average for
- 315 sufficiently large populations conserved across Switzerland, wastewater-based estimates may be
- proxies for carriage rates. Two data points are missing to validate this assumption for Switzerland: the
- proportion of ESBL-E. coli out of total E. coli in Swiss carriers and the prevalence of ESBL-E. coli
- carriage in the gut amongst people in Switzerland. When considering the population-averaged median
- percentage across all WWTPs, and assuming an ESBL-E. coli carriage prevalence aligning with the
- European estimate of 6% [23], the proportion of ESBL-*E. coli* out of total *E. coli* in the gut in the Swiss
- population would be approximatly 32%. Alternatively, using an average population-based ESBL-*E. coli*
- prevalence in the gut of 19.3% reported in Bangladesh [24], the ESBL-*E. coli* carriage prevalence in
- 323 Switzerland ranges between 9.2% and 10.5%, surpassing the European estimate. This discrepancy
- highlights uncertainty of these estimates in Switzerland. One additional explanation may be the rising
- 325 global rates of antimicrobial resistance [2]. Our results highlight the potential of wastewater as an
- indicator of ESBL-*E. coli* carriage within the population, but cohort studies on prevalence rates and
- 327 shedding loads in Switzerland are necessary to clarify the connection between wastewater and
- carriage. These studies would also help understanding whether regions with higher ESBL-*E. coli* percentages in wastewater face an elevated risk of ESBL-*E. coli* infections compared to areas with
- lower percentages. Such research would offer a comprehensive understanding of the relationship
- between ESBL-*E. coli* presence in wastewater and its potential public health implications.
- 332 Observed temporal variations in ESBL-E. coli concentrations may be influenced by factors like
- 333 precipitation and water temperature, which impact runoff and bacterial persistence in sewer transport
- 334 [29]. We found some association between ESBL-E. coli percentage and loads and air temperature, but
- 335 no clear trend emerged. Previous studies showed similar limited climate influence on wastewater
- 336 measurements, indicating site-specific variability and sporadic positive correlations between outdoor
- temperature and antibiotic-resistant bacteria concentration [30,31].
- 338 Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) using wastewater will require frequent monitoring. The
- 339 monitoring frequency should represent an optimization of available resources and desired level of
- estimate of precisions. Our analysis reveals that increasing the sampling frequency to at least once
- per week results in a 95% CI of approximately ±0.25% for ESBL-*E. coli* percentage. Less frequent
- sampling, such as monthly monitoring, leads to a 95% CI of about  $\pm 0.5\%$ . Sampling frequency is
- therefore an optimization between budget and logistical constraints (necessitating fewer samples) and
- acceptable uncertainty in the estimated percentage. Nevertheless, our study highlights that even with
- 345 reduced sampling, reasonably accurate estimates within a broader confidence interval can still be
- 346 obtained.
- 347 Detection of 18 β-lactamase-encoding genes (ESBL-genes) families in 86% of the 234 ESBL-E. coli
- 348 isolates tested demonstrates high diversity of ESBL-genes in Swiss wastewater. The remaining 14%
- yielded negative results, potentially due to incomplete gene coverage by the dMLA method [19].
- 350 Similar findings occurred in a Polish study, suggesting the presence of other genes in the same

- 351 molecular class A [32]. Whole-genome sequencing techniques could offer deeper insights into the
- observed resistance phenotype.
- The most prevalent ESBL-gene family in our study was CTX-M-1, found in 54% of screened ESBL-*E*.
- 354 coli isolates, followed by CTX-M-9 and TEM, present in 22% of isolates. Similar patterns were
- observed in European studies in France, Netherlands, and Poland (17,32,33). CTX-M-15, a subtype of
- 356 CTX-M-1, is widely dominant in Europe and commonly associated with the ST131 clone, known for
- causing antimicrobial-resistant infections in humans globally [34]. This suggests the likely presence of
- 358 CTX-M enzymes in hospitals or households connected to the investigated wastewater treatment
- 359 plants.
- 360 We found low percentages (2.1% to 3%) of carbapenem-resistant genes, including OXA-48, OXA-51,
- and OXA-231. Geneva exhibited all three OXA-like gene families, while Lugano had none, indicating
- 362 geographically constrained circulation. Despite low prevalence, the presence of OXA-like genes in
- 363 Switzerland raises concerns due to their increasing incidence in clinical settings [35]. VIM and PDC
- were detected in only 0.4% of screened isolates, aligning with prior observations [36], emphasizing
- the ability of wastewater monitoring to detect genes with low prevalence and raising questions about
- their presence in Swiss clinics [37].
- In our study, surveyed sites represented large urban areas, covering 14% of Switzerland's population.
- 368 Consequently, the obtained ESBL-E. coli data may not reflect the entire country, especially in rural or
- differently populated regions. Additionally, the study detected ESBL-genes only in 86% of isolates,
- with potential gaps due to protocol limitations. Whole genome sequencing could offer insights for the
- 371 remaining 14%. Species confirmation for ESBL-*E. coli* was not conducted, but the use of CHROMagar
- with 99.3% specificity for *E. coli* mitigated biases. Lastly, fluctuations due to bacterial growth or decay
- within the 48-hour sampling interval might have influenced the results.
- 374 In conclusion, the widespread prevalence of ESBL-E. coli provides a foundational benchmark useful for
- informing future trends of AMR population-level carriage in Switzerland. It highlights the potential for
- investigating the factors driving abundance shifts and their applicability in reducing carriage rates. The
- 377 estimated nationwide ESBL-E. coli carriage prevalence, ranging from 9.2% to 10.5%, surpasses the
- 378 European estimate, possibly due to escalating global antimicrobial resistance rates. Expanding our
- 379 research to include other pathogens and cohort studies examining carriage rates would enrich our
- 380 understanding of AMR dynamics and associated risks for healthcare systems and communities.
- Furthermore, extending these approaches to communities with decentralized sanitations is needed to
- gather global insights. Establishing robust connections between our findings and clinical contexts can
- 383 elucidate the direct impact of AMR gene prevalence on public health. Finally, regular monitoring of
- 384 antimicrobial resistant pathogens in wastewater across various communities enables the tracking of
- population-level trends and changes in resistance, and could be used to inform impact of public health
- 386 interventions.

387

390

395 396

#### Funding statement:

- 388 The work was funded through the Swiss National Science Foundation grant (192763) to TRJ and
- through the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health grant to Christoph Ort and TRJ.

#### Data availability:

- 391 All data or links to data as well as all scripts are available at our online repository at
- 392 <a href="https://github.com/sheenaconforti/esblec-monitor-ww-21-22.git">https://github.com/sheenaconforti/esblec-monitor-ww-21-22.git</a>. Data on precipitation and
- temperature were obtained from the Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss at
- 394 <a href="https://gate.meteoswiss.ch/idaweb/system/stationList.do">https://gate.meteoswiss.ch/idaweb/system/stationList.do</a> (IDAWEB 1.3.5.0 © 2016 MeteoSwiss).

#### **Acknowledgements:**

- Thanks to Lea Caduff, Charlie Gan, Laura Brülisauer for help processing wastewater samples, Manu
- Tamminen for help analysing sequencing results, and Tamar Kohn and Christoph Ort for project
- management and oversight. We extend our acknowledge to Tanja Stadler, her group, and the
- 400 members of Wastewater-based Surveillance for Infectious diseases and surveillance (WISE) group for
- 401 their invaluable and insightful inputs for the general discussion. We acknowledge the Natural Sciences
- 402 and Engineering Research Council of Canada (558161-2021) and the Fonds de Recherche du Québec
- Nature et technologies (303866) for the postdoctoral fellowship funding of Émile Sylvestre. Finally,
- 404 thanks to the employees of the wastewater treatment plants IDA CDA Lugano (Ticino), ARA
- Werdhölzli (Zurich), ARA Chur (Graubünden), ARA Sensetal Laupen (Bern), and STEP d'Aïre Genève
- 406 (Geneva) for providing samples.

#### **Authors' contributions:**

- 408 The author contributions below are according to the CRediT statement. SC: Conceptualization,
- 409 Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Visualization, Writing-Original Draft,
- Writing Review & Editing, Visualization. AH: Methodology, Investigation, Writing Review & Editing.
- 411 ES: Methodology, Writing-Review & Editing. TRJ: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing
- 412 Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

#### References

407

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

- 1. World Health Organization. 10 global health issues to track in 2021. 2020 [cited June 27, 2023]. Available from: <a href="https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/10-global-health-issues-to-track-in-2021">https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/10-global-health-issues-to-track-in-2021</a>.
- 2. Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Robles Aguilar G, Gray A, Han C, Bisignano C, Rao P, Wool E, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. The Lancet. 2022;399(10325):629-655.
  - 3. Larsson DGJ, Flach CF. Antibiotic resistance in the environment. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2022;20(5):257-269.
- 4. Pitout JDD, Laupland KB. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. Lancet Infect Dis. 2008;8(3):159-166.
  - 5. Kwak YK, Colque P, Byfors S, Giske CG, Möllby R, Kühn I. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance among Escherichia coli in wastewater in Stockholm during 1 year: does it reflect the resistance trends in the society? Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2015;45(1):25-32.
  - Hutinel M, Huijbers PM, Fick J, Åhrén C, Larsson DG, Flach CF. Population-level surveillance of antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli through sewage analysis. Eurosurveillance. 2019 Sep 12;24(37):1800497.
  - 7. Hendriksen RS, Munk P, Njage P, Van Bunnik B, McNally L, Lukjancenko O, Röder T, Nieuwenhuijse D, Pedersen SK, Kjeldgaard J, Kaas RS, et al. Global monitoring of antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. Nat Commun. 2019 Mar 8;10(1):1124.
  - 8. Huijbers PM, Larsson DG, Flach CF. Surveillance of antibiotic resistant Escherichia coli in human populations through urban wastewater in ten European countries. Environ Pollut. 2020;261:114200.
  - 9. Aarestrup FM, Woolhouse ME. Using sewage for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. Science. 2020 Feb 7;367(6478):630-2.
  - 10. Karkman A, Berglund F, Flach CF, Kristiansson E, Larsson DJ. Predicting clinical resistance prevalence using sewage metagenomic data. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):711.
- 11. Pruden A, Vikesland PJ, Davis BC, de Roda Husman AM. Seizing the moment: now is the time for integrated global surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in wastewater environments. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2021;64:91-99.
  - 12. Blaak H, Kemper MA, de Man H, van Leuken JP, Schijven JF, van Passel MW, et al. Nationwide surveillance reveals frequent detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales in Dutch municipal wastewater. Sci Total Environ. 2021;776:145925.

447 13. Gómez-Sanz E, Bagutti C, Roth JA, Alt Hug M, García-Martín AB, Maurer Pekerman L, et al.
448 Spatiotemporal dissemination of ESBL-producing Enterobacterales in municipal sewer
449 systems: a prospective, longitudinal study in the city of Basel, Switzerland. Front Microbiol.
450 2023;14:1174336.

- 14. Pärnänen KM, Narciso-da-Rocha C, Kneis D, Berendonk TU, Cacace D, Do TT, Elpers C, Fatta-Kassinos D, Henriques I, Jaeger T, Karkman A. Antibiotic resistance in European wastewater treatment plants mirrors the pattern of clinical antibiotic resistance prevalence. Sci Adv. 2019 Mar 27;5(3):eaau9124.
- 15. Van Heijnsbergen E, Niebaum G, Lämmchen V, Borneman A, Hernández Leal L, Klasmeier J, Schmitt H. (Antibiotic-Resistant) E. coli in the Dutch-German Vecht Catchment ☐ Monitoring and Modeling. Environ Sci Technol. 2022;56(21):15064-15073.
- 16. Lamba M, Ahammad SZ. Sewage treatment effluents in Delhi: A key contributor of B-lactam resistant bacteria and genes to the environment. Chemosphere. 2017;188:249–256.
- 17. Bréchet C, Plantin J, Sauget M, Thouverez M, Talon D, Cholley P, Guyeux C, Hocquet D, Bertrand X. Wastewater treatment plants release large amounts of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli into the environment. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58(12):1658–1665
- 18. Puljko A, Milaković M, Križanović S, Kosić-Vukšić J, Babić I, Petrić I, Maravić A, Jelić M, Udiković-Kolić N. Prevalence of enteric opportunistic pathogens and extended-spectrum cephalosporin- and carbapenem-resistant coliforms and genes in wastewater from municipal wastewater treatment plants in Croatia. J Hazard Mater. 2022;427.
- Tamminen M, Spaak J, Caduff L, Schiff H, Lang R, Schmid S, Montealegre MC, Julian TR. Digital multiplex ligation assay for highly multiplexed screening of β-lactamase-encoding genes in bacterial isolates. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):1–6.
- Schmid S, Julian TR, Tamminen M. nsearch: an open source C library for processing and similarity searching of next-generation sequencing data. BioRxiv 399782 [Preprint]. 2018. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/399782.
- 21. Sylvestre É, Burnet JB, Smeets P, Medema G, Prévost M, Dorner S. Can routine monitoring of E. coli fully account for peak event concentrations at drinking water intakes in agricultural and urban rivers? Water Res. 2020 Mar 1;170:115369.
- 22. Endlich RM, Cynon BP, Ferek RJ, Valdes AD, Maxwell C. Statistical analysis of precipitation chemistry measurements over the Eastern United States. Part 1: seasonal and regional patterns of correlations. J Appl Meteorol. 1988;27:1322–1333.
- 23. Bezabih YM, Sabiiti W, Alamneh E, Bezabih A, Peterson GM, Bezabhe WM, Roujeinikova A. The global prevalence and trend of human intestinal carriage of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli in the community. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2021;76(1):22–29.
- 24. Montealegre MC, Greenwood EE, Teichmann L, Nadimpalli ML, Caduff L, Swarthout JM, Nydegger T, Sultana S, Islam MA, Lanza VF, Luby SP, Pickering AJ, Julian TR. Drinking Water Chlorination Impact on Fecal Carriage of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae in Bangladeshi Children in a Double-Blind, Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. Environ Health Perspect. 2022;130(11).
- 25. Schmiege D, Zacharias N, Sib E, Falkenberg T, Moebus S, Evers M, Kistemann T. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in urban community wastewater. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Sep 1;785:147269.
- 26. Azuma T, Otomo K, Kunitou M, Shimizu M, Hosomaru K, Mikata S, Ishida M, Hisamatsu K, Yunoki A, Mino Y, Hayashi T. Environmental fate of pharmaceutical compounds and antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in hospital effluents, and contributions to pollutant loads in the surface waters in Japan. Sci Total Environ. 2019 Mar 20;657:476-484.
- 495 27. Raffelsberger N, Buczek DJ, Svendsen K, Småbrekke L, Pöntinen AK, Löhr IH, Andreassen
  496 LLE, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A, Gravningen K, Samuelsen Ø, Handal N, Hafne LJ, Lindemann
  497 PC, Norgaard LM, Zaragkoulias K, Nilsen E, Larsen HE, Pino M, Kanestrøm A. Community
  498 carriage of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: a cross-sectional study
  499 of risk factors and comparative genomics of carriage and clinical isolates. MSphere. 2023.

500 28. Swiss Federal Office of Public Health [Internet]. Strategie Antibiotikaresistenz Bereich Mensch;
501 [cited 2023 Oct 6]. Available from: <a href="https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/strategie-und-politik/nationale-gesundheitsstrategien/strategie-antibiotikaresistenzen-schweiz.html">https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/strategie-und-politik/nationale-gesundheitsstrategien/strategie-antibiotikaresistenzen-schweiz.html</a>

- 29. Oliver D, Page T. Effects of seasonal meteorological variables on E. coli persistence in livestock faeces and implications for environmental and human health. Sci Rep. 2016;6:37101.
- 30. MacFadden DR, McGough SF, Fisman D, et al. Antibiotic resistance increases with local temperature. Nature Clim Change. 2018;8:510–514.
- 31. Bock L, Aguilar-Bultet L, Egli A, Battegay M, Kronenberg A, Vogt R, Kaufmann C, Tschudin-Sutter S. Air temperature and incidence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Environ Res. 2022 Dec;215(Pt 2):114146.
- 32. Korzeniewska E, Harnisz M. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-positive Enterobacteriaceae in municipal sewage and their emission to the environment. J Environ Manage. 2013;128:904-911.
- 33. Blaak H, Lynch G, Italiaander R, Hamidjaja RA, Schets FM, de Roda Husman AM. Multidrug-Resistant and Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing Escherichia coli in Dutch Surface Water and Wastewater. PLoS One. 2015 Jun 1;10(6):e0127752.
- 34. Pitout JD, DeVinney R. Escherichia coli ST131: a multidrug-resistant clone primed for global domination. F1000Res. 2017 Feb 28;6:F1000 Faculty Rev-195.
- 35. Findlay J, Perreten V, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Molecular analysis of OXA-48-producing Escherichia coli in Switzerland from 2019 to 2020. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2022 Nov;41(11):1355-1360.
- 36. Rodríguez-Molina D, Berglund F, Blaak H, Flach CF, Kemper M, Marutescu L, Gradisteanu GP, Popa M, Spießberger B, Weinmann T, Wengenroth L, Chifiriuc MC, Larsson DGJ, Nowak D, Radon K, de Roda Husman AM, Wieser A, Schmitt H. Carriage of ESBL-producing Enterobacterales in wastewater treatment plant workers and surrounding residents the AWARE Study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2021 Dec 13:1–16.
- 37. Bleichenbacher S, Stevens MJA, Zurfluh K, Perreten V, Endimiani A, Stephan R, Nüesch-Inderbinen M. Environmental dissemination of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in rivers in Switzerland. Environ Pollut. 2020 Oct;265(Pt B):115081.