Neural Mechanisms Underlying Attention Control In Relation To Anxiety And

2	Depressive Symptoms
3	The Mediating Role of Frontoparietal-/Dorsal Attention-Somatomotor Resting State
4	Functional Connections on the Correlation of Attention Control with Anxiety and Depression
5	
6	Raye Fion Loh <sup>1</sup> , Savannah Siew Kiah Hui <sup>1</sup> , Junhong Yu <sup>1</sup>
7	<sup>1</sup> Cognitive and Brain Health Laboratory, Department of Psychology, School of Social
8	Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, S(639798), Singapore
9	
10	This work is supported by funding from the Nanyang Assistant Professorship (Award no.
11	021080-00001)
12	
13	Corresponding Author:
14	Junhong Yu
15	junhong.yu@ntu.edu.sg

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### 16 Abstract

Poor attention control has been implicated in the development of anxiety and depressionrelated disorders and it is a key diagnostic criterion. This study aims to understand the possible
neural mechanisms behind this.

20 191 German participants aged 20-80 were assessed on their level of attention control, 21 depression and anxiety as part of the Leipzig Study for Mind-Body-Emotion Interactions. 22 Network-based statistics were applied to their resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC) data 23 to identify networks positively and negatively associated with attention control. Mediation 24 analyses were then performed with these two networks as mediators.

25 Attention control correlated negatively with both anxiety and depression. The frontoparietal-26 or dorsal attention-somatomotor connections featured prominently in the attention control-27 positive network (ACPN). This network correlated positively with attention control, and 28 negatively with both anxiety and depression. The attention control-negative network (ACNN) 29 was largely represented by the ventral attention- or dorsal attention-visual connections. The 30 ACPN was a significant and partial mediator between attention control and anxiety and a 31 complete mediator for the relationship between attention control and depression. These 32 findings could prove useful as neuroeducation in anxiety- and depression-related disorders, and 33 as evidence for attention-based therapy.

34

*Keywords:* Resting-state functional connectivity; Attention control; Depression; Anxiety;
Network-based statistics; Mediation analysis

#### 38 1. Introduction

Anxiety disorders are common psychiatric disorders and major causes of disability and mortality throughout the world. Despite its adaptive benefits, anxiety becomes pathological when an individual starts to experience intense anxiety far beyond the expected levels for the situation, leading to excessive worry, hypervigilance, physiological arousal, and avoidance behaviours [1], depleting mental resources, reducing cognitive efficiency and negatively influencing daily functioning and quality of life [2, 3].

Depressive disorders are also highly prevalent and are characterised by emotional, 45 46 cognitive, and physical symptoms [4]. Patients with depressive disorder have been frequently 47 reported to present with cognitive impairment in several cognitive domains [5, 6] such as 48 executive function [e.g. 9-12], episodic memory [e.g. 7, 13-17], semantic memory [e.g. 12, 18-49 22], visuo-spatial memory [e.g. 23, 24], and information processing speed [e.g. 6, 12, 17, 20-50 22]. Anxiety and depression symptoms often overlap significantly [25], which may point to 51 possible underlying vulnerabilities that result in continued symptom perpetuation. One such 52 underlying vulnerability may be poor attention control. Several depression models [26-28] 53 have identified deficiencies in attention control and recurrent negative thinking (i.e., rumination) as important elements in the development and maintenance of negative affect. 54 55 Therapies effective at reducing anxiety from baseline levels include those that support active 56 goal-focused attention and flexible cognitive control, particularly inhibitory control [29]. This 57 similarly suggests poor attention control is implicated in anxiety symptoms. The frequent co-58 occurrence of attention control deficits and anxiety symptoms could perhaps be explained by 59 the possibility that both implicate the same resting-state networks. Resting-state functional 60 connectivity (rsFC) can be quantified by the degree of temporal co-activation of spontaneous 61 fMRI signals between various brain areas in the absence of a perceptual or behavioural task [30], and is helpful for measuring mind-wandering states such as attention control [31]. 62

63 Hence, in the current study, we hypothesise that certain resting-state networks mediate the relationship between attention control and depression/anxiety in the non-clinical 64 population. Few brain imaging studies have investigated this correlation in non-clinical 65 66 populations. Even though these sub-clinical anxiety-related and depressive disorders are less understood as compared to their clinically significant counterparts [32], they are still prevalent 67 68 [33, 34], often being associated with lower levels of quality of life [34-36], increased use of health services [34, 37], increased economic costs [33, 34] and higher mortality rates [34, 38]. 69 70 More importantly, they are often early warning markers of subsequent clinical diagnosis [33, 71 38-40]. In addition, there have been few studies investigating the effect of attention control on 72 the presentation of anxiety- and depression-related symptoms across the lifespan. Most studies 73 mentioned above recruited participants who were young adults. However, anxiety and 74 depression symptoms are not limited to young adults; rather, they are applicable even to the elderly [41]. 75

#### 77 2. Material & Methods

#### 78 2.1 Participants

Datasets from an openly accessible anonymized database, the Leipzig Study for Mind-Body-Emotion Interactions project [42] and an additional follow-up project [43] were used in this study and details regarding recruitment and study procedure can be found there. This pThe study protocol was approved by the University of Leipzig's medical faculty's ethics committee (reference number 097/15-ff) [43]. The database was accessed on January 8, 2022, and there was no available access to identifying information.

Data from 13 subjects were eventually excluded (12 due to significant head motion during rs-fMRI scans, 1 due to missing data), leaving a total of 191 native German-speaking participants (92 females, 99 males). The specific age of each participant was not supplied in the dataset; instead, the age of each person is expressed in 5-year bins as shown in Figure 1.

#### 89 2.2. Measures

The Attention Control Scale [ACS] [42] was used in this study to evaluate individual differences in attention control. It is a self-report questionnaire with 20 items and it measures an individual's ability (a) to focus, (b) to orientate attention between tasks and (c) to control thought flexibly. Participants responded on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = almost never; 2 = sometimes; 3 = often; 4 = always), where a higher score reflected greater attention control ability.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [HADS] [45] was employed in this study to assess subclinical tendencies of depression and anxiety. It consists of 14 questions and two subscales measuring (a) anxiety [HADS.A] and (b) depression [HADS.D] across the past week. Each subscale has seven items and it is scored on a 4-point Likert scale (e.g., 1 = most of the time, 4 = never). A higher score represented higher anxiety and depression symptoms.

#### 101 **2.3. fMRI acquisition**

The full fMRI acquisition details may be obtained from [42, 43]. Magnetic resonance 102 imaging (MRI) was done using a 3 Tesla scanner equipped with a 32-channel head coil. 103 Magnetization Prepared 2 Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echoes (MP2RAGE) procedure was 104 105 used to collect T1-weighted images (TE=2920ms; TR=5000ms; TI1=700ms; TI2=2500ms; 106 FOV=256mm; 176 sagittal slices; voxel size=1mm isotropic). T2-weighted gradient echo 107 planar imaging (EPI) multiband BOLD technique (TR = 1,400 ms; TE = 30 ms; 64 axial slices; 108 matrix =  $88 \times 88$ ; voxel size = 2.3mm isotropic) was used to acquire the resting-state fMRI (rs-109 fMRI) volumes. Participants were told to stay awake and lie motionless with their eyes open 110 while staring at a low-contrast fixation cross.

111 **2.4. Data pre-processing** 

112 The T1 structural images were preprocessed with FreeSurfer 7.2.0 using the default 113 recon-all options. Non-brain tissue was removed using a hybrid watershed or surface 114 deformation procedure [46], automated Talairach transformation, segmentation of subcortical 115 white matter and deep grey matter volumetric structures (including the hippocampus, 116 amygdala, caudate, putamen, ventricles) [47, 48], intensity normalisation [49], tessellation [50, 117 51]. When the cortical models were finished, they were registered to a spherical atlas based on individual cortical folding patterns to match cortical geometry across participants [52], and the 118 119 cerebral cortex was divided into units based on gyral and sulcal structure.

120 fMRIPrep 20.2.5 was used to preprocess the resting fMRI volumes [53]. Slice time was 121 adjusted using 3dTshift from AFNI [54] and the motion was corrected using MCFLIRT [55]. 122 Following this, co-registration to the matching T1w was performed using boundary-based 123 registration [56] with 9 degrees of freedom, using bbregister from freesurfer. Motion correction 124 transformations, BOLD-to-T1w transformations, and T1w-to-template (MNI) warps were 125 combined and applied in a single step with antsApplyTransforms and Lanczos interpolation.

126 Following that, using the load confounds package (https://github.com/SIMEXP/load 127 confounds), these preprocessed volumes were denoised by regressing out 6 motion parameters, 128 the average signal of white matter and cerebrospinal fluid masks, global signal and their 129 derivatives, as well as cosines covering the slow time drift frequency band. Scrubbing was used to reduce the effects of excessive head motion [57]. After that, the volumes are smoothed with 130 131 a 5mm FWHM kernel and sent through a 0.1Hz low-pass filter. Finally, the brainnetome atlas [58] was used to divide the whole brain into 246 anatomical areas that corresponded to network 132 133 nodes. Participants with significant head motion are omitted from the analysis if more than 134 20% of their rs-fMRI volumes are over the high motion limit (relative RMS > 0.25).

135 **2.5. Statistical analysis** 

#### 136 **2.5.1. Network-based statistics.**

137 First, network-based statistics were carried out on the rsFC matrices to obtain edges correlated with attention control. The selection thresholds were set at p < .01 and p < .05 at the 138 139 edge and network levels respectively. Significant edges were separated into those positively 140 correlated with attention control, labelled as the attention control-positive network (ACPN), 141 and those negatively correlated with attention control, labelled as the attention control-negative 142 network (ACNN). Connectivity scores for each participant were calculated for both positive 143 and negative networks based on the connectivity strength of each network such that the greater 144 the network score, the greater the connectivity strength of the networks. These analyses were 145 performed in R (version 4.1.0) using the NBR package [59].

146 **2.5.2 Mediation analysis.** 

147 Subsequently, the ACPN and ACNN scores were used as individual mediators of the 148 connection between attention control and anxiety, as well as attention control and depression. 149 This analysis was conducted in R with the mediation package [60], employing a statistical

- 150 significance of p < 0.05, with quasi-Bayesian confidence intervals with 1000 Monte Carlo
- 151 simulations.

#### 152 **2.5.3. Data and code availability.**

- 153 The preprocessed rsFC matrices, behavioural scores of the participants studied, and the
- 154 R code for the analysis may be found at *osf.io/f2qd6*.
- 155

#### 156 **3. Results**

#### 157 **3.1. Network-based analysis**

158 The attention control-related brain connection patterns are shown in Figure 2. The 159 edges were classified into seven brain networks [61]. Attention control is positively associated 160 with increased somatomotor-frontoparietal and somatomotor-dorsal attention connectivity. 161 Strong positive connections can be observed between the frontoparietal and somatomotor 162 networks, as well as between the dorsal attention and somatomotor networks. More 163 specifically, there were stronger connections between the primary motor cortex and parts of 164 the dorsal and medial prefrontal cortex. Attention control is negatively associated with 165 decreased visual-ventral and visual-dorsal attention connectivity. Strong negative connections 166 can be observed between dorsal attention and visual networks, as well as between ventral 167 attention and visual networks, between the caudal dorsolateral region and the left inferior 168 occipital gyrus, left occipital polar cortex, left medial superior occipital gyrus and left lateral 169 superior occipital gyrus as well as opercular area 44 and right inferior occipital gyrus, right 170 medial superior occipital gyrus, right rostral lingual gyrus.

#### 171 **3.2. Mediation Analysis**

Attention control positively predicted anxiety and depression symptoms. Attention control also positively predicted ACPN and negatively predicted ACNN. ACPN negatively predicted both anxiety and depression symptoms, while ACNN positively predicted both anxiety and depression symptoms. These findings were all significant and are reflected in Table 1.

#### 177 **Table 1.**

178 Summary of All the Regression Analyses Not Yet Accounting for the Mediator.

Predictor	Outcome	Standardised Standard Error		p-value
		Estimate		
Attention	Anxiety	-0.27	0.070	<0.001***
Control	Depression	-0.25	0.070	<0.001***
	ACPN	0.52	0.062	<0.001***
	ACNN	-0.45	0.065	<0.001***
ACPN	Anxiety	-0.27	0.070	<0.001***
	Depression	-0.27	0.070	<0.001***
ACNN	Anxiety	0.17	0.072	0.021*
	Depression	0.17	0.072	0.0192*

179

180 The effect of attention control on anxiety was found to be partially mediated via the 181 ACPN but was not significantly mediated via the ACNN. Results of the mediation analysis for 182 anxiety are shown in Table 2 and Figure 3. The effect of attention control on depression was 183 fully mediated via the ACPN but was not significantly mediated via the ACNN. These results 184 can be found in Table 3 and Figure 4.

### **Table 2.**

Dradiatar		Estimates	95% CI	95% CI	
FIGUICIOI			Lower	Upper	p-value
ACPN	ACME	-0.0276	-0.0554	0.00	0.030*
	ADE	-0.0589	-0.1167	-0.01	0.030*
	Total Effect	-0.0865	-0.1350	-0.04	<0.001***
	Proportion	0.3196	0.0399	0.88	0.030*
	Mediated				
ACNN	ACME	-0.00772	-0.02958	0.01	0.410
	ADE	-0.07878	-0.13097	-0.02	0.006**
	Total Effect	-0.08650	-0.13488	-0.04	<0.001***
	Proportion	0.08929	-0.13094	0.50	0.410
	Mediated				

### *Estimates of the ACPN- and ACNN-mediated Model of Attention Control Against Anxiety.*

*Note.* ACME, average causal mediation effect; ADE, average direct effect. \*p < 0.05

# **Table 3**

Predictor		Estimates	95% CI	95% CI	p-value
			Lower	Upper	
ACPN	ACME	-0.101	-0.181	-0.03	0.008**
	ADE	-0.147	-0.309	0.01	0.064
	Total Effect	-0.248	-0.406	-0.11	<0.001***
	Proportion	0.406	0.114	1.07	0.008**
	Mediated				
ACNN	ACME	-0.0138	-0.0412	0.01	0.34
	ADE	-0.0914	-0.1591	-0.02	0.01**
	Total Effect	-0.1052	-0.1682	-0.05	<0.001***
	Proportion	0.1315	-0.1509	0.56	0.34
	Mediated				

# 191 Estimates of the ACPN- and ACNN-mediated Model of Attention Control Against Depression.

*Note.* ACME, average causal mediation effect; ADE, average direct effect. \*p < 0.05

#### 195 **4. Discussion**

This study identified rsFC positively and negatively associated with attention control. The pattern of edges in these networks reflected an increased somatomotor- frontoparietal and dorsal attention-somatomotor connectivity, and a decreased dorsal attention-visual and ventral attention-visual connectivity in attention control. The ACPN partially mediated the effect of attention control on anxiety and fully mediated the effect of attention control on depression. On the other hand, the ACNN did not significantly mediate the relationship between attention control and anxiety or depression.

203 What is surprising about the results is the increased connectivity between the frontoparietal 204 network and dorsal attention network with the somatomotor network in attention control. The 205 current literature on the role of the frontoparietal- and/or dorsal attention-somatosensory 206 network on attention mostly comprises clinical groups with attention deficits, namely in ADHD 207 populations. A mix of findings have been found, such as stronger connections in an ADHD 208 population between the white matter rsFC of the default mode network and the somatomotor 209 network with the other networks [62], increased visual-dorsal attention connectivity [63] and 210 increased surfaced-based brain rsFC within the limbic, visual default mode, somatomotor, 211 dorsal attention, frontoparietal and VANs [64]. Poor sustained attention in this population was 212 also associated with stronger positive connectivity within the motor network bilaterally and between motor, parietal, prefrontal, and limbic networks in a task-based functional connectivity 213 214 study [65]. Poor motor control has been observed in ADHD children, which may imply a lower 215 somatomotor activity correlation with inattentiveness [66]. Other studies did look at the 216 somatotopic (spatial) attention modulation of behaviour in non-clinical populations, but they 217 were mainly limited to the neural processing of tactile stimuli [67-73, 104] and most do not 218 investigate functional connectivity of the somatosensory region with other regions of the brain.

219 To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first to look into the rsFC of attention control in 220 all age groups and non-clinical populations. The implication of the somatomotor network in 221 attention may have to do with the fact that the ACS measured a somatic component of attention, 222 such as one's ability to alternate between different modalities of external sensory input (e.g. "When I am reading or studying, I am easily distracted if there are people talking in the same 223 224 room.") or regulate internal sensory input (e.g. "When concentrating I ignore feelings of hunger 225 or thirst."). In comparison, the attention control measures in previous literature might not have 226 paid as close attention to these somatic components. Some [74-76] used task-based attentional 227 search assessments such as the ANT-I task [78] which had a heavier cognitive load but only 228 required minor motor responses, while others [79] used questionnaires with less emphasis on 229 the somatic components and more emphasis on the affective components of anxiety, such as the State Trait Anxiety Inventory which includes items such as "I worry too much over 230 231 something that really doesn't matter" and "I feel calm; I feel secure."

232 Another interesting finding of this paper was that anxiety symptoms were not correlated with the frontoparietal- or dorsal attention-somatomotor rsFC strength. This paper's findings hence 233 234 dispute previous findings about the positive correlation of somatomotor connectivity with 235 heightened anxiety [80-82] as increased rsFC of the frontoparietal- and dorsal attention-236 somatomotor network was found in this study to be associated with lowered anxiety levels. 237 The findings of past studies mainly clustered around the decreased functioning within the 238 frontoparietal network during the processing of neutral targets [75, 76, 79, 83] and increased 239 activity in these portions in tasks that use emotionally laden stimuli [76, 84-86] in individuals 240 high in trait anxiety or diagnosed with an anxiety disorder. Other studies focusing on the DAN 241 tend to focus mainly on dorsal attention- amygdala activity [87-90]. A possible reason for this 242 discrepancy is that some of the previous literature examined clinically anxious populations [85, 243 86] while this current study investigated rsFC in sub-clinical and non-clinical populations.

However, for the rest of the studies that similarly focused on healthy individuals, the cause forthis discrepancy could be a focus for future studies.

246 The last finding of this paper worth mentioning would be that while the HADS.A scale measured somatic-related anxiety symptoms (such as "I feel tense or 'wound up.""), the 247 HADS.D scale does not measure any somatic symptoms related to depression. Yet, ACPN was 248 249 found to be a partial mediator in the relationship between attention control and anxiety 250 symptoms and a complete mediator in the relationship between attention control and depression 251 symptoms. This may imply that the attention control networks play a more important role in 252 this relationship. We propose that this may stem from the connection between rumination, 253 known to impede attention control [91], and the potentially weakened connectivity within the 254 dorsal attention and frontoparietal somatosensory networks. This reduced connectivity could 255 hinder individuals from redirecting negative thought patterns and engaging in mindfulness-256 enhancing motor activities, thereby potentially exacerbating mood symptoms [92, 93]. 257 Specifically, ruminative thoughts related to self-efficacy can intensify depression symptoms, 258 while those concerning perceived social failures can heighten anxiety [92]. While rumination 259 plays a key role in both anxiety and depression symptom perpetuation [94], it is a hallmark 260 symptom of depression but only a potential symptom of anxiety [95]. Our current study aligns 261 with the findings of [96], who identified hypoconnectivity between the resting-state functional 262 connectivity (rsFC) frontoparietal and dorsal attention networks in Major Depressive Disorder 263 (MDD). However, their study did not explicitly investigate the somatomotor network, likely 264 due to its primary focus on MDD in general rather than exploring the link between attention 265 control and depression specifically.

The findings of this study would be useful for neuroeducation, "a didactic or experiential-basedintervention that aims to reduce client distress and improve client outcome by helping clients

268 understand the neurological processes underlying mental functioning" [97]. In the context of 269 this study, neuroeducation would involve informing clients of the neurological processes. 270 strengthening connectivity in their frontoparietal- or dorsal attention- somatomotor network 271 which may underlie their poor attention control. This knowledge empowers clients to develop self-compassion, modify deep-seated cognitive patterns, and normalise the fluctuations in their 272 273 personal growth journey [97]. These insights have the potential to alleviate client anxiety, 274 enhance results, and foster greater cooperation between therapists and their clients. [104]. 275 Furthermore, the findings support therapies that incorporate somatic and attention-based 276 elements, like mindfulness cognitive-based therapy, which has demonstrated effectiveness in 277 reducing anxiety and depression symptoms such as rumination [93, 98-100] and enhancing 278 emotion regulation abilities [101, 102].

The findings are subject to some limitations. Firstly, the present study is purely correlational. Causation between attention control, functional connectivity of the brain and anxiety- and depression-related symptoms cannot be inferred. Second, attention control was measured via self-reported questionnaire responses which may be subjective and unreliable compared to more objective measures of attention control, such as using a Stroop task. Replication of the present study with more objective measures or with additional measures for corroboration such as peer-reported measures could increase its validity.

# 287 Author Contributions

- 288 Raye Fion Loh: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration,
- 289 Software, Visualization, Writing original draft
- 290 Savannah Siew Kah Hui: Supervision, Visualization, Writing review & editing
- 291 Junhong Yu: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Software,
- 292 Supervision, Writing review & editing

#### 293 **References**

- Kalin NH. Novel insights into pathological anxiety and anxiety-related disorders. Am
   J Psychiatry. 2020;177(3):187-189. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.20010057.
- Mathews A, MacLeod C. Cognitive vulnerability to emotional disorders. Annu Rev
   Clin Psychol. 2005;1(1):167-195. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143916.
- Rodriguez BF, Bruce SE, Pagano ME, Keller MB. Relationships among psychosocial
   functioning, diagnostic comorbidity, and the recurrence of generalized anxiety
   disorder, panic disorder, and major depression. J Anxiety Disord. 2005;19(7):752-766.
- 301 DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2004.10.002.
- 302 4. American Psychiatric Association, DSM-5 Task Force. Diagnostic and Statistical
   303 Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5. American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
   304 Available from: https://DOI.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- 305 5. Goodwin GM. Neuropsychological and neuroimaging evidence for the involvement of
  306 the frontal lobes in depression. J Psychopharmacol. 1997;11(2):115-122. DOI:
  307 10.1177/026988119701100204.
- Austin MP, Ross M, Murray C, O'Carroll RE, Ebmeier KP, Goodwin GM. Cognitive
  function in major depression. J Affect Disord. 1992;25(1):21-29. DOI:10.1016/01650327(92)90089-O.
- 311 7. Beats B. The biological origin of depression in later life. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.
  312 1996;11:349-354. DOI:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166(199604)11:4<349::AID-</li>
  313 GPS457>3.0.CO; 2-T.
- Purcell R, Maruff P, Kyrios M, Pantelis C. Neuropsychological function in young
   patients with unipolar major depression. Psychol Med. 1997;27(6):1277-1285. DOI:
   10.1017/s0033291797005448.

9. Merriam EP, Thase ME, Haas GL, Keshavan MS, Sweeney JA. Prefrontal cortical
dysfunction in depression determined by Wisconsin Card Sorting Test Performance.

- 319 Am J Psychiatry. 1999;156(5):780-782. DOI: 10.1176/ajp.156.5.780.
- 10. Nebes RD, Pollock BG, Houck PR, Butters MA, Mulsant BH, Zmuda MD, Reynolds
  CF 3rd. Persistence of cognitive impairment in geriatric patients following
  antidepressant treatment: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial with nortriptyline
  and paroxetine. J Psychiatr Res. 2003;37(2):99-108. DOI: 10.1016/s0022324 3956(02)00085-7.
- 325 11. Baudic S, Tzortzis C, Barba GD, Traykov L. Executive deficits in elderly patients with
  326 major unipolar depression. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol. 2004;17(4):195-201.
  327 DOI:10.1177/0891988704269823.
- Reppermund S, Zihl J, Lucae S, Horstmann S, Kloiber S, Holsboer F, Ising M.
  Persistent Cognitive impairment in depression: The role of Psychopathology and
  Altered Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenocortical (HPA) System regulation. Biol
  Psychiatry. 2007;62(5):400-406. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.09.027.
- 332 13. Austin MP, Mitchell P, Goodwin GM. Cognitive deficits in depression: possible
  333 implications for functional neuropathology. Br J Psychiatry. 2001;178:200-206.
  334 DOI:10.1192/bjp.178.3.200.
- 335 14. Smith TW, Christensen AJ, Peck JR, Ward JR. Cognitive distortion, helplessness, and
  336 depressed mood in rheumatoid arthritis: A four-year longitudinal analysis. Health
  337 Psychol. 1994;13(3):213-217. DOI: 10.1037/0278-6133.13.3.213.
- 338 15. Brébion G, Smith MJ, Widlöcher D. Discrimination and response bias in memory:
  339 effects of depression severity and psychomotor retardation. Psychiatry Res
  340 Neuroimaging. 1997;70(2):95-103. DOI:10.1016/s0165-1781(97)03098-9.

- 341 16. Porter RJ, Gallagher P, Thompson JM, Young AH. Neurocognitive impairment in drug-
- 342 free patients with major depressive disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2003;182:214-220. DOI:
- 343 10.1192/bjp.182.3.214.
- 344 17. Butters MA, Whyte EM, Nebes RD, Begley AE, Dew MA, Mulsant BH, Zmuda MD,
- Bhalla R, Meltzer CC, Pollock BG, Reynolds CF 3rd, Becker JT. The nature and
  determinants of neuropsychological functioning in late-life depression. Arch Gen
  Psychiatry. 2004;61(6):587-595. DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.61.6.587.
- 348 18. Naismith SL, Hickie IB, Turner KA, Little C, Winter VR, Ward PB, Wilhelm K,
  349 Mitchell PB, Parker G. Neuropsychological Performance in Patients With Depression
- is Associated With Clinical, Etiological and Genetic Risk Factors. J Clin Exp
  Neuropsychol. 2003;25(6):866-877. DOI: 10.1076/jcen.25.6.866.16472.
- 352 19. Bhalla RK, Butters MA, Zmuda M, Seligman K, Mulsant BH, Pollock BG, Reynolds
  353 CF. Does education moderate neuropsychological impairment in late-life depression?
  354 Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2005;20(5):413-417. DOI:10.1002/gps.1296.
- 20. Cataldo MG, Nobile M, Lorusso ML, Battaglia M, Molteni M. Impulsivity in depressed
- children and adolescents: A comparison between behavioral and neuropsychological
  data. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2005;136(2-3):123-133. DOI:
- 358 10.1016/j.psychres.2004.12.012.
- 359 21. Baune B, Aljeesh Y. The association of psychological stress and health related quality
  360 of life among patients with stroke and hypertension in Gaza Strip. Ann Gen Psychiatry.
- 361 2006;5(1):6. DOI:10.1186/1744-859X-5-6.
- 362 22. Sheline YI. Depression and the hippocampus: Cause or effect? Biol Psychiatry.
  363 2011;70(4):308-309. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.06.006.
- 364 23. Abas M, Sahakian BJ, Levy R. Neuropsychological deficits and CT scan changes in
   365 elderly depressives. Psychological Medicine. 1990 Aug 1;20(3):507–20.

366	24. Elliott R, Sahakian BJ, McKay AP, Herrod JJ, Robbins TW, Paykel ES.
367	Neuropsychological impairments in unipolar depression: the influence of perceived
368	failure on subsequent performance. Psychol Med. 1996;26(5):975-989. DOI:
369	10.1017/s0033291700035303.

- 370 25. Hirschfield RM. The comorbidity of major depression and anxiety disorders. Prim Care
  371 Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2001;03(06):244-254. DOI: 10.4088/pcc.v03n0609.
- 26. De Raedt R, Koster EH. Understanding vulnerability for depression from a cognitive
  neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of attentional factors and a new conceptual
  framework. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2010;10(1):50-70. DOI:
  10.3758/cabn.10.1.50.
- 27. Disner SG, Beevers CG, Haigh EA, Beck AT. Neural mechanisms of the cognitive
  model of depression. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2011;12(8):467-477. DOI: 10.1038/nrn3027.
- 378 28. Joormann J, Gotlib IH. Emotion regulation in depression: Relation to cognitive
  379 inhibition. Cogn Emotion. 2010;24(2):281-298. DOI: 10.1080/02699930903407948.
- 380 29. Mogg K, Bradley BP. Anxiety and attention to threat: Cognitive mechanisms and
  381 treatment with attention bias modification. Behav Res Ther. 2016;87:76-108. DOI:
  382 10.1016/j.brat.2016.08.001.
- 30. Biswal B, Zerrin Yetkin F, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the
  motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magn Reson Med.
  1995;34(4):537-541. DOI:10.1002/mrm.1910340409
- 386 31. Chou YH, Sundman M, Whitson H, et al. Maintenance and Representation of Mind
  387 Wandering during Resting-State fMRI. Sci Rep. 2017;7:40722. DOI:
  388 10.1038/srep40722.

- 389 32. Rodríguez MR, Nuevo R, Chatterji S, Ayuso-Mateos JL. Definitions and factors
  390 associated with subthreshold depressive conditions: A systematic review. BMC
  391 Psychiatry. 2012;12(1). DOI: 10.1186/1471-244x-12-181.
- 392 33. Cuijpers P, Smit F. Subthreshold depression: A clinically relevant condition? Eur
   393 Psychiatry. 2010;25:153. DOI: 10.1016/s0924-9338(10)70153-x.
- 34. Haller H, Cramer H, Lauche R, Gass F, Dobos GJ. The prevalence and burden of
  subthreshold generalized anxiety disorder: A systematic review. BMC Psychiatry.
  2014;14(1). DOI: 10.1186/1471-244x-14-128.
- 397 35. Rucci P, Gherardi S, Tansella M, Piccinelli M, Berardi D, Bisoffi G, Corsino MA, Pini
  398 S. Subthreshold psychiatric disorders in primary care: prevalence and associated
  399 characteristics. J Affect Disord. 2003;76(1-3):171-181. DOI:10.1016/s0165400 0327(02)00087-3.
- 36. Chachamovich E, Fleck M, Laidlaw K, Power M. Impact of major depression and
  subsyndromal symptoms on quality of life and attitudes toward aging in an international
  sample of older adults. Gerontologist. 2008;48(5):593-602. DOI:
  10.1093/geront/48.5.593.
- 37. Goldney RD, Fisher LJ, Dal Grande E, Taylor AW. Subsyndromal depression:
  Prevalence, use of health services and quality of life in an Australian population. Soc
  Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2004;39(4):293-298. DOI: 10.1007/s00127-004-07455.
- 38. Cuijpers P, Koole SL, van Dijke A, Roca M, Li J, Reynolds CF. Psychotherapy for
  subclinical depression: Meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry. 2014;205(4):268-274. DOI:
  10.1192/bjp.bp.113.138784.

- 39. Beesdo K, Knappe S, Pine DS. Anxiety and anxiety disorders in children and
  adolescents: Developmental issues and implications for DSM-V. Psychiatr Clin North
  Am. 2009;32(3):483-524. DOI:10.1016/j.psc.2009.06.002.
- 40. Yang X-Hua, Huang J, Zhu C-Ying, Wang Y-Fei, Cheung EFC, Chan RC-K, Xie Grong. Motivational deficits in effort-based decision making in individuals with
  subsyndromal depression, first-episode and remitted depression patients. Psychiatry
  Res. 2014;220(3):874-882. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.08.056.
- 419 41. Sharp LK, Lipsky MS. Screening for depression across the lifespan: a review of
  420 measures for use in primary care settings. Am Fam Physician. 2002;66(6):1001-1009.
- 421 42. Babayan A, Erbey M, Kumral D, Reinelt JD, Reiter AM, Röbbig J, Schaare HL, Uhlig
- 422 M, Anwander A, Bazin PL, Horstmann A, Lampe L, Nikulin VV, Okon-Singer H,
- 423 Preusser S, Pampel A, Rohr CS, Sacher J, Thöne-Otto A, ... Villringer A. A Mind424 brain-body Dataset of MRI, EEG, Cognition, Emotion, and Peripheral Physiology in
  425 Young and Old Adults. Sci Data. 2019;6(1). DOI:10.1038/sdata.2018.308.
- 426 43. Mendes N, Oligschläger S, Lauckner M, Golchert J, Huntenburg JM, Falkiewicz M, et
  427 al. A functional connectome phenotyping dataset including cognitive state and
- 428 personality measures. Sci Data. 2019;6:180307. DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2018.307.
- 429 44. Derryberry D, Reed MA. Anxiety and attentional focusing: Trait, state and hemispheric
  430 influences. Pers Individ Dif. 1998;25(4):745-761. DOI: 10.1016/s0191431 8869(98)00117-2.
- 432 45. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr
  433 Scand. 1983;67(6):361-370. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x.
- 434 46. Segonne F. A hybrid approach to the skull stripping problem in MRI. NeuroImage.
  435 2004. DOI: 10.1016/s1053-8119(04)00188-0.

- 436 47. Fischl B. Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex.
  437 2004;14(1):11-22. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhg087.
- 438 48. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C, van der Kouwe A,
- 439 Killiany R, Kennedy D, Klaveness S, Montillo A, Makris N, Rosen B, Dale AM. Whole
- 440 brain segmentation. Neuron. 2002;33(3):341-355. DOI: 10.1016/s0896441 6273(02)00569-x.
- 442 49. Sled JG, Zijdenbos AP, Evans AC. A nonparametric method for automatic correction
  443 of intensity nonuniformity in MRI Data. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 1998;17(1):87-97.
  444 DOI: 10.1109/42.668698.
- 50. Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis. NeuroImage.
  1999;9(2):179-194. DOI: 10.1006/nimg.1998.0395.
- 51. Fischl B, Dale AM. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from
  Magnetic Resonance Images. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2000;97(20):11050-11055. DOI:
  10.1073/pnas.200033797.
- 450 52. Fischl B, Sereno MI, Dale AM. Cortical surface-based analysis. NeuroImage.
  451 1999;9(2):195-207. DOI: 10.1006/nimg.1998.0396.
- 452 53. Esteban O, Markiewicz CJ, Blair RW, Moodie CA, Isik AI, Erramuzpe A, Kent JD,
- 453 Goncalves M, DuPre E, Snyder M, Oya H, Ghosh SS, Wright J, Durnez J, Poldrack
- 454 RA, Gorgolewski KJ. FMRIPrep: A robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI.
  455 Nat Methods. 2018;16(1):111-116. DOI: 10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4.
- 456 54. Cox RW. AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic
  457 resonance neuroimages. Comput Biomed Res. 1996;29(3):162-173. DOI:
  458 10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014.

- 459 55. Jenkinson M, Bannister P, Brady M, Smith S. Improved optimization for the robust and
  460 accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. NeuroImage.
  461 2002;17(2):825-841. DOI: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1132.
- 401 2002,17(2).823-841. DOI: 10.1000/mmg.2002.1152.
- 462 56. Greve DN, Fischl B. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based
  463 registration. NeuroImage. 2009;48(1):63-72. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.060.
- 464 57. Power JD, Mitra A, Laumann TO, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Methods to
- detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. NeuroImage.
  2014;84:320-341. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.048.
- 467 58. Fan L, Li H, Zhuo J, Zhang Y, Wang J, Chen L, Yang Z, Chu C, Xie S, Laird AR, Fox
- PT, Eickhoff SB, Yu C, Jiang T. The human Brainnetome Atlas: A new brain atlas
  based on Connectional Architecture. Cereb Cortex. 2016;26(8):3508-3526. DOI:
  10.1093/cercor/bhw157.
- 471 59. Gracia-Tabuenca Z, Alcauter S. NBR: Network-based R-statistics for (unbalanced)
  472 longitudinal samples. BioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Lab). 2020. DOI:
  473 10.1101/2020.11.07.373019.
- 474 60. Tingley D, Yamamoto T, Hirose K, Keele L, Imai K. mediation: R Package for Causal
  475 Mediation Analysis. J Stat Softw. 2014;59(5). DOI: 10.18637/jss.v059.i05.
- 476 61. Yeo BT, Krienen FM, Sepulcre J, Sabuncu MR, Lashkari D, Hollinshead M, Roffman
  477 JL, Smoller JW, Zöllei L, Polimeni JR, Fischl B, Liu H, Buckner RL. The organization
  478 of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. J
  479 Neurophysiol. 2011;106(3):1125-1165. DOI: 10.1152/jn.00338.2011.
- 480 62. Bu X, Liang K, Lin Q, Gao Y, Qian A, Chen H, Chen W, Wang M, Yang C, Huang X.
  481 Exploring white matter functional networks in children with attention482 deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Brain Communications. 2020;2(2). DOI:
  483 10.1093/braincomms/fcaa113.

484	63. Wang M, Hu Z, Liu L, Li H, Qian Q, Niu H. Disrupted functional brain connectivity
485	networks in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Evidence from
486	resting-state functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Neurophotonics. 2020;7(01):1.
487	DOI: 10.1117/1.nph.7.1.015012.

- 488 64. Jung M, Tu Y, Park J, Jorgenson K, Lang C, Song W, Kong J. Surface-based shared
  489 and distinct resting functional connectivity in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder
  490 and autism spectrum disorder. Br J Psychiatry. 2019;214(06):339-344. DOI:
  491 10.1192/bjp.2018.248.
- 65. O'Halloran L, Cao Z, Ruddy K, Jollans L, Albaugh MD, Aleni A, Potter AS, Vahey N,
  Banaschewski T, Hohmann S, Bokde ALW, Bromberg U, Büchel C, Quinlan EB,
  Desrivières S, Flor H, Frouin V, Gowland P, Heinz A, Whelan R. Neural circuitry
  underlying sustained attention in healthy adolescents and in ADHD symptomatology.
  NeuroImage. 2018;169:395-406. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.030.
- 497 66. Kaiser ML, Schoemaker MM, Albaret JM, Geuze RH. What is the evidence of impaired
  498 motor skills and motor control among children with attention deficit hyperactivity
  499 disorder (ADHD)? Systematic review of the literature. Res Dev Disabil. 2015;36C:338-
- 500 357. DOI: 10.1016/j.ridd.2014.09.023.
- 501 67. Hsiao SS, O'Shaughnessy DM, Johnson KO. Effects of selective attention on spatial
  502 form processing in monkey primary and secondary somatosensory cortex. J
  503 Neurophysiol. 1993;70(1):444-447. DOI: 10.1152/jn.1993.70.1.444.
- 504 68. Burton H, Sinclair RJ. Attending to and remembering tactile stimuli. J Clin
  505 Neurophysiol. 2000;17(6):575-591. DOI: 10.1097/00004691-200011000-00004.
- 506 69. Burton H, Sinclair RJ. Attending to and Remembering Tactile Stimuli. J Clin
  507 Neurophysiol. 2000;17(6):575-591. DOI: 10.1097/00004691-200011000-00004.

- 508 70. Eimer M, Forster B. Modulations of early somatosensory ERP components by transient
  509 and sustained spatial attention. Exp Brain Res. 2003;151(1):24-31. DOI:
  510 10.1007/s00221-003-1437-1.
- 511 71. Forster B, Eimer M. The attentional selection of spatial and non-spatial attributes in
  512 touch: ERP evidence for parallel and independent processes. Biol Psychol.
  513 2004;66(1):1-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2003.08.001.
- 514 72. Gherri E, Forster B. Attention to the body depends on eye-in-orbit position. Front
  515 Psychol. 2014;5. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00683.
- 516 73. Gomez-Ramirez M, Trzcinski NK, Mihalas S, Niebur E, Hsiao SS. Temporal
  517 correlation mechanisms and their role in feature selection: A single-unit study in
  518 primate somatosensory cortex. PLoS Biol. 2014;12(11). DOI:
  519 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002004.
- 520 74. Bishop SJ. Trait anxiety and impoverished prefrontal control of attention. Nat Neurosci.
  521 2009;12(1):92-98. DOI:10.1038/nn.2242.
- 522 75. Bishop SJ, Jenkins R, Lawrence AD. Neural processing of fearful faces: Effects of
  523 anxiety are gated by perceptual capacity limitations. Cereb Cortex. 2007;17(7):1595524 1603. DOI:10.1093/cercor/bhl070.
- 76. Pannu-Hayes J, LaBar KS, Petty CM, McCarthy G, Morey RA. Alterations in the neural
  circuitry for emotion and attention associated with posttraumatic stress
  symptomatology. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging. 2009;172(1):7-15. DOI:
  10.1016/j.pscychresns.2008.05.005.
- 529 77. Pacheco-Unguetti AP, Acosta A, Callejas A, Lupiáñez J. Attention and anxiety.
  530 Psychol Sci. 2010;21(2):298-304. DOI: 10.1177/0956797609359624.
- 531 78. Callejas A, Lupiáñez J, Tudela P. The three attentional networks: on their independence
  532 and interactions. Brain Cogn. 2004;54(3):225-227. DOI: 10.1016/j.bandc.2004.02.012.

533 79. Basten U, Stelzel C, Fiebach CJ. Trait anxiety modulates the neural efficiency of
534 inhibitory control. J Cogn Neurosci. 2011;23(10):3132-3145.
535 DOI:10.1162/jocn\_a\_00003.

- Son Cui H, Zhang J, Liu Y, Li Q, Li H, Zhang L, Hu Q, Cheng W, Luo Q, Li J, Li W, Wang
  J, Feng J, Li C, Northoff G. Differential alterations of resting-state functional
  connectivity in generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder. Hum Brain Mapp.
  2016;37(4):1459-1473. DOI: 10.1002/hbm.23113.
- 540 81. Li X, Zhang M, Li K, Zou F, Wang Y, Wu X, Zhang H. The Altered Somatic Brain
  541 Network in Anxiety. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00465.
- 542 82. Bouziane I, Das M, Friston KJ, Caballero-Gaudes C, Ray D. Enhanced top-down
  543 sensorimotor processing in somatic anxiety. Translational Psychiatry. 2022 Jul
  544 25;12(1).
- 545 83. Bishop S, Duncan J, Brett M, Lawrence AD. Prefrontal cortical function and anxiety:
  546 Controlling attention to threat-related stimuli. Nat Neurosci. 2004;7(2):184-188.
  547 DOI:10.1038/nn1173.
- 548 84. Telzer EH, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Mai X, Ernst M, Pine DS, Monk CS. Relationship
  549 between trait anxiety, prefrontal cortex, and attention bias to angry faces in children
  550 and adolescents. Biol Psychol. 2008;79(2):216-222. DOI:
  551 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.05.004.
- 552 85. Goldin PR, Manber T, Hakimi S, Canli T, Gross JJ. Neural bases of social anxiety
  553 disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(2):170. DOI:
  554 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.525.
- 555 86. Etkin A, Keller KE, Schatzberg AF, Menon V, Greicius MD. Disrupted amygdalar
   556 subregion functional connectivity and evidence for a compensatory network in

557 generalized anxiety disorder. NeuroImage. 2010;47. DOI: 10.1016/s1053558 8119(09)70428-8.

- 559 87. Kim MJ, Gee DG, Loucks RA, Davis FC, Whalen PJ. Anxiety dissociates dorsal and
  560 ventral medial prefrontal cortex functional connectivity with the amygdala at rest.
  561 Cereb Cortex. 2010;21(7):1667-1673. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhq237.
- 562 88. Vaidya CJ, Gordon EM. Phenotypic variability in resting-state functional connectivity:
  563 Current status. Brain Connect. 2013;3(2):99-120. DOI: 10.1089/brain.2012.0110.
- 89. He Y, Xu T, Zhang W, Zuo XN. Lifespan anxiety is reflected in human amygdala
  cortical connectivity. Hum Brain Mapp. 2016;37(3):1178-1193. DOI:
  10.1002/hbm.23094.
- 567 90. Sylvester CM, Yu Q, Srivastava AB, Marek S, Zheng A, Alexopoulos D, Smyser CD,
  568 Shimony JS, Ortega M, Dierker DL, Patel GH, Nelson SM, Gilmore AW, McDermott
  569 KB, Berg JJ, Drysdale AT, Perino MT, Snyder AZ, Raut RV, Dosenbach NU.
- Individual-specific functional connectivity of the amygdala: A substrate for precision
  psychiatry. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(7):3808-3818. DOI:
  10.1073/pnas.1910842117.
- 91. Yaroslavsky I, Allard ES, Sanchez-Lopez A. Can't look away: Attention control deficits
  predict rumination, depression symptoms and depressive affect in daily life. J Affect
  Disord. 2019;245:1061-1069. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.11.036.
- 576 92. Hsu KJ, Beard C, Rifkin L, Dillon DG, Pizzagalli DA, Björgvinsson T. Transdiagnostic
  577 mechanisms in depression and anxiety: The role of rumination and attentional control.
  578 J Affect Disord. 2015;188:22-27. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.08.008.
- 579 93. Blanck P, Perleth S, Heidenreich T, Kröger P, Ditzen B, Bents H, et al. Effects of
  580 mindfulness exercises as stand-alone intervention on symptoms of anxiety and

581 depression: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Behaviour Research and Therapy.

582 2018 Mar;102:25–35.

- 94. Michl LC, McLaughlin KA, Shepherd K, Nolen-Hoeksema S. Rumination as a
  Mechanism Linking Stressful Life Events to Symptoms of Depression and Anxiety:
  Longitudinal Evidence in Early Adolescents and Adults. J Abnorm Psychol.
  2013;122(2):339. doi:10.1037/a0031994.
- 587 95. Olatunji BO, Naragon-Gainey K, Wolitzky-Taylor KB. Specificity of rumination in
  588 anxiety and depression: A multimodal meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Sci Pract.
  589 2013;20(3):225-257. DOI: 10.1037/h0101719.
- 590 96. Kaiser RH, Andrews-Hanna JR, Wager TD, Pizzagalli DA. Large-scale network
  591 dysfunction in major depressive disorder. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015;72(6):603. DOI:
  592 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0071.
- 593 97. Miller R. Neuroeducation: Integrating brain-based psychoeducation into clinical
  594 practice. J Ment Health Couns. 2016;38(2):103-115. DOI: 10.17744/mehc.38.2.02.
- 595 98. Papageorgiou C, Wells A. Treatment of recurrent major depression with attention
  596 training. Cogn Behav Pract. 2000;7:407-413.
- 597 99. Siegle GJ, Ghinassi F, Thase ME. Neurobehavioral therapies in the 21st century:
   598 summary of an emerging field and an extended example of cognitive control training
- 599 for depression. Cogn Ther Res. 2007;31:235-262. DOI: 10.1007/s10608-006-9118-6.
- Siegle GJ, Price RB, Jones NP, Ghinassi F, Painter T, Thase ME. You gotta
  work at it: pupillary indices of task focus are prognostic for response to a
  neurocognitive intervention for rumination in depression. Clin Psychol Sci.
  2014;2:455-471. DOI: 10.1177/2167702614536160.
- 604 101. Gyurak A, Ayduk O, Gross JJ. Training Executive Functions: Emotion
  605 Regulation and Affective Consequences.

606	102.	Bomyea J, Amir N. The Effect of an Executive Functioning Training Progra	am
607	on	Vorking Memory Capacity and Intrusive Thoughts. Cognitive Therapy a	ınd
608	Res	arch. 2011 May 13;35(6):529–35.	

- 609 103. Burton H, Raichle M, Snyder A, Sinclair R, MacLeod A-M, Abend N. Tactile
- 610 Attention Tasks Enhance Activation in Somatosensory Regions of Parietal Cortex: a
- 611 Positron Emission Tomography Study. Cereb Cortex. 1999;9(7):662-674. DOI:
- 612 10.1093/cercor/9.7.662.
- 613 104. Miller R, Beeson ET. The neuroeducation toolbox: practical translations of
- 614 neuroscience in counseling and psychotherapy. San Diego, Ca: Cognella Academic

615 Publishing; 2021.



80

Age Range / years

Figure 1. Summary of the Age Range of Par

# Figure 2. (A) Chord diagram depicting att





Figure 3. Figure 3. Visualisation of me



# Figure 4. Visualisation of mediation mod