

1 **Distress symptoms of old age and mild cognitive impairment are two distinct**
2 **dimensions in older adults without major depression: effects of adverse childhood**
3 **experiences and negative life events.**

4

5 (1,2) Vinh-Long Tran-Chi^{*}; (1-9) Michael Maes^{*}; (2,10) Gallayaporn Nantachai; (2,9)
6 Solaphat Hemrungronj; (11-14) Marco Solmi; (2,8) Chavit Tunvirachaisakul

7

8 *These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

9

10 (1) Ph.D. Program in Clinical Sciences, School of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine,
11 Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

12 (2) Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok,
13 Thailand.

14 (3) Research Institute, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

15 (4) Department of Psychiatry, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

16 (5) Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital,
17 School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China,
18 Chengdu, China.

19 (6) Key Laboratory of Psychosomatic Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences,
20 Chengdu, China.

21 (7) Kyung Hee University, 26 Kyunghedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul, South Korea.

22 (8) Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry,
23 Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

24 (9) Cognitive Fitness and Biopsychiatry Technology Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine,
25 Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

26 (10) Somdet Phra Sungharaj Nyanasumvara Geriatric Hospital, Department of Medical
27 Services, Ministry of Public Health, Chon Buri Province, Thailand.

28 (11) Department of Psychiatry, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

29 (12) Regional Centre for the Treatment of Eating Disorders and On Track, The
30 Champlain First Episode Psychosis Program, Department of Mental Health, The Ottawa
31 Hospital, Ontario, Canada.

32 (13) Ottawa Hospital Research Institute (OHRI), Clinical Epidemiology Program,
33 University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

34 (14) Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Charité Universitätsmedizin,
35 Berlin, Germany.

36

37 **Correspondence:**

38 Prof. Dr. Michael Maes, M.D., Ph.D.

39 Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health

40 Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital

41 School of Medicine

42 University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

43 Chengdu 610072

44 China

45 [Michael Maes Google Scholar profile](#)

46 dr.michaelmaes@hotmail.com

47 **E-mail addresses:**

48 Vinh-Long Tran-Chi: 6478308230@student.chula.ac.th

49 Michael Maes: dr.michaelmaes@hotmail.com

50 Gallayaporn Nantachai: gallayaporn@gmail.com

51 Solaphat Hemrungronj: solaphat@hotmail.com

52 Marco Solmi: marco.solmi83@gmail.com

53 Chavit Tunvirachaisakul: chavit.t@chula.ac.th

54

55 **Abstract:**

56 **Background:** Studies in old adults showed bidirectional interconnections between
57 amnesic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) and affective symptoms and that adverse
58 childhood experiences (ACE) may affect both factors. Nevertheless, these associations
59 may be confined to older adults with clinical depression.

60 **Aims:** To delineate the relationship between clinical symptoms of aMCI and affective
61 symptoms in older adults without major depression (MDD) or dysfunctions in activities
62 of daily living (ADL).

63 **Methods:** This case-control study recruited 61 participants with aMCI (diagnosed using
64 Petersen's criteria) and 59 older adults without aMCI and excluded subjects with MDD
65 and ADL dysfunctions.

66 **Results:** We uncovered 2 distinct dimensions, namely distress symptoms of old age
67 (DSOA) comprising anxiety, depression, perceived stress and neuroticism scores, and
68 mild cognitive dysfunctions (mCoDy) comprising episodic memory test scores, and the
69 total Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment
70 (MoCA) scores. A large part of the variance (37.9%) in DSOA scores was explained by
71 ACE, negative life events (health and financial problems), a subjective feeling of
72 cognitive decline, and education (all positively). While ACE and NLE have a highly
73 significant impact on the DSOA, they are not associated with the mCoDy scores. Cluster
74 analysis showed that the diagnosis of aMCI is overinclusive because some subjects with
75 DSOA symptoms may be incorrectly classified as aMCI.

76 **Conclusions:** The clinical impact is that clinicians should carefully screen older adults
77 for DSOA after excluding MDD. DSOA might be misinterpreted as aMCI.

78 **Keywords:** depression, adverse childhood experiences, mild cognitive impairments,

79 affective disorders, negative life events, neurocognitive deficits

80

81 **Introduction**

82 Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) has been observed to have a significant prevalence
83 among the elderly population affecting approximately 10-15% of individuals aged 65 and
84 above ¹. Mild deficits in episodic memory, executive functions, visuospatial skills,
85 processing speed, cognitive flexibility, and problem-solving capacity are prominent
86 characteristics of amnesic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI), while fundamental
87 activities associated with daily living (ADL) remain unimpaired ²⁻⁴. aMCI can be seen as
88 a cognitive phase that lies between the normal aging process and the onset of dementia ¹.
89 It is worth noting that the annual conversion rate of aMCI to Alzheimer's disease (AD) is
90 approximately 16.5%, although a small proportion of aMCI patients (8%) experience a
91 recovery from this condition ⁵.

92 The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD)
93 developed a neuropsychological test battery to evaluate patients with neurocognitive
94 deficits including MCI ⁶. The CERAD tests indicate that individuals with MCI have
95 deficits in verbal fluency tasks (VFT), as well as word list memory (WLM) and word list
96 recognition (WLR) ⁷. Age is a crucial determinant in comprehending cognitive decline
97 due to the frequent association between MCI and the process of aging ⁷. Moreover,
98 education level is frequently regarded as a potential confounding variable in cognitive
99 decline research because it can affect cognitive performance; higher levels of education
100 are associated with greater cognitive reserve, which may delay the onset of cognitive
101 impairment or dementia ^{7,8}.

102 Previous research has indicated that adverse childhood experiences (ACE),
103 encompassing various forms of abuse (physical, emotional, and sexual), neglect (physical

104 and emotional), and family dysfunctions, are significantly correlated with later cognitive
105 impairments⁹⁻¹¹. Negative Life Events (NLE), such as severe illness, and financial
106 problems, may be associated with increasing cognitive decline in older adults¹²⁻¹⁴.

107 Late-life depression is a strong risk factor for normal subjects progressing to MCI
108¹⁵ and dementia¹⁴. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses of environmental risk
109 factors for late-life depression have been published¹⁶⁻¹⁸. MCI patients have higher rates
110 of depression than normal adults, and those rates are independent from age, race, gender,
111 and study type¹⁹. A meta-analysis showed the prevalence of depression in patients with
112 MCI is as high as 32%²⁰. Educational attainment is associated with depressive symptoms
113 of aging²¹, and older adults with lower education attainment showed to have higher
114 depressive symptoms²². Recently, Jirakran, Vasupanrajit, Tunvirachaisakul and Maes²³
115 detected that in adult depression one factor could be extracted from increased depression,
116 perceived stress, neuroticism, and anxiety scores, indicating that these domains are all
117 manifestations of the underlying construct. However, there are no data whether in older
118 adults one factor may be extracted from stress, anxiety, depression, and neuroticism
119 domain scores.

120 ACE and NLE are associated with the onset of depressive symptoms²⁴ and with
121 higher odds of late-life depressive symptoms²⁵. Increased levels of depressive symptoms
122 and ACE exposures are associated with an increased risk of depressive symptoms in the
123 elderly²⁶⁻³⁰. However, no research has examined whether age, educational attainment,
124 ACE, NLE, have cumulative effects in predicting severity of mild cognitive impairments
125 in older adults. Moreover, there are no data indicating whether in older adults, cognitive
126 symptoms are associated with affective symptoms or whether neurocognitive and

127 affective symptoms are independent phenomena. Furthermore, there are no data whether
128 ACE and NLE are independently associated with the neurocognitive deficits in older
129 adults after considering the effects of affective symptoms.

130 Hence, the study aims to delineate the relationship between clinical symptoms of
131 MCI and affective symptoms, and whether in older adults without major depression, ACE
132 and NLE predict neurocognitive deficits independently from affective and distress
133 symptoms. In addition, we examine whether in older adults one factor may be extracted
134 from depressive, anxiety, neuroticism, and perceived distress symptoms, and whether
135 cognitive deficits are part of this factor.

136

137 **Material and methods**

138 *Participants*

139 A cross-sectional study was conducted to compare individuals with aMCI to a
140 group of healthy control subjects. The study sample comprised individuals of both
141 genders, with an age range spanning from 60 to 75 years. The study recruited healthy
142 participants from Bangkok, Thailand, whereas individuals with aMCI were recruited
143 from the Outpatient Department of the Dementia Clinic at King Chulalongkorn Memorial
144 Hospital in Bangkok, Thailand from May 2022 to March 2023. The clinical Petersen's
145 criteria were utilized to diagnose aMCI in the older adult population.³¹ These criteria
146 involve the identification of subjective and objective memory impairments, together with
147 the lack of dementia and alterations in activities of daily living (ADL). Furthermore,
148 people with amnesic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) complied with the Petersen
149 criteria and exhibited a modified Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0.5. The

150 control group had a CDR score of 0 and did not meet Petersen's criteria ³¹. The healthy
151 older adults were recruited from the Health Check-up Clinic, members of
152 neighbourhoods' senior clubs, healthy elderly carers of individuals with aMCI) who were
153 patients at the Dementia Clinic, and senior volunteers affiliated with the Red Cross.

154 Participants with stroke, Parkinson's disease, any dementia subtype, multiple
155 sclerosis, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism, delirium, metabolic disorder, malaria,
156 HIV, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic kidney disease, cancer,
157 substance abuse, alcoholism, inability to speak or communicate, blindness or impaired
158 vision even with corrective lenses, hearing loss, inability to sit stably due to physical
159 conditions such as chronic pain or low back pain, and those who had undergone cognitive
160 training within three months prior to the study were excluded from the study. Ultimately,
161 the entirety of the subjects was allocated to either of the two study groups, consisting of
162 59 individuals classified as healthy controls and 61 individuals diagnosed with aMCI.

163 Before taking part in the study, all volunteers, and individuals were required to
164 submit written informed consent. The study conducted in this research adhered to ethical
165 and privacy standards that are recognized both in Thailand and internationally. These
166 standards are in accordance with the International Guideline for the Protection of Human
167 Subjects, as mandated by influential documents such as the Declaration of Helsinki, the
168 Belmont Report, the International Conference of Harmonization in Good Clinical
169 Practice, and the CIOMS Guidelines. The present study received approval from the
170 Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine at Chulalongkorn
171 University in Bangkok, Thailand (No. 0372/65).

172 *Clinical assessments*

173 **Neurocognition**

174 We used a semi-structured interview to collect socio-demographic data
175 comprising age, sex, relationship status, year of education, and marital status. The scales
176 used to assess cognition were the Thai Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)³², the
177 Thai Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)³³, and three rating scales of the Thai
178 Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD)
179 Neuropsychological Assessment Battery⁷. The MMSE is a 30-question assessment of
180 cognitive function³⁴. The Thai version of the Mini-Mental State Examination was
181 developed in 1993 and has been extensively used in Thailand to screen cognitive
182 impairment and dementia³². The scale consists of six subtests measuring orientation,
183 registration, attention, word recall, language, and computation. The total score ranges
184 from 0 to 30. The MoCA was developed by Nasreddine, Phillips, Bédirian, Charbonneau,
185 Whitehead, Collin, Cummings and Chertkow³⁵ as an effective and applicable screening
186 tool for cognitive disorders. The Thai version of MoCA was used to screen and monitor
187 cognitive impairments in the clinical practice of neurocognitive disorders validated in the
188 Thai setting by Tangwongchai, Phanasathit, Charemboon, Akkayagorn, Hemrungronj,
189 Phanthumchinda and Nasreddine³³. This test measures various cognitive domains,
190 namely: visuospatial/executive, naming, attention, language, abstraction, delayed recall,
191 and orientation. The total sum of all individual scores (out of 30 maximum possible
192 points) represents the severity of cognitive impairment. The CERAD was developed in
193 1986 by the National Institute of Aging as a standardized, validated instrument to assess
194 Alzheimer's dementia. In the current study, we employ the Neuropsychological

195 Assessment Battery (CERAD-NP), in a Thai validated translation ⁷. In this study, we
196 used: the Word List Memory (WLM) to assess episodic memory and learning ability for
197 new verbal information and immediate working memory; and Word List Recall, Delayed,
198 True Recall (WLR) recognize to probe verbal episodic memory and the ability to recall.
199 Verbal Fluency Test (VFT), assessing semantic memory or fluency, verbal productivity,
200 language, and cognitive flexibility.

201

202 **Psychological symptoms**

203 Neuroticism traits were assessed with the Thai version of the 8-item subscale of
204 the Five Factor Model standardized psychometric pool of items (IPIP-NEO; See
205 <http://ipip.ori.org>) ³⁶. The neuroticism subscale measures one's tendency to experience
206 negative emotions (“Have frequent mood swings”), and each item was rated on a 5-point
207 Likert scale of 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

208 Stress symptoms were assessed using the 10-item *Perceived Stress Scale* (PSS)
209 developed by Cohen and Williamson ³⁷ and validated for use in a Thai setting by
210 Wongpakaran and Wongpakaran ³⁸. The scale assesses symptoms experienced during the
211 last month preceding the survey. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale from 0
212 (never) to 4 (very often). A higher score indicates greater distress. The Negative Life
213 Events (NLE) is used to assess daily hassles ³⁹. The NLE comprises 46 items covering the
214 interpersonal stress in 10 domains, namely: household (6 items), problems with your
215 work supervisor/employer (4 items), problems with parents (4 items), problems with
216 spouse/partner (5 items), money hassles (4 items), problems with children (3 items),
217 problems with friends (4 items), problems with other relatives (4 items), health hassles (4

218 items), problems with other workers (4 items), and work hassles (4 items). Participants
219 indicate how much of a hassle each of the stressors was for them, using a 5-point Likert
220 scale ranging from 0 (no hassle) to 4 (extreme hassle), and the scores were totalled to
221 produce an overall hassles score. The NLE was back translated into Thai by Boonyamalik
222 ⁴⁰.

223 Anxiety symptoms were assessed with the 20-item *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*
224 (STAI) developed by Spielberger, Gorsuch, Lushene, Vagg and Jacobs ⁴¹, and has been
225 validated in the Thai setting by Iamsupasit and Phumivuthisarn ⁴². Each item is rated on a
226 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (mostly). The total score ranges from
227 20-80 and higher scores are associated with greater feelings of anxiety. We also used the
228 *anxiety subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-A)* to assess
229 severity of anxiety ⁴³. The scale has been validated in the Thai setting by Nilchaikovit ⁴⁴.
230 This instrument consists of seven items rated on a four-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 3
231 (very often indeed), with five items reverse coded. The scores of the seven items were
232 summed to create a scale that ranged from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating more
233 symptoms of anxiety. At the cutoff score ≥ 11 , which was the best cutoff score, the
234 sensitivity of the anxiety subscale of Thai HADS was 100% and the sensitivity was 86%.

235 The *Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)* is a 30-item self-report measure designed
236 to assess and screen depressive symptoms among older adults ⁴⁵. It is a popular and
237 widely used screening test to measure depression. The scale was validated and used with
238 Thai elderly people for nearly three decades ⁴⁶ (Train the Brain Forum Committee,
239 1994), with each response rated on a dichotomous scale of 0 and 1. A total score greater
240 than 12 indicates depression, with higher scores suggesting a higher level of depression.

241 The pooled sensitivity and specificity of Thai GDS-30 are 82% and 76%⁴⁷. In addition,
242 we used the *depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale* (HADS-
243 D)⁴³. It has been validated in the Thai setting by Nilchaikovit⁴⁴. This instrument consists
244 of seven items rated on a four-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very often indeed), with
245 three items reverse coded. The scores of the seven items were summed to create a scale
246 that ranged from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating more symptoms of depression. At
247 the cutoff score ≥ 11 , which was the best cutoff score, the sensitivity of the depression
248 subscale of Thai HADS was 85.71% respectively, while the specificity was 91.3%.

249 The original Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study was used to identify
250 childhood experiences of abuse or neglect at Kaiser Permanente from 1995 to 1997 with
251 two waves of data collection⁴⁸. ACE were assessed using the Adverse Childhood
252 Experiences Questionnaire in a Thai translation⁴⁹. This questionnaire consists of 28
253 items covering the traumatic experiences in childhood in 10 domains, namely:
254 psychological abuse (2 items), physical abuse (2 items), sexual abuse (4 items), mental
255 neglect (5 items), physical neglect (5 items), domestic violence (4 items), substance
256 abuse in the family (2 items), family psychiatric illness (2 items), separation or divorce in
257 the family (1 item), and family members in criminals (1 item)⁴⁹.

258

259 ***Data analysis***

260 Differences in continuous variables between groups were checked using analysis
261 of variance (ANOVA). Analysis of contingency tables (the χ^2 -test) was used to
262 determine the association between nominal variables. Correlations between two variables
263 were assessed using Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficients. Univariate

264 general linear model (GLM) analysis was used to examine the relationships between
265 diagnostic classifications and clinical and cognitive data after covarying for gender, age,
266 and education. Subsequently, the estimated marginal means (SE) were computed from
267 the GLM model after adjusting for the gender, age, and education variables. To examine
268 pair-wise differences in group means, we employed the protected least significant
269 difference. We performed multiple regression analysis to determine which test scores best
270 predicted the clinical scores using a stepwise algorithm and to compute and display
271 partial regression analysis of clinical data. For this analysis, we always confirmed
272 multivariate normality, homoscedasticity, and the absence of collinearity and
273 multicollinearity. The regression analyses' results were always bootstrapped using 5,000
274 bootstrap samples, and the latter were reported if the findings were not concordant.
275 Statistical tests were two-tailed and a p-value of 0.05 was used for statistical significance.
276 IBM SPSS Windows version 29 was used for all statistical analyses.

277 Using the precision nomothetic approach ⁴⁶, we aim to construct new phenotypes
278 or phenotype dimensions by combining clinical data, rating scale scores and
279 neurocognitive tests results. A two-step cluster analysis was performed considering
280 categorical and continuous variables. The adequacy of the cluster solution was
281 determined by evaluating the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation, which was
282 deemed satisfactory if it exceeded a threshold of 0.3. Principal components analysis
283 (PCA) was performed, and the Kaiser–Meier–Olkin (KMO) sample adequacy measure
284 was used to assess factorability (sufficient when > 0.7). Moreover, when all loadings on
285 the first factor were > 0.66 , the variance explained by the first factor was $> 50\%$, and

286 Cronbach's alpha performed on the variables was > 0.7 , the first PC was regarded as a
287 valid latent construct underpinning the variables.

288 Partial Least Squares path analysis (SmartPLS 4.0) was employed to check the
289 paths between ACE, NLE, CERAD, MCI, and DSOA scores. Each variable was input as
290 a single indicator (e.g., age and education; NLE health+money) or as reflective or
291 formative constructs. We entered two reflective models: a) a first factor extracted from
292 neuroticism, HADS-D, HADS-A, PSS, STAI, and GDS (thus reflecting the DSOA
293 construct), and b) a second from MMSE, MOCA and Petersen item 1 (thus reflecting
294 MCI). Moreover, we entered two formative models: a) a first based on emotional abuse,
295 emotional neglect, and physical neglect (a composite of ACE); and b) a second formative
296 model based on three CERAD test results, namely VFT, WLM and WLR. We conducted
297 complete PLS analysis using 5,000 bootstrap samples only when the outer and inner
298 models complied with quality data, namely the factors have an adequate average variance
299 extracted (AVE) > 0.5 , and $\rho_a > 0.8$; all LV loadings are > 0.66 at $p < 0.001$; the
300 model fit as assessed with SRMR is adequate (SRMR < 0.08); and Confirmatory Tetrad
301 analysis (CTA) shows that the LV is not mis specified as a reflective model.

302

303 **Results**

304 *Results of factor analyses*

305 We employed principal component analysis (PCA) to examine whether latent
306 vectors could be extracted from neuroticism (IPIP-NEO subdomain), PSS, HADS-A,
307 HADS-D, STAI, TGDS. Table 1 shows the results of these PC analyses. The first PCA
308 showed a sufficient factorability of the correlation matrix and the first factor explained

309 60.14% of the variance and all factor loadings were > 0.670 with an adequate Cronbach's
310 alpha value. This first PC, therefore, was dubbed the “Distress Symptoms of Old Age” or
311 “DSOA”. We could also extract a single latent vector from the MoCA, TMSE, CERAD-
312 WLR, and CERAD WLM with adequate KMO and explained variance values, and a
313 sufficient Cronbach's alpha value (see Table 1). Because this PC reflect a mild cognitive
314 dysfunction, it was labelled as mCoDy. The VFT and CDR scores did not load highly on
315 this PC (<0.5) and were, therefore, deleted from the PCA #2 model. Nevertheless, we
316 were able to extract one validated PC from the MoCa, MMSE and CDR scores (see Table
317 1). Because this PC comprises the CDR score (0: control, 0.5: aMCI) we called this PC
318 “quantitative MCI (qMCI).” As such, we computed two different cognitive impairment
319 scores, a first focusing on mild memory impairments and a second on a mild, more
320 generalized cognitive decline. We were unable to combine any of the cognitive test
321 results with the affective, stress, anxiety, or neuroticism scores. **Figure 1** shows that there
322 is no significant association between the DSOA and mCoDy PC scores.

323

324 *Socio-demographic and Clinical Data*

325 A two-step clustering analysis with automatic determination of the number of
326 clusters and Schwarz’s Bayesian Criterion (BIC) was conducted to determine if genuine
327 clusters of subjects with aMCI or affective symptoms could be identified. Toward this
328 end we performed two-step cluster analysis using the following variables: clinical
329 diagnosis of aMCI, neuroticism, PSS, STAI, HADS-A, HAD-D, TGDS, WLM, WLR,
330 VFT, MoCa and MMSE scores. Automatic determination of the number of clusters
331 showed that three clusters could be retrieved from the data set with a silhouette measure

332 of cohesion and separation of 0.4, indicating a fair cluster solution. There were 37
333 subjects in cluster 1, 31 in cluster 2, and 52 in cluster 3.

334 **Table 2** shows the features of the three groups. Cluster 3 individuals were
335 significantly older and has significantly lower education years than cluster 1 and cluster 2
336 individuals. Of the 59 healthy controls included in the study, the cluster analyses
337 allocated 34 to the control group, 22 to cluster 2 and 3 to cluster 3. Of the 61 subjects
338 with aMCI included in the study, the cluster analysis allocated 49 to cluster 3, 9 to cluster
339 2 and 3 to cluster 1 (see Table 2). From the demographics and clinical features of the
340 three study groups, no significant differences were found in sex, or marital status
341 distribution between the three groups. The DSOA score are significantly different
342 between the three cluster and increased from cluster 1 to cluster 3 to cluster 2. The
343 mCoDy scores were significantly greater in cluster 3 than in cluster 1 and 2; there were
344 no significant differences between cluster 1 and 2 in the mCoDy scores. Therefore, we
345 have labelled cluster 2 as a DSOA cluster, cluster 2 as a mCoDy cluster, and cluster 1 as
346 the control cluster. The differences between these three clusters in DSOA ($F=49.30$,
347 $df=2/113$, $p<0.001$) and mCoDy ($F=78.01$, $df=2/113$, $p<0.001$) score as well as the
348 intergroup differences remained significant after covarying for age, sex, and education.
349 Neuroticism, PSS, HADS-A, HADS-D, and STAI scores were significantly different
350 between the three groups and increased from controls to mCoDy to DSOA. VFT, WLM,
351 WLR and MMSE scores were significantly lower in mCoDy participants than in controls
352 and DSOA subjects. The MoCA score was significantly different between the three
353 clusters as decreased from controls to the DSOA to mCoDy clusters.

354

355 *Differences in ACE and NLE scores between the diagnostic groups*

356 **Table 3** shows that the ACE and NLE scores in the three clusters. While there
357 were no significant differences in the 5 ACE that were examined in this study, subjects
358 with DSOA showed significant increased ACE scores as compared with controls.
359 Although there was no overall significance between the three clusters in total NLE score,
360 there was a trend towards higher NLE scores in the DSOA cluster as compared with the
361 control cluster, while subjects in the mCoDy cluster took up an intermediate position.
362 Examining the separate NLE items showed that the sum of money + health NLE scores
363 was significantly higher in both the DSOA and mCoDy subjects as compared with
364 controls.

365

366 *Prediction of the DSOA and mCoDy scores*

367 We performed different multiple regression analyses using the DSOA and
368 mCoDy scores as dependent variables and age, sex, education, NLE, and ACE data as
369 explanatory variables (with and without Petersen item 1) See **Table 4**. Regression #1
370 shows that 32.8% of the variance in DSOA score could be explained by NLE
371 health+money, ACE neglect, and education (all three positively associated). **Figure 2**
372 shows the partial regression plot (after considering the effects of age, sex, education) of
373 the DSOA score on NLE health+money showing a significant positive association
374 between both variables. **Figure 3** shows the partial regression plot of the DSOA score on
375 ACE neglect (after adjusting for age, sex, and education). **Figure 4** shows the partial
376 regression plot of the mCoDy score on education years. Table 4, regression #2 shows the

377 prediction of the DSOA score was somewhat improved after considering the effects of
378 Petersen, item 1. Thus, 37.9% of the variance in DSOA score could be explained by NLE
379 health+money, ACE neglect, education, and Petersen_1 (all positively associated). The
380 latter is important as it shows that subjective feelings of cognitive impairment, but not
381 objective measurements of cognitive impairment, may increase DSOA.

382 Table 4, regression #3 shows that 19.0% of the variance in the mCoDy score was
383 explained by the combined effects of age and education. There were no significant effects
384 of the NLE or ACE variables on the mCoDy score.

385

386 *Results of PLS-path analysis*

387 **Figure 5** shows the final PLS model after feature reduction (e.g., sex was
388 excluded as there were no significant effects). We entered Petersen item 1, qMCI (a
389 proxy of the MCI diagnosis), and the CERAD tests results as predictors of DSOA to
390 examine if the latter was predicted by any of these clinical cognitive data. We entered
391 two latent vectors, one reflecting clinical MCI symptom severity (extracted from CRC,
392 MoCA and MMSE scores), and a second reflecting DSOA (extracted from HADS_A,
393 HADS_D, neuroticism, PSS, STAI, and TGDS scores). ACE and CERAD were entered
394 as two formative models using emotional abuse and neglect, and physical neglect, and
395 VFT, WLR, and WLM, respectively. All other variables were entered as simple
396 indicators. The model fit was more than adequate with a SRMR of 0.055. We observed
397 adequate convergence and construct reliability validity values for (a) the DSOA latent
398 factor with AVE = 0.598, and $\rho_a = 0.871$, while all loadings were > 0.680 at $p <$
399 0.001; and (b) the MCI factor with AVE = 0.770, and $\rho_a = 0.864$, while the loadings

400 of the MCI construct were > 0.771 . Both latent vectors were not mis-specified as
401 reflective models (results of CTA). Complete PLS analysis, performed using 5,000
402 bootstraps, showed that 40.9% of the variance in the DSOA score was explained by the
403 clinical Petersen item 1, ACE, NLE health + money, and education, whilst 28.9% of the
404 variance in the clinical MCI score was explained by CERAD and education years. ACE
405 and NLE did not have any effects on CERAD or the clinical MCI scores. Total effect
406 analyses showed that ACE ($t=3.65$, $p<0.001$), NLE health+money ($t=5.50$, $p<0.001$) were
407 the most important predictors of the DSOA score, followed at a distance by the clinical
408 MCI score ($t=2.38$, $p=0.018$) and education ($t=2.65$, $p=0.008$).

409

410 **Discussion**

411 *Presence of a DSOA dimension in older adults*

412 The primary finding of this research is that we were able to extract one validated
413 principal component or factor from distress, anxiety, depression, and neuroticism scores
414 in older adults without major depression and ADL dysfunctions. The positive
415 contributors to this principal component encompass symptoms of distress (PSS), anxiety
416 (HADS-A and STAI), depression (HADS-D and TGDS) and neuroticism. Earlier
417 research conducted by Jirakran, Vasupanrajit, Tunvirachaisakul and Maes²³ revealed that
418 one principal component or factor could be extracted from anxiety, depression and
419 neuroticism scores in adults with major depression. Neuroticism has been linked with
420 mood and anxiety symptoms in both clinical⁵⁰ and non-clinical⁵¹⁻⁵³ research cohorts, as
421 well as in cross-sectional investigations^{54,55}. Individual with increased neuroticism suffer

422 from mood swings, feelings of guilt, anxiety, and depression, and they cope poorly with
423 psychological stressors²³.

424 Distress in old age is a common phenomenon and is often linked with a range of
425 physical and psychological symptoms such as stress, anxiety, melancholy, and
426 neuroticism⁵⁶. However, these symptoms do not always indicate major depression, which
427 causes significant distress and impedes daily functioning⁵⁷. Although depression is a
428 frequent psychiatric disorder in a geriatric population and a substantial risk factor for
429 disability and mortality, it is inaccurately diagnosed in nearly half of all instances⁵⁷.
430 Another study showed that the levels of stress, anxiety, depression, and neuroticism are
431 correlated with symptoms of geriatric discomfort, such as melancholy, fatigue,
432 ambiguous physical complaints, and withdrawal from activities or social circles⁵⁸.

433 Consequently, the principal component derived here from distress, affective, and
434 neuroticism scores in older adults without clinical depression and ADL dysfunctions
435 reflects more a distress or psychological strain score rather than a “clinical depression”
436 score. Therefore, we labelled this score the “Distress Symptoms of Old Age” (DSOA)
437 score. As such, this is a new construct indicating that mild subjective distress symptoms
438 may occur in part of the older adults independently from major depression. The
439 subclinical symptom domain differs significantly from the behavioral and psychological
440 symptoms of dementia (BPSD) which may occur in patients with dementia and some
441 subjects with MCI⁵⁶. The latter symptoms domain encompass delusions, hallucinations,
442 agitation/aggression, depression/dysphoria, anxiety, euphoria, apathy/indifference,
443 disinhibition, irritability/lability, aberrant motor behaviour, nocturnal behavioral
444 disturbances, and irregularities in appetite and eating⁵⁶. It should be added that this study

445 excluded subjects with psychosis, either hallucinations or delusions, agitation, euphoria,
446 or aberrant behaviours and thus excluded not only patients with major depression but also
447 subjects with BPSD. This is important as some patients with aMCI may show BPSD⁵⁶.

448 All in all, DSOA is a subclinical symptom domain that emphasizes the presence
449 of distress, mild affective symptoms, and increased neuroticism scores and thus reflects
450 mild psychological strain rather than major depression or BPSD.

451

452 *Presence of mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy) in older adults.*

453 The second major finding of this study is that we were able to extract one
454 cognitive dimension (principal component or factor) comprising the MoCa, MMSE,
455 WLM, and WLR scores and indicating mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy). Increasing
456 mCoDy scores suggest a decline in specific cognitive functions, particularly episodic
457 memory, as well as a more generalized cognitive decline among older adults.
458 Importantly, the mCoDy score contains much more information than the binary diagnosis
459 of MCI (scored as yes or no) and allows a quantitative statistical approach. In addition,
460 this score shows that some older adults suffer from objective dysfunctions in episodic
461 memory, and not only from subjective feelings of cognitive impairment.

462 The above findings extend previous papers suggesting that MCI is often
463 characterized by a significant impairment in episodic memory, which is the ability to
464 recall specific events, situations, and experiences⁵⁹. Even though episodic memory
465 impairment is a characteristic of aMCI, not everyone with episodic memory problems
466 will develop aMCI⁵⁹. Individuals with MCI often exhibit deficits in verbal fluency tasks
467⁷, which is the cognitive function involving the ability to retrieve and produce words

468 quickly based on specific criteria, such as a particular letter or a category ⁶⁰.
469 Nevertheless, in our study, the VFT scores could not be combined with the MMSE,
470 MoCa, WLM and WLR scores into one factor because the loading of VFT scores on the
471 first factor were much too low. As expected, we found that the mCoDy score was only
472 partially (19.0%) explained by increasing age and lower levels of education (see also
473 introduction). This leaves a significant proportion of the variance unexplained.

474 Importantly, in the present study we were unable to detect any correlation
475 between the quantitative DSOA and mCoDy scores, indicating that both dimensions are
476 independently from each other. Consequently, the DSOA score represents a novel
477 subclinical concept even in the absence of a major depressive episode, BPSD and ADL
478 dysfunctions. Nevertheless, we observed that Petersen's item 1 was correlated with the
479 DSOA score. This suggests that the subjective perception of cognitive deterioration may
480 be linked with heightened distress and affective scores in older adults ⁶¹. This may be
481 explained by the theory that the subjective feeling of cognitive impairment is indeed a
482 distress for older adults ⁶²⁻⁶⁴.

483

484 *Effects of ACEs on the DSOA and mCoDy*

485 The third major finding of this study is that ACE and NLE (especially health and
486 money) have a highly significant impact on the DSOA, and no effects at all on the
487 mCoDy domain scores. ACE and NLE are significant risk factors for depression and
488 other psychological disorders in adulthood ^{23,24,65}. Kraaij, Arensman and Spinhoven ²⁷
489 reported that almost all NLE have a small but significant association with depression. The
490 total number of negative life events and everyday problems appeared to have the

491 strongest link with depression ^{27,66}. Consequently, our results indicate that the DSOA
492 dimensional score reflects in part the distress that originates from relevant NLE and that
493 previous ACE may cause together with NLE a much stronger impact on the DSOA score.
494 As such, the combination of ACE, NLE and subjective feelings of cognitive impairments
495 are together major predictors of the increasing DSOA scores in older adults. This
496 perspective acknowledges the impact of negative life experiences (ACE and NLE) on
497 mental health and proposes that DSOA scores (comprising the neuroticism trait) may be
498 partly determined by the individual's resilience and coping mechanisms.

499 The final question is then whether DSOA should be regarded either as a
500 psychopathological dimension or as a human response to environmental stressors, such as
501 NLE and negative formative experiences. The first viewpoint considers that DSOA are
502 not a normal part of aging, but rather a sign of pathology that requires treatment or
503 clinical intervention. On the other hand, if these symptoms are seen as a response to
504 environmental stressors only, it implies that they are a normal reaction to the challenges
505 and hardships that an individual has encountered throughout their life. Clinicians could
506 use the subjective DSOA complaints as a criterium whether psychotherapeutic
507 intervention is indicated or not. Alternatively, future biomarker research should
508 determine whether the DSOA have pathway substrates indicative of physiological stress
509 (e.g., cortisol axis, immune activation, oxidative stress) that should be targeted by novel
510 treatments.

511 Regarding the mCoDy score, prior research findings may require revision,
512 because previous suggestions that NLE may accelerate cognitive decline in older adults
513 ^{12,13} may not be correct after excluding subjects with clinical depression (this study).

514 Also, studies showing that ACE are substantially correlated with cognitive impairments
515 in older adults⁹⁻¹¹ cannot be confirmed after excluding subjects with major depression
516 (this study). In this respect, one study reported that ACE had a negative effect on
517 cognitive function in middle-aged and older adults and that depression mediated this
518 relationship^{23,67}. However, since we excluded individuals with major depression, no such
519 mediating effects may be observed.

520

521 *DSOA and mCoDy clusters*

522 This study's fourth significant finding is that cluster analysis identified three
523 clusters of people based on mCoDy and DSOA scores and that these classes do not align
524 with the clinically defined distinction between aMCI and healthy controls. Thus, three
525 cases diagnosed with aMCI were allocated to the healthy control group, while three
526 healthy controls were assigned to the aMCI group. In addition, the cluster analysis
527 uncovered a new cluster that was shaped by DSOA rating scales, and part of the aMCI
528 cases were allocated to that new cluster. The DSOA cluster included healthy controls
529 (70.96%) and individuals with aMCI (29.04%). As such, cluster analysis derived two
530 classes of individuals, a first with DSOA, and another with mCoDy. The first cluster
531 showed much higher scores on all DSOA rating scales (except TGDS), and the latter
532 cluster showed lower cognitive scores on MMSE, MoCA, WLM, WLR and VFT.

533 Without computing the DSOA scores and without cluster analysis we would
534 never have been able to uncover that part of aMCI individuals and “healthy controls”
535 may be allocated to a DSOA class. Our results indicate that the clinical diagnosis based
536 on Petersen criteria is overinclusive, as it includes subjects who belong to the DSOA

537 group. The latter cases might be clinical misclassifications, whereby cases with DSOA
538 may mistakenly be classified as aMCI, presumably because they also exhibit subjective
539 cognitive symptoms (Petersen item 1), although neuropsychological testing did not show
540 any objective signs of cognitive decline. In addition, previous papers have demonstrated
541 that the Petersen criteria are overinclusive^{7,8}. As a result, we propose the term “mild
542 cognitive dysfunction” (mCoDy) as an alternative to the overinclusive aMCI diagnosis,
543 and the quantitative mCoDy score as a better alternative than the incorrect (as
544 overinclusive) binary (limited information) aMCI diagnosis.

545 All in all, this study clarified why the diagnosis of aMCI according to the Petersen
546 criteria is overinclusive, because this diagnosis includes subjects with DSOA who have
547 subjective feelings of cognitive difficulties but lack objective signs of cognitive decline.

548

549 **Limitations and future research**

550 The current results deserve replication in individuals without clinical depression
551 and ADL dysfunctions in other countries and cultures. Future research should examine
552 whether the DSOA and mCoDy scores can be externally validated by any physiological
553 stress-associated pathways that may impact affect or neurocognition, including immune,
554 oxidative stress, neurotrophic, and neuroplasticity pathways. In addition, longitudinal
555 studies are needed to explore long-term outcomes of DSOA.

556

557 **Conclusion**

558 The study concludes that in older adults without major depression and ADL
559 dysfunctions, mCoDy and DSOA are two distinct dimensions. The DSOA dimensions is

560 influenced by ACE, NLE, and the subjective feeling of cognitive decline, whereas the
561 mCoDy score is affected by aging and lower education. This distinction is crucial for
562 understanding and addressing mental health issues in older adults without major
563 depression. The results also highlight the long-term effects of ACE in early life and NLE
564 on mental health in older adults. The clinical impact of the current study is that clinicians
565 should carefully screen older adults for DSOA after excluding a major depressive episode
566 and BPSD. This may be important for the treatment of DSOA versus mCoDy. While the
567 former is associated with psychological trauma and psychosocial stressors,
568 psychotherapeutic interventions may be needed, whereas mCoDy might be treatable
569 instead with lifestyle interventions, including physical activity⁶⁸ and perhaps specific
570 antioxidant treatments targeting the oxidative stress pathways⁶⁹.

571

572 **Availability of data and materials**

573 The dataset generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be
574 available from MM upon reasonable request and once the authors have fully exploited the
575 dataset.

576

577 **Author's contributions**

578 All authors contributed to the paper. MM, CT, and V-LT-C: conceptualization
579 and study design. V-LT-C and MM: first draft writing. V-LT-C, MM, CT, SH, GN, and
580 MS: editing. GN, CT and V-LT-C: recruitment of patients. MM and V-LT-C: Statistical
581 analyses. All authors revised and approved the final draft.

582

583 **Financial support**

584 This research is supported by the Ratchadapisek Sompoch Fund, Faculty of
585 Medicine, Chulalongkorn University (Grant no. GA66/037); The 90th Anniversary of
586 Chulalongkorn University Scholarship under the Ratchadapisek Somphot Endowment
587 Fund (Grant no. GCUGR1125661006D), Thailand. MM received funding from the
588 Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund, Chulalongkorn University (Grant no.
589 HEA663000016), and a Sompoch Endowment Fund, Faculty of Medicine,
590 Chulalongkorn University (Grant no. RA66/016).

591

592 **Competing interest**

593 MS received honoraria and has been a consultant for AbbVie, Angelini,
594 Lundbeck, Otsuka. Other authors declare that they have no known competing financial
595 interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported.

596

597 **Institutional Review Board Statement**

598 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Faculty
599 of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand (IRB no. 0372/65), which
600 complies with the International Guideline for Human Research Protection as required by
601 the Declaration of Helsinki.

602

603 **Informed consent**

604 Before taking part in the study, all participants and/or their caregivers provided
605 written informed consent.

606

607 **References**

- 608 1. Anderson ND. State of the science on mild cognitive impairment (MCI). *CNS Spectrums*.
609 2019;24(1):78-87.
- 610 2. Dwolatzky T, Whitehead V, Doniger GM, et al. Validity of the Mindstreams™
611 computerized cognitive battery for mild cognitive impairment. *J Mol Neurosci*. 2004;24:33-44.
612 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1385/JMN:24:1:033>
- 613 3. Gualtieri CT, Johnson LG. Neurocognitive testing supports a broader concept of mild
614 cognitive impairment. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. Nov-Dec 2005;20(6):359-66.
615 doi:10.1177/153331750502000607
- 616 4. Hemrungronj S, Tangwongchai S, Charoenboon T, et al. Use of the Montreal Cognitive
617 Assessment Thai Version to Discriminate Amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment from Alzheimer's
618 Disease and Healthy Controls: Machine Learning Results. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive
619 Disorders*. 2021;50(2):183-194. doi:10.1159/000517822
- 620 5. Petersen RC, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative
621 (ADNI): clinical characterization. *Neurology*. Jan 19 2010;74(3):201-209.
622 doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181cb3e25
- 623 6. Fillenbaum GG, van Belle G, Morris JC, et al. Consortium to Establish a Registry for
624 Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD): the first twenty years. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2008;4(2):96-109.
625 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2007.08.005>
- 626 7. Tunvirachaisakul C, Supasitthumrong T, Tangwongchai S, et al. Characteristics of mild
627 cognitive impairment using the Thai version of the consortium to establish a registry for
628 Alzheimer's disease tests: a multivariate and machine learning study. *Dementia and Geriatric
629 Cognitive Disorders*. 2018;45(1):38-48. doi:10.1159/000487232
- 630 8. Tran-Chi V-L, Amrapala A, Nantachai G, Hemrungronj S, Tunvirachaisakul C, Maes M.
631 Cognitive features of amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment using specific Cambridge
632 Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery test scores. *medRxiv*. 2022:1-35.
633 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.09.22276176>
- 634 9. Petkus AJ, Lenze EJ, Butters MA, Twamley EW, Wetherell JL. Childhood trauma is
635 associated with poorer cognitive performance in older adults. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*.
636 2017;79(1):1-14. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.16m11021>
- 637 10. Ritchie K, Jaussent I, Stewart R, et al. Adverse childhood environment and late-life
638 cognitive functioning. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2011;26(5):503-510.
639 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2553>
- 640 11. Wang L, Yang L, Yu L, et al. Childhood physical neglect promotes development of mild
641 cognitive impairment in old age—A case-control study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2016;242:13-18.
642 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.04.090>
- 643 12. Rosnick CB, Small BJ, McEvoy CL, Borenstein AR, Mortimer JA. Negative life events and
644 cognitive performance in a population of older adults. *Journal of Aging and Health*.
645 2007;19(4):612-629. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264307300975>
- 646 13. Nilaweera D, Gurvich C, Freak-Poli R, et al. Adverse events in older adults and the risk of
647 dementia and cognitive decline. *Journal of Affective Disorders Reports*. 2023;13:1-8.
648 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadr.2023.100592>
- 649 14. Solmi M, Veronese N, Galvano D, et al. Factors associated with loneliness: an umbrella
650 review of observational studies. *J Affective Disord*. 2020;271:131-138.
651 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.03.075>
- 652 15. Byers AL, Yaffe K. Depression and risk of developing dementia. *Nature Reviews
653 Neurology*. 2011;7(6):323-331. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2011.60>
- 654 16. Arango C, Dragioti E, Solmi M, et al. Risk and protective factors for mental disorders
655 beyond genetics: an evidence-based atlas. *World Psychiatry*. 2021;20(3):417-436.
656 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20894>

- 657 17. de Pablo GS, Solmi M, Vaquerizo-Serrano J, et al. Primary prevention of depression: an
658 umbrella review of controlled interventions. *J Affective Disord.* 2021;294:957-970.
659 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.07.101>
- 660 18. Dragioti E, Radua J, Solmi M, et al. Global population attributable fraction of potentially
661 modifiable risk factors for mental disorders: a meta-umbrella systematic review. *Mol Psychiatry.*
662 2022;27(8):3510-3519. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01586-8>
- 663 19. Ma L. Depression, anxiety, and apathy in mild cognitive impairment: current perspectives.
664 *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience.* 2020;12:1-8. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2020.00009>
- 665 20. Ismail Z, Elbayoumi H, Fischer CE, et al. Prevalence of depression in patients with mild
666 cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2017;74(1):58-
667 67. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3162>
- 668 21. Fiske A, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. Depressive symptoms and aging: the effects of illness
669 and non-health-related events. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences*
670 *and Social Sciences.* 2003;58(6):320-328. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/58.6.P320>
- 671 22. Kosciuszko M, Steptoe A, Ajnakina O. Genetic propensity, socioeconomic status, and
672 trajectories of depression over a course of 14 years in older adults. *Transl Psychiatry.*
673 2023;13(1):1-8. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02367-9>
- 674 23. Jirakran K, Vasupanrajit A, Tunvirachaisakul C, Maes M. The effects of adverse
675 childhood experiences on depression and suicidal behaviors are partially mediated by
676 neuroticism: A subclinical manifestation of major depression. *Frontiers in Psychiatry.* 2023;14:1-
677 13. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2023.1158036>
- 678 24. Maes M, Almulla AF. Research and diagnostic algorithmic rules (radar) and radar plots
679 for the first episode of major depressive disorder: effects of childhood and recent adverse
680 experiences on suicidal behaviors, Neurocognition and phenome features. *Brain Sci.*
681 2023;13(5):1-20. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13050714>
- 682 25. Cheong EV, Sinnott C, Dahly D, Kearney PM. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs)
683 and later-life depression: perceived social support as a potential protective factor. *BMJ Open.*
684 2017;7(9):1-11. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013228>
- 685 26. Cairney J, Krause N. Negative life events and age-related decline in mastery: Are older
686 adults more vulnerable to the control-eroding effect of stress? *The Journals of Gerontology Series*
687 *B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences.* 2008;63(3):162-170.
688 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/63.3.S162>
- 689 27. Kraaij V, Arensman E, Spinhoven P. Negative life events and depression in elderly
690 persons: a meta-analysis. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and*
691 *Social Sciences.* 2002;57(1):87-94. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/57.1.P87>
- 692 28. Maes M. Psychological stress, cytokines and the inflammatory response system. *Current*
693 *Opinion in Psychiatry.* 1999;12(6):695-700.
- 694 29. Maes M. Psychological stress and the inflammatory response system. *Clin Sci.*
695 2001;101(2):193-194.
- 696 30. Li J, Lin S, Pei L. Adverse Childhood Experiences and Depressive Symptoms
697 Trajectories Among Middle-Aged and Elderly—China, 2011–2018. *China CDC Weekly.*
698 2022;4(27):588. doi:<https://doi.org/10.46234/ccdcw2022.129>
- 699 31. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med (GBR).*
700 2004;256(3):183-194. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x>
- 701 32. Committee TtBF. Thai Mental State Examination (TMSE). 1993;
- 702 33. Tangwongchai S, Phanasathit M, Chareemboon T, et al. The validity of thai version of the
703 montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA-T). *Dement Neuropsychol.* 2009;3(2):172.
- 704 34. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for
705 grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research.* Nov
706 1975;12(3):189-98. doi:10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6
- 707 35. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment,
708 MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics*
709 *Society.* 2005;53(4):695-699. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x
- 710 36. Yomaboot P, Cooper AJ. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the
711 International Personality Item Pool-NEO (IPIP-NEO) Thai version. *Journal of Somdet Chaopraya*
712 *Institute of Psychiatry.* 2016;10(2):36-49.

- 713 37. Cohen S, Williamson GM. Stress and infectious disease in humans. *Psychol Bull.*
714 1991;109(1):5-24. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.109.1.5>
- 715 38. Wongpakaran N, Wongpakaran T. The Thai version of the PSS-10: An Investigation of its
716 psychometric properties. *BioPsychoSocial medicine.* 2010;4:1-6.
- 717 39. Maybery D, Neale J, Arentz A, Jones-Ellis J. The Negative Event Scale: Measuring
718 frequency and intensity of adult hassles. *Anxiety, stress, and coping.* 2007;20(2):163-176.
- 719 40. Boonyamalik P. *Epidemiology of adolescent suicidal ideation: Roles of perceived life*
720 *stress, depressive symptoms, and substance use.* The Johns Hopkins University; 2005.
- 721 41. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch R, Lushene R, Vagg P, Jacobs G. Manual for the state-trait
722 anxiety scale. *Consulting Psychologists.* 1983;
- 723 42. Iamsupasit S, Phumivuthisarn P. A study of cognitive factors related to anxiety sensitivity.
724 *Proceedings of the East-West Psychology Science Research Center, Bangkok, Thailand.* 2005;
- 725 43. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica*
726 *Scandinavica.* 1983;67(6):361-370. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x>
- 727 44. Nilchaikovit T. Development of Thai version of hospital anxiety and depression scale in
728 cancer patients. *J Psychiatr Assoc Thai.* 1996;4:18-30.
- 729 45. Yesavage JA. Imagery pretraining and memory training in the elderly. *Gerontology.*
730 1983;29(4):271-275.
- 731 46. Pongvarin N, Committee TTBF. Thai geriatric depression scale-TGDS. *Siriraj Hospital*
732 *Gaz.* 1994;46:1-9.
- 733 47. Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Rehman T. Diagnostic accuracy of various forms of geriatric
734 depression scale for screening of depression among older adults: Systematic review and meta-
735 analysis. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2020;87:2-11. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2019.104002>
- 736 48. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household
737 dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood
738 Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 1998;14(4):245-258.
739 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- 740 49. Rungmueanporn L, Buathong N, Chandarasiri P, Wittayasai W. Development of the
741 adverse childhood experiences (ACE) questionnaire Thai version. *Chulalongkorn Medical*
742 *Bulletin.* 2019;1(3):251-260. doi:<https://doi.org/10.14456/chulamedbull.2019.21>
- 743 50. Malouff JM, Thorsteinsson EB, Schutte NS. The relationship between the five-factor
744 model of personality and symptoms of clinical disorders: A meta-analysis. *Journal of*
745 *Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment.* 2005;27:101-114.
746 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-005-5384-y>
- 747 51. Jylhä P, Isometsä E. The relationship of neuroticism and extraversion to symptoms of
748 anxiety and depression in the general population. *Depression and Anxiety.* 2006;23(5):281-289.
749 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20167>
- 750 52. Saklofske D, Kelly I, Janzen B. Neuroticism, depression, and depression proneness.
751 *Personality and Individual Differences.* 1995;18(1):27-31. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)00128-F)
752 [8869\(94\)00128-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(94)00128-F)
- 753 53. Uliaszek AA, Hauner KK, Zinbarg RE, et al. An examination of content overlap and
754 disorder-specific predictions in the associations of neuroticism with anxiety and depression.
755 *Journal of Research in Personality.* 2009;43(5):785-794.
756 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2009.05.009>
- 757 54. Griffith JW, Zinbarg RE, Craske MG, et al. Neuroticism as a common dimension in the
758 internalizing disorders. *Psychological Medicine.* 2010;40(7):1125-1136.
759 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291709991449>
- 760 55. Kotov R, Gamez W, Schmidt F, Watson D. Linking “big” personality traits to anxiety,
761 depressive, and substance use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull.* 2010;136(5):768-821.
762 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020327>
- 763 56. Hemrungronj S, Tangwongchai S, Charernboon T, et al. Cognitive impairments predict
764 the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia. *Frontiers in Neurology.* 2023;14:1-9.
765 doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2023.1194917>
- 766 57. Zenebe Y, Akele B, Necho M. Prevalence and determinants of depression among old
767 age: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of General Psychiatry.* 2021;20(1):1-19.
768 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12991-021-00375-x>

- 769 58. Fabbri C, Mutz J, Lewis CM, Serretti A. Depressive symptoms and neuroticism-related
770 traits are the main factors associated with wellbeing independent of the history of lifetime
771 depression in the UK Biobank. *Psychological Medicine*. 2023;53(7):3000-3008.
772 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172100502X>
- 773 59. Chatzikostopoulos A, Moraitou D, Tsolaki M, et al. Episodic Memory in Amnesic Mild
774 Cognitive Impairment (aMCI) and Alzheimer's Disease Dementia (ADD): Using the "Doors and
775 People" Tool to Differentiate between Early aMCI—Late aMCI—Mild ADD Diagnostic Groups.
776 *Diagn*. 2022;12(7):1768.
- 777 60. Cottingham ME, Hawkins KA. Verbal fluency deficits co-occur with memory deficits in
778 geriatric patients at risk for dementia: Implications for the concept of mild cognitive impairment.
779 *Behavioural Neurology*. 2009;22(3-4):73-79. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3233/ben-2009-0246>
- 780 61. Chapman S, Weiss D, Broulíková HM, et al. Examining the role of aging perceptions in
781 subjective cognitive decline. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*. 2022;36(4):288-294.
782 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0000000000000518>
- 783 62. Sunderland M, Hobbs MJ, Anderson TM, Andrews G. Psychological distress across the
784 lifespan: examining age-related item bias in the Kessler 6 Psychological Distress Scale.
785 *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2012;24(2):231-242.
786 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610211001852>
- 787 63. Gondek D, Bann D, Patalay P, et al. Psychological distress from early adulthood to early
788 old age: evidence from the 1946, 1958 and 1970 British birth cohorts. *Psychological Medicine*.
789 2022;52(8):1471-1480. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172000327X>
- 790 64. Moustaka K, Nega C, Beratis IN. Exploring the Impact of Age of Onset of Mild Cognitive
791 Impairment on the Profile of Cognitive and Psychiatric Symptoms. *Geriatrics*. 2023;8(5):96.
792 doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/geriatrics8050096>
- 793 65. Fang M. *The effect of adverse childhood experiences on depression symptoms among*
794 *older adults in China*. University of Waterloo; 2019.
- 795 66. Almulla AF, Abo Algon AA, Maes MF. Adverse childhood experiences and recent
796 negative events activate immune and growth factor pathways, which are associated with first
797 episode major depression and suicidal behaviours. *medRxiv*. 2023:1-47.
798 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291597>
- 799 67. Wang G, Zhou Y, Duan J, Kan Q, Cheng Z, Tang S. Effects of adverse childhood health
800 experiences on cognitive function in Chinese middle-aged and older adults: mediating role of
801 depression. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):1-16. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16169-7>
- 802 68. Veronese N, Soysal P, Demurtas J, et al. Physical activity and exercise for the prevention
803 and management of mild cognitive impairment and dementia: a collaborative international
804 guideline. *European geriatric medicine*. 2023:1-28.
- 805 69. Nantachai G, Vasupanrajit A, Tunvirachaisakul C, Solmi M, Maes M. Oxidative stress and
806 antioxidant defenses in mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing*
807 *Research Reviews*. 2022:101639. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2022.101639
- 808

809 **Table 1.** Results of principal component analyses (PCA)

PCA#1: DSOA score		PCA#2: mCoDy score		PCA#3: qMCI score	
Variables	Loadings	Variables	Loadings	Variables	Loadings
Neuroticism	0.733	MoCA	0.748	MoCa	0.930
PSS	0.821	MMSE	0.706	MMSE	0.774
HADS-A	0.856	WLR	0.761	CDR	0.920
HADS-D	0.699	WLM	0.702		
STAI	0.852				
TGDS	0.670				
KMO	0.874	KMO	0.613	KMO	0.656
χ^2	314.218	χ^2	109.867	χ^2	199.487
df	15	df	6	df	3
p	<0.001	p	<0.001	p	<0.001
VE	60.14	VE	53.23	VE	77.02
Cronbach's alpha	0.837	Cronbach's alpha	0.668	Cronbach's alpha	0.662

810

811 KMO, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy; χ^2 , Bartlett's test; df, degree of freedom; p, p-value; VE, variance
 812 explained.

813 **Abbreviations:** DSOA, distress symptoms of old age; mCoDy, mild cognitive dysfunction; qMCI, quantitative MCI (mild cognitive
 814 impairment); PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; HADS-A, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety
 815 and Depression Scale-Depression, STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive

816 Assessment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; WLM, Word List Memory, WLR, Word List Recognition; CDR, Clinical
817 Dementia Rating.
818

819 **Table 2.** Socio-demographic and clinical data in three clusters of old adults: those with distress symptoms of old age (DSOA), those
 820 with mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy), and those without mCoDy/DSOA (controls).

Variables	Cluster 1 Controls (n=37) ^a	Cluster 2 DSOA (n=31) ^b	Cluster 3 mCoDy (n=52) ^c	<i>F/χ²</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>
Age – years	66.4 (4.5) ^c	67.0 (4.0) ^c	69.2 (3.4) ^{a,b}	6.14	2/117	0.003
Sex – F/M	31/6	20/11	39/13	3.34	2	0.188
Education – years	15.78 (3.20) ^c	16.81 (1.37) ^c	12.98 (4.54) ^{a,b}	13.13	2/117	<0.001
S/M/D	8/25/4	9/17/5	11/34/7	FFHET	-	0.835
HC/aMCI	34/3	22/9	3/49	72.10	2	<0.001
Neuroticism	11.54 (2.95) ^{b,c}	17.45 (3.85) ^a	16.27 (5.30) ^a	18.96	2/117	<0.001
PSS	8.62 (5.36) ^{b,c}	17.35 (3.51) ^{a,c}	14.92 (5.68) ^{a,b}	27.69	2/117	<0.001
HADS Anxiety	2.86 (1.37) ^{b,c}	6.97 (2.33) ^{a,c}	5.31 (2.98) ^{a,b}	25.10	2/117	<0.001
HADS Depression	1.81 (1.77) ^{b,c}	6.74 (3.54) ^{a,c}	4.50 (3.76) ^{a,b}	20.03	2/117	<0.001
STAI	31.22 (5.35) ^{b,c}	43.13 (4.87) ^{a,c}	36.77 (8.55) ^{a,b}	25.57	2/117	<0.001
TGDS	3.05 (2.05) ^{b,c}	5.58 (3.96) ^{a,c}	6.40 (4.65) ^{a,b}	8.47	2/117	<0.001
DSOA (z score)	-0.889 (0.465) ^{b,c}	0.735 (0.560) ^{a,c}	0.132 (0.933) ^{a,b}	44.72	2/116	<0.001
VFT	24.59 (4.92) ^c	23.94 (4.72) ^c	19.75 (5.77) ^{a,b}	11.13	2/117	<0.001
WLM	22.19 (3.58) ^c	20.61 (3.90) ^c	18.98 (4.30) ^{a,b}	7.07	2/117	0.001
WLR	7.11 (2.03) ^c	6.71 (1.53) ^c	5.17 (2.44) ^{a,b}	10.47	2/117	<0.001
MoCA	27.49 (1.78) ^{b,c}	26.06 (1.98) ^{a,c}	22.19 (1.80) ^{a,b}	98.21	2/117	<0.001
MMSE	28.62 (1.32) ^c	28.74 (1.06) ^c	26.00 (2.20) ^{a,b}	35.87	2/117	<0.001
mCoDy (z score)	-1.334 (1.074) ^c	-0.812 (1.038) ^c	2.068 (1.288) ^{a,b}	110.56	2/117	<0.001

821

822 Results are shown as mean (standard deviation); ^{a, b, c}, Pairwise comparison among group means; F/ χ^2 , Results of analyses of variance
823 (F) or analyses of contingency analyses (χ^2); df, degree of freedom; p, p-value.

824 **Abbreviations:** HC/aMCI, our primary diagnosis into healthy controls/amnesic mild cognitive impairment, S/M/D: single / married /
825 divorced (separated), DSOA, distress symptoms of old age; mCoDy, mild cognitive dysfunction; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; HADS,
826 Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; TGDS, Thai Geriatric Depression Scale; VFT, Verbal
827 Fluency Task; WLM, Word List Memory; WLR, Word List Recognition; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MMSE, Mini-
828 Mental State Examination.

829

830 **Table 3.** Adverse childhood experiences (ACE) and negative life events (NLE) in three clusters of old adults: those with distress
 831 symptoms of old age (DSOA), those with mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy), and those without mCoDy/DSOA (controls).

Variables	Controls (n=37) ^a	DSOA (n=31) ^b	mCoDy (n=52) ^c	F	df	p
ACE						
Emotional abuse	0.27 (0.56)	0.42 (0.67)	0.35 (0.65)	0.47	2/117	0.624
Physical abuse	0.24 (0.49)	0.35 (0.55)	0.17 (0.38)	1.48	2/117	0.232
Sexual abuse	0.03 (0.16)	0.32 (0.94)	0.10 (0.49)	2.33	2/117	0.102
Emotional neglect	9.65 (3.67)	11.55 (4.49)	11.13 (4.35)	2.05	2/117	0.133
Physical neglect	7.19 (2.08) ^c	8.10 (4.50)	8.60 (2.97) ^a	3.18	2/117	0.045
Sum 5 ACEs (z scores)	-0.310 (0.991) ^b	0.318 (1.001) ^a	0.035 (0.968)	3.49	2/117	0.034
Sum neglect	16.84 5.06	19.65 6.14	19.73 6.29	3.01	2/117	0.053
NLE						
Total NLE *	12.89 (14.21) ^b	19.30 (13.73) ^a	14.92 (13.34)	2.72	2/115	0.070
NLE money+health	1.70 (2.72) ^{b,c}	3.70 (3.48) ^a	3.13 (3.27) ^a	5.95	2/116	0.003

832
 833 Results are shown as mean (SD); ^{a, b, c}, Pairwise comparison among group means; F, Results of analyses of variance; df, degree of
 834 freedom; p, p-value. **Abbreviations:** HC, Healthy Control; DSOA, distress symptoms of old age; mCoDy, mild cognitive dysfunction;
 835 ACE, Adverse childhood experiences; NLE, Negative Life Events.


836

837 **Table 4.** Results of multiple regression analyses with distress symptoms of old age (DSOA) and mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy)
 838 scores as dependent variables

Dependent Variables	Explanatory Variables	Coefficients of input variables			Model statistics			
		β	t	p	R^2	F	df	p
#1. DSOA	Model				0.328	18.36	3/116	<0.001
	NLE health+money	0.438	5.50	<0.001				
	Sum_neglect (ACE)	0.257	3.16	0.002				
	Education	0.206	2.61	0.010				
#2. DSOA	Model				0.379	17.08	4/116	<0.001
	NLE health+money	0.407	5.26	<0.001				
	Sum_neglect (ACE)	0.252	3.22	0.002				
	Education	0.264	3.37	0.001				
	Petersen item 1	0.236	3.40	0.003				
#3. mCoDy	Model				0.190	13.59	2/116	<0.001
	Education	-0.246	-2.83	0.005				
	Age	+0.297	+3.41	<0.001				


839
 840 #, number of regression analysis; β , Standardized regression coefficient; t , t-statistic value; R^2 , Total variance explained; F , Results of
 841 analyses of variance; df , degree of freedom; p , p-value.
 842 **Abbreviations:** DSOA, distress symptoms of old age; mCoDy, mild cognitive dysfunction; ACE, Adverse childhood experiences;
 843 NLE, Negative Life Events; Sum_neglect, Mental and physical neglect combined.

844 **Figure 1.** Partial regression plot of the mild cognitive dysfunction (mCoDy) score on the distress symptoms of old age (DSOA) score
845 (p=0.336, after adjustment for sex, age and education).




846

847 **Figure 2.** Partial regression plot of the distress symptoms of old age (DSOA) score on negative life events (health + money)
848 ($p < 0.001$).




849

850 **Figure 3.** Partial regression plot of the distress symptoms of old age (DSOA) score on ACE physical + emotional neglect (p=0.002).



851

852 **Figure 4.** Partial regression plot of the mild cognitive dysfunction score (mCoDy) on education years ($p < 0.001$).



853

854 **Figure 5.** Results of partial least squares analysis.

855 Entered in the analysis are two reflective constructs, namely a) DSOA (distress symptoms of old age) conceptualized as a factor
856 extracted from PSS (Perceived Stress Scale), HADS (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale), STAI (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory)
857 and TGDS (Thai Geriatric Depression Scale) scores; and b) qMCI (a quantitative mild cognitive impairment score) conceptualized as
858 a factor extracted from CDR (modified Clinical Dementia Rating), MoCA (Montreal Cognitive Assessment) and MMSE (Mini-
859 Mental State Examination) scores. This model also entered two formative constructs, namely a) objective memory impairment
860 comprising VFT (verbal fluency test), WLM (World List Memory) and WLR (Word List Recognition) scores; and b) ACE (adverse
861 childhood experiences) comprising Em-Abu (emotional abuse), Emo-neglect (emotional neglect), and Phys-neglect (physical neglect).
862 The other variables are entered as single indicators including NES health+money (negative life events due to health and money
863 problems). Shown are the pathway coefficients (with exact p-value). Figures within the circles denote explained variance. Reflective
864 models are specified using loadings (with p-values) on the factors, and the formative models by the weights (p values) of the
865 indicators.

