ENO1 as a biomarker of breast cancer progression and metastasis – a bioinformatic

approach

Athina Giannoudis¹, Alistair Heath³, Vijay Sharma^{2,3}*

- Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, School of Dentistry, University of Liverpool
- 2. Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool
- Department of Cellular Pathology, Liverpool Clinical Laboratories Royal Liverpool University Hospital NHS FT.

*Corresponding Author

Dr Vijay Sharma

Email: vijay.sharma@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Metabolic reprogramming is one of the hallmarks of cancer cells and many key metabolic enzymes are dysregulated. In breast cancer (BC), the commonest malignancy of women, several metabolic enzymes are overexpressed and/or overactivated. One of these is Enolase 1 (ENO1) an enzyme that catalyses glycolysis but is also involved in the regulation of multiple signalling pathways. ENO1 overexpression in BC has been linked to worse tumour prognosis and metastasis, rendering it a promising biomarker of disease progression and a potential therapeutic target.

Methods: Utilising available online platforms such as the KM-plotter, the ROC-plotter, the cBioPortal, the G-2-O, the MethSurvand, we performed a bioinformatic analysis to establish the prognostic and predictive effects related to ENO1 expression in breast cancer. A Network analysis was also performed using the Oncomine platform and signalling and epigenetic pathways including immune regulation constituting the hallmarks of cancer were explored. The relationship between ENO1 and the immune response was also obtained from the TISIDB portal and Spearman's rho (r) was used to determine their correlation.

Results: ENO1 is overexpressed in all the analysed Oncomine, epigenetic and immune pathways in triple-negative, but not in hormone receptor-positive BCs. In HER2-positive BCs, ENO1 expression showed a mixed profile. Similarly, analysis on disease progression and histological types showed ENO1 overexpression in ductal *in situ* and invasive carcinoma, high grade tumours followed by advanced and/or metastasis and was linked to worse survival (death by 5 years). High ENO1 expression was also associated with relapse-free (RFS), distant metastasis-free (DMFS) and overall survival (OS) as analysed by the KM-plot software, irrespectively of treatment and was also related to basal subtype and to a lesser extend to HER2 and luminal B subtypes. ENO1 was underexpressed in the less invasive and with better prognosis subtypes.

Conclusions: Overexpression of ENO1 largely confers a worse prognosis in breast cancer and recruits a range of signalling pathways during disease progression. ENO1 expression can be utilised as a biomarker of disease progression and as a potential therapeutic target, particularly in triple-negative and invasive breast carcinomas (NST).

Keywords: ENO1, breast cancer, metabolism, bioinformatics

Background:

Breast cancer is the most prevalent malignancy in women and despite the advancements in its diagnosis and management, it is still is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death in women [1,2]. Its incidence and mortality have been reported to be 46.8% and 13.6% respectively [2,3]. Breast cancer is a very heterogeneous disease that can be classified by its histological subtype, its receptor status or its molecular phenotype [4,5]. The mainstay of oncological management of breast cancer includes endocrine therapy, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) targeted therapy and chemotherapy [6]. However, in addition to its heterogeneity, the presence of additional cell types such as stromal and immune cells within the tumour microenvironment makes more difficult the management of the disease [7]. There is therefore a need to identify further targets, that can be used as biomarkers of resistance and disease progression and be potentially used as therapeutic options.

Alterations in energy metabolism by cancer cells can promote tumourigenesis and it is wellestablished that metabolic reprogramming is one of the hallmarks of cancer cells [8,9]. There is a growing interest in exploring metabolic pathways for biomarkers and novel therapeutic targets. Glucose metabolism in cancer has received a lot of attention, mainly through the expression of glucose transporters (GLUT1/3), pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), hexokinases (HK1/2), phosphofructokinase (PFK) and its regulation by oncogenes, tumour-suppressors and transcription factors [10]. In addition, various signalling pathways, such as Notch, phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K), PTEN, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) also interact with metabolic reprogramming [8-10]. During the last decade, despite the emerging of transporter and/or metabolic enzyme inhibitors, the efficiency of targeting glucose metabolism has proved challenging and there is a clinical need to identify and explore more promising targets. One of these targets is Enolase 1 (ENO1), a glycolytic enzyme that primarily catalyses the conversion

of 2-phosphoglyceric acid to phosphor-enol-pyruvic acid during glycolysis [11,12] It is a multifunctional protein, ubiquitously expressed in most human tissues under normal and pathophysiological conditions and is found overexpressed in many cancers [11-13].

ENO1 mRNA and protein overexpression has been linked to disease progression and worse clinical outcome in lung, breast, pancreas, glioma, head and neck and colorectal cancers [13-19]. In several cancers, such as gastric, pancreatic, prostate and breast, in addition to worse outcome it has been associated to treatment resistance and in particular, chemoresistance [20-22]. In breast cancer, it's been shown in vitro that silencing ENO1 inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of breast cancer cells [24] and in a xenograft mouse model, inhibition of ENO1 expression increased tolerance to hypoxia in tumour cells, showing also slow reduced tumour size, cell growth and increased apoptosis [25]. A recent, single-cell transcriptomic profiling of breast cancer patients, identified higher ENO1 expression in the aggressive basal subtype, compared to hormone- and/or HER2-positive subtypes and this overexpression was linked to worse relapse-free survival [26]. They also showed that depletion of ENO1 in triplenegative breast cancer cell lines halted cell proliferation, colony formation and tumour growths (3D-organoids) and increased cell death suggesting that ENO1 could be used as a therapeutic target in this aggressive subtype [26]. However, a more comprehensive data analysis will allow us to better characterise the role of ENO1 in breast cancer progression and its potential use as a targeted therapeutic agent.

The aim of this analysis is to evaluate the predictive and/or prognostic value of ENO1 as a biomarker of breast cancer progression and as a therapeutic target using a range of online bioinformatics tools. Network analysis on the breast cancer patient cohorts available on the Oncomine platform [27] will allow us to comprehensively characterise how ENO1 clusters globally with genes involved in all known cancer hallmarks, epigenetic and immune pathways.

Methods

Kaplan-Meier (KM) and ROC-plotter analysis

The predictive and prognostic effect of ENO1 expression at mRNA level was assessed using the Kaplan-Meier (KM) plotter (www.kmplot.com) tool and was stratified by treatment and by molecular subtype [28]. Briefly, the expression of ENO1 was divided into high and low groups by splitting the mRNA expression level at the median values. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed to assess the effect on progression-free (PFS), distant metastasis-free (DMFS) and overall survival (OS). RFS is defined as the time from initial diagnosis to first recurrence of the disease. It is commonly used in trials as a surrogate marker of OS as it requires less follow up to get this measure and the information is available more quickly. DMFS is defined as the time from initial diagnosis to distant site (distant lymph nodes, lung, liver, brain) and OS is the time from initial diagnosis to death from any cause. For all the survival analysis, a logrank p value <0.005 was considered significant.

The effect of ENO1 on treatment response was assessed through the use of the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) and calculating the area under the curve (AUC) using the ROC-plotter tool [29]. ROC analysis was performed for complete pathological response and 5-year RFS as short and long-term outcomes.

Genomic alterations analysis

Alterations in the ENO1 genome were assessed using the cBioPortal tool [30,31] and the prognostic effect of these alterations was assessed by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis using the KM-plotter and the Genotype-2-Outcome (G-2-O) tools. [32]

Oncomine Network analysis

Network analysis was performed using the Oncomine platform as previously described utilising the built-in molecular concepts [27,33]. The signalling pathways for the cancer hallmarks, including immune regulation, were based on the NanoString concepts [34] and the epigenetic signalling pathways were obtained from the EpiFactor website [35]. The assessment of the prognostic effect of methylation of the ENO1 gene was assessed using the MethSurv tool [36] by Kaplan-Meier analysis.

Briefly, ENO1 was investigated across the molecular concepts to identify clustering with different signalling pathways. Clustering of the signalling pathways with ENO1 was taken as significant at a p<0.01 and any odds ratio (OR) (Supplementary file 1). The tool specified whether the clustering occurred in the context of over- or under-expression and specified the patient subgroup in which the clustering occurred. Subgroups identified in the platform included (i) subgroups related to stage, recurrence, survival outcome, and (ii) subgroups related to the histological subtype and receptor status of the tumour.

ENO1 and tumour-immune system interactions

Spearman correlation (r) was used to determine the relationship between ENO1 and the immune response in breast cancer. The data was obtained using the TISIDB portal [37] for all available lymphocytes, chemokines and immunomodulators.

Ethics

The data provided on these online Bioinformatics tools is fully anonymised and ethical permission is covered by the original studies which generated the data and by the providers of the online tools. Further details are provided in the original publications of these tools [28-37]. Additional specific ethical approval was not required to conduct this study.

Results ENO1 expression at mRNA level and correlation to survival

ENO1 is overexpressed at mRNA level in breast cancer in comparison to normal tissue (p=1.46x10⁻¹⁶; Figure 1A). Overexpression of ENO1 is a poor predictive marker as identified by the KM-plotter tool (Figure 1B-D) using the Affymetrix ID: 201231 s at (ENO1, ENO1L1, MBP-1). High expression of ENO1 in breast cancer patients correlates with a decreased RFS. DMFS and OS (p<0.001 for all comparisons; Figure 1B-D respectively). Stratifying the patients by treatment status including all types of treatment showed that high ENO1 expression predicted a poor survival outcome in all patients irrespectively of treatment (Supplementary Figure 1A-C). Patient stratification by molecular subtype showed a decreased RFS, DMFS and OS in HER2-positive and basal breast cancer subtypes (p<0.05 for all comparisons; Figure 2A-C) whereas no significant association was observed with luminal A subtype and luminal B subtype correlated only to decreased RFS (p=0.0022; Supplementary Figure 2). When the effects of the gene were examined by stage, interestingly ENO-1 overexpression has a good prognostic effect in stage 1 disease and a poor prognostic effect in stage 3 disease (Figure 3). At protein level, there was no correlation of ENO1 expression and survival (p=0.095; Supplementary Figure 3). Although an effect observed at both RNA and protein level is more convincing, many biomarkers that are significant at RNA level are not confirmed to be predictive and/or prognostic at protein level due to post-transcriptional or post-translational modifications affecting protein expression.

We also carried out an analysis using the ROC plotter tool [29] to investigate whether ENO1 was a biomarker of sensitivity or resistance to endocrine therapy, HER2-directed therapy or chemotherapy in breast cancers. Analysis was performed both in terms of complete pathological response (CPR) and 5-year RFS. There was no correlation between ENO1 and endocrine therapy whereas, a moderate correlation (AUC=0.652, p=0.034) was observed in RFS in patients treated with anti-HER2 therapy (Figure 4A,B respectively). However, in

chemotherapy treated patients (Figure 4C), there was moderate correlation with both CPR (AUC=0.541, p=0.032) and 5-year RFS (AUC=0.611, p<0.0001). Our data highlights that patients with high ENO1 expression are or can develop resistance to chemotherapy.

Genomic alterations in ENO1

Data from cBioportal showed that genomic alterations in the ENO1 gene were detected in 0.2% of breast cancers and they mainly involved copy number alterations (CNA; gene amplifications and deletions). The breast cancer cohorts and the data are presented in Figure 5A. Interestingly, analysis of the metastatic breast cancer cohorts (Figure 5B) showed 7% genomic alterations (gene amplifications, deletions missense and truncating mutations) highlighting that alterations in the ENO1 gene are acquired during treatment and could be related to disease progression and metastasis.

The metagene signatures associated with ENO1 alterations predicted a poor clinical outcome for all types of alterations (mutations, amplification and deletion; Figure 5C).

Oncomine Network analysis

Nine breast cancer patient cohorts had data available on the Oncomine platform (Supplementary Table 1). Network analysis of the main cancer hallmarks and their associated pathways identified that ENO1 clusters with many signalling, epigenetic and immune pathways. Analysis by receptor status revealed ENO1 overexpression in the triple-negative subtype for all the analysed pathways. In the HER2-positive, overexpression was linked to cytotoxicity, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), histone phosphorylation, costimulatory and cytokine/chemokine signalling, whereas underexpression was linked to hypoxia, MAPK signalling, histone deubiquitination and transcription factor. The hormone

receptor-positive subtypes showed ENO1-associated underexpression of all the analysed pathways. All the data is summarised in Figure 6A and Supplementary Table 2.

Analysis by histological subtypes and disease progression show that ENO1 was underexpressed in the tumours with better prognosis (Figure 6B). In the lobular and mixed lobular and ductal carcinomas ENO1 was underexpressed for almost all signalling, immune and epigenetic pathways. It was also underexpressed in the rare mucinous and tubular carcinomas is several pathways including autophagy, DNA damage repair, DNA methylation, transcription factor, costimulatory signalling, lymphoid compartment and immune cell adhesion and migration (Figure 6 B).

In the more aggressive ductal carcinoma, ENO1 is overexpressed and clusters with angiogenesis, apoptosis, cell proliferation, cytotoxicity, several signalling and epigenetic pathways in the early stage ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) whereas more pathways are recruited in ductal carcinoma and high-grade tumours. Interestingly, ENO1 shows clustering with all of the major immune pathways in DCIS and high-grade tumours but only with interferon signalling and lymphoid compartment in ductal carcinoma. There is a general pattern for the clustering to occur in the context of ENO1 gene overexpression as the disease progresses to high grade, advanced, recurrence and metastasis with pathways recruited or disappearing highlighting the importance of ENO1 in the signalling, epigenetic and immune pathways at the different stages. A mixed profile is observed with ENO1 clustering and survival (death by 5 years) where underexpression clusters with JAK-STAT signalling and overexpression with all the epigenetic pathways, immune cell adhesion and migration, Notch, TGF-b, NFK-b, hedgehog signalling and metastasis inducing proteins amongst others. All the data according to histological types and progression is summarised in Figure 6A and Supplementary Table 3. Methsurv was used to access the effect of ENO1 DNA methylation on survival. Four loci were identified where low methylation is linked to worse survival outcome (Figure 7; cg20971527:

HR 0.461, CI 0.312-0.681, LR-test p=0.00014; cg09819654: HR 0.48, CI 0.291-0.792, LR-test p=0.0021; cg06972019: HR 0.662, CI 0.446-0.981, LR-test p=0.038; cg13785123: HR 0.594, CI 0.36-0.978, LR-test p=0.031). All the data is presented in Supplementary Table 4

Immune markers

A low to moderate positive correlation was observed between ENO1 expression and certain tumour infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), immunomodulators and chemokines whereas a negative correlation was observed with ENO1 methylation. ENO1 CNAs had no effect on the immune markers analysed in TISIDB. In the TILS, the strongest correlations with ENO1 expression were observed with activated dendritic cells (Act-DCs), Gamma Delta T cell (T $\gamma\delta$), CD56 Natural Killer (NK) cells and activated CD4 T cells- (Act_CD4). Methylation also showed a strong negative correlation with NKT cells, Act_DCs, T $\gamma\delta$, T helper 1 (Th1) and T helper 2 (Th2) cells, Act_CD4 and activated B cells (Act_B).

A low to moderate positive correlation was identified between expression of ENO1 and expression of immuno-inhibitory genes such as IDO1, IL10RB, LAG3, except PVRL2 that showed a negative correlation to ENO1 expression. Methylation of ENO1 was negatively associated with IDO1, BTLA, CTLA4, IL10, IL10RB, LAG3, TIGIT but positively correlated to PDCD1LG2 and PVRL2. Similar profile was observed with most of the chemokines that negatively correlated with ENO1 methylation and to a lesser extend show a positive correlation to ENO1 expression. The correlation data is analytically presented in supplementary table 5 and examples of the correlation plot of immune markers and ENO1 expression/methylation is presented in supplementary figure 4.

When prognostic data were split by enrichment or depletion of immune compartments, the good prognostic effect of ENO1 in Stage 1 disease was found to be dependent on enrichment of eosinophils, natural killer T-cells, and type-2 T-helper (Th2) cells, and depletion of

11

macrophages. The poor prognostic effect of ENO1 at stage 3 was dependent on enrichement of CD4+ memory T-cells, Natural killer T-cells and eosinophils and depletion of basophils, Bcells, CD 8+ T-cells, macrophages, mesenchymal stem cells and both Type-1 T-helper (Th1) and Th2 cells (Figure 8). Similarly, enrichment of basophils, B-cells, CD8+ T-cells, regulatory T-cells and depletion of eosinophils, CD4+ memory T-cells and Th1/Th2 in association with high mutation burden conferred poor prognosis (Figure 8).

Discussion

This study has revealed that ENO1 is a poor prognostic marker at RNA level, particularly in triple negative breast cancer. The poor prognostic effect is observed in advanced (Stage 3) disease, and is present in HER-2 positive and triple negative breast cancers. Our data agrees with previous recent studies showing an association of high ENO1 expression with the aggressive basal subtype and a favourable prognosis in patients with early stage breast cancer but not with advanced stage disease and/or basal breast cancer [16,26,38]. We also observed that methylation of the gene is associated with a good prognostic effect, consistent with the expected effect from reducing ENO1 gene expression. In addition to methylation, the gene clusters with a wide range of epigenetic pathways, and this effect is established at the *in-situ* stage.

Although genomic alterations of ENO1 in breast cancer are rare, an increased rate of ENO1 alterations in metastatic tumours as compared with primaries was identified, indicating that ENO1 alterations accumulate with progression and treatment. Both amplifications and deletions were detected, and both are associated with a poor prognosis, providing a partial explanation for the emergence of the poor prognostic effect in more advanced disease. ENO1 clusters with overexpression of all of the pathways involved in cancer hallmarks and epigenetic regulation in triple negative breast carcinomas. We observed a tendency to recruit these pathways in the context of high-grade disease, ductal carcinoma and tumours with a poor 5-year survival. By contrast, ENO1 is a good prognostic marker in stage 1 disease, and clusters in the context of underexpression with a wide range of the pathways in subtypes of breast carcinomas. Clustering of ENO1 across the pathways we examined was also observed in DCIS, indicating that the gene plays a role from the earliest stages of breast cancer development.

A complex relationship of ENO1 with immune pathways was revealed by this study to complement other studies evaluating the role of ENO1 in the tumour-immune microenvironment [13,38,39]. Underexpression occurs in good prognostic subtypes of breast carcinoma, whereas overexpression of the pathways occurs in triple negative breast carcinomas. Overexpression of the gene tended to promote immune inhibitors and suppress chemokines. However, despite this, higher expression of the gene correlated with increased infiltration of TILs, whereas methylation of the gene was associated with decreased infiltration of TILs, likely reflecting the dominating influence of the broader immune pathway recruitment observed in the network analysis. There will likely be functional effects of ENO1 overexpression, such as a reduced pH of the microenvironment caused by increased glycolysis, which would also tend to promote immune cell recruitment. Splitting survival data by stage and immune compartment revealed complex effects, with the poor prognostic effect at stage 3 being dependent on enrichment or depletion of a wider range of immune cells than the good prognostic effect seen in stage 1. The stage 1 good prognostic effect tended to be dependent on depletion of a narrow range of immune pathways, whereas the poor prognostic effect at stage 3 was dependent on enrichment of a wider range of different immune pathways, likely reflecting the ability of the tumour to co-opt the immune system. These data overall point to a potential role of ENO1 in recruiting immune pathways, particularly in triple negative breast carcinomas and advanced disease, immediately suggesting that there may be a role for ENO1 targeted therapy as an adjunct to immune therapy.

Conclusions:

This large scope analysis highlights further the potential of ENO1 as a novel biomarker of breast cancer progression and in particular in the triple-negative/basal subtype, a subtype with worse prognosis than the hormone-positive and the HER2-positive subtypes. Overall, ENO1

is overexpressed in comparison to normal tissue and confers a worse prognosis in breast cancer. Broad epigenetic associations are established by the time DCIS has become invasive and more are observed as the cancer progresses up to death. In addition, more pathways involved in the cancer hallmarks and the immune pathways are recruited. The observations in this study warrant further investigation to characterise better the relationship of ENO1 with epigenetic and immune-related pathways. This will help the development of therapeutics targeting ENO1 directly and/or as an adjunctive treatment to immunotherapies.

References:

- American Cancer Society: "Breast Cancer Facts & Figures 2022-2024," "Cancer Facts & Figures 2023." <u>https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-</u> statistics/breast-cancer-facts-and-figures/2022-2024-breast-cancer-fact-figures-acs.pdf
- The World Health Organisation. Cancer Fact Sheets. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer</u>. Accessed 6th December 2021
- Ferlay, J, Colombet, M, Soerjomataram, I, et al. Cancer statistics for the year 2020: An overview. Int. J. Cancer. 2021;149:778-89
- Makki J. Diversity of Breast Carcinoma: Histological Subtypes and Clinical Relevance. Clin Med Insights Pathol. 2015;8:23-31.
- Perou C.M., Sørlie T., Eisen M.B., van de Rijn M., Jeffrey S.S., Rees C.A., Pollack J.R., Ross D.T., Johnsen H., Akslen L.A., et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2000;406:747-52
- Moo TA, Sanford R, Dang C, Morrow M. Overview of Breast Cancer Therapy. PET Clin. 2018 Jul;13(3):339-354. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 2011;144:646-74.
- Lüönd F, Tiede S, Christofori G. Breast cancer as an example of tumour heterogeneity and tumour cell plasticity during malignant progression. Br J Cancer 2021;125:16475.
- Hanahan D , Weinberg RA . Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646-74.
- Natalya N. Pavlova, Jiajun Zhu, Craig B. Thompson, The hallmarks of cancer metabolism: Still emerging, Cell Metabolism 2022;34:355-77.
- Lin X, Xiao Z, Chen T, Liang SH, Guo H. Glucose Metabolism on Tumor Plasticity, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Front Oncol. 2020;10:317.

- Díaz-Ramos A, Roig-Borrellas A, García-Melero A, López-Alemany R. α-Enolase, a multifunctional protein: its role on pathophysiological situations. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2012;2012:156795.
- 12. Didiasova M, Schaefer L, Wygrecka M. When Place Matters: Shuttling of Enolase-1 Across Cellular Compartments. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2019;7:61.
- 13. Huang CK, Sun Y, Ping Y. ENO1 and Cancer. Mol Ther: Oncolytics 2022;24:288-98.
- 14. Sun L, Guo C, Cao J, Burnett J, et aloverexpression of a-enolase as a prognostic biomarker in patients with pancreatic cancer. Int J Med Scie 2017;14:655-61.
- 15. Fu QF, Liu Y, Fan Y, Hua SN et al. Alpha-enolase promotes cell glycolysis, growth, migrationa nd invasion in non-small cell lung cancer through FAK-mediated PI3K/AKT pathway. J Hematol Oncol 2015;8:15
- 16. Cancemi P, Buttacavoli M, Roz E, Feo S. Expression of Alpha-Enolase (ENO1), Myc Promoter-Binding Protein-1 (MBP-1) and Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) Reflect the Nature and Aggressiveness of Breast Tumors. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20:3952-66.
- 17. Song Y, Luo Q, Long H, Hu Z et al. Alpha-enolase as apotential cancer prognostic marker promotes cell growth, migrationa nd invasionin glioma. Mol Cancer 2014;13:65.
- 18. Tsai ST, Chien IH, Shen WH, Kuo YZ, Jin YT, Wong TY, et al. ENO1, a potential prognostic head and neck cancer marker, promotes transformation partly via chemokine CCL20 induction. Eur J Cancer 2010; 46:1712-23
- 19. Cheng Z, Shao X, Xu M, Zhou C, Wang J. ENO1 Acts as a Prognostic Biomarker Candidate and Promotes Tumor Growth and Migration Ability Through the Regulation of Rab1A in Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Manage Res 2019;11:9969-78.

- 20. Qian X, Xu W, Xu J, Shi Q et al. Enolase 1 stimulates glycolysis to promote chemoresistance in gastric cancer. Oncotarget 2017;8:47691-708.
- 21. Wang L, Bi R, Yin H, et al. ENO1 silencing impaires hypoxia-induced gemcitabine chemoresistance associated with redox modulation in pancreatic cancer cells. Am J transl res 2019;11:4470-80
- 22. Santana-Rivera Y, Rabelo-Fernández RJ, Quiñones-Díaz BI, Grafals-Ruíz N, et al. Reduced expression of enolase-1 correlates with high intracellular glucose levels and increased senescence in cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells. Am J Transl Res 2020; 12: 1275-92
- 23. Tu SH, Chang CC, Chen CS. et al. Increased expression of enolase α in human breast cancer confers tamoxifen resistance in human breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010;121:539-53.
- 24. J. Zhang, H. Li, L. Miao, J. Ding. Silencing of ENO1 inhibits the proliferation, migration and invasion of human breast cancer cells. J B.U.ON 2020;25:696-701
- 25. Gao J, Zhao R, Xue Y, Niu Z, Cui K, Yu F, Zhang B, Li S. Role of enolase-1 in response to hypoxia in breast cancer: exploring the mechanisms of action. Oncol Rep 2013;29:1322-32.
- 26. Vishnubalaji R, Alajez NM. Single-cell transcriptome analysis revealed heterogeneity and identified novel therapeutic targets for breast cancer subtypes. Cells 2023;12:1182-99.
- 27. Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, Ghosh D, et al. ONCOMINE: a cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. Neoplasia. 2004;6:1-6.
- 28. Győrffy B. Survival analysis across the entire transcriptome identifies biomarkers with the highest prognostic power in breast cancer. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal. 2021;19:4101-9.

- 29. Fekete JT, Gyorffy B. ROCplot.org: Validating predictive biomarkers of chemotherapy/hormonal therapy/anti-HER2 therapy using transcriptomic data of 3,104 breast cancer patients. Int J Cancer. 2019;145:3140-51.
- 30. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012;2:401-4.
- 31. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci Signal. 2013;6:pl1.
- 32. Pongor L, Kormos M, Hatzis C, Pusztai L, Szabó A, Győrffy B. A genome-wide approach to link genotype to clinical outcome by utilizing next generation sequencing and gene chip data of 6,697 breast cancer patients. Genome Med. 2015;7:104.
- 33. Das M, Giannoudis A, Sharma V. The role of CPT1A as a biomarker of breast cancer progression: a bioinformatic approach. Scientific Rep 2022;12:16441-50
- Eastel JM. et al. Application of NanoString technologies in companion diagnostic development. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2019; 19:591-98.
- 35. Medvedeva, Y. A. et al. EpiFactors: A comprehensive database of human epigenetic factors and complexes. Database 2015, bav067
- 36. Modhukur V, Iljasenko T, Metsalu T, et al. MethSurv: a web tool to perform multivariable survival analysis using DNA methylation data. Epigenomics 2018;10:277-88
- 37. Ru B, Wong CN, Tong Y, Zhong JY, Zhong SSW, Wu WC, et al. TISIDB: an integrated repository portal for tumor–immune system interactions. Bioinformatics. 2019;35:4200-02.

- 38. Shi Y-Y, Chen X-L, Chen Q-L et al. Association of Enolase-1 with prognosis and immune infiltration in breast cancer by clinical stage. J Inflam Res 2023;19:493-503.
- 39. Xu W, Yang W, Wu C, Ma X, Li H, Zheng J. Enolase 1 Correlated With Cancer Progression and Immune-Infiltrating in Multiple Cancer Types: A Pan-Cancer Analysis. Front Oncol. 2021;10:593706.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the bioinformatics department at the University of Liverpool who have approved the processes to produce this manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article and its supplementary information files. The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article have been generated using the following websites: www.kmplotter.com, http://www.g-2-o.com; http://www.cbioportal.org; https://www.oncomine.org; https://biit.cs.ut.ee/methsurv/; www.rocplotter.com; https://epifactors.autosome.ru; http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/ and appropriate references to these are included in the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This study was funded by the Charitable funds of Departments of Cellular Pathology of the Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Authors' contributions

Data obtained by Dr Vijay Sharma, Dr Alistair Heath and Dr Athina Giannoudis. AG and VS have analysed the data and produced the body of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the submitted manuscript.

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



ENO1L1 (201231_s_at)





ENO1L1 (201231_s_at)

HR = 1.56 (1.09 - 2.24)

logrank P = 0.015

1.0

0.8

0.6

-1

ö

0.2

0.0

low

high

0

172

Expression

— low

Number at risk

high

100

27

150

22

Time (months)

200

250

0

50

102

Probability



ENO1L1 (201231_s_at)



Figure 3.



Overall Survival (OS)

Figure 4.





Figure 6.

ENO1 - Receptor Status	ER	PR	ERBB2 (HER-2)	Triple	
	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	
	Histological Type				
Angiogenesis					
Apoptosis					
Autophagy					
Cell Proliferation					
Cytotoxicity					
ONA Damage Repair					
Epithelial to Mesenchymal					
Transition (EMIT)					
Hedghog Signalling					
Hypoxia					
JAK STAT Signalling					
MAPK					
Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis					
Metabolic Stress					
Metastasis Inducing Proteins					
Notch Signalling					
PI3K Akt Signalling					
TGF-b Signalling					
Wnt Signalling					
NFK-b Signalling					
	Epigenetics				
Chromatin Remodelling & Repair					
ONA Demethylation					
DNA Hydroxymethylation					
DNA Methylation					
Histone Deubiquitination					
Histone Acetylation	_				
Histone Citrullination					
Histone Phosphorylation					
Histone Sumoylation &					
Glenacylation					
Histone Ubiquitination					
mRNA Degradation					
mRNA Editing					
Transcription Factor Activator					
Transcription Factor		_			
Transcription Factor Repressor					
	_	Immune si	gnalling pathwa	ys.	
Costimulatory Signalling					
Cytokine & Chemokine Signalling					
Immune Cell Adhesion &					
Migration					
Interferon Signalling					
Lymphoid Compartment					
Myeloid Compartment					

Progression Carcinoma Duct Angiogenesis Apoptotis Astophagy Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity Cell Proliferation	I Carcinoma Carcinom	a Carcinoma Hist	Carcinoma tological Type	Grade			 Examples
Angiogenesis Apoptotis Autophagy Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity DNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia IAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metabolic Stress Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Phosphorylation Mistone Sumoylation & Glonacylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Citrullination Histone Dirullination Histone Dirullination Histone Sumoylation S Glonacylation MRNA Lidting Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor		Hy	tological Type				5 years
Anapogenesis Apoptosis Autophagy Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity DNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia JAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glicnacylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Mistone Sumoylation & Glicnacylation MANA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							-
Apoptosis Autophagy Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity DNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia MAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Sumoylation & Glicnacylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Autophagy Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity DNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Metabolic Stress Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling MAPK Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiguitination Histone Deubiguitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Mistone Sumoylation & Gionacylation Mistone Sumoylation & Gionacylation Mistone Sumoylation S Gionacylation Mistone Displorylation Mistone Sumoylation S Gionacylation Mistone Sumoylation Factor Activator Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							-
Cell Proliferation Cytotoxicity Cytotoxicity Cytotoxicity CoNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia MAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation Noth Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation NA tethylation NA tethylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Completion NA Degradation Mixtone Signaling Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Cytoboxisty ONA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metabolic Stress Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling ONA Hydroxymethylation ONA Methylation ONA Methylation Nistone Cerulylation Histone Chrollination Mistone Citrullination Histone Chrollination Mistone Citrullination Histone Chrollination Mistone Citrullination Histone Chrolling Transcription Factor Transcription Factor							
DNA Damage Repair Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia JAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metabolic Stress Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Mistone Citrullination Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia JAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metabolic Stress Metastasis Inducing Proteins Netastasis Inducing Proteins Netastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wet Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Gionacylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Sumoylation & Gionacylation MA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Transription (EMT) Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia AK STAT Signalling MAPK MAPK MAPK MAPK MAPK Metastasis Inducing Proteins Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation ONA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Gicnacylation Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Ubiquitination Mistone Ubiquitination Mistone Ubiquitination Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Citrullination Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Citrullination Mistone Citrullination Mistone Sumoylation Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Hedghog Signalling Hypoxia IAK STAT Signalling MAPK IAK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Metastasis Inducing Proteins Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling TGF-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation ONA Methylation ONA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation Kistone Sumoylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Sumoylation Mistone Sumoylation Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Pypoxia IAK STAT Signalling MAPK Image: Comparison of the status of the sta							
AK STAT Signalling MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling Wht Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Mistone Sumoylation & Gicnacylation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor			_				_
MAPK Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Pubiquitination Histone Pubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Dubiquitination Histone Dubiquitination Histone Dubiquitination Histone Dubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Displation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Displation Histone Displat			_	_			
Matrix Remodelling & Metastasis Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wet Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Ginacylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination Mistone Sumoylation & Ginacylation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Metabolic Stress Metabolic Stress Metabolic Stress Metabolic Stress Metabolic Stress Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Methylation ONA Methylation ONA Methylation Nistone Deubiquitination Histone Citruffination Histone Citruffination Histone Citruffination Histone Citruffination Histone Sumoylation & Gicnacylation Mistone Ubiquitination mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Metastasis Inducing Proteins Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair Chromatin Remodelling & Repair ONA Demethylation ONA Hydroxymethylation ONA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation Histone Ubiquitination Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degnadation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Notch Signalling PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling Wht Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Nistone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mitNA Degradation mitNA Degradation mitNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
PI3K Akt Signalling TGF-b Signalling Wht Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
TGF-b Signalling Wet Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mitNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Transcription Factor							
Wet Signalling NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Transcription Factor							
NFK-b Signalling Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Cetuliquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Glonacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Gisnacylation Histone Ubiquitination mitNA Degradation mitNA Degradation mANA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Chromatin Remodelling & Repair DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor			Epigenetics			 	_
DNA Demethylation DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Acetylation Histone Citrullination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor	and the second sec						
DNA Hydroxymethylation DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Citruffination Histone Citruffination Histone Citruffination Histone Sumoylation & Gicnacylation & Gicnacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
DNA Methylation Histone Deubiquitination Histone Acetylation Histone Citrullination Histone Citrullination Histone Sumoylation & Gicnacylation & Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Deubiquitination Histone Acetylation Histone Citrullination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Glenacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Acetylation Histone Citrullination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Gionacylation & mitth Degradation mitth Degradation mitth Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Citruilination Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Gionacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Phosphorylation Histone Sumoylation & Glonacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Sumoylation & Glonacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Gicnacylation Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
Histone Ubiquitination mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Repressor							
mRNA Degradation mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
mRNA Editing Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Transcription Factor Activator Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Transcription Factor Transcription Factor Repressor							
Transcription Factor Repressor							
		Immune	denalling naths	1964	_	 	_
Antigen presentation			Page landing particular				
Costimulatory Signalling							
Cutokine & Chemokine Signalling							
Immune Cell Afbesion &							
Maration							
Interfaces Circulting							
Lumphoid Compartment							
Ampleid Compartment							

EN01 - 3'UTR-Open_Sea-cg20971527



ENO1 - Body-Open_Sea-cg13785123



ENO1 - 1stExon;5'UTR-Island-cg09819654



ENO1 - 5'UTR-N_Shore-cg06972019



Figure 8. Immune System Interaction with ENO1.

IMMUNE COMPARTMENT	IMMUNE CELLS ENRICHED OR REDUCED	STAGE 1	STAGE 2	STAGE 3	STAGE 4	LOW MUTATION BURDEN	HIGH MUTATION BURDEN
ALL CASES IN COHORT	UNSPLIT	Good Prognosis	No effect	Poor Prognosis	Effect Lost	Good Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis Trend
BASOPHILS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Good Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Effect Lost	INSUFFICIENT DATA	Effect Lost	Good Prognosis Trend
B-CELLS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis Trend		Good Prognosis	Effect Lost
CD4+ MEMORY T-CELLS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Effect Lost		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis Trend
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis
	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Good Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis
CD8+ T-CELLS	REDUCED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis Trend		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis Trend
EOSINOPHILS	ENRICHED	Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis Trend		Effect Lost	Good Prognosis
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis
MACROPHAGES	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Good Prognosis Trend	Effect Lost
	REDUCED	Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis Trend	Effect Lost		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis Trend
MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Good Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis		Effect Lost		Good Prognosis	Effect Lost
NATURAL KILLER T- CELLS	ENRICHED	Effect Lost		Poor Prognosis Trend		Effect Lost	Effect Lost
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis		Good Prognosis Trend	Effect Lost
REGULATORY T-CELLS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis	Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Poor Prognosis
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis Trend		Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Effect Lost
TYPE-1 T-HELPER CELLS	ENRICHED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis		Poor Prognosis Trend	Effect Lost
	REDUCED	Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend	Good Prognosis Trend		Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis
	ENRICHED	Effect Lost	Good Prognosis Trend	Poor Prognosis		Effect Lost	Effect Lost
TYPE-2 T-HELPER CELLS	REDUCED	Good Prognosis		Poor Prognosis Trend		Good Prognosis	Poor Prognosis Trend