1 Negative association between higher maternal body mass index and

2 breastfeeding outcomes is not mediated by DNA methylation

- 3
- 4 Hannah R. Elliott^{1,2*}, Chloe L. Bennett³, Doretta Caramaschi^{1,2,4a}, Sinead English^{3a*}
- 5
- ¹MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom.
- 7 ²Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United
- 8 Kingdom
- 9 ³School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
- ⁴ Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Department of Psychology, University of Exeter,
- 11 Exeter, UK
- 12
- 13
- 14 *Corresponding author emails: Hannah.elliott@bristol.ac.uk; sinead.english@bristol.ac.uk
- 15 ^aThese authors jointly supervised the project
- 16
- 17

18 Abstract

19 The benefits of breastfeeding for the health and wellbeing of both infants and mothers are 20 well documented, yet global breastfeeding rates are low. One factor associated with low 21 breastfeeding is maternal body mass index (BMI), which is used as a measure of obesity. 22 The negative relationship between maternal obesity and breastfeeding is likely caused by a 23 variety of social, psychological, and physiological factors. Maternal obesity may also have a 24 direct biological association with breastfeeding through changes in maternal DNA 25 methylation. Here, we investigate this potential biological association using data from a UK-26 based cohort study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). We 27 find that pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) is associated with lower initiation to 28 breastfeed and shorter breastfeeding duration. We conduct epigenome-wide association 29 studies (EWAS) of maternal BMI and breastfeeding outcomes and candidate-gene analysis 30 of methylation sites associated with BMI identified via previous meta-EWAS. We find that 31 DNA methylation at cg11453712, annotated to PHTP1, is associated with maternal BMI. 32 From our results, neither this association nor those at candidate-gene sites are likely to 33 mediate the link between maternal BMI and breastfeeding. 34

Keywords: Breastfeeding, maternal BMI, ALSPAC, DNA methylation, epigenetic,
 epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS)

37 Introduction

38 Breastfeeding is associated with a multitude of benefits to mothers and infants, both in the 39 short and long term, including reduced risk of breast cancer, diabetes and obesity, and improved cognition^{1,2}. The World Health Organisation recommends exclusive breastfeeding 40 (EBF) as the main infant feeding method for the first 6 months of life³. Despite health 41 42 benefits, rates of breastfeeding initiation and duration remain low globally. A 2010 study on 43 breastfeeding rates in the UK showed that, while 83% of mothers initiate breastfeeding, only 44 24% were EBF at 6 weeks, and 1% at 6 months⁴. These trends are apparent in countries 45 with both high and low income, with evidence suggesting that increasing breastfeeding rates 46 worldwide could lead to prevention of 823,000 deaths of children under 5 years old 47 annually². Strategies are required to increase breastfeeding rates, but for these to be most 48 effective, understanding the mechanisms underlying reduced breastfeeding rates is 49 essential.

50

51 Of several factors associated with reduced breastfeeding, maternal obesity is of particular 52 concern. The prevalence of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age is 53 increasing globally: in 2016, it was estimated that 40% of women aged 18 or over were 54 overweight^{5,6}. Body mass index (BMI) denotes the weight of an individual relative to the 55 square of their height and is used to categorise overweight and obesity^{5,6}. Extensive studies 56 have shown that obesity or high pre-pregnancy BMI are associated with lower breastfeeding 57 initiation and shorter breastfeeding duration⁶⁻⁹. Potential causes behind this relationship 58 include socio-cultural factors such as low self-esteem and body confidence, physical factors 59 such as difficulties for the infant when latching to the breast, and physiological factors such as hormone imbalances or low milk supply in women who are overweight or obese¹⁰⁻¹². 60 Indeed, there is evidence that the second stage of lactogenesis (i.e., from 3 days up to one 61 week after birth) is delayed in overweight women^{13,14}. While the link between BMI and 62 psychosocial factors associated with breastfeeding has been well explored^{12,15}, there has 63 64 been less investigation into the underlying biological or physiological mechanisms.

65

66 Here, we explore the biological association between high maternal BMI and reduced 67 breastfeeding, focussing on the potential role of DNA methylation. DNA methylation 68 is typically measured as attachment of a methyl group to a cytosine adjacent to a guanine 69 nucleotide (referred to as a CpG site). It is a biological mechanism involved in regulation of 70 gene expression that is influenced by both genetics and environment^{16,17}. There is growing 71 evidence from several cohort studies that there are maternal epigenetic differences 72 associated with increased BMI¹⁸⁻²⁸, with loci in biological pathways involved in lipid 73 metabolism, adipose tissue hypoxia, and inflammation, although the relevant loci are not

74 always consistent across studies. For most sites identified, causal inference analyses 75 indicate that the likely pattern is that increased BMI leads to changes in methylation rather 76 than vice versa^{21,23,26}. Breastfeeding has been associated with differential methylation profiles in offspring^{29,30}. Studies have also addressed how the DNA methylation profile of 77 mothers changes across pregnancy³¹, with differential methylation in loci associated with 78 79 metabolism and mammary gland development. Less understood, however, is how maternal 80 DNA methylation is associated with breastfeeding outcome, and how this might depend on 81 maternal BMI. For example, the physiological effect of delayed lactogenesis among 82 overweight mothers may have an epigenetic association.

83

Here, we analyse data from a UK population study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), to investigate, first, the extent to which maternal BMI is associated with breastfeeding outcomes, and second, whether any such associations are potentially mediated by BMI-associated methylation in pregnant mothers. To achieve the latter, we conducted epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) of methylation and its association with maternal BMI, breastfeeding initiation, and breastfeeding duration.

90

91 We also conducted specific candidate-gene analysis using a predetermined set of CpG sites 92 known to be associated with BMI in adults, identified using a large meta-analysis of 18 93 studies ²⁸, to ascertain whether these sites are also associated with BMI in pregnant women 94 and whether methylation at these sites is associated with breastfeeding practices. Any 95 associations found between the traits of interest and DNA methylation within the maternal 96 epigenome could be used to support the hypothesis that DNA methylation acts as a 97 mediator in the relationship between increased maternal BMI and reduced breastfeeding 98 rates.

99

100 Results

101 Sample characteristics

102 The descriptive statistics generated for the ALSPAC sample used in our study, and ARIES 103 subset are shown in Table 1. ARIES mothers are broadly similar to the ALSPAC sample, in 104 line with previous evidence³². Even though the comparisons are generally consistent, ARIES 105 mothers have a lower prevalence of smoking during pregnancy; and a higher proportion of 106 ARIES mothers initiated and maintained breastfeeding practice compared to mothers in the 107 broader ALSPAC dataset. We only included mothers with non-missing information in the 108 EWAS. As such, 724 samples were included in the EWAS of maternal BMI, 718 samples 109 were included in the EWAS of breastfeeding initiation and 602 individuals were included in 110 the EWAS of breastfeeding duration.

112 Association between maternal BMI and breastfeeding (initiation and duration)

113 In both univariate and multivariate models (including potentially confounding variables of 114 breastfeeding intention, maternal smoking, age, occupation, parity, and education), maternal 115 BMI was negatively associated with whether a mother initiated breastfeeding or not 116 (univariate model, n=10,548; odds ratio OR [95% confidence interval CI]: 0.962 [0.951, 117 0.973]; multivariate model, n= 7,704; OR [CI]: 0.944 [0.921, 0.969]). Similarly, among 118 mothers who did breastfeed, the duration of breastfeeding was lower for those mothers with 119 higher BMI (univariate model, n= 7,166; Beta [CI]: -0.108 [-0.138, -0.079]; multivariate 120 model, n= 5,645; Beta [CI]: -0.091 [-0.123, -0.059]). When we analysed breastfeeding 121 duration as a categorical variable, we also find that higher BMI is associated with shorter 122 breastfeeding outcomes (univariate model, n= 9,761; OR [CI]: 0.946 [0.936, 0.954; 123 multivariate model, n= 7,298; OR [CI]: 0.944 [0.931, 0.956]). For results describing all 124 covariates, see Tables S1–3 (ESM). Note that we found qualitatively similar results when we 125 considered categorised pre-pregnancy BMI (rather than continuous) as a covariate, and 126 when we analysed breastfeeding duration (in months) as a time-to-event process, with 127 proportional hazards models (Tables S4, Figure S1, ESM).

128

111

129 Epigenome-wide association analyses

130 (i) Maternal BMI

131 In the univariate model, three CpG sites were identified below the genome wide threshold 132 $(p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7})$ when maternal BMI was modelled as the exposure variable. A total of 45 CpG sites were associated with maternal BMI at $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. A lambda of 2.34 indicated 133 134 moderate inflation of p-values. In multivariate analysis, one CpG site was identified below 135 the genome wide threshold ($p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$) when maternal BMI was modelled as the 136 exposure variable (see Figure 1). The lambda value ($\lambda = 1.29$) indicates minor inflation of the results. A total of 20 CpG sites were associated with maternal BMI at $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ in the 137 138 multivariate analysis, as detailed in Table 2.

139

140 (ii) Breastfeeding initiation

In the univariate model, we did not identify any CpGs associated with breastfeeding initiation at the genome wide threshold (p< 2.4 x 10⁻⁷) and only one CpG at p< 1.0 x 10⁻⁵. A lambda value of 1.33 indicated minor inflation of p-values. In the multivariate model, we did not identify any CpG sites associated with breastfeeding initiation below the genome wide threshold (p< 2.4 x 10⁻⁷, Figure 1). The lambda value (λ =1.27) indicates minor inflation of the results. Breastfeeding initiation was associated with 6 CpGs in the multivariate model at p< 1.0 x 10⁻⁵ (Table 2).

148

149 *(iii)* Breastfeeding duration

150 In the univariate model, we identified one CpG site associated with breastfeeding duration, 151 coded as a continuous variable, below the genome wide threshold ($p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$). Three CpG sites were at $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. The lambda value ($\lambda = 1.00$) indicated no inflation of p-152 153 values. In multivariate analysis, we did not identify any CpG sites associated with 154 breastfeeding duration below the genome wide threshold ($p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$, Figure 1). The 155 lambda value (λ =1.02) also showed no genome wide inflation of p-values versus those 156 expected. Continuously measured breastfeeding duration was associated with 3 CpG sites in the multivariate model at $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ (Table 2). 157

158

Full EWAS results for all EWAS presented in this paper are detailed in Supplementary
 Tables S5-7, ESM and Manhattan plots of fully adjusted models are shown in Figure 1.

161

162 Candidate gene analysis

163 The results for the candidate gene analysis found that none of the 52 CpG sites drawn from the Do et al. meta-EWAS analysis of BMI ²⁸ met the p-value threshold of 1.00 x 10⁻⁰⁵ (Table 164 165 2) in ALSPAC EWAS of BMI, breastfeeding initiation or breastfeeding duration. ALSPAC test 166 statistics for the CpG sites identified in Do et al. are shown in Supplementary Table 8, ESM. 167 We evaluated the consistency in direction of effects between our EWAS of maternal BMI 168 and results reported by Do et al. and calculated the proportion of CpGs which had the same 169 direction of effect using a binomial test of the null hypothesis that the proportion is equal to 170 0.5. 39/52 CpG sites showed consistency of direction of effect estimate, binomial test p-171 value 4.1 x 10⁻⁴. We therefore demonstrate that there is weak evidence for association 172 between BMI and DNA methylation in pregnant women in the ALSPAC cohort, but effect 173 estimates are consistently in the same direction as previously reported in the literature. None 174 of the CpG sites identified to be associated with BMI in the Do et al. study are, however, 175 associated with the breastfeeding measures assessed in ALSPAC.

176 177

178 Discussion

In this study, we first confirmed previously established associations between high maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and lower initiation and duration of breastfeeding, using analysis of the UK ALSPAC population study. We then conducted EWAS to identify whether maternal BMI and breastfeeding practice were associated with DNA methylation at CpG sites in the maternal genome, which could act as potential mediators in the relationship between increased maternal BMI and lowered breastfeeding rates. We found BMI to be associated

185 with DNA methylation at one CpG site and putatively associated with further 19 CpG sites.

186 However, none of these sites were associated with breastfeeding initiation or duration.

187

188 We find a negative association between higher maternal BMI and breastfeeding outcome -189 both in terms of whether it was initiated and how long it lasted - in line with several other studies on this topic across diverse cohorts^{6,8,11}. Given that obesity rates are rising rapidly 190 191 across the world, and breastfeeding rates remain low despite known benefits, studies 192 investigating why high-BMI mothers are less likely to breastfeed their babies could help 193 inform policy to improve breastfeeding outcome. We acknowledge limitations of using BMI as a measure of obesity³³, yet appreciate that it is a practical measure and can be used at 194 195 scale in population studies such as ALSPAC.

196

197 Potential factors explaining the replicated negative relationship between BMI and 198 breastfeeding outcome include delayed lactogenesis. The second stage of lactogenesis, 199 which occurs on average around 3 days up to a week after birth, is more likely to be delayed 200 in overweight women^{13,14}. We originally hypothesised that biological factors behind this 201 phenomenon could be captured by epigenetic biomarkers in peripheral blood during 202 pregnancy. Finding such biomarkers could have implications in identifying women in need of 203 extra support and could further our understanding of the mechanisms behind delayed 204 lactogenesis. However, we did not find strong evidence to support our hypothesis. Other 205 potential factors that have been previously linked with suboptimal breastfeeding outcomes 206 are parity, delivery method, experience of epidural, and infant behaviour after birth^{13,14}. We 207 adjusted our analyses for parity as a potential confounder in the associations between 208 maternal BMI and breastfeeding. Further studies should explore the other factors as 209 potential mediators between high BMI and low breastfeeding initiation and duration. 210

211 A robust relationship between BMI and DNA methylation was evidenced by previous large epigenome-wide association studies in the general population^{23,28}, therefore we 212 213 hypothesised that we would find a similar link in UK pregnant women. Although less 214 powered, our study found associations in the same directions as found by the largest EWAS 215 of BMI²⁸. We also found novel associations, though we are cautious about these unless 216 replicated in other cohorts. The strongest association is located on the PHTP1 gene, 217 encoding a phosphatase not previously linked to obesity. To our knowledge, this is the first 218 study investigating this question in pregnant women, and our results suggest that during 219 pregnancy the relationship between BMI and DNA methylation might be altered, potentially due to immune-metabolic changes typical of pregnancy^{34,35}. Previous studies that attempted 220

to investigate the link between BMI and DNA methylation during pregnancy focussed on candidate genes encoding leptin and adiponectin and found associations³⁶. Neither of these loci appear on our top list of genes associated with BMI, however, using the relaxed *p*-value threshold.

225

226 Our EWAS of breastfeeding outcome did not identify CpG sites whose methylation in the 227 peripheral blood of pregnant women is associated with breastfeeding outcomes in our study. 228 To our knowledge, this is the first study examining this link and we conducted an 229 epigenome-wide scan across more than 450,000 CpG sites. It is possible that if there are 230 true associations, their effect sizes will be too small to detect with our sample. The lack of 231 study populations with DNA methylation data on pregnant women limited our sample size. 232 However, if the top associations found with breastfeeding using the relaxed threshold are 233 true, they suggest implications of the LPP and NXN genes. Methylation at these genes in the 234 offspring at birth was also associated with maternal BMI and pre-pregnancy 235 overweight/obesity in a previous study, though at different CpG sites in the same loci³⁷. DNA 236 methylation at these genes was not affected antenatally in the mothers in our study. One 237 explanation for this is an intergenerational effect via alterations of fetal development and with 238 consequent challenges around delivery and in the baby's feeding behaviour, rather than the 239 mother's own ability to lactate. For instance, a recent study shows that early pregnancy BMI 240 is linked with DNA methylation in placental tissue³⁸.

241

242 We also conducted a targeted approach, analysing candidate CpG sites previously found to 243 be associated with BMI from a broader EWAS²⁸. Similar to our EWAS analysis, we did not 244 find support that methylation associated with BMI is linked with reduced breastfeeding 245 outcomes. These results, together with the EWAS results, do not support our hypothesis that 246 DNA methylation is implicated in the link between maternal BMI and breastfeeding practice. 247 It is possible, however, that biological mechanisms unrelated to peripheral blood DNA 248 methylation are involved in mediating the link between BMI and reduced breastfeeding. 249 Further studies should be focused on elucidating these mechanisms. Another explanation is 250 that biological mechanisms are less involved and that social and cultural aspects play a 251 stronger role in the negative association between maternal overweight and breastfeeding 252 outcome. For instance, many women fail to reach their own breastfeeding goals because of 253 discouraging factors such as employer support, husband involvement and social attitudes³⁹. 254 Moreover, studies have shown there can be a general negative public attitude towards 255 breastfeeding⁴⁰, and it is possible that overweight women are more vulnerable to these

attitudes⁴¹. In any case, considering the challenges that overweight women face around
 breastfeeding, more dedicated support might be beneficial to increase breastfeeding rates.

258

259 Despite the main strengths of our study accessing a large and rich intergenerational dataset 260 and exploring a novel question, our study has several limitations. First, we had a small 261 sample size for the methylation studies. Although the initial ALSPAC cohort recruited more 262 than 14,000 pregnancies, the subsample with antenatal blood samples and data on BMI, 263 breastfeeding outcome and covariates data is lower than 1000 mother-child pairs. This is 264 considered an under-powered sample size for EWAS studies of outcomes such as BMI and 265 it is increasingly common to combine multiple cohorts to greatly increase the number of subjects. For example, Sharp et al³⁷ were able to meta-analyse the association between 266 267 maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and methylation in the peripheral blood of offspring across 19 268 longitudinal cohorts, resulting in a sample size of 9,340. Obtaining a sample size of this 269 magnitude increases internal and external validity and reliability of results, as natural 270 variation can greatly affect DNA methylation and hence the outcomes of the study⁴². A 271 second drawback to our study was that the analyses were not replicated. Future similar 272 studies should be conducted to further investigate the association between increased BMI 273 and DNA methylation in antenatal blood and how this methylation is associated with 274 breastfeeding practice. An additional limitation of the EWAS in this study is tissue 275 availability. The advantage of using peripheral blood as a surrogate tissue is that it can be 276 collected in large quantities and is easy to store. In the context of this study, to assess the 277 association between breastfeeding practice and changes to the DNA methylation profile, 278 breast tissue samples (preferably prenatally or during the period where the mother 279 breastfeeds) would be the optimal sample tissue. This would lead to more accurate and 280 compelling analyses of associations between breastfeeding practice and breast tissue DNA 281 methylation.

282

283 In conclusion, this study provides the first insight into the maternal epigenome and its 284 association with maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and breastfeeding initiation and duration. The 285 results from this study did not support our mediation hypothesis, that DNA methylation could 286 explain putative pattern of reduced breastfeeding among overweight mothers, yet owing to 287 our underpowered study we are cautious to infer that this does not mean that BMI-288 associated methylation is not associated with reduced breastfeeding at all. Our novel 289 investigation into the relationship between maternal exposures such as BMI and 290 breastfeeding on maternal DNA methylation provides the framework for more in-depth study

into the physiological mechanisms that impede a mother's ability to breastfeed, and future studies could expand our analyses to more cohorts, and consider other biological pathways.

293

294 Methods

295 Study cohort

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a birth cohort study that investigates modifiable influences on child health and development^{43,44}. Pregnant women resident in Avon, UK, with expected dates of delivery between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992 were invited to take part in the study. The initial number of pregnancies enrolled was 14,541. Of the initial pregnancies, there was a total of 14,676 foetuses, resulting in 14,062 live births and 13,988 children who were alive at 1 year of age.

302

303 Ethical approval

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. Consent for biological samples has

been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004).

309

310 Study measures

311 (i) Maternal body mass index (BMI)

312 BMI is the metric currently used for defining anthropometric height/weight characteristics and 313 typically categorizing them into groups, and its common interpretation is that it is a measure 314 of extent of overweight and obesity^{5,6}. We used self-reported measures of pre-pregnancy 315 weight (in kilograms) and height (in metres) from the 'About Yourself' mother-completed 316 questionnaire which can be found in the ALSPAC data dictionary. Maternal BMI was 317 calculated as weight (kg) / height (m²) as a continuous variable; and analyses were repeated 318 with maternal BMI categorised as □30 (0) or ≥30 (1), following standard WHO 319 categorisation⁴⁵ which defines obese as \geq 30, overweight as 25-29 and 'normal' or 320 'acceptable' as <25.

321

322 (ii) Breastfeeding outcome

Data regarding breastfeeding outcome were collected from two questionnaires administered to the mother when the child was 6 months and 15 months of age respectively. Three different measures of breastfeeding were used: (1) breastfeeding initiation, a binary indicator ('yes' or 'no') of whether the mother had ever initiated any breastfeeding before the child had reached 6 months of age; and, for those mothers who initiated breastfeeding, (2) continuous

breastfeeding duration, measured as the age in months (from 0–15) of the child when breastfeeding stopped, derived from the 15-month questionnaire, and (3) categorical breastfeeding duration, i.e. never, up to 3 months, 3 to 5 months and 6 or more months, derived from the 6-month questionnaire, as this provided data for a larger number of mothers. Further details on how these variables were derived can be found in the 'My Son/My Daughter' mother-completed questionnaire in the ALSPAC data dictionary.

334

335 (iii) DNA methylation

DNA methylation data was obtained using Illumina 450k BeadChip arrays from the Accessible Resource for Integrated Epigenomics Studies (ARIES) project³². Blood samples from a sub-set of 1,018 ALSPAC participants were taken at three time points for the child (neonatal, childhood (mean age 7.5 years) and adolescence (mean age 17.1 years)) and at two time points for the mothers (antenatal and during their child's adolescence (mean age 17.1 years)). The DNA methylation data used in this study were from samples collected at the antenatal time point from mothers.

343

Normalisation was carried out using the *meffil* R package⁴⁶. Samples showing evidence of 344 345 population stratification based on ALSPAC genetic data were removed (n=29). Methylation 346 status was quantified as the ratio of the methylated probe intensity and the overall intensity 347 (sum of methylated and unmethylated probe intensities) resulting in a beta-value between 0 (completely unmethylated) and 1 (completely methylated)⁴⁷. This beta-value represents the 348 349 proportion of methylated cells within the sample. The impact of outliers was reduced by setting all methylation data points outside 3 times the interquartile range from the 25th to the 350 351 75th percentiles as missing. Final EWAS analyses included a total of N = 482,855 probes 352 and 933 individuals.

353

354 (iv) Covariates

355 The following variables were included in the analyses to adjust for confounding effects⁴⁸⁻⁵¹. 356 Maternal age at delivery was derived from a questionnaire administered 8 weeks after the 357 child's birth using the self-reported date of birth of the mother and the date of birth of the 358 child, measured as a continuous variable. Parity was categorised as either nulliparous or 359 multiparous derived from a questionnaire administered at 18 weeks' gestation. Maternal 360 smoking during pregnancy was categorised as either never smoking during pregnancy or 361 smoking during pregnancy, derived from a questionnaire administered at 23 weeks' 362 gestation. The following variables were derived from a questionnaire administered at 32 363 weeks' gestation: whether the mother intended to breastfeed in the first month 364 (recategorized as 'yes', 'maybe' or 'no' following methodology of Jones et al.⁵²); maternal

education, categorised as having completed qualifications below A-Levels or completed the qualifications of A-Levels and above; and occupational social class, categorised according to whether the mother or father had a manual or non-manual occupation (whichever was highest) according to the National Statistics Socio-economic Classification. For the methylation analyses, estimated cell counts were derived⁵³ and included as model covariates. Given the low ethnic diversity in our sample (<3% identified as non-white), we did not include maternal ethnicity as a covariate.

372

373 Statistical analysis

Analyses were carried out in R (version 4.1.0 ⁵⁴). Descriptive statistics were calculated for the ALSPAC sample and for the ARIES subset. Any mothers with missing data for any of the exposure or covariates were removed, leaving only complete cases for the primary analyses. The final samples contained only singleton births. Descriptive statistics for each subset (both for the ALSPAC phenotype dataset and ARIES subset EWAS sample) were subsequently calculated, to check for similarity of samples across analyses.

- 380
- 381 *(i)* Association of maternal BMI with breastfeeding

382 We investigated whether maternal BMI was associated with breastfeeding outcome (i.e., 383 initiation and duration) using multiple regression. For each analysis, we first ran a univariate 384 model considering only the association between maternal BMI and the breastfeeding 385 measure of interest. We subsequently repeated the analysis including covariates known 386 previously to be associated with breastfeeding (intention to breastfeed, maternal smoking, 387 age, occupational social class, parity, and education). For analysis of breastfeeding 388 initiation, we used a logistic regression with binomial error structure; for analysis of 389 continuous breastfeeding duration, we used a linear model with gaussian error; and for 390 analysis of categorical breastfeeding duration, we used ordinal logistic regression. We also 391 conducted analyses on continuous breastfeeding duration as a time-to-event analysis using 392 proportional hazards models, using the function survfit for univariate analyses and coxph for 393 multivariate, from the 'survival' package in \mathbb{R}^{55} .

394

395 *(ii)* Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS)

Three EWASs were carried out using multiple linear regression to estimate the association of DNA methylation in maternal antenatal peripheral blood with maternal BMI (N = 724), breastfeeding initiation (N = 718), and breastfeeding duration as a continuous measure (N =602). For the EWAS of maternal BMI, we modelled DNA methylation as the outcome. For EWASs of breastfeeding, we modelled breastfeeding as the outcome. For analysis of breastfeeding initiation, we used a logistic regression with binomial error structure; for

402 analyses of continuous breastfeeding duration and maternal BMI, we used a linear model 403 with gaussian error. All models were adjusted for maternal age, parity, maternal smoking 404 behaviour, maternal education, occupational social class, intention to breastfeed, cell-type 405 estimates and 10 Surrogate Variables generated using Surrogate Variables Analysis to 406 remove unmeasured confounding⁵⁶. For the EWASs on breastfeeding, maternal BMI was 407 also included as a covariate, to account for potential direct effects of BMI on breastfeeding 408 (in addition to those mediated through methylation).

409

To control for multiple testing, we set the genome-wide threshold at $p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7.57}$ as well as reporting results at a relaxed threshold of $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. For each EWAS, the genomic inflation factor (lambda λ) was calculated to quantify the extent of the inflation and the excess false positive rate; quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots were used as a visual tool to mark deviations of the observed distributions from the expected distribution of *p*-values. EWASs were carried out using R package *ewaff* (version: 0.0.2; https://github.com/perishky/ewaff).

416

417 (iii) Candidate-CpG analysis

418 To further investigate the potential association between maternal BMI and DNA methylation 419 and whether methylation is subsequently associated with breastfeeding practice, a 420 candidate gene analysis was carried out using a recent meta-EWAS of adult BMI across 18 421 studies by Do et al.²⁸. In this analysis, we restricted ALSPAC EWAS results to consider only 422 CpG sites that were associated with BMI in the Do et al. study, which identified 52 CpG sites 423 passing a false discovery rate adjustment in their meta-EWAS of adult BMI. We then 424 investigated whether these specific CpG sites were associated with maternal BMI and 425 breastfeeding practice in ALSPAC. We used a binomial test to assess agreement in 426 direction of effect estimates between the BMI meta-EWAS of Do et al. and the EWAS of BMI 427 in ALSPAC.

428

429 References

- 430 1 Horta, B. L. & Victora, C. G. Long-term effects of breastfeeding. A systematic review.
 431 (World Health Organisation, 2013).
- 432
 2
 Victora, C. G. *et al.* Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms,

 433
 and lifelong effect. *The Lancet* **387**, 475-490, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01024-7

 434
 (2016).
- 4353World Health, O. & others. Global strategy for infant and young child feeding. (World436Health Organization, 2003).
- 4374McAndrew, F. et al. Infant feeding survey 2010. Leeds: health and social care438information Centre 2 (2012).
- 439 5 Who. WHO Factsheet; Obesity and overweight. (2021).

- Amir, L. H. & Donath, S. A systematic review of maternal obesity and breastfeeding
 intention, initiation and duration. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 7, 9, doi:10.1186/14712393-7-9 (2007).
- Li, R., Jewell, S. & Grummer-Strawn, L. Maternal obesity and breast-feeding
 practices. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **77**, 931-936,
 doi:10.1093/ajcn/77.4.931 (2003).
- Flores, T. R., Mielke, G. I., Wendt, A., Nunes, B. P. & Bertoldi, A. D. Prepregnancy
 weight excess and cessation of exclusive breastfeeding: a systematic review and
 meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 72, 480-488, doi:10.1038/s41430-017-0073-y (2018).
- Marshall, N. E., Lau, B., Purnell, J. Q. & Thornburg, K. L. Impact of maternal obesity
 and breastfeeding intention on lactation intensity and duration. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 15, e12732, doi:10.1111/mcn.12732 (2019).
- Lovelady, C. A. Is Maternal Obesity a Cause of Poor Lactation Performance? *Nutrition Reviews* 63, 352-355, doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2005.tb00113.x (2005).
- 45411Turcksin, R., Bel, S., Galjaard, S. & Devlieger, R. Maternal obesity and breastfeeding455intention, initiation, intensity and duration: a systematic review. Maternal & Child456Nutrition 10, 166-183, doi:10.1111/j.1740-8709.2012.00439.x (2014).
- Lyons, S., Currie, S., Peters, S., Lavender, T. & Smith, D. M. The association between psychological factors and breastfeeding behaviour in women with a body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg m-2: a systematic review. *Obesity Reviews* 19, 947-959, doi:10.1111/obr.12681 (2018).
- Nommsen-Rivers, L. A., Chantry, C. J., Peerson, J. M., Cohen, R. J. & Dewey, K. G.
 Delayed onset of lactogenesis among first-time mothers is related to maternal
 obesity and factors associated with ineffective breastfeeding. *Am J Clin Nutr* 92, 574584, doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.29192 (2010).
- 465 14 O'Sullivan, E. J., Perrine, C. G. & Rasmussen, K. M. Early Breastfeeding Problems
 466 Mediate the Negative Association between Maternal Obesity and Exclusive
 467 Breastfeeding at 1 and 2 Months Postpartum. J Nutr 145, 2369-2378,
 468 doi:10.3945/jn.115.214619 (2015).
- Hauff, L. E., Leonard, S. A. & Rasmussen, K. M. Associations of maternal obesity and
 psychosocial factors with breastfeeding intention, initiation, and duration. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **99**, 524-534, doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.071191
 (2014).
- 473 16 Jones, P. A. & Takai, D. The Role of DNA Methylation in Mammalian Epigenetics.
 474 Science 293, 1068-1070, doi:10.1126/science.1063852 (2001).
- 475 17 Duncan, E. J., Gluckman, P. D. & Dearden, P. K. Epigenetics, plasticity, and evolution: 476 How do we link epigenetic change to phenotype? Journal of Experimental Zoology 477 Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution 322, 208-220, 478 doi:10.1002/jez.b.22571 (2014).
- 47918Dick, K. J. et al. DNA methylation and body-mass index: a genome-wide analysis. The480Lancet 383, 1990-1998, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62674-4 (2014).
- 481 19 Aslibekyan, S. *et al.* Epigenome-wide study identifies novel methylation loci
 482 associated with body mass index and waist circumference. *Obesity* 23, 1493-1501,
 483 doi:10.1002/oby.21111 (2015).
- 48420Demerath, E. W. et al. Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of BMI, BMI485change and waist circumference in African American adults identifies multiple

486		replicated loci. <i>Human Molecular Genetics</i> 24 , 4464-4479, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv161
487	24	
488	21	Mendelson, M. M. <i>et al.</i> Association of Body Mass Index with DNA Methylation and
489		Gene Expression in Blood Cells and Relations to Cardiometabolic Disease: A
490		Mendelian Randomization Approach. <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 14 , e1002215,
491		doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002215 (2017).
492	22	Sayols-Baixeras, S. et al. DNA methylation and obesity traits: An epigenome-wide
493		association study. The REGICOR study. <i>Epigenetics</i> 12 , 909-916,
494		doi:10.1080/15592294.2017.1363951 (2017).
495	23	Wahl, S. et al. Epigenome-wide association study of body mass index, and the
496		adverse outcomes of adiposity. <i>Nature</i> 541 , 81-86, doi:10.1038/nature20784 (2017).
497	24	Wilson, L. E., Harlid, S., Xu, Z., Sandler, D. P. & Taylor, J. A. An epigenome-wide study
498		of body mass index and DNA methylation in blood using participants from the Sister
499		Study cohort. <i>Int J Obes</i> 41 , 194-199, doi:10.1038/ijo.2016.184 (2017).
500	25	Geurts, Y. M. et al. Novel associations between blood DNA methylation and body
501		mass index in middle-aged and older adults. Int J Obes 42, 887-896,
502		doi:10.1038/ijo.2017.269 (2018).
503	26	Sun, D. et al. Body Mass Index Drives Changes in DNA Methylation. Circulation
504		Research 125, 824-833, doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315397 (2019).
505	27	Chen, Y. et al. Impact of BMI and waist circumference on epigenome-wide DNA
506		methylation and identification of epigenetic biomarkers in blood: an EWAS in multi-
507		ethnic Asian individuals. <i>Clinical Epigenetics</i> 13 , 195, doi:10.1186/s13148-021-01162-
508		x (2021).
509	28	Do, W. L. et al. Examining the association between adiposity and DNA methylation: A
510		systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev 22, e13319, doi:10.1111/obr.13319
511		(2021).
512	29	Odintsova, V. V. et al. DNA Methylation Signatures of Breastfeeding in Buccal Cells
513		Collected in Mid-Childhood. <i>Nutrients</i> 11 , 2804, doi:10.3390/nu11112804 (2019).
514	30	Hartwig, F. P. et al. Association between Breastfeeding and DNA Methylation over
515		the Life Course: Findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children
516		(ALSPAC). Nutrients 12 , 3309, doi:10.3390/nu12113309 (2020).
517	31	Gruzieva, O. et al. DNA Methylation Trajectories During Pregnancy. Genet Enigenet
518		12 . 2516865719867090. doi:10.1177/2516865719867090 (2019).
519	32	Relton, C. L. et al. Data Resource Profile: Accessible Resource for Integrated
520		Epigenomic Studies (ARIES). International Journal of Epidemiology 44, 1181-1190.
521		doi:10.1093/iie/dvv072 (2015).
522	33	Adab. P., Pallan, M. & Whincup, P. H. Is BMI the best measure of obesity? BMJ 360.
523	00	k1274 doi 10 1136/bmi k1274 (2018)
525	34	Weng I Couture C & Girard S Innate and Adaptive Immune Systems in
525	34	Physiological and Pathological Pregnancy <i>Biology</i> (<i>Basel</i>) 12
526		doi:10.3390/hiology12030402 (2023)
520	35	Forsum F & Lof M Energy metabolism during human pregnancy Annu Rey Nutr 27
528	55	277-292 doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.27.061406.093543 (2007)
520	36	Lesseur C et al. Tissue-specific Lentin promoter DNA methylation is associated with
520	50	maternal and infant perinatal factors Mol Cell Endocrinol 381 160 167
530		doi:10.1016/i.mco.2013.07.024 (2013)
J J J T		

Sharp, G. C. *et al.* Maternal BMI at the start of pregnancy and offspring epigenomewide DNA methylation: findings from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics
(PACE) consortium. *Hum Mol Genet* 26, 4067-4085, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx290 (2017).

- 53538Ghildayal, N. et al. Early-pregnancy maternal body mass index is associated with536common DNA methylation markers in cord blood and placenta: a paired-tissue537epigenome-wideassociationstudy.538doi:10.1080/15592294.2021.1959975 (2022).
- 53939Kong, S. K. & Lee, D. T. Factors influencing decision to breastfeed. J Adv Nurs 46, 369-540379, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03003.x (2004).
- 54140Morris, C., Schofield, P. & Hirst, C. Exploration of the Factors Influencing Attitudes to542Breastfeeding in Public. J Hum Lact 36, 776-788, doi:10.1177/0890334419878119543(2020).
- 544 41 Chang, Y. S., Glaria, A. A., Davie, P., Beake, S. & Bick, D. Breastfeeding experiences
 545 and support for women who are overweight or obese: A mixed-methods systematic
 546 review. *Matern Child Nutr* 16, e12865, doi:10.1111/mcn.12865 (2020).
- 54742Michels, K. B. *et al.* Recommendations for the design and analysis of epigenome-548wide association studies. *Nat Methods* **10**, 949-955, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2632 (2013).
- 54943Boyd, A. et al. Cohort Profile: The 'Children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the550Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. International Journal of551Epidemiology 42, 111-127, doi:10.1093/ije/dys064 (2013).
- 552 44 Fraser, A. *et al.* Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: 553 ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* **42**, 97-110, doi:10.1093/ije/dys066 (2013).
- 55445Seidell, J. C. & Flegal, K. M. Assessing obesity: classification and epidemiology. British555Medical Bulletin 53, 238-252, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a011611 (1997).
- Min, J. L., Hemani, G., Davey Smith, G., Relton, C. & Suderman, M. Meffil: efficient
 normalization and analysis of very large DNA methylation datasets. *Bioinformatics*34, 3983-3989, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty476 (2018).
- 559 47 Du, P. *et al.* Comparison of Beta-value and M-value methods for quantifying 560 methylation levels by microarray analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 587, 561 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-587 (2010).
- 562
 48
 Noble, S. & Team, T. A. S. Maternal employment and the initiation of breastfeeding.

 563
 Acta Paediatrica **90**, 423-428, doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2001.tb00444.x (2001).
- 564 49 Donath, S., Amir, L. & Team, T. A. S. Relationship between prenatal infant feeding 565 intention and initiation and duration of breastfeeding: a cohort study. *Acta* 566 *Paediatrica* **92**, 352-356, doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2003.tb00558.x (2003).
- 56750Donath, S., Amir, L. & Team, t. A. S. The relationship between maternal smoking and568breastfeeding duration after adjustment for maternal infant feeding intention. Acta569Paediatrica 93, 1514-1518, doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2004.tb02639.x (2004).
- 57051Brion, M.-J. A. *et al.* What are the causal effects of breastfeeding on IQ, obesity and571blood pressure? Evidence from comparing high-income with middle-income cohorts.572International Journal of Epidemiology 40, 670-680, doi:10.1093/ije/dyr020 (2011).
- 573 52 Jones, C. L., Culpin, I., Evans, J. & Pearson, R. M. Relative effects of breastfeeding
 574 intention and practice on maternal responsiveness. *Infant Mental Health Journal* 41,
 575 82-93, doi:10.1002/imhj.21832 (2020).
- 57653Houseman, E. A. *et al.* DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture577distribution. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13, 86, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-86 (2012).

578 54 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for 579 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022).

55 Therneau, T. A Package for Survival Analysis in R. R package version 3.2-7. 2020. URL
 581 <u>https://CRAN</u>. R-project. org/package= survival (2020).

582 56 Leek, J. T., Johnson, W. E., Parker, H. S., Jaffe, A. E. & Storey, J. D. The sva package for 583 removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput 584 experiments. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 882-883, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034 (2012).

585 57 Saffari, A. *et al.* Estimation of a significance threshold for epigenome-wide 586 association studies. *Genet Epidemiol* **42**, 20-33, doi:10.1002/gepi.22086 (2018).

587 588

589

590 Acknowledgements

591 We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for 592 their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, 593 computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, 594 managers, receptionists and nurses.

595

596 Author contribution

597 C.B. designed the study with input from D.C., S.E. and H.R.E.; C.B. conducted the initial

analyses, S.E. (phenotype analysis), H.R.E. (EWAS) and D.C. (both) verified these and

- 599 conducted the final analyses included in the report. All authors drafted the manuscript and
- 600 provided comments and edits.
- 601

602 Data Availability

- 603 The ALSPAC study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully
- 604 searchable data dictionary and variable search tool
- 605 http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/. ALSPAC data is available on request
- by application to the ALSPAC executive committee (ALSPAC-exec@bristol.ac.uk). The
- 607 ALSPAC data management plan (available here:
- 608 www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/access/) describes in detail the policy regarding data
- 609 sharing, which is through a system of managed open access.

610

611 Additional Information

612

- 613 Competing Interests
- 614 The authors have no competing interests to declare.
- 615
- 616 Funding

The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors. SE, DC and HRE will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper.

- 620
- 621 A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website
- 622 (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf);
- 623 methylation data was supported by BBSRC BBI025751/1 and BB/I025263/1.
- 624

HRE and DC are members of the Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, which is supported by the Medical Research Council and the University of Bristol (MC_UU_00011/5). SE was supported by a Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowship (DH140236); and SE and CB received support from the University of Bristol Biological Sciences MSci project programme.

- 630
- 631

632 Tables and Figures





633

634 Figure 1

Manhattan plots showing the association between DNA methylation and phenotype (maternal BMI, breastfeeding initiation, and breastfeeding duration) measured in maternal blood samples from the ALSPAC cohort. Each point on the plots represents an individual CpG site. The orange threshold line represents a genome wide threshold of $p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ and CpG sites with p-values below this threshold are highlighted in orange. The black threshold line represents a relaxed threshold of $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and CpG sites with p-values below this threshold are highlighted in black.

642

644 **Table 1:** Comparison of the baseline characteristics for the exposure variables and 645 covariates used in the EWAS between mothers included in ALSPAC only and the ARIES 646 subset.

647

Variable	ALSPAC dataset	ARIES subset (n=933)		
	Mean (standard deviation) OR %	Mean (standard deviation) OR %		
Pre-pregnancy BMI (continuous)	n = 11376	n = 861		
	22.9 (3.9)	22.8 (3.6)		
Pre-pregnancy BMI (categorical)	n = 11376	n = 861		
<25	79.33%	82.69%		
25-29	15.10%	12.78%		
>= 30	5.56%	4.53%		
Parity	n = 12774	n = 902		
Nulliparous	44.97%	46.90%		
Multiparous	55.03%	53.10%		
Age at delivery (years)	n = 11539	n = 898		
	28.3 (4.8)	29.6 (4.3)		
Smoked during pregnancy	n = 12912	n = 916		
Yes	18.79%	9.28%		
No	81.21%	90.72%		
Education level	n = 11413	n = 894		
Below A-Level	63.36%	48.55%		
A Level and above	37.64%	51.45%		
Occupation	n = 9855	n = 820		
Manual	19.90%	13.29%		
Non-manual	80.10%	86.71%		
Breastfeeding intention	n = 11694	n = 890		
No	19.68%	10.22%		
Maybe	14.19%	12.81%		
Yes	66.13%	76.97%		
Breastfeeding initiation (ever breastfed)	n = 12035	n = 912		
Yes	77.25%	89.58%		
No	22.75%	10.42%		
Breastfeeding duration (categorised)	n = 10875	n = 887		
Never	24.51%	13.19%		
Up to 3 months	31.99%	28.18%		
Up to 5 months	12.83%	16.35%		
6 or more months	30.67%	42.28%		
Breastfeeding duration (months)	n = 7862	n = 754		
	5.7 (4.5)	6.4 (4.4)		

Table 2 Table showing the associations between DNA methylation and maternal phenotype for fully adjusted EWAS at $p<1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ across the three EWAS conducted in ALSPAC

		Maternal BMI			Breastfee ding Initiation			Breastfeeding Duration		
probeID	Gene symbol	coef	SE	<i>p</i> -value	coef	SE	<i>p</i> -value	coef	SE	<i>p</i> -value
cg11453712	PHPT1	1.5E-03	2.8E-04	6.9 E-08	- 2.1E+00	8.9E+00	8.1E-01	2.0E+00	6.7E+00	7.7E-01
cg05698294		3.9E-03	7.6E-04	3.7E-07	9.4E-01	3.4E+00	7.8E-01	1.9E+00	2.5E+00	4.6E-01
cg00831126		3.6E-03	7.3E-04	1.2E-06	- 3.1E+00	4.2E+00	4.6E-01	3.2E-01	2.5E+00	9.0E-01
cg00224563	РССА	-9.8E-05	2.0E-05	1.5E-06	- 1.2E+02	1.3E+02	3.7E-01	1.2E+02	9.5E+01	2.2E-01
cg26176206		-8.5E-05	1.8E-05	2.2E-06	- 4.3E+01	1.5E+02	7.8E-01	2.2E+01	1.1E+02	8.4E-01
cg11683732	C7orf23	-1.7E-04	3.6E-05	2.2E-06	1.4E+02	8.0E+01	8.8E-02	5.6E+01	5.3E+01	2.9E-01
cg26612230	PDLIM5	-7.0E-04	1.5E-04	2.4E-06	3.1E+01	1.9E+01	1.1E-01	- 2.2E+01	1.4E+01	1.1E-01
cg02188190		-3.5E-03	7.5E-04	2.8 E-06	4.4E+00	3.3E+00	1.8E-01	-8.9E-01	2.5E+00	7.2E-01
cg08496404	ISOC2	6.3E-04	1.3E-04	3.1E-06	1.9E+01	2.2E+01	3.7E-01	9.2E+00	1.4E+01	5.2E-01
cg20774552		-1.9E-03	4.0E-04	3.9 E- 06	-1.0E-01	7.3E+00	9.9E-01	3.6E+00	4.7E+00	4.5E-01
cg03869225	TESC	-1.5E-03	3.3E-04	4.6E-06	1.7E+01	7.8E+00	3.0E-02	3.0E+00	5.8E+00	6.1E-01
cg07168556	WFIKKN2	3.2E-03	7.0E-04	4.7E-06	- 2.2E+00	4.4E+00	6.2E-01	1.5E+00	2.6E+00	5.8E-01
cg18086243		-3.2E-03	7.0E-04	5.6E-06	- 6.5E+00	4.4E+00	1.4E-01	2.0E+00	2.7E+00	4.5E-01
cg04484916	SRRM2	-2.4E-03	5.4E-04	7.1E-06	3.4E+00	4.7E+00	4.7E-01	- 1.0E+00	3.5E+00	7.7E-01
cg13546538	CTNNAL1	-1.2E-03	2.7E-04	7.2E-06	-3.0E-01	1.0E+01	9.8E-01	4.5E-01	7.0E+00	9.5E-01
cg01590416	ZNF287	-1.4E-04	3.0E-05	7.9 E-06	2.5E+01	8.5E+01	7.7E-01	1.0E+01	6.5E+01	8.8E-01
cg02749148	KLF 15	7.4E-04	1.6E-04	8.4E-06	2.0E+01	1.7E+01	2.3E-01	- 1.4E+01	1.1E+01	2.2E-01
cg17447014	ΜΥΟ5Α	-8.1E-05	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.4E+01	1.5E+02	8.7E-01	1.5E+02	1.0E+02	1.4E-01
cg13503179	FNBP1	2.3E-03	5.2E-04	9.7E-06	- 2.9E+00	5.3E+00	5.8E-01	- 2.1E+00	3.7E+00	5.8E-01
cg01162104		-9.8E-05	2.2E-05	9.8E-06	1.1E+02	1.3E+02	4.1E-01	1.3E+02	8.7E+01	1.3E-01
cg16311186	RAB19	-2.7E-04	2.3E-04	2.4E-01	7.1E+01	1.5E+01	3.7E-06	- 3.6E+00	8.4E+00	6.7E-01
cg00902153	LPP	-1.7E-04	2.5E-04	5.0E-01	- 4.5E+01	9.8E+00	4.1E-06	3.6E+00	7.7E+00	6.4E-01
cg08123528	RYBP	-1.1E-03	6.2E-04	8.4E-02	- 1.8E+01	4.1E+00	6.6E-06	-3.1E-01	3.0E+00	9.2E-01
cg09009983	FOXP1	3.7E-04	3.4E-04	2.7E-01	- 3.4E+01	7.5E+00	7.4E-06	5.2E+00	6.0E+00	3.9E-01
cg26217318	OR51 2	-5.8E-05	3.4E-04	8.6E-01	- 3.9E+01	8.8E+00	8.0E-06	-2.1E-01	5.9E+00	9.7E-01
cg23015041	PRAGMIN	5.1E-04	4.2E-04	2.2E-01	2.8E+01	6.4E+00	8.8E-06	- 2.0E+00	4.7E+00	6.7E-01
cg03986557	NXN	-8.3E-05	2.4E-04	7.3E-01	- 4.6E+00	1.2E+01	6.9E-01	- 3.8E+01	8.2E+00	5.0E-06
cg08192143	ZNF286A	3.4E-04	3.7E-04	3.5E-01	7.1E+00	7.2E+00	3.3E-01	- 2.3E+01	5.1E+00	5.5E-06
cg12718426	PPP 1R 12 B	2.3E-04	4.6E-04	6.1E-01	7.6E+00	6.5E+00	2.5E-01	1.8E+01	4.1E+00	7.8E-06