# 1 **Title Page:**

2	Consistency of the continuous flow pressure gradient despite							
3	aortic arch anomalies co-existing with coarctation							
4	Arash Ghorbannia PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Andrew D. Spearman MD <sup>2</sup> , Shahd Sawalhi BS <sup>1</sup> , Ronald K. Woods MD PhD <sup>3</sup> ,							
5	Mehdi Maadooliat PhD <sup>4</sup> , John F. LaDisa, Jr. PhD <sup>1,2,5</sup>							
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Marquette University and the Medical College of							
7	Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA							
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics - Division of Cardiology, Herma Heart Institute, Children's Wisconsin and							
9	the Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA							
10	<sup>3</sup> Division of Pediatric Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Medical College of							
11	Wisconsin, Herma Heart Institute, Children's Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA							
12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin,							
13	USA							
14	<sup>5</sup> Departments of Physiology, and Medicine - Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Medical College							
15	of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA							
16	Emails: ghorbannia@outlook.com, aspearman@childrenswi.org, shahd.sawalhi@marquette.edu,							
17	rwoods@mcw.edu, mehdi.maadooliat@marquette.edu, jladisa@mcw.edu							
18	Address for correspondence: Arash Ghorbannia, 8701 W Watertown Plank Rd., Milwaukee, WI							
19	53226, phone: 414 955-8671, fax: 414 955-0084, email: ghorbannia@outlook.com							
20 21 22	<b>Disclosure</b> : The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. <b>Funding</b> : NIH: R01-HL142955 and R15-HL096096							

**Word count**: 2787

2

#### 24 Abstract

Aims: Severity assessment for coarctation of the aorta (CoA) is challenging due to concomitant morphological anomalies (complex CoA) and inaccurate Doppler-based indices. Promising diagnostic performance has been reported for the continuous flow pressure gradient (CFPG), but it has not been studied in complex CoA. Our objective was to characterize the effect of complex CoA and associated hemodynamics on CFPG in a clinical cohort.

30 Methods and Results: Retrospective analysis identified discrete juxtaductal (n=25) and 31 complex CoA (n=43; transverse arch and/or isthmus hypoplasia) patients with arm-leg systolic 32 blood pressure gradients (BPG) within 24 hours of echocardiography for comparison to BPG by 33 conventional Doppler indices (simplified Bernoulli equation and modified forms correcting for 34 proximal kinetic energy and/or recovered pressure). Results were interpreted using the current 35 CoA guideline (BPG  $\geq 20$  mmHg) to compare diagnostic performance indicators including receiver 36 operating characteristic curves, sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy, among others. 37 Echocardiography Z-scored aortic diameters were applied with computational stimulations from a 38 preclinical CoA model to understand aspects of the CFPG driving performance differences.

39 Diagnostic performance was substantially reduced from discrete to complex CoA for 40 conventional Doppler indices calculated from patient data, and by hypoplasia and/or long segment 41 stenosis in simulations. In contrast, diagnostic indicators for the CFPG only modestly dropped for 42 complex vs discrete CoA. Simulations revealed differences in performance due to inclusion of the 43 Doppler velocity index and diastolic pressure half-time in the CFPG calculation.

44 Conclusion: CFPG is less affected by aortic arch anomalies co-existing with CoA when 45 compared to conventional Doppler indices.

# 46 Keywords

- 47 Echocardiography, Antegrade diastolic flow, Diastolic runoff, Long Segment Coarctation,
- 48 Hypoplastic Aortic Arch, simulation, hypertension

## 49 Abbreviations:

AA	Ascending aorta
BP	Blood pressure
CFPG	Continuous flow pressure gradient
CoA	Coarctation of the aorta
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
DVI	Doppler velocity index
MBE	Modified Bernoulli equation
PC-MRI	Phase contrast magnetic resonance image
ROM	Reduced order model
SBE	Simplified Bernoulli equation
SBE-RP	SBE modified for recovered pressure
SBP	Systolic blood pressure

## 50 Introduction

51 Coarctation of the aorta (CoA) is a constriction of the thoracic aorta and is one of the most 52 common congenital cardiovascular defects<sup>1–3</sup>. While surgery is the optimal treatment in most 53 infants, 5-30% of treated patients develop re-coarctation requiring reintervention<sup>4</sup> and > 90% 54 develop hypertension after 50 years of age<sup>5</sup>. Guidelines for intervention include a transcatheter 55 peak-to-peak CoA gradient (BPGpp)  $\geq$ 20 mmHg that is often regarded as hemodynamically 56 significant<sup>6</sup>.

57 Despite allowing for direct measurement of the gradient, catheterization is an invasive 58 procedure with potentially unwanted risks<sup>7</sup>. BPGpp can also be estimated via cuffs placed on the 59 arms and legs. However, this is difficult in patients clinically due to children who may be upset,

5

crying or scared during measurement, and/or inappropriately sized cuffs<sup>8-10</sup>. Moreover, CoA 60 61 patients frequently have anomalies in their arterial anatomy that limit accurate determination of 62 BPGpp via BP cuffs. For example, the left subclavian artery is hypoplastic in many patients, and branches distal to the coarctation in  $\sim 5\%$  of patients<sup>11</sup>. Non-invasive alternatives using continuous 63 wave Doppler are therefore commonly applied in echocardiography to estimate the BPGpp<sup>12</sup>. This 64 65 often involves the systolic gradient estimated from peak Doppler velocity using the simplified 66 Bernoulli equation (SBE), or modified forms correcting for proximal kinetic energy (i.e., modified Bernoulli equation: MBE) and/or recovered pressure (SBE-RP)<sup>13</sup>. These conventional Doppler 67 estimates are known to have poor agreement with catheterization<sup>14</sup>. Sources of disagreement 68 69 include local discrepancy in aortic dynamic distensibility, simplification of the Bernoulli equation, 70 and morphological variability, which can result in a substantial Doppler misclassification<sup>1,15</sup>. This 71 misclassification is a critical barrier to assessing treatment modifications and translation since 72 interpretation of new thresholds is best done using tools with a high degree of accuracy and 73 diagnostic performance.

74 In contrast to tools exclusively focused on the systolic pressure gradient, we recently reported 75 on a novel Doppler-based tool that accounts for the diastolic pressure gradient, which we termed 76 the continuous flow pressure gradient (CFPG)<sup>15</sup>. Importantly, the CFPG was recently reported as 77 an independent predictor of hemodynamic severity in the setting of discrete CoA devoid of complications found in complex CoA<sup>1</sup>. The physiologic underpinnings of a pronounced diastolic 78 79 gradient can be explained by the prolonged recoil (i.e. capacitance) of the proximal aorta in 80 response to the flow-limiting stenosis that leads to antegrade diastolic run-off with a typical sawtooth velocity decay<sup>1</sup>. As mentioned above, CoA in patients often includes hypoplasia in one 81 82 or more arch segments presenting in concert with discrete CoA, or a long segment stenosis

6

resulting in additional sites of local blood flow acceleration (i.e., complex CoA). The objective of the current study was to assess the diagnostic performance of the CFPG relative to conventional Doppler indices in a pediatric cohort of discrete and complex CoA cases. We further set out to determine the aspects of the CFPG that are driving differences in performance relative to conventional Doppler-based indices, which was conducted using Z-scored aortic diameters from the pediatric cohort in concert with representative computational hemodynamic simulations based on a preclinical model of CoA.

## 90 Methods

91 Study design and patient involvement. To identify the most relevant research topics and 92 meaningful outcomes, the study was specially designed with the help of colleagues from the 93 Division of Pediatric Cardiothoracic Surgery at Children's Wisconsin and Herma Heart Institute, 94 but no direct patient involvement was considered due to retrospective nature of the study design. 95 After exempt determination by the IRB Board of Children's Wisconsin, analysis of 259 CoA 96 patients of either sex identified 25 cases with discrete juxtaductal CoA and 43 with complex CoA 97 (transverse arch and/or isthmus hypoplasia), as well as contemporaneous arm-leg systolic blood 98 pressure gradients via sphygmomanometry within 24 hours of echocardiography. Despite potential limitations<sup>8–10</sup>, sphygmomanometry was interpreted as a ground-truth for Doppler-based estimates 99 100 of the gradient from CoA since catheter-based BP gradients were not available. Patients with patent 101 ductus arteriosus, more than mild aortic valve insufficiency, other hemodynamically significant 102 congenital heart diseases, low cardiac output state, and abnormal ventricular systolic function on 103 echocardiography examination were excluded. Table 1 summarizes patient characteristics. 104 Echocardiographic measurements included conventional peak instantaneous pressure gradient

105 estimation by SBE, MBE and SBE-RP<sup>16</sup>. An estimate of the pressure gradient during diastole was

7

also calculated using diastolic flow continuation as characterized by the CFPG discussed in detail
elsewhere<sup>1</sup>. All measurements were quantified from 2D and spectral Doppler images with early
diastolic velocity identified at the end of the T wave<sup>1</sup>. Details related to echo quantification
methods are summarized in Supplemental Table 1.

110 Computational assessment of Doppler-derived index performance. Computational models were constructed using a pre-clinical rabbit model mimicking CoA in patients<sup>17,18</sup> since 111 112 measurements necessary to replicate physiology and aortic morphology beyond the coarctation 113 region were not available in the echocardiography data from pediatric patients retrospectively 114 studied. This approach allowed for understanding of hemodynamic factors impacting and/or 115 potentially limiting the utility of the Doppler-based indices studied, including CFPG. Arterial 116 segments were generated in SimVascular (simtk.org) using a control rabbit MRI dataset obtained following Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval<sup>2</sup>. The aortic diameter was then 117 118 quantified at various locations throughout the thoracic aorta. This step was important to identify 119 the normal population sized as baseline and realistically replicate discrete and complex CoA 120 characteristics by matching Z-scores from computational models to the clinical datasets.

121 Model construction involved centerline detection, segmentation using a semi-automated level 122 set method<sup>19</sup>, and lofting to create the blood flow domain. An in-house code was then developed 123 to generate local modifications with the guidance of a pediatric cardiovascular surgeon by smoothly scaling aortic cross-sectional area according to shape functions<sup>20</sup> representing different 124 125 types of complex CoA including long-segment CoA, arch and isthmus hypoplasia (Supplemental 126 Figure 1). The resulting morphological properties replicated Z-scores observed at the equivalent locations for each of the pediatric CoA patients<sup>21</sup>. A total of 68 computational aortas (25 with 127 128 discrete CoA and 43 with complex CoA) were created. The aorta models were then integrated with

8

129 arch branches (Supplemental Figure 2) for hemodynamic simulations with one inlet at the Sino-130 tubular junction and outlets at each of the head and neck arteries.

131 One-dimensional computational reduced order models (ROM) were generated in SimVascular (simvascular.github.io/) from the 3D CoA models<sup>22</sup>. The ROM approach was chosen for fast 132 133 determination of hemodynamic results used to calculate Doppler indices (Supplemental Table 1) 134 and automating the simulation process as compared to a semi-automated computationally intensive 135 process required for 3D simulations with additional spatiotemporal information not necessary for 136 the purpose of calculating Doppler-derived indies. A 3-element Windkessel model was 137 implemented at each outlet to realistically replicate downstream pressure-flow relationships<sup>23</sup> 138 based on empirical measurements of pressure and flow from rabbits with discrete CoA. 139 Windkessel model parameters were then adjusted to represent hemodynamics for complex CoA 140 cases (see details in Supplemental Table 2). Data from discrete CoA rabbits with 42-96% area obstruction were used to replicate clinical BPGpp<sup>18</sup> and the influence of CoA on thickness and 141 142 stiffness after remolding of the wall that were included in computational simulations (see 143 supplementary materials).

144 Statistical analysis. Hypoplasia proximal to the CoA was quantitatively characterized using Z-score criteria<sup>24</sup>. Arch and isthmus hypoplasia was considered significant for Z-scores <-3.0 (i.e., 145 146 dimensions in the lowest 0.1% of the population). Pearson's correlation and linear regression were 147 used to characterize the relationship between Doppler-based estimates and simulated pressure 148 gradients. Adjusted R-squared values quantified the degree of scatter for each CoA severity and 149 morphology studied. Diagnostic performances were compared via receiver operative characteristic (ROC) curves and relative to the current intervention guideline<sup>17,25</sup> (i.e., BPGpp  $\geq 20$  mmHg). The 150 151 optimum cutoffs for Doppler indices were identified by maximizing the sum of sensitivity and

9

specificity, (i.e.; the Youden index<sup>26</sup>) and the area under the ROC curves (AUC) was compared 152 153 using two-tailed student's t-test with 5 and 10% significance levels. Finally, sensitivity, specificity, 154 positive predictive values (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), diagnostic odds ratio, and 155 diagnostic accuracy were also quantified as measures of diagnostic performance. Reliability of the 156 echo-based measurements used in calculating metrics including, SBE, MBE, SBE-RP, and indices 157 used in the calculation of the CFPG including the diastolic pressure half-time (dPHT) and Doppler 158 velocity index (DVI) was investigated using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) through SPSS 159 software. Two observers were trained to quantify echo-based indices on a random subgroup of 160 simulation and pediatric CoA patient datasets (n=10). Each metric was quantified in triplicate for 161 inter-observer variability with median values used for intra-observer variability analysis<sup>27</sup>.

## 162 **Results**

Doppler quantification from the cohort of pediatric CoA patients showed decreases in diagnostic performance moving from discrete to complex CoA morphologies, where correlation to proximal acceleration was evident. For example, the diagnostic accuracy was 0.88, 0.87, 0.79 for SBE, MBE, and SBE-RP indices from discrete CoA cases. These values dropped to 0.69, 0.59, and 0.59 for complex CoA cases, respectively. The diagnostic accuracy of CFPG was 0.96 for discrete CoA morphologies, and modestly dropped to 0.88 for complex CoA data sets. A detailed list of diagnostic performance indicators is provided in Table 2.

Similar patterns of reduced diagnostic performance were observed from the ROC analysis and
AUCs. Specifically, the AUC was 0.91, 0.91, and 0.90 for conventional Doppler indices (i.e., SBE,
MBE, and SBE-RP), which all significantly dropped to 0.80, 0.83, and 0.85, respectively (p-values
<0.01, Table 2). On the other hand, the AUC for CFPG remained high at 0.98-0.99 despite</li>
additionally narrowing proximal to the coarctation for complex CoA cases (Table 2).

10

175Reliability of the echo-based measurements used in calculating CFPG as quantified by ICC176index revealed  $\leq 9.8$  and 11.2% differences among inter and intra-observer measurements,177respectively. ICC index also confirmed good to excellent inter and intra-observer agreement in the178conventional Doppler indices quantified. The 95% confidence interval for ICC was [0.86,0.98] for179single subject variability and [0.91,0.99] on average, which is referred as excellent reliability180according to Cicchetti et al<sup>28</sup> and good according to Koo et al<sup>27</sup>.

Before assessing the diagnostic performance of each Doppler-based index quantified using echo data from the retrospective cohort of pediatric CoA patients via simulation, we first confirmed morphological similarity via Z-scores. Z-scores at the proximal transverse arch, distal transverse arch and aortic isthmus region were  $-3.22 \pm 0.81$ ,  $-3.01 \pm 0.72$ , and  $-3.23 \pm 1.50$  in the cohort of pediatric CoA patients, and corresponding values from computational models were  $-3.18 \pm 1.18$ ,  $3.11 \pm 0.52$ , and  $3.17 \pm 1.68$ , which were not significantly different (p-values >0.1).

187 When compared to a discrete CoA, predictive values from conventional Doppler indices from 188 ROM simulations were substantially reduced by the addition of hypoplasia and/or long segment 189 stenosis in the arch. Specifically, the diagnostic accuracy was 0.63, 0.82, 0.80 for SBE, MBE, and 190 SBE-RP indices for simulations with discrete CoA configurations. These values dropped to 0.48, 191 0.80, and 0.73 for simulations of complex CoA models, respectively. In contrast, the diagnostic 192 accuracy of CFPG was 0.90 for simulations of discrete CoA morphologies, and only modestly 193 dropped to 0.83 for simulations of complex CoA. A detailed list of predictive values is provided 194 in Table 3.

A similar pattern of reduced diagnostic performance was observed in AUCs calculated from
ROC curves of Doppler indices determined by discrete CoA simulations (Figure 1, Table 3).
Specifically, the AUC was 0.90, 0.90, and 0.89 for conventional Doppler indices (i.e., SBE, MBE,

11

and SBE-RP) calculated from simulations, which significantly dropped to 0.83, 0.86, and 0.83,
respectively (p-values <0.01, Table 2). Moving from discrete to complex CoAs, ROC analysis</li>
showed a pronounced shift towards non-diagnostic line (identity line, bottom left-to upper-right)
for simulations of conventional Doppler indices. On the other hand, ROC curves obtained by
CFPG calculated from simulations were relatively unchanged.

For simulations of discrete CoA, regression analysis resulted in  $R^2 = 0.88$  for CFPG and 0.74-203 204 0.76 for conventional Doppler indices (Figure 2). Simulations of complex CoAs revealed the 205 impact concomitant anomalies had on resulting flow patterns. For example, hemodynamic alterations including proximal acceleration and increased jet velocity were observed resulting in a 206 207 higher degree of scatter (Figure 2). These factors decreased R<sup>2</sup> values for conventional Doppler 208 indices from simulations to 0.51-0.62, but to a lesser extent for CFPG calculated from simulations 209 (0.78), indicating that CFPG outperformed conventional Doppler indices for simulations of both 210 discrete and complex CoA.

## 211 Discussion

212 Suboptimal agreement with gold-standard catheterization represents a major limitation of conventional Doppler-derived indices used for non-invasive CoA severity assessment<sup>14,29</sup>. 213 214 Although diastolic continuation of flow and estimation of its associated pressure gradient using 215 the CFPG index previously showed strong correlation with measured values in a group of 25 discrete CoA patients<sup>1</sup>, its performance relative to conventional Doppler-derived indices had not 216 217 been assessed in complex CoA morphologies. The main finding of the current study is that the 218 CFPG was more consistent than any of the other Doppler-derived indices studied in the setting of 219 complex CoA in a cohort of pediatric patients. It is also important to note that our results indicate

12

the CFPG better correlates with arm-leg pressure gradients than the other Doppler-derived indicesstudied.

222 Performance differences among our novel CFPG method and conventional Doppler-derived 223 indices can be understood relative to the contribution of local stenoses beyond discrete CoA using 224 the simulations recounted above where physiologic boundary conditions were used to 225 computationally investigate the diagnostic performance of CFPG and three conventional Doppler 226 indices (SBE, MBE, and SBE-RP). Special care was taken to replicate morphological anomalies 227 seen in complex forms of pediatric CoA patients by implementing similar Z-scores in proximal 228 transverse arch, distal transverse arch, and aortic isthmus regions characterizing arch hypoplasia 229 relative to the normal population<sup>24</sup> (Table 1).

230 Differences in performance made clear by these simulations are rooted in the fact that the 231 CFPG includes several additional sub-indices described in Supplemental Table 1, namely the DVI 232 and dPHT, which consider the hemodynamic changes resulting in complex CoA cases. For 233 example, in complex CoA, the DVI (proximal to jet peak velocity ratio) can increase due to 234 proximal acceleration from a hypoplastic arch or isthmus (Figure 3, left). This leads to 235 underestimation of CoA (Figure 2, B and C), and leads to discrepancy between discrete and 236 complex CoA cases. However, the dPHT, which represents the time to half of the early diastolic pressure ( $V_d$  to  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$   $V_d$  interval on the spectral doppler image, see Supplemental Table 1) accounts 237 238 for the prolonged recoil of the proximal aorta and associated antegrade diastolic flow. This leads 239 to consistent behavior of the dPHT in the setting of additional proximal stenoses in the form of a 240 hypoplastic arch and/or isthmus (Figure 3, right).

241 Consequently, although a mixed behavior is possible due to the DVI and dPHT having 242 opposite effects on the CFPG as indicated in Supplemental Figure 3, the CFPG is still relatively

13

insensitive to proximal acceleration in the clinical ranges of complex CoA studied. Specifically,
CFPG increases with dPHT making it more sensitive to additional proximal narrowing while, on
the other hand, it decreases with DVI as is also seen in MBE and SBE-RP. Therefore, collectively
the CFPG performance tends to be less affected by the proximal acceleration as suggested in the
AUCs shown in Figure 1 and values reported in Table 3.

248 Pronounced overestimation of the peak instantaneous pressure gradient commonly reported 249 in the literature for conventional Doppler-derived indices is further confirmed in the current work, 250 leading to superficial sensitivity of 1.00 for SBE compromising specificity. Conversely, sensitivity 251 values <0.14 will lead to a superficial diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) of infinity that positively 252 correlates with balanced sensitivity and specificity under normal circumstance. This imbalance 253 between sensitivity and specificity is particularly challenging in translational studies were 254 intervention guidelines (e.g., CoA gradient) are ideally identified using invasive high-fidelity 255 pressure measurements techniques such as catheterization, but results are being used in clinical 256 setting based on non-invasive estimations such as echocardiography. Hence, the improved 257 sensitivity-specificity balance observed in CFPG (Table 3) is promising evidence that this index 258 can be of more potential value translationally. Particularly, in the setting complex CoA 259 morphology, CFPG continues to deliver excellent balance between high sensitivity and specificity 260 that is also evident in DORs when compared to conventional Doppler indices. In clinical practice, 261 CFPG can be interpreted relative to the arm-leg cuff gradient identified using the current dataset. 262 Table 4 summarized CFPG cutoffs for a range of clinically important CoA gradients.

The current results should be interpreted relative to several limitations. For example, literature suggests the arm-leg gradient may not be a strong predictor of invasive gradient in CoA patients<sup>1,30</sup>. Therefore, we expect the CFPG cutoffs obtained here may be different if sphygmomanometry data

14

266	are compared to gold-standard catheterization data, which were not available for the cohort of
267	pediatric CoA patients studied. Additionally, the cohort studied represented pediatric patients.
268	Hence, it remains to be determined if the relationships presented in Tables 2 and 4 are also
269	generalizable to older CoA patients. Complex morphologies were generated in computational
270	representations of rabbit aortas by replicating Z-scores seen clinically at the thoracis aorta for CoA
271	patients. This is in contrast to using measurements from the echo data within the CoA region of
272	pediatric patients that would need to be coupled with assumptions related to blood flow
273	distributions and dimensions of the ascending aorta and its branches. Considering these limitations,
274	clinical validation of CFPG in preventing postsurgical complications including hypertension has
275	yet to be studied in future work.
276	
277	Supplementary materials
278 279	Supplementary materials are available online.
280	Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the technical support from Leanne Harman, LindseyKalvin, Jamasp Azarnoosh, and Chalani Ellepola.

## 284 **References:**

- Ghorbannia A, Ellepola CD, Woods RK, Ibrahim E-SH, Maadooliat M, Ramirez HM, LaDisa
   JFJ. Clinical, Experimental, and Computational Validation of a New Doppler-Based Index
   for Coarctation Severity Assessment. J Am Soc Echocardiogr Off Publ Am Soc Echocardiogr
   2022;35:1311–1321.
- Azarnoosh J, Ghorbannia A, Ibrahim E-SH, Jurkiewicz H, Kalvin L, LaDisa JF. Temporal evolution of mechanical stimuli from vascular remodeling in response to the severity and duration of aortic coarctation in a preclinical model. *Sci Rep* 2023;**13**:8352.
- Ghorbannia A, Maadooliat M, Woods RK, Audi SH, Tefft BJ, Chiastra C, Ibrahim ESH,
   LaDisa JF. Aortic Remodeling Kinetics in Response to Coarctation-Induced Mechanical
   Perturbations. *Biomedicines* 2023;11:1817.
- Sweeney MS, Walker WE, Duncan JM, Hallman GL, Livesay JJ, Cooley DA. Reoperation
   for aortic coarctation: techniques, results, and indications for various approaches. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1985;40:46–49.
- Kenny D, Polson JW, Martin RP, Paton JF, Wolf AR. Hypertension and coarctation of the
  aorta: an inevitable consequence of developmental pathophysiology. *Hypertens Res*2011;**34**:543–547.
- Feltes TF, Bacha E, Beekman RH, Cheatham JP, Feinstein JA, Gomes AS, Hijazi ZM, Ing
   FF, Moor M de, Morrow WR, Mullins CE, Taubert KA, Zahn EM. Indications for Cardiac
   Catheterization and Intervention in Pediatric Cardiac Disease. *Circulation* 2011;**123**:2607–
   2652.
- Yang JC-T, Lin M-T, Jaw F-S, Chen S-J, Wang J-K, Shih TT-F, Wu M-H, Li Y-W. Trends
  in the utilization of computed tomography and cardiac catheterization among children with
  congenital heart disease. *J Formos Med Assoc Taiwan Yi Zhi* 2015;**114**:1061–1068.
- Álvarez J, Aguilar F, Lurbe E. Blood pressure measurement in children and adolescents: key
   element in the evaluation of arterial hypertension. *An Pediatr* 2022;**96**:536.e1-536.e7.
- 310 9. Bird C, Michie C. Measuring blood pressure in children. *BMJ* 2008;**336**:1321.
- 311 10. Palatini P, Asmar R. Cuff challenges in blood pressure measurement. J Clin Hypertens
   312 Greenwich Conn 2018;20:1100–1103.
- Agasthi P, Pujari SH, Tseng A, Graziano JN, Marcotte F, Majdalany D, Mookadam F, Hagler
   DJ, Arsanjani R. Management of adults with coarctation of aorta. *World J Cardiol* 2020;12:167–191.
- Lim DS, Ralston MA. Echocardiographic indices of Doppler flow patterns compared with
   MRI or angiographic measurements to detect significant coarctation of the aorta.
   *Echocardiogr Mt Kisco N* 2002;**19**:55–60.

- Giardini A, Tacy TA. Pressure recovery explains doppler overestimation of invasive pressure
   gradient across segmental vascular stenosis. *Echocardiography* 2010;27:21–31.
- Marx GR, Allen HD. Accuracy and pitfalls of Doppler evaluation of the pressure gradient in
   aortic coarctation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1986;7:1379–1385.
- 323 15. Ghorbannia A, Ellepola CD, Woods RK, LaDisa JF. Abstract 10882: Validation of a
   324 Continuous Flow Pressure Gradient for Coarctation Severity Assessment. *Circulation* 325 2021;144:A10882–A10882.
- Singh GK, Mowers KL, Marino C, Balzer D, Rao PS. Effect of Pressure Recovery on
   Pressure Gradients in Congenital Stenotic Outflow Lesions in Pediatric Patients-Clinical
   Implications of Lesion Severity and Geometry: A Simultaneous Doppler Echocardiography
   and Cardiac Catheter Correlative Study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr Off Publ Am Soc
   Echocardiogr 2020;33:207–217.
- 17. Menon A, Eddinger TJ, Wang H, Wendell DC, Toth JM, LaDisa Jr. JF. Altered
   hemodynamics, endothelial function, and protein expression occur with aortic coarctation
   and persist after repair. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2012;**303**:H1304-18.
- Menon A, Wendell DC, Wang H, Eddinger TJ, Toth JM, Dholakia RJ, Larsen PM, Jensen
  ES, Ladisa Jr. JF. A coupled experimental and computational approach to quantify
  deleterious hemodynamics, vascular alterations, and mechanisms of long-term morbidity in
  response to aortic coarctation. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* 2012;65:18–28.
- Updegrove A, Wilson NM, Merkow J, Lan H, Marsden AL, Shadden SC. SimVascular: An
  Open Source Pipeline for Cardiovascular Simulation. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2017;45:525–541.
- Ghorbanniahassankiadeh A, Marks DS, LaDisa JF Jr. Correlation of Computational
   Instantaneous Wave-Free Ratio With Fractional Flow Reserve for Intermediate Multivessel
   Coronary Disease. *J Biomech Eng* 2021;**143**.
- Teien DE, Wendel H, Björnebrink J, Ekelund L. Evaluation of anatomical obstruction by
   Doppler echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging in patients with coarctation of
   the aorta. *Br Heart J* 1993;69:352–355.
- Pfaller MR, Pham J, Verma A, Pegolotti L, Wilson NM, Parker DW, Yang W, Marsden AL.
   Automated generation of 0D and 1D reduced-order models of patient-specific blood flow. *Int J Numer Methods Biomed Eng* 2022;n/a:e3639.
- LaDisa JFJ, Alberto Figueroa C, Vignon-Clementel IE, Kim HJ, Xiao N, Ellwein LM, Chan
   FP, Feinstein JA, Taylor CA. Computational simulations for aortic coarctation: representative
   results from a sampling of patients. *J Biomech Eng* 2011;133:091008.
- 24. Lopez L, Colan S, Stylianou M, Granger S, Trachtenberg F, Frommelt P, Pearson G, Camarda
  J, Cnota J, Cohen M, Dragulescu A, Frommelt M, Garuba O, Johnson T, Lai W, Mahgerefteh
  J, Pignatelli R, Prakash A, Sachdeva R, Soriano B, Soslow J, Spurney C, Srivastava S, Taylor
  C, Thankavel P, Velde M van der, Minich L. Relationship of Echocardiographic Z Scores

17

- Adjusted for Body Surface Area to Age, Sex, Race, and Ethnicity. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10:e006979.
- A. WC, G. WR, M. BT, S. CJ, M. CH, A. DJ, Pedro del N, W. FJ, P. GT, M. HZ, A. HS,
  Etta KM, J. LM, D. MP, J. RM, P. WE, D. WG. ACC/AHA 2008 Guidelines for the
  Management of Adults With Congenital Heart Disease. *Circulation* 2008;118:e714–e833.
- 361 26. YOUDEN WJ. Index for rating diagnostic tests. *Cancer* 1950;**3**:32–35.
- Koo TK, Li MY. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients
   for Reliability Research. *J Chiropr Med* 2016;15:155–163.
- 28. Cicchetti D V. Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and standardized assessment instruments in psychology. *Psychol Assess* 1994;**6**:284–290.
- Vlahos AP, Marx GR, McElhinney D, Oneill S, Goudevenos I, Colan SD. Clinical utility of
   Doppler echocardiography in assessing aortic stenosis severity and predicting need for
   intervention in children. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2008;29:507–514.
- 369 30. Patankar N, Fernandes N, Kumar K, Manja V, Lakshminrusimha S. Does measurement of
   370 four-limb blood pressures at birth improve detection of aortic arch anomalies? *J Perinatol* 371 *Off J Calif Perinat Assoc* 2016;**36**:376–380.

18

#### List of Tables 374

375

CoA Morphology	Disc	prete	Com		
Sex	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Male	22	88	27	63	
Female	3	12	16	37	
Total	25		43		
Race and ethnicity	Ν	%	Ν	%	
White non-Hispanic	20	80	29	67	
White Hispanic	2	8	10	23	
Black or African American	3	12	4	9	
Pre-op echo characteristics	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD	p-values <sup>†</sup>
Age [month]	29.5	9.10	30.9	15.6	0.73
Weight [kg]	13.1	3.85	13.3	4.00	0.91
Height [cm]	77.2	9.30	79.1	14.5	0.89
BSA [m <sup>2</sup> ]	0.77	0.21	0.73	0.12	0.66
SBP [mmHg]	99.0	6.30	103.0	8.10	0.49
DBP [mmHg]	63.6	4.08	57.2	11.8	0.17
Arm-leg systolic gradient	26.2	6.11	32.7	3.29	< 0.001
Arch Diameter Z-scores	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD	
Proximal Transverse Arch	-2.0	0.6	-3.2	0.8	0.03
Distal Transverse Arch	-2.3	0.7	-3.0	0.7	0.16
Aortic Isthmus	-2.3	0.7	-3.2	1.5	0.23
Min proximal Z-score	-2.5	0.5	-4.1	0.9	0.01

## Table 1- Characteristics for pediatric patients with discrete and complex CoA.

\*CoA morphology was classified as Complex in presence of at least one additional narrowing at either the proximal transverse arch, distal transverse arch, or aortic isthmus. Classification was based on a Z-scores <- 3 (i.e., the lowest 0.1 percentile of population according to Lopez et al<sup>24</sup>) 1p-values quantified through two-tail student t-test BSA: body surface area, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, SBP: Systolic blood pressure

376

# Table 2- Diagnostic performance of Doppler-derived indices in pediatric CoA patients at

#### 379

378

## optimum ROC cutoffs.

Index	Morphology	Diagnos	tic Performanc	e				ROC meas	sures	
		Sen.	Spec.	PPV	NPV	DOR	DA	Cutoff	AUC	p-value
SBE	Discrete	0.92	0.82	0.86	0.90	54.00	0.88	43.0	0.91	
	Complex	0.86	0.42	0.69	0.71	5.536	0.69	39.1	0.80	<0.01
MBE	Discrete	0.92	0.82	0.86	0.90	53.79	0.87	31.8	0.91	
	Complex	0.44	0.90	0.85	0.45	6.964	0.59	41.8	0.83	<0.01
SBE-RP	Discrete	0.76	0.82	0.83	0.75	15.00	0.79	32.5	0.90	
	Complex	0.57	0.69	0.82	0.31	2.925	0.59	24.9	0.85	<0.01
CFPG	Discrete	0.98	0.91	0.93	0.91	495.4	0.96	2.52	0.99	
	Complex	0.86	0.79	0.83	0.96	23.12	0.88	2.14	0.98	>0.10

AUC: area under the ROC curve, CFPG: continuous flow pressure gradient, DA: diagnostic accuracy, DOR: diagnostic adds ratio, MBE: modified Bernoulli equation, NPV: negative predictive value, PPV: positive predictive value, SBE: simplified Bernoulli equation, SBE-RP: simplified Bernoulli equation with recovered pressure term. The optimum cutoff value [mmHg] for Doppler indices was computed based on maximizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity, that is, the Youden index<sup>®</sup> to best represent the current intervention criterion of a trans-coarctation peak-to-peak gradient ≥20 mmHg. p-values represent statistical difference of AUC between complex and discrete CoA morphologies using two-tailed student t-test.

380

20

382 Table 3- Diagnostic performance of Doppler-derived indices calculated at optimal ROC-derived

383 cutoffs based on simulation results. Note the behavior from simulations is similar to data from

384 pediatric CoA patients, with CFPG being a more consistent measure of diagnostic performance

385 and ROC measures. This similarity allows for further analysis of those aspects of hemodynamics

386

and performance impacting Doppler-based indices using the simulation results.

Index	Morphology	Diagnostic Performance						ROC measures		
		Sen.	Spec.	PPV	NPV	DOR	DA	Cutoff	AUC	p-value
SBE	Discrete	1.00	0.14	0.60	1.00	Inf	0.63	44.8	0.90	
	Complex	1.00	0.12	0.44	1.00	Inf	0.48	40.9	0.83	<0.01
MBE	Discrete	0.85	0.81	0.73	0.90	25.29	0.82	39.9	0.90	
	Complex	0.84	0.78	0.67	0.88	32.02	0.80	48.7	0.86	<0.01
SBE-RP	Discrete	0.83	0.79	0.75	0.87	19.11	0.80	35.8	0.89	
	Complex	0.86	0.74	0.68	0.82	22.12	0.73	35.8	0.83	<0.01
CFPG	Discrete	0.92	0.83	0.84	0.91	60.09	0.90	3.01	0.98	
	Complex	0.88	0.81	0.85	0.88	38.02	0.83	2.65	0.98	>0.10

AUC: area under the ROC curve, CFPG: continuous flow pressure gradient, DA: diagnostic accuracy, DOR: diagnostic odds ratio, MBE: modified Bernoulli equation, NPV: negative predictive value, PPV: positive predictive value, SBE: simplified Bernoulli equation, SBE-RP: simplified Bernoulli eq

387

389 Table 4- CFPG cutoffs for a range of clinicall	y important CoA gradients.
--	----------------------------

-

CoA Gradient	Pediatric CoA Patient CFPG cuto				
[mmHg]	Discrete	Complex			
10	0.81	0.73			
15	1.46	1.28			
20**	2.52	2.14			
25	4.20	3.45			
30	6.90	5.49			
35	11.22	8.63			
40	18 14	13 /7			

CFPG: Continuous flow pressure gradient

390

## 392 List of Figures

393



394 Figure 1- Receiver operative characteristic (ROC) curves for Doppler-derived indices of CoA 395 severity calculated from simulations. CoA were classified according to the current clinical 396 threshold (i.e., peak-to-peak trans-coarctation gradient  $\geq 20$  mmHg). The optimal cutoff value for 397 Doppler indices, as reported in Table 3, was computed based on maximizing the sum of 398 sensitivity and specificity (i.e. the Youden index<sup>26</sup> indicated in dots for each ROC curve). Results 399 are presented for Discrete (black-solid), and complex CoA morphologies (pink-dashed). CFPG: 400 continuous flow pressure gradient, MBE: modified Bernoulli equation, RP: recovered pressure, 401 SBE: simplified Bernoulli equation. Bar plots represent statistical difference of AUC between 402 complex (pink) and discrete (black) CoA morphologies using student t-test with \*\* and ns 403 *indicating two-tail p-value <0.01 and >0.1.* 









419

420 *Figure 3- DVI (left) and dPHT (right) ratios for discrete (black) and complex (pink) CoA* 

421 morphologies. No significant changes were observed in any of the indices between our cohort of

- 422 *pediatric CoA patients and simulations matching Z-scores for aortic morphology (p-value >0.1).*
- 423 There was a significant increase in DVI from discrete to complex CoA morphology in both our
- 424 *cohort of pediatric CoA patients (p-values < 0.01), and simulations helped to reveal this finding*
- 425 is due to flow acceleration proximal to the CoA caused by hypoplastic arch, isthmus, or both. No
- 426 significant differences were observed in dPHT among morphologies (p-values >0.1). p-values
- 427 quantified using a two-tail student t-tests with 0.01 significance level.
- 428