Wastewater Tiling Amplicon Sequencing Reveals Longitudinal Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Variants Prevalence in the Community

Yu Wang¹, Gaofeng Ni^{2*}, Wei Tian³, Haofei Wang³, Jiaying Li⁴, Phong Thai⁴, Phil M. Choi⁵, Greg Jackson⁵, Shihu Hu¹, Bicheng Yang³, Jianhua Guo^{1*}

¹Australian Centre for Water and Environmental Biotechnology, The University of Queensland,

St. Lucia, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

²Department of Microbiology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³MGI Australia Pty Ltd, 300 Herston Road, Herston, Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia

⁴Queensland Alliance for Environmental Health Sciences (QAEHS), The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁵Water Unit, Health Protection Branch, Queensland Public Health and Scientific Services,

Queensland Health, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

*Corresponding author:

Jianhua Guo, Email: jianhua.guo@uq.edu.au

Gaofeng Ni, Email: gaofeng.ni@monash.edu

1 Abstract

2 SARS-CoV-2 continues to evolve, while the decline in clinical sequencing efforts hampers 3 public health sectors to prepare for the menace of ongoing variant emergence and future COVID-19 surges.¹ Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been proposed to provide 4 5 complementary insights on the variants being transmitted in communities.² However, limited 6 research has been dedicated to the use sequencing methods for tracking disease prevalence and 7 variant dynamics in wastewater, particularly on a large scale. Here, we employed a tiling 8 amplicon sequencing to track the dynamics of variant of concern (VOC) in wastewater 9 collected from Queensland, Australia from 2020 to 2022. RNA concentrations in wastewater 10 measured by ATOPlex showed a stronger correlation and greater consistency with the number 11 of daily new cases than a PCR-based method. The VOC dynamics observed in wastewater were 12 largely in line with clinical reports. These findings support that WBE and sensitive sequencing 13 methods can serve as a long-term approach for disease surveillance, thus aiding in disease 14 outbreak prevention, control, and management.

15 Keywords: Wastewater-based epidemiology; Genome sequencing; Variants of concern;
16 Variant dynamics; Prevalence

17

18

19 **1. Introduction**

20 The World Health Organization (WHO) designates evolved variants with genetic mutations associated with increased transmissibility, pathogenicity and immune escape as variants of 21 22 concern (VOCs). Since the appearance of the first VOC, namely Alpha variant, in 2020, emergent variants have caused several global surges in COVID-19.³ The monitoring of VOCs 23 24 and related genetic characteristics typically depends on extensive individual clinical 25 sequencing.⁴ However, clinical surveillance has an unavoidable risk of sampling bias and is becoming less effective in revealing circulating variants, as the global response to COVID-19 26 27 strategies are adjusted.^{5,6} This situation is even worse where medical resources are scarce, as large-scale clinical sequencing is laborious, expensive and time-consuming.^{4,6} The risk of new 28 29 variants to emerge calls for sustained monitoring strategies to inform timely preventive measures.⁷ 30

31 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) enables effective identification and quantification of disease prevalence at a community level.⁸ It has been implemented as a surveillance program 32 worldwide, such as in the USA, Australia, and Europe in response to COVID-19.9-12 The use 33 34 of PCR-based methods has facilitated WBE in monitoring the disease burden in communities 35 and providing early warning of disease outbreaks before clinical surveillance.^{13–15} However, 36 mutations or degradation of the N gene, the widely-used PCR primer binding region, will 37 reduce the primer binding efficiency. This may lead to false negative PCR results and impeding the timeliness of WBE.^{16,17} Moreover, despite advancements in variant-specific PCR assays 38 39 for identifying different VOCs in wastewater, determining transmission links and timely detecting emerging variants in a community remain significant challenges.^{18,19} 40

In contrast, sequencing technologies can directly recover viral genomes from wastewater,
allowing the discerning of different variants and their co-occurrence.⁵ The SARS-CoV-2 RNA
in wastewater is typically present in low concentration and is readily fragmented, which

44 requires a sensitive sequencing method for the identification of SARS-CoV-2 and the recovery of a high-quality genome.²⁰. Tiling amplicon sequencing, such as ARTIC, ATOPlex and 45 Midnight, have been proposed as sensitive and cost-efficient approaches for recovering viral 46 genomes from wastewater.^{21–23} By using amplicon sequencing technologies, wastewater 47 48 surveillance offers valuable information on disease prevalence and can potentially inform 49 transmission links in communities. For example, research has demonstrated a strong 50 correlation between the proportion of wastewater samples containing specific variant signals and the corresponding percentage observed in clinical samples.^{24,25} The recovery of high-51 52 coverage genomes from wastewater uncovers various VOCs introduced across different regions.^{26,27} Building-level wastewater sequencing facilitates early detection of infection 53 54 events, enabling prompt actions to identify positive cases through clinical testing, then relocate 55 infected individuals, potentially preventing potential outbreaks.⁵ Furthermore, WBE 56 surveillance within a university campus has been shown to track the temporal profile of different variants in a defined region through intensive sampling.^{5,14} However, still limited 57 58 effort has been made to assess the application of wastewater sequencing in tracking variant 59 dynamics and comparing it with clinical sequencing data. More importantly, it remains unclear 60 whether collecting wastewater from the strategically chosen sampling sites can effectively 61 track the variant dynamics in large-scale areas over the long term.

This study conducted a longitudinal investigation, collecting wastewater samples over a span of three years (from mid-2020 to late-2022), from three municipal sites in Queensland, Australia. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of long-term wastewater sequencing surveillance at sentinel sites in estimating disease prevalence and monitoring the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 variants at the state level. By using ATOPlex sequencing, we successfully tracked the circulating dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 variants and have the potential to detect emerging variants at an early stage in wastewater samples. Furthermore, wastewater 69 sequencing exhibited stronger correlation and consistency in estimating disease prevalence 70 trends compared to a PCR-based method in different sampling sites. Overall, this study offers 71 valuable insights into the use of WBE for monitoring disease dynamics in the community, 72 particularly in the context of easing public health measures.

73 **2. Methods**

74 2.1 Study area and sample collection

75 Wastewater samples were collected from six wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) located in 76 three municipalities within Queensland, Australia (Figure S1). A total of 113 samples that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 via RT-qPCR testing were obtained, including WWTPs A 77 78 (n=11), B (n=3), C (n=40), D (n=4), E (n=40), and F (n=15), respectively (Figure 1). Samples 79 from WWTPs C and E were collected between July 2020 and September 2022, while WWTPs A, B and D ceased collection in 2022. To further investigate the presence of emerging variants 80 81 that have not been locally endemic in Queensland, 15 grab samples were collected in November-December 2022 from the largest WWTP in Queensland, WWTP F, which serves 82 83 the busiest locations of Queensland, including the capital city Brisbane (BNE) and an 84 international airport (Figure 1). The sampling sites and the served population of each WWTP 85 included in the study are depicted in Figure S1. In 2020 and for the majority of 2021, 86 Queensland implemented stringent public health measures, such as tight border restrictions, 87 during which 14 days of quarantine was required to enter the state. Consequently, the collected samples had a low RNA concentration. Moreover, the RNA in wastewater was subject to 88 89 degradation during storage, which further contributed to negative RT-qPCR results at the time of sequencing in September 2022.¹² Therefore, only a few samples from 2020/2021 were 90 91 included to test the sensitivity of ATOPlex sequencing.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



92

Figure 1. Overview of the wastewater surveillance program. Top panel: Concept of the WBE
and collection sites of raw wastewater samples. Middle panel: Wastewater concentration and
RNA extraction. Bottom panel: ATOPlex sequencing and bioinformatics analysis.

96 2.2 Wastewater sample preparation, concentration, and RNA extraction

Samples were transported on ice and stored at -20 °C until further study. The frozen samples
were first thawed at 4 °C followed by wastewater concentration and RNA extraction as
described in previous studies (Figure 1).^{28,29} Briefly, 0.5 mL of 25 mM dissolved MgCl₂ was

100 added to the aliquots of 50 mL raw wastewater samples and incubated at room temperature for 101 a few minutes. Samples were then filtered through a 0.45-µm pore-size, 47-mm diameter electronegative HA membrane (HAWP04700; Merck Millipore Ltd, Sydney, Australia) using 102 103 a multichannel filter funnel. All equipment was autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 minutes prior to 104 use. The membrane was removed from the filtration apparatus, rolled, shredded and placed into 105 a 2 ml beat tube from RNeasy PowerMicrobiome Kit (Qiagen) using two sterile tweezers. RNA 106 was then extracted following the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications: 107 (1) 100 µL of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added before the lysis step to 108 protect RNA integrity; (2) Beat-beat for 60s with Minilys® homogenizer at medium speed; (3) 109 IRS solution was increased to 200 µL to remove more inhibitors, and (4) RNA eluted with 50 µL Nuclease free water (Biolab) and pass-through spin column twice to ensure maximum RNA 110 111 yield. RNA extracts were stored at -80 °C before sequencing.

112 2.3 Positive control preparation

A commercially available RNA positive control was used to prepare a serial dilution with 5 orders of gradient, as shown in Figure S1 (b). Briefly, 10 μ L RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Catalog No. 4368814, Applied BiosystemsTM) and serially diluted by 5 orders of magnitude with nuclease-free water.²⁶ The concentration of each positive control gradient was measured by droplet digital Polymerase Chain Reaction (dd-PCR) assay, as described in a previous study,²⁸ with the concentration ranging from 6235.58 to 0.63 copies/ μ L.

120 2.4 ATOPlex sequencing

121 A total of 113 wastewater RNA extracts and 5 positive control gradients (in triplicate) were 122 sequenced using the ATOPlex SARS-CoV-2 full-length genome panel (MGI, China) as 123 described previously. Briefly, sample RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA with random 124 hexamers (5'-NNNNN-3'). Then, 200 copies of Lambda phage DNA were dosed into each

125 sample as an internal control to set a baseline for result quantification. The resulting product 126 undergoes two steps of PCR amplification followed by purification, with the first PCR of 13 127 cycles for target enrichment, and the second PCR of 27 cycles for barcoding and product 128 amplification. The process was completed using the automation sample processing system 129 MGISP-960. The purified final PCR products were quantified using Qubit Quant-iT[™] dsDNA 130 Assay Kits (ThermoFisher, Q33120). Subsequently, PCR products of 96 samples were pooled 131 into two pools, with each pool containing 48 samples and 400 ng of library. The pooled dsDNA 132 libraries were denatured to generate linear ssDNA, circularized using a splint oligo that is 133 complementary to the start and end of linear ssDNA, followed by digestion reaction and 134 purification to remove non-circularized DNA debris. The process was completed manually using MGIEasy Dual Barcode Circularization Kit (MGI, China) to produce purified single-135 136 strand circularized products. The ssDNA circularized product was then used as a template to 137 generate DNA nanoball (DNBs) by performing a rolling circle amplification reaction. The 138 DNBs were introduced into flow cell containing patterned arrays of DNB binding sites for 139 sequencing. The sequencing was done on a DNBSeq-G400 sequencer running for 24 hours to 140 get 8M reads for each sample at the MGI Australia Demo Lab.

141 **2.5 Data analysis and interpretation**

142 As part of the surveillance program, wastewater monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 using the RT-143 qPCR method was applied, and the result was obtained from Queensland Public Health and 144 Scientific Services. The sequencing raw data were analysed with the bioinformatic pipeline for 145 multiplex-PCR data developed by MGI (https://github.com/MGI-tech-bioinformatics/SARS-146 CoV-2 Multi-PCR v1.0). The established pipeline is used to analyse raw sequencing data, 147 including genome alignment, mutation calling, consensus genome generation and identification (Figure 1).^{28,30} Viral concentration in wastewater was calculated based on 148 149 normalized SARS-CoV-2 sequencing reads. The higher coverage and depth underpin a greater

likelihood of accurate sequencing and variant detection at that position.²⁵ To ensure data 150 151 precision, only samples identified as positive and genomes with coverage (10X) of at least 20% were included for variant identification and investigation of genetic diversity. Freyja (V1.3.11), 152 a well-established pipeline bioinformatics tool, was employed to determine co-circulating 153 variants and corresponding prevalence in wastewater samples.⁵ COVID-19 case data in the 154 study areas were sourced from the Queensland Government Open Data Portal 155 156 (https://www.data.qld.gov.au). Clinical genomic data from Queensland were sourced from the GISAID database.³¹ The clinical genomes were then grouped by collection date, and mutations 157 in the groups were obtained using snp-sites (V2.5.1),³² the mutation frequency was calculated 158 159 using a custom script. Genetic diversity of the wastewater samples and clinical samples was measured by the richness (count of the number of mutations present in the sample) and Shannon 160 161 Entropy (H(x) = - Σ p(x) log₂(p(x), where p(x) is the allele frequency at the position x), the 162 distribution of each mutation and degree of genetic diversity in the sample).

163 **2.6 Data availability**

All the raw sequencing data are available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information
(NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject number PRJNA945486.

166 **3. Results**

167 3.1 Accurate viral RNA concentration estimation and genome recovery

168 To verify whether the chosen sequencing method can accurately quantify the virus 169 concentration, a linear regression analysis is used to predict the relationship between the RNA 170 concentration measured by dd-PCR with the sequencing reads. The result revealed a linear 171 regression correlation ($R^{2}_{adj}=0.99$) between the normalized SARS-CoV-2 sequencing reads and 172 absolute RNA concentration measured by dd-PCR from concentration gradients D1 (6235.58 173 cp/ μ L) to D5 (0.63 cp/ μ L), as shown in Figure 2-a. The result is consistent with our past study

and further verifies the stability of the ATOPlex sequencing method.²⁸ Furthermore, ATOPlex sequencing can accurately recover the SARS-CoV-2 genome (median 10X coverage of 98.19%) even with mutations in comparison to the reference genome (MN908947.3) and at a concentration as low as $0.63 \text{ cp}/\mu\text{L}$ (Figure 2-b). The accuracy estimation of viral concentration and derived genomes facilitated the subsequent analysis for qualitative and quantitative analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.



Figure 2. (a) Linear relationship between normalized ATOPlex SARS-CoV-2 reads and viral concentration measured by dd-PCR at log value. (b) Sequence alignments of the reference genome (MN908947.3) and ATOPlex recovered genome across serial dilution D1-D5 align to the positive control 19A genome. Nucleotides that differ from the positive control genome are highlighted in black and have detailed alignment information enlarged.

186 3.2 Identification of the SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater samples

ATOPlex classify samples as positive, negative, or indeterminate by normalizing the number of SARS-CoV-2 reads to the spike-in lambda phage DNA control reads and assessing genome coverage.^{28,30} In summary, out of the 113 wastewater samples 109 tested positive, while only 4 samples were categorized as indeterminate due to low genome coverage. Wherein some samples might have become highly degraded and fragmented during long-time storage. To gain

192 further insights into the completeness of the recovered viral genome, the genome coverage was 193 visualized (Figure S2). Median SARS-CoV-2 genome coverage (10X) was 52.66% (range 194 (0.69-99.88%). Figure 3 illustrates three examples of the distribution of sequencing reads with 195 a different genome coverage (10X). It is apparent that ATOPlex still can identify the SARS-196 CoV-2 virus even in instances where the primer regions N1 and N2 commonly used in PCR-197 based methods were degraded (Figure 3). ATOPlex sequencing can provide high-quality 198 genomes, except in several cases where the genome region has undergone significant 199 degradation.



Figure 3. Example of genome coverage (10X) of the wastewater samples. The 10X genome coverage for WW38, WW39 and WW63 are 14.63%, 50.62 and 99.88% respectively. The xaxis represents the coverage of every single nucleotide position with respect to the reference genome (MN908947.3) and the y-axis represents the sequencing depth at the log2 value at each position.

206 **3.3** Wastewater sequencing reveals the viral load and prevalence trend

207 To assess the consistency of the disease prevalence between wastewater surveillance and208 clinical surveillance, we compared RNA concentration estimated by wastewater sequencing

209 with clinical daily new case numbers. In 2020 and 2021, Queensland was marked by strict 210 disease control measures, such as border closures, gathering restrictions, and targeted 211 mandatory vaccinations, thus minimising local transmission (Table S1). However, following 212 the opening of the Queensland border in December 2021, there is a dramatic increase in weekly 213 total cases, with a nearly 150% increase observed in the week after the reopening compared to 214 the week prior (Figure 4-a). Concurrently, a consistent upward trend in RNA concentration was 215 observed in wastewater samples (Figure 4-a). In addition, COVID-19 exhibited four main 216 surges during the study period in terms of both clinical and wastewater surveillance, which is 217 thought to be associated with the emergence of new variants (Figure 4-a). For example, BA.1 218 was first detected in clinical testing in December 2021 and rapidly spread after that. Along with 219 low levels of other variants, BA.1 was observed in all areas inspected in this study, resulting in 220 the first COVID-19 epidemic wave in Queensland (Figure 4-a, Figure S3). Interestingly, the 221 RNA concentration in wastewater samples in February 2022 exceeded the clinical case line on 222 a monthly scale (Figure 4-b, c), indicating a higher estimated prevalence of COVID-19 through 223 wastewater surveillance than clinically confirmed cases.

224 Moreover, in terms of correlation with different clinical epidemiology factors, WBE has a 225 higher performance in estimating daily new cases compared to active or cumulative clinical cases.³³ To evaluate the correlation between RT-qPCR and ATOPlex in estimating the the new 226 cases reported each day, Pearson correlation coefficient analysis was employed. The 227 228 sequencing method exhibited a higher correlation with the daily new cases (Figure 4-d, e), with 229 a |r| equal to 0.54 (p=0.006) and 0.663 (p=0.0003)) in the SC and LG regions of Queensland, 230 respectively. In contrast, a weaker correlation between the daily new cases with RT-qPCR Ct 231 value targets N1 or N2 (|r| ranging from 0.52~0.23, Figure 4-d,e) was observed. These results 232 suggest the sequencing method provides a more robust and consistent estimate of the 233 prevalence trend compared to RT-qPCR across different regions.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



234

Figure 4. Epidemiological curves depicting disease prevalence in the study regions. (a) The line graph represents the wastewater epidemiological curve and the area plot shows the clinical daily new confirmed cases. The major public health measures (shown in black), as well as the

first detection date of each emerging variant (shown in red) identified through clinical sequencing during the study period are labelled. (b) and (c) zoom in on the curve measured by wastewater sequencing (in blue) and clinical tests (in yellow) in SC and LG regions, respectively. (d) and (e) shows The Pearson correlation coefficient (|r|) matrix for each measuring method with the daily new cases in SC and LG.

243 3.4 Early detection and transition patterns of emerging VOCs

244 The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants with a growth advantage could lead to a greater 245 infectivity or transmissibility and antibody escape, thus likely increasing the incidence rate and 246 supplanting previous circulating variants.³ It is thought that public health measures effectively suppressed the local spread of these emerging variants in 2020/2021, evidenced by the fact that 247 248 the low number of confirmed cases and the low RNA concentration in wastewater. For 249 example, Brisbane entered three days of lockdown in response to the circulating Alpha variant 250 (B.1.1.7, table S1) in January and late June 2021. Southeast Queensland implemented a 3-day 251 lockdown and further extended it for an additional 5 days following the outbreak of the Delta 252 variant (B.1.617) in August 2021. Correspondingly, these emerging variants were confined to 253 a small cluster due to the timely implementation of measures. However, after the Queensland 254 border reopened, the Omicron and its sublineages (BA*) transmitted rapidly and became 255 dominant in Queensland (Figure S3).

In response to the limitation of extensive clinical surveillance, we explored the potential of sentinel sites wastewater sequencing as an alternative strategy to estimate the prevalence of circulating variants at the state level. To accomplish this, we compared the variant dynamics obtained in wastewater samples with that obtained in state-level clinical samples over the study period. Notably, variants detected in wastewater samples showed the main circulating variants were Omicron and its sublineages, with other co-occurring variants (e.g. Gamma and Delta) present in low abundance after the border reopened (Figure 5-a). The prevalence of the BA.2

variant replaced the BA.1.17 variant in mid-March 2022, and then later in July the BA.5* 263 264 became dominant (Figure 5-a). This finding is consistent with the variant prevalence trend shown by clinical sequencing data (Figure 5-b). For example, clinical data showed that BA.2 265 266 replaced the BA.1.17 variant as the predominant circulating variant in Queensland in mid-March (Figure 5-b). More importantly, the detection of new variants in wastewater samples 267 268 preceded their identification in clinical settings (Table S2). For instance, the parent Omicron 269 variant (B.1.1.529) was detected in wastewater in February, 2022, but it was reported from 270 clinical sequencing in March, 2022. Further, signals of the BA.2.75 variant were found in 271 wastewater in April, 2022, preceding its local spread which was reported clinically in July, 2022. Moreover, the BA.3* variant was identified in wastewater but not in clinical sequencing, 272 revealing the potential cryptic transmission of these variants in Queensland. Similarly, BQ.1 273 274 was detected in wastewater in late June, while it was not reported clinically until mid-275 September. It should be noted that we also observed the BA.2 variant was present in wastewater 276 in early February 2022 but it had been observed in mid-January 2022 in confirmed clinical 277 cases (Figure 5-a, b).

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 5. Prevalence of the SARS-CoV-2 variants identified in wastewater samples (a, n=64
wastewater-derived genomes with 10X coverage >20) and clinical samples (b, n=4878 GISAID
sourced complete clinical genomes) during the experimental period expressed as a percentage.
The different variants detected in wastewater are shown in different colours. The details of
wastewater and clinical epidemiological data can be found in supplementary table S2.

278

284 3.5 SARS-CoV-2 genetic diversity in wastewater

285 The identification of SARS-CoV-2 variants relies heavily on the single nucleotide variants (SNVs) associated with each variant, thus genetic information is vital for monitoring the 286 287 emergence of new variants.¹ To determine the potential of wastewater sequencing in detecting 288 the evolution of the virus, we calculated the genetic diversity in both wastewater and clinical 289 samples by mapping the sequencing data against the reference genome (MN908947.3). To sum 290 up, the mutation analysis of 65 wastewater samples and 4878 clinical genomes yielded 3473 291 and 17017 mutations, respectively, with a majority of mutations occurring in the S gene and 292 ORF1ab gene (Figure 6-a, b). Genetic diversity metrics of richness and Shannon Entropy 293 suggest the genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 in the clinical samples is greater than that in the 294 wastewater samples (Figure 6-c). Figure 6-d depicts the 1469 mutations identified in the spike 295 protein within wastewater samples. Among these mutations, 1155 are present in the S1 gene 296 of the spike protein, which normally poses a high risk of instigating the emergence of novel 297 variants (Figure S4). Notably, despite the higher genetic diversity observed in clinical samples, 298 our analysis identified 28 unique spike protein mutations in wastewater samples, whereas they were absent in the clinical counterparts (Table S3). 299

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



300

301 Figure 6. Summary of genetic variations in SARS-CoV-2 genes in wastewater and clinical 302 samples. Count of mutations that occur on the different SARS-CoV-2 genes in wastewater 303 samples (a) and clinical samples (b). Genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater and 304 clinical samples (c), represented by richness (top) and Shannon Entropy (bottom). Mutations 305 detected in the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater samples are shown in (d). The 306 histogram (bin width = 50 bp) is the count of the number of each mutation that occurs on the genome position that is aligned with the left y-axis, while the scatter plot represents the allele 307 308 frequency of each mutation in the corresponding position aligned with the right y-axis.

309 **4. Discussion**

This study highlights the capability of wastewater sequencing to accurately reflect the disease prevalence trend and the potential to provide early information on the circulating variant dynamics. These findings further support the WBE setting as an alternative surveillance

program providing long-term, large-scale, and sustainable monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 across
a wide geographic area. Moreover, the implementation of WBE as a surveillance tool holds
significant promise in improving our preparedness and response strategies for future outbreaks
and pandemics beyond SARS-CoV-2.

317 Although the PCR-based methods can provide timely and cost-effective estimation of disease 318 prevalence, it is incapable of targeting emerging variants and providing genetic information to track virus evolution.^{12,15,34–36} In contrast, the ATOPlex sequencing method not only enables 319 320 accurate quantification of the RNA concentration in wastewater but is also capable of 321 identifying the co-occurrence of different VOCs, thus demonstrating wastewater as a valuable tool to monitor disease trends and variant dynamics in the community. This study found a 322 stronger Pearson's correlation between ATOPlex sequencing and number of daily new cases 323 324 compared to the PCR-based method, particularly in the LG region (The correlation coefficients 325 (|r|) for ATOPlex, N1, and N2 in relation to the daily new cases were found to be 0.66, 0.38, 326 and 0.23, respectively. (Figure 4)). The higher dilution status in the SC region may have 327 resulted in a decreased RNA signal, thus increasing the likelihood of RT-qPCR missing the target.¹² In addition, an increasing disparity between the estimated viral concentrations in 328 329 wastewater and the daily new cases reported in clinics has been observed. This can partially be 330 explained by the gradual easing of public health measures, especially the widespread use of 331 rapid antigen test (RAT) kits, which has led to a significant decline in the PCR-confirmed cases 332 reported to clinics. Specifically, from mid-January to mid-February 2022, the PCR test number 333 administered per 1,000 individuals per week in Queensland dropped from over 50 to 334 approximately 15, which may account for the decrease in PCR-confirmed cases from 1,334.8 335 to 258.6 per 100,000 population.³⁷ However, the correlation coefficient (|r|) between RNA 336 concentration in wastewater and clinical parameters (e.g. daily or active total cases) varied in different studies, ranging from 0.14 to 1.³³ This might be attributed to various evaluation 337

338 conditions, such as environmental factors, sampling strategies and epidemiological factors.³³ 339 In addition, the Pearson correlation coefficient may be biased due to increased biases in the 340 clinical data. Even though ATOPlex sequencing exhibits a higher |r| compared to the PCR 341 method, further research is required to assess the potential impact of various factors on the 342 strength of the correlation coefficient. Additionally, there is a need to optimize the prediction 343 model to better understand the disease prevalence in the community.

344 Moreover, with millions of people still getting infected and thousands of deaths globally to 345 date, ongoing investigations into the impact of COVID-19 variants and intervention policies 346 (e.g., booster vaccination), are crucial due to the continuously changing circumstances. This longitudinal study demonstrates the potential of wastewater sequencing to track the transition 347 348 of different variants, which aligns with clinical epidemiological reports in Queensland, 349 Australia (Figure 5-a, b). Additionally, monitoring mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome is 350 vital for informing health surveillance and response strategies, particularly in identifying and monitoring emerging variants.²⁵ WBE as a means of monitoring mutations occurring in the 351 352 SARS-CoV-2 genome is practical where large-scale clinical sequencing is unfeasible. Similar 353 to clinical sequencing, the majority of mutations detected in wastewater were found in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) region of the spike protein, which has been identified as a key 354 factor in the emergence of new variants (Figure 6-a,d).^{38,39} For example, the L452R mutation 355 356 enhances the immune escape fitness and virulence of the Delta variant, while its absence in the Omicron variant reduces its pathogenicity.³⁸ Furthermore, unique mutations were observed in 357 358 multiple wastewater samples, revealing the hidden cryptic circulating variants in the 359 community. These findings require careful interpretation to better understand the 360 characteristics of SARS-CoV-2.

361 While obtaining high-quality genomes from wastewater samples is still challenging due to 362 factors such as RNA degradation or low concentration, ATOPlex sequencing can recover

363 complete or partial genomes from the wastewater samples, thus providing valuable 364 retrospective epidemiological insights. However, certain regions with low sequencing depth were observed in the recently collected wastewater samples (Figure S2), underscoring the 365 366 necessity to optimize the ATOPlex primer set used for amplicon sequencing to enable the investigation of emerging variants.²⁵ Additionally, while retrospective analysis demonstrated 367 368 the feasibility of early detection of emerging variants, it was observed that the genetic diversity 369 obtained from regional wastewater was lower compared to the comprehensive clinical 370 sequencing conducted across the entire state. This finding highlights the significance of 371 selecting sampling sentinel sites with precision, particularly in areas characterized by high 372 traffic or population density, as this is essential to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the circulating variants in the entire state. Future studies should focus on identifying 373 374 appropriate sampling sites and establishing long-term routine wastewater sequencing 375 monitoring, aiding effective public health decision-making and outbreak prevention.

376 **5.** Conclusions

This study aims to investigate the performance of using sentinel wastewater surveillance to track the variant dynamics at the state level. In this regard, we established a high-throughput, multiplex, amplicon-based sequencing technology, namely ATOPlex, to discern multiple variants from a longitudinal wastewater surveillance program in Queensland, Australia. The key conclusions are as follows:

Comparison with a qPCR-based method showed that ATOPlex sequencing provides a more
 reliable snapshot of disease prevalence in a community. With the decrease in clinical tests,
 this method can assist public health sectors in comprehending the prevailing trends.

385	• Wastewater sequencing can track the variant dynamics over time and the results are
386	comparable to the clinical sequencing results. It also has the potential to detect new variants
387	before their proliferation, thus supporting the prevention of pandemics.
388	• Mutations can be identified in the recovered genome showing the ability to track virus
389	evolution on a large scale.
390	These findings collectively suggest that sequencing-based WBE is a cost-effective and timely
391	alternative strategy for monitoring diseases within a community.
392	Acknowledgement
393	JG would like to acknowledge the Australian Research Council for funding support through
394	Discovery Project (DP220101526). GN would like to acknowledge support from the Advance
395	Queensland Industry Research Fellowship (RM2019002600) and an Early Career Postdoctoral
396	Fellowship (ECPF23-8566329039) at the Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences
397	of Monash University. We thank MGI Australia for sequencing support. The authors thank
398	City of Gold Coast, Urban Utilities and Logan Water for their help in collecting samples. We
399	thank Queensland Health for their help with data retrieval.
400	Notes
401	The authors declare no competing financial interest.
402	
403	References

- 404 1. Sapoval, N. et al. Enabling accurate and early detection of recently emerged SARS-CoV-
- 405 2 variants of concern in wastewater. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 2834 (2023).

- 406 2. Bonanno Ferraro, G. et al. A State-of-the-Art Scoping Review on SARS-CoV-2 in
- 407 Sewage Focusing on the Potential of Wastewater Surveillance for the Monitoring of the
- 408 COVID-19 Pandemic. *Food Environ. Virol.* (2021) doi:10.1007/s12560-021-09498-6.
- 409 3. Brito, A. F. *et al.* Global disparities in SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance. *Nat.*
- 410 *Commun.* **13**, 7003 (2022).
- 411 4. Levy, J. I., Andersen, K. G., Knight, R. & Karthikeyan, S. Wastewater surveillance for
- 412 public health. *Science* **379**, 26–27 (2023).
- 413 5. Karthikeyan, S. *et al.* Wastewater sequencing reveals early cryptic SARS-CoV-2 variant
- 414 transmission. *Nature* **609**, 101–108 (2022).
- 415 7. Wolfe, M. et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Variants Mu, Beta, Gamma, Lambda, Delta,
- 416 Alpha, and Omicron in Wastewater Settled Solids Using Mutation-Specific Assays Is
- 417 Associated with Regional Detection of Variants in Clinical Samples. *Appl. Environ.*
- 418 *Microbiol.* e0004522 (2022) doi:10.1128/aem.00045-22.
- 419 8. Schmitz, B. W. et al. Enumerating asymptomatic COVID-19 cases and estimating SARS-
- 420 CoV-2 fecal shedding rates via wastewater-based epidemiology. *Sci. Total Environ.* **801**,
- 421 149794 (2021).
- 422 9. Ahmed, W. *et al.* First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in
- 423 Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the
- 424 community. *Sci. Total Environ.* **728**, 138764 (2020).
- 425 10. Sherchan, S. P. et al. First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North
- 426 America: A study in Louisiana, USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **743**, 140621 (2020).
- 427 11. La Rosa, G. et al. First detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewaters in Italy. Sci.
- 428 *Total Environ.* **736**, 139652 (2020).
- 429 12. Li, J. et al. Monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in sewersheds with low COVID-19 cases using a
- 430 passive sampling technique. *Water Res.* **218**, 118481 (2022).

- 431 13. Sellers, S. C. et al. Building-level wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 is associated
- 432 with transmission and variant trends in a university setting. *Environ. Res.* 114277 (2022)

433 doi:10.1016/j.envres.2022.114277.

- 434 14. Corchis-Scott, R. et al. Averting an Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 in a University Residence
- Hall through Wastewater Surveillance. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 9, e0079221 (2021).
- 436 15. Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R. & Brouwer, A. Presence of SARS-
- 437 Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence in
- 438 the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 7, 511–
- 439 516 (2020).
- 440 16. Le, T. T. B. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and its current known unknowns: A narrative
 441 review. *Rev. Med. Virol.* 33, e2398 (2023).
- 442 17. Laine, P. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 variant with mutations in N gene affecting detection by
 443 widely used PCR primers. *J. Med. Virol.* 94, 1227–1231 (2022).
- 444 18. Yaniv, K. et al. Direct RT-qPCR assay for SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (Alpha,
- B.1.1.7 and Beta, B.1.351) detection and quantification in wastewater. *Environ. Res.* 201,
 111653 (2021).
- 447 19. Oloye, F. F. *et al.* Rapid transition between SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern Delta and
- 448 Omicron detected by monitoring municipal wastewater from three Canadian cities. *Sci.*
- 449 *Total Environ.* **841**, 156741 (2022).
- 450 20. Li, J. et al. Impact of sewer biofilms on fate of SARS-CoV-2 RNA and wastewater
- 451 surveillance. *Nat. Water* **1**, 272–280 (2023).
- 452 21. Freed, N. E., Vlková, M., Faisal, M. B. & Silander, O. K. Rapid and inexpensive whole-
- 453 genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 using 1200 bp tiled amplicons and Oxford
- 454 Nanopore Rapid Barcoding. *Biol. Methods Protoc.* **5**, bpaa014 (2020).

- 455 22. Wang, Y. *et al.* Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern with Tiling Amplicon
- 456 Sequencing from Wastewater. *ACS EST Water* (2022) doi:10.1021/acsestwater.2c00083.
- 457 23. Jahn, K. *et al.* Early detection and surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 genomic variants in

458 wastewater using COJAC. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 1151–1160 (2022).

- 459 24. Kaya, D. et al. Correlation between Clinical and Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 Genomic
- 460 Surveillance, Oregon, USA. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **28**, 1906–1908 (2022).
- 461 25. Agrawal, S. *et al.* Prevalence and circulation patterns of SARS-CoV-2 variants in
- 462 European sewage mirror clinical data of 54 European cities. *Water Res.* **214**, 118162
- 463 (2022).
- 464 26. Bar-Or, I. *et al.* Detection of SARS-CoV-2 variants by genomic analysis of wastewater
 465 samples in Israel. *Sci. Total Environ.* **789**, 148002 (2021).
- 466 27. Crits-Christoph, A. *et al.* Genome Sequencing of Sewage Detects Regionally Prevalent
 467 SARS-CoV-2 Variants. *mBio* 12, e02703-20 (2021).
- 468 28. Ni, G. et al. Novel Multiplexed Amplicon-Based Sequencing to Quantify SARS-CoV-2
- 469 RNA from Wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **8**, 683–690 (2021).
- 470 29. Pastorino, B., Touret, F., Gilles, M., de Lamballerie, X. & Charrel, R. N. MANUAL FOR
- 471 DETECTION OF SARS-CoV-2 RNA IN WASTEWATER. *Viruses* **12**, 735 (2020).
- 472 30. Ahmed, W. *et al.* RT-qPCR and ATOPlex sequencing for the sensitive detection of
- 473 SARS-CoV-2 RNA for wastewater surveillance. *Water Res.* 220, 118621 (2022).
- 474 31. Khare, S. *et al.* GISAID's Role in Pandemic Response. *China CDC Wkly.* **3**, 1049–1051
- 475 (2021).
- 476 32. Page, A. J. et al. SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi- FASTA
- 477 alignments. *Microb. Genomics*.

- 478 33. Li, X. et al. Correlation between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater and
- 479 COVID-19 cases in community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Hazard.

480 *Mater.* **441**, 129848 (2023).

- 481 34. Li, L. et al. Longitudinal monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater using viral genetic
- 482 markers and the estimation of unconfirmed COVID-19 cases. *Sci. Total Environ.* **817**,
- 483 152958 (2022).
- 484 35. Li, L. *et al.* Detecting SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Wastewater and Their Correlation With
- 485 Circulating Variants in the Communities. *Res. Sq.* rs.3.rs-1435729 (2022)
- 486 doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1435729/v1.
- 487 36. Zheng, X. et al. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater treatment plants
- 488 mirrors the pandemic trend in Hong Kong. *Sci. Total Environ.* **844**, 157121 (2022).
- 489 37. COVID-19 National Incident Room Surveillance Team. COVID-19 Australia:
- 490 Epidemiology Report 59 Reporting period ending 13 March 2022. *Commun. Dis. Intell.*
- **4**91 **46**, (2022).
- 492 38. Zhang, Y. et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike L452R mutation increases Omicron variant
- 493 fusogenicity and infectivity as well as host glycolysis. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 7,
 494 1–3 (2022).
- 39. Barut, G. T. *et al.* The spike gene is a major determinant for the SARS-CoV-2 OmicronBA.1 phenotype. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 5929 (2022).
- 497