

1 Social skills group training (KONTAKT™) for 2 Chinese autistic children: a feasibility wait-list 3 RCT protocol

4 Authors and Affiliations

5 Uchong Lao^{1□} · Huilin Zhu^{1□*} · Fengjing Liang¹ · Wuxia Bai¹² · Peipei Yin¹ · Xiaoqian
6 Huang¹ · Sonya Girdler^{2345*} · Sven Bölte^{234*} · Xiaobing Zou^{1*}

7 ¹ Child Development and Behavior Center, The Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University,
8 China, 2693 Kai Chuang Avenue, Huangpu District, Guangzhou, 510530, China

9 ² School of Allied Health, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth, WA, 6102, Australia

10 ³ Curtin Autism Research Group (CARG), Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

11 ⁴ Center of Neurodevelopmental Disorders (KIND), Centre for Psychiatry Research, Division of
12 Neuropsychiatry, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet & Child and
13 Adolescent Psychiatry, Stockholm Health Care Services, Stockholm County Council, Stockholm,
14 Sweden

15 ⁵ School of Allied Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

16

17

18 □ Equal contributions

19 * Corresponding authors

20 ORCID ID:

21 Uchong Lao: 0000-0001-8658-880X

22 Huilin Zhu: 0000-0002-1052-708X

23 Sonya Girdler: 0000-0001-7992-0800

24 Sven Bölte: 0000-0002-4579-4970

25

26 **Corresponding authors information:**

27 **Huilin Zhu**

28 Email: zhuhlin6@mail.sysu.edu.cn

29

30 **Sonya Girdler**

31 Email: sonya.girdler@curtin.edu.au

32

33 **Sven Bölte**

34 Email: sven.blote@ki.se

35

36 **Xiaobing Zou**

37 Email: zouxb@mail.sysu.edu.cn

38

39 **Abstract**

40 **Introduction**

41 Challenges in social communication and interaction are common among school-aged autistic
42 children in mainstream society settings. Although social skills group training (SSGT) is effective in
43 promoting positive psycho-social functioning outcomes in autistic children, there is no standardised
44 evidence-based SSGT in Mainland China to date. Therefore, the main aim of this study is to
45 evaluate the feasibility and acceptability of the culturally-adapted 16-session version of the
46 KONTAKT™ programme in Chinese autistic children. In addition, this study will provide
47 preliminary effectiveness and cost-effectiveness data on the 16-session Chinese version of
48 KONTAKT™.

49 **Methods and analysis**

50 This is a randomised, single-blinded, wait-list controlled feasibility study with 36 autistic
51 children aged 8–12 years with Intelligence Quotient over 70. They will be assigned to either the
52 immediate training group (ITG) or the delayed training group (DTG) based on gender. Feasibility
53 will be measured by the mixed-methods data of acceptability and adherence of participants, parents,
54 and providers. Preliminary effectiveness and cost-effectiveness will be assessed by quantitative data
55 collected at five-time points. The main outcome is the improvement in social skills as measured by
56 the Contextual Assessment of Social Skills (CASS). Other outcomes include autism traits, adaptive
57 functioning, self-assertiveness, psycho-social functioning, parental reflective functioning, and
58 school support. Common process factors and their effects on outcomes will also be explored.

59 Cost-effectiveness will consider both societal and healthcare perspectives.

60 **Ethics and dissemination**

61 The current study protocol has been reviewed and ethics approval obtained from the Ethical
62 Board Committee at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (H2023-119-01). The
63 trial has pre-registered in Chinese Clinical Trials (ChiCTR2300072136) on 05 June 2023. The
64 results of this trial will be actively disseminated through manuscript publications and conference
65 presentations.

66 **Keywords**

67 Social skills group training; Children; KONTAKT™; Cross-cultural adaptation; Feasibility; Autism;
68 Chinese

69

70 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 71 ● Comprehensive assessment via diverse outcome acquisition methods and multiple informants.
- 72 ● Systematic cultural adaptation using the Integrative Cultural Adaptation Framework (ICAF)
73 ensures the appropriateness of the Chinese version of KONTAKT™.
- 74 ● Collect data on readiness for change, providing insights into “help-seeker” effects.
- 75 ● Limited generalisability due to a single study site, location-specific inclusion, and absence of
76 active comparator.
- 77 ● Uncertain psychometric properties of certain measures due to a lack of Chinese population

78 data.

79 **Introduction**

80 **Background and rationale**

81 Autism¹ is a neuro-developmental condition that is characterised by persistent challenges in
82 reciprocal social interaction and communication [1]. As a result, autistic children often struggle to
83 fulfill the expected social norms, limiting their maximum functional capacity in everyday contexts
84 [2]. The estimated prevalence of autism among children aged 6 to 12 in Mainland China is 0.7% (~
85 1 in 143 children), with nearly half of the identified cases being enrolled in mainstream schools [3].
86 This data underscores the need for evidence-based interventions to enhance the social competence
87 and well-being of cognitively capable Chinese autistic children.

88 Among interventions for verbal and cognitively capable school-aged autistic children, Social
89 Skills Group Training (SSGT) stands out as an evidence-based group approach [4, 5, 6]. Multiple
90 meta-analyses of SSGT programmes in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) consistently report
91 moderate to large *d*-type effects in achieving personally meaningful social goals, enhancing social
92 skills, social skills knowledge, social participation, and social functioning [4, 7, 8, 9].

93 However, the generalisation of SSGT effects remains undefined, primarily due to over-reliance
94 on parent ratings and a lack of blinded observation [4, 7]. Results from previous meta-analyses [4, 7,
95 10, 11] inferred that improvements observed in parent and clinical ratings were primarily related to
96 increased social skills knowledge or specific task-related skills, rather than real-life social
97 improvements [7].

¹ Herein, identity-first language is used since this is the endorsed terminology among autistic advocates (Kenny, 2016).

98 Another conspicuous limitation is the limited input from autistic children themselves.
99 Understandably, up to 50% autistic population struggles with understanding and interpreting
100 emotion states, scilicet co-occurring alexithymia, making it challenging to gather their perspectives
101 [12]. Additionally, autistic children may encounter comprehension challenges in emotional
102 vocabulary and Likert scale metrics, raising concerns about the credibility of self-report measures
103 [13]. However, recent meta-analysis findings suggest that emotional self-awareness in school-aged
104 autistic children is comparable to their peers despite the high heterogeneity between the included
105 studies [14]. Furthermore, applying validated non-verbal psychometric measurements, such as
106 projection tests (Draw-A-Person Test [DAPT], [15, 16]), may be conducive to exploring autistic
107 children's mental states [17, 18, 19].

108 Another discernible limitation in the past SSGT studies was the sparseness of the
109 investigations in common process factors [20]. While a significant proportion of SSGT studies have
110 reported programme adherence and satisfaction [7], the data of these studies were descriptive. In
111 other words, they did not systematically examine these process factors about outcomes [20].

112 KONTAKT™ programme is a well-established SSGT designed for children and adolescents
113 with neurodivergent conditions [21]. Originating in Germany [22], it has now been further
114 developed, standardised, culturally adapted, and evaluated in Sweden [23, 24] and Australia [25].
115 Three session-length variants (24-session, 16-session, and 12-session) have been standardized and
116 evaluated through RCTs, demonstrating efficacy in improving participants' social functioning,
117 adaptive functioning, emotional well-being, emotion recognition and regulation,
118 personal-meaningful goals, and gaze fixation [23, 24, 25]. Both quantitative and qualitative data

119 support KONTAKT™ as an effective, safe, and well-received program with trivial adverse events
120 [23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

121 To our knowledge, there is no culturally-standardised SSGT program for school-aged autistic
122 children in Mainland China. KONTAKT™, with its diverse, individualised, and naturalistic session
123 design [21], is envisaged to effectively cultivate the social functioning and well-being of Chinese
124 autistic children, provided that due consideration is taken on Chinese cultural and social norms [28].

125 Cultural adaptation involves systematically modifying an evidence-based intervention to align
126 with the target population’s needs, values, languages, conditions, and customs [29, 30, 31].
127 However, only a few cultural adaptation studies on SSGT have well-documented and
128 theoretically-based methodologies. Moreover, past SSGT studies often lack input from the target
129 population, despite language translation and the inclusion of culturally relevant content [6].

130 Ignoring cultural differences can significantly hamper the effectiveness of evidence-based
131 SSGTs for autism [28]. Since the core characteristics of autism involve social interaction and
132 communication, social-ecological variations between cultures will predominantly influence the
133 definition between “functioning” and “disorder” regarding autism [32]. These differences also affect
134 collaboration among various social service providers, including healthcare, schools, and
135 communities [33, 34, 35]. For example, Chinese parents are less likely to disclose their child’s
136 autism diagnosis to the child and school, reflecting limited family-school collaboration [36].

137 Various cultural adaptation frameworks are available [29, 30, 37, 38], sharing similar steps
138 despite some methodological distinctions. This study adopts the integrative cultural adaptation
139 framework (ICAF) since it aligns with consensus in the field [29]. The ICAF involves five stages:

140 information gathering, preliminary design, preliminary testing, refinement, and final trial. This
141 study focuses on the first four stages to prepare for the final trial (the last stage).

142 **Objectives and hypotheses**

143 The overarching purpose of the present study is to assess the feasibility and cross-cultural
144 validity of the adapted 16-session version of KONTAKT™ programme for Chinese autistic children
145 in preparation for a larger RCT trial. The specific objectives are:

- 146 1. Evaluating the integration of KONTAKT™ into a tertiary hospital's routine activity;
- 147 2. Assessing the acceptability and feasibility of data collection;
- 148 3. Examining preliminary quantitative and qualitative effects of KONTAKT™; and,
- 149 4. Exploring the impact of common process factors on outcomes.

150 Our primary hypothesis is that the Chinese 16-session KONTAKT™ version will be feasible
151 and culturally appropriate for Chinese autistic children with minor revisions, implemented
152 successfully in a tertiary clinic. We also hypothesize that participants in the immediate training
153 group (ITG), in comparison to the delayed training group (DTG) [wait-list], will experience greater
154 improvements in outcomes from Time 1 to Time 3 (waiting period of DTG). We expect that
155 participants and their parents with higher readiness for change and a stronger alliance in the ITG
156 will benefit more from KONTAKT™. Lastly, we anticipate that the training effects in the ITG will
157 persist at follow-up, and significant improvements in children's outcomes will occur in the DTG
158 from Time 3 to Time 5 (training endpoint of the DTG).

159 **Trial Design**

160 This study was approved by the Ethical Board Committee at the Third Affiliated Hospital of
161 Sun Yat-sen University (II2023-119-01) and preregistered in Chinese Clinical Trials
162 (ChiCTR2300072136).

163 Any modification to this protocol will be reported to the ethical board and updated in Chinese
164 Clinical Trials. Following Thabane and Lancaster's guidelines in 2019 [39], the Chinese
165 KONTAKT™ CHILD protocol is planned and reported following the Standard and Protocol Items:
166 Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) [40]. The findings of this study will be reported
167 adherent to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials for social and psychological
168 interventions (CONSORT-SPI) [41].

169 The Chinese KONTAKT™ CHILD feasibility study has a prospective, wait-list controlled,
170 single-site, stratified, randomised design. Blinding applies to data collectors, outcome adjudicators,
171 and data analysts. Three major assessment time-points (ITG: Pre-Post-Follow Up, DTG:
172 Pre-Pre-Post), with an additional mid-point cost and processing factors data collection for each
173 group, are included. Measurements will be taken in person except for data from teachers and
174 prescreening processes. Moreover, this study will employ a mixed-methods design, with
175 between-group and within-participant comparisons, to explore the feasibility and acceptability of
176 implementing a large-scale RCT. Randomisation is performed at a 1:1 allocation ratio, with variable
177 block length and gender stratification.

178 **Methods: participants, interventions, and outcomes**

179 **Study setting**

180 All in-person assessments and interventions will take place at the outpatient department of the
181 Child Development and Behaviour Centre (CDBC) of the tertiary academic hospital named the
182 Third-affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University in Guangzhou, China. The CDBC, one of
183 China's first established and leading developmental behaviour paediatric units, has over 20 years of
184 experience diagnosing and promoting well-being on autistic populations. On the other hand, online
185 assessments will be designed and distributed using the SurveyStar online survey platform
186 (<https://wjx.cn/>).

187 The KONTAKT™ certified trainers are experienced CBDC clinicians (clinical psychologists,
188 developmental-behavioural paediatricians, and therapists) working with Chinese autistic children
189 (with 3-10 years working experiences). They have completed standardised online KONTAKT™
190 methodological training, including self-directed training and video-conference seminars, followed
191 by a 13-session enhanced in-person training..


192 KONTAKT™ sessions, except for the excursion session, will occur in a spacious, well-lit
193 room where participants and trainers form a circle. The room will also include a flipchart for
194 session notes and a blackboard for group rules and session agenda. Excursions will be held at
195 nearby cafes, bubble tea shop,s or convenience stores. Parent sessions will take place in a separate
196 room with a projector for lectures, following a similar circle setup.

197 **Recruitment**

198 **Figure 1** displays the flow of participants throughout the study. Thirty-six autistic children will
199 be recruited through the outpatient department of the CDBC. Recruitment avenues will be multiple,
200 which include word-of-mouth, referral by clinicians, posters with study information displayed at the
201 CDBC clinic, and advertising articles published on the WeChat[®] Official account
202 (<https://www.wechat.com/>).

203 Parents will complete an online registration questionnaire if they and their children desire to
204 participate. They will provide their children's demographic information, diagnostic statuses,
205 previous evaluations on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-IV Chinese version (WISC-IV)
206 [42], the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic (ADOS-G) [43], and screen for
207 behaviour problems using the Childhood Behavior Checklist-parent version (CBCL) [44], and their
208 contact information. Parents should expect to spend approximately 10-15 minutes completing the
209 questionnaire. Applying the step of online registration can facilitate the analysis of demand, and
210 research coordinators can monitor recruitment.

211 After parents submit the registration questionnaire, our research coordinators will assess
212 candidate eligibility. Prequalified candidates' parents will then undergo a 10-15 minute prescreening
213 phone interview, discussing their children's school lives, academic performances, and special needs,
214 and addressing any study-related questions. The interviews will also verify the candidate's cognitive
215 and verbal abilities for the course materials.



216

217 **Figure 1** Chinese KONTAKT™ Child feasibility study flowchart.

218 If fulfilling the prescreening criteria, candidate participants and their parents will come to the
 219 clinic for a 50-minute face-to-face intake interview with the research coordinator. During the intake
 220 interview, both children and parents will each have about a 20-minute individual interview with the
 221 research coordinator. If the family meets the eligibility criteria and agrees to participate, an written

222 informed consent form will be obtained.

223 **Eligibility criteria**

224 *Inclusion criteria*

225 (1) Aged 8-12 years;

226 (2) A clinical diagnosis of autism (confirmed by ADOS-G, module 3);

227 (3) A Full-scale IQ > 70 on the WISC-IV;

228 (4) Resided in Guangzhou city for the past 12 months.

229 *Exclusion criteria*

230 (1) Significant physical impairment or severe metabolic disease(s);

231 (2) Significant rule-breaking or aggressive behaviours within the last six months, as confirmed
232 by CBCL;

233 (3) Low intrinsic motivation to participate;

234 (4) Significant difficulties with Chinese characters reading comprehension or Mandarin
235 expression and comprehension;

236 (5) Prior or current behaviour or psychiatric conditions that could disrupt participation or
237 necessitate other treatment(s).

238 Participants with common co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions in autistic individuals,
239 such as attention-deficiency hyperactivity disorder, depression, anxiety, and tic disorder, are eligible
240 if these conditions do not significantly interfere with participation. They can continue their regular
241 pharmacological treatments, psychological therapies, or interventions while participating in

242 KONTAKT™. Written informed consent will be obtained from participants and parents after a
243 thorough explanation of the study during the intake interview..


244 **Intervention**

245 *KONTAKT™ Intervention*

246 Before the completion of this protocol, a preliminary adaptation of KONTAKT™ (The first
247 step of the ICAF - Information gathering) has been conducted. The KONTAKT™ programme
248 includes workbooks for trainers, children, and parents, ensuring intervention fidelity. To adapt the
249 16-session variant of KONTAKT™ from Australian English to Mandarin Chinese, we followed a
250 rigorous process. First, we translated the materials and verified accuracy among authors. Then, a
251 workgroup of KONTAKT™ trainers, who are also CDBC clinicians, provided feedback. This step
252 had led to a significant modification on the discussing topic regarding autism diagnosis in a more
253 general and implicit way (session 3). In addition, to facilitate parents discussing the autism
254 diagnosis with their child, information relating to “how to talk about the diagnosis with your child”
255 was added in the first parent session. This adaptation was made because study shows that over 60%
256 of autistic children do not notice their autism diagnoses, with 40% of parents of autistic children
257 prefer to remain the diagnosis undisclosed to their autistic children in China. Additionally, parents
258 received support in helping their children with homework, including role-play exercises and
259 demonstration videos. We included session-by-session feedback and progress reports for parents, as
260 Chinese parents often require more social coaching support. We modified and added questions,
261 games, activities, and scenarios in line with Chinese cultural norms. We also created a Chinese

262 name for KONTAKT™, emphasizing meaningful socialization (交得益). Finally, a family with an
263 autistic adolescent proofread the manual to enhance language fluency and localise terminology.
264 Additionally, we customised the logo and stationary to foster group cohesion among participants.

265 The KONTAKT™ programme integrates Cognitive Behaviour Therapy principles and settings
266 tailored for autistic children (see **Figure 2**) [21], including psychoeducation, observational learning,
267 modeling, behavioural activation, homework, computerised cognitive training, rehearsal, structured
268 agenda, and parental involvement. It employs mandatory, recurring, and variable activities to foster
269 participants generalising their personally meaningful social goals. Sessions aim to enhance
270 understanding of social norms, develop adaptive socio-emotional skills, and promote practical
271 applications. The first 11 sessions have consistent themes and assignments, while later sessions are
272 more individualised.



273 **Figure 2** Elements, structures, and goals of KONTAKT™.

274 A group of 4-6 participants will attend 16 consecutive sessions of KONTAKT™ led by 2-3
275 trainers over weekends, with each session lasting 60 minutes. Three parent sessions will take place
276 at the beginning, middle, and end of the training, offering individual feedback on their children's
277 progresses, autism knowledge and discussing autism diagnosis with their children, and facilitating
278 parents as social coaches for their children. Parents can ask questions, share feedback, and provide
279 mutual support during parent sessions. Parents are encouraged to assist with homework, and trainers
280 will offer children's session-by-session feedback after class. Refer to **Supplementary Table 1**
281 (**online supplemental file 1**) for the structure and content of the KONTAKT™ Chinese version.

282 To safeguard the fidelity of the KONTAKT™ treatment protocol, trainers will complete
283 session-by-session fidelity checklists and receive supervision from certified KONTAKT™ trainers.

284 **Description on the rationales of adapting the Chinese 16-session KONTAKT™**

285 The reasons for choosing the 16-session KONTAKT™ variant are four-fold. Firstly, while the
286 RCT efficacy study from Australia indicates that four additional sessions to the 12-session variant
287 of KONTAKT™ showed no substantial improvement on autistic traits [25], the feasibility and
288 efficacy of the 16-session variant remain unestablished in autistic children. Additionally, previous
289 research found that the 12-session variant did not significantly outperform standard care [23], and
290 the 24-session variant had a higher attrition rate (26% vs 11%/14%) [23, 24, 25]. Thirdly, aligning
291 with the Chinese academic year structure, which consists of two terms with approximately five
292 months each, the 16-session version is more practical. Lastly, to ensure ethical and practicality, we
293 aim for a 4-5 month waiting period in the wait-list group, as extended waiting times can impact

294 adherence negatively [45].

295 **Explanation for the choice of comparators**

296 The waiting period of the DTG is limited to 4-5 months, roughly equivalent to one round of
297 KONTAKT™ training. While an active comparator in an RCT is typically the most robust, due to
298 limited clinical resources in Mainland China, a wait-list control group is considered more practical.
299 Moreover, given that “non specific” care is common in Mainland China, a wait-list control group
300 allows for assessing the additional impact of KONTAKT™ on usual care.

301 **Intervention adherence**

302 We will use a participant attendance sheet to track compliance, aiming for at least 80%
303 attendance (13 out of 16 sessions) as acceptable. We also record homework completion, with a
304 target of over 60% considered tolerable.

305 We adopt several approaches recommended by Robiner to enhance the wait-list group’s
306 adherence [46]:

- 307 (1) Provide detailed study information during intake interviews;
- 308 (2) Emphasize the importance of adherence;
- 309 (3) Garner trust with families during intake interviews;
- 310 (4) Offer face-to-face assessments for regular clinical visits;
- 311 (5) Minimise waiting time to 4-5 months with a single-round intervention;
- 312 (6) Schedule appointments at convenient times.

313 **Randomisation**

314 After the initial visit to the clinic, the participant will be randomised to either ITG or DTG.
315 Randomisation will be conducted by a third party not involved in the Chinese KONTAKT™
316 CHILD trial using computer-generated random numbers. The permuted block numbers of 4-6 will
317 be used to restrict randomisation within the strata (gender). Each participant will have a unique code
318 to denote.

319 **Assessments/measures**

320 Outcomes from multiple informants (children, parents, teachers, clinical ratings) and multiple
321 means (questionnaires, interviews, observations, projective tests) will be acquired. The assessment
322 timeline is presented in **Table 1**.

323 [Table 1]

324 All online questionnaire fields are mandatory, eliminating the expectation of missing data. QR
325 codes will be used for distributing the registration and teacher questionnaires, completed via the
326 WeChat® app. Each teacher questionnaire will assign unique research serial numbers for participant
327 identification.

328 *The primary outcome* of the Mandarin KONTAKT™ CHILD study is social communication
329 and interaction skills improvement measured by the Contextual Assessment of Social Skills (CASS),
330 an observational measure. *Secondary outcomes* include autistic characteristics (Social
331 Responsiveness Scale-2 [SRS-2]), generalised social skills (Snack Time Assessment of
332 Relationships and Social Skills [STARSS]), adaptive functioning (Adaptive Behaviour Assessment

333 System-II), self-esteem and self-concept (Self-concept Scale Draw-A-Person [SCS-DAP], Child
334 Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale [CRSES]), cognitive development and psychosocial well-being
335 (Koppitz Draw-A-Person Test, Child Outcome Rating Scale), perceived school support school level,
336 and parental reflective functioning. *Feasibility outcomes* include acceptability, appropriateness, and
337 negative effects from quantitative data (fidelity checklists, recruitment rates, attendance rates,
338 homework completion rates, Group Session Rating Scale, Theoretical Framework of Acceptability
339 Questionnaire, and Negative Effects Questionnaire) and qualitative data from focus groups
340 post-intervention. *Common process factors measurements* include treatment alliance (Therapeutic
341 Alliance Scales for Children) and readiness for change (Preparedness for Participating in
342 KONTAKT™ Scale). *Cost-effectiveness outcomes* include healthcare consumption and productivity
343 loss (the tailored version of the Trimbos/iMTA questionnaire for patients with a psychiatric disorder
344 child version) and health-related quality of life (Child Health Utility 9D, EQ-5D-Y).

345 For details of these measures, see **online supplemental file 2**.

346 **Retention**

347 Assessment appointments will offer flexibility within the time frame, with reminders from
348 research assistants. Paper questionnaires will be provided for those unable to have in-person
349 assessments, with families returning them by post. All non-retention events will be documented and
350 reported.

351 **Data management**

352 In the Chinese KONTAKT™ CHILD feasibility study, all paper data will be converted to

353 electronic format. Trained research assistants will input the data within two weeks after each
354 assessment. Both paper and electronic data will be stored in EpiData [47] with double-entry for data
355 integrity. Checks for referenced range values, valid values, and regular data consistency will be
356 applied. Non-numeric data, including open-ended questions and notes, will be entered as originally
357 written.

358 Video and audio recordings will be labeled with unique serial names, and their details recorded
359 in the EpiData repository. Paper documents will be securely stored in locked filing cabinets at the
360 clinic. Electronic textual data will be password-protected. Video and audio files will have dual
361 backups on encrypted hard disks. Only the principal investigator will have full data access.

362 Incremental data and recording files will be back-up daily.

363 **Sample size**

364 The primary outcome measure is CASS. Previous SSGT studies applying the CASS suggested
365 a medium effect size (ES) of 0.42 [48]. Additionally, a rough average ES of 0.40, as measured by
366 the SRS-2, is derived from the three prior RCT studies evaluating KONTAKT™ [23, 24, 25],
367 informs the expected ES for the future Chinese KONTAKT™ CHILD main trial. According to
368 Whitehead et al., a feasibility study with a sample size of 15 per treatment arm allows for detecting
369 a medium EF (0.3~0.7) with 90% power and two-sided 5% significance in the main trial [49].
370 Accounting for a 15% attrition rate from previous KONTAKT™ evaluation studies, we require a
371 sample size of $n = 18$ per arm ($N = 36$ in total).

372 **Statistical analysis**

373 *Statistical methods for primary and secondary outcomes*

374 The primary outcome for this study is social-communication skills improvement as measured
375 by the CASS, with the follow-up being the primary endpoint. The analysis will follow the
376 intent-to-treat (ITT) principles [50], and all data from every participant will be analysed. Missing
377 data will be handled based on established guidelines for each measure or CONSORT-SPI statements.
378 No interim analysis will occur.

379 Data will be checked for normality and variance heterogeneity. Baseline demographics will be
380 compared between ITG and DTG using t-tests or Wilcoxon rank test (continuous variables) and
381 chi-square tests (categorical variables) to verify group comparability. Random mixed effects
382 regression models, which have the advantage of handling missing data, will compare ITG and DTG
383 for primary and secondary analyses, considering time (baseline, endpoint, follow-up), group (ITG,
384 DTG), age, gender, IQ, co-occurring conditions, process factors (PPKS, GSRS at baseline), and th
385 interaction variable (time \times group). Participants' ID will be a random effect to account for
386 intra-individual correlations. Intervention effects will be reported as least-square mean differences.
387 ES will be reported by dividing the groups' mean difference (baseline to endpoint and baseline to
388 follow-up) by the pooled standard deviations, with positive values favoring intervention.

389 Feasibility measures will be reported descriptively. Additionally, qualitative data will be
390 transcribed verbatim, de-identified, then imported into Nvivo 12 for theme-based analysis.

391

392 *Health economic analyses analysis*

393 Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) will follow an ITT basis, considering societal and
394 healthcare perspectives. Cost differences will be statistically tested, while resource usage
395 differences will not be compared to prevent false positives. Quality-adjusted life year (QALY) will
396 be calculated from EQ-5D-Y and CHU9D scores using validated algorithms for Chinese children
397 and adolescents [51, 52]. Given potential non-normality in cost data, a non-parametric random
398 forest permutation model will handle missing data. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER)
399 will be calculated as $\Delta\text{cost}/\Delta\text{QALYs}$. Cost differences between ITG and DTG at different time
400 points will be analysed via linear regression with bootstrapping for 5,000 repetitions to generate
401 non-parametric confidence intervals (See **online supplemental file 3** for CEA details).

402 **Discussion**

403 The study commenced recruitment on June 5, 2023. This article presents the design of the
404 Chinese KONTAKT™ CHILD trial, a feasibility wait-list RCT featuring the Chinese 16-session
405 KONTAKT™ variant for autistic children. This design incorporates multiple data sources and
406 diverse outcome assessment methods, facilitating a comprehensive exploration of outcome data
407 feasibility from different perspectives. Furthermore, a systematic cultural adaptation process
408 ensures the suitability, acceptability, and comprehensiveness of the Chinese KONTAKT™ version.
409 Adhering to the ICAF framework, this study will guide the refinement of the Chinese
410 KONTAKT™ version and future larger-scale evaluations, addressing the question of whether SSGT
411 can be effectively implemented for Chinese school-aged autistic children.

412 While there are several strengths in the study design mentioned earlier, it's important to

413 acknowledge some limitations. Firstly, the study's single-site nature and its focus on autistic
414 children in Guangzhou city may limit the generalisability of the findings. Additionally, certain
415 measures planned for use in the study, such as the CRSES and the SCS-DAP, may have uncertain
416 psychometric properties due to the lack of Chinese population data. However, this feasibility study
417 aims to provide empirical data on the psychometrics of these measures. Another limitation is the
418 absence of an active comparator, as the wait-list control could potentially overestimate treatment
419 effects [53]. Nevertheless, this aligns with the prevailing situation in China. Furthermore, the study
420 will collect data on parents' and children's readiness for change, allowing for an exploration of the
421 "help-seeker" effects, following the recommendation by Cunningham and colleagues in 2013 [53].

422 As mentioned above, the final stage of the ICAF involves running a full-scale RCT trial to
423 evaluate the efficacy of the Chinese version of KONTAKT™. Therefore, upon completing this
424 feasibility study, the investigators will integrate all quantitative and qualitative results to decide
425 whether a full-scale RCT trial will be progressed or redesigning another KONTAKT™ feasibility
426 study will be needed. The following aspects will be considered: (1) participants and parents'
427 acceptability and adherence rates, (2) participants and parents' feedback on demand for
428 KONTAKT™, (3) trainers' feedback on implementing KONTAKT™ in Chinese clinical setting, (4)
429 trainers' fidelity on implementing KONTAKT™, (5) the feasibility results of the processes for data
430 collecting, and (6) considering the potential capacity of the intervention setting (human resources,
431 materials, and equipment) on implementing a full-scale RCT regarding the sample size calculation
432 for the primary outcome.

433 **Plans for dissemination of finding**

434 The findings of the Chinese KONTAKT™ CHILD trial will be submitted to peer-review
435 journals for consideration. Abstracts will also be submit to both local and international conferences,
436 and community-based lay abstracts will be written and disseminated to provide feedback for autism
437 stakeholders in China.

438 **References**

- 439 1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
440 disorders. 5th ed., text rev.;2022.
- 441 2. Tillmann J, San Jose Caceres A, Chatham CH, et al. Investigating the factors
442 underlying adaptive functioning in autism in the EU-AIMS Longitudinal European Autism
443 Project. *Autism Res* 2019;12(4):645-57. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2081>. Epub 2019 Feb
444 12.
- 445 3. Zhou H, Xu X, Yan W, et al. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder in China: A
446 Nationwide Multi-center Population-based Study Among Children Aged 6 to 12 Years.
447 *Neurosci Bull* 2020;36(9):961-71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-020-00530-6>. Epub 2020
448 Jul 02.
- 449 4. Gilmore R, Ziviani J, Chatfield MD, et al. Social skills group training in adolescents
450 with disabilities: A systematic review. *Res Dev Disabil* 2022;125:104218.
451 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2022.104218>. Epub 2022 Mar 21.
- 452 5. Kaat AJ, Lecavalier L. Group-based social skills treatment: A methodological review.
453 *Res Autism Spectr Disord* 2014;8(1):15-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.10.007>.
- 454 6. Afsharnejad B, Black MH, Falkmer M, et al. The Methodological Quality and
455 Intervention Fidelity of Randomised Controlled Trials Evaluating Social Skills Group
456 Programs in Autistic Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J Autism Dev*
457 *Disord* 2023 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-023-05893-z>. Epub 2023 Jan 22.

458 7. Gates JA, Kang E, Lerner MD. Efficacy of group social skills interventions for youth
459 with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Rev
460 2017;52:164-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.01.006>. Epub 2017 Jan 29.

461 8. Wolstencroft J, Robinson L, Srinivasan R, et al. A Systematic Review of Group
462 Social Skills Interventions, and Meta-analysis of Outcomes, for Children with High
463 Functioning ASD. J Autism Dev Disord 2018;48(7):2293-307.
464 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3485-1>. Epub 2018 Feb 10.

465 9. Zheng S, Kim H, Salzman E, et al. Improving Social Knowledge and Skills among
466 Adolescents with Autism: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of UCLA PEERS(R) for
467 Adolescents. J Autism Dev Disord 2021;51(12):4488-503.
468 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-04885-1>. Epub 2021 Jan 30.

469 10. Miller A, Vernon T, Wu V, et al. Social Skill Group Interventions for Adolescents
470 with Autism Spectrum Disorders: a Systematic Review. Rev J Autism Dev Disord
471 2014;1(4):254-65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-014-0017-6>.

472 11. Soares EE, Bausback K, Beard CL, et al. Social Skills Training for Autism
473 Spectrum Disorder: a Meta-analysis of In-person and Technological Interventions. J Technol
474 Behav Sci 2021;6(1):166-80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41347-020-00177-0>. Epub 2020 Nov
475 24.

476 12. Kinnaird E, Stewart C, Tchanturia K. Investigating alexithymia in autism: A
477 systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Psychiatry 2019;55:80-89.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.09.004>. Epub 2018 Nov 07.

- 479 13. Tavernor L, Barron E, Rodgers J, et al. Finding out what matters: validity of quality
480 of life measurement in young people with ASD. *Child Care Health Dev* 2013;39(4):592-601.
481 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2012.01377.x>. Epub 2012 Apr 21.
- 482 14. Huggins CF, Donnan G, Cameron IM, et al. Emotional self-awareness in autism: A
483 meta-analysis of group differences and developmental effects. *Autism* 2021;25(2):307-21.
484 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320964306>. Epub 2020 Nov 07.
- 485 15. Lee A, Peter Hobson R. Drawing self and others: How do children with autism
486 differ from those with learning difficulties? *Br J Dev Psychol* 2006;24(3):547-65.
487 <https://doi.org/10.1348/026151005X49881>.
- 488 16. Papangelo P, Pinzino M, Pelagatti S, et al. Human Figure Drawings in Children
489 with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Possible Window on the Inner or the Outer World. *Brain*
490 *Sci* 2020;10(6) <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10060398>. Epub 2020 Jun 27.
- 491 17. Kotroni P, Bonoti F, Mavropoulou S. Children with autism can express social
492 emotions in their drawings. *Int J Dev Disabil* 2018;65(4):248-56.
493 <https://doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2018.1434855>. Epub 2018 Feb 13.
- 494 18. Schweizer C, Knorth EJ, Spreen M. Art therapy with children with Autism
495 Spectrum Disorders: A review of clinical case descriptions on ‘what works’. *The Arts in*
496 *Psychotherapy* 2014;41(5):577-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2014.10.009>.
- 497 19. Waller D. Art therapy for children: how it leads to change. *Clin Child Psychol*
498 *Psychiatry* 2006;11(2):271-82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104506061419>. Epub 2006 Nov
499 08.

500 20. Albaum CS, Vashi N, Bohr Y, et al. A Systematic Review of Therapeutic Process
501 Factors in Mental Health Treatment for Autistic Youth. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*
502 2023;26(1):212-41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-022-00409-0>. Epub 2022 Aug 24.

503 21. Bölte S. Social färdighetsträning i grupp med fokus på kommunikation och social
504 interaktion vid autismspektrumtillstånd enligt Frankfurtmodellen (KONTAKT). Göttingen,
505 Stockholm: Hogrefe; 2018.

506 22. Herbrecht E, Poustka F, Birnkammer S, et al. Pilot evaluation of the Frankfurt
507 Social Skills Training for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Eur Child*
508 *Adolesc Psychiatry* 2009;18(6):327-35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-008-0734-4>. Epub
509 2009 Jan 24.

510 23. Choque Olsson N, Flygare O, Coco C, et al. Social Skills Training for Children and
511 Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Am Acad*
512 *Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2017;56(7):585-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2017.05.001>.
513 Epub 2017 Jun 26.

514 24. Jonsson U, Olsson NC, Coco C, et al. Long-term social skills group training for
515 children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur*
516 *Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2019;28(2):189-201. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-018-1161-9>.
517 Epub 2018 May 12.

518 25. Afsharnejad B, Falkmer M, Black MH, et al. KONTAKT(R) social skills group
519 training for Australian adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized controlled
520 trial. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2022;31(11):1695-713.

521 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01814-6>. Epub 2021 May 31.

522 26. Afsharnejad B, Falkmer M, Picen T, et al. "I Met Someone Like Me!": Autistic
523 Adolescents and Their Parents' Experience of the KONTAKT(R) Social Skills Group
524 Training. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2022;52(4):1458-77.

525 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05045-1>. Epub 2021 May 05.

526 27. Choque Olsson N, Rautio D, Asztalos J, et al. Social skills group training in
527 high-functioning autism: A qualitative responder study. *Autism* 2016;20(8):995-1010.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361315621885>. Epub 2016 Feb 26.

529 28. Hodge DR, Jackson KF, Vaughn MG. Culturally sensitive interventions and health
530 and behavioral health youth outcomes: a meta-analytic review. *Soc Work Health Care*
531 2010;49(5):401-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00981381003648398>. Epub 2010 Jun 04.

532 29. Barrera M, Jr., Castro FG, Strycker LA, et al. Cultural adaptations of behavioral
533 health interventions: a progress report. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2013;81(2):196-205.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027085>. Epub 2012 Feb 01.

535 30. Bernal G, Bonilla J, Bellido C. Ecological validity and cultural sensitivity for
536 outcome research: issues for the cultural adaptation and development of psychosocial
537 treatments with Hispanics. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 1995;23(1):67-82.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01447045>. Epub 1995 Feb 01.

539 31. Faregh N, Lencucha R, Ventevogel P, et al. Considering culture, context and
540 community in mhGAP implementation and training: challenges and recommendations from
541 the field. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2019;13:58. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-019-0312-9>.

542 Epub 2019 Aug 30.

543 32. Bolte S, Lawson WB, Marschik PB, et al. Reconciling the seemingly irreconcilable:
544 The WHO's ICF system integrates biological and psychosocial environmental determinants
545 of autism and ADHD: The International Classification of Functioning (ICF) allows to model
546 opposed biomedical and neurodiverse views of autism and ADHD within one framework.
547 Bioessays 2021;43(9):e2000254. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202000254>. Epub 2021 Apr
548 03.

549 33. Bishop-Fitzpatrick L, Kind AJH. A Scoping Review of Health Disparities in Autism
550 Spectrum Disorder. J Autism Dev Disord 2017;47(11):3380-91.
551 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3251-9>. Epub 2017 Aug 02.

552 34. Kang-Yi CD, Grinker RR, Beidas R, et al. Influence of Community-Level Cultural
553 Beliefs about Autism on Families' and Professionals' Care for Children. Transcult Psychiatry
554 2018;55(5):623-47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461518779831>. Epub 2018 Jul 05.

555 35. Ravindran N, Myers BJ. Cultural Influences on Perceptions of Health, Illness, and
556 Disability: A Review and Focus on Autism. J Child Fam Stud 2012;21(2):311-19.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-011-9477-9>.

558 36. Clark E, Zhou Z, Du L. Autism in China: Progress and challenges in addressing the
559 needs of children and families. Int J Sch Educ Psychol 2019;7(2):135-46.
560 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683603.2019.1570885>.

561 37. Aarons GA, Green AE, Palinkas LA, et al. Dynamic adaptation process to
562 implement an evidence-based child maltreatment intervention. Implement Sci 2012;7:32.

563 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-32>. Epub 2012 Apr 20.

564 38. Highfield L, Hartman MA, Mullen PD, et al. Intervention Mapping to Adapt
565 Evidence-Based Interventions for Use in Practice: Increasing Mammography among African
566 American Women. *Biomed Res Int* 2015;2015:160103. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/160103>.
567 Epub 2015 Nov 21.

568 39. Thabane L, Lancaster G. A guide to the reporting of protocols of pilot and
569 feasibility trials. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2019;5:37.
570 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-019-0423-8>. Epub 2019 Mar 13.

571 40. Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard
572 protocol items for clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158(3):200-7.
573 <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00583>. Epub 2013 Jan 09.

574 41. Grant S, Mayo-Wilson E, Montgomery P, et al. CONSORT-SPI 2018 Explanation
575 and Elaboration: guidance for reporting social and psychological intervention trials. *Trials*
576 2018;19(1):406. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-018-2735-z>. Epub 2018 Aug 01.

577 42. Zhang H. The revision of WISC-IV Chinese version. *Psychological Science*
578 2009;32(5):1177-79. <https://doi.org/>

579 43. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised
580 version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive
581 developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 1994;24(5):659-85.
582 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02172145>. Epub 1994 Oct 01.

583 44. Su L, Li X, Wan G, et al. The norms of achenbach child behavior checklist in hunan

- 584 province. Chin J Clin Psychol 1996;4(1):24-28. <https://doi.org/>
- 585 45. Thornton CS, Tsai WH, Santana MJ, et al. Effects of Wait Times on Treatment
586 Adherence and Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Severe Sleep-Disordered Breathing: A
587 Secondary Analysis of a Noninferiority Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open
588 2020;3(4):e203088. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3088>. Epub 2020 Apr
589 21.
- 590 46. Robiner WN. Enhancing adherence in clinical research. Contemp Clin Trials
591 2005;26(1):59-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2004.11.015>.
- 592 47. Lauritsen J, Bruus M. EPIDATA: Data entry system for use with Stata, SPSS, SAS,
593 Excel, text formats. 2000 <https://doi.org/>
- 594 48. Rabin SJ, Laugeson EA, Mor-Snir I, et al. An Israeli RCT of PEERS(R):
595 Intervention Effectiveness and the Predictive Value of Parental Sensitivity. J Clin Child
596 Adolesc Psychol 2021;50(6):933-49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2020.1796681>. Epub
597 2020 Aug 12.
- 598 49. Whitehead AL, Julious SA, Cooper CL, et al. Estimating the sample size for a pilot
599 randomised trial to minimise the overall trial sample size for the external pilot and main trial
600 for a continuous outcome variable. Stat Methods Med Res 2016;25(3):1057-73.
601 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280215588241>. Epub 2015 Jun 21.
- 602 50. McCoy CE. Understanding the Intention-to-treat Principle in Randomized
603 Controlled Trials. West J Emerg Med 2017;18(6):1075-78.
604 <https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2017.8.35985>. Epub 2017 Nov 01.

605 51. Yang P, Chen G, Wang P, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version of
606 the Child Health Utility 9D (CHU9D-CHN): a school-based study in China. Qual Life Res
607 2018;27(7):1921-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-018-1864-x>. Epub 2018 May 08.

608 52. Yang Z, Jiang J, Wang P, et al. Estimating an EQ-5D-Y-3L Value Set for China.
609 Pharmacoeconomics 2022;40(Suppl 2):147-55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-022-01216-9>.
610 Epub 2022 Nov 18.

611 53. Cunningham JA, Kypri K, McCambridge J. Exploratory randomized controlled
612 trial evaluating the impact of a waiting list control design. BMC Med Res Methodol
613 2013;13:150. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-150>. Epub 2013 Dec 10.

614

615 **Footnotes**

616 **Authors' contributions**

617 LU, ZH, ZX, SB, and SG undertook the conceptualization and design of the study. LU, ZH,
618 BW translated the manuals and workbooks. LU, ZH, SB, SG, and ZX selected the measures used.
619 BW, FJ, YP, HX, ZH, LU, and ZX improved the content of the manual. FJ, YP, ZH, and ZX assist
620 with administration of groups and offer clinical support. LU drafted the manuscript, with inputs
621 from ZH, SB, SG, and ZX. All authors contributed to, read and approved the final manuscript.

622 **Funding statement**

623 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or
624 non-profit sectors.

625 **Competing interests**

626 All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

627 **Ethics approval**

628 This study has been approved by the Ethical Board Committee at the Third Affiliated Hospital
629 of Sun Yat-sen University (II2023-119-01), and pre-registered in Chinese Clinical Trials
630 (ChiCTR2300072136).

631 **Availability of data and materials**

632 The ethical consent and funding documents have been reviewed by the review board of the
633 Chinese Clinical Trial Registry and are available for review by the Editorial Office. Anonymised
634 data related to this study will be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request
635 after study completion and publication of the study. The final dataset generated during this study
636 will upload to the Research Data Deposit of Sun Yat-sen University. Trial results will be reported
637 and published in scientific journals.

638



639 **Acknowledgments**

640 We acknowledge the generous support from the Swedish team at Karolinska
641 Institutet/Stockholm Health Services and the Australian team at Curtin University. They facilitated
642 the training, provided precious experiences and materials for the CDBC KONTAKT™ trainers . We
643 thanks the CDBC KONTAKT™ team for facilitating the process of this trial. We sincerely thank
644 the autistic individual, Yihan Liu, and her families for validating the translation and contents of the
645 Chinese KONTKAT™ manual. Additionally, we appreciate Yan Li for designing the KONTAKT™
646 China tools.

647

648 **Tables**

649 **Table 1.** Schedule of enrolment, interventions, and assessments for the study

	Involvement ^a					STUDY PERIOD						
						Enrolment	Allocation	Post-allocation				
	C	P	T	E	F							
TIME POINTS ^b						-T1	0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
ENROLMENT:												
Eligibility Screen				X		X						
Informed consent				X		X						
Allocation							X					
INTERVENTIONS												
(KONTAKT TM):												
<i>Immediate Intervention</i>	X	X	X	X	X							X
<i>Delay Intervention</i>	X	X	X	X	X			X				
ASSESSMENTS:												
CBCL		X				X						
WISC-IV	X			X		X						
ADOS-G	X			X		X						
STI		X		X		X						
Screening												

	SFI	X	X		X									
	SES		X			X								
Primary Outcome	CASS (+CRS)	X			X					X		X	X	
Secondary Outcome	SRS-2		X	X						X		X	X	
	CRSES	X								X		X	X	
	Koppitz	X			X					X		X	X	
	DAPT													
	SCS-DAP	X			X					X		X	X	
	CORS	X												
	PRFQ		X							X		X	X	
	ABAS-2		X	X						X		X	X	
	PSS		X							X		X	X	
STARSS	X			X										
Processing Factors	TPOCS	X			X					X	X	X	X	X
	PPKS	X	X			X								
	TASC	X	X			X				X	X	X	X	X
Fidelity Outcome	Attendance	X	X			X								
	HCR	X				X								
	Focus Group	X	X			X					X		X	

	KFC				X			
	GSRS	X						
	TFAQ		X					X X X X X
	NEQ		X					X X
<i>Cost-effectiveness Outcome</i>	TIC-PK		X					X X X X X
	CHU9D	X						X X X X X
	EQ-5D-Y		X					X X X X X

a: Involvement: C: Child; P: Parent; T: Teacher; E: Evaluator blinded to the hypothesis; F: Trainer.


b: Time points: T1: Time 1; T2: Time 2; T3: Time 3; T4: Time 4; T5: Time 5.

Measures: ABAS-2: Adaptive Behaviour Assessment System-II; ADOS-G: Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale-Generic; CASS+CRS: Contextual Assessment of Social Skills + Conversation Rating Scale; CBCL: Child Behaviour Checklist; CHU9D: Child Health Utility 9D; CORS: Child Outcome Rating Scale; GSRS: Group Session Rating Scale; KFC: KONTAKT™ Fidelity Checklist; Koppitz DAPT: Koppitz Draw-A-Person Test; NEQ: Negative Effects Questionnaire; PPKS: Preparedness for Participating KONTAKT™ Scale; PRFQ: Parental Reflective Functioning Questionnaire; PSS: Perceived school support; TASC: Therapeutic Alliance Scales for Children; TFAQ: Theoretical Framework of Acceptability Questionnaire; TCI-PK: Trimbos/iMTA questionnaire for patients with a psychiatric disorder child version; SCS-DAP: Self-Concept Scale-Draw-A-Person; SES: Socioeconomic Status Scale; SFI: Screening Face Interview; STARSS: Snack Time Assessment of Relationships and Social Skills; STI: Screening Telephone Interview;

SRS-2: Social Responsiveness Scale-2; WISC-IV: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-IV

Chinese version.

650



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.30.23290596>; this version posted October 30, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

Goals

- Social communication and interaction skills
- Social motivation
- Social cognition
- Social competence
- Self-confidence
- Awareness of self and others
- Problem-solving strategies
- Emotion recognition and expression

Session Structure

- Opening Round
- Homework Review
- Thematic Discussion
- Group Activities
- Snack Time
- New Homework Assignment
- Closing Round

Overall Structure

- 16 weekly 60-minute sessions facilitated by 2-3 therapists with 4-6 children per group
- 3 sessions include parent meeting facilitated by one therapist
- Session 1-11 fixed themes and similar homework
- Session 12-15 individualised themes and homework
- Session 16 summary and graduation

Essential Elements

- Principles of CBT
- Behavioural activation
- Psychoeducation
- Observational learning
- Computerised social cognition training
- Parental involvement

KONTAKT™