[Full Title]

Increased Risks of Large-Artery Atherosclerosis and Brainstem Stroke in Patients With Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

[Author Names and Degrees]

¹Chin-Yang Lin, MD: ^{2,3}Cheng-Li Lin, MSc: ⁴Ji-An Liang, MD: ⁴Yao-Ching Wang, MD: ⁴Ying-Chun Lin, MD; ⁴Chih-Ying Liao, MD; ^{4,5}Ting-Chun Lin, MD

[Author Affiliations]

¹Department of Neurology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

[Short Title]

Increased LAA and Brainstem Stroke in NPC Patients

[Corresponding Author Name and Address]

Ting-Chun Lin

031968@tool.caaumed.org.tw

Department of Radiation Oncology, China Medical University Hospital

No. 2, Yude Rd., North Dist.

Taichung 404332

Taiwan

Total word count of the manuscript: 3981 words

Keywords: nasopharyngeal carcinoma, ischemic stroke, TOAST, anterior and posterior circulation, brainstem stroke, definitive radiotherapy, nationwide study, cohort study

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

²China Medical University Hospital, Management Office for Health Data, Taichung, Taiwan;

³China Medical University, College of Medicine, Taichung, Taiwan

⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

⁵Graduate Institute of Biomedical Sciences, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Abstract

Background: Information about the characteristics of stroke is crucial for improved screening, prevention, and treatment. The pattern of ischemic stroke in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is not well understood. The objective of this study is to investigate the etiology of ischemic stroke and the affected vascular territories in patients with NPC.

Methods: The Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database and the Taiwan Stroke Registry were combined to identify the following 2 cohorts: patients with NPC having a subsequent stroke (NPC cohort) and patients without NPC having a stroke (non-NPC cohort). Data pertaining to the period from 2006 to 2018 were analyzed. The TOAST (Trial of ORG 10 172 in Acute Stroke Treatment) subtype of ischemic stroke and the affected vascular territories were analyzed and compared between the 2 cohorts.

Results: The NPC cohort comprised 277 patients, whereas the non-NPC cohort comprised 125 024 patients. The most common TOAST subtype of ischemic stroke was large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA) in the NPC cohort and small-vessel occlusion in the non-NPC cohort. The prevalence of LAA was considerably higher in the NPC cohort (41.9%) than in the non-NPC cohort (28.3%). The analysis of the affected vascular territories revealed that the incidence of brainstem stroke was significantly higher in the NPC cohort (25.4%) than in the non-NPC cohort (14.5%).

Conclusions: Patients with NPC tend to have increased risks of LAA and brainstem ischemic stroke. Physicians should consider sparing the vertebral and basilar arteries during definitive radiotherapy for NPC. Long-term follow-up for patients with NPC may include brain magnetic resonance imaging. This study may guide radiation oncologists in clinical decision-making.

Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is a prevalent cancer in Asian countries.¹ In Taiwan, more than 1000 new cases of NPC are reported annually.² The standard treatment for NPC is radiotherapy (RT) for stage I disease and concurrent chemotherapy and RT for all other stages. The radiation field consistently involves the nasopharynx (dose, approximately 70 Gy, including the lymph nodes) and bilateral neck (dose, 50 to 60 Gy).³ Radiotherapy to the neck can cause ischemic stroke, even after several years.³⁻⁵

The main cause of RT-induced stroke is generally presumed to be post-RT vasculopathy, which leads to carotid artery stenosis and plaque formation. Attempts have been made to reduce the risk of RT-induced ischemic stroke with techniques such as carotid artery–sparing or vertebral artery–sparing intensity-modulated RT and proton therapy, but the effectiveness of these techniques remains unclear. 9,10

The Trial of ORG 10 172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) classification divides ischemic stroke etiology into 5 subtypes: large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA), small-vessel occlusion (SVO), cardioembolism, stroke of other determined etiology, and stroke of an undetermined cause. ^{11,12} In addition to standard risk management, determining the precise subtype of stroke is crucial for the prognosis and further long-term treatment—related decision-making. ^{13,14} Strokes can also be divided according to the affected vascular territory into anterior circulation strokes (mainly supplied by the internal carotid artery) and posterior circulation strokes (supplied by the basilar and vertebral arteries). ^{1,2} In the general population, the middle cerebral artery of the anterior circulation is the most commonly affected territory in ischemic stroke. ¹⁵ However, in patients with NPC, the distribution of posttreatment stroke subtypes according to their location or etiology remains unclear.

In this study, we combined Taiwan's National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) and the Taiwan Stroke Registry (TSR), a multicenter stroke registry database, to

analyze nationwide real-world data and identify the characteristics of subsequent ischemic stroke in patients with NPC, including its common TOAST subtypes and affected vascular territories.

Methods

Databases

For this real-world nationwide cohort study, we linked the TSR and NHIRD. The NHIRD contains detailed registry and claim data of all residents of Taiwan who are covered under Taiwan's mandatory National Health Insurance program. The TSR is sponsored by Taiwan's Department of Health and Welfare and has a nationwide network of stroke centers. It contains the records of the stroke types (e.g., ischemic stroke, transient ischemic attack, intracerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and other), diagnostic examinations (e.g., computed tomography [CT] and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]), and the 5 major subtypes of ischemic strokes according to the TOAST criteria (LAA, SVO, cardioembolism, specific pathogenesis, and undetermined pathogenesis).

Results

Study Population and Cohort Design

From the TSR, we identified patients who had a stroke between August 1, 2006, and August 31, 2018. Next, patients with a previous diagnosis of NPC (*International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification* code: 147; *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification* code: C11) were identified from the NHIRD. The NHIRD and TSR were linked using encrypted and unique personal identification numbers. Thus, we identified a cohort of patients with stroke who had a history

of NPC. In addition, we established a comparison cohort comprising patients with stroke without NPC (**Figure 1**). All included patients were aged ≥18 years.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board.

Analysis of the Vascular Territories Affected by Ischemic Stroke

To accurately define the stroke area, we used MRI data to identify the vascular territories affected by ischemic stroke (available in the TSR). These territories were broadly classified into anterior and posterior circulation groups. The anterior circulation group comprised the ischemic stroke–affected anterior and middle cerebral arteries, whereas the posterior circulation group comprised the ischemic stroke–affected posterior cerebral artery (PCA), thalamus, brainstem, and cerebellum.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive data are presented in terms of the frequency (percentage) or the mean \pm standard deviation values. The NPC and non-NPC cohorts were compared in terms of sex, age, stroke comorbidities, and cancer treatment by using the chi-square or independent t test. We analyzed the following stroke-related comorbidities: type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, coronary artery disease, and atrial fibrillation. Statistical significance was set at P < .05. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Cohort Characteristics and Stroke Types

The NPC cohort had more men (80.9% vs 60.2%), younger patients (61.7 \pm 11.2 vs 67.5

± 13.7), and fewer comorbidities (hyperlipidemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary artery disease, and atrial fibrillation) than did the non-NPC cohort. In the NPC cohort, 90.6% received RT and 73.3% received chemotherapy (**Table 1**). The average time interval between NPC diagnosis and stroke was 6.9 years (median: 6.4; interquartile range: 3.8–9.7).

In both cohorts, the predominant type of stroke was ischemic stroke (77.6% and 72.7%, respectively), followed by intracerebral hemorrhage, transient ischemic attack, and subarachnoid hemorrhage (**Table 2**). The distribution of stroke types was comparable between the 2 cohorts.

Between-Group Differences in the TOAST Subtypes of Ischemic Stroke

In the NPC cohort, 215 patients had ischemic stroke (**Table 2**); of them, TOAST subtype was documented for 186 patients; the main subtype was LAA (41.9%), followed by SVO (25.3%), undetermined cause (21.5%), other determined etiology (5.9%), and cardioembolism (5.4%). This distribution varied significantly from that in the non-NPC cohort: SVO (39.0%), LAA (28.3%), undetermined cause (18.3%), cardioembolism (12.7%), and other determined etiology (1.8%; **Table 3**).

Between-Group Differences in the Vascular Territories Affected by Ischemic Stroke

MRI data were available for 138 patients with NPC (49.8%) and 57 711 patients without NPC (46.2%). Regarding the affected vascular territories, the incidence rates of anterior circulation stroke were similar between the 2 cohorts but that of posterior circulation stroke was nonsignificantly higher in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort (31.2% vs 24.4%, respectively; P = .07). Notably, the incidence rate of brainstem stroke was significantly higher in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort (25.4% vs 14.5%, respectively; P

<.001; **Table 4**).

Discussion

Patients undergoing RT have an elevated risk of ischemic stroke.²⁰ In Taiwan, NPC is a common cancer, particularly in men; it has been associated with smoking and Epstein–Barr virus infection.^{2,21,22} Patients with NPC often have long survival, and some of them live long enough to develop ischemic stroke many years after receiving definitive concurrent chemotherapy and RT.^{6,23,24} Studies have indicated RT as the main cause of ischemic stroke in patients with head and neck cancer, with late complications including vasculopathy and fibrosis as the primary underlying mechanisms.^{5,25} Although researchers have frequently discussed why ischemic stroke develops after RT, few have characterized ischemic stroke, such as in terms of the TOAST classification or anatomically on the basis of affected vascular territories, in patients receiving RT.

Our results revealed 2 distinct patterns of ischemic stroke subsequent to an NPC diagnosis. First, the etiology of ischemic stroke was predominantly LAA in patients with NPC compared with SVO in the general population without NPC; the prevalence of LAA was approximately 1.5 times higher in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort (41.9% vs 28.3%, respectively). Second, the posterior circulation arteries were more susceptible to vascular injuries in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort. The incidence rate of brainstem stroke was approximately 1.75 times higher in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort (25.4% vs 14.5%, respectively). Together, these results suggest that the increase in the incidence of stroke in the NPC cohort results, at least partially, from atherosclerosis in large posterior circulation arteries, particularly the basilar and vertebral arteries.

No high-level evidence is available to explain how stroke is developed after RT. This is

challenging to determine through in vivo or in vitro studies not only because radiation-induced late effect takes years to manifest but also because this effect is an interaction between the vessels and the microenvironment, which is difficult to emulate in a laboratory. Several case reports have characterized radiation-induced vasculopathy as concentric vessel wall thickening resulting from chronic vasculitis. ²⁵⁻²⁷ We noted a higher prevalence of LAA in patients with NPC than in individuals without NPC; our findings align with the mechanisms proposed by the aforementioned studies.

The differences in the anatomical characteristics between the carotid arteries (supplying the anterior circulation) and the posterior circulation arteries that may be relevant in the context of the present study are as follows. First, the brainstem area has no well-established collateral circulation. For the internal carotid artery, the circle of Willis at least partially compensates for the insufficient blood flow. The cerebellum has collateral flow between different cerebellar arteries, including superior cerebellar arteries, anterior inferior cerebellar artery, and posterior inferior cerebellar artery. The PCA and thalamus may also receive blood supplies from the anterior circulation via the posterior communicating arteries. Nonetheless, brainstem perforators directly branch from the basilar artery. Therefore, pathological changes in the basilar artery may compromise the bifurcation points, resulting in brainstem stroke. Second, the diameter of the brainstem perforators is substantially smaller (80 to 840 μm) than that of the internal carotid artery (ICA; 4 to 6 mm). ²⁸⁻³⁰ This may also explain why the posterior circulation may be more susceptible to atherosclerosis-related vascular occlusion. Third, early identification of pathological changes, such as plaque formation and stenosis, in the posterior circulation may be challenging because the cranial and vertebral bony structures limit their visualization on transcranial Doppler ultrasound. Thus, obtaining detailed information through extracranial carotid Doppler ultrasound is more challenging for the posterior circulation than for the anterior circulation. The brainstem and thalamus are usually

the blind spots in CT and MRI perfusion examinations. Finally, in curative RT for NPC, high-dose radiation (usually ≥70 Gy) is conventionally delivered to the clivus and skull base, either intentionally or unintentionally, because of their close proximity to the tumor at the nasopharynx. The basilar and vertebral arteries are usually impossible to spare, which results in full-dose and full-length irradiation of the arteries supplying the posterior circulation. By contrast, only a partial length of the ICA (a considerably long and thick artery) receives a partial dose (usually 40 to 70 Gy). Higher doses of RT may also lead to relatively higher injury in the arteries of the posterior circulation.

Clinically, our findings may guide radiation oncologists in making decisions regarding treatment and follow-up for patients with NPC. In particular, they may consider contouring the basilar and vertebral arteries noted on the MRI during treatment planning, identifying them as at-risk arteries. Although the optimal artery dose constraint for averting vascular injuries remains unknown, proton therapy may be preferred over photon therapy when prioritizing artery sparing. Regarding follow-up, patients with NPC commonly undergo annual carotid echocardiography, but vascular changes in the brain are usually overlooked. We suggest performing brain MRI 7 years after RT to analyze the risk of posterior circulation ischemic stroke. In addition, these patients should be referred to specialists for the management of blood pressure. LAA is typically treated through antithrombotic therapy, stenting, and endarterectomy. Thus, for long-term NPC survivors after definitive RT, baseline ultrasonography screening, more frequent follow-up visits, and perhaps a reduced threshold for stents or similar interventions can be considered.

The strengths of our study include the use of an ideal cohort by selecting NPC as the target population. These patients are usually relatively young, have a good performance status, are long-term survivors, and receive consistent treatment. A nationwide study conducted in Taiwan in 2015 revealed that the rates of 5-year overall survival in patients with any-stage

NPC and those with early-stage NPC were 65.2% and 90%, respectively; these data enabled us to observe the onset of subsequent stroke. ^{2,32,33} Had we selected a cancer type with worse survival, we might not have been able to observe the development of this stroke. Additionally, patients with NPC usually receive RT with a consistent field and chemotherapy with a consistent regimen; the RT field covers the nasopharynx, skull base, and bilateral neck, and the chemotherapy regimen is concurrent cisplatin-based chemotherapy. Thus, the radiation volume and dose to the carotid and basilar arteries as well as the chemotherapy regimen and schedule do not vary markedly across patients with NPC receiving definitive treatment.

This study has several limitations, mainly resulting from its retrospective and registry-based nature. First, although the NHIRD did not record the detailed RT field and dose and did not always include the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging for each patient. Thus, some patients with NPC might have received local RT with a palliative intent, such as pain or symptom control. Nonetheless, this limitation likely does not affect our findings because patients receiving palliative RT were unlikely to survive long enough to develop ischemic stroke. Second, the RT technique applied in the time range of this cohort probably included older modalities, such as two- or three-dimensional conformal RT, that are not extensively used in modern-day Taiwan. In the era of intensity-modulated RT, fewer late complications, such as post-RT stroke, may occur in patients with NPC. Finally, the NPC cohort had insufficient number of patients with available MRI data, precluding the comprehensive analysis of the stroke subgroups. We could only conclude whether the incidence of anterior cerebral artery, PCA, thalamus, and cerebellum stroke was considerably lower in the NPC cohort than in the non-NPC cohort. Moreover, the TSR data did not record the specific vessels that were stenotic or occluded.

Despite these limitations, given the prolonged interval between RT and ischemic stroke incidence in patients with NPC, the onset of a stroke is difficult to observe in a clinical trial

setting. Thus, this retrospective, registry-based study might have been the best approach for

identifying the characteristics of posttreatment ischemic stroke in patients with NPC. Our

findings provide insights into the characteristics and possible etiology of posttreatment

ischemic stroke in patients with a history of NPC.

Acknowledgments

TCL and CYL designed the study and drafted the manuscript. CLL collected and analyzed the

data. JAL, YCW, YCL and CYL reviewed and edited the manuscript. We also thank Doctor

Chun-Ru Chien and Wallace Academic Editing for proofreading this manuscript. All authors

11

contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Sources of Funding

None.

Disclosures

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- **1.** Song Y, Cheng W, Li H, Liu X. The global, regional, national burden of nasopharyngeal cancer and its attributable risk factors (1990–2019) and predictions to 2035. *Cancer Med.* 2002;11(22):4310-4320. doi:10.1002/cam4.4783
- **2.** Department of Health, The Executive Yuan. *Cancer Registry Annual Report*. Taipei, Taiwan: Department of Health, The Executive Yuan; 2019.
- **3.** National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Head and Neck Cancers (Version 2.2023). https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf. Accessed, 2023.
- **4.** Plummer C, Henderson RD, O'Sullivan JD, Read SJ. Ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack after head and neck radiotherapy. *Stroke*. 2011;42(9):2410-2418. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.615203
- **5.** Arthurs E, Hanna TP, Zaza K, Peng Y, Hall SF. Stroke after radiation therapy for head and neck cancer: what is the risk? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016;96(3):589-596. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.007
- **6.** Lee CC, Su YC, Ho HC, et al. Increased risk of ischemic stroke in young nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2011;81(5):e833-e838. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.11.036
- **7.** Strüder D, Hellwig S, Rennau H, et al. Screening for irradiation vasculopathy by intima-media thickness sonography in head and neck cancer patients. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2021;278(6):2017-2026. doi:10.1007/s00405-020-06301-3
- **8.** Zou WXY, Leung TW, Yu SCH, et al. Angiographic features, collaterals, and infarct topography of symptomatic occlusive radiation vasculopathy. *Stroke* 2013;44(2):401-406. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.674036
- **9.** Choi HS, Jeong BK, Jeong H, et al. Carotid sparing intensity modulated radiotherapy on early glottic cancer: preliminary study. *Radiat Oncol J.* 2016;34(1):26-33.

doi:10.3857/roj.2016.34.1.26

- **10.** Lin YH, Cheng JY, Huang BS, et al. Significant reduction in vertebral artery dose by intensity modulated proton therapy: a pilot study for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Pers Med.* 2021;11(8):822. doi:10.3390/jpm11080822
- **11.** Adams HP, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in acute stroke treatment. *Stroke* 1993;24(1):35-41. doi:10.1161/01.str.24.1.35
- **12.** Radu RA, Terecoasă EO, Băjenaru OA, Tiu C. Etiologic classification of ischemic stroke: where do we stand? *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2017;159:93-106. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2017.05.019
- **13.** Adams HP, Davis PH, Leira EC, et al. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale score strongly predicts outcome after stroke: a report of the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). *Neurology*. 1999;53(1):126-131. doi:10.1212/wnl.53.1.126
- 14. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 Guideline for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*.
 2021;52(7):e364-e467.doi:10.1161/STR.0000000000000375
- 15. Ng YS, Stein J, Ning M, Black-Schaffer RM. Comparison of clinical characteristics and functional outcomes of ischemic stroke in different vascular territories. *Stroke*. 2007;38(8):2309-2314. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.106.475483
- 16. Lin L, Warren-Gash C, Smeeth L, Chen PC. Data resource profile: the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD). *Epidemiol Health*. 2018;40:e2018062. doi:10.4178/epih.e2018062
- **17.** Hsieh CY, Wu DP, Sung SF. Registry-based stroke research in Taiwan: past and future. *Epidemiol Health.* 2018;40:e2018004. doi:10.4178/epih.e2018004

- 18. Hsieh FI, Lien LM, Chen ST, et al. Get with the guidelines-stroke performance indicators: surveillance of stroke care in the Taiwan stroke registry. *Circulation*. 2010;122(11):1116-1123. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.936526
- **19.** Chiang CJ, You SL, Chen CJ, Yang YW, Lo WC, Lai MS. Quality assessment and improvement of nationwide cancer registration system in Taiwan: a review. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2015;45(3):291-296. doi:10.1093/jjco/hyu211
- 20. Tan TH, Zheng H, Cheo T, Tey J, Soon YY. Risk of stroke in nasopharyngeal cancer survivors: a national registry-based population cohort study. *Neurology*. 2022;98(2):e115-e124. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000013058
- 21. Hsu WL, Chen JY, Chien YC, et al. Independent effect of EBV and cigarette smoking on nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a 20-year follow-up study on 9,622 males without family history in Taiwan. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009;18(4):1218-1226. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-1175
- **22.** Chang ET, Ye W, Zeng YX, Adami HO. The evolving epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2021;30(6):1035-1047. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-20-1702
- **23.** Chen M, Kuan FC, Huang SF, et al. Accelerated risk of premature ischemic stroke in 5-year survivors of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Oncologist*. 2019;24(9):e891-e897. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0747
- **24.** Li CS, Schminke U, Tan TY. Extracranial carotid artery disease in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with post-irradiation ischemic stroke. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2010;112(8):682-686. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2010.05.007
- **25.** Yang EH, Marmagkiolis K, Balanescu DV, et al. Radiation-induced vascular disease—a state-of-the-art review. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:652761. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2021.652761

- **26.** Li M, Wu SW, Xu WH. High-resolution MRI of radiation-induced intracranial vasculopathy. *Neurology*. 2015;84(6):631. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000001223
- **27.** Chen H, Li X, Zhan X, et al. Late delayed radiation-induced cerebral arteriopathy by high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging: a case report. *BMC Neurol*. 2019;19(1):232. doi:10.1186/s12883-019-1453-9
- **28.** Huang YC, Huang SL, Liu HM, Chiu HC. A case of occlusive radiation vasculopathy presenting as bilateral internal carotid artery, left middle cerebral artery occlusion and literature review. *Case Rep Neurol.* 2020;12(Suppl 1):91-96. Doi:10.1159/000501902
- **29.** Morris B, Partap S, Yeom K, Gibbs IC, Fisher PG, King AA. Cerebrovascular disease in childhood cancer survivors. *Neurology* 2009;73(22):1906-1913. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c17ea8
- **30.** Marinkovic SV, Gibo H. The surgical anatomy of the perforating branches of the basilar artery. *Neurosurgery*. 1993;33(1):80-87. doi:10.1227/00006123-199307000-00012
- **31.** Krejza J, Arkuszewski M, Kasner SE, et al. Carotid artery diameter in men and women and the relation to body and neck size. *Stroke*. 2006;37(4):1103-1105. doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000206440.48756.f7
- **32.** Cancer Statistics Taiwan Cancer Registry. https://twcr.tw/?page_id=1855&lang=en.
- **33.** Huang WY, Lin CL, Lin CY, et al. Survival outcome of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a nationwide analysis of 13 407 patients in Taiwan. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2015;40(4):327-334. doi:10.1111/coa.12371

Figure caption

Figure 1. Flow diagram of cohort identification.

Table 1. Clinicodemographic Characteristics of the Patients

Variables	Stroke W	ith NPC	Stroke		P-Value
	Hist	Without NPC			
	N=2	277	N=125024		
	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Gender					< 0.001
Female	53	19.1	49806	39.8	
Male	224	80.9	75218	60.2	
Age group (years)					<0.001
20-49	36	13	14598	11.7	
50-64	146	52.7	38201	30.6	
>=65	95	34.3	72225	57.8	
Age (years), mean ± standard	61.7±11.2	2 (33-88)	67.5±13.7		<0.001
deviation (range)			(18-109)		
Comorbidities					
Type 2 DM	30	10.8	9722	7.78	0.06
Hypertension	207	74.7	98780	79	0.08
Hyperlipidemia	118	42.6	61984	49.6	0.02
COPD	62	22.4	34946	28	0.04
CKD	17	6.14	6450	5.16	0.46
CAD	76	27.4	52441	41.9	<0.001
AF	9	3.25	9243	7.39	0.008
Treatment					
Chemotherapy	203	73.3	2499	2	<0.001

Radiotherapy	251	90.6	16	0.01	< 0.001

DM: Diabetes mellitus; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD: Chronic

kidney disease; CAD: Coronary artery disease; AF: Atrial fibrillation.

Table 2. Types of Stroke in Patients With or Without Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

Variable	Stroke With NPC History N=277		Stroke W	P-Value	
			N=125024		
	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Stroke type					0.30
Ischemic stroke	215	77.6	90830	72.7	
TIA	15	5.42	8582	6.86	
ICH	34	12.3	17925	14.3	
SAH	3	1.08	3384	2.71	
Other	10	3.61	4303	3.44	

TIA: Transient ischemic attack; ICH: Intracerebral hemorrhage; SAH: Subarachnoid hemorrhage.

Table 3. TOAST Classification for Ischemic Stroke in Patients With or Without Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

Variable	Stroke With NPC History N=186		Stroke Without NPC N=84752		P-Value
	n	0/0	n	0/0	
TOAST classification					<0.001
Large artery	78	41.9	23954	28.3	
atherosclerosis (LAA)					
Small vessel	47	25.3	33067	39.0	
occlusion (SVO)					
Cardioembolism	10	5.4	10723	12.7	
Specific etiology	11	5.9	1497	1.8	
Undetermined etiology	40	21.5	15511	18.3	

Table 4. Anatomical Distribution of Ischemic Stroke in Patients With or Without Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

Overall	Stroke With NPC History N=277		Stroke Wit	P-Value	
			N=125024		
	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Available MRI	138	49.8	57711	46.2	0.22
Anterior circulation	65	47.1	28320	49.1	0.64
ACA	<5	-	1432	2.48	-
MCA	58	42.0	25839	44.8	0.52
Posterior circulation	43	31.2	14087	24.4	0.07
Brainstem	35	25.4	8350	14.5	< 0.001
PCA	<5	-	1310	2.27	-
Thalamus	<5	-	2380	4.12	_
Cerebellum	<5	-	1975	3.42	-

National health insurance research **Taiwan Stroke Registry (TSR)** database (NHIRD) Patients diagnosed of stroke Patients diagnosed of NPC Stroke time Demographic data Stroke classification: TOAST Diagnosis Stroke area: MRI Comorbidities Medical procedures and medication Cohort of stroke with NPC 277 patients Cohort of stroke without NPC 125024 patients