

Quality and sustainability of Ethiopia's national surgical indicators

Kayleigh R Cook^{1¶}, Zebenay B Zeleke^{2¶}, Ephrem Gebrehana³, Daniel Burssa⁴, Bantalem Yeshanew⁴, Atkilt Michael⁵, Yoseph Tediso⁵, Taylor Jaraczewski¹, Chris Dodgion¹, Andualem Beyene^{6&}, Katherine R Iverson^{1&}

¹ Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

² Department of Surgery, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Amhara Region, Ethiopia

³ Department of Surgery, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia

⁴ Ethiopian Ministry of Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

⁵ Sidama Regional Health Bureau, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia

³ Department of Surgery, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

* Corresponding author

Email: katie.r.iverson@gmail.com (KRI)

[¶]& These authors contributed equally to this work.

18 **Abstract**

19 In 2015, the Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) developed the Saving Lives
20 through Safe Surgery (SaLTS) initiative to improve national surgical care. Previous work led to
21 development and implementation of 15 surgical key performance indicators (KPIs) to
22 standardize surgical data practices. The objective of this project is to investigate current
23 practices of KPI data collection and assess quality to improve data management and strengthen
24 surgical systems.

25 The first portion of the study documented the surgical data collection process including
26 methods, instruments, and effectiveness at 10 hospitals across 2 regions in Ethiopia. Secondly,
27 data for KPIs of focus [1. Surgical Volume, 2. Perioperative Mortality Rate (POMR), 3. Adverse
28 Anesthetic Outcome (AAO), 4. Surgical Site Infection (SSI), and 5. Safe Surgery Checklist
29 (SSC) Utilization] were compared between registries, KPI reporting forms, and the DHIS2
30 (district health information system) electronic database for a 6-month period (January - June
31 2022). Quality was assessed based on data completeness and consistency.

32 The data collection process involved hospital staff recording data elements in registries,
33 quality officers calculating KPIs, completing monthly KPI reporting forms, and submitting data
34 into DHIS2 for the national and regional health bureaus. Data quality verifications revealed
35 discrepancies in consistency at all hospitals, ranging from 1-3 indicators. For all hospitals,
36 average monthly surgical volume was 57 cases, POMR was 0.38% (13/3399), inpatient SSI rate
37 was 0.79% (27/3399), AAO rate was 0.15% (5/3399), and mean SSC utilization monthly was
38 93% (100% median). Half of the hospitals had incomplete data within the registries, ranging
39 from 2-5 indicators. AAO, SSC, and SSI were commonly missing data in registries. Non-
40 standardized KPI reporting forms contributed significantly to the findings.

41 Facilitators to quality data collection included continued use of registries from previous
42 interventions and use of a separate logbook to document specific KPIs. Delayed rollout of these
43 indicators in each region contributed to issues in data quality. Barriers involved variable
44 indicator recording from different personnel, data collection tools that generate false positives
45 (i.e. completeness of SSC defined as paper form filled out prior to patient discharge) or missing
46 data because of reporting time period (i.e. monthly SSI may miss infections outside of one
47 month), inadequate data elements in registries, and lack of standardized monthly KPI reporting
48 forms. As the FMOH introduces new indicators and changes, we recommend continuous and
49 consistent quality checks and data capacity building, including the use of routinely generated
50 health information for quality improvement projects at the department level.

51 **Introduction**

52 Creating effective global surgery programs requires a clear understanding of the
53 baseline state of surgical care. While the global surgery movement has gained momentum in
54 the past several years, there remains a lack of quality data regarding the status of surgery in
55 low-resource settings [1]. Our understanding of surgical capacity, resources, and outcomes has
56 been limited by our methods of inquiry and the system in place for measuring results.

57 The quest for global surgery data was instigated by the Lancet Commission on Global
58 Surgery, [2] who proposed six core indicators designed to measure access to safe and
59 affordable surgical care. A number of these were integrated into the World Bank's World
60 Development Indicators [3] and the World Health Organization's Global Health Indicators [4].
61 Based on expert opinion in the Utstein consensus report, the global surgery indicators have
62 since been uniformly defined and narrowed to five (surgical volume, perioperative mortality rate
63 (POMR), surgical workforce, financial risk protection, and geospatial access) [5]. Information on
64 these metrics have come from a variety of methodological approaches in the global community.

65 Literature reviews, modeling studies, facility-based surveys, and regional and international
66 surgical outcomes collaboratives are the diverse sources for these data [6-14]. While these
67 studies have provided the foundation for global surgery indicator benchmarking, there is a
68 growing need for sustainable and timely country-based systems with surgical metrics integrated
69 into national health information systems [15-16].

70 A monitoring and evaluation pillar was included in Ethiopia's national surgical strategy
71 (SaLTS or Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery) to gauge the current surgical practice in the
72 country and create a sustainable method for tracking progress [17-18]. The surgical key
73 performance indicators (KPIs) are 15 metrics prospectively collected and reported regularly from
74 each health facility in the country. In 2018, the indicators were piloted at 10 hospitals across two
75 regions of Ethiopia as part of Safe Surgery 2020, an initiative to improve surgical care in the
76 country [19]. Since 2018, the electronic platform DHIS2 has been used to report and aggregate
77 these indicators. Informed by the evaluation of the first five-year surgical strategic plan (SaLTS)
78 in 2020, the Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health has now initiated the second stage of this
79 strategy, SaLTS II, in 2021. The SaLTS II plan [20] outlines the approach to meet surgical
80 metric goals by the year 2025, that include increased surgical volume and providers in line with
81 international standards, POMR < 2%, and improved access to care within two-hours. To
82 evaluate the sustainability of the SaLTS data system and ensure its utility in monitoring progress
83 towards these goals, this study was created to appraise these key surgical indicators.

84 The aim of this study is to investigate the data management practices and assess the
85 quality of surgical data in Ethiopia by exploring how surgical indicators are collected and
86 reported at the hospital level since their national implementation.

87 **Material and Methods**

88 **Study design**

89 The study was designed as a multi-institution, retrospective record review with hospital
90 visits as the main source of program evaluation. A total of ten hospitals, split evenly between the
91 Amhara and Sidama regions, were visited by the research team from July-August 2022. During
92 hospital visits, surgical data practices were observed to assess the flow of information between
93 hospital registries, hospital monthly key performance indicator (KPI) forms, and the District
94 Health Information Software 2 (DHIS2) reports reviewed at the regional and national level. Data
95 owners and instruments were identified at each step. The dates of record review ranged from
96 1/1/2022 through 6/31/2022. The research team undertook a complete surgical data audit at
97 each hospital visited. Registries within the OR, surgical ward, ICU, and maternity ward were
98 reviewed by each reporting period and data points were aggregated to calculate monthly
99 indicators. Researchers met with hospital quality improvement officers to audit monthly KPI
100 reporting forms and final DHIS2 electronic database reports. At the Regional Health Bureaus,
101 the final data reports from the queried hospitals visited were reviewed. At the national level, the
102 DHIS2 conglomerate data from each Regional Health Bureau was evaluated. Data triangulation
103 compared hospital to regional to national data.

104 **Subjects**

105 Primary and general public hospitals who were previously involved in Safe Surgery 2020
106 interventions, with approval from the Amhara and Sidama Regional Health Bureau and the
107 Ministry of Health, were selected for this research [19][21]. Amhara hospitals were further
108 chosen based on their participation in the 2018 surgical data intervention carried out by the

109 research team. Sidama hospitals were selected according to their involvement in Safe Surgery
110 2020 programming. Records of patients who underwent major surgery, defined as any
111 procedure conducted in an operating room under general, spinal, or regional anesthesia [22],
112 during their hospital stay within the study period were reviewed in this study.

113 **Methods of measurements**

114 Surgical Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of interest were selected based on a prior
115 surgical data intervention conducted at Amhara hospitals and on feedback from the Federal
116 Ministry of Health (FMOH). The research team selected five indicators: Surgical Volume,
117 Perioperative Mortality Rate (POMR), Rate of Safe Surgery Checklist Utilization (SSC), Surgical
118 Site Infection Rate (SSI), and Anesthetic Adverse Outcome Rate (AAO) for data analysis.
119 Formal definitions for each indicator were defined by the Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery
120 (SALTS) program [22] and are included in Table 1. For collection of data from the registries, KPI
121 reporting forms, and DHIS2 data, the investigators utilized Microsoft Excel forms at each
122 hospital during on-site visits.

123 **Table 1. Five surgical key performance indicators of focus and definitions [23].**

Indicator	Definition
Surgical Volume	The total number of major surgical procedures performed in an operating theater per 100,000 population per year. Note: A major surgical procedure is defined as any procedure conducted in an OR under general, spinal, or major regional anesthesia.
Perioperative Mortality Rate (POMR)	The all-cause death rate before discharge among patients who underwent a major surgical procedure in an operating theatre during the reporting period. Note: Stratified by emergent and elective major procedures
Rate of Safe Surgery Checklist Utilization	The proportion of surgical procedures where the safe surgery checklist was fully implemented.
Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Rate	The proportion of all major surgeries with an infection occurring at the site of the surgical wound prior to discharge. One or more of the following criteria should be met: Purulent drainage from the incision wound

	<p>Positive culture from a wound swab or aseptically aspirated fluid or tissue</p> <p>Spontaneous wound dehiscence or deliberate wound revision/opening by the surgeon in the presence of: pyrexia > 38C or localized pain or tenderness</p> <p>Wound pain, tenderness, localized swelling, redness or heat AND incision opened by the surgeon or spontaneously dehisced</p> <p>Note: A major surgical procedure is defined as any procedure conducted in an OR under general, spinal, or major regional anesthesia.</p>
Anesthetic Adverse Outcome Rate	<p>The percentage of surgical patients who developed any one of the following: (1) Cardiorespiratory arrest, (2) High spinal anesthesia, or (3) Inability to secure airway.</p> <p>Cardiorespiratory arrest defined as: cessation of cardiac activity as evidenced by: Chest compressions being performed Loss of femoral, carotid, and apical pulse with ECG changes</p> <p>High spinal defined as: within 15 minutes of administration of spinal anesthesia: Patient experiences loss of sensation in the shoulder AND Need for positive pressure ventilation after administration of spinal anesthesia Includes any administration of spinal anesthesia extending above T4 level.</p> <p>Inability to secure airway defined as: Having to awaken patient due to inability to intubate Cardiac-respiratory arrest due to failure to intubate</p>

124 **Data analysis**

125 Enumerated data from hospital registries were compared with the data in the national
126 database. Analysis consisted of comparing data between sources (registry, KPI reporting forms,
127 and DHIS2) and assessing data quality according to dimension 1 (data completeness) and
128 dimension 2 (internal consistency of reported data) of the Data Quality Review (DQR)
129 framework [22]. Completeness of data was evaluated by reviewing the monthly KPI reporting
130 forms for the study period (Jan-June 2022) and documenting the number of months KPI data
131 was absent for each indicator. For example, if the value for surgical volume was missing for one
132 month at a single hospital, this was denoted as missing 1/6 of surgical volume data for the study

133 period. Inconsistent data was defined as the number of months within the six-month study
134 period where the registry source data did not match the DHIS2 electronic database. Following
135 FMOH guidelines, surgical indicator data was deemed inconsistent if DHIS2 data differed by
136 more than 10% of the registry data. This established verification factor for routine data quality
137 assessment is calculated by the number of recorded events from the source document (registry)
138 divided by the number of reported events in the DHIS2 report, with verification factor greater
139 than 1.1 (under-reporting) or less than 0.9 (over-reporting) deemed inconsistent [24]. The KPI
140 Safe Surgery Checklist utilization was an exception where KPI reporting forms were used as the
141 source data and matched to DHIS2 data, given this indicator is not recorded in the registry.

142 **Ethical approval**

143 Institutional review board approval was obtained from Medical College of Wisconsin and
144 the Ethiopian Public Health Institute for all study activities. The study investigators also received
145 a letter of support from the Federal Ministry of Health.

146 **Results**

147 **Hospital characteristics**

148 Table 2 includes hospital specific data on facility resources and characteristics for the
149 ten study hospitals. Median catchment population was 375,000 patients (70,000-1,800,000)
150 whereas median total hospital beds was 45 (25-145). Integrated Emergency Surgical Officers
151 (IESOs), Master's-level health professionals intended to provide emergency and essential
152 surgery in Ethiopia, were the predominant surgical provider at most institutions [25].

153 **Table 2. Hospital-specific characteristics.**

Sidama Region						Amhara Region				
	1	2 ^a	3 ^a	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Catchment Population	100K	800K	1.8M	178K	400K	170K	700K	350K	250K	480K
Operating Rooms	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
Personnel:										
IESOs	0	2	5	2	1	4	3	4	4	4
Surgeons	1	1	5	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
Anesthetists/ Anesthesiologists	2	5	6	3	3	4	4	4	5	2
OB/GYNs	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
GPs	11	22	57	12	13	20	16	21	15	12
Beds:										
Surgical	4	12	27	4	6	13	11	16	13	7
OB/GYN	6	17	23	4	12	7	5	8	6	7
Total in Hospital	34	110	145	25	36	50	47	92	43	38

154 Sidama Region (Hospitals 1-5), Amhara Region (Hospitals 6-10). IESOs = Integrated

155 Emergency Surgical Officers. OB = Obstetricians. GPs = General Practitioners. GYN =

156 Gynecology.

157 ^aGeneral hospitals considered secondary level health care. All other hospitals are

158 primary hospitals, part of the primary level health care.

159 Data collection processes

160 At all ten hospitals, data flow mechanisms were observed and compiled into a

161 generalized process illustrated by Figure 1. The data collection process involved recording data

162 elements in registries by hospital staff, then calculation of KPIs by quality improvement (QI)

163 officers, completing monthly KPI reporting forms, and submitting data into the electronic national

164 data system (DHIS2). For the five KPIs evaluated, healthcare providers entered surgical data

165 into registries specific to their department, i.e. wards, ICU, or operating room. At the end of the

166 reporting period, the QI officers reviewed the registries and utilized the raw data to calculate key

167 performance indicators. If they noted any discrepancies or missing data, they would query the
168 health provider responsible for that element. KPI data collection forms for this purpose varied
169 between hospitals. The KPI data is then entered into the electronic DHIS2 and uploaded to the
170 Regional Health Bureau (RHB) for approval. KPI data at the RHB is aggregated from multiple
171 hospitals together to describe the surgical practice in the region and submitted to the Federal
172 Ministry of Health.

173 **Figure 1. Surgical indicator data flow.** Responsible data owner and reporting instrument at
174 each step of the data collection and reporting process. KPI = Key Performance Indicators,
175 DHIS2 = District Health Information Software 2.

176 **Surgical key performance indicators**

177 From registry data collected independently, values for the five KPIs of interest were
178 calculated for each month from January to June 2022 (Tir to Sene 2014 in the Ethiopian
179 Calendar). For all ten hospitals over six-months, average monthly surgical volume was 57 cases
180 and SSC utilization was 93% (Table 3). Over one month, the mean surgical volumes were 54
181 and 59 cases per hospital in Amhara and Sidama, respectively. In Amhara, the majority of
182 hospitals reflected the regional average. In Sidama, three primary hospitals performed less than
183 15 cases each on average per month, while the two general hospitals each performed between
184 55 and 214 operations on average per month. This extrapolates to a predicted rate of 50 to 712
185 operations per 100,000 population annually at primary hospitals in Amhara, 30 to 67 per
186 100,000 in Sidama primary hospitals, and 80 to 147 per 100,000 in Sidama general hospitals
187 according to their determined catchment populations. When calculated using a standardized
188 catchment population of 1 million for primary and general hospitals, there is a predictive rate of
189 35 to 121 operations per 100,000 population annually at primary hospitals in Amhara, 4.8 to 12
190 per 100,000 in Sidama primary hospitals, and 64 to 264 per 100,000 in Sidama general

191 hospitals. Across all ten hospitals over the 6-month period there were thirteen post-operative
 192 mortality events, for a collective POMR of 0.38% (13/3399). For the same period, twenty-seven
 193 surgical site infections were reported for an overall inpatient SSI rate of 0.79% (27/3399). Five
 194 anesthetic adverse outcomes were documented for a collective AAO rate of 0.15% (5/3399).

195 **Table 3: Comparison of registries to DHIS2 data for surgical KPIs.**

Median Surgical Volume				Median SSC			Total SSI			Total POMR			Total AAO		
	Registry	DHIS2	Inconsistent Months	KPI Forms ^a	DHIS2	Inconsistent Months	Registry	DHIS2	Inconsistent Months	Registry	DHIS2	Inconsistent Months	Registry	DHIS2	Inconsistent Months
Sidama Hospitals															
1	4 (2-7)	1.5 (0-7)	50%	56% (4.4-100%)	56% (4.4-100%)	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
2	55 (42-58)	63.5 (60-67)	100%	100%	100%	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	17%	0	0	0%
3	213.5 (204-245)	215.5 (205-234)	0%	100% (50-100%)	100% (86-100%)	33%	3	3	33%	11	0	83%	0	0	0%
4	10 (6-14)	10 (6-16)	33%	100%	100%	0%	0	1	17%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
5	8.5 (5-18)	9 (6-18)	50%	100% ^b	100%	0%	3	0	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Amhara Hospitals															
6	97.5 (89-116)	99.5 (89-116)	100%	100%	100%	0%	14	8	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
7	26.5 (18-49)	26.5 (18-49)	100%	100%	100%	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	0	33%
8	52 (43-63)	52 (42-63)	100%	100%	100%	0%	3	0	33%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
9	46.5 (30-79)	40.5 (28-53)	50%	87.5% (80-100%)	100%	67%	3	1	50%	1	0	17%	0	0	0%
10	41 (27-50)	40.5 (28-51)	100%	100%	100%	0%	1	0	17%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%

196 Surgical Volume, SSC = Surgical Safety Checklist utilization, SSI = Surgical Site Infection,
 197 POMR = Perioperative Mortality Rate, AAO = Anesthesia Adverse Outcome. Median surgical
 198 volume with range for each hospital across the 6-month study period documented within

199 hospital registries and DHIS2 report. Total surgery site infections, perioperative mortality events,
200 and anesthesia adverse outcomes documented monthly in hospital registry and DHIS2 during
201 the study period. * ^aKPIs where monthly KPI forms were used as source data due to lack of
202 registry data.

203 ^bDenotes that Hospital 5 SSC data was calculated from four months rather than six months as
204 two months of KPI data were incomplete.

205 **Data quality**

206 Data quality was assessed based on two metrics: completeness and consistency. Our
207 study found data completeness to be an average of 72% for the 10 hospitals, and 70% of
208 hospitals had consistent data for the five surgical KPIs of interest. Verification factor calculations
209 between registry and DHIS2 data, as seen in Figure 2, revealed inconsistencies (>10%
210 difference) at nine total hospitals, commonly SSI (6/10) and surgical volume (5/10). Five
211 hospitals demonstrated incomplete registry data, with the most commonly incomplete indicator
212 data for AAO, SSC, and SSI. Across all five KPIs of interest, surgical volume was the most likely
213 to be recorded within the registry and have inconsistencies between values recorded in the OR
214 registry and DHIS2 (Table 3). Of note, Sidama hospitals frequently had more inconsistent
215 surgical volume data than Amhara hospitals.

216

217 **Figure 2. Data quality assessment of five surgical indicators.** Number of hospitals out of 10
218 with inconsistent (blue) and incomplete (orange) data for each KPI of focus for the 6-month
219 period. SSC = Surgical Safety Checklist utilization, SSI = Surgical Site Infection, POMR =
220 Perioperative Mortality Rate, AAO = Anesthesia Adverse Outcome.

221 After further investigation of inconsistencies between registry data and DHIS2 data,
222 national data is revealing underreported rates (verification factor >1.1) for SSI, AAO, and POMR
223 values. For POMR, 11 of the 13 events documented for all ten hospitals across 6-months were
224 recorded at Hospital 3. However, this value does not correspond to the DHIS2 data which
225 reported zero POMR events for Hospital 3 across the 6-months (Table 3). Furthermore, 1
226 POMR event observed at Hospital 9 for the reporting period did not transfer to the DHIS2
227 database but was present in the KPI reporting form. SSI rates were similarly underreported, with
228 over half of the registry-documented surgical site infections at all ten hospitals over six months
229 missing from the overall SSIs within DHIS2 (27/3399 vs 13/3399). For AAO, only Hospital 7
230 recorded 5 AAO events within their registry for the six-month period, but zero AAO events were
231 present within DHIS2 for all ten hospitals over the study period. SSC data was mostly consistent
232 between KPI forms and DHIS2 data, with the exception of Hospital 9 where median SSC
233 differed by 12.5% and Hospital 3 where the range of SSC values differed by 36% but the
234 median remained the same.

235 Discussion

236 This study evaluated the process and quality of current surgical data practices in
237 Ethiopia through five key performance indicators (KPIs) at ten hospitals in two regions over a 6-
238 month period. The evaluation occurs five years after the creation and implementation of the
239 surgical KPI data system in conjunction with Ethiopia's national strategic plan (SaLTS) to
240 improve surgical and anesthesia care.

241 Principle findings include a largely uniform mechanism for data collection and reporting
242 between facilities from hospital registries, to data collection forms, to the DHIS2 electronic
243 database. However, the lack of standardization of data collection forms and staff practices
244 between each hospital may be a source of discrepancies in comparing outcomes from registries

245 to DHIS2 data. Data quality was assessed based on two metrics: completeness and
246 consistency which were found to be above 70% for the five KPIs at all 10 hospitals. Our study
247 found data completeness was 72% on average for the 10 hospitals, and 70% of hospitals had
248 consistent data for the five surgical KPIs of interest.

249 Data quality varied based on the specific indicator. Surgical volume was largely
250 complete throughout the data process. At least 3 out of 10 hospitals had incomplete data for
251 SSI, SSC, and AAO. This is likely due to difficulty with defining and tracking the perioperative
252 complications of surgical site infection and anesthesia adverse outcomes, as there was
253 observed to be variation in registries and forms for recording these two indicators, mechanisms
254 for reporting these outcomes across the data flow, and inconsistency in which hospital provider
255 was responsible this data collection.

256 Most inconsistencies are found for SSIs and surgical volume, with at least half of
257 hospitals having inconsistent data for these two indicators. Some hospitals were not using
258 standard registries, which could lead to missed data elements. For example, SSIs were highest
259 in hospital 6 (n=14), but only a little over half of these events made it into DHIS2 (n=8). The
260 initial data intervention included a SSI registry as part of the suite of tools for collecting and
261 recording the indicators. Some hospitals had this SSI logbook to better capture this data, but
262 there was variability in use of this system as opposed to using the hospital ward registries for
263 recording infections.

264 The lack of or non-standardized data collection tools to transfer indicator components
265 from the registries to DHIS2 also created a major gap in the link between these metrics. For
266 example, Hospital 3 had the highest POMR (11 deaths) according to the registry data, but this
267 was not accounted for in DHIS2 (0 deaths). We observed difficulty in accounting for surgical
268 deaths from multiple different departments (ICU, multiple wards, and OR) which could explain

269 this disparity, as deaths from the ICU were left out of the data process for POMR at this
270 hospital. It also demonstrates the need for specifically trained personnel for data collection and
271 entry, as well as routine data quality checks. Apart from the different areas of data management
272 shortcomings due to lack of appropriate tools, there could be a high turnover of the already
273 trained quality improvement officers. There should also be a uniform understanding of the
274 primary data owners and the QI officers.

275 There were overall relatively low rates of complications such as SSI (0.79%), AAO
276 (0.15%), and POMR (0.38%). It is possible that the data does not capture the full reality as
277 outcomes are limited to inpatient events. Additionally, many of the hospitals, especially primary
278 hospitals in Sidama, had lower surgical volume and cases were limited to cesarean-sections,
279 which likely contributes to the lack of significant complications in these settings.

280 **Surgical Site Infection**

281 For SSI, our study estimated a value of 0.79% (27/3399) across 10 hospitals, lower than
282 the 2% value recorded by the Network for Perioperative and Critical Care (N4PCC) 2021
283 registry [26] and the 10.2% described in the African Surgical Outcomes Study [11]. Specifically
284 for SSI, patients are often discharged before they will develop signs and symptoms of infection.
285 There is currently no uniform mechanism to enumerate outpatient SSIs.

286 **Anesthesia Adverse Outcome**

287 Similarly for AAO, our dataset of 3399 surgeries yielded only 5 AAO events for a rate of
288 0.15%. This is considerably lower than the N4PCC 2021 registry value of 3.1% [26] and closer
289 to the AAO reported value of 0.94% in Zimbabwe [27]. The use of a multicenter cloud-based
290 registry, in-hospital coordinators and data trainers, and utilization of an offline mobile application

291 for data collection most likely improved reporting compliance and identification of adverse
292 events, leading to increased AAO capture rates in the N4PCC study [26]. Variation in AAO rates
293 reported can also be attributed to variations in KPI definitions, as in Zimbabwe where an AAO is
294 defined as a critical incident and includes nausea or post-operative pain [27]. Furthermore for
295 AAO, it may be difficult to detect and diagnose complications such as high spinal anesthesia.
296 This was also the indicator with the greatest variability in recording mechanisms and data
297 ownership, likely accounting for the very low AAO, with only one hospital reporting these events.
298 Additional training for knowledge and skills may be needed in this case.

299 **Peri-Operative Mortality Rate**

300 Our study found a lower POMR at 0.38% (13/3399) than the 0.9% (9/1000) found in the
301 N4PCC 2021 registry surveyed at 4 Ethiopian hospitals [26]. This disparity may be due to the
302 indicators being limited to only inpatient events, thus POMR may be lower than expected as
303 deaths for KPIs are registered only before discharge. There were also some deaths recorded in
304 registries, which were not reported in the national data as mentioned previously. Further
305 external audits for these complications including chart reviews and periodical follow-up surveys
306 are recommended to understand the true state of surgical outcomes in Ethiopian hospitals.

307 **Surgical Volume**

308 Calculated annual surgical volume for Sidama general hospitals is 80 to 147 operations
309 per 100,000, 50 to 712 operations per 100,000 population at primary hospitals in Amhara, and
310 30 to 67 per 100,000 in Sidama primary hospitals. In our dataset, surgical volume at all ten
311 hospitals has not yet reached the LCoGS 2030 target of 5000 surgeries per 100,000 population
312 annually [2]. Study findings of surgical volume for Sidama general hospitals (Jan- June 2022)
313 are consistent with Meshesha *et al.*'s surgical volume of 289 per 100,000 over a 90-day interval

314 (Sept 2020 - May 2021) for general hospitals [28]. Our study calculates higher annual surgical
315 volumes for Amhara and Sidama primary hospitals than the 37 per 100,000 found for primary
316 health care units over a 90-day interval. The Amhara primary hospitals showed an overall
317 increase in their surgical volume compared to the previous study during the data intervention in
318 2018, [30] which may be due to both growth in surgical capacity and population needs.

319 **Surgical Safety Checklist**

320 Estimated SSC values were 93% for 3399 surgeries, consistent with the 92.1% found in
321 the N4PCC registry for 1595 surgical cases [26] and higher than the 67.6% rate reported by
322 Sibhatu *et. al.* 2022 [29]. Our study determined 60% of audited hospitals had complete SSC
323 data, consistent with the 60.8% of 659 checklists the latter study found to be filled completely
324 and correctly. The barriers to data collection identified at ten hospitals within the Amhara and
325 Sidama region are consistent with the findings of previous investigations into data quality within
326 the Ethiopian healthcare system. The overreporting of certain surgical KPIs, namely SSC and
327 underreporting metrics of illness or mortality was concurrent with findings from Mekebo *et. al.*
328 and other studies [30-32]. Our observation of data flow processes demonstrated that SSC
329 values are calculated by QI officers by selecting a sample of ten patient charts for review. At
330 certain institutions, stop-gap mechanisms were implemented to prevent the progression of
331 patient charts to the Inpatient Admission office (IPAD) until all forms including the SSC were
332 completed. Such processes might explain the disparity Sibhatu *et. al* found between the DHIS2
333 SSC value of 81% and the externally audited 60.8% retrospectively calculated from patient
334 charts [28]. High rates of surgical data inconsistency were a major result of this research and
335 are likely due to lack of consistent KPI reporting forms, and the considerable burden of
336 aggregating substantive data elements into complex indicators as discussed previously by
337 Adane *et al* 2020 [33]. Our observations were in alignment with other challenges with data

338 collection in an Ethiopian setting previously discussed including turnover of hospital staff, poor
339 understanding of data processes, modification or manipulation of data to compensate for the
340 lack of data, and technological issues with DHIS2 [30-31].

341 **Limitations**

342 National implications of this study are limited by the small sample size (10 hospitals) and
343 limited study period (six months). Follow-up investigation using qualitative methods will provide
344 further context around the findings. Additionally, the use of registry data as primary source data
345 generates the risk that some surgical indicators not recorded in the registry were left out of our
346 analysis. Using registry data rather than performing a retrospective review of surgical patient
347 charts could underestimate issues with data quality. Mortality audits, or complication audits, are
348 another potential mechanism to capture more complete data for these outcomes [34-35].
349 Another source of data quality inconsistency could be attributed to reporting period variability
350 between hospitals. This study utilized DHIS2 reporting periods for data auditing. Other
351 limitations include the inability to quantitatively assess the level of data correction and
352 manipulation by hospital staff following recording in the registry and prior to DHIS2 input.
353 Concern over the consequences of reporting values too high or low for a given indicator likely
354 compound this issue. The study team noted blank entries were often used to denote “0” findings
355 within data forms, challenging the ability to assess data completeness. Finally, this study was
356 unable to include hospitals involved in previous data quality interventions in Tigray because of
357 ongoing conflict and travel restrictions.

358 **Recommendations**

359 Our study recommends a regular supervision mechanism focused on identifying and
360 addressing data inconsistencies. At the national level, an emphasis on the importance of data

361 quality while simultaneously ensuring no penalty for suboptimal outcomes is imperative. The
362 study team similarly recommends at the regional level, the implementation of permanent survey
363 teams to decrease the risk of errors and improve data quality and utilization. This system is
364 currently in place in some regions, and initial feedback from this study has been used for this
365 purpose. At the hospital level, more consistent quality improvement programs to emphasize the
366 relevance of these indicators can provide an opportunity to focus on surgical complications as
367 areas for better teamwork, while increasing data capture as a byproduct.

368 **Conclusion**

369 This study demonstrates the ongoing challenges to accurate surgical data collection in a
370 resource-limited setting. Five years after the implementation of Ethiopia's surgical indicators as
371 part of their national surgical and anesthesia strategic plan, there remains difficulty with
372 capturing the true value of surgical complications such as infections and perioperative mortality.
373 While a national system for data collection and reporting was established and is imperative to
374 ongoing progress, more unified mechanisms for data transfer, ongoing training for quality
375 officers and health professionals, as well as regular audits for data consistency are needed to
376 improve the current structure. Capturing outpatient surgical outcomes as well as the
377 development of an electronic registry, which is currently in development, will lead to
378 improvements in continuing to track surgical capacity and quality in Ethiopia [21][26].

379 **Acknowledgments**

380 We thank the Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health, Sidama Regional Health Bureau, and
381 Amhara Regional Health Bureau for their support and collaboration.

382

383 **References**

- 384 [1] German Global Surgery Association, Harvard Medical School Program in Global Surgery
385 and Social Change. Global Surgery and Anaesthesia Statistics: The Importance of Data
386 Collection. The G4 Alliance; 2018. Accessed 29 September 2021. Available from:
387 [https://gallery.mailchimp.com/dd9db680c07f4e88485196260/files/01801d8f-f374-4b14-9404-](https://gallery.mailchimp.com/dd9db680c07f4e88485196260/files/01801d8f-f374-4b14-9404-fcd532ca06a9/Surgical_AnaesthesiaDataReport.pdf)
388 [fcd532ca06a9/Surgical_AnaesthesiaDataReport.pdf](https://gallery.mailchimp.com/dd9db680c07f4e88485196260/files/01801d8f-f374-4b14-9404-fcd532ca06a9/Surgical_AnaesthesiaDataReport.pdf).
- 389 [2] Meara JG, Leather AJM, Hagander L, Alkire BC, Alonso N, Ameh EA, et al. Global Surgery
390 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development.
391 International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia. 2016 Feb; 25:75–8.
- 392 [3] The World Bank. World Development Indicators 2019. Available from:
393 <http://data.worldbank.org>.
- 394 [4] WHO. Global Reference List of 100 Core Health Indicators (plus health-related SDGs).
395 Geneva: WHO; 2018. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/259951>
- 396 [5] Davies J, Gelb AW, Gore-Booth J, Martin J, Jannicke Mellin-Olsen, Åkerman C, et al. Global
397 surgery, obstetric, and anaesthesia indicator definitions and reporting: An Utstein consensus
398 report. PLOS Medicine. 2021 Aug 20;18(8): e1003749–9.
- 399 [6] Juran S, Gruendl M, Marks IH, P. Niclas Broer, José Luis Guzmán, Davies JE, et al. The
400 need to collect, aggregate, and analyze global anesthesia and surgery data. Can J Anesth.
401 2019 Feb 1;66(2):218–29.
- 402 [7] Holmer H, Bekele A, Hagander L, Harrison EM, Kamali P, Ng-Kamstra JS, et al. Evaluating
403 the collection, comparability and findings of six global surgery indicators. British Journal of
404 Surgery. 2018 Dec 20;106(2): e138–50.

- 405 [8] Marks I, Kamali P, Khan M. Data for the sustainable development of surgical systems: a
406 global collaboration. WDI surgical indicators data collection. 2016.
- 407 [9] JEF Fitzgerald, C Khatri, JC Glasbey, M Mohan, R Lilford, E M Harrison, et al. GlobalSurg
408 Collaborative. Mortality of emergency abdominal surgery in high-, middle- and low-income
409 countries [published correction appears in Br J Surg. 2017 Apr;104(5):632]. Br J Surg.
410 2016;103(8):971-988. doi:10.1002/bjs.10151
- 411 [10] Bhangu A, Ademuyiwa AO, Aguilera ML, Alexander P, Al-Saqqa SW, Borda-Luque G, et al.
412 GlobalSurg Collective. Surgical site infection after gastrointestinal surgery in high-income,
413 middle-income, and low-income countries: a prospective, international, multicentre cohort study.
414 The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2018 May;18(5):516–25.
- 415 [11] Bicccard BM, Madiba TE, Kluyts HL, Munlemvo DM, Madzimbamuto FD, Basenero A, et al.
416 Perioperative patient outcomes in the African Surgical Outcomes Study: a 7-day prospective
417 observational cohort study. The Lancet. 2018 Apr;391(10130):1589–98.
- 418 [12] Guest GD, McLeod E, Perry WRG, Tangi V, Pedro J, Ponifasio P, et al. Collecting data for
419 global surgical indicators: a collaborative approach in the Pacific Region. BMJ Global Health.
420 2017 Nov;2(4): e000376.
- 421 [13] Peck G, Roa L, Barthlemy EJ, South S, Foianini JE, Ferreira RV, et al. Improving global
422 emergency and essential surgical care in Latin America and the Caribbean: A collaborative
423 approach. Bull Am Coll Surg. 2019;104(3):24-39.
- 424 [14] Zadey S, Iyer H, Nayan A, Shetty R, Sonal S, Smith ER, et al. Evaluating the status of the
425 Lancet Commission on Global Surgery indicators for India. The Lancet Regional Health -
426 Southeast Asia [Internet]. 2023 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Jul 7]; 13:100178. Available from:
427 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772368223000380?via%3Dihub>

- 428 [15] UNITAR. National Surgical, Obstetric and Anaesthesia Planning Manual. Edition 2020.
429 Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR). DOI:
430 10.5281/zenodo.3982869
- 431 [16] Sonderman KA, Citron I, Mukhopadhyay S, Albutt K, Taylor K, Jumbam D, et al. Framework
432 for developing a national surgical, obstetric and anaesthesia plan. BJS Open. 2019 Jul
433 24;3(5):722–32.
- 434 [17] Burssa D, Teshome A, Iverson K, Ahearn O, Ashengo T, Barash D, et al. Safe Surgery for
435 All: Early Lessons from Implementing a National Government-Driven Surgical Plan in Ethiopia.
436 World Journal of Surgery. 2017 Oct 13;41(12):3038–45.
- 437 [18] Iverson KR, Ahearn O, Citron I, Garringer K, Mukhodpadhyay S, Teshome A, et al.
438 Development of a surgical assessment tool for national policy monitoring & evaluation in
439 Ethiopia: A quality improvement study. International Journal of Surgery. 2020 Aug; 80:231–40.
- 440 [19] Iverson KR, Drown L, Bari S, Abate M, Burssa D, Garringer K, et al. Surgical key
441 performance indicators in Ethiopia’s national health information system: Answering the call for
442 global surgery data. East Cent Afr J Surg. 2021;26(3):92-103.
- 443 [20] Ministry of Health, Health Service Quality Directorate (HSQD)(Ethiopia). 2021. National
444 Surgical Care Strategic Plan: Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery II (SaLTS II, 2021-2025).
445 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Available from:
446 [http://repository.iifphc.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/1685/National-Surgical-Care-Strategic-](http://repository.iifphc.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/1685/National-Surgical-Care-Strategic-Plan.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
447 [Plan.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](http://repository.iifphc.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/1685/National-Surgical-Care-Strategic-Plan.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
- 448 [21] Fitsum Kifle Belachew, Tewodros Kifleyohanes, Moore J, Teshome A, Biccadd B.
449 Indications, Challenges, and Characteristics of Successful Implementation of Perioperative

450 Registries in Low Resource Settings: A Systematic Review. *World Journal of Surgery*. 2023 Jan
451 19;47(6):1387–96.

452

453 [22] World Health Organization. Data quality review: module 1: framework and metrics [Internet].
454 apps.who.int. World Health Organization; 2017 [cited 2022 Aug 11]. Available from:
455 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/259224>

456 [23] Iverson KR, Drown L, Bari S, Abate M, Burssa D, Garringer K, et al. Surgical key
457 performance indicators in Ethiopia’s national health information system: Answering the call for
458 global surgery data. *East Cent Afr J Surg*. 2021;26(3):92-103. Table 1, SaLTS key performance
459 indicators; p. 94.

460 [24] Routine Data Quality Assessment Tool: User Manual [Internet]. Measureevaluation.org
461 2017 [cited 2023 Sep 27]. Available from:
462 https://www.measureevaluation.org/resources/publications/ms-17-117/at_download/document


463 [25] Integrated Emergency Surgical Officers | MINISTRY OF HEALTH - Ethiopia [Internet].
464 www.moh.gov.et. [cited 2023 Jan 19]. Available from: [https://www.moh.gov.et/site/initiatives-4-](https://www.moh.gov.et/site/initiatives-4-col/Integrated-Emergency-Surgical-Officers)
465 [col/Integrated-Emergency-Surgical-Officers](https://www.moh.gov.et/site/initiatives-4-col/Integrated-Emergency-Surgical-Officers)

466 [26] Network for Peri-operative Critical care (N4PCc) *. Addressing priorities for surgical
467 research in Africa: implementation of a multicentre cloud-based peri-operative registry in
468 Ethiopia. *Anaesthesia*. 2021;76(7):933-939.

469 [27] Madzimbamuto FD, Chiware R. A critical incident reporting system in anaesthesia. *Cent Afr*
470 *J Med*. 2001;47(11-12):243-247.


- 471 [28] Meshesha BR, Sibhatu MK, Beshir HM, Zewude WC, Taye DB, Getachew EM, et al.
472 Access to surgical care in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional retrospective data review. *BMC Health*
473 *Services Research*. 2022 Jul 30;22(1).
- 474 [29] Sibhatu MK, Taye DB, Gebreegziabher SB, Mesfin E, Bashir HM, Varallo J. Compliance
475 with the World Health Organization's surgical safety checklist and related postoperative
476 outcomes: a nationwide survey among 172 health facilities in Ethiopia. *Patient Safety in*
477 *Surgery*. 2022 Jun 10;16(1).
- 478 [30] Merkinah Mekebo, Tesfaye Gobena, Behailu Hawulte, Dawit Tamiru, Debella A, Yadeta E,
479 et al. Level of implementation of district health information system 2 at public health facilities in
480 Eastern Ethiopia. *Digital health*. 2022 Jan 1; 8:205520762211311-205520762211311.
- 481 [31] Operational Research and Coaching for Analysts (ORCA)- participants & team, Adane A,
482 Adege TM, Ahmed MM, Anteneh HA, et al. Exploring data quality and use of the routine health
483 information system in Ethiopia: a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(12): e050356.
484 Published 2021 Dec 23. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050356
- 485 [32] Endriyas M, Alano A, Mekonnen E, Ayele S, Kelaye T, Shiferaw M, et al. Understanding
486 performance data: health management information system data accuracy in Southern Nations
487 Nationalities and People's Region, Ethiopia. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2019 Mar
488 18;19(1).
- 489 [33] Adane A, Adege TM, Ahmed MM, Anteneh HA, Ayalew ES, Berhanu D, et al. Routine
490 health management information system data in Ethiopia: consistency, trends, and challenges.
491 *Global Health Action* [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 4];14(1). Available from:
492 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7833046/#:~:text=A%20routine%20Health%20M>
493 [anagement%20Information](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7833046/#:~:text=A%20routine%20Health%20M)

- 494 [34] Ugwu-Olisa OA, Chidi EV, Emmanuel EU, Chinedu NG, Onyeyirichi O. Mortality audit in
495 general surgery unit and lessons learned at a Nigerian tertiary hospital: a single centre
496 observational study. Pan African Medical Journal. 2022;41.
- 497 [35] Bindroo S, Saraf R. Surgical Mortality Audit-lessons Learned in a Developing Nation. Int
498 Surg. 2015 Jun;100(6):1026-32.



Figure

SURGICAL INDICATOR DATA FLOW PROCESS



Figure