

# How does treatment coverage and proportion never treated influence the success of *Schistosoma mansoni* elimination as a public health problem by 2030?

Klodeta Kura<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Nyamai Mutono<sup>4,5\*</sup>, Maria-Gloria Basáñez<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Luc E. Coffeng<sup>6</sup>, S.M. Thumbi<sup>4,5,7</sup> and Roy M. Anderson<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> London Centre for Neglected Tropical Disease Research, London, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, St Mary's Campus, Imperial College London, UK

<sup>3</sup> MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>4</sup> Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>5</sup> Paul G Allen School for Global Health, Washington State University, Pullman, USA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup> Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

\* Co-first authors

Correspondence to: [k.kura@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:k.kura@imperial.ac.uk); [mutono.nyamai@uonbi.ac.ke](mailto:mutono.nyamai@uonbi.ac.ke)

## Abstract

**Background:** The 2030 target for schistosomiasis is elimination as a public health problem (EHP), achieved when the prevalence of heavy intensity infection among school-aged children (SAC) reduces to <1%. To achieve this, the new World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommend a broader target of population to include pre-school (pre-SAC) and adults. However, the probability of achieving EHP should be expected to depend on patterns in repeated uptake of mass drug administration (MDA) by individuals.

**Methods:** We employed two individual-based stochastic models to evaluate the impact of school-based and community-wide treatment and calculated the number of rounds required to achieve EHP for *Schistosoma mansoni* by considering various levels of the population never treated (NT). We also considered two age intensity profiles, corresponding to a low and high burden of infection in adults.

**Results:** The number of rounds needed to achieve this target depends on the baseline prevalence and the coverage used. For low and moderate transmission areas, EPHP can be achieved within seven years if  $NT \leq 10\%$  and  $NT < 5\%$ , respectively. In high transmission areas, community wide treatment with  $NT < 1\%$  is required to achieve EPHP.

**Conclusions:** The higher the intensity of transmission, and the lower the treatment coverage, the lower the acceptable value of NT becomes. Using more efficacious treatment regimens would permit NT values to be marginally higher. A balance between target treatment coverage and NT values may be an adequate treatment strategy depending on the epidemiological setting, but striving to increase coverage and/or minimise NT can shorten programme duration.

**Keywords:** schistosomiasis, mass drug administration, MDA, modelling, elimination as a public health problem, community-wide, never treatment

## Introduction

Schistosomiasis is a neglected tropical disease (NTD) caused by the trematode worm *Schistosoma* and transmitted through dermal contact with water contaminated by cercariae, the infectious stage of schistosomes, which are released by the intermediate host snail [1]. The major disease-causing species are *S. mansoni*, *S. haematobium* and *S. japonicum*. In 2016, schistosomiasis was estimated to account for 1.9 million disability adjusted life years, likely a gross underestimate [2,3]. In 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) Roadmap on NTDs proposed elimination of schistosomiasis as a public health problem (EPHP; defined as prevalence of heavy intensity infection reducing to  $< 1\%$  in SAC) in all 78 endemic countries by 2030 [4].

Globally, 240 million people reside in areas endemic for schistosomiasis, with 91% of the population at risk living in Africa [5]. Efforts to control and eliminate the disease have been predominantly through preventive chemotherapy (PC) treatment with praziquantel (PZQ), which kills the adult worms [4]. Over the years, PZQ has been targeted at school aged children (SAC, 5-14 years) in endemic settings, who have the highest risk of infection [6]. To achieve EPHP, the 2022 WHO treatment guidelines recommend inclusion of adults, pre-school aged children (pre-SAC) and women of reproductive age (including pregnant women

from the first trimester), with a target of at least 75% treatment coverage of eligible population per treatment round [7]. The treatment of pre-SAC would require a new, paediatric formulation of PZQ. The proportion of population never treated (NT) after continuous rounds has been reported to influence the success of mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns, and the likelihood of achieving elimination targets for helminthiasis [8].

Mathematical models have been used to estimate the impact of MDA in achieving disease elimination, while accounting for the pre-control endemicity, treatment coverage and frequency [9,10]. However, the implications of the proportion NT are understudied.

In this work, we used mathematical models to provide insights into the impact of NT on achieving the 2030 EPHP target. Specifically, we assessed what proportion of NT would influence the likelihood that schistosomiasis programmes achieve EPHP (defined as achieving <1% heavy-intensity prevalence in SAC) target, different treatment regimens (annual, bi-annual), intensity profile and coverage levels.

## Methods

We used two individual-based stochastic transmission models developed by Imperial College London (ICL) [11–13] and the University of Oxford (SCHISTOX) [14] to simulate the effect of different levels of NT and MDA coverage among SAC and community on the probability of reaching EPHP for low (<10%), moderate (10-50%) and high baseline prevalence (>50%) areas as defined by the magnitude of the basic reproduction number,  $R_0$  (ranging from 1.2 to 4). Both models had similar processes, except for one important difference. The ICL model assumed that the number of eggs produced is a non-linear function (density-dependent egg production) of the female worm burden assuming monogamous sexual reproduction. In contrast, SCHISTOX assumed that the number of eggs produced is proportional to the number of worm pairs (male and female worms). Both models were calibrated with the same baseline settings, by varying the  $R_0$  in the ICL model, and the overall contact rate (one term in the denominator of  $R_0$ ) in the SCHISTOX model.

We modelled a population of 500 individuals without migration, and various levels of NT (measured after five rounds of MDA) among eligible individuals (ranging from 0% to 40%), depending on treatment coverage, following Dyson et al [8].

We assessed the impact of coverage for 60% and 75% of the community (treating those aged  $\geq 2$ ) and 75% of SAC (5-14 years), with annual treatment frequency in low to moderate prevalence areas and biannual (6-monthly) in high prevalence areas. We also considered two age-intensity profiles of infection, corresponding to low or high burden of infection in adults [10,15,16]. Table 1 provides parameter values used in the models.

**Table 1.** Parameter values for *Schistosoma. mansoni*.

Parameter	SCHISTOX	ICL	Reference
Fecundity (eggs/female/sample)	0.34	0.34	[12,17,18]
Aggregation parameter	0.04-0.24	0.04-0.24	[6,16]
Density dependent fecundity	0.0007	0.0007	[6,19]
Worm life span (years)	5.7	5.7	[6,12,20]
Low adult burden setting: Age specific contact rates for 0-5, 5-10, 10-16, 16+ years old	0.01, 1.2, 1, 0.02	0.01, 1.2, 1, 0.02	[19,21]
High adult burden setting: Age specific contact rates for 0-5, 5-12, 12-20, 20+ years old	0.01, 0.61, 1, 0.12	0.01, 0.61, 1, 0.12	[19,21]
Drug efficacy	86.3%	86.3%	[22]
Contact rate	0.03-0.18	-	-
Basic reproduction number	-	1.2-4	-
Population size	500	500	-

At the end of the 20-year treatment duration, we evaluated the heavy intensity infection to determine whether the proposed EPHP threshold had been met. Each scenario was run 500 times and we considered EPHP to be achieved when 90% of the simulations were below 1% of heavy intensity prevalence in SAC, which was measured by single Kato-Katz on two samples per individual, regardless of the burden of infection in adults.

## Results

In low prevalence areas, treating 60% of the community with 1% NT would achieve the EPHP target within five years, regardless of the burden of infection in adults. Increasing the coverage to 75% of the community increases the probability of elimination (EPHP) and reduces the required number of rounds to achieve the target by one year (Table 2). To achieve EPHP within seven years, the NT should not exceed 15% in low adult burden and 10% in high adult burden settings when treating the community (those aged  $\geq 2$  years). Achieving the same target while treating 75% of SAC only would require the NT to be 15% and 1% in settings with low and high burden of infection in adults, respectively.

In moderate prevalence areas, the EPHP target would be achieved within seven years for all treatment strategies with NT=0% (random treatment), regardless of the burden of infection in adults. Increasing the NT to 1% increases the required number of treatment rounds to achieve the target by one year, whereas for NT = 10% more than ten years would be required (Table 2).

In high prevalence areas, the EPHP target would be achieved within seven years by treating 75% of the community (those aged  $\geq 2$  years), regardless of the burden of infection in adults provided that NT=0%. Treating SAC only in high prevalence areas would not achieve EPHP target within seven years, and a proportion NT = 1% would require more than 12 years of biannual treatment to achieve EPHP.

**Table 2.** Model recommended treatment strategies for achieving elimination as a public health problem (EPHP) for low and high burden of infection in adults with different proportions of population never treated (NT). Coverage and NT values are among eligible population. Results are generated using the ICL and SCHISTOX models. The dark green shade shows EPHP achieved within

seven years, orange within eight to 14 years, and red above 14 years. The light green and light red shades show the borderline values within seven and 8-14 years and 8-14 years and >14 years respectively. The grey areas show scenarios that cannot be simulated, based on the treatment coverage.

		Coverage: Low adult burden			Coverage: High adult burden		
		75% (SAC only)	60% (≥2 years of age)	75% (≥2 years of age)	75% (SAC only)	60% (≥2 years of age)	75% (≥2 years of age)
Baseline prevalence	NT						
Low	0%	3	3-4	2-3	6-7	4	3
	1%	3	3-4	2-3	7-8	5	3-4
	5%	4	4-5	3-4	11	7-8	5-7
	10%	5	6-7	5	11-12	7-8	7
	15%	7	7-8	6	19- >20	11-12	9-10
	20%	10-11	10-11	6-9	>20	14-15	12-13
	25%	11-13	12-14	8-11	>20	18-19	14-15
	30%		13-15			>20	
	35%		16-17			>20	
	40%		>20			>20	
Moderate	0%	4-7	4-6	3-5	5-7	3-6	2-5
	1%	4-7	4-8	3-5	5-8	5-8	4-7
	5%	6-10	7-12	5-9	7-11	8-13	7-10
	10%	9-12	8-15	7-11	>20	13-19	11-15
	15%	11-15	13-18	12-14	>20	>20	14-19
	20%	14-18	16->20	13-18	>20	>20	>20
	25%	16->20	>20	16 - >20	>20	>20	>20
	30%		>20			>20	
	35%		>20			>20	
	40%		>20			>20	
High	0%	9-12	3-8	2-6	10-13	3-8	2-6

1%	11-15	5-11	4-8	13-16	5-10	5-9
5%	13-18	11-17	11-15	15-19	12-18	11-15
10%	17->20	14-19	13-17	>20	16->20	15-20
15%	>20	17->20	15-18	>20	>20	19->20
20%	>20	18->20	17->20	>20	>20	>20
25%	>20	>20	>20	>20	>20	>20
30%		>20			>20	
35%		>20			>20	
40%		>20			>20	

SAC: School aged children, 5 – 14 years; for low and moderate baseline infection prevalence treatment frequency is annual; for high baseline infection prevalence treatment frequency is biannual (and therefore the number of treatment rounds is the number of years multiplied by two). Low baseline prevalence = <10%; moderate baseline prevalence = 10–50%; high baseline prevalence > 50%. The number of years is that required to achieve EPHP<sub>90</sub>, defined as 90% of the (500) simulations reaching <1% prevalence of heavy infection intensity (proportion of the population with  $\geq 400$  epg).

For a low burden of infection in adults, the success of a SAC only treatment programme depends on the baseline prevalence, and the NT proportion. For baseline prevalence above a threshold (67% for ICL and 76% for SCHISTOX), an increase in SAC coverage and inclusion of adults is recommended to achieve the target within seven years of treatment. Specific results from each model are presented in Supplementary Material Table S1. Elimination probability (EPHP) results for a high prevalence setting when NT=0% are shown in Figure S1.

## Discussion

We find that community-wide treatment including the use of the new formulation of praziquantel to treat pre-SAC can achieve elimination as a public health problem within a short time frame provided MDA coverage is good and individual compliance to treatment is effectively random at each round. Independent of MDA coverage, the outcome depends on the burden of infection in adults and the baseline prevalence (determined by the magnitude of  $R_0$ ). The higher the MDA coverage and treatment compliance, (Table 2) the lower the number of rounds required to achieve this target.

Despite the target being achieved in some areas for different treatment strategies, there is a high risk of resurgence following MDA cessation if control efforts are not maintained. The worm aggregation in a community is unevenly distributed, and it is challenging to measure the variability after MDA treatment. The worm aggregation may increase after many rounds of MDA if there is a small proportion of people with heavy-intensity infection that has never been treated. These individuals are a reservoir of infection and increase the risk of resurgence. To prevent resurgence, it is important to maintain EPHP with reduced efforts (less frequent or lower coverage of MDA) or move toward the interruption of transmission goal [10, 21]. The likelihood of maintaining the EPHP target will critically depend on the strategy adopted and the transmission setting, whereby more intense efforts are required in high transmission areas.

For a given NT value, treatment coverage is an important driver of programme duration: the greater the coverage of eligible population the shorter the projected number of years to achieve EPHP. This is because as prevalence falls in the majority of the population, infection levels in NT individuals also decrease due to a lower incidence of new infections through lower transmission, and natural death of existing worms that are replenished at a lower rate. As long as there are only a few NT individuals harbouring reproductively active worms, transmission in the overall population may fall sufficiently low that eventually, infection levels in NT individuals are not able to sustain infection for the entire population above 1% prevalence of heavy intensity infection in SAC.

There is a clear need for more studies of individual compliance patterns in PZQ MDA-treated communities, as very few longitudinal studies of compliance have been conducted [23]. In future work we will use data from the ongoing Geshiyaro project in Ethiopia which is following a large population treated with PZQ over many rounds of MDA and recording individual adherence behaviours [24].

Whilst our models consider closed populations, human movement between communities (either as short-term commuting or long-term migration, including population displacement as a result of civil unrest and/or climate change) can hamper the success of MDA programmes by reducing the probability of elimination (or increase the rate of resurgence upon cessation of MDA) due to spatial diffusion between communities with differing levels of treatment coverage [22]. This is particularly important when programmes transition from EPHP towards elimination of transmission. It is also important to consider the sensitivity of



the diagnostic technique. In this study, the prevalence of infection was measured by Kato-Katz which has a low sensitivity in detecting infection at very low prevalence areas. Alternative diagnostic techniques such as the point-of-care circulating cathodic antigen (POC-CCA) could be helpful as it has a greater sensitivity at low prevalence than Kato-Katz [25–27].

Additional interventions, such as improving water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH), the future use of an efficacious vaccine (if one were to become available) and/or snail control could reduce the number of years of MDA required to achieve EPHP.

### **Authors' contributions**

K.K.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, project administration, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; N.M.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; M.G.B.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing; L.E.C.: conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; S.M.T., R.M.A.: conceptualization, methodology, visualization, supervision, writing—review and editing.

### **Funding**

The authors are grateful for funding by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through the NTD Modelling Consortium (grant INV-030046). This supplement is sponsored by funding of Professor T. Deirdre Hollingsworth's research by Li Ka Shing Foundation at the Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shung Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford and funding of the NTD Modelling Consortium by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-030046). K.K., M.G.B., and R.M.A. also acknowledge funding from the MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis (MR/R015600/1), jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), under the MRC/FCDO Concordat agreement and is also part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union.

### **Abbreviations**

epg: eggs per gram of faeces; EPHP: elimination as a public health problem; EPHP<sub>90</sub>: probability of reaching EPHP in 90% of model runs; EOT: elimination of transmission; MDA: mass drug administration; NT: proportion (of eligibles) never treated; NTD: neglected tropical disease; pre-SAC: pre-school age children; PZQ: praziquantel;  $R_0$ : basic reproduction number; SAC: school-age children; WHO: World Health Organization.

## References

- [1] Ross AG, Bartley PB, Sleight AC, Olds GR, Li Y, Williams GM, McManus DP. Schistosomiasis. *N Engl J Med*, **2002**;346(16):1212-20.
- [2] Hay SI, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*, **2017**;390:1260–344.
- [3] King CH, Galvani AP. Underestimation of the global burden of schistosomiasis. *The Lancet*, **2018**; 391:307–8.
- [4] World Health Organization. Ending the neglect to attain the Sustainable Development Goals: a road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030. Geneva: World Health Organization; **2020**.
- [5] World Health Organization. Weekly epidemiological record, vol 98 (22). **2023**.
- [6] Anderson RM, Turner HC, Farrell SH, Truscott JE. Studies of the transmission dynamics, mathematical model development and the control of schistosome parasites by mass drug administration in human communities. *Adv Parasitol*, **2016**; 94:199–246.
- [7] World Health Organization. WHO guideline on control and elimination of human schistosomiasis. Geneva: World Health Organization; **2022**.
- [8] Dyson L, Stolk WA, Farrell SH, Hollingsworth TD. Measuring and modelling the effects of systematic non-adherence to mass drug administration. *Epidemics*, **2017**;18:56–66.
- [9] Ayabina Di, Kura K, Toor J, Graham M, Anderson RM, Hollingsworth TD. Maintaining low prevalence of *Schistosoma mansoni*: Modeling the effect of less frequent treatment. *Clin Infect Dis*, **2021**;72:S140–5.
- [10] Toor J, Rollinson D, Turner HC, Gouvras A, King CH, Medley GF, et al. Achieving elimination as a public health problem for *Schistosoma mansoni* and *S. haematobium*: When is community-wide treatment required? *J Infect Dis*, **2020**;221:S525–30.
- [11] Truscott JE, Turner HC, Farrell SH, Anderson RM. Soil-Transmitted Helminths. *Adv Parasitol*, vol. 94, Academic Press; **2016**, p. 133–98.
- [12] Anderson RM, May RM. Population dynamics of human helminth infections: control by chemotherapy. *Nature*, **1982**; 297:557–63.

- [13] Anderson RM, May RM. Helminth infections of humans: Mathematical models, population dynamics, and control. *Adv Parasitol*, **1985**; 24:1–101.
- [14] Graham M, Ayabina D, Lucas TC, Collyer BS, Medley GF, Hollingsworth TD, et al. SCHISTOX: An individual based model for the epidemiology and control of schistosomiasis. *Infect Dis Model*, **2021**;6:438–47.
- [15] Kura K, Ayabina D, Toor J, Hollingsworth TD, Anderson RM. Disruptions to schistosomiasis programmes due to COVID-19: An analysis of potential impact and mitigation strategies. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, **2021**; 115:236–44.
- [16] Truscott JE, Gurarie D, Alsallaq R, Toor J, Yoon N, Farrell SH, et al. A comparison of two mathematical models of the impact of mass drug administration on the transmission and control of schistosomiasis. *Epidemics*, **2017**;18:29–37.
- [17] de Vlas SJ, Gryseels B, Van Oortmarssen GJ, Polderman AM, Habbema JDF. A model for variations in single and repeated egg counts in *schistosoma mansoni* infections. *Parasitology*, **1992**;104:451–60.
- [18] de Vlas S, Nagelkerke N, Habbema J, van Oortmarssen G. Review papers □: Statistical models for estimating prevalence and incidence of parasitic diseases. *Stat Methods Med Res*, **1993**; 2:3–21.
- [19] Turner HC, Truscott JE, Bettis AA, Farrell SH, Deol AK, Whitton JM, et al. Evaluating the variation in the projected benefit of community-wide mass treatment for schistosomiasis: Implications for future economic evaluations. *Parasit Vectors*, **2017**; 10:213.
- [20] Fulford AJC, Butterworth AE, Ouma JH, Sturrock RF. A statistical approach to schistosome population dynamics and estimation of the life-span of *Schistosoma mansoni* in man. *Parasitology*, **1995**;110:307–16.
- [21] Toor J, Turner HC, Truscott JE, Werkman M, Phillips AE, Alsallaq R, et al. The design of schistosomiasis monitoring and evaluation programmes: The importance of collecting adult data to inform treatment strategies for *Schistosoma mansoni*. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, **2018**;12.
- [22] Zwang J, Olliaro PL. Clinical Efficacy and tolerability of praziquantel for intestinal and urinary schistosomiasis—A meta-analysis of comparative and non-comparative clinical trials. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, **2014**;8:e3286.
- [23] Maddren R, Rayment Gomez S, Forbes K, Collyer B, Kura K, Anderson R. Individual longitudinal compliance to neglected tropical disease mass drug administration programmes, a systematic review. *MedRxiv*, **2022**.
- [24] Mekete K, Ower A, Dunn J, Sime H, Tadesse G, Abate E, et al. The Geshiyaro Project: a study protocol for developing a scalable model of interventions for moving towards the interruption of the transmission of soil-transmitted helminths and schistosome infections in the Wolaita zone of Ethiopia. *Parasit Vectors*, **2019**;12:503.
- [25] Bärenbold O, Garba A, Colley DG, Fleming FM, Haggag AA, Ramzy RMR, et al. Translating preventive chemotherapy prevalence thresholds for *Schistosoma mansoni* from

the Kato-Katz technique into the point-of-care circulating cathodic antigen diagnostic test. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, **2018**;12.

[26] Prada JM, Touloupou P, Adriko M, Tukahebwa EM, Lamberton PHL, Hollingsworth TD. Understanding the relationship between egg- and antigen-based diagnostics of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection pre- and post-treatment in Uganda. Parasit Vectors, **2018**;11.

[27] Lamberton PHL, Kabatereine NB, Oguttu DW, Fenwick A, Webster JP. Sensitivity and specificity of multiple Kato-Katz thick smears and a circulating cathodic antigen test for *Schistosoma mansoni* diagnosis pre- and post-repeated-praziquantel treatment. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, **2014**;8.