All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.	

1	Effect of isokinetic eccentric training on the human
2	shoulder strength, flexibility, and muscle architecture in
3	physically active men: a preliminary study
4	
5	Sebastian Vetter ¹ ,*, Pierre Hepp ² , Axel Schleichardt ³ , Stefan Schleifenbaum ² , Maren Witt ¹ , Christian
6	Roth ^{4,¶} , Hans-Peter Köhler ^{1,¶}
7	
8	¹ Department of Biomechanics in Sports, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany
9	² Clinics of Orthopedics, Trauma and Plastic Surgery, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany
10	³ Department of Biomechanics, Institute for Applied Training Science, Leipzig Germany
11	⁴ Clinics of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany
12	
13	*Corresponding author:
14	Email: sebastian.vetter@uni-leipzig.de (SV)
15	
16	
17	[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.
18	

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

19 Abstract

Strengthening the rotator cuff muscles is important for injury prevention and rehabilitation. 20 However, there is considerable evidence that range of motion and fascicle length are risk factors for 21 22 injury. Since muscle fascicle length improves motor performance and reduces the risk of injury, it may be an important variable to promote multidirectional changes in the function and macroscopic structure 23 24 of the target muscle. As a well-established intervention strategy, passive stretching seems best for 25 improving the flexibility in terms of range of motion. But stretching and range of motion seem to have 26 little effect on fascicle length changes and injury risk. However, recent reviews suggest that eccentric 27 exercises improve functional and structural measures for the lower limbs. Since a research gap exists 28 for the shoulder joint it has to be clarified if eccentric training for the external rotator cuff muscles 29 produces similar functional and structural changes for a non-specific shoulder trained healthy population. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of highly standardized eccentric isokinetic 30 31 resistance training on the function and structure of the dominant shoulder external rotator cuff muscles. In addition, the feasibility of a new method of measuring flexibility and muscle diffusion tensor imaging 32 to quantify three-dimensional changes in muscle fibers was tested. Therefore, 16 physically active men 33 were recruited in October 2021. The right shoulder eccentric strength was trained twice a week for six 34 weeks at 30°/s using an isokinetic dynamometer. The eccentric training protocol consisted of five sets 35 36 and ten repetitions with subjective maximal effort. The primary outcome measures were for the external rotator cuff muscles eccentric and concentric mean and peak torque at 30, 60 and 180°/s, flexibility in 37 terms of active and passive stretching, fascicle length and fascicle volume for the supraspinatus and 38 39 infraspinatus muscles. The findings showed a training effect for eccentric strength (+24%). For the external rotator cuff muscles, the torque-angle relationship increased, especially in the final phase of 40 range of motion, even though a 4% decrease was seen after the passive stretch test. Positive changes in 41 muscle structure were shown for fascicle length (+16%) and fascicle volume (+19%). Secondary 42 43 outcome measures for the internal rotator cuff muscles did not show significant changes from baseline to post-test. Based on the study results, we can conclude that eccentric isokinetic training has a 44 significant effect on the function and macroscopic structure of the external rotator cuff muscles in a non-45

46 specifically trained, healthy male population. To our knowledge, this is the first eccentric training study 47 using both isokinetic dynamometer and muscle diffusion tensor imaging to access functional and 48 structural changes in the human shoulder rotator cuff muscles. The methods were shown to be feasible 49 for interventional studies. Based on these results, populations such as high-performance handball players 50 with highly trained shoulders should be included in future studies.

51 Introduction

52 Shoulder injuries are an ongoing problem in general practice[1]. The lifetime prevalence of 53 shoulder problems is approximately 31 %[2]. Key factors for the reduction of injury rates seem to be 54 joint range of motion (ROM) and strength[3–6]. Structural deficits in muscle fascicle length (FL), which 55 are also associated to ROM and strength, can also be seen as potential risk factors[7,8]. However, most 56 exercise-based interventions appear to target changes in functional outcomes for flexibility and strength 57 without significant reduction in injury rates[9–11].

In contrast, a recent meta-analysis of 8459 athletes shows that eccentric field exercises implemented in sports athletic training halve the risk of hamstring injuries[12]. Eccentric training effectively induces tissue damage and hypertrophic response. Interestingly, following eccentric strength training, fiber lengthening and a decrease in fiber pennation angle seem to be a major reason for a positive change in muscle volume and motor performance[13]. However, exercises that promote muscle fascicle lengthening seem to be of considerable interest as injury prevention strategies and for improving motor performance[13–15].

Recently, eccentric training interventions have received greater attention in research with the objective of better understanding functional and structural changes through these forms of interventions[16,17]. However, there is still a lack of studies focusing on the musculature of the shoulder joint[18]. Furthermore, since structural changes are commonly obtained with 2D ultrasound imaging, even less is known about the three-dimensional changes in muscle fiber architecture. As a promising approach muscle diffusion tensor imaging (mDTI) is able to show the complexity of changes in different muscles[19–21].

72 The aim of this study was to investigate the structural and functional alteration following a sixweek isokinetic eccentric strength training. In addition, this study will test the feasibility of a newly 73 74 developed isokinetic functional stretch test as well as the feasibility of mDTI to quantify changes in the muscle parameters for the shoulder external rotator cuff muscles. Main outcome measures were 75 eccentric and concentric mean and peak torque of the external rotator cuff muscles at an isokinetic mode 76 with 30°/s, 60°/s, and 180°/s movement velocity and analysis of the torque angle relationship. For 77 78 flexibility, active ROM (aROMmax) and submaximal and maximum passive ROM (pROMsub and 79 pROMmax) and its passive torque angle relation were obtained. To quantify changes in the infraspinatus and supraspinatus muscle, mean fractional anisotropy (FA), fascicle length (FL) and fascicle volume 80 (FV) were calculated based on mDTI. 81

82 Materials and Methods

This is a preliminary experimental study[22]. The recruitment period for this study was from October 18 to December 17, 2021. The study protocol was approved by the Leipzig University ethics committee (ethical approval nr: 362/21-ek) and is registered in the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00032375). The study was performed according to the declaration of Helsinki. All subjects gave written informed consent. The authors had no access to information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection.

89 Sample

The sample consisted of 16 active male student athletes for isokinetic measurements (23.3 ± 3.9) years; 181.2 ± 6.9 cm body height; 76.4 ± 7.4 kg body mass). The physical activity of the recruited population is characterized by strength training and individually varied activities such as skiing, judo, soccer, running, handball, or javelin throwing at least twice a week. Out of this population eleven subjects were randomly chosen for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). All participants were required to have a healthy dominant right shoulder. Subjects were excluded, if they showed a history of musculoskeletal disorders, had regular medication, discomfort, pain, or known lesions in the right

- 97 shoulder. In addition, five days prior to data collection, participants were instructed to discontinue any
- 98 intense and exceptional physical activity or heavy training.

99 Eccentric isokinetic resistance training intervention

100 A total of twelve eccentric isokinetic strength training sessions for the external rotator cuff 101 muscles were performed over a period of six weeks (twice a week). Training was performed using BTE 102 Primus RS isokinetic dynamometer (Baltimore Therapeutic Equipment Company, Hanover, MD, USA). 103 Prior to the start of the intervention, all subjects completed two sessions of familiarization with the 104 eccentric training and testing procedure.

105 The training protocol followed the recommendations from Toigo and Boutellier[23] and was 106 standardized in terms of weeks and days of training, ROM, movement velocity, load magnitude, number 107 of sets and repetitions, and exercise execution regulations (Table 1). After two weeks of intervention, 108 the total ROM was shifted 15 degrees toward the internal ROM and the load level was increased based 109 on the load level of the last three sessions. The training was performed in prone position with 90° 110 shoulder abduction and 90° elbow flexion.

111 Table 1. Eccentric resistance exercise determinants.

Resistance exercise determinants	Eccentric isokinetic internal rotation
Number of repetitions	10 repetitions
Load magnitude	± 10% 10-Repetition Maximum
Time under tension	~4 seconds
Rest in-between repetitions	~4 seconds
Volitional muscular failure	no
Range of motion	120° (from 60° external to 60° internal rotation)
Movement speed	30°/s
Exercise execution	Prone Position
	Fixed joints: elbow (90° flexion) and Hand (0°
	neutral)
	rotating joint: shoulder (90° abduction)
Fractional and temporal distribution of the contraction modes per	4 seconds eccentric
repetition and duration of a repetition	0 seconds isometric

All rights reserved. No	reuse allowed without permission.
-------------------------	-----------------------------------

	0 seconds concentric
Number of sets	5 Sets
Rest in between sets	120 seconds
Number of exercise interventions	2/ week
Wamp-Up	Shoulder rotation and pull-ups
Recovery time in-between exercise sessions	48–76 hours
Duration of experimental period	6 weeks

112

Data acquisition

Functional and structural parameters of the right shoulder were assessed using diffusion-weighted MRI scans and isokinetic dynamometry three to five days before the first and after the final eccentric intervention session.

117 Isokinetic Testing

IsoMed 2000 (D&R Ferstl GmbH, Hemau, Germany) was used to test the ROM and strength of the right shoulders external and internal rotator cuff muscles. Each subject was tested in the supine position with fixed shoulder-arm joints (Fig 1) as for the eccentric training described (Table 1). In addition, the shoulder was fixed ventrally to prevent shoulder elevation in an individually standardized manner.

Active stretch testing consisted of 15 trials alternating between internal and external rotation. Volunteers were instructed to move the apparatus slowly and with ease until aROMmax. The turning point were reached when the subject was unable to continue the antagonist stretch generated by the agonist muscles. Passive stretching tests were performed at an isokinetic speed of 5°/s. The passive stretch involved 15 trials of alternating internal and external rotation with eyes closed and muscles subjectively relaxed until pROMmax, characterized by 8 Nm of passive torque, was reached.

The voluntary maximum strength tests were performed separately for concentric and eccentric rotation, also alternating between internal and external rotation. Strength measurements were performed over a 160° ROM (70° internal rotation and 90° external rotation) at an isokinetic speed of 60/°s, 180°/s

and 30°/s. All tests were performed with a rest period of 60 seconds. Each subject's position and
dynamometer adapter gravity correction value and settings were individualized at baseline and used for
post-tests (Fig 1).

135

136 Fig 1. Functional testing using ISOMED 2000 isokinetic dynamometer.

137

138 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

139 A 3-Tesla Siemens MAGNETOM Prisma scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with a shoulder coil (XL, 16-channel) was used for MRI scans. The participants lay in a head first supine 140 141 position with the right arm in the neutral position and the hand supinated. The MR protocol consisted of 142 a T1-weighted and a diffusion-weighted sequence. The T1-weighted sequence consisted the following 143 parameter settings: repetition and echo time TR/TE = 492/20 ms, slice thickness = 0.7 mm, flip angle = 120°, field of view = 180 x 180 mm², matrix = 256x256 mm². Diffusion-weighted sequence were 144 acquired as following: repetition and echo time TR/TE = 6100/69 ms, slice thickness = 5.2 mm, flip 145 146 angle = 90° , field of view = 240 x 240 mm², matrix = 122 x 122 mm², 48 diffusion sampling directions with $b = 400 \text{ s/mm}^2$. Total scan time reached 12 minutes. 147

148 Data processing

149 MATLAB v.R2022a (MathWorks, Natick, USA) was used to process the isokinetic dynamometer data. Stretching parameter calculations were based on the average of the third through seventh trials in 150 each test, with the first two trials excluded and defined as familiarization. pROMmax was calculated 151 when subjects reached the end point characterized by either 8 Nm or 100° internal rotation and 130° 152 153 external rotation depending on the target muscle for the test. For further analysis of changes in the morphology of the passive torque-angle curves, a three-parametric e-function (Appendix) was fitted 154 from each subject's individual offset start angle to the 100° internal rotation and 130° external rotation 155 end points (100% stretch), which are shown in percent stretch in the figures. The evaluated e-function 156 157 was then used to calculate the angle at the instant of 0.01 Nm/degree (pROMsub) and to calculate the

158 fit to the defined end points using a statistical parametric mapping (SPM1d) method in MATLAB159 (Appendix).

For strength analysis, the mean of three maximum consecutive repetitions were used. Raw data were filtered using a 6 Hz cutoff frequency[24]. From each test, the acceleration and deceleration phases were cut, leaving only the interval with the desired isokinetic velocity. The maximum torque was then identified in the isokinetic phase and the mean torque was calculated over this phase. Descriptive and inferential statistics in SPSS v.27 (IBM. Armonk. New York. USA) used absolute torque. For comparison of curve morphology using SPM1d, data were normalized to body mass[24,25].

MRI data were first processed using Mimics Materialise v.24.0 (Leuven, Belgium) for manually segment the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles to extract a volume of interest (VOI). This segmentation method showed excellent reliability for the tractography outcome measures[26]. Then, the diffusion-weighted images were processed and corrected using DSI Studio (v. 3rd of December 2021. http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org). Based on VOI-based deterministic fiber tractography, FA, muscle FL and FV were calculated using the integrated statistics tool in DSI Studio (Fig 2). More details for the MRI and segmentation can be found in a previous methods paper[26].

173

Fig 2. Right shoulder dorsal view tractography. a) coronal plane supraspinatus muscle fiber with
directional coloring, b) infraspinatus muscle, c-e) sagittal, coronal and axial view on diffusion-

176 weighted-images and volume of interest.

177

178 Statistics

MATLAB v.R2022a (MathWorks, Natick, USA) and SPSS v.27 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) were used for statistics. Descriptive results were based on mean and standard deviation (±). Participants were excluded from further analysis if the data distribution showed outliers and z-transformed values exceeded 2.5. For statistical analysis, missing variables were calculated in SPSS. Repeated measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to show main effects and interactions between different factors. For statistics of strength parameters, the factors were time (pre and post), mode

(eccentric and concentric), and speed (30°/s, 60°/s and 180°/s). For flexibility parameters, the factors
were time (pre and post) and test (aROM and pROM). For muscle parameters, the factor for statistical
analysis were time (pre and post). Statistics were separated for the muscles.

188 For further post-hoc mean comparisons, repeated measures univariate analysis of variances 189 (ANOVA) and paired t-tests were used. The *p*-value was set at 0.05. Differences between the torque-

angle curves were calculated using SPM1d integrated in MATLAB.

191 **Results**

All 16 subjects completed twelve training sessions within six weeks. Data were tested for normal distribution and homogeneity. One outlier was excluded for supraspinatus muscle analysis, and three subjects were excluded due to invalid test performance for the active stretch test and a truncated proximal field of view on MRI for the infraspinatus muscle.

196 External rotator cuff muscles functional and structural changes

A three-factors repeated measures MANOVA were calculated for external and internal rotator cuff muscles strength. Overall, the external rotator cuff muscles showed a significant time x mode interaction for the parameter mean torque (F (1,14) = 5.82; p = .030; $\eta p^2 = .293$) and peak torque (F (1,14) = 3.58; p = .080; $\eta p^2 = .203$). Also, an interaction effect was found for time x speed for mean torque: (F (1,14) = 5.29; p = .011; $\eta p^2 = .274$) and peak torque (F (1,429; 20,004) = 3.51; p = .063; ηp^2 = .200). The interaction effect of time x mode was significant for the 30°/s velocity strength tests (Wilks-Lambda = .450; F (2,13) = 7.93; p = .006; $\eta p^2 = .550$).

For analysis of the stretching tests and ROM results, a one-way MANOVA showed significant pre-post decreases for the trained external rotator cuff muscle parameters (Wilks-Lambda = .572; F (2,14) = 5.25; p = .020; $\eta p^2 = .428$). Furthermore, the analysis for the aROMmax revealed a significant prepost decrease for the internal rotator cuff muscles (t (12) = 2.37; p = .018; d = .657). The pre-post differences for the external rotator cuff muscles for each factor and parameter can be found in Table 2. Muscle structural changes were calculated for the supraspinatus muscle and showed a nonsignificant result (Wilks-Lambda = .363; F (2;8) = 7.03; p = .017; $\eta p^2 = .637$). However, post-hoc tests

- for the pre-post differences can be found for FL (t (9) = 3.53; p = .003; d = 1.117) and FV (t (9) = 3.82; 211
- p = .002; d = 1.207). The Infraspinatus muscle did not show statistically significant pre-post differences 212
- (Table 2). Furthermore, no changes were found for the metric FA and for all secondary outcome 213
- 214 measures (Table 3).

Table 2. Results for the external rotator cuff muscles. 215

Muscle	parameter		Pre	Post	Δ%	р		
External rotator cuff	Strength eccentric (Nm)							
muscles	Mean torque	30°/s	28.68 (6.31)	35.57 (6.58)	+24.02	.008		
		60°/s	33.01 (6.92)	37.42 (7.31)	+13.36	.098		
		180°/s	36.60 (7.97)	40.73 (8.42)	+11.30	.145		
	Peak torque	30°/s	37.70 (7.76)	44.04 (7.80)	+16.82	.031		
		60°/s	41.12 (8.25)	45.34 (8.93)	+10.25	.150		
		180°/s	42.50 (8.94)	46.22 (9.29)	+8.76	.190		
	Strength concentric (Nm)							
	Mean torque	30°/s	22.55 (4.52)	23.07 (4.10)	+2.32	.451		
		60°/s	22.86 (4.23)	24.45 (4.57)	+6.93	.227		
		180°/s	21.75 (4.55)	21.48 (4.14)	-1.29	.374		
	Peak torque	30°/s	28.61 (5.54)	30.49 (5.41)	+6.57	.226		
		60°/s	29.41 (5.25)	31.38 (6.31)	+6.70	.253		
		180°/s	25.69 (4.63)	25.23 (4.77)	-1.81	.345		
	Flexibility (internal rotation)							
	Active stretch	aROMmax (°)	71.59 (8.62)	66.82 (7.72)	-6.66	.018		
	Passive stretch	pROMsub (°)	60.95 (10.70)	58.06 (9.48)	-4.74	.009		
		pROMmax (°)	86.63 (9.65)	83.46 (8.50)	-3.66	.002		
Infraspinatus	Fascicle length (mm)		66.55 (6.15)	64.63 (4.80)	-2.89	.277		
	fascicle volume (mm ³)		257.83 (30.21)	258.29 (35.33)	+0.18	.492		
	Fractional anisotropy		0.2500 (0.02)	0.2486 (0.02)	-0.56	.690		
Supraspinatus	Fascicle length (mm)		36.76 (6.93)	42.68 (7.94)	+16.1	.003		
	fascicle volume (mm ³)		143.17 (29.34)	170.54 (23.42)	+19.12	.002		
	Fractional anisotropy		0.2855 (0.02)	0.2845 (0.02)	-0.35	.889		

216 217 Columns indicate the absolute mean pre and post test values, percentage change (Δ %) and significance (*p*). Nm, newton

meter; aROMmax, maximum active range of motion; pROMsub, submaximal passive range of motion; pROMmax,

218 maximum passive range of motion; °, angle degree; °/s, degrees per second (movement velocity); mm, millimeter; mm³, 219 cubic millimeter; values in brackets, standard deviation.

220

Internal rotator cuff muscles functional changes 221

- A three-factors repeated measures MANOVA were calculated for the internal rotator cuff 222
- muscles strength showing no significant changes in all metrics Furthermore, a one-way MANOVA for 223
- 224 the stretching tests showed no significant changes (Table 3).

Muscle	parameter		Pre	Post	Δ%	р		
Internal rotator cuff	Strength eccentric (Nm)							
inderes	Mean torque	30°/s	31.35 (9.45)	33.02 (10.41)	+5.33	.363		
		60°/s	39.54 (8.39)	40.54 (10.83)	+2.53	.432		
		180°/s	41.64 (9.85)	41.86 (13.65)	+0.55	.475		
	Peak torque	30°/s	45.71 (15.14)	52.42 (16.85)	+14.68	.139		
		60°/s	51.39 (13.60)	55.20 (14.22)	+7.42	.258		
		180°/s	53.59 (17.00)	55.58 (19.29)	+3.71	.429		
	Strength concentric (Nm)							
	Mean torque	30°/s	22.63 (6.14)	21.90 (6.74)	-3.22	.337		
		60°/s	27.33 (5.54)	26.67 (7.19)	-2.40	.354		
		180°/s	24.56 (5.91)	24.65 (6.58)	+0.36	.474		
	Peak torque	30°/s	33.60 (9.39)	36.11 (10.30)	+7.45	.295		
		60°/s	35.98 (8.45)	37.80 (10.31)	+5.06	.355		
		180°/s	31.70 (8.69)	32.37 (8.48)	+2.13	.459		
	Flexibility							
	Active stretch	aROMmax (°)	98.93 (0.88)	101.53 (7.55)	-2.63	.092		
	Passive stretch	pROMsub (°)	82.88 (7.84)	81.24 (8.20)	-1.98	.142		
		pROMmax (°)	109.44 (7.34)	108.33 (8.56)	-1.01	.226		

225 Table 3. Results for the internal rotator cuff muscles.

226 Columns indicate the absolute mean pre and post test values, percentage change (Δ %) and significance (p). Nm, newton

227 meter; aROMmax, maximum active range of motion; pROMsub, submaximal passive range of motion; pROMmax,

228 maximum passive range of motion; °, angle degree; °/s, degrees per second (movement velocity); values in brackets, standard 229 deviation.

230

Changes in eccentric and concentric torque-angle curves 231

232 For the external rotator cuff muscles strength tests, SPM1d analysis of the torque-angle relationship revealed significant changes between neutral position and 60° internal rotation in the 233 234 eccentric test with an isokinetic speed of 30°/s. The eccentric test with 60°/s movement velocity showed

a significant increase in torque between 30 and 60° internal rotation(Fig 3). SPM1d analysis of the
internal rotator cuff muscles showed a significant change in curve morphology in the internal rotation
in the 60-40° ROM and in the final ROM above 80° (Fig 4).

238

Fig 3. External rotator cuff muscles torque-angle-curves. Pre- (blue) and Post-measurement (red)
torque angle curves for 30°/s, 60°/s and 180°/s (top-to-bottom) for the eccentric (left column) and
concentric (right column) strength. The dash-dotted line indicates the *t*-values calculated by the
Statistical Parametric Mapping method (SPM1d) for each angle, the dashed line indicates the critical *t*value. If the *t*-values exceed the critical value, significant differences exist between pre- and postmeasurement and are marked as grey area.
Fig 4. Internal rotator cuff muscles torque-angle-curves. Pre- (blue) and Post-measurement (red)

torque angle curves for 30°/s, 60°/s and 180°/s (top-to-bottom) for the eccentric (left column) and
concentric (right column) strength. The dash-dotted line indicates the *t*-values calculated by the
Statistical Parametric Mapping method (SPM1d) for each angle, the dashed line indicates the critical *t*value. If the *t*-values exceed the critical value, significant differences exist between pre- and postmeasurement and are marked as grey area.

252

253 Changes in passive torque-angle curves

For the external rotator cuff muscles passive stretching tests SPM1d analysis showed an increase in passive stretching torque-angle relationship from 40° ROM to 100° ROM (Fig 5). No differences in passive torque-angle relationship were statistically confirmed for the internal rotator cuff muscles (Fig 6 and Table 3).

258

Fig 5. External rotator cuff muscles passive stretching torque-angle-curves. Pre and post group
torque angle curves (blue and red) extrapolated from the individual offset start angle of each subject to
100° of internal rotation (100% extension during testing). Newton meters (Nm) are expressed relative
to the body mass (kg) of each subject. The dotted line indicates the *t*-values calculated by the statistical

263 parametric mapping method (SPM1d) for each angle, the dashed line indicates the critical *t*-value.

264 Significant differences are indicated by the gray area in the figure.

265

Fig 6. Internal rotator cuff muscles passive stretching torque-angle-curves. Pre-post (blue-red)
group torque-angle curves extrapolated from each subject's individual offset start angle to 130° of
external rotation (100% extension in test). Newton meters (Nm) are relative to each subject's body
mass (kg). The dash-dotted line indicates the *t*-values calculated by the Statistical Parametric Mapping
method (SPM1d) for each angle, the dashed line indicates the critical *t*-value. Significant differences
are indicated by the gray area.

272

273 **Discussion**

274 The purpose of this study was to determine if eccentric resistance training could produce similar and plausible results for the shoulder compared to other lower extremity studies and to evaluate the 275 feasibility of the study methodology. We hypothesized that 6 weeks of 30°/s isokinetic eccentric internal 276 277 rotation resistance training targeting the external rotator cuff muscles, would have positive effects on 278 eccentric strength, active and passive stretching behavior, and supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles 279 FA, FL and FV. However, the eccentric strength of the external rotator cuff muscles increased 280 significantly by +24% in the 30°/s test in particular in the final ROM of the isokinetic phase (Fig 3). 281 Flexibility tests showed a significant decrease in both the aROMmax (-7%) and the pROMmax (-5%). 282 while the passive torque angle relation increased. In addition, the supraspinatus FL increased 283 significantly by +16% and the FV increased by +19%. Other primary outcome measures, such as concentric strength for the trained external rotator cuff muscles, changed up to +7% but not statistically 284 285 significant. Secondary outcome measures showed no statistically significant changes in internal rotator 286 cuff strength and flexibility tests.

Strength tests results are plausible and comparable to other lower extremity eccentric isokinetic dynamometer training studies, explaining a 19% gain in eccentric strength and a 9% improvement for the concentric strength[18]. Several mechanisms and adaptations may account for the changes in

strength. First, the changes in strength and FV are addressed in terms of calculated fiber elongation[13] and the documented right shift in the torque-angle relationship (Fig 3), which has been discussed as a functional correlate of sarcomere formation[13,23,27]. Second, the changes found are primarily concerned with possible changes in FV as a possible surrogate parameter for physiological crosssectional area of a muscle.

295 As multiple tissues define the ROM of the shoulder, a decrease in flexibility can be attributed to 296 the function and structure of the glenohumeral joint. Since the shoulder joint consists of at least three 297 other joints, not only the stabilizing muscles, but also passive structures such as the capsule determine 298 its function. Therefore, Zandt et al.[28] expected low adaptability for rotator cuff muscles following 299 eccentric resistance training. Furthermore, Camargo and collegues[29], summarized that the stimulation 300 of the target muscle seems to be problematic for shoulder rotational movements. However, this study showed interesting changes in joints flexibility while parametrizing the passive stretching torque-angle 301 302 curves and extracting parameters that characterize the changes in the stretching behavior until the final stretch was reached. Our results show that a decrease in ROM after eccentric resistance training can be 303 304 explained by an increase in the torque-angle relationship during the passive stretch test. This can be 305 explained by changes in stiffness either due to addition in sarcomeres in series or due to chronic titin elongation and therefore sarcomere lengthening[30,31]. Therefore, this approach provides new 306 307 information on the stretch behavior of human shoulder external rotator cuff muscles after resistance 308 training without using imaging techniques to quantify tissue stiffness[32].

309 This study shows a 16% increase in FL following eccentric training. Changes in FL can be 310 attributed to either sarcomere elongation or sarcomerogenesis[30,31]. However, this study found a positive change in FV and in torque-angle-relationship, which can be interpreted as an indicative for 311 312 sarcomerogenesis, as these changes appear to be a functional correlate of sarcomere addition in series 313 [13,23,27]. Compared to other eccentric training studies, this change in FL shows to be 6% above the mean fiber lengthening reported for the lower limbs in a systematic review[18]. However, this review 314 article also showed a wide range for adaptation, ranging from a 3% non-significant decrease in FL[33] 315 to a possible 33% increase in FL for the hamstrings muscles[34]. Since the review article showed small 316 317 differences in training method and exercise load between these two studies, the ultrasound imaging

method applied was discussed as a possible reason for measuring different values in FL changes. 318 Compared to MRI methods, 2D ultrasound imaging appears to be less objective and reliable[35]. To our 319 320 knowledge, Suskens and collegues[21] published the first study using MRI-based mDTI and observed 321 a 14% (2 cm) increase in FL after eccentric resistance training. While Suskens and collegues [21] trained 322 the lower extremities, our study appears to be the first to demonstrate architectural changes of the shoulder after eccentric training using mDTI. However, plausible changes in FL were observed in this 323 324 study, which are also reflected in the observed changes in FV. In terms of baseline fiber length and FV, 325 the demonstrated results appear to be comparable to anatomical studies indicating a wide range of mean 326 fiber length between 2.8 and 8.3 cm[36,37] and 6.6 cm for the infraspinatus muscle[37]. Since the population, measurement method, and number of fascicles measured can lead to incomparability 327 between studies[36], this study appears to show results within the range for typical structural shape and 328 329 adaption capacity.

330 Limitations

331 There are several limitations that should be noted. First, this is a preliminary study without a control group and resulting in missing or bad data. However, this study was conducted to demonstrate 332 333 the feasibility of training and diagnostics and to show the potential results for physically active men to 334 have a basis for interpretation for future studies. Second, the sample size limited the statistical power 335 and therefore some variables showed some trends but did not reach significance regardless of the analysis methods performed. Therefore, analysis revealed low sample size for the independent analysis 336 337 for active stretching tests and MRI measurements and results have to be interpreted with caution. Third, the eccentric external rotation exercises used in this study may not account for strength training-induced 338 changes in muscle architecture to the same extent as abduction exercises [29,38,39]. However, because 339 of the widespread deficit in internal rotation of the glenohumeral joints as a risk factor for injury[40,41], 340 341 rotational exercises have been used to directly target the major functional limitations of most subjects. Furthermore, the training with an isokinetic machine was very specific, whereas several subjects showed 342 irritations in the torque-angle curves during training and testing. Therefore, it is possible that free-weight 343 344 eccentric resistance training may produce at least the same effects as isokinetic training in such a

population. Fourth, the stretching tests may not be comparable to other studies that primarily used 345 physical therapists [29,38,39] to determine ROM of the glenohumeral joints. However, as most studies 346 347 determine submaximal ROM without reaching an individual maximum stretch pain and with low standardization in how ROMmax is defined[17], this test is able to show stretching behavior in a 348 standardized manner until a predefined torque or angle of motion is reached. However, the comparisons 349 350 of curve morphology using SPM1d show an exploratory approach as there is no study to compare to. 351 Last, differences in anatomical and diffusion-weighted MRI scan parameter settings led to difficulties 352 in image registration and resampling. Because of these difficulties, four subjects were excluded from 353 the infraspinatus analysis due to a proximally restricted field of view. In addition, the stopping criteria for fiber tracking resulted in interpolations and different tract densities between subjects. However, DTI 354 represents the diffusion of tissue water molecules to calculate fiber length based on reconstructed tensors. 355 Therefore, DTI results should be interpreted with caution. 356

357 **Conclusion**

Following this study, it was shown that eccentric training can induce improvements in functional 358 359 and structural measures of the shoulder external rotator cuff muscles. To our best knowledge, this is the 360 first study using this experimental setting to determine baseline to posttest changes following eccentric strength training. Therefore, a major aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of the methods. In 361 terms of feasibility, this study demonstrated that the eccentric intervention was able to confirm 362 hypotheses regarding strength and muscle fiber length. Regarding the test methods, the e-function used 363 364 to extrapolate a passive stretch torque-angle curve showed plausible results and was therefore considered feasible, as was DTI, which showed comparable results to the other studies mentioned in the Discussion 365 366 section. Automatic segmentation approaches can be considered to speed up mDTI analysis. It remains to be proven whether these effects are still significant when compared to conventional shoulder 367 368 prevention strategies in a randomized controlled trial. Furthermore, it is unknown whether this training program would produce similar results for a chronic high-impact shoulder for a sample of overhead 369 370 athletes.

371 Acknowledgments

- We are grateful to all the scientists, support staff, and recruited individuals for their participation
- in this study. We would also like to thank Frank Yeh for the software support from DSI Studio.

374

375 **References**

- Greving K, Dorrestijn O, Winters JC, Groenhof F, Van Der Meer K, Stevens M, et al. Incidence,
 prevalence, and consultation rates of shoulder complaints in general practice. Scandinavian
- 378Journal of Rheumatology. 2012. pp. 150–155. doi:10.3109/03009742.2011.605390
- Luime J, Koes B, Hendriksen I, Burdorf A, Verhagen A, Miedema H, et al. Prevalence and
 incidence of shoulder pain in the general population; a systematic review. Scand J Rheumatol.
 2004;33: 73–81. doi:10.1080/03009740310004667
- Burkhart SS, Morgan CD, Ben Kibler W. The disabled throwing shoulder: Spectrum of
 pathology Part I: Pathoanatomy and biomechanics. Arthroscopy Journal of Arthroscopic and
 Related Surgery. 2003;19: 404–420. doi:10.1053/jars.2003.50128
- Hoppe MW, Brochhagen J, Tischer T, Beitzel K, Seil R, Grim C. Risk factors and prevention
 strategies for shoulder injuries in overhead sports: an updated systematic review. Journal of
 Experimental Orthopaedics. Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; 2022.
 doi:10.1186/s40634-022-00493-9
- Murphy DF, Connolly DAJ, Beynnon BD. Risk factors for lower extremity injury: A review of
 the literature. British Journal of Sports Medicine. 2003. pp. 13–29. doi:10.1136/bjsm.37.1.13
- Wilk KE, Andrews JR, Arrigo CA, Keirns MA, Erber DJ. The strength characteristics of internal
 and external rotator muscles in professional baseball pitchers. American Journal of Sports
 Medicine. 1993;21: 61–66. doi:10.1177/036354659302100111
- Ribeiro-Alvares JB, Dornelles MP, Fritsch CG, de Lima-E-Silva FX, Medeiros TM, Severo Silveira L, et al. Prevalence of hamstring strain injury risk factors in professional and under-20
 male football (Soccer) players. J Sport Rehabil. 2020;29: 339–345. doi:10.1123/jsr.2018-0084
- Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Short biceps
 femoris fascicles and eccentric knee flexor weakness increase the risk of hamstring injury in
 elite football (soccer): A prospective cohort study. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50: 1524–1535.
 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095362

- 401 9. Achenbach L, Huppertz G, Zeman F, Weber J, Luig P, Rudert M, et al. Multicomponent
 402 stretching and rubber band strengthening exercises do not reduce overuse shoulder injuries: A
 403 cluster randomised controlled trial with 579 handball athletes. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.
 404 2022;8. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001270
- 10. Niederbracht Y, Shim AL, Sloniger MA, Paternostro-Bayles M, Short TH. Effects of a shoulder
 injury prevention strength training program on eccentric external rotator muscle strength and
 glenohumeral joint imbalance in female overhead activity athletes. J Strength Cond Res.
 2008;22: 140–145. doi:10.1519/JSC.0B013E31815F5634
- 409 11. Thacker SB, Gilchrist J, Stroup DF, Kimsey CD. The Impact of Stretching on Sports Injury Risk:
 410 A Systematic Review of the Literature. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2004. pp.
- 411 371–378. doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000117134.83018.F7
- 412 12. Van Dyk N, Behan FP, Whiteley R. Including the Nordic hamstring exercise in injury
 413 prevention programmes halves the rate of hamstring injuries: A systematic review and meta414 analysis of 8459 athletes. Br J Sports Med. 2019;53: 1362–1370. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018415 100045
- 416 13. Franchi MV., Reeves ND, Narici MV. Skeletal muscle remodeling in response to eccentric vs.
 417 concentric loading: Morphological, molecular, and metabolic adaptations. Frontiers in
 418 Physiology. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2017. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00447
- 419 14. Hinks A, Franchi MV., Power GA. The influence of longitudinal muscle fascicle growth on
 420 mechanical function. J Appl Physiol. 2022;133: 87–103.
 421 doi:10.1152/JAPPLPHYSIOL.00114.2022
- 422 15. Abe T, Kumagai K, Brechue WF. Fascicle length of leg muscles is greater in sprinters than
 423 distance runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32: 1125–1129. doi:10.1097/00005768424 200006000-00014
- 425 16. Gérard R, Gojon L, Decleve P, Van Cant J. The effects of eccentric training on biceps femoris
 426 architecture and strength: A systematic review with meta-analysis. J Athl Train. 2020;55: 501–
 427 514. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-194-19

- 428 17. O'Sullivan K, McAuliffe S, DeBurca N. The effects of eccentric training on lower limb
 429 flexibility: A systematic review. British Journal of Sports Medicine. 2012. pp. 838–845.
 430 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2011-090835
- 431 18. Vetter S, Schleichardt A, Köhler HP, Witt M. The Effects of Eccentric Strength Training on
- 432 Flexibility and Strength in Healthy Samples and Laboratory Settings: A Systematic Review.
- 433 Frontiers in Physiology. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2022. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.873370
- 434 19. Damon BM, Buck AKW, Ding Z. Diffusion-tensor MRI-based skeletal muscle fiber tracking.
 435 Imaging in Medicine. 2011. pp. 675–687. doi:10.2217/iim.11.60
- 436 20. Soares JM, Marques P, Alves V, Sousa N. A hitchhiker's guide to diffusion tensor imaging.
 437 Front Neurosci. 2013. doi:10.3389/fnins.2013.00031
- 438 21. Suskens JJM, Secondulfo L, Kiliç Ö, Hooijmans MT, Reurink G, Froeling M, et al. Effect of
 439 two eccentric hamstring exercises on muscle architectural characteristics assessed with diffusion
 440 tensor MRI. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2022. doi:10.1111/sms.14283
- 22. Vetter S. Dataset_preliminary experimental study: Effect of isokinetic eccentric resistance
 training on strength, flexibility and muscle architecture. Figshare Dataset. 2023.
 doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.24161040.v1
- 444 23. Toigo M, Boutellier U. New fundamental resistance exercise determinants of molecular and
 445 cellular muscle adaptations. European Journal of Applied Physiology. 2006. pp. 643–663.
 446 doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0238-1
- 24. Zanca GG, Oliveira AB, Saccol MF, Mattiello-Rosa SM. Isokinetic dynamometry applied to
 shoulder rotators Velocity limitations in eccentric evaluations. J Sci Med Sport. 2011;14: 541–
 546. doi:10.1016/J.JSAMS.2011.05.002
- 450 25. Alt T, Komnik I, Severin J, Nodler YT, Benker R, Knicker AJ, et al. Swing Phase Mechanics
 451 of Maximal Velocity Sprints-Does Isokinetic Lower-Limb Muscle Strength Matter? Int J Sports
 452 Physiol Perform. 2021;16: 974–984. doi:10.1123/IJSPP.2020-0423
- 453 26. Vetter S, Köhler HP, Hepp P, Steinke H, Schleifenbaum S, Theopold J, Kiem S, Witt M,
 454 Henkelmann J, Roth C (2023). Diffusion tensor imaging: Influence of segmentation on fiber

- 455 tracking in the supraspinatus muscle–An inter-operator reliability analysis. PLOS ONE, 18(9),
- 456 e0286280. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0286280
- 457 27. Blazevich AJ, Cannavan D, Coleman DR, Horne S. Influence of concentric and eccentric
 458 resistance training on architectural adaptation in human quadriceps muscles. J Appl Physiol.
- 459 2007;103: 1565–1575. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00578.2007
- 460 28. Zandt JF, Hahn D, Buchmann S, Beitzel K, Schwirtz A, Imhoff AB, et al. Kann exzentrisches
- 461 Training in der konservativen Therapie von chronischen Supraspinatus-Tendinopathien effektiv
 462 sein? Eine Übersicht zur aktuellen Literatur. Sportverletzung Sportschaden. 2010;24: 190–197.
 463 doi:10.1055/s-0029-1245816
- 29. Camargo PR, Alburquerque-Sendín F, Salvini TF. Eccentric training as a new approach for
 rotator cuff tendinopathy: Review and perspectives. World J Orthop. 2014;5: 634–644.
 doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i5.634
- 30. Herzog W, Fontana H de B. Does eccentric exercise stimulate sarcomerogenesis? Journal of
 Sport and Health Science. Elsevier B.V.; 2022. pp. 40–42. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2021.10.001
- 31. Butterfield TA, Herzog W. The magnitude of muscle strain does not influence serial sarcomere
 number adaptations following eccentric exercise. Pflugers Arch. 2006;451: 688–700.
 doi:10.1007/s00424-005-1503-6
- 472 32. Moreau B, Vergari C, Gad H, Sandoz B, Skalli W, Laporte S. Non-invasive assessment of
 473 human multifidus muscle stiffness using ultrasound shear wave elastography: A feasibility study.
 474 Proc Inst Mech Eng H. 2016;230: 809–814. doi:10.1177/0954411916656022/
- 33. Guilhem G, Cornu C, Maffiuletti NA, Guével A. Neuromuscular adaptations to isoload versus
 isokinetic eccentric resistance training. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45: 326–335.
 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31826e7066
- 478 34. Potier TG, Alexander CM, Seynnes OR. Effects of eccentric strength training on biceps femoris
 479 muscle architecture and knee joint range of movement. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2009;105: 939–944.
 480 doi:10.1007/s00421-008-0980-7

- 481 35. Khim Kwah L, Pinto RZ, Diong J, Herbert RD. Reliability and validity of ultrasound
 482 measurements of muscle fascicle length and pennation in humans: a systematic review. J Appl
 483 Physiol. 2013;114: 761–769. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01430.2011.-Ultrasound
- 484 36. Kim SY, Boynton EL, Ravichandiran K, Fung LY, Bleakney R, Agur AM. Three-dimensional
- 485 study of the musculotendinous architecture of supraspinatus and its functional correlations.
- 486 Clinical Anatomy. 2007;20: 648–655. doi:10.1002/ca.20469
- 487 37. Ward SR, Hentzen ER, Smallwood LH, Eastlack RK, Burns KA, Fithian DC, et al. Rotator cuff
 488 muscle architecture: Implications for glenohumeral stability. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2006;448:
- 489 157–163. doi:10.1097/01.blo.0000194680.94882.d3
- 490 38. Kim SY, Ko JB, Farthing JP, Butcher SJ. Investigation of supraspinatus muscle architecture
 491 following concentric and eccentric training. J Sci Med Sport. 2015;18: 378–382.
 492 doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2014.05.007
- 39. Uhl TL, Rice T, Papotto B, Butterfield TA. Effectiveness of a home-based eccentric-exercise
 program on the torque-angle relationship of the shoulder external rotators: A pilot study. J Sport
 Rehabil. 2017;26: 141–150. doi:10.1123/JSR.2017-0020
- 496 40. Luig P, Krutsch W, Henke T, Klein C, Bloch H, Platen P, et al. Contact-but not foul play497 dominates injury mechanisms in men's professional handball: A video match analysis of 580
 498 injuries. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54: 984–990. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-100250
- 499 41. Johnson JE, Fullmer JA, Nielsen CM, Johnson JK, Moorman III CT. Glenohumeral Internal
 500 Rotation Deficit and Injuries A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. [cited 7 Jun 2023].
 501 doi:10.1177/2325967118773322

502

Supporting information 503

- Data availability. The dataset is available on a public repository on 504
- https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24161040.v1 505



Right shoulder dorsal view tractography. a) coronal plane supras



Fig 3. External rotator cuff muscles torque-angle-curves. Pre- (blu



Fig 4. Internal rotator cuff muscles torque-angle-curves. Pre- (blu



Fig 5. External rotator cuff muscles passive stretching torque-ang



Fig 6. Internal rotator cuff muscles passive stretching torque-ang