A systematic review of non-clinician trauma-based

interventions for school-age children and young people

- 4 Short title: Review of non-clinical trauma-based interventions for school children.
- 6 Flo Avery¹, Natasha Kennedy¹, Michaela James¹, Hope Jones¹, Rebekah Amos², Mark
- 7 Bellis³, Karen Hughes^{2,4}, Sinead Brophy^{1*}
- 9 ¹ National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing, School of Medicine, Swansea
- 10 University, Swansea, United Kingdom.
- ² School of Human Sciences, Bangor University, Wrexham, United Kingdom
- 12 ³ Faculty of Health, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ⁴ Policy and International Health Directorate, World Health Organization Collaborating
- 14 Centre on Investment for Health and Well-Being, Public Health Wales, Wrexham, United
- 15 Kingdom

16

1

2

3

5

- 17 * Corresponding author
- 18 Email:s.brophy@swansea.ac.uk

19 Abstract

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Exposure to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) is increasingly recognised as a risk factor for health problems in later life. Awareness of ACEs and associated trauma is increasing in schools and educational settings, as well as demand for supportive services to deal with needs. However, there is a lack of clear evidence for effective interventions which can be delivered by non-clinicians (e.g., the school staff themselves). For this review, we conducted a search across five electronic databases for studies published since January 2013. These studies assessed the efficacy of non-clinician delivered interventions for supporting trauma recovery or improvements in mental health in school age youth (4-18 years) who have experienced ACEs. Out of the 4097 studies identified through the search, 326 were retrieved for full text screening and 25 were included in the final review. There was considerable heterogeneity in study design, outcome measures and the intervention being studied. The majority of studies were assessed to be of weak quality due to convenience sampling of participants and potential bias, indicating there is a lack of high quality research evidence to inform non-clinician delivered trauma-informed interventions for improving mental health outcomes in school-age young people. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT)-based approaches are tentatively suggested as a suitable target for future rigorous evaluations of interventions addressing ACErelated trauma recovery and mental health improvement in school-age young people.

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Introduction Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) are potentially traumatic experiences such as child abuse or neglect, or household dysfunction (such as drug and alcohol abuse or domestic violence within the family home), occurring before the age of 18. Young people exposed to ACEs face an elevated risk of unhealthy behaviours and long term physical and mental health problems (1,2). This correlation is particularly pronounced among those who have experienced four or more ACEs (3). While not everyone who has ACEs necessarily experiences a traumatic event (4), the occurrence of multiple ACEs closely predicts the development of trauma symptoms (5). Promoting ACE awareness and implementing trauma-informed services are increasingly recommended as vital supportive measures, both in healthcare (6) and educational (7) settings. In response, schools and policy-makers are advocating for and embedding trauma-informed approaches (8,9). Schools and educational settings are recognised as crucial settings for providing support to young people affected by potentially traumatic experiences (10). Numerous reviews have examined the evidence for different systemic approaches and therapeutic interventions for supporting young people with trauma (11–13). Many of the interventions in these reviews are clinical in nature, delivered by psychologists, therapists, or medical and allied health professionals. However, with ACEs being relatively common, for example between 1%-38% (3) reported to experience 4 or more ACE, a more population approach is needed. Yet, there is a notable gap in evidence for interventions suitable for nonclinician delivery (14). This is a barrier for many schools with limited access to clinicians and without mental health professionals on staff. Consequently, teachers and teaching assistants are

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

often tasked with providing mental health support to young people (15,16). While Franklin et al. (17) have suggested that interventions delivered by teachers and non-clinicians can be beneficial for young people's mental health, they highlight the lack of empirical evidence regarding their effectiveness. This review, therefore, aims to address the question of what evidence base exists for interventions supporting trauma, specifically those suitable for non-clinician delivery. The objective is to synthesise evidence for interventions appropriate for professionals such as teachers and teaching assistants, acknowledging their increasingly critical role in providing mental health support to young people affected by potentially traumatic experiences. Methods This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (18). The protocol for the review was registered in the PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews in June 2023 (ID: CRD42023417286). **Review Design** We conducted a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative evidence to evaluate the effectiveness of trauma-informed interventions suitable for non-clinician delivery. The study

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

findings were summarised through a narrative synthesis. Heterogeneity in study designs and outcome measures precluded the ability to conduct a meta-analysis. One researcher (FA) extracted study data from full text papers, and this was reviewed by a second researcher (RA). Any inaccuracies were presented to and resolved by a third independent researcher **Search Strategy** Five electronic databases – Web of Science, Embase, Science Direct, Applied Social Sciences Index (ASSIA) and EBSCO (including CINAHL Plus with Full Text, MEDLINE, APA PsycArticles, APA PsycInfo, Teacher Reference Center, and Education Research Complete) – were searched to identify relevant studies published in English. The literature search was conducted in April 2023. Search terms included: Trauma* OR "Post-Traumatic Stress" OR PTSD and Intervention* OR Treatment* and children OR youth OR young OR adolescen* and education OR school OR teach* OR play. Detailed search terms can be viewed in Appendix 1. **Eligibility** Inclusion Criteria Articles were included if they met the following criteria: (1) published in English in the last 10 years (e.g since January 2013); (2) reported on any supportive or therapeutic intervention with applicability to trauma recovery, or recovery from the impact of ACEs; (3) intervention was suitable for non-clinician delivery e.g. teacher, teaching assistant or similar; (4) participants were aged 4-18 years (school aged) with any experience of or exposure to ACEs; (5) intervention took place in a school, educational setting, community setting, residential or care settings; and (6) included a validated self-reported mental health outcome relating to trauma or adversity such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms as an outcome measure. Both randomised and non-randomised studes were included. Language of publication was restricted owing to constraints of the research team's capacity. Restrictions were made by publication date to maximise the relevance of studies.

Exclusion Criteria

Articles were excluded if they met the following criteria: 1) All participants were over 18 or under 4 years; 2) interventions were assessed indirectly through a teacher or professional assessment of young people; 3) interventions were based on disasters such as earthquakes, floods or experiences of war; 4) interventions were delivered by clinicians; 5) interventions were behavioural rather than supportive, such as violence prevention or sex education programmes; 6) the research study had implemented a case study designs; and 7) the research had been conducted in a hospital or in-patient healthcare setting.

Study Selection

The search yielded 7147 results, which were imported into Covidence for title and abstract screening. After removing 3050 duplicates, the remaining titles and abstracts (4097) were screened against the selection criteria by the primary author, FA. Additionally, all titles and abstracts underwent independent screening by a second reviewer. Reviewers achieved a 96% agreement rate, and any disagreements were resolved through discussions among authors (FA, SB, NK, RA, HJ, MJ), resulting in a majority consensus reached by agreement between at least three authors. As a result, 3770 studies were excluded as irrelevant. FA retrieved and

independently screened all full-text articles (326), which were also independently screened by a second reviewer. Reviewers reached an 88% agreement rate, resolving disagreements through discussion amongst authors (FA, SB, NK, HJ, MJ), with a majority vote from at least three authors. Figure 1 shows details of the selection process, including reasons for excluding articles at different stages. Twenty-four articles met the inclusion criteria. Data extraction from these articles was undertaken by one reviewer (FA) and cross-checked by a second reviewer (RA or MJ). Data extracted included demographic data regarding the papers such as country of study, target population, year of publication, and descriptive information about the intervention such type of intervention, length of followup, measures of effect, barriers to implementation. The outcomes differed in each study and so a descriptive extraction of the data in each study was recorded. The study quality was assessed using validated tools (see below). Furthermore, reference lists from these twenty four studies were manually searched by FA, resulting in the identification of one additional paper. This was uploaded to Covidence and voted on by other authors for inclusion. The paper was voted eligible for inclusion and so a total of twenty-five articles met the inclusion criteria and are included in this review.

Quality Assessment

Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP)'s Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies was used to assess the quality of quantitative research including randomised control trials (RCTs), non-randomised experimental studies and cohort design studies (19). This tool assesses potential selection bias, the appropriateness of study design, confounding, blinding, data collection tools, participant dropouts, intervention integrity and statistical analyses. Quality ratings are scored on a scale of 1-3, where 1 indicates strong quality, 2 indicates moderate quality, and 3 indicates weak quality. Overall ratings are classified as a) weak (when

2 or more components rated as weak), b) moderate (when only one component rated as weak), or c) strong.

Qualitative studies were assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Studies Checklist (20). This checklist assesses three broad areas, such as a) the validity of the study, b) the study findings and c) the utility of the research locally. Within each of these broad domains are a set of questions designed to provide an overview of the study quality in each domain (20). Mixed methods research was assessed using both checklists. No studies were excluded based on the results of the quality assessment. Quality assessment was conducted by one reviewer (FA) and checked by a second reviewer (RA and MJ). Disagreements were resolved through discussion amongst authors.

181 Results

Overview of studies

Characteristics of the twenty five studies included in the current review are summarised in Table 1. Six studies were randomised control trials (21–26), fifteen used a cohort design (pretest and post-test) (26–38), three used qualitative methods (39–41) and one used mixed methods (cohort design and qualitative methods) (42). The average sample size was 119 participants, ranging from 15 participants (37) to 565 participants (43). Of the included studies, 14 (56%) had a sample size above 50 (21,27,28,30,32,34–36,39,43–46). As anticipated, there was a high level of methodological diversity in terms of study design, populations (e.g. refugee, LGBTQ, youth offender, middle east, USA, Europe, Asia) and measures used, and therefore meta-analysis was not conducted.

The studies in this review either recruited the study population based on likely exposure to trauma, measured exposure to traumatic events, or measured PTSD symptoms (or a mix of these). Seven studies directly measured exposure traumatic events to (21,22,25,30,35,40,44,47).Thirteen studies directly **PTSD** symptoms measured (22,24,29,34,40,42), and of these, seven used PTSD score as selection criteria (29,30,33– 35,37,43).

Ten studies assessed interventions which were delivered in the school setting (24,25,28–30,33,35,36,38,43). Three studies observed interventions delivered online (22,34,37). Eight studies looked at interventions delivered in a community setting (such as a church or charity site) (21,23,26,27,31,39,44,46), two were interventions delivered on university campuses (40,41), and one was an intervention delivered in a residential setting (32). One study evaluated the intervention across different settings, including a school and residential setting (42).

Quality of included studies

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

The quality assessment scores of included studies are shown in Tables 2-4. The methodological quality of included studies was weak overall. Using the EPHPP tool for quantitative studies, two studies were categorised as strong, seven studies as moderate, and the rest as weak. The majority of studies rated as weak relied on convenience samples of participants and did not adequately describe methodological information, such as potential confounding and blinding. Overall, qualitative studies were not considered strong, primarily because they lacked consistent discussions on how the relationship between researcher and participants was managed, including consideration of power dynamics and ethical issues.

The nine studies rated moderate or strong on quality included a range of interventions. Two studies looked at CBT-based groups in refugee populations (29,43). Other studies evaluated interventions focused on expressive writing (32), mindfulness (33), game-based mindfulness (37), trauma-focused CBT (delivered by lay counsellors) (44), a targeted group for sexual and gender minority adolescents (SGMA) (24), and a psychoeducational programme about posttraumatic growth (25).

CBT-based groups

Seven studies evaluated CBT-based groups, although four were of weak quality. Four studies investigated Teaching Recovery Techniques (TRT), a five week programme based on traumafocused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT) designed for children in low resource settings, making it suitable for delivery by non-clinicians. The program was developed by the Children and War Foundation (48). El-Khani et al. (43) evaluated TRT in an RCT with Syrian refugee children aged 9-12 and their families in Lebanon, comparing it with a waitlist control group and a TRT + parenting (TRT+P) condition, which was rated as strong for quality. Data were collected pre-intervention (T1) and post-intervention at 2 weeks (T2) and 12 weeks (T3). All three conditions experienced a significant reduction in PTSD intrusion symptoms measured on the CRIES-13, with the greatest reduction in the TRT+P condition, showing the lowest levels at T3. Both the TRT and TRT+P condition also experienced reductions in depression. Furthermore, all three conditions experienced a significant reduction in anxiety, however the waitlist group's scores increased by T3. It is suggested that a parenting skills component can enhance the positive effects of TRT. A pilot study by the same authors evaluating TRT in the same population in a Turkish setting was also included (29). This study was rated weak for

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

quality due to selection bias of participants and the absence of a control group. Similar findings were observed; a significant reduction was reported by participants in the Intrusion scores on the CRIES-13 at two weeks post-intervention, although other measures did not reach significance owing to low power (n=16). Sarkadi et al. (42) evaluated TRT in an adolescent refugee population in Sweden and found significant reductions in PTSD (CRIES-8) and depression (MADRS-S) symptoms two weeks after the intervention. Qualitative data indicated that the programme had utility through provision of social support, normalisation, valuable tools, and manageability. However, there was no reported follow up beyond two weeks; a 3-6 month follow up was planned, but measures were not reported. Barron (26) found similar results in their RCT evaluating the impact of TRT for youth with PTSD in Brazilian favelas, showing a significant reduction in PTSD and depression symptoms, and a small effect size for posttraumatic growth compared with the control group. However, there was no follow up beyond two weeks post-intervention, providing no evidence of long term impact. TRT was the most extensively studied intervention and has the strongest evidence base for reducing PTSD symptoms, although two of these studies were rated as low quality (29,49), and only one followed up beyond two weeks postintervention (43). Bryant et al. (21) conducted a moderate-quality RCT evaluating a similar group-based intervention called Early Adolescent Skills for Emotions (EASE) for Syrian adolescents. The intervention spanned seven group sessions focusing on arousal reduction, behavioural activation, and problem management. Data was collected pre-intervention (T1) and postintervention at 9 weeks (T2) and 3 months (T3). Significant reductions in the PSC-internalising scale were found compared to the control group, and this effect was sustained at T3, although

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

no significant difference were found in other variables. EASE was also evaluated by Akhtar et al. (46) in a pilot RCT, although the study was low powered and did not detect any significant changes. Elswick et al. (30) evaluated the impact of a group after school club called the Trauma Healing Club, based on Cognitive Behavioral Interventions for Trauma in Schools (CBITS). CBITS is an evidence-based intervention deliverable by a clinician, whilst the Trauma Healing Club is suitable for non-clinicians. While significant improvement in PTSD symptoms was observed, it should be noted that this was a poor-quality study that did not report on withdrawals, the reliability and validity of measures, or potential confounders. Li et al. (30) assessed the Power up Children's Psychological Immunity (PCPI) program, a modified group version of TF-CBT. This study was also of poor-quality and did not report on reliability and validity of measures, or potential confounders. Participants reported significantly reduced PTSD, depression, and anxiety following the intervention, but by the 3 month follow up, levels were similar to pre-intervention levels. Overall CBT-based groups had the strongest evidence base of any intervention type, with three RCTs rated strong or moderate quality providing promising evidence. However it should be noted that the remainder of studies evaluating CBT-based groups were rated weak in quality and are therefore of limited utility. Other CBT-based Approaches

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

Four studies explored CBT-based approaches in three distinct further contexts; one-to-one interventions (44,47), an online platform (34) and an art-based curriculum (38). Murray (44) conducted an evaluation of TF-CBT for children affected by HIV/AIDS in Zambia. This was a modified lay counsellor-delivered version of the intervention, which is typically administered by clinicians. The sessions involved a combination of individual sessions with the child, caregiver(s) separately, and family sessions. Lay counsellors, lacking formal qualifications in counselling or mental health, typically provide psychological support through a community setting, often with a faith-based focus. This study received a moderate quality rating, revealing significant reductions in the severity of trauma symptoms and shame symptoms when comparing pre-intervention and two weeks post-interventionscores. However, there was no long term follow up. The authors did not provide a detailed description of how TF-CBT was adapted for non-clinician delivery, but it included cultural adaptations and simplified terminology. Close weekly monitoring was provided by the trainer and a supervisor to ensure fidelity. Durmoney et al. (47) evaluated the impact of a peer delivered trauma-informed CBT skills curriculum among young men aged 17-24 years involved in the justice system. The intervention was delivered by 'Paraprofessionals' such as youth workers and volunteers in community settings, often within participants' homes or on the street, to maximise engagement. This study was of weak quality, with a high likelihood of selection bias and unreliable or unverified data collection tools. Although there were indications of significant improvements in distress related to employment and education over time, emotion regulation did not show significant improvement.

Jaycox et al. (34) assessed an online stress and trauma curriculum called Life Improvement for Teens (LIFT), incorporating elements resembling TF-CBT and CBITS. The self-paced, internet-based curriculum was delivered online to high school students, who accessed the intervention during a weekly slot at the school site. LIFT comprised both a stress track and a trauma track, with students who reported potentially traumatic experiences being allocated to the trauma track. This study found significant improvements in PTSD symptoms and emotional problems, but observed no change in depression or anxiety. School functioning deteriorated over the course of the study. Overall, this study was rated as weak quality, as it did not specify the validity of data collection tools, and it did not discuss reasons for participant withdrawal.

Sitzer and Stockwell (36) also evaluated an art programme which incorporated aspects of CBT, which is discussed in the subsequent Art and community-based approaches section.

Mindfulness

Three studies focussed on evaluating a mindfulness intervention (22,33,37). Ito et al. (33) assessed the effects of short-term mindfulness-based group intervention delivered within a school setting for adolescents with trauma. Participants attended a single 60-minute group session and were subsequently encouraged by teachers to continue using mindfulness skills and cognitive defusion techniques. The study revealed significant improvements in mindful attention and awareness, along with reductions in depression, anxiety symptoms, and posttraumatic stress symptoms related to hyperarousal. However, these measures were recorded two weeks after the intervention, thus long term effects are unclear. This study was rated moderate quality. Schuurmans et al. (35) compared the impact of three meditation-based online games among youth in a residential care setting. Participants engaged in twelve 15-

minute sessions twice a week for 6 weeks. One game, called Muse, demonstrated improvements in post-traumatic symptoms, stress, and anxiety at the one month follow-up, while results for the other games were inconsistent. Although the study had a moderate overall quality rating, it featured a very small sample size, with n=15 at randomisation and n=9 at the one month follow up. Davis and Aylward (22) evaluated the impact of a trauma-informed mindful yoga intervention, which was delivered remotely to high school students. However, the study did not find significant differences in depression, anxiety, or resilience following the intervention.

Art and community-based approaches

Five studies evaluated interventions based on art, culture, or community, including two cohort design studies (27,38) and three qualitative studies (39–41). All studies received a weak quality rating. Sitzer and Stockwell (38 assessed a Wellness Programme delivered in schools, drawing on elements of art therapy along with aspects of CBT and Dialectical Behavioural Therapy (DBT). Results indicated significant increases in resilience and social and emotional functioning, particularly among male students. However, only unvalidated measures were used in this study. Barnett et al. (27) assessed the impact of residential culture camps on the wellbeing of Alaska Native youth aged 13 to 18 years. The results suggested a significant increase in positive affect, self esteem, and a sense of belonging. However, not all measures in this study were validated.

Harden et al. (40) examined the effects of a twice weekly after school programme involving media and theatre activities as youth-led empowerment strategies, conducted on a university

campus. The study identified positive themes related to empowerment, post-traumatic growth, and peace restoration among participants. Nevertheless, there were numerous methodological issues. The stated aim of the study was 'to contribute to a narrative that would reflect the TNT project's value', which is biased. There was no consideration of the relationship between researchers and participants, or ethical issues. These methodological weaknesses were common across all included qualitative studies.

Özden Bademci et al. (41) also evaluated a programme held on a university campus, featuring creative workshops and mentoring from volunteer university students for homeless boys aged 14-17 years. Qualitative themes indicated that participants perceived the campus as a safe, engaging environment, developed trusting relationships with mentors, and increased their capacity for emotional regulation. However, similar methodological critiques applied, including a lack of consideration for the potential ethical issues arising from the mentoring relationships, and insufficient detail provided concerning the interview protocol. McMahon & Pederson (39) assessed a community-based juvenile justice diversion program and identified positive themes related to self-efficacy, empathy, connection, and conflict resolution. However, the research protocol, including how themes were reached, was not described. Overall, the evidence for art and community-based approaches found to be very weak.

Other interventions

The remaining interventions, which did not fit into thematic categories, will be summarised individually. Greenbaum & Javdani (32)2 evaluated a therapeutic writing intervention called Writing and Reflecting on Identity To Empower Ourselves as Narrators (WRITE ON), delivered to juvenile justice-involved youth. The intervention consisted of 90 minute sessions

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

twice a week for six weeks. The study observed significant increases in positive mental health attributes and resilience throughout the programme and at a two week follow up. The quality was strong, although the long term impact remained unclear. Goldbach et al. (24) conducted an RCT to assess a 10 week intervention called Proud & Empowered (P&E), which targeted SGMA and was conducted during the school day. Participation in the P&E intervention reduced minority stress and improved depression and suicidality measures, although there was no significant change in PTSD symptoms. Data was collected only one week after the intervention finished. This study received a moderate quality rating overall; validity and reliability of measures was not stated. Taku et al. (25) examined a pychoeducational intervention about posttraumatic growth (PTG) in three conditions: a Control Group, Group 1 (intervention focused on stress-related reactions and PTG), and Group 2 (focused on negative changes and PTSD only). In Study 1, Group 1 and the Control Group showed higher PTG than Group 2 after two weeks, suggesting that exposure to negative information about PTSD may supress PTG. In Study 2, there were no differences in PTG perceptions between the groups. Findings were inconclusive for this moderate quality study. Eruyar and Vostanis (31) evaluated the impact of group Theraplay, an attachment based intervention, with refugee children and their parents. After eight weekly sessions, a significant improvement was found in PTSD scores. However, only 59% of participants completed the programme, and reasons for withdrawals were not provided. Additionally, not all data collection tools were validated, although the PTSD measure was, which limited the quality.

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

This study was one of five studies, of varying quality, assessing interventions involving both children and caregivers, all of which suggested a positive impact (29,31,42–44). Day et al. (28) assessed a trauma-informed intervention in a residential school, involving curriculum changes and access to relational interventions such as Theraplay to build attachment, self-esteem, and trust in others. Provision was made for two rooms to act as alternative environments for struggling students, providing access to problem solving, talk therapy, and use of sensorimotor activities. Significant differences were found in the pre and postest scores for posttraumatic symptoms. However, the study was weak in quality given the high chance of selection bias and a lack of validated measures. Furthermore, the intervention was broadly described without specific details. Martin and Wood (36) evaluated a group drumming intervention delivered in a school and found significant improvements for boys in terms of higher mental wellbeing and lower posttraumatic stress symptoms, but not for girls. This study was of weak quality due to selection bias and a high unexplained drop out rate. **Discussion** A systematic review methodology was used to investigate the evidence base for interventions designed to support young people affected by trauma and are suitable for delivery by nonclinicians. This is the first systematic review to comphrensively appraise interventions in this domain specifically designed for non-clinician delivery. Overall, the evidence base for trauma recovery interventions was found to be weak. Only nine out of the twenty-five studies identified were rated as strong or moderate quality, and among these, only seven reported significant

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

improvements in mental health outcomes, including depression, anxiety, or PTSD (21,26,32,33,37,43,44). Among these seven, four adopted a CBT-based approach (21,26,43,44), while two followed a mindfulness approach (33,37). The most frequently studied CBT-based intervention was TRT (26.43.49). A number of studies evaluating TRT were excluded earlier in the review process because they were administered by a clinician. While TRT itself is suitable for non-clinician delivery, some excluded studies involved clinician delivery to enhance fidelity. CBT-based groups, particularly TRT, are tentatively suggested as the interventions in this domain with the strongest evidence base. Several of the included studies trialled interventions with refugee populations (21,29,30,43,46,49,50). This area has a growing evidence base, considering that refugees are often supported in low-resource environments such as refugee camps, where accessing specialised support can be challenging even after achieving settled status. Notably, this review excluded studies specifically measuring war- or disaster-related trauma. As a result, the studies which have been included from this context are more generalisable to a wider range of young people affected by adversity. Six studies evaluated interventions which involved caregivers in some or all of the sessions which formed the intervention (29,43,44,46,49,50). El-Khani et al. (43) observed that TRT achieved a greater positive impact on young people's mental health and PTSD symptomology when a parenting component was included. This finding suggests a potential avenue for future research, given the intergenerational nature of ACEs and the recognised role of trusted relationships with close adults as a potential protective factor for young people exposed to ACEs (51).

Implications for research

In this domain, there is a notable scarcity of high quality studies, highlighting the need for further RCTs to assess the potential impact of interventions. CBT-based groups and mindfulness interventions appear to be promising targets for future research. Rather than relying solely on clinician-delivered designs to ensure fidelity of interventions intended for non-clinicians, more robust results can be derived from studies that implement non-clinician delivery, supplemented with measures such as observation or clinical supervision to maintain fidelity. Additionally, it is essential to incorporate longer-term follow up into study design. A majority of studies included in this review collected post-intervention data within a period of 2 weeks or less. To establish the robustness of intervention evidence, longer-term follow up assessments are imperative.

In situations where conducting RCTs may not be feasible, efforts should be directed towards ensuring the reliability and validity of data collection tools. Furthermore, researchers should diligently record and follow up on withdrawals from the study. This practice is both methodologically and ethically important, as traumatised youth may disengage from therapeutic interventions if they perceive them as harmful or unsupportive. Exploring this perspective can provide valuable insights into improving intervention strategies.

Implications for educational settings

There is not a strong, definitive recommendation for schools seeking to adopt a research-informed approach within their educational setting to support young people who have experienced trauma and adversity. However, based on available evidence, the approaches

which are most likely to be effective at supporting young people with trauma recovery are CBT-based groups or mindfulness approaches. Additionally, interventions involving caregivers may also have value in this context. This aspect might be particularly pertinent for primary schools, which serve pupils aged under 11 years in the United Kingdom, and often maintain closer partnerships with parents and caregivers.

Review limitations

The overall quality of this systematic review was assessed using AMSTAR 2 (52). The review meets or partially meets all checklist items on AMSTAR 2. Although the time frame for follow up was not stated in the inclusion crtieria, it has been reported for all strong and moderate quality studies. The review did not examine study registries and did not seek to consult experts in the field and sources of funding for the studies included in the review have not been reported. Given the high level of anticipated and actual heterogeneity of studies in this area, a meta-analysis was not planned or conducted, which restricts the scope of the conclusions that can be drawn. Finally, the work only examined studies from the past ten years, only selected those published in English, and may have missed those published in non-academic literature. The secondary screen of the references of papers may have help to identify some of the articles missing due to the search criteria, but future work would be needed to identify interventnions published in grey literature or published in lanuages other than English.

Conclusions

Our review of 25 studies reveals inconsistent evidence regarding non-clinician delivered trauma informed interventions for enhancing mental health outcomes in school-age

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

individuals. There are a number of strategies with evidence that would suggest more development and rigorous evaluation would be of benefit. That is especially including an economics or cost effectiveness evaluation of those delivered online or further evaluation of the CBT-based approaches. As schools and educational institutions are increasingly expected to assume a greater role in supporting mental health of traumatised youth, the development of this evidence base, is of crucial importance. **Avaliablity of materials:** Data collection templates, the Covidence search results and any other materials are available upon request to s.brophy@swansea.ac.uk. **Funding** This research was supported by the National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research. Funding was provided by Public Health Wales and the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) through their support of a PhD studentship. **Author Contributions** Flo Avery led the review, was responsible for managing the project, analysing data and preparing an original draft. Michaela James contributed to abstract and full text screening, quality assessment and editing. Rebekah Amos contributed to abstract and full text screening, and quality assessment, editing and writing.

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

Natasha Kennedy contributed to abstract and full text screening, and editing. Hope Jones contributed to abstract and full text screening, and editing. Sinead Brophy, Karen Hughes and Mark Bellis provided supervision and advice for the systematic review and methodology, and reviewed the final report. **Competing interests:** None to declare References 1. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, Walker JD, Whitfield C, Perry BD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 2005 256:3 [Internet]. 2005 Nov 29 [cited 2023 Jun 16];256(3):174–86. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00406-005-0624-4 2. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Leckenby N, Hardcastle KA, Perkins C, Lowey H. Measuring mortality and the burden of adult disease associated with adverse childhood experiences in England: A national survey. Journal of Public Health (United Kingdom). 2015 Sep 1;37(3):445-54. 3. Bellis MA, Ashton K, Hughes K, Ford KJ, Bishop J, Paranjothy S. Adverse childhood experiences and their impact on health-harming behaviours in the Welsh adult population. Public Health Wales NHS Trust; 2016. 4. Finkelhor D. Screening for adverse childhood experiences (ACEs): Cautions and suggestions. Child Abuse Negl. 2018 Nov 1;85:174–9. 5. Finkelhor D, Ormrod RK, Turner HA. Poly-victimization: A neglected component in child victimization. Child Abuse Negl. 2007 Jan 1;31(1):7–26.

567 6. Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, Sethi D, Butchart A, Mikton C, et al. The effect 568 of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-569 analysis. Lancet Public Health. 2017 Aug 1;2(8):e356-66. 570 7. Wassink-De Stigter R, Kooijmans · R, Asselman · M W, Offerman · E C P, Nelen · W, Helmond · P. Facilitators and Barriers in the Implementation of Trauma-Informed 571 572 Approaches in Schools: A Scoping Review. School Ment Health [Internet]. 2022 [cited 573 2023 Jun 16];14:470–84. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-021-09496-574 W 575 8. Temkin D, Harper K, Stratford B, Sacks V, Rodriguez Y, Bartlett JD. Moving Policy 576 Toward a Whole School, Whole Community, Whole Child Approach to Support 577 Children Who Have Experienced Trauma. Journal of School Health [Internet]. 2020 578 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Jun 21];90(12):940–7. Available from: 579 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/josh.12957 580 9. Berger E, Martin K, Embedding trauma-informed practice within the education sector. 581 J Community Appl Soc Psychol [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1 [cited 2023 Jun 21];31(2):223– 7. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/casp.2494 582 583 10. Hoover S, Bostic J. Schools as a vital component of the child and adolescent mental 584 health system. Psychiatric Services [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Jun 585 21]:72(1):37–48. Available from: 586 https://ps.psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.ps.201900575 587 Black PJ, Woodworth M, Tremblay M, Carpenter T. A review of trauma-informed 11. treatment for adolescents. Canadian Psychology. 2012;53(3):192–203. 588 Lange BCL, Nelson A, Lang JM, Stirman SW. Adaptations of evidence-based trauma-589 12. 590 focused interventions for children and adolescents: a systematic review. Implementation Science Communications 2022 3:1 [Internet]. 2022 Oct 8 [cited 2023 591

592 Feb 21];3(1):1–21. Available from: 593 https://implementationsciencecomms.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s43058-022-00348-5 594 595 13. Marie-Mitchell A, Kostolansky R. A Systematic Review of Trials to Improve Child 596 Outcomes Associated With Adverse Childhood Experiences. Am J Prev Med. 2019 597 May 1;56(5):756–64. 598 14. Stratford B, Cook E, Hanneke R, Katz E, Seok D, Steed H, et al. A Scoping Review of 599 School-Based Efforts to Support Students Who Have Experienced Trauma. School 600 Ment Health [Internet]. 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jun 21];12(3):442–77. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12310-020-09368-9 601 602 15. Luthar SS, Mendes SH. Trauma-informed schools: Supporting educators as they 603 support the children. https://doi.org/101080/2168360320201721385 [Internet]. 2020 604 Apr 2 [cited 2023 Jun 21];8(2):147–57. Available from: 605 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/21683603.2020.1721385 606 16. Ekornes S. Teacher Perspectives on Their Role and the Challenges of Inter-607 professional Collaboration in Mental Health Promotion. School Ment Health 608 [Internet]. 2015 Sep 10 [cited 2023 Jun 21];7(3):193–211. Available from: 609 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12310-015-9147-y 610 17. Franklin CGS, Kim JS, Ryan TN, Kelly MS, Montgomery KL. Teacher involvement 611 in school mental health interventions: A systematic review. Child Youth Serv Rev. 612 2012 May 1;34(5):973-82. 613 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Altman D, Antes G, et al. Preferred 18. 614 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. 615 PLoS Med [Internet]. 2009 Jul 1 [cited 2023 Jun 21];6(7):e1000097. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097 616

- 617 19. Evans, N., Lasen, M., & Tsey, K. (2015). Appendix A: Effective public health practice
- project (EPHPP) quality assessment tool for quantitative studies. A systematic review
- of rural development research SpringerBriefs in Public Health, 45-55.
- 620 20. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2022). CASP) Checklist. [online] Available at:
- 621 CASP Checklists Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (casp-uk.net). Accessed:
- 622 24/09/2023
- 623 21. Bryant RA, Malik A, Agel IS, Ghatasheh M, Habashneh R, Dawson KS, et al.
- Effectiveness of a brief group behavioural intervention on psychological distress in
- young adolescent Syrian refugees: A randomised controlled trial. PLoS Med. 2022
- 626 Aug 1;19(8).
- 627 22. Davis L, Aylward A. Mitigating Rural Adolescent Trauma: Remote Delivery of a
- Trauma-Informed Yoga Intervention During COVID-19. J Child Adolesc Trauma.
- 629 2022;
- 630 23. Dumornay NM, Finegold KE, Chablani A, Elkins L, Krouch S, Baldwin M, et al.
- Improved emotion regulation following a trauma-informed CBT-based intervention
- associates with reduced risk for recidivism in justice-involved emerging adults. Front
- 633 Psychiatry. 2022 Oct 5;13:951429.
- 634 24. Goldbach JT, Rhoades H, Mamey MR, Senese J, Karys P, Marsiglia FF. Reducing
- behavioral health symptoms by addressing minority stressors in LGBTQ adolescents: a
- randomized controlled trial of Proud & Empowered. BMC Public Health. 2021 Dec
- 637 1;21(1).
- 638 25. Taku K, Cann A, Tedeschi RG, Calhoun LG. Psychoeducational Intervention Program
- about Posttraumatic Growth for Japanese High School Students. J Loss Trauma. 2017
- 640 May 19;22(4):271–82.

641 26. Barron I, Freitas F, Bosch CA. Pilot Randomized Control Trial: Efficacy of a Group-642 Based Psychosocial Program for Youth with PTSD in the Brazilian Favelas. J Child 643 Adolesc Trauma [Internet]. 2021 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jul 20];14(3):335–45. Available 644 from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40653-020-00328-8 645 27. Barnett JD, Schmidt TC, Trainor B, Wexler L. A Pilot Evaluation of Culture Camps to 646 Increase Alaska Native Youth Wellness. Health Promot Pract. 2020 May 1;21(3):363– 647 71. 648 28. Day AG, Somers CL, Baroni BA, West SD, Sanders L, Peterson CD. Evaluation of a 649 Trauma-Informed School Intervention with Girls in a Residential Facility School: 650 Student Perceptions of School Environment. In: Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment 651 and Trauma. Routledge; 2015. p. 1086-105. 652 29. El-Khani A, Cartwright K, Ang C, Henshaw E, Tanveer M, Calam R. Testing the feasibility of delivering and evaluating a child mental health recovery program 653 654 enhanced with additional parenting sessions for families displaced by the Syrian 655 conflict: A pilot study. Peace and Conflict. 2018 May 1;24(2):188-200. Elswick S, Washington G, Mangrum-Apple H, Peterson C, Barnes E, Pirkey P, et al. 656 30. 657 Trauma Healing Club: Utilizing Culturally Responsive Processes in the 658 Implementation of an After-School Group Intervention to Address Trauma Among 659 African Refugees. J Child Adolesc Trauma. 2022 Mar 1;15(1):155–66. 660 31. Eruyar S, Vostanis P. Feasibility of group theraplay with refugee children in Turkey. 661 Couns Psychother Res. 2020 Dec 1;20(4):626–37. 662 32. Greenbaum CA, Javdani S. Expressive writing intervention promotes resilience among

juvenile justice-involved youth. Child Youth Serv Rev. 2017 Feb 1;73:220–9.

- 33. Ito D, Kubo Y, Takii A, Watanabe A, Ohtani T, Koseki S. The effects of short-term
- mindfulness-based group intervention utilising a school setting for Japanese
- adolescents with trauma. J Psychol Couns Sch. 2021 Dec 17;31(2):221–6.
- 34. Jaycox LH, Ayer L, Vona P, Hehman C, Stein BD, Mahmud A, et al. Development
- and preliminary evaluation of a self-guided, internet-based tool for coping with stress
- and trauma: Life improvement for teens (LIFT). Psychol Serv. 2019 Feb 1;16(1):85–
- 670 94.
- 671 35. Li J, Li J, Zhang W, Wang G, Qu Z. Effectiveness of a school-based, lay counselor-
- delivered cognitive behavioral therapy for Chinese children with posttraumatic stress
- 673 symptoms: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2023 Apr 1;33.
- 674 36. Martin KE, Wood LJ. Drumming to a New Beat: A Group Therapeutic Drumming and
- Talking Intervention to Improve Mental Health and Behaviour of Disadvantaged
- Adolescent Boys. Children Australia. 2017 Dec 1;42(4):268–76.
- 677 37. Schuurmans AAT, Nijhof KS, Scholte R, Popma A, Otten R. A novel approach to
- improve stress regulation among traumatized youth in residential care: Feasibility
- study testing three game-based meditation interventions. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2020
- 680 Aug 1;14(4):476–85.
- 38. Sitzer DL, Stockwell AB. The art of wellness: A 14-week art therapy program for at-
- risk vouth. Arts in Psychotherapy. 2015 Sep 1;45:69–81.
- 683 39. McMahon SM, Pederson S. "Love and compassion not found Elsewhere": A
- Photovoice exploration of restorative justice and nonviolent communication in a
- community-based juvenile justice diversion program. Child Youth Serv Rev. 2020 Oct
- 686 1;117.
- 687 40. Harden T, Kenemore T, Mann K, Edwards M, List C, Martinson KJ. The Truth N'
- Trauma Project: Addressing Community Violence Through a Youth-Led, Trauma-

- preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

 It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
- 689 Informed and Restorative Framework. Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal.
- 690 2015 Feb 1:32(1):65-79.
- 691 Özden Bademci H, Figen Karadayi E, de Zulueta F. Attachment intervention through 41.
- 692 peer-based interaction: Working with Istanbul's street boys in a university setting.
- 693 Child Youth Serv Rev. 2015;49:20–31.
- 694 42. Sarkadi A, Ådahl K, Stenvall E, Ssegonja R, Batti H, Gavra P, et al. Teaching
- Recovery Techniques: evaluation of a group intervention for unaccompanied refugee 695
- 696 minors with symptoms of PTSD in Sweden. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018 Apr
- 697 1;27(4):467–79.
- 698 El-Khani A, Cartwright K, Maalouf W, Haar K, Zehra N, Cokamay-Yılmaz G, et al. 43.
- 699 Enhancing teaching recovery techniques (Trt) with parenting skills: Rct of trt +
- 700 parenting with trauma-affected syrian refugees in lebanon utilising remote training
- 701 with implications for insecure contexts and covid-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health.
- 702 2021 Aug 2;18(16).
- 703 44. Murray LK, Familiar I, Skavenski S, Jere E, Cohen J, Imasiku M, et al. An evaluation
- 704 of trauma focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children in Zambia. Child Abuse
- 705 Negl. 2013 Dec;37(12):1175-85.
- 706 Dumornay NM, Finegold KE, Chablani A, Elkins L, Krouch S, Baldwin M, et al. 45.
- 707 Improved emotion regulation following a trauma-informed CBT-based intervention
- 708 associates with reduced risk for recidivism in justice-involved emerging adults. Front
- 709 Psychiatry. 2022 Oct 5;13:951429.
- 710 Akhtar A, Malik A, Ghatasheh M, Agel IS, Habashneh R, Dawson KS, et al. 46.
- 711 Feasibility trial of a brief scalable psychological intervention for Syrian refugee
- 712 adolescents in Jordan. https://doi.org/101080/2000819820211901408 [Internet]. 2021

713 [cited 2023 Jul 25];12(1). Available from: 714 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20008198.2021.1901408 715 Dumornay N, Finegold KE, Chablani A, Elkins L, Krouch S, Baldwin M, et al. 47. 716 Improved emotion regulation following a trauma-informed CBT-based intervention 717 associates with reduced risk for recidivism in justice-involved emerging adults. Front 718 Psychiatry. 2022;13. Yule W, Dyregrov A, Raundalen M, Smith P. Children and war: The work of the 719 48. 720 Children and War Foundation. Eur J Psychotraumatol [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2023 Jul 721 25];4(SUPPL.). Available from: 722 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3402/ejpt.v4i0.18424 723 49. Sarkadi A, Ådahl K, Stenvall E, Ssegonja R, Batti H, Gavra P, et al. Teaching 724 Recovery Techniques: evaluation of a group intervention for unaccompanied refugee 725 minors with symptoms of PTSD in Sweden. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry [Internet]. 726 2018 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Nov 28];27(4):467–79. Available from: 727 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00787-017-1093-9 728 Eruyar S, Vostanis P. Feasibility of group theraplay with refugee children in Turkey. 50. 729 Couns Psychother Res [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Feb 21];20(4):626–37. 730 Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/capr.12354 731 51. Crouch E, Radcliff E, Strompolis M, Srivastav A, Safe, Stable, and Nurtured: 732 Protective Factors against Poor Physical and Mental Health Outcomes Following 733 Exposure to Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs). J Child Adolesc Trauma 734 [Internet]. 2019 Jun 15 [cited 2023 Jul 25];12(2):165–73. Available from: 735 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40653-018-0217-9 736 52. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a

critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-

randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ [Internet]. 2017 Sep 21 [cited 2023 Jul 21];358:4008. Available from:

https://www.bmj.com/content/358/bmj.j4008




Fig 1. PRISMA diagram