Genomics yields biological and phenotypic insights into bipolar disorder

Kevin S. O'Connell^{1,2,*}, Maria Koromina^{3,4,5,273}, Tracey van der Veen^{6,273}, Toni Boltz^{7,273}, Friederike S. David^{8,9,273}, Jessica Mei Kay Yang^{10,273}, Keng-Han Lin^{11,273}, Xin Wang^{11,273}, Jonathan R. I. Coleman^{12,13,273}, Brittany L. Mitchell^{14,15,273}, Caroline C. McGrouther^{16,273}, Aaditya V. Rangan^{16,17,273}, Penelope A. Lind^{14,15,18,273}, Elise Koch^{1,2,273}, Arvid Harder^{19,273}, Nadine Parker^{1,2,273}, Jaroslav Bendl^{3,5,20,21,273}, Kristina Adorjan^{22,23,24}, Esben Agerbo^{25,26,27}, Diego Albani²⁸, Silvia Alemany^{29,30,31}, Ney Alliey-Rodriguez^{32,33}, Thomas D. Als^{25,34,35}, Till F. M. Andlauer³⁶, Anastasia Antoniou³⁷, Helga Ask^{38,39}, Nicholas Bass⁶, Michael Bauer⁴⁰, Eva C. Beins⁸, Tim B. Bigdeli^{41,42,43,44}, Carsten Bøcker Pedersen^{25,26,27}, Marco P. Boks⁴⁵, Sigrid Børte^{46,47,48}, Rosa Bosch^{29,49}, Murielle Brum⁵⁰, Ben M. Brumpton⁵¹, Nathalie Brunkhorst-Kanaan⁵⁰, Monika Budde²², Jonas Bybjerg-Grauholm^{25,52}, William Byerley⁵³, Judit Cabana-Domínguez^{29,30,31}, Murray J. Cairns^{54,55}, Bernardo Carpiniello⁵⁶, Miquel Casas^{49,57,58}, Pablo Cervantes⁵⁹, Chris Chatzinakos^{41,43}, Hsi-Chung Chen^{60,61}, Tereza Clarence^{3,5,20,21}, Toni-Kim Clarke⁶², Isabelle Claus⁸, Brandon Coombes⁶³, Elizabeth C. Corfield^{38,64,65}, Cristiana Cruceanu^{59,66}, Alfredo Cuellar-Barboza^{67,68}, Piotr M. Czerski⁶⁹, Konstantinos Dafnas³⁷, Anders M. Dale⁷⁰, Nina Dalkner⁷¹, Franziska Degenhardt^{8,72}, J. Raymond DePaulo⁷³, Srdjan Djurovic^{74,75}, Ole Kristian Drange^{2,76}, Valentina Escott-Price¹⁰, Ayman H. Fanous^{77,78,79}, Frederike T. Fellendorf⁷¹, I. Nicol Ferrier⁸⁰, Liz Forty¹⁰, Josef Frank⁸¹, Oleksandr Frei^{1,47}, Nelson B. Freimer^{82,83}, John F. Fullard^{3,5,20,21}, Julie Garnham⁸⁴, Ian R. Gizer⁸⁵, Scott D. Gordon⁸⁶, Katherine Gordon-Smith⁸⁷, Tiffany A. Greenwood⁸⁸, Jakob Grove^{25,89,90,91}, José Guzman-Parra⁹², Tae Hyon Ha^{93,94}, Tim Hahn⁹⁵, Magnus Haraldsson^{96,97}, Martin Hautzinger⁹⁸, Alexandra Havdahl^{38,39,64}, Urs Heilbronner²², Dennis Hellgren¹⁹, Stefan Herms^{8,99,100}, Ian B. Hickie¹⁰¹, Per Hoffmann^{8,99,100}, Peter A. Holmans¹⁰, Ming-Chyi Huang¹⁰², Masashi Ikeda^{103,104}, Stéphane Jamain¹⁰⁵, Jessica S. Johnson^{3,5,106}, Lina Jonsson¹⁰⁷, Janos L. Kalman^{22,23}, Yoichiro Kamatani^{108,109}, James L. Kennedy^{110,111,112,113}, Euitae Kim^{93,94,114}, Jaeyoung Kim^{93,115}, Sarah Kittel-Schneider^{116,117}, James A. Knowles¹¹⁸, Manolis Kogevinas¹¹⁹, Thorsten M. Kranz⁵⁰, Kristi Krebs¹²⁰, Steven A. Kushner¹²¹, Catharina Lavebratt^{122,123}, Jacob Lawrence¹²⁴, Markus Leber¹²⁵, Heon-Jeong Lee¹²⁶, Calwing Liao^{127,128}, Susanne Lucae¹²⁹, Martin Lundberg^{122,123}, Donald J. MacIntyre¹³⁰, Wolfgang Maier¹³¹, Adam X. Maihofer^{88,132}, Dolores Malaspina^{3,5}, Mirko Manchia^{56,133}, Eirini Maratou¹³⁴, Lina Martinsson^{135,136}, Manuel Mattheisen^{25,34,35,117,137}, Nathaniel W. McGregor¹³⁸, Melvin G. McInnis¹³⁹, James D. McKay¹⁴⁰, Helena Medeiros¹⁴¹, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg^{142,143}, Vincent Millischer^{122,123,144,145}, Derek W. Morris¹⁴⁶, Paraskevi Moutsatsou¹³⁴, Thomas W. Mühleisen^{99,147}, Claire O'Donovan⁸⁴, Catherine M. Olsen¹⁴⁸, Georgia Panagiotaropoulou¹⁴⁹, Sergi Papiol^{22,23,29}, Antonio F. Pardiñas¹⁰, Hye Youn Park^{93,94}, Amy Perry⁸⁷, Andrea Pfennig⁴⁰, Claudia Pisanu¹⁵⁰, James B. Potash⁷³, Digby Quested^{151,152}, Mark H. Rapaport¹⁵³, Eline J. Regeer¹⁵⁴, John P. Rice¹⁵⁵, Margarita Rivera^{156,157,158}, Eva C. Schulte^{8,22,131}, Fanny Senner^{22,23}, Alexey Shadrin^{1,2,159}, Paul D. Shilling⁸⁸, Engilbert Sigurdsson^{96,97}, Lisa Sindermann⁸, Lea Sirignano⁸¹, Dan Siskind¹⁶⁰, Claire Slaney⁸⁴, Laura G. Sloofman^{3,5}, Olav B. Smeland^{1,2}, Daniel J. Smith¹⁶¹, Janet L. Sobell¹⁶², Maria Soler Artigas^{29,30,31,163}, Dan J. Stein¹⁶⁴, Frederike Stein⁹, Mei-Hsin Su¹⁶⁵, Heejong Sung¹⁶⁶, Beata Świątkowska¹⁶⁷, Chikashi Terao¹⁰⁹,
Markos Tesfaye^{1,2,75}, Martin Tesli^{1,2,168}, Thorgeir E. Thorgeirsson¹⁶⁹, Jackson G. Thorp¹⁴, Claudio Toma^{170,171,172}, Leonardo Tondo¹⁷³, Paul A. Tooney¹⁷⁴, Shih-Jen Tsai^{175,176}, Evangelia Eirini Tsermpini⁸⁴, Marquis P. Vawter¹⁷⁷, Helmut Vedder¹⁷⁸, Annabel Vreeker^{45,179,180}, James T. R. Walters¹⁰, Bendik S. Winsvold^{48,181,182}, Stephanie H. Witt⁸¹, Hong-Hee Won^{115,183}, Robert Ye^{127,128}, Allan H. Young^{184,185}, Peter P. Zandi⁷³, Lea Zillich⁸¹, 23andMe Research Team¹⁸⁶, Estonian Biobank research team¹⁸⁶, Genoplan Research Team¹⁸⁶, HUNT All-In Psychiatry¹⁸⁶, PGC-FG Single cell working group¹⁸⁶, Genomic Psychiatry Cohort (GPC) Investigators¹⁸⁶, Rolf Adolfsson¹⁸⁷, Martin Alda^{84,188}, Lars Alfredsson¹⁸⁹, Lena Backlund^{122,123}, Bernhard T. Baune^{190,191,192}, Frank Bellivier^{193,194}, Susanne Bengesser⁷¹, Wade H. Berrettini¹⁹⁵, Joanna M.

Biernacka^{63,68}, Michael Boehnke¹⁹⁶, Anders D. Børglum^{25,89,90}, Gerome Breen^{12,13}, Vaughan J. Carr¹⁷¹, Stanley Catts¹⁹⁷, Sven Cichon^{8,99,100,147}, Aiden Corvin¹⁹⁸, Nicholas Craddock¹⁰, Udo Dannlowski⁹⁵, Dimitris Dikeos¹⁹⁹, Bruno Etain^{193,194}, Panagiotis Ferentinos^{12,37}, Mark Frye⁶⁸, Janice M. Fullerton^{170,200}, Micha Gawlik¹¹⁷, Elliot S. Gershon^{32,201}, Fernando S. Goes⁷³, Melissa J. Green^{170,171}, Maria Grigoroiu-Serbanescu²⁰², Joanna Hauser²⁰³, Frans A. Henskens²⁰⁴, Jens Hjerling-Leffler²⁰⁵, David M. Hougaard^{25,52}, Kristian Hveem^{51,206}, Nakao Iwata¹⁰⁴, Ian Jones¹⁰, Lisa A. Jones⁸⁷, René S. Kahn^{3,45}, John R. Kelsoe⁸⁸, Tilo Kircher⁹, George Kirov¹⁰, Po-Hsiu Kuo^{60,207}, Mikael Landén^{19,107}, Marion Leboyer¹⁰⁵, Qingqin S. Li^{208,209}, Jolanta Lissowska²¹⁰, Christine Lochner²¹¹, Carmel Loughland²¹², Jurjen J. Luykx^{213,214}, Nicholas G. Martin^{86,215}, Carol A. Mathews²¹⁶, Fermin Mayoral⁹², Susan L. McElroy²¹⁷, Andrew M. McIntosh¹³⁰, Francis J. McMahon¹⁶⁶, Sarah E. Medland^{14,218,219}, Ingrid Melle^{1,220}, Lili Milani¹²⁰, Philip B. Mitchell¹⁷¹, Gunnar Morken^{221,222}, Ole Mors^{25,223}, Preben Bo Mortensen^{25,224}, Bertram Müller-Myhsok^{129,225,226}, Richard M. Myers²²⁷, Woojae Myung^{93,94}, Benjamin M. Neale^{127,128,228}, Caroline M. Nievergelt^{88,132}, Merete Nordentoft^{25,229}, Markus M. Nöthen⁸, John I. Nurnberger²³⁰, Michael C. O'Donovan¹⁰, Ketil J. Oedegaard^{231,232}, Tomas Olsson²³³, Michael J. Owen¹⁰, Sara A. Paciga²³⁴, Christos Pantelis^{192,235,236}, Carlos N. Pato²³⁷, Michele T. Pato²³⁷, George P. Patrinos^{238,239,240,241}, Joanna M. Pawlak²⁰³, Josep Antoni Ramos-Quiroga^{29,30,31,57}, Andreas Reif⁵⁰, Eva Z. Reininghaus⁷¹, Marta Ribasés^{29,30,31,163}, Marcella Rietschel⁸¹, Stephan Ripke^{127,128,149}, Guy A. Rouleau^{242,243}, Panos Roussos^{3,5,20,21,244}, Takeo Saito¹⁰⁴, Ulrich Schall^{245,246}, Martin Schalling^{122,123}, Peter R. Schofield^{170,200}, Thomas G. Schulze^{22,73,81,247,248}, Laura J. Scott¹⁹⁶, Rodney J. Scott^{249,250}, Alessandro Serretti^{251,252,253}, Jordan W. Smoller^{128,254,255}, Alessio Squassina¹⁵⁰, Eli A. Stahl^{3,5,228}, Hreinn Stefansson¹⁶⁹, Kari Stefansson^{169,256}, Eystein Stordal^{257,258}, Fabian Streit^{81,142,259}, Patrick F. Sullivan^{19,260,261}, Gustavo Turecki²⁶², Arne E. Vaaler²⁶³, Eduard Vieta²⁶⁴, John B. Vincent¹¹⁰, Irwin D. Waldman²⁶⁵, Cynthia S. Weickert^{170,171,266}, Thomas W. Weickert^{170,171,266}, Thomas Werge^{25,267,268,269}, David C. Whiteman¹⁴⁸, John-Anker Zwart^{47,48,181}, Howard J. Edenberg^{270,271}, Andrew McQuillin^{6,274}, Andreas J. Forstner^{8,147,272,274}, Niamh Mullins^{3,4,5,274}, Arianna Di Florio^{10,261,274}, Roel A. Ophoff^{7,82,83,274}, Ole A. Andreassen^{1,2,274,*}, for the Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium

Affiliations

¹Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ²NORMENT, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ³Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ⁴Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ⁵Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ⁶Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK.⁷ Department of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁸Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, School of Medicine and University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ⁹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany. ¹⁰Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. ¹¹23andMe, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA.¹²Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, King's College London, London, UK. 13NIHR Maudsley BRC, King's College London, London, UK. ¹⁴Mental Health and Neuroscience, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. 15School of Biomedical Sciences and Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ¹⁶New York University, New York, NY, USA. ¹⁷Flatiron Institute, New York, NY, USA. ¹⁸School of Biomedical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ¹⁹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,

Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ²⁰Center for Disease Neurogenomics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ²¹Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ²²Institute of Psychiatric Phenomics and Genomics (IPPG), LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ²³Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ²⁴University Hospital of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Switzerland. ²⁵iPSYCH, The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, Denmark. 26 National Centre for Register-Based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. 27 Centre for Integrated Register-based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ²⁸Department of Neuroscience, Istituto Di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milano, Italy. ²⁹Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Biomedical Network Research Centre on Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain. ³⁰Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain. 31Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Group of Psychiatry Mental Health and Addictions, Vall d´Hebron Research Institut (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. 32 Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ³³Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. ³⁴iSEQ, Center for Integrative Sequencing, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark.³⁵Department of Biomedicine - Human Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ³⁶Department of Neurology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.³⁷National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 2nd Department of Psychiatry, Attikon General Hospital, Athens, Greece. 38PsvchGen Centre for Genetic Epidemiology and Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ³⁹PROMENTA Research Centre, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Norway. 40Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany. 41Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA. 42 VA NY Harbor Healthcare System, Brooklyn, NY, USA. 43 Institute for Genomics in Health, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA. ⁴⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA. 45Psychiatry, Brain Center UMC Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁴⁶Research and Communication Unit for Musculoskeletal Health, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, Oslo University Hospital, Ulleva□I, Oslo, Norway. ⁴⁷Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. 48HUNT Center for Molecular and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. ⁴⁹Programa SJD MIND Escoles, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues de Llobregat, Spain. ⁵⁰Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. ⁵¹K. G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. ⁵²Center for Neonatal Screening, Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁵³ Psychiatry, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁵⁴School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW, Australia. ⁵⁵Precision Medicine Research Program, Hunter Medical Research Institute, New Lambton, NSW, Australia.⁵⁶Section of Psychiatry, Department of Medical Sciences and Public Health, University of Cagliari, Italy.⁵⁷Department of Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵⁸Fundació Privada d'Investigació Sant Pau (FISP), Barcelona, Spain. 59 Department of Psychiatry, Mood Disorders Program, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, QC, Canada. ⁶⁰Department of Psychiatry, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁶¹Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁶²Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁶³Department of Quantitative Health Sciences Research, Mayo

Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. 64Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ⁶⁵Department of Genetics and Bioinformatics, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ⁶⁶Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁶⁷Department of Psychiatry, Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon, Monterrey, Mexico. ⁶⁸Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. ⁶⁹Department of Psychiatry, Laboratory of Psychiatric Genetics, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland. ⁷⁰Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics, Departments of Neurosciences, Radiology, and Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. ⁷¹Medical University of Graz, Division of Psychiatry and Psychotherapeutic Medicine, Graz, Austria. ⁷²Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany. 73Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. 74Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital Ullevål, Oslo, Norway. 75 Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. 76 Department of Psychiatry, Sørlandet hospital, Kristiansand/Arendal, Norway.⁷⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona College of Medicine-Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ, USA. ⁷⁸Carl T. Hayden Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ, USA.⁷⁹Banner-University Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ, USA. ⁸⁰Academic Psychiatry, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ⁸¹ Department of Genetic Epidemiology in Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany. ⁸²Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 83Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Science, Semel Institute, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁸⁴Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. ⁸⁵Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA. ⁸⁶Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ⁸⁷Psychological Medicine, University of Worcester, Worcester, UK. ⁸⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁸⁹Department of Biomedicine and the iSEQ Center, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁹⁰Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine, CGPM, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁹¹Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. 92Mental Health Department, University Regional Hospital, Biomedicine Institute (IBIMA), Málaga, Spain. ⁹³Department of Neuropsychiatry, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea. ⁹⁴Department of Neuropsychiatry, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ⁹⁵Institute for Translational Psychiatry, University of Münster, Münster, Germany. ⁹⁶Faculty of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, School of Health Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁹⁷ Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland. 98Department of Psychology, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tubingen, Germany. 99Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ¹⁰⁰Institute of Medical Genetics and Pathology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ¹⁰¹Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ¹⁰²Department of Psychiatry, Taipei City Psychiatric Center, Taipei City Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁰³Department of Psychiatry, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan. 104Department of Psychiatry, Fujita Health University School of Medicine, Toyoake, Japan. ¹⁰⁵Univ Paris Est Créteil, INSERM, IMRB, Translational Neuropsychiatry, Créteil, France. ¹⁰⁶Department of Psychiatry, UNC Chapel Hill School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. 107Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. ¹⁰⁸Laboratory of Complex Trait Genomics, Department of Computational Biology and Medical Sciences, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. 109Laboratory for Statistical and Translational Genetics, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Yokohama, Japan. ¹¹⁰Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada. ¹¹¹Neurogenetics

Section, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada. ¹¹²Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. ¹¹³Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. 114Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Seoul National University College of Natural Sciences, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ¹¹⁵Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Sciences and Technology (SAIHST), Sungkyunkwan University, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ¹¹⁶Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Science, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland.¹¹⁷ Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Center of Mental Health, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany. ¹¹⁸Human Genetics Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA. ¹¹⁹ISGlobal, Barcelona, Spain. ¹²⁰Estonian Genome Centre, Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia.¹²¹ Department of Psychiatry, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. 122Translational Psychiatry, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹²³Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. 124 Psychiatry, North East London NHS Foundation Trust, Ilford, UK. 125 Clinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ¹²⁶Department of Psychiatry, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. 127Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. 128Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA.¹²⁹Department of Translational Research in Psychiatry, Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany. ¹³⁰Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹³¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bonn, School of Medicine and University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ¹³²Research/Psychiatry, Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA. ¹³³Unit of Clinical Psychiatry, University Hospital Agency of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy. ¹³⁴National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Clinical Biochemistry Laboratory, Attikon General Hospital, Athens, Greece. 135Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹³⁶Centre for Psychiatry Research, SLSO Region Stockholm, Sweden. ¹³⁷ Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Centre for Psychiatry Research, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹³⁸Human and Systems Genetics Working Group, Department of Genetics, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa. ¹³⁹Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ¹⁴⁰Genetic Cancer Susceptibility Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France. ¹⁴¹Institute for Genomic Health, SUNY Downstate Medical Center College of Medicine, Brooklyn, NY, USA. ¹⁴²Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany. ¹⁴³German Centre for Mental Health (DZPG), Germany. ¹⁴⁴Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Clinical Division of General Psychiatry, Medical University of Vienna, Austria. 145Comprehensive Center for Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ¹⁴⁶ Centre for Neuroimaging and Cognitive Genomics (NICOG), School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland. ¹⁴⁷Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Centre Jülich, Jülich, Germany. 148Population Health, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. 149Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité - Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany. ¹⁵⁰Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Cagliari, Italy. ¹⁵¹Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK.¹⁵²Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK. 153Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ¹⁵⁴Outpatient Clinic for Bipolar Disorder, Altrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands. 155Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis, Saint Louis, MO, USA.¹⁵⁶Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology II, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Granada, Spain. ¹⁵⁷Institute of Neurosciences ´Federico Olóriz´, Biomedical Research Center (CIBM), University of Granada,

Granada, Spain. 158 Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Granada, Spain. 159KG Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental disorders, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ¹⁶⁰Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ¹⁶¹ Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹⁶² Psychiatry and the Behavioral Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ¹⁶³Department of Genetics, Microbiology, and Statistics, Faculty of Biology, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁶⁴SAMRC Unit on Risk and Resilience in Mental Disorders, Dept of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Institute, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹⁶⁵Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA. ¹⁶⁶Human Genetics Branch, Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ¹⁶⁷Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Nofer Institute of Occupational Medicine, Lodz, Poland. 168Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. 169deCODE Genetics / Amgen, Reykjavik, Iceland. ¹⁷⁰Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ¹⁷¹Discipline of Psychiatry and Mental Health, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia. 172Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid and CSIC, Madrid, Spain. ¹⁷³Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ¹⁷⁴School of Biomedical Science and Pharmacy, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. 175Department of Psychiatry, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁷⁶ Division of Psychiatry, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁷⁷ Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA. ¹⁷⁸Psychiatry, Psychiatrisches Zentrum Nordbaden, Wiesloch, Germany.¹⁷⁹Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus MC Sophia Children Hospital, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ¹⁸⁰Department of Psychology Education and Child Studies, Erasmus School of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands. 181Department of Research, Innovation and Education, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. 182Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ¹⁸³Samsung Genome Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ¹⁸⁴Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK. ¹⁸⁵South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Bethlem Royal Hospital, Monks Orchard Road, Beckenham, Kent, UK. ¹⁸⁶A list of members and affiliations appears in the Supplementary Note. 187Department of Clinical Sciences, Psychiatry, Umeå University Medical Faculty, Umeå, Sweden. ¹⁸⁸National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czech Republic. ¹⁸⁹Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁹⁰Department of Psychiatry, University of Münster, Münster, Germany. 191Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ¹⁹²The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ¹⁹³Université Paris Cité, INSERM, Optimisation Thérapeutique en Neuropsychopharmacologie, UMRS-1144, Paris, France. 194APHP Nord, DMU Neurosciences, GHU Saint Louis-Lariboisière-Fernand Widal, Département de Psychiatrie et de Médecine Addictologique, Paris, France. ¹⁹⁵Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ¹⁹⁶Center for Statistical Genetics and Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ¹⁹⁷ University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ¹⁹⁸ Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group, Dept of Psychiatry and Trinity Translational Medicine Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. ¹⁹⁹National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 1st Department of Psychiatry, Eginition Hospital, Athens, Greece. ²⁰⁰School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia. ²⁰¹Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ²⁰²Biometric Psychiatric Genetics Research Unit, Alexandru Obregia Clinical Psychiatric Hospital, Bucharest, Romania. ²⁰³Department of

Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatric Genetics, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland. ²⁰⁴School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. 205Department of Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ²⁰⁶HUNT Research Center, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. ²⁰⁷Department of Public Health and Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ²⁰⁸Neuroscience Therapeutic Area, Janssen Research and Development, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA. 209JRD Data Science, Janssen Research and Development, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA. ²¹⁰Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, M. Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland. 211SA MRC Unit on Risk and Resilience in Mental Disorders, Dept of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa. 212University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. 213Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²¹⁴Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands. ²¹⁵School of Psychology, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ²¹⁶Department of Psychiatry and Genetics Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA. ²¹⁷Research Institute, Lindner Center of HOPE, Mason, OH, USA. ²¹⁸School of Psychology and Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ²¹⁹School of Psychology and Counselling, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ²²⁰Division of Mental Health and Addiction, University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Oslo, Norway. ²²¹ Department of Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway. ²²²Psychiatry, St Olavs University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway. ²²³Psychosis Research Unit, Aarhus University Hospital - Psychiatry, Risskov, Denmark. ²²⁴NCRR and CIRRAU, Aarhus BSS, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ²²⁵Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany.²²⁶University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.²²⁷HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL, USA. ²²⁸Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. ²²⁹Mental Health Services in the Capital Region of Denmark, Mental Health Center Copenhagen, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ²³⁰Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA. ²³¹ Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland Universitetssjukehus, Bergen, Norway. 232Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ²³³Department of Clinical Neuroscience and Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet at Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden. ²³⁴Human Genetics and Computational Biomedicine, Pfizer Global Research and Development, Groton, CT, USA. 235Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia. 236Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (MIPS), Monash University, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ²³⁷Rutgers Health, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA. ²³⁸University of Patras, School of Health Sciences, Department of Pharmacy, Laboratory of Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Therapy, Patras, Greece. ²³⁹United Arab Emirates University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Genetics and Genomics, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates. ²⁴⁰United Arab Emirates University, Zayed Center for Health Sciences, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates. ²⁴¹ Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Pathology, Clinical Bioinformatics Unit, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ²⁴²Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Faculty of Medicine, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²⁴³Montreal Neurological Institute and Hospital, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada. ²⁴⁴Center for Precision Medicine and Translational Therapeutics, James J. Peters VA Medical Center, Bronx, NY, USA. ²⁴⁵Centre for Brain and Mental Health Research, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. ²⁴⁶Hunter Medical Research Institute, New Lambtion Heights, NSW, Australia. ²⁴⁷Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Göttingen,

Göttingen, Germany. 248Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, USA. 249The School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Wellbeing, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia. ²⁵⁰Cancer Detection and Therapies Program, Hunter Medical Research Institute, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia.²⁵¹Department of Medicine and Surgery, Kore University of Enna, Enna, Italy. ²⁵²Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ²⁵³Oasi Research Institute-IRCCS, Troina, Italy. ²⁵⁴Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ²⁵⁵Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit (PNGU), Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ²⁵⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ²⁵⁷Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Namsos, Namsos, Norway. ²⁵⁸Department of Neuroscience, Norges Teknisk Naturvitenskapelige Universitet Fakultet for naturvitenskap og teknologi, Trondheim, Norway. 259Hector Institute for Artificial Intelligence in Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany. ²⁶⁰Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. 261Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ²⁶²Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. 263Dept of Psychiatry, Sankt Olavs Hospital Universitetssykehuset i Trondheim, Trondheim, Norway. ²⁶⁴Clinical Institute of Neuroscience, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain. ²⁶⁵Department of Psychology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. ²⁶⁶Department of Neuroscience, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY, USA. ²⁶⁷Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Mental Health Services, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. ²⁶⁸Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ²⁶⁹Center for GeoGenetics, GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ²⁷⁰Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA. ²⁷¹Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, USA. ²⁷²Centre for Human Genetics, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany. 273These authors contributed equally as second authors: Maria Koromina, Tracey van der Veen, Toni Boltz, Friederike S. David, Jessica Mei Kay Yang, Keng-Han Lin, Xin Wang, Jonathan R. I. Coleman, Brittany L. Mitchell, Caroline C. McGrouther, Aaditya V. Rangan, Penelope A. Lind, Elise Koch, Arvid Harder, Nadine Parker, Jaroslav Bendl. ²⁷⁴These authors jointly supervised this work: Andrew McQuillin, Andreas J. Forstner, Niamh Mullins, Arianna Di Florio, Roel A. Ophoff, Ole A. Andreassen. *Corresponding authors.

Abstract

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a leading contributor to the global burden of disease¹. Despite high heritability (60-80%), the majority of the underlying genetic determinants remain unknown². We analysed data from participants of European, East Asian, African American and Latino ancestries (n=158,036 BD cases, 2.8 million controls), combining Clinical, Community, and Selfreported samples. We identified 298 genome-wide significant loci in the multi-ancestry metaanalysis, a 4-fold increase over previous findings³, and identified a novel ancestry-specific association in the East Asian cohort. Integrating results from fine-mapping and other variant-togene mapping approaches identified 36 credible genes in the aetiology of BD. Genes prioritised through fine-mapping were enriched for ultra-rare damaging missense and protein-truncating variations in BD cases⁴, highlighting convergence of common and rare variant signals. We report differences in genetic architecture of BD depending on the source of patient ascertainment and on BD-subtype (BDI and BDII). Several analyses implicate specific cell types in BD pathophysiology, including GABAergic interneurons and medium spiny neurons. Together, these analyses provide novel insights into the genetic architecture and biological underpinnings of BD.

Main

Bipolar disorder (BD) is an often lifelong mood disorder that impairs quality of life, functional ability, and is associated with suicidality.⁵ Symptoms typically occur in early adulthood,⁵ with a similar prevalence and incidence rate across the world. 6 Current treatment options include pharmacotherapies such as mood stabilisers, antipsychotics and antidepressants, preferably administered in conjunction with psychosocial interventions.^{1,5} However, approximately one third of patients relapse within the first year of treatment.⁷

The heterogeneous nature of the disorder is noted in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5), which includes the category "bipolar and related disorders," encompassing bipolar disorder type I (BDI), bipolar disorder type II (BDII) and cyclothymic disorders.⁸ The International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision (ICD-11) also recognises BDI and BDII as distinct subtypes.⁹ BDI is characterised by episodes of both mania and depression, while BDII includes episodes of hypomania and depression. Advances in genetics and neuroimaging have begun to make inroads into the underlying pathophysiology of BD. The Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) Bipolar Disorder Working Group has spearheaded genetic discoveries in BD.^{10,11} A genome-wide association study (GWAS) of 41,917 BD cases and 371,549 controls identified 64 loci and highlighted calcium channel antagonists as potential targets for drug repurposing. 3 Brain imaging studies have shown decreased cortical thickness, lower subcortical volume and disrupted white matter integrity associated with BD, as well as brain alterations associated with medication use.¹² To date, this research has been conducted almost exclusively on individuals of European (EUR) ancestry.

Here, we present the largest to date multi-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis of 158,036 BD cases and 2,796,499 controls, combining Clinical, Community, and Self-reported samples. We identified 337 linkage disequilibrium (LD) independent genome-wide significant (GWS) variants that map to 298 loci. We hypothesised that differences in source of patient ascertainment, BD subtype, and genetic ancestry might lead to differences in genetic architecture, thus we also analysed these groups separately. We provide new insights into the genetic architecture and neurobiological mechanisms involved in BD, with the potential to inform the development of new treatments and precision medicine approaches.

Study population

The current GWAS meta-analysis includes 79 cohorts. Case definitions were based on a range of assessment methods: (semi-)structured clinical interviews (Clinical), medical records, registries and questionnaire data (Community), and self-reported surveys (Self-reported). Details of the cohorts, including sample size, ancestry, and inclusion/exclusion criteria for cases and controls, are provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 and the Supplementary Note. BD subtype data were available for a subset of individuals within the Clinical and Community groups. 82.5% of cases in the Clinical ascertainment group had BDI as did 68.7% of cases in the Community ascertainment group (X²=730, p < 2.2 ×□10^{−16}; Supplementary Table 2). The total number of samples available for analyses included 158,036 BD cases and 2,796,499 controls (effective n (Neff) = \Box 535,720; see Methods).

Genetic architecture of BD differs by ascertainment and subtype

Given our hypothesis that samples ascertained and assessed by different methods could lead to differences in the genetic architecture, we performed meta-analyses separately for Clinical, Community and Self-reported samples. Using $LDSC^{13}$ and assuming a population prevalence of 2%,¹⁴ BD ascertained from Clinical samples was more heritable (h^2_{SNP} = 0.22; s.e. = 0.01) than BD ascertained from Community samples (h^2_{SNP} = 0.05; s.e. = 0.003) or Self-report (h^2_{SNP} = 0.08; s.e. = 0.003) (Supplementary Table 3). We used genetic correlation¹³ and MiXeR^{15,16} analyses to further investigate the genetic architecture of BD based on assessment. While there was a strong genetic correlation between Clinical and Community samples (r_g = 0.95; s.e. = 0.03), the genetic correlation for Self-reported BD was significantly greater ($p = 7.4 \times 10^{-28}$) with Community samples (r_g = 0.79; s.e. = 0.02) than with Clinical samples (r_g = 0.47; s.e. = 0.02) (Supplementary Figure 2).

MiXeR estimated the greatest polygenicity for BD ascertained from Self-reported samples, followed by Clinical and then Community samples (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 4). Almost all variants estimated to influence BD in Community samples were shared with BD ascertained from Clinical samples. The majority of Clinical and Community BD-influencing variants were also shared with Self-reported BD (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 4). The mean correlation of variant effects in the shared components was high across all groups (Community and Selfreported r_a shared = 0.95 (s.e. = 0.03), Community and Clinical r_a shared = 0.99 (s.e. = 0.01) and Clinical and Self-reported $r_{g_shared} = 0.74$ (s.e. = 0.06) (Supplementary Table 4), supporting our decision to meta-analyse the three types of data sources.

Figure 1. Genetic correlation and bivariate MiXeR estimates for the genetic overlap of BD ascertainment and subtypes. Trait-influencing genetic variants shared between each pair (grey) and unique to each trait (colours) are shown. The numbers within the Venn diagrams indicate the estimated number of trait-influencing variants (and standard errors) (in thousands) that explain 90% of SNP heritability in each phenotype. The size of the circles reflects the polygenicity of each trait, with larger circles corresponding to greater polygenicity. The estimated genetic correlation (rg) and standard error between BD and each trait of interest from LDSC is shown below the corresponding Venn diagram. Clinical and Community samples were stratified into bipolar I disorder (BDI) and bipolar II disorder (BDII) subtypes if subtype data were available. Model fit statistics indicated that MiXeR-modelled overlap for bivariate comparisons including the bipolar subtypes (BDI and BDII) were not distinguishable from minimal or maximal possible overlap, and therefore to be interpreted with caution (see Supplementary Table 4).)
) me
ere al s =
) =
) =

To analyse BD subtypes, we used available GWAS summary statistics for BDI (25,060 cases) and BDII (6,781 cases)³, which come from a subset of the Clinical and Community samples. Assuming a population prevalence of 1%,¹⁷ BDI was more heritable (h^2_{SNP} = 0.21; s.e. = 0.01) than BDII (h^2 _{SNP} = 0.11; s.e. = 0.01). BDI and BDII were highly, but imperfectly, correlated (r_g = 0.88; s.e. = 0.05). The genetic correlations between both subtypes and the Community samples were high (BDI r_q = 0.85; s.e. = 0.03, BDII r_q = 0.95; s.e. = 0.06). In contrast, the genetic correlation between BDI and Self-reported BD (r_g = 0.42; s.e. = 0.02) was significantly lower ($p =$ 7.1 ×□10⁻¹³) than between BDII and Self-reported BD (r_g = 0.76; s.e. = 0.05) (Supplementary Figure 2).

Given the difference in proportion of BDI and BDII cases within the Clinical and Community cohorts, we evaluated the genetic correlation between BD within Clinical and Community cohorts, and Self-reported BD, after conditioning on the genetic risk for BDI and BDII. After conditioning, the genetic correlation between Self-reported BD and BD within Community cohorts (r_g = 0.92; s.e. = 0.09) = was not significantly different (p = 0.10) than with BD in Clinical cohorts (r_a = 0.71; s.e. = 0.13).

Ancestry-specific GWAS meta-analyses

We conducted separate meta-analyses in four ancestral groups. Because the self-reported data differed in genetic architecture from the clinical and community data, we performed separate meta-analyses with and without the inclusion of the self-reported data. Supplementary Table 2 provides a summary of the GWAS meta-analyses and details of associated loci are described in Supplementary Tables 5-7. Ancestry-specific estimates of SNP-heritability and cross-ancestry genetic correlations are provided in Supplementary Table 3.

We identified 261 independent GWS variants mapping to 221 loci associated with BD in EUR ancestry meta-analyses that included self-reported data, and 94 independent GWS variants mapping to 88 loci without self-reported data (Supplementary Tables 5 and 6). There were 92 of the 94 independent GWS variants available for meta-analysis in the Self-reported cohorts, of which 78 (85%) were concordant for direction of effect (Supplementary Table 6).

In the East Asian (EAS) ancestry meta-analysis we identified two BD-associated loci, one of which is novel with an ancestry-specific index variant (rs117130410, 4:105734758, build GRCh37; Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 7). While this variant had a frequency of 16% and 9% in EAS BD cases and controls, respectively, it is monomorphic in non-Asian populations. The second locus (rs174576, 11:61603510, build GRCh37; Supplementary Table 7) was only identified when the self-reported data were excluded from the meta-analysis since the index variant was not available in the self-reported data. This locus has been identified previously and implicates the *FADS1* and *FADS2* genes.^{3,18} No GWS loci were observed in the African American (AFR) or Latino (LAT) ancestry meta-analyses.

Multi-ancestry meta-analysis

A multi-ancestry meta-analysis of all of the datasets identified 337 LD independent GWS variants mapping to 298 loci (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 8). There was minimal test statistic inflation due to uncontrolled population stratification after correction for principal components in each dataset (LDSC intercept = 1.052 (se = 0.016), attenuation ratio= 0.071 (s.e. = 0.013)).

Of the 298 loci identified in this multi-ancestry meta-analysis, 267 are novel for BD. Of the 64 previously reported BD-associated loci, 3 31 met GWS in the present analysis containing all

samples, and of the 33 that did not, 25 met GWS in either the Clinical samples or in the metaanalysis that excluded Self-reported data (Supplementary Table 9). Moreover, the direction of association for all top SNPs (12,151 SNPs with $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$) from the previous GWAS was consistent with the direction of association in this multi-ancestry meta-analysis of all samples (Supplementary Table 9).

When considering the impact of ancestry on the discovery of these 298 loci, one locus (index SNP rs7248481, chr19:13079957-13122567) was most strongly associated in the EAS ancestry meta-analysis. For all other loci, the association was strongest in the EUR ancestry metaanalysis. The majority of the 298 loci were nominally significant ($p < 0.05$) within the AFR (290/298 loci), EAS (257/298 loci) and LAT (293/298 loci) ancestry-specific meta-analyses, highlighting consistency of signal across the ancestry groups (Supplementary Table 8).

We used GSA-MiXeR¹⁹ to estimate the proportion of SNP-heritability (SNP-h²) accounted for by SNPs within GWS loci. Compared to only 8.3% accounted for by SNPs within the 64 previously identified loci,³ SNPs within the 298 loci account for 18.5% of the SNP-h² of BD (Supplementary Table 10). Moreover, SNPs within the 298 loci also accounted for higher proportions of SNP-h2 in the Clinical (64 loci: 8.5%; 298 loci: 17.8%), BDI (64 loci: 8.3%; 298 loci: 17.5%), Community (64 loci: 4.8%; 298 loci: 22.6%), and Self-reported (64 loci: 2.0%; 298 loci: 21.1%) samples.

We carried out sensitivity meta-analyses excluding the Self-reported samples (leaving 67,948 cases and 867,710 controls; N_{eff} = 191,722), and identified 116 independent GWS variants mapping to 105 loci (Supplementary Table 11). There was minimal test statistic inflation due to uncontrolled population stratification after correction for principal components in each dataset $(LDSC$ intercept = 1.050; se = 0.012, attenuation ratio=0.086; s.e. = 0.018). Analysis of Selfreport cohorts only (90,088 cases and 1,928,789 controls; $N_{\text{eff}} = 344,088$) identified 126 loci (Supplementary Table 12). Of the 116 independent GWS variants identified in the meta-analysis excluding the Self-report samples, 110 were available for meta-analysis in the Self-report samples, of which 96 (87%) were concordant (Supplementary Table 11).

Genetic correlations with other traits

Genome-wide genetic correlations (*rg*) were estimated between EUR ancestry BD GWASs (with and without self-reported data, and when stratified by ascertainment and subtypes) and human diseases and traits via the Complex Traits Genetics Virtual Lab (CTG-VL; https://vl.genoma.io) web platform²⁰ (Figure 2, Supplementary Tables 13-15). Most psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder (MDD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), borderline personality disorder, and autism spectrum disorder (ASD), were more strongly correlated with the full meta-analysis, as well as with BDII, and BD in Community and Self-reported samples (Figure 2). In contrast, schizophrenia was more strongly genetically correlated with the full BD meta-analysis excluding self-reported data and with BDI and BD in clinical samples (Figure 2).

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license . medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.07.23296687;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.07.23296687) this version posted August 27, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint

Figure 2. Genetic correlations between bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders. The yaxis (Trait2) is ordered based on the significance and magnitude of genetic correlation of each trait with bipolar disorder type I. Stars indicate results passing the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold of P < 3.6×10^{-5} . ADHD, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder. The year indicated in parentheses after each trait refers to the year in which the GWAS was published. Details are provided in Supplementary Table 13.

Polygenic prediction of bipolar disorder

Polygenic risk score (PRS) analyses were performed using PRS-CS-auto²¹ in 55 EUR ancestry cohorts for which individual-level genotype and phenotype data were available (40,992 cases and 80,215 controls), as well as one cohort of AFR ancestry (347 cases and 669 controls) and three cohorts of EAS ancestry (4,473 cases and 65,923 controls) (Supplementary Tables 16- 20). In the EUR ancestry cohorts, the variance explained by the multi-ancestry GWAS without the self-reported data (R^2 = 0.090, s.e. = 0.019) was significantly greater than that explained by both the multi-ancestry GWAS including self-report data (R^2 = 0.058, s.e. = 0.017, P = 2.72 \square × \square 10^{−4}), and by the the EUR ancestry GWAS excluding the self-reported data (R² =

0.084, s.e. = 0.018, P = 5.62 ×□10⁻³) (Figure 3A, Supplementary Tables 16 and 21). Individuals in the top quintile (top 20%) for this multi-ancestry GWAS without the self-reported data PRS

had an odds ratio of 7.06 (95% confidence interval (CI) 3.9–10.4) of being affected with BD compared to individuals in the middle quintile. The corresponding median Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUC) was 0.70 (95% CI= 0.67-0.73). Therefore, the BD liability explained remains insufficient for diagnostic prediction in the general population.

Similarly, PRS derived from GWAS excluding self-reported data explained significantly more variance in cases of BDI (Figure 3B, Supplementary Tables 17) and in Clinical cohorts (Figure 3D, Supplementary Tables 19) than when self-reported data were included. Conversely, inclusion of the self-reported data yielded greater median R^2 estimates for the PRS in cases of BDII (Figure 3C, Supplementary Tables 18) and in Community cohorts (Figure 3E, Supplementary Tables 20), although these differences were not significant.

Figure 3. Phenotypic variance in bipolar disorder in European cohorts explained by polygenic risk scores derived from the multi-ancestry and European meta-analyses (with and without selfreported data).

Variance explained is presented on the liability scale, assuming a 2% population prevalence of bipolar disorder. The results in the first panel are the median weighted liability R² values across all 55 European cohorts (40,992 cases, 80,215 controls, $N_{\text{eff}} = 46,725$). Similarly, the remaining panels show the results across 36 bipolar disorder I (BDI) cohorts (12,419 cases and 33,148 controls, N_{eff} = 14,607), 21 bipolar disorder II (BDII cohorts, 2,549 cases, 23,385 controls, N_{eff} $= 4,021$, 48 Clinical cohorts (27,833 cases, 46,623 controls, $N_{\text{eff}} = 29,543$), and 7 Community cohorts (13,159 cases, 36,592 controls, $N_{\text{eff}} = 17,178$). All analyses were weighted by the effective *n* per cohort. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals (CI). Comparison of variance explained was determined using 1- and 2-sample Wilcoxon tests (Supplementary Table 21)

PRS analysis of three clinically ascertained EAS cohorts revealed that the PRSs derived from GWAS excluding the self-reported data (Taiwan; EUR-PRS R2 = 0.069, Multi-PRS R2 = 0.075. Japan; EUR-PRS R2 = 0.027, Multi-PRS R2 = 0.025. Korea; EUR-PRS R2 = 0.016, Multi-PRS R2 = 0.022) performed better than those that included self-reported data (Taiwan; EUR-PRS R2 $= 0.026$, Multi-PRS R2 = 0.036. Japan; EUR-PRS R2 = 0.015, Multi-PRS R2 = 0.015. Korea; EUR-PRS R2 = 0.014 , Multi-PRS R2 = 0.017) (Supplementary Table 22).

In a clinically ascertained AFR target cohort, the inclusion of self-reported data increased the explained variance by both the multi-ancestry PRS and the EUR ancestry PRS from 0.010 to 0.23 or 0.22, respectively (Supplementary Table 22).

Pathway, tissue and cell type enrichment

Gene-set enrichment analyses were performed on the summary statistics derived from the multi-ancestry meta-analysis including self-reported data, using MAGMA.²² We identified significant enrichment of 6 gene-sets (Supplementary Table 23) related to the synapse and transcription factor activity. The association signal was enriched among genes expressed in the brain (Supplementary Table 24), and specifically in the early- to mid-prenatal stages of development (Supplementary Table 25). Single-cell enrichment analyses of brain cell types indicate involvement of neuronal populations from different brain regions, including hippocampal pyramidal neurons and interneurons of the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Supplementary Figure 5), and were largely consistent with findings from the previous PGC BD GWAS³. Similar patterns of enrichment were observed based on ascertainment and subtype (Supplementary Figure 6). In addition, GSA-MiXeR¹⁹ highlighted enrichment of specific dopamine- and calciumrelated biological processes and molecular functions, as well as GABAergic interneuron development, respectively (Supplementary Table 26).

A recent study²³ analysed single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNAseq) data of 3.369 million nuclei from 106 anatomical dissections within 10 brain regions and divided cells into 31 superclusters and 481 clusters, respectively, based on principal component analysis of sequenced genes. These superclusters were then annotated based on their regional composition within the brain (Figure 4). We used stratified LD score regression (S-LDSC)²⁴ to estimate SNP-heritability enrichment for the top decile of expression proportion (TDEP) genes in each of the 31 superclusters and 481 clusters, as described previously.²⁵ Heritability was significantly enriched in 9 of the 31 superclusters (Figure 4), and 49 of the 481 clusters (Supplementary Figure 7). No enrichment was seen in non-neuronal clusters. Interestingly, two clusters within the medium spiny neurons, not observed at the supercluster level, are significantly enriched further supporting the involvement of striatal processes in BD.

Figure 4. Supercluster-level SNP-heritability enrichment for bipolar disorder. The t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (tSNE) plot (from Siletti et al.²³) (left) is coloured by the enrichment z-score. Grey indicates non-significantly enriched superclusters (FDR > 0.05). The barplot (right) shows the nine significantly enriched superclusters.

Single-cell enrichment analysis in 914 cell types across 29 non-brain murine tissues identified significant enrichment in the enteroendocrine cells of the large intestine and delta cells of the pancreas, which remained significant after cross-dataset conditional analyses with a murine brain tissue dataset (Supplementary Table 27).

Fine-mapping

We performed functional fine-mapping using Polyfun+SuSiE (Supplementary Tables 28 and 29).²⁶ At a threshold of PIP > 0.50, we identified 80 putatively causal fine-mapped SNPs for the multi-ancestry meta-analyses including self-reported data. At the more stringent threshold of PIP > 0.95 we identified 20 putatively causal SNPs. When comparing the number of SNPs within 95% credible sets, the inclusion of multi-ancestry and self-reported data led to smaller credible sets (i.e. credible sets with fewer numbers of SNPs). For example, we identified 175 95% credible sets of < 20 SNPs in the multi-ancestry dataset with self-reported data, compared

to 122 in the European dataset with self-reported data (Supplementary Figure 8). Putatively causal SNPs with a PIP > 0.5 were mapped to genes by performing variant annotation with Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (GRCh37) Ensembl release 109, 27 based on their position relative to annotated Ensembl transcripts and known regulatory features. This analysis identified 71 unique genes annotated to fine-mapped SNPs from the multi-ancestry meta-analysis including self-reported data (Supplementary Table 29).

Converging evidence of common and rare variation

Within loci associated with BD in the multi-ancestry meta-analysis, the 71 genes annotated to putatively causal fine-mapped SNPs (Supplementary Table 29) were enriched for ultra rare (<=5 minor allele count) damaging missense and protein-truncating variants in BD cases in the Bipolar Exome (BipEx) consortium dataset⁴ (Odds ratio (OR) = 1.16, 95% confidence interval $(CI) = 1.05 - 1.28$, $P = 0.002$), and in schizophrenia cases in the Schizophrenia Exome Metaanalysis (SCHEMA) dataset²⁸ (OR = 1.21, 95% CI = 1.02 - 1.43, P = 0.024). This enrichment is similar to that observed for schizophrenia²⁸ and ADHD.²⁹

Identification of credible BD-associated genes

In addition to the 71 genes annotated to the fine-mapped putatively causal SNPs as described above, we annotated a further 45 genes to the 80 fine-mapped SNPs by SMR using eQTL and sQTL data, as well as by proximity, i.e. the nearest gene to each SNP (Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Tables 30 and 31). No genes were annotated to the CpGs identified by the mQTL analysis (Supplementary Table 30). We then determined if any of these 116 genes were also identified through the genome-wide gene-based analysis using $MAGMA²² eQTL$ analyses using TWAS as implemented in FUSION³⁰ and isoTWAS, 31 or through enhancer-promoter (E-P) interactions.^{32,33} This resulted in seven possible approaches by which loci could be mapped to genes including, eQTL evidence (eQTL or TWAS or FOCUS or isoTWAS), mQTL, sQTL, VEP, proximity, MAGMA and E-P interactions.

We integrated the results from the post-GWAS analyses described above and identified a credible set of 36 genes identified by at least three of the described approaches (Supplementary Table 31). The *SP4* gene was identified by six of these approaches, and astrocyte and GABAergic neuron specific regulation of *SP4*, by the GWS variant rs2107448, were identified from cell-type specific enhancer-promoter interaction results (Supplementary Table 31). Moreover, the *TTC12* and *MED24* genes were identified by five of the approaches. Eight of the 36 credible genes have synaptic annotations in the SynGO database.34 Three genes (*HTT, ERBB4* and *LR5NF*) were mapped to both postsynaptic and presynaptic compartments. One gene (*CACNA1B*) was mapped to only the presynapse and four genes (*SHANK2*, *OLFM1*, *SHISA9* and *SORCS3*) were mapped to only the postsynapse (Supplementary Table 32).

Based on the lifespan gene expression data from the Human Brain Transcriptome project (www.hbatlas.org),35 we identified two clusters of credible genes with distinct peaks in temporal expression (Supplementary Figure 10, Supplementary Table 31). The first cluster shows reduced prenatal gene expression, with gene expression peaking at birth and remaining stable over the life-course. Conversely, the second cluster shows a peak in gene expression during fetal development with a drop-off in expression before birth.

Drug target analyses

Gene-set analyses were performed restricted to genes targeted by drugs, assessing individual drugs and grouping drugs with similar actions as described previously.^{3,36} Gene-level and geneset analyses of the multi-ancestry GWAS summary statistics including self-report data were performed in MAGMA,²² and identified significant enrichment in the targets of anticonvulsant pregabalin (Supplementary Table 33). There was also significant enrichment in the targets of antipsychotics and anxiolytics (Supplementary Table 34).

Examination of the Drug Gene Interaction Database (DGIdb)³⁷ to identify drug-gene interactions using the credible genes as input genes, showed that 15 out of 36 genes were interacting with a total number of 528 drugs. Gene-set enrichment analysis of these drug-gene interactions showed a significant enrichment (p<0.0001) for targets of the atypical antipsychotic drugs nemonapride and risperidone (Supplementary Table 35). However, after correction for the total number of drugs (N=69,018), the enrichment was non-significant (FDR>0.05). In addition, 16 of the 36 credible genes had evidence of tractability with a small molecule in the OpenTargets dataset, including *FURIN, MED24, THRA, ALDH2, ANKK1, ARHGAP15, CACNA1B, ERBB4, ESR1, FES, GPR139, HTT, MLEC, MSH6, PSMD14*, and *TOMM2*.

Among the 36 credible genes, two (*ALDH2* and *ESR1*) were within the list of 139 lithium target and interaction partner genes. The results of the network-based separation (S_{AR}) analysis do not indicate a general overlap between the credible genes and lithium target genes in the human protein interactome $(S_{AB}=0.124, z\text{-}score=1.710, p\text{-}value=0.044)$. The positive S_{AB} value indicates that the lithium target genes and the 36 credible genes are separated from each other in the network of protein-protein interactions.

Discussion

We performed the largest GWAS of BD, including diverse samples of EUR, EAS, AFR and LAT ancestry, resulting in an over four-fold increase in the number of BD-associated loci: 337 LD independent GWS variants mapping to 298 loci. In the meta-analysis of EUR, the largest ancestry group, we identified over 200 GWS loci. We also found a novel ancestral-specific association in the EAS cohort. We confirmed our hypothesis that differences in ascertainment and BD subtype might lead to differences in genetic architecture. Post-GWAS analyses provide novel insights into the biological underpinnings and genetic architecture of BD and highlight differences depending on ascertainment of participants and BD-subtype. We also showed that multi-ancestry data improved fine-mapping and polygenic prediction.

Enrichment of the common variant associations from this multi-ancestry meta-analysis highlights the synapse, interneurons of the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, and hippocampal pyramidal neurons as particularly relevant. Exploratory analyses using GSA- $MiXeR¹⁹$ suggest enrichment of dopamine- and calcium-related biological processes and development of GABAergic interneurons. These findings were further corroborated by enrichment analyses in single-nucleus RNA-seq data from adult postmortem brain tissue, which highlighted specific clusters of interneurons derived from the caudal and medial ganglionic eminences and medium spiny neurons predominantly localised in the striatum. Medium spiny neurons are not enriched in depression using the same dataset.²⁵ Although interneurons derived from ganglionic eminences were also enriched in schizophrenia, stronger signals were observed for amvadala excitatory and hippocampal neurons.²⁵

A novel finding is that single-cell enrichment analysis of non-brain murine tissues identified significant enrichment in the enteroendocrine cells of the large intestine and delta cells of the pancreas. Conditional analyses suggest that this enrichment is independent of overlapping genes between these cell-types and those expressed in neurons. Stimulation of enteroendocrine cells by short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) promotes serotonin production in the colon which leads to enhanced levels of serotonin in systemic circulation and in the brain, and is a proposed mechanism by which microbiota influence the gut-brain axis.^{38,39} Notably, lithium treatment is shown to upregulate SCFA-producing bacteria highlighting a potential mechanism of action.⁴⁰

We mapped genes to the 80 putatively causal SNPs identified from fine-mapping based on seven complementary approaches and identified a subset of 36 credible genes implicated by at least three of these approaches. The top credible gene, identified by six gene-mapping approaches, was *SP4*, which has also been implicated in schizophrenia through both rare²⁸ and common variation.41 Moreover, we clustered the credible genes based on similar patterns of temporal variation in expression over the lifespan and identified two clusters. The first cluster shows reduced gene expression pre-birth, with gene expression peaking at birth and remaining stable over the life-course, while the second cluster shows a peak in gene expression during fetal development aligning with the neurodevelopmental hypothesis of mental disorders.⁴² Genes prioritised through fine-mapping were shown to be enriched for ultra rare damaging missense and protein-truncating variation in the BipEx⁴ and SCHEMA²⁸ datasets, respectively, highlighting convergence of common and rare variant signals as recently shown in schizophrenia.⁴¹

We identified differences in the genetic architecture of BD subtypes related to ascertainment. BD within Clinical and Community samples was highly but imperfectly correlated, with varying correlations with Self-reported BD. The low genetic correlation and minimal genetic overlap between cases ascertained through clinical studies and cases with self-reported BD is driven by

a greater proportion of BDI within the Clinical and Community samples. In line with these results, PRS derived from meta-analyses excluding the self-reported data performed better in Clinical and BDI target samples, while the inclusion of self-reported data improved the PRS in Community and BDII target samples. Moreover, the pattern of correlations between BD and other psychiatric disorders differed with the inclusion of self-reported data. Schizophrenia had the highest genetic correlation with BD without the inclusion of the self-reported data, while major depressive disorder was most strongly correlated with BD after the inclusion of the selfreported data. These results suggest that the Self-reported samples may include a high proportion of people with BDII. Moreover, this is in line with recent findings in individuals diagnosed with BDII, which showed increasing polygenic scores for depression and ADHD and decreasing polygenic scores for BD over time.⁴³ However, a diagnosis of BD in the outpatient setting may be overdiagnosed in people with conditions such as chronic depression or borderline personality disorder, highlighting a higher rate of comorbid disorders and potential for 'overdiagnosis' of BD within cohorts of this nature.^{44,45} We showed that the differences in genetic architecture and phenotypic proportions of the Clinical, Community and Self-reported BD cohorts impacted the replication of prior BD-associated loci. Previously associated loci that fell short of meeting GWS in the current study were GWS in the Clinical samples and in the meta-analyses that excluded Self-reported data, and all top SNPs (12,151 SNPs with p < 1 × 10−5) from the previous GWAS were consistent in direction of association in this multiancestry meta-analysis of all samples (Supplementary Table 9).

Investigation of the novel ancestral-specific association in the EAS ancestry meta-analysis in the GWAS catalog⁴⁶ highlights overlaps with genome-wide significant loci for reduced sleep duration,⁴⁷ and lower educational attainment,⁴⁸ as well as a suggestive locus (p < 2×10^{-6}) for the interaction between cognitive function and MDD.⁴⁹ These findings suggest a role for this genomic region in complex brain-related phenotypes.

The multi-ancestry PRS provided the greatest improvement over the EUR-PRS in two of the three EAS ancestry target cohorts (Korean and Taiwanese). More subtle improvements were seen when the EUR target cohorts were analysed. Multi-ancestry training data provided little improvement in the AFR target cohort, which may be due to the genetic heterogeneity of this target cohort.⁵⁰ These results highlight the benefits of multi-ancestry representation in the PRS training data, in line with findings from other diseases.⁵¹ The predictive power of this BD PRS shows a substantial improvement compared to previous findings³ however, this BD PRS alone still falls short of clinical utility.⁵² The meta-analysis excluding self-reported data produced results with the most explanatory power; this is likely due to increased phenotypic heterogeneity when the self-reported data are included.

One limitation is the lack of in-sample LD estimates for all cohorts, due to a lack of in-house raw genotype data for some cohorts. For instance, analysis of the MHC/C4 locus was not considered since the number of samples for which individual-level genotype data were

accessible did not increase much since the previous analysis 3 . We used a EUR LD reference panel to analyse the multi-ancestry meta-analyses⁵³ where LD patterns and interindividual heterogeneity within the ancestry groups are not fully captured. Another limitation is the inclusion of samples with minimal phenotyping. Although this allowed us to achieve large sample sizes, especially in under-represented non-European ancestry cohorts, and greatly increase the number of loci identified, minimally-phenotyped samples have some shortcomings. For example, minimal phenotyping may result in low specificity association signals, as shown in major depression,^{54,55} and individuals in community-based biobanks may represent those less severely affected, as shown in schizophrenia.⁵⁶

In conclusion, in this first large-scale multi-ancestry GWAS of BD, we identified 298 significant BD-associated loci, from which we demonstrate convergence of common variant associations with rare variant signals and highlight 36 genes credibly implicated in the pathobiology of the disorder. We identified differences in the genetic architecture of BD based on ascertainment and subtype, suggesting that stratification by subtype will be important in BD genetics moving forward. Several analyses implicate specific cell types in BD pathophysiology, including GABAergic interneurons and medium spiny neurons, as well as the enteroendocrine cells of the large intestine and delta cells of the pancreas. Enrichment of dopamine- and calcium-related biological processes were also identified, further contributing to our understanding of the biological aetiology of BD.

Methods

Sample description

Details of each of the cohorts, including sample size, ancestry, inclusion/exclusion criteria for cases and controls as well as citations, are provided in Supplementary Table 1 and the Supplementary Note. We included three types of samples: 1) samples where participants were assessed using semi-structured or structured interviews (Clinical), 2) samples where participants were assessed using medical records, registries and questionnaire data (Community) and 3) samples where participants self-report a diagnosis of bipolar disorder (Selfreport). The Clinical samples included 55 cohorts, 46 of which were included in previous PGC-BD GWAS publications^{3,10,11}. The Community samples included 20 cohorts, 11 of which were included in the previous PGC-BD GWAS³. Finally, we included four Self-report cohorts from 23andMe, Inc, in which individuals were classified as cases if they self-reported having received a clinical diagnosis or treatment for bipolar disorder in responses to web-based surveys ("Have you ever been diagnosed with, or treated for, bipolar disorder?").

Individual-level genotype and phenotype data were shared with the PGC for 53 'internal' cohorts, while the remaining 26 'external' cohorts contributed summary statistics data.

The final multi-ancestry meta-analysis included up to 158,036 cases and 2,796,499 controls. The total effective n (N_{eff}) , equivalent to an equal number of cases and controls in each cohort $(4\Box \times \Box n \text{cases} \Box \times \Box n \text{controls}/(n \text{cases} \Box + \Box n \text{controls})$ is 535,720 with 82.3% of participants (proportion of N_{eff}) of EUR ancestry, 4.4% of AFR ancestry, 4.2% of EAS ancestry and 9.1% of LAT ancestry.

The majority of new cohorts included in this study were external community cohorts where subtype definitions were more difficult to determine, and as such the total number of BDI and BDII subtype cases does not differ remarkably from the previous PGC BD GWAS 3 (Supplementary Table 1). Thus, the previous BDI (25,060 cases and 449,978 controls) and BDII (6,781 cases and 364,075 controls) GWAS summary statistics data were used for BDI and BDII analyses in this study.

Genotyping, quality control and imputation

Technical quality control was performed separately on each cohort for which individual-level data were provided separately according to standards developed by the $PGC⁵⁷$ including; SNP missingness \Box < \Box 0.05 (before sample removal), subject missingness \Box < \Box 0.02, autosomal heterozygosity deviation (Fhet \Box < \Box 0.2), SNP missingness \Box < \Box 0.02 (after sample removal), difference in SNP missingness between cases and controls \Box < \Box 0.02, SNP Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P□>□1□×□10⁻¹⁰ in BD cases and P□>□1□×□10⁻⁶ in controls), and mismatches

between pedigree and genetically-determined sex based on the F statistic of X chromosome homozygosity (female $F < 0.2$ and male $F > 0.8$). In addition, relatedness was calculated across cohorts using identity by descent and one of each pair of related individuals (pi -hat \Box > \Box 0.2) was excluded, prioritising exclusion of individuals related to the most others, controls over cases, and individuals from larger cohorts. Principal components (PCs) were generated using genotyped SNPs in each cohort separately using EIGENSTRAT v6.1.4⁵⁸. Genotype imputation was performed using the prephasing/imputation stepwise approach implemented in Eagle v2.3.5⁵⁹ and Minimac3⁶⁰ to the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panel v1.0⁶¹. Data on the X chromosome were also available for all 53 internal cohorts and these were imputed to the HRC reference panel in males and females separately. The remaining 22 external cohorts were processed by the contributing collaborative teams using comparable procedures. Identical individuals between PGC processed cohorts and external cohorts with suspected sample overlap were detected using genotype-based checksums (https://personal.broadinstitute.org/sripke/share_links/zpXkV8INxUg9bayDpLToG4g58TMtjN_P GC_SCZ_w3.0718d.76) and removed from the PGC cohorts.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

For internal cohorts, GWASs were conducted within each cohort using an additive logistic regression model in PLINK $v1.90^{\circ2}$, covarying for the first five PCs and any others as required, as previously described³. Analyses of the X chromosome were performed in males and females

separately, with males scored 0 or 2 and females scored 0, 1 or 2. X chromosome analyses were performed only in individuals of EUR ancestry for which individual level data were available. For external cohorts, GWASs were conducted by the collaborating research teams using comparable procedures. To control test statistic inflation at SNPs with low minor allele frequency (MAF) in small cohorts, SNPs were retained only if cohort MAF was >1% and minor allele count was >10 in either cases or controls (whichever had smaller n).

Initially, meta-analysis of GWAS summary statistics was conducted using inverse-varianceweighted fixed-effects models in METAL (version 2011-03-25)⁶³ across cohorts within ancestral groups. A GWS locus was defined as the region around a SNP with $P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ with linkage disequilibrium (LD) r2 > 0.1, within a 3000 kb window, based on the LD structure of the ancestry matched HRC reference panel v1.0 61 , except LAT (EUR panel used). Multi-ancestry metaanalysis was similarly performed by combining cohorts with diverse ancestry using inversevariance-weighted fixed-effects models in METAL⁶³. Given that >80% of the included participants were of EUR ancestry, the LD structure of the EUR HRC reference panel was used to define GWS loci.

For all meta-analyses, SNPs present in $\lt 75\%$ of total effective sample size (N_{eff}) were removed from the meta-analysis results. In addition, we employed the DENTIST tool for summary databased analyses, which leverages LD from a reference sample (ancestry matched HRC reference panel v1.0 61 , except LAT and multi-ancestry for which the EUR panel was used) to detect and filter out problematic variants by testing the difference between the observed z-score of a variant and a predicted z-score from the neighbouring variants 64 .

To identify independent association signals (P□<□5□×□10⁻⁸), the GCTA forward selection and backward elimination process (command 'cojo-slct') was applied using the summary statistics from the EAS, EUR and multi-ancestry meta-analysis (both including and excluding the selfreport data), with the EAS and EUR HRC reference panels, respectively^{65,66}.

The genetic correlation between meta-analyses based on all new cohorts (118,284 cases and 2,448,096 controls) and EUR cohorts from our previous PGC BD GWAS³ was r_g = 0.64 (se = 0.02), and r_g = 0.91 (se = 0.04) when excluding self-reported cohorts. Concordance of the direction of associations in the present GWAS with associations in the previously published BD data were evaluated as described previously. 67

Heritability and Genetic Correlation

LDSC¹³ was used to estimate the SNP-heritability (h²_{SNP}) of BD from EUR GWAS summary statistics, including all cohorts as well as sub-groups by ascertainment and BD subtype. Popcorn was used to estimate h^2_{SNP} of BD from non-EUR GWAS summary statistics.⁶⁸ h^2_{SNP} was converted to the liability scale using a lifetime BD prevalence of 2%. LDSC bivariate genetic correlations (*rg*) were also estimated between EUR BD GWASs (with and without self-report

data) and eleven other psychiatric disorders as well, as 1390 human diseases and traits via the Complex Traits Genetics Virtual Lab (CTG-VL; https://vl.genoma.io) web platform.²⁰ Adjusting for the number of traits tested, the Bonferroni corrected p-value was P < 3.569×10^{-5} . Crossancestry bivariate genetic correlations were estimated using Popcorn.⁶⁸ Differences in r_a between phenotype pairs were tested as a deviation from 0 using the block jackknife approach implemented in LDSC.⁶⁹

The results of the Clinical and Community cohort meta-analyses were conditioned on genetic risks for BDI and BDII, to account for differences in proportion of the BD subtypes within these cohorts. Conditioning was conducted using multitrait-based conditional and joint analysis using GWAS summary data (mtCOJO), 70 implemented in GCTA. 65 mtCOJO is robust to sample overlap between the GWASs of the exposure and outcome. The conditioned summary statistics were evaluated for genetic correlation with SElf-reported BD using LDSC.

MiXeR

We applied causal mixture models (MiXeR)^{15,16,71} to investigate the genetic architecture of BD, specifically the overlap between Clinical, Community and Self-report samples, as well as BD subtypes. We first computed univariate analyses to estimate the polygenicity, discoverability and heritability of each trait. These were followed by bivariate analyses to compute the number of shared trait-influencing variants between pairs of traits, and finally trivariate analyses to compute the proportion of shared variants between all three traits analysed. We also determined the correlation of effect sizes of SNPs within the bivariate shared components.

Polygenic prediction of bipolar disorder

We used PRS-CS-auto²¹ to compute polygenic risk scores in target cohorts, using a discovery GWAS where the target cohort was left out. Given that the majority of the individuals included in the meta-analysis were of EUR descent, we used the EUR LD reference panel based on UK BioBank data as provided by PRS-CS developers (https://github.com/getian107/PRScs). Raw scores were standardised to Z scores, and covariates including sex, the first five PCs and any others as required (as above for each cohort GWAS) were included in the logistic regression model, via the glm() function in R, with family=binomial and link=logit. The variance explained by PRS (R^2) was first converted to Nagelkerke's pseudo- R^2 via the fmsb package in R, then converted to the liability scale to account for proportion of cases in each cohort and the population prevalence of BD.⁷² We provide R² values for BD assuming a population prevalence of 2%, based upon a recent multinational survey.¹⁴ The weighted average R^2 values were then calculated using the N_{eff} for each cohort. PRS-specific-medians and their confidence intervals were computed using nonparametric bootstrap replicates (10,000 resamples with replacement). The odds ratios for BD for individuals in the top quintile of PRS compared with those in the middle quintile were calculated for all cohorts. Similarly, the area under the curve (AUC) statistic was calculated via the pROC package in R^{73} , for which we performed a training and testing

procedure by taking 80% of the individuals in a given cohort on which to train the model, and tested the predictability in the remaining 20% of individuals. Ten random samplings of training and testing sets were performed in all cohorts, and the median AUC after all permutations is provided Supplementary Tables 16-22. The median confidence intervals for the AUC were similarly averaged across the ten random permutations. These AUC statistics were calculated based on the logistic regression model that includes the standardised PRS as a predictor and PC covariates. In order to assess the gain in AUC due to the PRS itself, we subtracted the median AUC of the model containing only the covariates from the full model, reported in Supplementary Tables 16-22 as AUC.

Gene-Level and gene-set association analysis

Gene-level, gene-set and tissue-set associations were performed using a SNP-wise mean model ($±10$ kb window) implemented in MAGMA 22 . Bonferroni correction was used to control for multiple testing. In addition, we performed gene-set analysis with $GSA-MiXeR¹⁹$, which quantifies partitioned heritability attributed to N=10,475 gene-sets from the GO^{74} and SynGO³⁴ databases, alongside their fold enrichment with respect to a baseline model. The GSA-MiXeR full model incorporates 18,201 protein-coding genes, using a joint model to estimate heritability attributed to each gene based on GWAS summary statistics and HRC⁵⁹ reference panel to account for LD between variants. GSA-MiXeR's baseline model accounts for a set of 75 functional annotations⁷⁵, as well as accounting for MAF- and LD-dependent genetic architecture. GSA-MiXeR's heritability model is estimated using Adam (method for stochastic gradient-based optimization of the likelihood function)⁷⁶. Standard errors of fitted parameters were estimated from the observed Fisher's information matrix (the negative Hessian matrix of the log-likelihood function).

Identified credible genes were further assessed for enrichment in synaptic processes using the SynGO tool (https://www.syngoportal.org/) with default settings ³⁴.

Cell type specific enrichment analyses

Single-cell enrichment analyses of brain cell types were performed according to Mullins et al. (2021). Briefly, from five publicly available single-cell RNA sequencing datasets derived from human $77,78$ and murine $79-81$ brain tissues, the 10% of genes with highest gene expression specificity per cell type were extracted. After MAGMA²² gene analysis of the multi-ancestry GWAS summary statistics including self-report data using an annotation window of 35 kb upstream and 10 kb downstream of the gene boundaries and the 1000 Genomes phase 3 EUR reference panel, MAGMA gene-set analyses were conducted for all cell types in each dataset, respectively. Within each dataset, FDR-adjusted p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

In addition, we performed an exploratory single-cell enrichment analysis in 914 cell types across 29 non-brain murine tissues as implemented in $FUMA^{82}$. Cell types with FDR -adjusted p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Moreover, to determine that identified

enrichment was not due to overlapping genes with neuronal cell-types we performed crossdataset conditional analyses of significantly enriched cell-types with murine brain tissue.

Single-nucleus RNA-seq enrichment analyses

We used the Human Brain Atlas single-nucleus RNA-seq (snRNAseq) dataset²³ consisting of 3.369 million nuclei sequenced using snRNAseq. The nuclei were from adult postmortem donors, and the dissections focused on 106 anatomical locations within 10 brain regions. Following quality control, the nuclear gene expression patterns allowed the identification of a hierarchy of cell types that were organized into 31 superclusters and 461 clusters. In the current paper we use the same naming system for the cell types and the brain regions as in Siletti et $al²³$. We estimated SNP-heritability enrichment for the top decile of expression proportion (TDEP) genes (~1,300 genes) in each of the 31 superclusters and 461 clusters, respectively, using stratified LD score regression $(S\text{-LDSC})^{24}$ as described previously.²⁵ We used FDR correction (FDR < 0.05) to account for multiple comparisons.

Fine-mapping

We performed functional fine-mapping of GWS loci via Polyfun-SuSi E^{26} , using functional annotations of the baseline-LF2.2 UKB model and LD estimates from the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) EUR ($N = 21,265$) reference panel. The maximum number of causal variants per fine-mapped region was adjusted accordingly based on the results from the conditional analysis. We excluded loci that fall within the MHC locus (6:28000000-34000000, build GRCh37) due to the known complexity of the LD architecture in that region. GWS loci ranges with a LD r2 above 0.1 were used as fine-mapping ranges. Putatively causal SNPs (PIP > 0.50 and part of 95% credible set) were mapped to genes by performing variant annotation with Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (GRCh37) Ensembl release 75²⁷.

Convergence of common and rare variant signal

Data from the Bipolar Exome (BipEx) consortium⁴ (13,933 BD cases and 14,422 controls) were used to assess the convergence of common and rare variant signals, using a similar approach as previously used for schizophrenia⁴¹. This dataset includes approximately 8,2 k individuals with BDI and 3,4 k individuals with BDII, while the remainder of the sample lack BD sub-type information. Ultra rare variants (<=5 minor allele count) for damaging missense (missense badness, PolyPhen-2 and regional constraint (MPC) score >3) and protein-truncating variants (including: transcript ablation, splice acceptor variants, splice donor variants, stop gained and frameshift variants) were considered. An enrichment of rare variants in genes prioritised through fine-mapping in cases relative to controls were assessed using a Fisher's Exact Test. Given the genetic overlap between bipolar disorder and schizophrenia, we repeated the analysis in data from the Schizophrenia Exome Meta-analysis (SCHEMA) cohort (24,248 schizophrenia cases

and 97,322 controls)²⁸. Using the same approach as taken in the SCHEMA²⁸ and BipEx⁴ papers, background genes included all genes surveyed in each sequencing study, respectively.

QTL integrative analysis

We conducted different QTL integration analyses to elucidate molecular mechanisms by which variants associated with BD might be linked to the phenotype. Summary data-based Mendelian randomization (SMR) ($v1.3$)⁸³ with subsequent heterogeneity in dependent instruments $(HEIDI)⁷⁰$ tests were performed for expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs), splicing quantitative trait loci (sQTLs), and methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs). Data on eQTLs and sQTLs were obtained from the BrainMeta study v2 (n = 2865),⁸⁴ while data on methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) were obtained from the Brain-mMeta study v1 (n = 1160).⁸⁵ Putatively causal SNPs identified from fine-mapping, as outlined above, were used as the QTL instruments for the SMR analyses. Using the BD GWAS and QTL summary statistics, each putative causal SNP was analysed as the target SNP for probes within a 2 Mb window on either side using the --extract-target-snp-probe option in SMR. The EUR HRC LD reference panel was used for the analyses of the multi-ancestry meta-analysis. A Bonferroni correction was applied for 2021 tests, i.e. SNP-QTL probe combinations, in the eQTL analysis (P_{SMR} < 2.47 \times 10⁻⁵), 6755 tests in the sQTL analysis (P_{SNR} < 7.40 \times 10⁻⁶) and 2222 tests in the mQTL analysis (P_{SMR} < 2.25 ×□10⁻⁵). The significance threshold for the HEIDI test (heterogeneity in dependent

instruments) was $P_{HEID} \geq 0.01$. Additional eQTL integration analyses were conducted using TWAS, FOCUS and isoTWAS. Details related to these analyses are provided in the Supplementary Note.

Enhancer-promoter gene interactions

To investigate enhancer-promoter (E-P) interactions influenced by BD GWAS variants, we utilized cell-type-specific E-P maps from a multi-omics dataset, which included joint snATACseq & snRNA-seq and cell-specific Hi-C data from developing brains. We employed the activityby-contact (ABC) model^{32,33} for this analysis. Following the authors' guidelines, we excluded E-P interactions that (i) had an ABC score below 0.015, (ii) involved ubiquitously expressed genes or genes on the Y chromosome, or (iii) included genes not expressed in major brain cell types. Focusing on the BD GWAS, we selected only those E-P links that overlapped genome-wide significant SNPs (with peaks extended by 100 bp on both sides to increase overlap) or their LD buddies ($R2 \ge 0.8$). This selection process yielded 11,023 E-P links. We then overlapped these putative disease-relevant variants with enhancer-promoter (E-P) links to prioritize causal genes.

To avoid multiple associations for a single variant, we applied the ABC-Max approach,³³ retaining only the E-P links with the highest ABC score for each peak.

Credible gene identification

We provide a set of credible genes by integrating information from various gene-mapping strategies, using a similar approach previously described (Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Table 31).⁸⁶ First, genes identified through fine-mapping, and QTL (eQTL, mQTL and sQTL) analyses using SMR and proximity (nearest gene within 10 kb) to finemapped putatively causal SNPs were included. The identified set of 116 genes were then further assessed based on gene-level associations (MAGMA), 22 additional integrative eQTL analyses^{30,31} and enhancer-promoter gene interactions.^{32,33} The criteria for filtering genes from the different eQTL methods were: (i) SMR adjusted p-value less than 0.05 and HEIDI test pvalue greater than 0.01, (ii) TWAS adjusted p-values less than 0.05 and colocalization probability (COLOC.PP4) greater than 0.7, (iii) FOCUS posterior inclusion probability greater than 0.7 and within a credible set, (iv) isoTWAS permutation p-value less than 0.05, isoTWAS poster inclusion probability greater than 0.7 and within a credible set (Supplementary Figure 9). Genes annotated by at least one of these eQTL approaches were confirmed as having eQTL evidence (Supplementary Table 31). Thus, seven approaches were considered by which loci could be mapped to genes including, eQTL evidence (eQTL or TWAS or FOCUS or isoTWAS), mQTL, sQTL, VEP, proximity, MAGMA and E-P interactions.

Clustering of credible genes by temporal variation

Lifespan gene expression from the Human Brain Transcriptome project (www.hbatlas.org)³⁵ was used to cluster the list of credible genes based on their temporal variation. The gene expression and associated metadata were acquired from the gene expression omnibus (GEO; accession: GSE25219). The data consists of 57 donors aged 5.7 weeks post conception to 82 years old with samples extracted across regions of the brain. Prior to filtering gene expression for the list of credible genes, gene symbols of both credible genes and the gene expression dataset were harmonized using the "limma" package in R which updates any synonymous gene symbols to the latest Entrez symbol. Gene expression was available for 33 of the 36 credible genes. Within a given brain region, each gene's expression was then mean-centered and scaled. Outliers in gene expression more than 4 standard deviations from the mean were removed. To generate a single gene expression profile for each gene across the lifespan, at a given age, the mean gene expression for a given gene was taken across brain regions, and in some cases across donors. This resulted in a matrix where each gene had a single expression value for each age across the lifespan. This gene-expression by age matrix was then used to cluster the credible genes by the lifespan expression profiles using the R package "TMixClust". This method uses mixedeffects models with nonparametric smoothing splines to capture and cluster non-linear variation in temporal gene expression. We tested K=2 to K=10 clusters performing 50 clustering runs to analyse stability. The clustering solution with the highest likelihood (i.e., the global optimum

using an expectation maximization technique) is selected as the most stable solution across the 50 runs for each of the trials testing 2-10 clusters. We compare the average silhouette width across the K=2 to K=10 clusters and select that with the maximum value as the optimal number of clusters.

Drug enrichment analyses

Gene-set analyses were performed restricted to genes targeted by drugs, assessing individual drugs and grouping drugs with similar actions as described previously $3,36$. Gene-level and geneset analyses of the multi-ancestry GWAS summary statistics including self-report data were performed in MAGMA v1.10 22 , as outlined above for cell type specific enrichment. Gene sets were defined comprising the targets of each drug in the Drug Gene Interaction database DGIdb v.5.0.6 37 ; the Psychoactive Drug Screening Database Ki DB 87 ; ChEMBL v27 ⁸⁸; the Target Central Resource Database v6.7.0⁸⁹; and DSigDB v1.0⁹⁰; all downloaded in October 2020. Multiple testing was controlled using a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of P□<□5.41□×□10⁻⁵ (924 drug-sets with at least ten valid drug gene sets) for drug-

set analysis and P□<□5.49□×□10⁻⁴ (91 drug classes) for drug-class analysis, respectively.

We also assessed whether any of the 36 credible genes were classified as druggable in the OpenTargets platform.

In addition, gene-set analyses were also performed to test the enrichment of drug-gene interactions on only credible genes as described above. Moreover, we investigated if any lithium target genes, as well as their interaction partners, were among the 36 credible genes using the latest version of the human protein interactome 91 . We calculated the network-based separation (S_{AB}) between credible genes and lithium target genes, where a significant overlapping network neighbourhood would be indicative of functional similarity⁹².

Data availability

Genome-wide association summary statistics for these analyses will be made available upon publication at https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/download-results/. The full GWAS summary statistics for the 23andMe datasets will be made available through 23andMe to qualified researchers under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe participants. Please visit https://research.23andme.com/collaborate/#dataset-access for more information and to apply to access the data. After applying with 23andMe, the full summary statistics including all analysed SNPs and samples in the GWAS meta-analyses will be accessible to the approved researchers. Genotype data are available for a subset of cohorts, including dbGAP accession numbers and/or restrictions, as described in the 'Cohort descriptions' section of the supplementary materials.

Code availability

Core analysis code for RICOPILI can be found at https://sites.google.com/a/broadinstitute.org/ricopili/. This wraps PLINK (https://www.coggenomics.org/plink2/), EIGENSOFT (https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/alkes-price/software/), Eagle2 (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/Eagle/), Minimac3 (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac3), SHAPEIT3 (https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/genetics_software/shapeit/shapeit.html), METAL (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL_Documentation) and LDSR (https://github.com/bulik/ldsc). For downstream analyses, MiXeR can be found at https://github.com/precimed/mixer, PRS-cs is at https://github.com/getian107/PRScs and GSA-MiXeR is available at https://github.com/precimed/gsa-mixer. MAGMA can be found at https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma. PolyFun+SuSiE (https://github.com/omerwe/polyfun), TWAS (http://gusevlab.org/projects/fusion/), isoTWAS (https://github.com/bhattacharya-a-bt/isotwas) and SMR (https://github.com/XudongHan-bio/SMR) are also freely available.

References

- 1. Carvalho, A. F., Firth, J. & Vieta, E. Bipolar Disorder. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **383**, 58–66 (2020).
- 2. Lichtenstein, P. *et al.* Common genetic determinants of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in Swedish families: a population-based study. *Lancet* **373**, 234–239 (2009).
- 3. Mullins, N. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 817–829 (2021).
- 4. Palmer, D. S. *et al.* Exome sequencing in bipolar disorder identifies AKAP11 as a risk gene shared with schizophrenia. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 541–547 (2022).
- 5. McIntyre, R. S. *et al.* Bipolar disorders. *Lancet* **396**, 1841–1856 (2020).
- 6. Aghababaie-Babaki, P. *et al.* Global, regional, and national burden and quality of care index (QCI) of bipolar disorder: A systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 1990 to 2019. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* **69**, 1958–1970 (2023).
- 7. Geddes, J. R. & Miklowitz, D. J. Treatment of bipolar disorder. *Lancet* **381**, 1672–1682 (2013).
- 8. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®)*. (American Psychiatric Pub, 2013).
- 9. Reed, G. M. *et al.* Innovations and changes in the ICD-11 classification of mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders. *World Psychiatry* **18**, 3–19 (2019).
- 10. Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group. Large-scale genome-wide association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 977–983 (2011).
- 11. Stahl, E. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 793–803 (2019).
- 12. Ching, C. R. K. *et al.* What we learn about bipolar disorder from large-scale neuroimaging: Findings and future directions from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **43**, 56–82 (2022).
- 13. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
- 14. McGrath, J. J. *et al.* Age of onset and cumulative risk of mental disorders: a cross-national analysis of population surveys from 29 countries. *Lancet Psychiatry* **10**, 668–681 (2023).
- 15. Holland, D. *et al.* Beyond SNP heritability: Polygenicity and discoverability of phenotypes estimated with a univariate Gaussian mixture model. *PLoS Genet.* **16**, e1008612 (2020).
- 16. Frei, O. *et al.* Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2417 (2019).
- 17. Merikangas, K. R. *et al.* Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **68**, 241–251 (2011).
- 18. Ikeda, M. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies two novel susceptibility loci and trans population polygenicity associated with bipolar disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 639–647 (2018).
- 19. Frei, O. *et al.* Improved functional mapping of complex trait heritability with GSA-MiXeR

implicates biologically specific gene sets. *Nat. Genet.* 1–9 (2024).

- 20. Cuéllar-Partida, G. *et al.* Complex-Traits Genetics Virtual Lab: A community-driven web platform for post-GWAS analyses. *bioRxiv* 518027 (2019) doi:10.1101/518027.
- 21. Ge, T., Chen, C.-Y., Ni, Y., Feng, Y.-C. A. & Smoller, J. W. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1776 (2019).
- 22. de Leeuw, C. A., Mooij, J. M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004219 (2015).
- 23. Siletti, K. *et al.* Transcriptomic diversity of cell types across the adult human brain. *Science* **382**, eadd7046 (2023).
- 24. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1228–1235 (2015).
- 25. Yao, S. *et al.* Connecting genomic results for psychiatric disorders to human brain cell types and regions reveals convergence with functional connectivity. *medRxiv* 2024.01.18.24301478 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.01.18.24301478.
- 26. Weissbrod, O. *et al.* Functionally informed fine-mapping and polygenic localization of complex trait heritability. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1355–1363 (2020).
- 27. McLaren, W. *et al.* The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol.* **17**, 122 (2016).
- 28. Singh, T. *et al.* Rare coding variants in ten genes confer substantial risk for schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 509–516 (2022).
- 29. Olfson, E. *et al.* Ultra-rare de novo damaging coding variants are enriched in attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and identify risk genes. *medRxiv* 2023.05.19.23290241 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.05.19.23290241.
- 30. Gusev, A. *et al.* Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 245–252 (2016).
- 31. Bhattacharya, A. *et al.* Isoform-level transcriptome-wide association uncovers genetic risk mechanisms for neuropsychiatric disorders in the human brain. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 2117–2128

(2023).

- 32. Fulco, C. P. *et al.* Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter regulation from thousands of CRISPR perturbations. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1664–1669 (2019).
- 33. Nasser, J. *et al.* Genome-wide enhancer maps link risk variants to disease genes. *Nature* **593**, 238–243 (2021).
- 34. Koopmans, F. *et al.* SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the Synapse. *Neuron* **103**, 217–234.e4 (2019).
- 35. Kang, H. J. *et al.* Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* **478**, 483–489 (2011).
- 36. Gaspar, H. A. & Breen, G. Drug enrichment and discovery from schizophrenia genomewide association results: an analysis and visualisation approach. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 12460 (2017).
- 37. Cannon, M. *et al.* DGIdb 5.0: rebuilding the drug-gene interaction database for precision medicine and drug discovery platforms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, D1227–D1235 (2024).
- 38. Reigstad, C. S. *et al.* Gut microbes promote colonic serotonin production through an effect of short-chain fatty acids on enterochromaffin cells. *The FASEB Journal* **29**, 1395–1403 (2015).
- 39. Ortega, M. A. *et al.* Microbiota–gut–brain axis mechanisms in the complex network of bipolar disorders: potential clinical implications and translational opportunities. *Mol. Psychiatry* **28**, 2645–2673 (2023).
- 40. Lithium carbonate alleviates colon inflammation through modulating gut microbiota and Treg cells in a GPR43-dependent manner. *Pharmacol. Res.* **175**, 105992 (2022).
- 41. Trubetskoy, V. *et al.* Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 502–508 (2022).
- 42. Neurodevelopmental pathways in bipolar disorder. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **112**, 213–226 (2020).
- 43. Jonsson, L., Song, J., Joas, E., Pålsson, E. & Landén, M. Polygenic scores for psychiatric

35

disorders associate with year of first bipolar disorder diagnosis: A register-based study between 1972 and 2016. *Psychiatry Res.* **339**, 116081 (2024).

- 44. Zimmerman, M., Ruggero, C. J., Chelminski, I. & Young, D. Is bipolar disorder overdiagnosed? *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **69**, 935–940 (2008).
- 45. Zimmerman, M., Ruggero, C. J., Chelminski, I. & Young, D. Psychiatric diagnoses in patients previously overdiagnosed with bipolar disorder. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **71**, 26–31 (2010).
- 46. Sollis, E. *et al.* The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D977–D985 (2023).
- 47. Li, X. & Zhao, H. Automated feature extraction from population wearable device data identified novel loci associated with sleep and circadian rhythms. *PLoS Genet.* **16**, e1009089 (2020).
- 48. Kichaev, G. *et al.* Leveraging Polygenic Functional Enrichment to Improve GWAS Power. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **104**, 65–75 (2019).
- 49. Thalamuthu, A. *et al.* Genome-wide interaction study with major depression identifies novel variants associated with cognitive function. *Mol. Psychiatry* **27**, 1111–1119 (2022).
- 50. Bryc, K., Durand, E. Y., Macpherson, J. M., Reich, D. & Mountain, J. L. The genetic ancestry of African Americans, Latinos, and European Americans across the United States. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **96**, 37–53 (2015).
- 51. Duncan, L. *et al.* Analysis of polygenic risk score usage and performance in diverse human populations. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3328 (2019).
- 52. Lewis, C. M. & Vassos, E. Polygenic risk scores: from research tools to clinical instruments. *Genome Med.* **12**, 44 (2020).
- 53. Yengo, L. *et al.* A saturated map of common genetic variants associated with human height. *Nature* **610**, 704–712 (2022).
- 54. Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the

36

genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 668–681 (2018).

- 55. Cai, N. *et al.* Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low specificity for major depression. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 437–447 (2020).
- 56. Legge, S. E. *et al.* Genetic and Phenotypic Features of Schizophrenia in the UK Biobank. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2024) doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.0200.
- 57. Lam, M. *et al.* RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias PIpeLIne. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 930–933 (2020).
- 58. Price, A. L. *et al.* Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* vol. 38 904–909 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1847 (2006).
- 59. Loh, P.-R. *et al.* Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1443–1448 (2016).
- 60. Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1284–1287 (2016).
- 61. McCarthy, S. *et al.* A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1279–1283 (2016).
- 62. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
- 63. Tian, B., Deng, Y., Cai, Y., Han, M. & Xu, G. Efficacy and safety of combination therapy with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and renin-angiotensin system blockers in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant* **37**, 720–729 (2022).
- 64. Chen, W. *et al.* Improved analyses of GWAS summary statistics by reducing data heterogeneity and errors. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 7117 (2021).
- 65. Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **88**, 76–82 (2011).

- 66. Yang, J. *et al.* Conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing complex traits. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 369–75, S1–3 (2012).
- 67. Demontis, D. *et al.* Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture and implicate several cognitive domains. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 198–208 (2023).
- 68. Brown, B. C., Asian Genetic Epidemiology Network Type 2 Diabetes Consortium, Ye, C. J., Price, A. L. & Zaitlen, N. Transethnic Genetic-Correlation Estimates from Summary Statistics. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **99**, 76–88 (2016).
- 69. Hübel, C. *et al.* Genomics of body fat percentage may contribute to sex bias in anorexia nervosa. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* **180**, 428–438 (2019).
- 70. Zhu, Z. *et al.* Causal associations between risk factors and common diseases inferred from GWAS summary data. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 224 (2018).
- 71. Shadrin, A. A. *et al.* Dissecting the genetic overlap between three complex phenotypes with trivariate MiXeR. *medRxiv* 2024.02.23.24303236 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.02.23.24303236.
- 72. Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E., Wray, N. R. & Visscher, P. M. A better coefficient of determination for genetic profile analysis. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **36**, 214–224 (2012).
- 73. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria*. (2021).
- 74. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 25–29 (2000).
- 75. Gazal, S. *et al.* Linkage disequilibrium-dependent architecture of human complex traits shows action of negative selection. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 1421–1427 (2017).
- 76. Kingma, D. P. & Ba, J. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. (2014) doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1412.6980.
- 77. Habib, N. *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 955–958 (2017).

- 78. Lake, B. B. *et al.* Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptional and epigenetic states in the human adult brain. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 70–80 (2017).
- 79. Skene, N. G. *et al.* Genetic identification of brain cell types underlying schizophrenia. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 825–833 (2018).
- 80. Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell* **174**, 999–1014.e22 (2018).
- 81. Website. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.028 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.028.
- 82. Watanabe, K., Umićević Mirkov, M., de Leeuw, C. A., van den Heuvel, M. P. & Posthuma, D. Genetic mapping of cell type specificity for complex traits. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3222 (2019).
- 83. Zhu, Z. *et al.* Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 481–487 (2016).
- 84. Qi, T. *et al.* Genetic control of RNA splicing and its distinct role in complex trait variation. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1355–1363 (2022).
- 85. Qi, T. *et al.* Identifying gene targets for brain-related traits using transcriptomic and methylomic data from blood. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–12 (2018).
- 86. Shrine, N. *et al.* Multi-ancestry genome-wide association analyses improve resolution of genes and pathways influencing lung function and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease risk. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 410–422 (2023).
- 87. Roth, B. L., Lopez, E., Patel, S. & Kroeze, W. K. The multiplicity of serotonin receptors: Uselessly diverse molecules or an embarrassment of riches? *Neuroscientist* **6**, 252–262 (2000).
- 88. Mendez, D. *et al.* ChEMBL: towards direct deposition of bioassay data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D930–D940 (2019).
- 89. Sheils, T. K. *et al.* TCRD and Pharos 2021: mining the human proteome for disease biology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D1334–D1346 (2021).
- 90. Yoo, M. *et al.* DSigDB: drug signatures database for gene set analysis. *Bioinformatics* **31**,

3069–3071 (2015).

- 91. Morselli Gysi, D. & Barabási, A.-L. Noncoding RNAs improve the predictive power of network medicine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **120**, e2301342120 (2023).
- 92. Menche, J. *et al.* Disease networks. Uncovering disease-disease relationships through the incomplete interactome. *Science* **347**, 1257601 (2015).