

1 **Title:** Facilitators and barriers to community pharmacy PrEP delivery: A scoping review.

2

3 **Authors:** China Harrison^{1,2,3,§}, Hannah Family,^{1,2} Joanna Kesten^{1,2}, Sarah Denford^{2,3}, Anne
4 Scott^{1,3}, Sarah Dawson³, Jenny Scott⁴, Caroline Sabin^{5,6}, Joanna Copping⁷, Lindsey
5 Harryman⁸, Sarah Cochrane⁹, Jeremy Horwood^{1,2,4}

6

7 ¹National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR ARC
8 West), 9th Floor, Whitefriars, Lewins Mead, Bristol BS1 2NT

9 ² National Institute for Health Research, Health Protection Research Unit (HPU) in
10 Behavioural Science and Evaluation. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School,
11 University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, BS8 2BN.

12 ³Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39
13 Whatley Road, Bristol, BS8 2PS, UK

14 ⁴Centre for Academic Primary Care (CAPC), Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol,
15 Canynge Hall, Whatley Road, Bristol BS8 2PS

16 ⁵Institute for Global Health, UCL, Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill Street, London, NW3
17 2PF UK, and

18 ⁶NIHR HPRU in Blood-Borne and Sexually Transmitted Infections at UCL, London, UK

19 ⁷Communities and Public Health, Bristol City Council, College Green Bristol BS1 5TR

20 ⁸Unity Sexual Health, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust

21 ⁹The Riverside Clinic, Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust

22

23 [§]Corresponding author: China Harrison china.harrison@bristol.ac.uk

24 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3671-7866>

25

26 **Key words:** PrEP, HIV, Community pharmacy, COM-B, barriers and facilitators, HIV
27 prevention

28

29 **Abstract**

30 **Introduction:** Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is an effective medication to reduce the risk
31 of acquiring HIV. PrEP is available free of charge in the United Kingdom from sexual health
32 clinics. Expanding PrEP delivery to community pharmacies holds promise and aligns with
33 UK government goals to eliminate new cases of HIV by 2030. The aim of this scoping
34 review was to describe the existing evidence about the barriers to and facilitators of
35 community pharmacy PrEP delivery, for pharmacists and pharmacy clients, as aligned with
36 the Capacity Opportunity, Motivation Behaviour (COM-B) Model.

37 **Methods:** Five bibliographic and five review databases were searched from inception to
38 August 2023. Literature of any study design was included if it discussed barriers and
39 facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery. Trial registrations, protocols and news
40 articles were excluded.

41 **Results:** A total of 649 records were identified, 73 full texts were reviewed, and 56 met the
42 inclusion criteria. Most of the included literature was original research (55%), from the
43 United States of America (77%) conducted during or after the year 2020 (63%). Barriers to
44 PrEP delivery for pharmacists included lack of knowledge, training and skills (capability),
45 not having the necessary facilities (opportunity), concern about the costs of PrEP and
46 believing that PrEP use could lead to risk behaviour's and STIs (motivation). Facilitators
47 included staff training (capability), time, the right facilities (opportunity), believing PrEP
48 could be a source of profit and could reduce new HIV infections (motivation). For clients,
49 barriers included lack of PrEP awareness (capability), pharmacy facilities (opportunity) and
50 not considering pharmacists as healthcare providers (motivation). Facilitators included
51 awareness of PrEP and pharmacist's training to deliver it (capability), the accessibility of
52 pharmacies (opportunity) and having an interest in PrEP (motivation).

53 **Conclusion:** To effectively enhance PrEP delivery in UK community pharmacies, the
54 identified barriers and facilitators should be explored for relevance in the UK and
55 subsequently addressed and leveraged at the pharmacy team, client and care pathway level.
56 By comprehensively considering all aspects of the COM-B framework, community
57 pharmacies could become crucial providers in expanding PrEP accessibility, contributing
58 significantly to HIV prevention efforts.

59

60 **Introduction**

61 The Human immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) epidemic remains a significant public health
62 concern worldwide and the United Kingdom (UK) is no exception. In 2021, it was estimated
63 that there were 105,200 people living with HIV in the UK, approximately 2,955 of whom had
64 been newly diagnosed in that year (1, 2).

65 In recent years, Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) has emerged as an effective preventative
66 biomedical intervention which reduces the risk of acquiring HIV by 92-99% (3-7). PrEP can
67 be taken orally daily or ‘on demand’ before and after sex. To initiate PrEP, eligible clients are
68 required to have baseline and regular health checks to ensure client safety. These include
69 kidney function tests and sexually transmitted infections (STI) screening (8). Whilst
70 internationally PrEP is available via pharmacies in some countries, in the UK it is only
71 available free of charge via National Health Service (NHS) sexual health clinics.

72 Uptake of PrEP has been slow in some regions and among some demographic groups.
73 Disproportionately low uptake has been observed among some populations at elevated risk of
74 acquiring HIV, such as trans individuals, cisgender women, young people (9) and people of
75 Black African or Caribbean origin (10, 11). Low uptake has been attributed to several barriers
76 in accessing PrEP, including the geographical proximity of PrEP providers, stigma, and lack
77 of awareness of PrEP (12) with 79% of people in need of PrEP, having had their need
78 identified during a clinical consultation. This highlights the need to raise awareness of PrEP
79 to support individuals’ treatment seeking autonomy (1, 12). Expanding PrEP delivery to
80 community pharmacies could be an effective way to reduce barriers to access, enhance
81 autonomy and increase the utilisation and coverage of this effective HIV prevention (2, 7).

82 Community pharmacies play a crucial role in delivering public health services and have
83 expanding roles in health promotion and prescribing (13, 14). Predominantly they are private
84 businesses where pharmacists and their teams use their expert knowledge to clinically screen
85 and dispense prescriptions, sell or supply over the counter medicines, give advice and deliver
86 locally commissioned healthcare services. Their accessibility, convenience, and customer
87 rapport make them well-suited to address urgent and preventative care needs (15, 16). PrEP
88 delivery could align well with existing service provision within pharmacies with pharmacy
89 teams routinely dispensing prescriptions for sexual and reproductive health medicines (e.g.
90 emergency hormonal contraception). Further, pharmacy-based interventions have
91 demonstrated success in improving medication adherence for various medications including

92 PrEP (17). For example, in the United States of America (USA), a pharmacy in Seattle
93 implemented the One Step PrEP program, enabling pharmacists to prescribe and manage
94 PrEP which resulted in a 90% PrEP adherence rate among attendees who had two or more
95 visits (18). While implementing community pharmacy PrEP delivery in the UK could greatly
96 benefit individuals at risk of HIV, community pharmacy PrEP delivery would require
97 training, support and a behavioural change by pharmacists and people (hereafter, clients)
98 attending their services.

99 The Capability Opportunity Motivation Behaviour (COM-B) model offers a valuable
100 framework to understand the barriers and facilitators associated with community pharmacy
101 PrEP delivery (19). According to the COM-B model, behaviour is a result of an interaction
102 between capability, opportunity and motivation. Capability refers to an individual's
103 psychological (knowledge) and physical (skills) ability to participate in an activity.
104 Opportunity refers to external factors that can be social (societal influences) or environmental
105 (physical) that make a behaviour possible, and motivation refers to the reflexive (beliefs,
106 intentions) or automatic (emotion) cognitive processes that direct and inspire behaviour (19).
107 By examining the interplay of capability, opportunity and motivation relating to PrEP
108 delivery, we can gain insights into the acceptability and feasibility of implementing
109 community pharmacy PrEP delivery in the UK. This could help inform the development of
110 targeted interventions and strategies to optimise PrEP delivery, thus advancing HIV
111 prevention efforts and improving health outcomes in the UK.

112 The objective of this scoping review was to map and describe the existing evidence about the
113 barriers to and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery for pharmacists and clients,
114 according to the COM-B Model.

115

116 **Method**

117 A scoping review was conducted following the methodological framework of scoping
118 reviews (21), in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
119 Reviews and Meta Analyses) extension for scoping reviews (22). We used the five stage
120 scoping framework designed by Arksey and O'Malley (23) that involved 1) identifying the
121 research question; 2) identifying relevant studies; 3) selecting studies; 4) charting the data;
122 and 5) collating, summarising and reporting the results.

123 *Stage 1:* The research question, “What is known from the previous literature about the
124 barriers to and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery?” guided this review.

125 *Stage 2:* We used search terms related to HIV, PrEP and community pharmacies (see
126 Supplementary Table 1) to search five main bibliographic databases (MEDLINE (Ovid);
127 Embase (Ovid); PsycINFO (Ovid); CINAHL (EBSCOhost); and the Cochrane Central
128 Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)) and five review databases (Cochrane Database of
129 Systematic Reviews (CDSR); Database of Promoting Health Effectiveness Reviews
130 (DoPHER); Epistemonikos; Health Evidence; and NIHR Health Technology Assessments)
131 from inception to August 2023. A manual search of the reference list of included literature
132 and reviews related to the topic was also conducted to identify additional eligible research.
133 Search results were imported into Endnote (24) and duplicates were removed. The final
134 entries were then imported to Rayyan (25) and two authors (JH & AS or CH) independently
135 screened each title, abstract and full text according to the predefined inclusion/exclusion
136 criteria (see below). Throughout the screening process, differences in opinions were resolved
137 through discussion.

138 *Stage 3:* Literature was considered if it: 1) explored community pharmacy PrEP delivery; 2)
139 included community pharmacists, PrEP clients, stakeholders, analysed data on PrEP
140 initiation, continuation and adherence or explored community pharmacy PrEP interventions;
141 3) included studies about encouragement of PrEP use (e.g., willingness, attitudes intentions);
142 and 4) included information on the barriers to and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP
143 delivery. Trial registrations, protocols and news articles were excluded.

144 *Stage 4:* Data extraction was performed by CH, JH, JK, HF and SD and included author(s),
145 year of publication, country, sample, study objective, methodology, and barriers and
146 facilitators. Methodological quality assessment was not performed (26).

147 *Stage 5:* An overview of the volume and nature of the available evidence is represented
148 graphically. The barriers to and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery are
149 tabulated and synthesised according to the COM-B model for pharmacists and clients.

150

151 **Results**


152 A total of 649 records were identified. After duplicate removal, the remaining 467 titles and
153 abstract were screened, resulting in exclusion of 394 articles. The remaining 73 records
154 underwent full text review, and 19 were excluded. From searching the references of the

155 included studies, a further two studies were identified. A total of 56 records were included in
156 the scoping review (see Figure 1).

157 As shown in figure 2, most of the included literature was original research (55%),
158 commentaries (16%) or reviews (16%), from the USA (77%), and was conducted during or
159 after 2020 (63%). The methodological characteristics, study objectives and population, of the
160 56 included records are summarised in Supplementary Table 2.

161 A summary of the barriers and facilitators, according to the COM-B model, are presented in
162 Table 1 and synthesised descriptively below.

163



164

165 *Figure 1* PRISMA flow diagram





Figure 2. Characteristics of all the included records: Publication type (A), year of publication (B) and location (i.e., origin of study population or research) (C). Mixed location represents systematic reviews covering literature from various countries.

Table 1.

Summary of the barriers and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery for pharmacists and clients

COM-B	Barriers		Facilitators	
	Pharmacists	Clients	Pharmacists	Clients
Capability				
Psychological	Lack of PrEP knowledge and/or training (28, 34, 53, 57, 67, 70)	Lack of PrEP awareness including effectiveness of preventing HIV (34, 49, 57, 82)	Continued education/training for HIV/PrEP (29, 42, 64, 67, 79)	Awareness/improving awareness of PrEP including effectiveness of preventing HIV (31, 57, 59)
	Lack of knowledge/skills to deliver PrEP (38, 53, 66, 67, 73, 79)	Lack of awareness of payment assistance programs (39)		
	Lack of knowledge about clients/not being able to identify potential clients (42, 66, 71, 78, 79)		Good knowledge of clients/being able to identify potential clients (34, 71)	
Physical	Insufficient familiarity with PrEP (79)	Concern that pharmacists lacked PrEP training (58)	Fewer (e.g., <10) years of pharmacy practice (42, 76, 77)	Knowing pharmacists had regulatory policies (e.g., quality standards) and oversight (audits) to adhere to (70)
	Concern about medical complications (56)	Risk of medication error (81)	PharmD qualification (professional doctorate degree of pharmacy in the USA), 4th year pharmacy students (63, 69, 76, 77)	Knowing pharmacists had specific training/education for PrEP (58, 70)
	Less education (i.e., second year pharmacy students) (69)			
	Lack of PrEP guidelines (67)		Able to make PrEP recommendations, order lab tests (31, 36)	
	Lack of specialised PrEP delivery training/skills/experience (30, 38, 53, 66, 68, 70, 73)		Additional training including how to have sensitive conversations (28, 30, 32, 68)	
	Lack of physician oversight of PrEP initiation (61)		Prior experience and familiarity of, PrEP (39, 69, 77)	
Opportunity				
Environmental				

COM-B	Barriers		Facilitators	
	Pharmacists	Clients	Pharmacists	Clients
	Lack of skilled staff, staff turnover (28, 66, 70)		Hire staff/more staff on duty (70)	
	Economic instability (60) Location and lack of transport (54)	Location of pharmacy (43, 75)	Convenient location/proximity (63, 68)	Pharmacies convenient location, accessibility and/or quick service (48, 56, 58, 62)
	Lack of staff time (28, 30, 47, 49, 53, 56, 70)	Perceptions of increased pharmacist workload (58)	Appointment systems (28, 49)	Attending specialised HIV service (48)
			Use of pre-existing pathways/conversations (56, 79)	PrEP expert present and identifiable (40)
			Extended opening hours (42, 47, 61, 62)	Use of existing pathways (74)
	Lack of consultation room/privacy (49, 53, 56, 61, 68, 70, 79)	Lack of consultation room/space/privacy (34, 40, 70, 81)	Private consultation rooms/private space (28, 61, 66, 70)	Extended opening hours (42, 48, 56, 62)
	Lack of facilities and/or systems (56, 66, 67, 69-71) (28)	Lack of pharmacy facilities (43, 75)	Shorter waiting lists/quick service (47, 56, 63)	Living in an urban area (48)
			Provision of STI and HIV rapid tests (28)	Private space/confidentiality (34, 40, 70)
			Allowing patients to test themselves for HIV (65)	Completion screening questionnaires/checklist prior to consultation/while waiting (46, 65)
				Facility to self-test for HIV (65)
				Receiving HIV test results on the same day (46)
				Receiving ongoing monitoring (46)
				Able to complete online screening (46)
Social	Inability to advertise medication (66)		Permission to advertise PrEP (66)	

COM-B	Barriers		Facilitators	
	Pharmacists	Clients	Pharmacists	Clients
Motivation Reflective	Inadequate screening and inconsistencies in workflow (35)		Collaborative PrEP delivery service (28, 30, 42, 61, 62)	
			Clear referral process (35)	
			Advertising PrEP through a variety of different platforms (28, 34)	
	Perceived lack of need (28)	Perceived lack of need/decreased risk/lack of interest (34, 43, 56, 75)	Moral imperative/belief that PrEP would reduce HIV (28, 63, 70)	Perceived personal risk of HIV (27)
				Belief it would improve access (59)
	Belief that offering PrEP will increase sexually risky behaviours (60, 63, 69).	Belief that pharmacists were less knowledgeable about HIV drugs (58)		Interest in PrEP (37, 63)
	Belief that offering PrEP will increase STIs (29, 38, 66)	Belief that offering PrEP will increase STIs (58)		Desire to be included in treatment decision making (46)
	Belief that offering PrEP will increase antiretroviral resistance rates (30, 69)		Belief that pharmacies are appropriate settings and highly trained (36, 38, 56, 61)	Belief that pharmacy is an acceptable place to initiate and receive PrEP (38)
	Belief that offering PrEP will reduce use of other effective prevention methods (79)		In favour of community PrEP delivery/interest in PrEP (29, 63)	Fear of testing positive for HIV (65)
	Concern about PrEP adherence (30)			Previous use of pharmacies/taken PrEP (37, 81)
Concern about how pharmacists would handle drug toxicity/medical complications (56)				
Affordability for clients (28, 34, 42, 45, 47, 51, 60, 61, 66, 71, 73, 83)	Cost of PrEP (18, 58)		Assistance with costs for clients (34, 61, 70)	Assistance with costs (18, 34, 37, 48).
Affordability for pharmacists/lack of compensation (30, 53, 56, 70)			Costs reimbursed/PrEP seen as a source of profit (43, 56, 61, 70, 75)	

COM-B	Barriers		Facilitators	
	Pharmacists	Clients	Pharmacists	Clients
Automatic	Lack of clients asking for PrEP (42)		Being asked about PrEP (63)	
	Belief that specialist pharmacists should deliver PrEP (63, 79)	Pharmacies not considered a healthcare source (34)	Recognition of pharmacists as health care providers/well qualified/aligned with scope of work (45, 53, 61, 64)	
	Feeling uncomfortable counselling and prescribing PrEP (39, 42, 47, 56, 63, 70, 73, 77)		Feeling comfortable counselling (43, 63, 73)	
	Pharmacy provision may give clients a false sense of receiving medical treatment/important information may be missed (56)	Feeling uncomfortable seeking a pharmacist for PrEP (39, 58)	Comfortable performing point of contact testing for HIV (47)	Comfortable seeking a pharmacist for PrEP (58)
	Opposition from colleagues, subjective norms, perceived behavioural control and attitudes (78, 79, 84)		Belief about own capabilities and social influence (76)	Comfortable receiving HIV test result from pharmacist (52)
	Stigma (34)	Stigma and misconceptions about PrEP (55, 59)	Stigma free environment and staff (28, 41, 42, 62, 68, 71)	Trust in pharmacists (34, 71, 74)
			Belief that pharmacists are well trained (56, 59)	
			Belief that pharmacy delivery would circumvent stigma (42, 70, 71)	

Synthesis of results

Pharmacist level barriers and facilitators

Capability barriers

Barriers to PrEP delivery for pharmacists included a lack of awareness among pharmacists and clients of the legislation authorising pharmacies in some states of America to provide PrEP (30, 36, 59). Other barriers included a lack of knowledge, skills and training among pharmacists about the medication, behaviour modification to reduce risks of acquiring HIV, prescribing PrEP and managing discussions about drug toxicity and/or medical complications (30, 38, 53, 56, 66, 67, 73). Additionally, a lack of guidelines on the circumstances around PrEP initiation and continuation (e.g., whether clients who self-test for HIV can be supplied PrEP) (67), lack of physician oversight when initiating PrEP from community pharmacies (18, 61), and a lack of clarity or knowledge about which clients would benefit from PrEP were reported to be barriers to identifying and counselling those at risk of HIV (42, 66, 71, 78, 79).

Opportunity barriers

Economic and social opportunity barriers for pharmacists included the country's economic instability (60) and the inability to advertise branded medications in pharmacies (66). For the more specific aspects of PrEP delivery in community pharmacies, such as PrEP counselling and prescribing, pharmacists in the USA and Kenya reported the high turnover of staff (65) and the lack of skilled staff (e.g., only having one pharmacist on duty per shift) as barriers (28, 67, 70). Similarly, lack of staff time (28, 30, 47, 49, 53, 56, 70) was also found to be a barrier, particularly when needing longer consultation times (28) to initiate clients on PrEP (65) and carry out the required monitoring (e.g., kidney function) (33). There was also concern that an increased workload would negatively impact time allocated to delivery of other services (31). Pharmacists also identified physical barriers, such as the absence of private spaces or consultation rooms (53, 56, 61, 67, 70, 79), and laboratory facilities to carry out, process and record STI, HIV and kidney screening and monitoring (28, 56, 66, 67, 69-71).

Motivation barriers

Improving PrEP accessibility via community pharmacies was not judged to be a moral imperative by some pharmacists because pharmacy clients were not perceived to be at risk of acquiring HIV/HIV rates were assumed to be low (28). Other motivational barriers included

experiencing opposition to PrEP delivery from colleagues (78, 79, 84), and concerns that increasing accessibility to PrEP would increase risky sexual behaviours (60, 63, 69), STIs (29, 38, 66), antiretroviral resistance (30, 69) and negatively impact the use of other available cost-effective STI preventative methods (e.g., condoms, abstinence) (79).

Pharmacists in the USA and Zimbabwe reported the affordability of PrEP, and whether medical insurance would cover the costs of counselling and prescribing (28, 34, 42, 45, 47, 51, 60, 61, 66, 71, 73, 83) to be significant barriers to PrEP delivery. Pharmacists were concerned that they (or the pharmacy business they worked for) would not profit or be adequately compensated for these services (30, 53, 56, 70). Pharmacists also reported concern about the stigma people could experience from community members when accessing PrEP (34), in addition to reporting a sense of discomfort discussing sexual health/histories, positive HIV test results, and counselling clients on behaviour modification and clinical trial data on PrEP effectiveness (39, 40, 47, 56, 63, 70, 73, 77). Some pharmacists also reported that they were reluctant to deliver PrEP without clients having had a prior medical consultation (61), and that PrEP delivery should be done by HIV speciality pharmacists only (63).

Capability facilitators

American pharmacists reported that having a good level of knowledge, and being able to identify who would benefit from PrEP (34, 71) would facilitate community pharmacy PrEP delivery. Other facilitators included pharmacists having received continuing education (CE) or specific HIV/PrEP education and/or training (29, 42, 64, 67, 79, 63, 66, 67, 77). Additional facilitators were identified to be pharmacists having the authority to be able to make recommendations about PrEP safety, efficacy and acquisition (36), having obtained a professional doctorate degree of pharmacy (PharmD) (63, 69, 76, 77), pharmacists having fewer years of pharmacy practice experience and having potentially been exposed to public health content during their education (42, 76, 77). Prior experience and familiarity of PrEP guidelines and subsequent confidence in prescribing PrEP (39, 69, 77) were also found to be facilitators.

Opportunity facilitators

Community pharmacies were seen as an ideal location for PrEP delivery. They were reported to be convenient in terms of location, opening hours and accessibility (39, 42, 47, 53, 56, 62, 63, 67). To overcome the barriers of staff capacity and time, pharmacists suggested using appointment systems to schedule PrEP screening activities (28, 49). Other suggestions

included hiring more staff or having more staff on duty per shift to carry out PrEP counselling and dispensing (70). Pharmacists working in pharmacies with a larger number of full time staff were found to be more comfortable and able to spend the necessary amount of time counselling clients about PrEP (63). Pharmacists also suggested PrEP delivery could be facilitated by incorporating it into pre-existing services or pathways (e.g., STI testing) (56, 79).

Having a consultation room, private spaces or a separate room (28, 61, 66, 70) to have sensitive conversations in, in addition to facilities to enable STI and HIV testing (28), were reported to be facilitative of PrEP delivery. If there was a lack of facilities within the pharmacy, pharmacists reported that allowing clients to test themselves for HIV (65) or having a collaborative service with other health care providers could help facilitate PrEP delivery, adherence and continuation (28, 30, 42, 61, 62). Having a clear referral process for pharmacists to provide clients with the necessary treatment options for conditions identified during PrEP delivery was also found to facilitate PrEP delivery (35).

Motivation facilitators

Believing that pharmacists were health care providers (45, 53, 64), well qualified/trained, and pharmacies were appropriate settings to deliver PrEP (36, 38, 45, 56, 61) was found to facilitate PrEP delivery. Other motivational facilitators included pharmacists being in favour of community pharmacy PrEP delivery (29, 63), having an interest in HIV prevention (72), believing that PrEP could reduce the acquisition of HIV (28, 63, 70), feeling comfortable counselling about PrEP and HIV risk reduction behaviours (43, 63, 73) and performing point of contact testing (47).

To improve PrEP initiation, continuation and adherence, pharmacists reported that facilitating free access to PrEP or ensuring assistance with the cost (34, 61, 70) would facilitate delivery, particularly in the USA and for those most in need. Pharmacists also reported that the financial costs of PrEP to pharmacists would need to be reimbursed or covered by insurance companies (43, 56, 61, 70, 75) so that PrEP delivery was a source of income (70).

An additional facilitator of PrEP delivery for pharmacists was the belief that pharmacists should be able to provide a stigma free environment (28, 42, 62, 67, 71), that was culturally appropriate and convenient in which to have sensitive conversations (41).

Client level barriers and facilitators

Capability barriers

For pharmacy clients, barriers to PrEP delivery via community pharmacies were reported to be a lack of awareness of PrEP, including its effectiveness (34, 49, 55, 57, 82). Clients also reported hesitancy toward pharmacy PrEP delivery because they lacked knowledge of pharmacists' HIV and/or PrEP specialised knowledge/training (58).

Opportunity barriers

Actual or perceived environmental barriers to PrEP delivery in community pharmacy for clients included the lack of private consultation rooms (70, 81) or space to ensure privacy and confidentiality (34, 40). The location of the pharmacy (75) was also reported to be a barrier, particularly for clients relocating (43). Clients also recognised the lack of pharmacy facilities for laboratory testing (59) and pharmacist workloads as barriers to PrEP delivery (58).

Motivation barriers

Clients in the US were concerned about the cost of PrEP and pharmacists being unable to process their insurance (18, 58). Clients also reported concern that increasing access to PrEP could result in an increase in STIs (58). Additional barriers for clients were believing that there was a general and/or personal lack of need for PrEP (34, 43, 56, 75), believing pharmacist were not healthcare providers (34) and were not knowledgeable about HIV drugs (58) and that pharmacy PrEP delivery could result in medical errors (81). Clients also reported feeling less comfortable seeking a pharmacist for PrEP information and delivery (39, 58), preferring to speak to their physician (58), organisations that cater to the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer/questioning and other (LGBTQ+) community or organisations that help cover the costs of PrEP (39). Some clients were also hesitant to be screened in pharmacies for fear of testing HIV-positive (65). Clients were also concerned about the misconceptions of PrEP being a medication for people living with HIV and that they could experience stigma from pharmacists (55, 59).

Capability Facilitators

Client awareness of PrEP was reported to facilitate PrEP delivery (31, 58, 59). Clients reported that the acceptability of community pharmacy PrEP delivery would be further facilitated if they were made aware of the regulatory policies (e.g., quality standards), and

oversight (audits) that pharmacists had to adhere to (70), and any additional training or education for PrEP delivery that pharmacists had obtained (58, 70).

Opportunity Facilitators

Clients perceived the accessibility of community pharmacies in terms of location, speed of service and extended opening hours (42, 48, 56, 58, 62) to facilitate PrEP delivery, particularly if living in an urban area (48). Other important facilitators for clients were the presence of a private space to discuss and deliver PrEP to ensure confidentiality and respect (34, 40, 70), offering PrEP alongside other existing care pathways and services (e.g., opiate substitution therapy) and the presence of a pharmacist specialising in HIV service provision who could be easily identified (e.g., by their clothing) as the person to speak to about PrEP (40). Additional facilitators for clients included the pharmacies being able to provide HIV test results on the same day, offering ongoing monitoring for adherence support and risk reduction and being able to complete screening questionnaires prior to the consultation (46).

Motivation facilitators

Clients' motivation to seek PrEP from community pharmacists was facilitated by interest in PrEP (37, 63), a desire to be involved in treatment decisions (46), a belief that pharmacy PrEP delivery would improve access to PrEP (34, 71, 74), feeling comfortable seeking PrEP information and prescriptions from pharmacists (58), receiving HIV test results from pharmacists (38, 56) and having trust in pharmacists (42, 70, 71). Clients who perceived themselves to be at a greater risk of HIV due to engagement in condomless sex or sex with multiple partners, were also found to be more willing to be screened in community pharmacies (27).

Believing that pharmacists were well trained to deliver PrEP (56) and that pharmacies were acceptable places to initiate and receive PrEP was also found to facilitate PrEP delivery (38, 56, 59). Other facilitators included PrEP being available free or at a low cost to clients (18, 34, 37, 48, 65), having insurance to cover the costs of PrEP (27), and believing that PrEP delivery in community pharmacies could circumvent the stigma associated with PrEP and HIV, in part by offering clients the opportunity to obtain PrEP discretely (42, 70, 71).

Discussion

In this scoping review we mapped the barriers and facilitators of community pharmacy PrEP delivery to the COM-B model. The current review highlights the increase in research in this area, particularly in the USA, shortly after pharmacists in the USA were authorised to deliver PrEP. Whilst there have been systematic and scoping reviews on pharmacy PrEP delivery (53, 80) none of the reviews have aimed to identify and map the potential barriers and facilitators of PrEP delivery, according to a behavioural theory or model. Subsequently, the findings from the current review, provide important insight for the development of targeted interventions and strategies to optimise potential community pharmacy PrEP delivery. In this review, barriers identified included lack of PrEP awareness, familiarity knowledge, skills and training (capability), lack of staff capacity, time and pharmacy facilities (opportunity), the financial cost of PrEP to pharmacists and clients and the belief that PrEP delivery could lead to risky behaviours and higher rates of STIs (motivation). Facilitators identified included improving client and pharmacist awareness of PrEP, and the provision of PrEP training and education (capability), having an appointment system, using pre-existing pathways or services the accessibility of community pharmacies (opportunity) and the belief that PrEP delivery could be a source of income or profit and contribute to reductions in local and population level HIV rates (motivation).

In translating the findings to community pharmacy PrEP delivery in the UK, some of the opportunity barriers presented have already been addressed. The UK vision for community pharmacy is for more integration into the NHS, to provide more clinical services, to support and manage demands on the NHS (85). Consequently, most pharmacies in the UK now have private consultation rooms and in some regions, there are already well-established links with other health care providers (e.g., sexual health clinics) for the provision of services. For example, the emergency contraception referral pathway is supported by regular training and collaboration with Local Pharmaceutical Committees (LPCs). Some of these services could offer potential opportunities for expansion to include the provision of PrEP, particularly the reproductive and sexual health services that are requested most frequently (e.g., condoms, STI self-testing kits, emergency contraception) (86). Patient Group Directions (PGDs) which authorise pharmacy professionals to supply particular medications to clients presenting in person, also offer potential opportunities for PrEP delivery in the UK.

Other barriers identified, such as the lack of skilled staff and staff capacity highlight the increasing workload of pharmacists (13, 14, 87) and the potential lack of feasibility of introducing PrEP delivery to community pharmacies (88). Although hiring more staff was

identified to help overcome staff capacity barriers, results from the Pressures Survey conducted by the Pharmaceutical Services Negotiating Committee (PSNC) found 71% of community pharmacies were experiencing a shortage of pharmacists (89). Consequently, there appears to be a lack of pharmacists to employ even though there is demand. A better understanding of current issues facing pharmacists in the UK could improve awareness and inform future investment in education and training in addition to effective workplace planning for pharmacy service provision, including PrEP delivery.

The accessible location, and convenient walk-in nature of pharmacies, as highlighted in this review, could offer an easy, less intimidating environment from which to access PrEP. This may be particularly important for individuals with lower levels of literacy who find the increasing use of digital technology required to access health services challenging (90). Community pharmacy PrEP delivery could therefore help to reduce inequalities amongst those who are disproportionately affected by HIV, in part because ease of access has been shown to be key to the uptake and maintenance of PrEP (80, 91). Similarly, addressing the motivational barriers identified by pharmacists and clients could help circumvent any stigma, facilitate awareness of LGBTQ+ issues, and improve client confidence in pharmacists' medical expertise and capability to provide services. Future research needs to examine the perceived accessibility and feasibility of UK pharmacies for PrEP delivery, particularly among pharmacists and individuals at elevated risk of acquiring HIV who are not currently accessing PrEP from sexual health clinics. This research should also explore whether the stigmatising attitudes of some pharmacists could act as barriers to service provision (13).

Overall, the results suggest that in order to change behaviour and facilitate community pharmacy PrEP delivery in the UK, pharmacists and clients would need to be provided with a training intervention that fosters beliefs about the positive impact of PrEP delivery, stimulates and harnesses client's interest and provides financial support for pharmacists and clients to enhance motivation for PrEP delivery, initiation, continuation and adherence.

There are limitations to this scoping review. The majority of the research reviewed was from the USA so the barriers and facilitators presented may be specific to this population (e.g. payment for healthcare and differing contractual frameworks). More work is needed to understand UK-specific barriers and facilitators. Further, a number of studies included pharmacists working in settings other than community pharmacies (e.g., GP practices and hospitals). This made the findings specific to community pharmacists hard to distinguish. Notwithstanding this, the current scoping review has methodological strengths (i.e., searching

of multiple databases, handsearching of citations) and employs the COM-B model as a framework to synthesize the evidence.

Conclusions

This scoping review provides valuable insights into the barriers and facilitators of PrEP delivery in community pharmacies. It highlights the multifaceted nature of PrEP delivery and underscores the need to consider individual external and reflective factors influencing the behaviour of pharmacists and clients in providing and accessing PrEP services. This review is the first step toward developing theory and an evidence-based intervention that is acceptable and effective for UK community pharmacies. By taking a comprehensive approach that considers all aspects of the COM-B, community pharmacies in the UK could become crucial players in expanding PrEP accessibility and uptake, contributing significantly to HIV prevention efforts.

Competing interests: None to declare

Authors contributions: CH, JK, SD, HF, JS, CB, JC, LH, SC and JH contributed to the research planning. AS & SD contributing to the literature search, AS, JH, & CH to study selection and CH, JH, JK, HF, SD to data extraction. CH and JH drafted all versions of the manuscript, and all authors contributed to and approved the final version for publication.

Funding: This research was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc, and supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR ARC West) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research Health Protection Research Unit (HPRU) in Behavioural Science and Evaluation at the University of Bristol, and in Sexually Transmitted and Blood Borne Infections at UCL, both in partnership with UKHSA.. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR, UKHSA or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Data availability: Data is available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Supporting information: Supplementary Table 1. Search terms and strategies used for the five main bibliographic databases and give review databases

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of the total (N=56) included literature, methodological characteristics, study objectives and population

References

1. Lester J MV, Shah A, Chau C, Mackay N, Newbigging-Lister A, Connor N, Brown A, Sullivan A and contributors. HIV testing, PrEP, new HIV diagnoses, and care outcomes for people accessing HIV services: 2022 report. The annual official statistics data release (data to end of December 2021). . 2022 October 2022.
2. The UK PrEP landscape 2022: Building on progress, overcoming inequalities. . <https://www.nat.org.uk/publication/uk-prep-landscape-20222023>.
3. Drabo E, Hay JW, Vardavas R, Wagner Z, Sood N. A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PREP) For The Prevention Of Hiv In The Los Angeles County Msm Population. *Value in Health*. 2014;17(3):A272.
4. Paltiel AD, Freedberg KA, Scott CA, Schackman BR, Losina E, Wang B, et al. HIV preexposure prophylaxis in the United States: impact on lifetime infection risk, clinical outcomes, and cost-effectiveness. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(6):806-15.
5. Davies O, Ustianowski A, Fox J. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention: Why, What, Who and How. *Infect Dis Ther*. 2016;5(4):407-16.
6. McKenney J, Chen A, Hoover KW, Kelly J, Dowdy D, Sharifi P, et al. Optimal costs of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis for men who have sex with men. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(6):e0178170.
7. McCormack S, Dunn DT, Desai M, Dolling DI, Gafos M, Gilson R, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent the acquisition of HIV-1 infection (PROUD): effectiveness results from the pilot phase of a pragmatic open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10013):53-60.
8. HIV BafSHA. BHIVA/BASHH guidelines on the use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) 2018 [Available from: <https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1189/prep-2018.pdf>].
9. Wayal S, Hughes, G., Sonnenberg, P., Mohammed, H., & Mercer, C. H. . The role of PrEP in the UK: Investigating the barriers and facilitators for PrEP implementation among black African and white MSM in London. . *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):1578.
10. England N. PrEP trial updates 2020 [Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/spec-services/npc-crg/blood-and-infection-group-f/f03/prep-trial-updates/>].

11. Nakasone SE, Young I, Estcourt CS, Calliste J, Flowers P, Ridgway J, et al. Risk perception, safer sex practices and PrEP enthusiasm: barriers and facilitators to oral HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in Black African and Black Caribbean women in the UK. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2020;96(5):349-54.
12. Sullivan PS, Siegler AJ. Getting pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to the people: opportunities, challenges and emerging models of PrEP implementation. *Sexual Health.* 2018;15(6):522-7.
13. Medina-Perucha L, Scott J, Chapman S, Barnett J, Dack C, Family H. Sexual health services in community pharmacy for women on opioid substitution treatment: a qualitative study. *Eur J Public Health.* 2020;30(4):733-8.
14. Pantasri T. Expanded roles of community pharmacists in COVID-19: A scoping literature review. *J Am Pharm Assoc (2003).* 2022;62(3):649-57.
15. Willis SC, Seston EM, Family H, White S, Cutts C. Extending the scope of community pharmacists' practice to patients requiring urgent care—an evaluation of a training programme using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Health & social care in the community.* 2019;27(4):999-1010.
16. Corroon M, Kebede E, Spektor G, Speizer I. Key Role of Drug Shops and Pharmacies for Family Planning in Urban Nigeria and Kenya. *Glob Health Sci Pract.* 2016;4(4):594-609.
17. Waddington H, Ramos-García, E., Easthall, C., Hodgson, J., & Duggan, C. . Pharmacy-based interventions to improve medication adherence: A review of the literature. . *Pharmacy : A Journal Of Pharmacy Education And Practice.* 2020;8(2):75.
18. Kazi DS, Katz IT, Jha AK. PrEParing to End the HIV Epidemic - California's Route as a Road Map for the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(26):2489-91.
19. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Science.* 2011;6(1):42.
20. Marques MM, Wright AJ, Corker E, Johnston M, West R, Hastings J, et al. The Behaviour Change Technique Ontology: Transforming the Behaviour Change Technique Taxonomy v1. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2023;8:308.
21. Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Khalil H, Parker D. Chapter 11: scoping reviews, Joanna Briggs institute reviewer manual. The Joanna Briggs Institute. 2017.

22. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien K, Colquhoun H, Kastner M, et al. A scoping review on the conduct and reporting of scoping reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2016;16(1):15.
23. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
24. Team TE. Endnote. Endnote 20 ed. Philadelphia, PA: Clarivate Analytics; 2020.
25. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic reviews*. 2016;5:1-10.
26. Peters MD, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBHI evidence synthesis*. 2020;18(10):2119-26.
27. Alohan DI, Evans G, Sanchez T, Harrington KRV, Quamina A, Young HN, et al. Examining pharmacies' ability to increase pre-exposure prophylaxis access for black men who have sex with men in the United States. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association : JAPhA*. 2023;63(2):547-54.
28. Bellman R, Mohebbi S, Nobahar N, Parizher J, Apollonio DE. An observational survey assessing the extent of PrEP and PEP furnishing in San Francisco Bay Area pharmacies. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2022;62(1):370-7.e3.
29. Bouetard L, Cordel H. Knowledge, experience and perception of pharmacists in Ile-de-France regarding HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). *HIV Medicine*. 2021;22:269.
30. Broekhuis JM, Scarsi KK, Sayles HR, Klepser DG, Havens JP, Swindells S, et al. Midwest pharmacists' familiarity, experience, and willingness to provide pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]*. 2018;13(11):e0207372.
31. Booker C, Murphy AL, Isenor JE, Ramsey TD, Smith AJ, Bishop A, et al. Community pharmacists' acceptance of prescribing pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). *Canadian pharmacists journal : CPJ = Revue des pharmaciens du Canada : RPC*. 2023;156(3):137-49.
32. Bruno C, Saberi P. Pharmacists as providers of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*. 2012;34(6):803-6.

33. Burns CM, Endres K, Derrick C, Cooper A, Fabel P, Okeke NL, et al. A survey of South Carolina pharmacists' readiness to prescribe human immunodeficiency virus pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Journal of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy : JACCP*. 2023;6(4):329-38.
34. Cernasev A, Walker C, Kerr C, Barenie RE, Armstrong D, Golden J. Tennessee Pharmacists' Opinions on Barriers and Facilitators to Initiate PrEP: A Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource]*. 2022;19(14):10.
35. Cernasev A, Melton TC, Jasmin H, Barenie RE. A Qualitative Systematic Literature Review of the Role of U.S. Pharmacists in Prescribing Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP). *Pharmacy (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2023;11(1).
36. Clauson KA, Polen HH, Joseph SA, Zapantis A. Role of the pharmacist in pre-exposure chemoprophylaxis (PrEP) therapy for HIV prevention. *Pharmacy Practice*. 2009;7(1):11-8.
37. Crawford ND, Albarran T, Chamberlain A, Hopkins R, Josma D, Morris J, et al. Willingness to Discuss and Screen for Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis in Pharmacies Among Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*. 2021;34(5):734-40.
38. Crawford ND, Myers S, Young H, Klepser D, Tung E. The Role of Pharmacies in the HIV Prevention and Care Continuums: A Systematic Review. *AIDS and behavior*. 2021;25(6):1819-28.
39. Crawford ND, Josma D, Morris J, Hopkins R, Young HN. Pharmacy-based pre-exposure prophylaxis support among pharmacists and men who have sex with men. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2020;60(4):602-8.
40. Crawford ND, Harrington KRV, Alohan DI, Sullivan PS, Holland DP, Klepser DG, et al. Integrating and Disseminating Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Screening and Dispensing for Black Men Who Have Sex With Men in Atlanta, Georgia: Protocol for Community Pharmacies. *JMIR Research Protocols*. 2022;11(2):e35590.
41. Dong BJ, Lopez MI, Grant RM. Response to: Acceptability and Feasibility of a Pharmacist-Led HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Program in the Midwestern United States. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*. 2019;6(12):ofz497.

42. Farmer EK, Koren DE, Cha A, Grossman K, Cates DW. The Pharmacist's Expanding Role in HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis. *AIDS Patient Care & Stds.* 2019;33(5):207-13.
43. Garrison LE, Haberer JE. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Uptake, Adherence, and Persistence: A Narrative Review of Interventions in the U.S. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 2021;61(5, Supplement 1):S73-S86.
44. Gregory N. California readies for implementation of historic law aimed at curbing HIV. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy.* 2020;77(9):677-.
45. Griffith K, Romanelli F. Pharmacists and the next generation of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA.* 2022;62(5):1542-5.
46. Goswami S. Willingness to use HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and preferences for a pharmacy-based PrEP program among men who have sex with men. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering.* 2023;84(8-B):No-Specified.
47. Havens JP, Scarsi KK, Sayles H, Klepser DG, Swindells S, Bares SH. Acceptability and feasibility of a pharmacist-led HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) program in the Midwestern United States. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases.* 2019;6(10):01.
48. Hazen R, Hou JG, Kirkham H, Pietrandoni G, Delpino A. Exploring length of therapy and factors associated with HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis medication adherence using pharmacy claims data. *Value in Health.* 2017;20:A10-A1.
49. Hopkins R, Josma D, Morris J, Klepser DG, Young HN, Crawford ND. Support and perceived barriers to implementing pre-exposure prophylaxis screening and dispensing in pharmacies: Examining concordance between pharmacy technicians and pharmacists. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA.* 2021;61(1):115-20.
50. Huang YLA, Zhu W, Carnes N, Hoover KW. Abandonment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Preexposure Prophylaxis Prescriptions at Retail Pharmacies-United States, 2019. *Clinical Infectious Diseases.* 2022;75(3):512-4.
51. Hughes C, Yoong D, Giguere P, Hull M, Tan DHS. Canadian guideline on HIV preexposure prophylaxis and nonoccupational postexposure prophylaxis for pharmacists. *Canadian Pharmacists Journal.* 2019;152(2):81-91.
52. Josma D, Morris J, Hopkins R, Quamina A, Siegler AJ, Holland D, et al. Comparing open-ended question methods to vignette methods to explore willingness to obtain pre-

exposure prophylaxis access in pharmacies among black men who have sex with men. *AIDS care*. 2023;1-8.

53. Kennedy CE, Yeh PT, Atkins K, Ferguson L, Baggaley R, Narasimhan M. PrEP distribution in pharmacies: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(2):e054121.

54. Khosropour CM, Backus KV, Means AR, Beauchamps L, Johnson K, Golden MR, et al. A Pharmacist-Led, Same-Day, HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Initiation Program to Increase PrEP Uptake and Decrease Time to PrEP Initiation. *AIDS Patient Care & Stds*. 2020;34(1):1-6.

55. Khosropour CM, Riley T, Healy E, Backus KV, Gomillia CE, Mena L, et al. Persistence in a pharmacist-led, same-day PrEP program in Mississippi: a mixed-methods study. *BMC public health*. 2023;23(1):1130.

56. Koester KA, Saberi P, Fuller SM, Arnold EA, Steward WT. Attitudes about community pharmacy access to HIV prevention medications in California. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2020;60(6):e179-e83.

57. Lopez MI, Grant RM, Dong BJ. Community pharmacy delivered PrEP to STOP HIV transmission: An opportunity NOT to miss! *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2020;60(4):e18-e24.

58. Lutz S, Heberling M, Goodlet KJ. Patient perspectives of pharmacists prescribing HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: A survey of patients receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2021;61(2):e75-e9.

59. MacDonald CB, Murphy AL, Isenor JE, Ramsey TD, Furlotte K, Smith AJ, et al. Target users' acceptance of a pharmacist-led prescribing service for pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). *Canadian pharmacists journal : CPJ = Revue des pharmaciens du Canada : RPC*. 2023;156(4):194-203.

60. Matyanga C, Khoza S, Gavi S. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: pharmacists knowledge, perception and willingness to adopt future implementation in a Zimbabwean urban Setting. *East and Central African Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2014;17(1):3-9.

61. Mayer KH, Chan PA, R. Patel R, Flash CA, Krakower DS. Evolving Models and Ongoing Challenges for HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis Implementation in the United States. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2018;77(2):119-27.

62. McCree DH, Byrd KK, Johnston M, Gaines M, Weidle PJ. Roles for Pharmacists in the “Ending the HIV Epidemic: A Plan for America” Initiative. *Public Health Reports*. 2020;135(5):547-54.
63. Meyerson BE, Dinh PC, Jr., Agle JD, Hill BJ, Motley DN, Carter GA, et al. Predicting Pharmacist Dispensing Practices and Comfort Related to Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention (PrEP). *AIDS & Behavior*. 2019;23(7):1925-38.
64. Myers JE, Farhat D, Guzman A, Arya V. Pharmacists in HIV Prevention: An Untapped Potential. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2019;109(6):859-61.
65. Nakambale HN, Roche SD, Mogere P, Omollo V, Kuo AP, Stergachis A, et al. Barriers to and strategies for early implementation of pharmacy-delivered HIV PrEP services in Kenya: An analysis of routine data. *Frontiers in reproductive health*. 2023;5:1023568.
66. Okoro O, Hillman L. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: Exploring the potential for expanding the role of pharmacists in public health. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2018;58(4):412-20.e3.
67. Ortblad KF, Mogere P, Roche S, Kamolloh K, Odoyo J, Irungu E, et al. Design of a care pathway for pharmacy-based PrEP delivery in Kenya: results from a collaborative stakeholder consultation. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2020;20(1):1034.
68. Ortblad KF, Mogere P, Bukusi E, Ngure K, Baeten JM. Pharmacy delivery to expand the reach of PrEP in Africa. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2020;23(9):e25619.
69. Przybyla SM, Parks K, Bleasdale J, Sawyer J, Morse D. Awareness, knowledge, and attitudes towards human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among pharmacy students. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching & Learning*. 2019;11(4):352-60.
70. Roche SD, Wairimu N, Mogere P, Kamolloh K, Odoyo J, Kwena ZA, et al. Acceptability and Feasibility of Pharmacy-Based Delivery of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis in Kenya: A Qualitative Study of Client and Provider Perspectives. *AIDS & Behavior*. 2021;25(12):3871-82.
71. Rousseau E, Julies RF, Madubela N, Kassim S. Novel Platforms for Biomedical HIV Prevention Delivery to Key Populations - Community Mobile Clinics, Peer-Supported, Pharmacy-Led PrEP Delivery, and the Use of Telemedicine. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*. 2021;18(6):500-7.

72. Sawkin M, Shah M. Development of a pharmacist-led human immunodeficiency virus pre-exposure prophylaxis clinic at an urban community clinic. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*. 2016;56:e48.
73. Shaeer K, Sherman E, Shafiq S, Hardigan P. Exploratory survey of Florida pharmacists' experience with, knowledge of, and perceptions about HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2013;33:e213.
74. Smith M, Elliott L, Hutchinson SJ, Metcalfe R, Flowers P, McAuley A. Perspectives on pre-exposure prophylaxis for people who inject drugs in the context of an hiv outbreak: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2021;88:103033.
75. Tung EL, Thomas A, Eichner A, Shalit P. Implementation of a community pharmacy-based pre-exposure prophylaxis service: a novel model for pre-exposure prophylaxis care. *Sexual Health*. 2018;15(6):556-61.
76. Unni EJ, Lian N, Kuykendall W. Understanding community pharmacist perceptions and knowledge about HIV preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) therapy in a Mountain West state. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2016;56(5):527-32.e1.
77. Wilby KJ, Smith AJ. A Narrative Review of Continuing Professional Development Needs for Pharmacists with Respect to Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). *Pharmacy : A Journal Of Pharmacy Education And Practice*. 2020;8(2):11.
78. Yoong DM, Naccarato M, Sharma M, Wilton J, Senn H, Tan D. Preparing for PrEP: Assessing perceptions, beliefs, and readiness of Canadian pharmacists for the implementation of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*. 2013:74A.
79. Yoong D, Naccarato M, Sharma M, Wilton J, Senn H, Tan DH. Preparing for pre-exposure prophylaxis: perceptions and readiness of Canadian pharmacists for the implementation of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*. 2016;27(8):608-16.
80. Zhao A, Dangerfield DT, 2nd, Nunn A, Patel R, Farley JE, Ugoji CC, et al. Pharmacy-Based Interventions to Increase Use of HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis in the United States: A Scoping Review. *AIDS & Behavior*. 2022;26(5):1377-92.

81. Zhu V, Tran D, Banjo O, Onuegbu R, Seung H, Layson-Wolf C. Patient perception of community pharmacists prescribing pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2020;60(6):781-8.e2.
82. Lopez MI, Cocohoba J, Cohen SE, Trainor N, Levy MM, Dong BJ. Implementation of pre-exposure prophylaxis at a community pharmacy through a collaborative practice agreement with San Francisco Department of Public Health. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2020;60(1):138-44.
83. Smith BL, Hester AM, Cantos VD, James TR, Lora MH. A pharmacist-led PrEP program at the epicenter of the HIV epidemic in atlanta; our experience. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*. 2019;6:S461.
84. Tidd M, Shiyanbola O, Ford JH, 2nd, Richert L. Assessing the use of an infographic on pre-exposure prophylaxis for Wisconsin community pharmacists. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA*. 2022;62(6):1897-903.e4.
85. PICTON C, SHARMA R, MURRAY R. A vision for pharmacy professional practice in England.
86. Gauly J, Atherton H, Kimani PK, Ross J. Utilisation of pharmacy-based sexual and reproductive health services: a quantitative retrospective study. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*. 2021;97(2):126-33.
87. Paloumpi E, Ozieranski P, Watson MC, Jones MD. Professional and governmental policy on community pharmacy: A 10-year policy review and comparative analysis (2008–2017). *Exploratory Research in Clinical and Social Pharmacy*. 2023;11:100298.
88. Medina-Perucha L, Scott J, Chapman S, Barnett J, Dack C, Family H. Sexual health services in community pharmacy for women on opioid substitution treatment: a qualitative study. *European Journal of Public Health*. 2020;30(4):733-8.
89. Robertson J. Three in four pharmacies experiencing a pharmacist shortage, MPs told 2023 [Available from: <https://www.thepharmacist.co.uk/news/three-in-four-pharmacies-experiencing-a-pharmacist-shortage-mps-told/#:~:text=Community%20pharmacy%20leaders%20set%20out,73%25%20have%20reported%20longer%20dispensing>].

90. Apea V. How digital services can define and address inequities in sexual health 2022 [Available from: <https://www.digitalhealth.net/2022/10/how-digital-services-can-define-and-address-inequities-in-sexual-health/>].
91. Smith DK, Toledo L, Smith DJ, Adams MA, Rothenberg R. Attitudes and program preferences of African-American urban young adults about pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2012;24(5):408-21.