

INTERVENTIONS USED TO IMPROVE AIR FLOW IN HOSPITALS – A RAPID REVIEW

Team members: Gráinne Brady¹, Fiona Bennin¹, Rosaline De Koning¹, Manish K Tiwari^{2,3}, Cecilia Vindrola-Padros¹, Danielle Morris⁴, Elizabeth Lloyd-Dehler⁵, Jerry Slann⁶, Simon Watt^{2,3}, Fiona Stevenson⁷, Zarnie Khadjesari⁸, Hakim-Moulay Dehbi⁹, Andrea Ducci², Ryo Torii², Lena Ciric¹⁰, Ruth Epstein¹¹, John Rubin¹¹, Catherine Houlihan¹², Rachael Hunter¹³, Laurence B Lovat^{3,14}

¹Rapid Research Evaluation and Appraisal Lab (RREAL), Department of Targeted Intervention, University College London, UK

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, University College London, UK

³WEISS Centre, University College London, UK

⁴East and North Hertfordshire NHS Trust, UK

⁵Lay member

⁶Institute of Occupational Medicine, UK

⁷Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, UK

⁸School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK

⁹Comprehensive Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, UK

¹⁰Healthy Infrastructure Research Group, Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London, UK

¹¹Royal National Throat Nose and Ear Hospital, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

¹²Department of Virology, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

¹³Institute of Epidemiology & Health, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, UK

¹⁴Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, UK

¹Rapid Research Evaluation and Appraisal Lab (RREAL)

Department of Targeted Intervention

University College London (UCL)

Charles Bell House

43-45 Foley Street

W1W 7TY

@RREALwork

<https://rapidresearchandevaluation.com>

Contact: Prof Cecilia Vindrola

c.vindrola@ucl.ac.uk

Abbreviations

ACH	Air change per hour
ACU	Air cleaning unit
AFU	Air filtration unit
eUVGI	Enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation
HEPA	High efficiency particulate air
HSCT	Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
HVAC	Heating ventilation and air conditioning
IA	Invasive aspergillosis
ISO	Isolation room
NICU	Neonatal intensive care unit
PAC	Precision air conditioning
PECO	Photo electrochemical oxidation
PM	Particulate matter
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

Funding sources

LBL is supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, and by the Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Interventional and Surgical Sciences (WEISS) at UCL. As this research was funded in whole, or in part, by the Wellcome Trust [Grant number 203145/Z/16/Z], for the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Declaration of interest statement:

Authors declare we have no conflicts of interest.

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need for improved air flow in hospitals, to reduce the transmission of airborne infections such as COVID-19. The aim of this review was to map the existing literature on intervention used to improve air flow in hospitals, understanding challenges in implementation and the findings of any evaluations. We reviewed peer-reviewed articles identified on three databases, MEDLINE, Web of Science and the Cochrane Library with no restriction on date. 5846 articles were identified, 130 were reviewed and 18 were included: ten articles were from databases and eight articles were identified through hand searching. Results were discussed in terms of three categories: (i) concentration of aerosol particles, (ii) changes in/effect of air speed and ventilation and (iii) improvements or reduction in health conditions. Eight studies included an evaluation, the majority only had one comparator condition however three had multiple conditions. The most common device or method that was outlined by researchers was HEPA filters, which can remove particles with a size of 3 microns. Articles outline different interventions to improve air flow and some demonstrate their effectiveness in terms of improving health outcomes for patients, they also suggest either mechanical and natural ventilation are the best methods for dispersing particulate matter as well as perhaps two air cleaning units rather than one. With different methods comes different strengths and weaknesses however, the key finding is that air flow improvement measures reduce the likelihood of nosocomial infections.

Introduction

Transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) can either be via respiratory droplets through coughs and sneezes usually over a range of 2 meters, formite exposure through touch of an infected surface to a person's eyes, nose or mouth or through airborne transmission (CDC, 2020; Li et al, 2020; Derqui et al, 2023; Borak, 2020). In July 2020, the WHO reported airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 was a main transmission route, likely happening in healthcare settings and crowded indoor areas such as restaurants, fitness classes and choir practice (WHO, 2020b).

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted that many hospital buildings were inadequate at providing effective infection prevention and control (BMA, 2023) and demonstrated that drastic changes in hospital ventilation and air flow would be required (Knight et al, 2022). Improvements in hospital air flow are not easily implemented, as these might require structural changes, the investment of resources and training staff on operation and safety (NHS England, 2023), particularly with bed side units. The aim of this review was to map the existing literature on interventions used to improve air flow in hospitals, understanding challenges in implementation and the findings of any evaluations.

Design

The review was designed following the approach for rapid evidence reviews developed by Tricco et al (2017) with scope to incorporate relevant grey literature. The review followed a phased approach, which begins with a broad search strategy that is expanded with each round of searches. We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement to guide the review design and the reporting of the methods and findings.

The research questions guiding the review were:

RQ1: What are the types of interventions currently being used to improve air flow in hospitals?

RQ2: Have any of these been evaluated? If so, what are the main evaluation findings?

RQ3: What are the main lessons learnt from the implementation of these interventions?

Search strategy and approach

The search strategy was developed by researchers and relevant clinical colleagues. The first phase of the search strategy was broad and was run on general databases such as Google Scholar and PubMed. This led to the selection of a preliminary list of resources. This list was scanned for relevant key terms. The final search strategy is available in Appendix 1.

The search was not limited in any way other than to streamline outcomes, interventions, and the environment of the study. There was no date, language or location limitation, the inclusion criteria is available in Appendix 2. Final searches were conducted at the end of July 2023 on three databases (MEDLINE, Web of Science and The Cochrane Library).

Document selection

The search results were imported into EndNote and duplicates were removed. Once this was complete all included references were imported into Rayyan for screening.

Following the initial screening of title and abstract, three researchers cross-checked 10% of exclusions against the inclusion criteria. The remaining publications that met the inclusion criteria were organised and allocated randomly between three researchers to facilitate full text screening.

The following inclusion criteria were applied:

Peer reviewed articles where interventions improving air flow are mentioned in the context of hospital settings, this could also be discussed in terms of a respiratory virus/infection.

No restriction on date, language, or study location.

To ensure the search was manageable, we did not include articles related to air flow in any other environment, PhD theses, dissertations, books, conference proceedings, incomplete versions, articles where we could not access the full text or letters to editors. The full inclusion criteria is available in Appendix 2.

Data extraction

Data extraction was conducted using Microsoft Excel to organise the review process. The extraction form was piloted with two initial studies, and amendments were made before extracting data from all included studies. Data was extracted by three reviewers and checked by another member of the team.

Data synthesis

Data were synthesised using narrative synthesis.

Quality assessment

The methodological quality of the empirical articles was critically appraised using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) (Hong et al, 2018). The MMAT was developed to allow systematic reviewers assess the methodological quality of diverse study designs, including qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods.

Results

Article selection

The initial search yielded 5848 articles (after duplicates were removed), 5715 articles were excluded as these did not meet the inclusion criteria outlined above, one article was removed at this stage as it could not be retrieved. We reviewed 130 articles at the full text stage and excluded 112 because they did not describe air flow, were not carried out in a hospital setting, were a simulation or model of air flow, or were excluded study designs such as reviews, or was a wrong publication type. 18 articles were included in the review (see Figure 1 for the PRISMA Flow Diagram).




Figure 1. PRISMA Flow diagram.

Article characteristics

Main article characteristics are summarised in Table 1. Three articles were from the UK, three from the USA, two from Australia, one article from China, Hong Kong, Ireland, Korea, Germany, Czech Republic, Singapore, South Africa, Iran and Italy.

AUTHOR S AND DATE	COUNTR Y	STUDY DESIGN	AIMS OF STUDY	TYPE OF INTERVENTION	HEALTHCARE SETTING	HEALTH CONDITIO N	MAIN FINDINGS
Morris et al., 2022	UK	Observational	Assess the removal of airborne SARS-CoV-2 in a hospital environment using combined air filtration and UV sterilisation technology	AC1500 HEPA14/UV sterilizer (ward) and Medi 10 HEPA13/UV sterilizer (ICU)	Surgery ward and ICU	COVID -19	Able to detect airborne SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a repurposed COVID-19 'surge ward' and found that air filtration can remove SARS-CoV-2 RNA below the limit of qpcr detection. SARS-CoV-2 was infrequently detected in the air of a 'surge ICU' however, the device retained its ability to reduce microbial bioaerosols.
Butler et al., 2023	UK	Case study	To assess aerosol transport within the ward and determine whether the ACU reduced airborne particulate matter (PM) levels.	Air cleaning unit (ACU)	Half a ward on 6 th floor of hospital, 3 side rooms each with a door and 2 six bedded bays open to a central corridor	Respiratory viruses (e.g. COVID-19)	Particles up to 10mm (beyond the 5mm aerosol/droplet cut-off used previously) travelled considerable distances around the ward, and that the ACU reduced PM levels of all sizes throughout the space, not just near the device.
Lu et al., 2021	China	Observational	1) The purpose of this study is to analyse the environmental parameters of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, to clarify the environmental characteristics of fever clinics during the COVID-19 epidemic. 2) to establish a control method of hospital infection in	Natural ventilation	Outpatient department, fever clinic, office building and consulting room, observation and intensive care unit, CT room, isolation ward and other consultation and waiting spaces,	COVID-19	Daily CO2 concentration in nursing station, doctor's office, and corridor of the waiting area of the hospital was lower than the theoretical limit, and during the period when the patients with confirmed COVID-19 stayed in the fever clinic, daily CO2 in the corridor of the waiting area was lower than the theoretical limit. The natural ventilation was good, meeting indoor ventilation dilution level required by infectious disease hospitals.

			fever clinics combined with epidemic prevention, combined with the hospital's control measures for patients' behaviour.		as well as densely staffed office area, covering total area of 4000 m2.		
Mousavi et al., 2020	USA	Observational	Determine the effectiveness and ideal placement of portable HEPA units. Evaluated the effectiveness of negative pressurisation, as well as a temporary anteroom structure on minimising the dispersion of contaminants in the hospital space.	Two portable High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) machines (Abatement Technologies PAS2400) equipped with brand new HEPA filters.	Patient room	SARS-CoV-2	When HEPA was present, ISO concentration was reduced until the next aerosolization indicating effectiveness of HEPA filtration. There was no control over particles that could escape the room, and significant accumulation of particles was observed when the HEPA machines were both off.
Li et al., 2007	Hong Kong	Observational	Investigate the ventilation of the wards after 18 months of operations and identified the major factors that affect ventilation effectiveness.	Pressure difference, airflow direction through doorways, air change rate and local ventilation effectiveness	SARS isolation room in ward	SARS 2003 Epidemic	When the door was closed in low pressure room, all tests showed inward airflow, suggesting there was no leakage of cubicle air into corridors. The findings that the local ventilation effectiveness is not uniform in all tested cubicles indicated that the air in these rooms is not well mixed.
Oberst et al., 2021	Germany	Observational	To determine whether the presence of a filter in a consultation room	HEPA filter with plasma and UV-light radiation	Consultation room in an orthopaedic clinic	Not reported	Use of filter led to a reduction in PM2.5 by over 50% compared with absence of the filter.

			can reduce airborne transmission				
Fennelly et al., 2022	Ireland	Non-randomised	To compare the effectiveness of natural ventilation and HEPA filtration, alone and in combination for clearing these aerosols from a legacy design ward bay using continuous measurements of airborne particles.	Natural ventilation and HEPA filtration	6 bed legacy ward bay undergoing refurbishment	Not reported	All ventilation types were successful in reducing PM2.5 concentrations, and the portable AFU successfully augmented natural ventilation in airborne particle. The 'windows open, AFU on' condition produced the lowest concentrations and highest clearance rate of PM2.5. The 'windows alone' condition was unable to reduce concentrations back to baseline levels without aid of the AFU.
Vokurka et al., 2013	Czech Republic	Prospective observational	To establish whether HEPA filtration was available within central and eastern European transplant centres and to obtain data about its impact on the incidence of pneumonia and mortality up to day 100 in patients after autologous and allogeneic HSCT.	HEPA	Transplant unit	Pneumonia	<p>Autologous HSCT group In respect to pneumonia incidence, there was no statistically significant impact of HEPA filtration presence or absence ($p=.73$) observed within the group. No differences in mortality up to day 100 post-transplant: 4.5% in HEPA vs. 4.9% in Non-HEPA - filtered rooms ($p=1.0$).</p> <p>Allogeneic HSCT group Pneumonia incidence, no impact of HEPA filtration presence or absence ($p=.09$) observed in this group.</p> <p>The pneumonia incidence in HEPA-filtered rooms was 18.254 (7%) vs. 6/35 (17%) in non-HEPA-filtered rooms ($p=.05$). There were no differences in mortality up to day 100 post-transplant: 14% in HEPA vs. 17% in Non-HEPA-filtered rooms ($p=0.6$).</p>

Buising et al., 2022	Australia	Observational	to study the airflow, transmission, and clearance of aerosols in the clinical spaces of a hospital ward that had been used to care for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and to examine the impact of portable air cleaners on aerosol clearance.	Air cleaners were domestic appliances (Samsung AX5500K) equipped with H13 HEPA filters capable of filtering 99.97% of particles at a clean air delivery rate of 467m3 per hour.	Ward previously used to care for COVID-19 patients. Ward had a long central corridor and 11 rooms, which usually accommodates 25 patient beds (4 single rooms with en suite bathrooms and 7 three-bed shared rooms, each with a shared en-suite bathroom).	Not reported	2 air cleaners in the patient's room with the door closed or open, the room cleared of 99% of all aerosols in 5.5 minutes (67% reduction). At the nurse's station, the smoke cleared more quickly in <3 minutes. Having the bathroom door open with exhaust fan running made negligible difference to clearance time.
Park et al., 2023	Korea (South)	Retrospective epidemiological	To investigate ventilation strategies to prevent nosocomial transmission of COVID-19	Ventilation system	study institution was a 725-bed referral-teaching hospital. 13 wards. The main building consisted of 10 floors. Each floor had 58–70 beds. Among them, 96.2% (304/ 316) were multi-bed rooms	Not reported	Building had a HVAC system with a capacity of air change rate of a supposed 6x per hour in the ward; the actual supply and exhaust air volume on 8 th floor ward was 1.44x per hour on average. Opening windows allowed for natural ventilation and minimised the spread of particles adjacent rooms.

					and 90.2% (285/316) were five- or six-patient rooms.		
Ryan et al, 2011	USA	Prospective observational	To test the hypothesis that enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (eUVGI) installed in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) heating ventilation and air conditioning system (HVAC) would decrease HVAC and NICU environment microbes, tracheal colonization and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).	Enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (eUVGI) in HVAC system	Neonatal intensive care unit	Ventilator assisted pneumonia	By approx. 6 weeks the HVACs had no visible contamination and by 6 months HVAC cultures were negative. NICU surface cultures approached zero during eUVGI ($p < 0.0001$). after HVAC was installed, VAP decreased to 55% after 6 months and to 44% at 18 months ($p = 0.04$).
Abdul Salam et al, 2010	Singapore	Retrospective epidemiological	To assess the impact of 48 portable HEPA filter units deployed in selected wards in Singapore General Hospital, an acute tertiary-care hospital in Singapore.	HEPA filter	Six wards that cater to different needs of patients	Invasive aspergillosis	In the wards in which portable HEPA filters were deployed, the incidence of IA of 34.61/100,000 patient-days during the preinstallation period decreased to 17.51/100,000 patient-days during the post-installation period ($p = 0.01$). Using all cases of proven, probable and possible IA, the risk of acquiring IA was significantly lower in the presence of portable HEPA filters, adjusted for presence of an immunosuppressive condition. Patients who were admitted to these

							wards after installation of portable HEPA units had ~51% lower risk of acquiring IA.
Al-Benna et al, 2021	South Africa	Review	To compare negative and positive pressure rooms and the advantages of a negative pressure environment in optimising clinical care and minimising the exposure of patients and health care professionals to SARS-CoV-2	Negative and positive pressure rooms	Hospitals in general	Not reported	N/A
Rezaei et al, 2020	Iran	Descriptive	To establish a novel technique for eliminating SARS-CoV-2 from cleanrooms HVAC systems using the recovered heat of exhaust air.	HVAC	Hospital air cleaning room	SARS-CoV-2	The temperature and relative humidity limits of the exiting air are reported to be in the range of 50-80 C and 40-50% respectively. The study can conclude that under such conditions, SARS-CoV-2 began disappearing rapidly.
Gola et al, 2020	Italy	Observational	To analyse of the present and main issues related to COVID-19 and to argue some strategies and best practices regarding indoor air and mechanical ventilation in healthcare settings,	Negative air pressure in new isolation units. Filtration of exhaust air. Cross-contamination and recirculation air flow.	Not specified	Not reported	Trends should require the introduction of high-efficiency filters in hard functional areas (ICUs), with the addition of highly efficient particle filtration of the central ventilation systems to reduce the airborne load of infectious particles. Efficient filtration units reduce local airborne loads and may respond to the need of each specific area.

			and their HVAC systems operation, maintenance and cleaning activities.	Terminal cleaning. Leakage test after absolute filters replacement. Use of room air purifiers units with HEPA filtration.			
Lee et al, 2021	Australia	Observational	To assess the effectiveness of aerosol filtrations by portable air cleaning devices with high-efficiency particulate air filters used in addition to a standard building heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) unit.	portable air cleaning devices	Single bed hospital room	Not reported	The resulting clearance time from the experimental data clearly indicate that portable air cleaning devices with high flow rates (16.7 and 19.6 ACH) reduced the clearance time significantly. Hospital room with an HVAC alone had a relatively high flow rate at baseline (13.9 ACH), but, when there were two air cleaning devices in the room (39.2 ACH in total), the clearance time was significantly improved to three times faster (<10 mins).
Rao et al, 2020	USA	Prospective observational	To assess whether portable PECO air purification in the paediatric hospital setting could improve health outcomes for patients admitted with respiratory distress.	PECO-equipped portable air filtration devices.	Paediatric hospital rooms		Rate of non-invasive ventilation use was 77% in the pre-intervention period and 23% in the post-intervention period. The decrease in non-invasive ventilation use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant. Rate of nebulizer use was 59% in the pre-intervention period and 41% in the post-intervention period. Decrease in nebuliser use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with

							the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant. Rate of intubation was 57.1% in the pre-intervention period and 43% in the post-intervention period. Decrease in rate of intubation in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.
Salmons mith et al, 2023	UK	Experimental	To investigate the effect of using portable air cleaners (PAC), a low-energy and low-cost alternative, to reduce the concentration of aerosols in typical patient consultation/procedure environments.	PAC	3 rooms: A laboratory room at UCL with inlet and outlet air ventilation panels. Two rooms in the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery. One room was a consulting room and the second was a procedure room. Neither room had ventilation panels.		PAC mitigation is very effective in cleaning the air of aerosols in these rooms with minimal other sources of air change. Both P1i and P2i closed were the best at reducing aerosol concentration in the fastest time. Correct use of PAC can reduce the half-life aerosols by 82% compared to the same indoor-environment without any ventilation and at an equivalent rate to built-in mechanical ventilation. The highest level of aerosol concentration measured when using PAC remains at least 46% lower than that when no mitigation is used, leads to significant reductions in the level of aerosol concentration, associated with transmission of droplet-based airborne diseases.
Morris et al., 2022	UK	Observational	Assess the removal of	AC1500 HEPA14/UV	Surgery ward and ICU	COVID-19	Able to detect airborne SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a repurposed COVID-19 'surge ward' and

			airborne SARS-CoV-2 in a hospital environment using combined air filtration and UV sterilisation technology	sterilizer (ward) and Medi 10 HEPA13/UV sterilizer (ICU)			found that air filtration can remove SARS-CoV-2 RNA below the limit of qpcr detection. SARS-CoV-2 was infrequently detected in the air of a 'surge ICU' however, the device retained its ability to reduce microbial bioaerosols.
Butler et al., 2023	UK	Case study	To assess aerosol transport within the ward and determine whether the ACU reduced airborne particulate matter (PM) levels.	Air cleaning unit (ACU)	Half a ward on 6 th floor of hospital, 3 side rooms each with a door and 2 six bedded bays open to a central corridor	Respiratory viruses (e.g. COVID-19)	Particles up to 10mm (beyond the 5mm aerosol/droplet cut-off used previously) travelled considerable distances around the ward, and that the ACU reduced PM levels of all sizes throughout the space, not just near the device.
Lu et al., 2021	China	Observational	1) The purpose of this study is to analyse the environmental parameters of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, to clarify the environmental characteristics	Natural ventilation	Outpatient department, fever clinic, office building and consulting room, observation and intensive care unit, CT room, isolation ward and other	COVID-19	Daily CO2 concentration in nursing station, doctor's office, and corridor of the waiting area of the hospital was lower than the theoretical limit, and during the period when the patients with confirmed COVID-19 stayed in the fever clinic, daily CO2 in the corridor of the waiting area was lower than the theoretical limit. The natural ventilation was good, meeting indoor ventilation dilution level required by infectious disease hospitals.

			of fever clinics during the COVID-19 epidemic. 2) to establish a control method of hospital infection in fever clinics combined with epidemic prevention, combined with the hospital's control measures for patients' behaviour.		consultation and waiting spaces, as well as densely staffed office area, covering total area of 4000 m2.		
Mousavi et al., 2020	USA	Observational	Determine the effectiveness and ideal placement of portable HEPA units. Evaluated the effectiveness of negative pressurisation, as well as a temporary anteroom structure on	Two portable High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) machines (Abatement Technologies PAS2400) equipped with brand new HEPA filters.	Patient room	SARS-CoV-2	When HEPA was present, ISO concentration was reduced until the next aerosolization indicating effectiveness of HEPA filtration. There was no control over particles that could escape the room, and significant accumulation of particles was observed when the HEPA machines were both off.

			minimising the dispersion of contaminants in the hospital space.				
Li et al., 2007	Hong Kong	Observational	Investigate the ventilation of the wards after 18 months of operations and identified the major factors that affect ventilation effectiveness.	Pressure difference, airflow direction through doorways, air change rate and local ventilation effectiveness	SARS isolation room in ward	SARS 2003 Epidemic	When the door was closed in low pressure room, all tests showed inward airflow, suggesting there was no leakage of cubicle air into corridors. The findings that the local ventilation effectiveness is not uniform in all tested cubicles indicated that the air in these rooms is not well mixed.
Oberst et al., 2021	Germany	Observational	To determine whether the presence of a filter in a consultation room can reduce airborne transmission	HEPA filter with plasma and UV-light radiation	Consultation room in an orthopaedic clinic	Not reported	Use of filter led to a reduction in PM2.5 by over 50% compared with absence of the filter.
Fennelly et al., 2022	Ireland	Non-randomised	To compare the effectiveness of natural ventilation and HEPA filtration, alone and in	Natural ventilation and HEPA filtration	6 bed legacy ward bay undergoing refurbishment	Not reported	All ventilation types were successful in reducing PM2.5 concentrations, and the portable AFU successfully augmented natural ventilation in airborne particle. The 'windows open, AFU on' condition produced the lowest concentrations and highest clearance rate of PM2.5. The 'windows alone' condition was unable to

			combination for clearing these aerosols from a legacy design ward bay using continuous measurements of airborne particles.				reduce concentrations back to baseline levels without aid of the AFU.
Vokurka et al., 2013	Czech Republic	Prospective observational	To establish whether HEPA filtration was available within central and eastern European transplant centres and to obtain data about its impact on the incidence of pneumonia and mortality up to day 100 in patients after autologous and allogeneic HSCT.	HEPA	Transplant unit	Pneumonia	<p>Autologous HSCT group In respect to pneumonia incidence, there was no statistically significant impact of HEPA filtration presence or absence ($p=.73$) observed within the group. No differences in mortality up to day 100 post-transplant: 4.5% in HEPA vs. 4.9% in Non-HEPA-filtered rooms ($p=1.0$).</p> <p>Allogeneic HSCT group Pneumonia incidence, no impact of HEPA filtration presence or absence ($p=.09$) observed in this group.</p> <p>The pneumonia incidence in HEPA-filtered rooms was 18.254 (7%) vs. 6/35 (17%) in non-HEPA-filtered rooms ($p=.05$). There were no differences in mortality up to day 100 post-transplant: 14% in HEPA vs. 17% in Non-HEPA-filtered rooms ($p=0.6$).</p>
Busing et al., 2022	Australia	Observational	to study the airflow, transmission,	Air cleaners were domestic appliances	Ward previously used to care for COVID-19	Not reported	2 air cleaners in the patient's room with the door closed or open, the room cleared of 99% of all aerosols in 5.5 minutes (67% reduction).

			and clearance of aerosols in the clinical spaces of a hospital ward that had been used to care for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and to examine the impact of portable air cleaners on aerosol clearance.	(Samsung AX5500K) equipped with H13 HEPA filters capable of filtering 99.97% of particles at a clean air delivery rate of 467m ³ per hour.	patients. Ward had a long central corridor and 11 rooms, which usually accommodates 25 patient beds (4 single rooms with en suite bathrooms and 7 three-bed shared rooms, each with a shared en-suite bathroom).		At the nurse's station, the smoke cleared more quickly in <3 minutes. Having the bathroom door open with exhaust fan running made negligible difference to clearance time.
Park et al., 2023	Korea (South)	Retrospective epidemiological	To investigate ventilation strategies to prevent nosocomial transmission of COVID-19	Ventilation system	study institution was a 725-bed referral-teaching hospital. 13 wards. The main building consisted of 10 floors. Each floor had 58–70 beds. Among them, 96.2% (304/ 316) were multi-bed rooms	Not reported	Building had a HVAC system with a capacity of air change rate of a supposed 6x per hour in the ward; the actual supply and exhaust air volume on 8 th floor ward was 1.44x per hour on average. Opening windows allowed for natural ventilation and minimised the spread of particles adjacent rooms.

					and 90.2% (285/316) were five- or six-patient rooms.		
Ryan et al, 2011	USA	Prospective observational	To test the hypothesis that enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (eUVGI) installed in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) heating ventilation and air conditioning system (HVAC) would decrease HVAC and NICU environment microbes, tracheal colonization and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).	Enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (eUVGI) in HVAC system	Neonatal intensive care unit	Ventilator assisted pneumonia	By approx. 6 weeks the HVACs had no visible contamination and by 6 months HVAC cultures were negative. NICU surface cultures approached zero during eUVGI (p<0.0001). after HVAC was installed, VAP decreased to 55% after 6 months and to 44% at 18 months (p=0.04).

Abdul Salam et al, 2010	Singapore	Retrospective epidemiological	To assess the impact of 48 portable HEPA filter units deployed in selected wards in Singapore General Hospital, an acute tertiary-care hospital in Singapore.	HEPA filter	Six wards that cater to different needs of patients	Invasive aspergillosis	<p>In the wards in which portable HEPA filters were deployed, the incidence of IA of 34.61/100,000 patient-days during the preinstallation period decreased to 17.51/100,000 patient-days during the post-installation period ($p=.01$).</p> <p>Using all cases of proven, probable and possible IA, the risk of acquiring IA was significantly lower in the presence of portable HEPA filters, adjusted for presence of an immunosuppressive condition. Patients who were admitted to these wards after installation of portable HEPA units had ~51% lower risk of acquiring IA.</p>
Al-Benna et al, 2021	South Africa	Review	To compare negative and positive pressure rooms and the advantages of a negative pressure environment in optimising clinical care and minimising the exposure of patients and health care professionals to SARS-CoV-2	Negative and positive pressure rooms	Hospitals in general	Not reported	N/A

Rezaei et al, 2020	Iran	Descriptive	To establish a novel technique for eliminating SARS-CoV-2 from cleanrooms HVAC systems using the recovered heat of exhaust air.	HVAC	Hospital air cleaning room	SARS-CoV-2	The temperature and relative humidity limits of the exiting air are reported to be in the range of 50-80 C and 40-50% respectively. The study can conclude that under such conditions, SARS-CoV-2 began disappearing rapidly.
Gola et al, 2020	Italy	Observational	To analyse of the present and main issues related to COVID-19 and to argue some strategies and best practices regarding indoor air and mechanical ventilation in healthcare settings, and their HVAC systems operation, maintenance and cleaning activities.	Negative air pressure in new isolation units. Filtration of exhaust air. Cross-contamination and recirculation air flow. Terminal cleaning. Leakage test after absolute filters replacement. Use of room air purifiers units with HEPA filtration.	Not specified	Not reported	Trends should require the introduction of high-efficiency filters in hard functional areas (ICUs), with the addition of highly efficient particle filtration of the central ventilation systems to reduce the airborne load of infectious particles. Efficient filtration units reduce local airborne loads and may respond to the need of each specific area.

Lee et al, 2021	Australia	Observational	To assess the effectiveness of aerosol filtrations by portable air cleaning devices with high-efficiency particulate air filters used in addition to a standard building heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) unit.	portable air cleaning devices	Single bed hospital room	Not reported	The resulting clearance time from the experimental data clearly indicate that portable air cleaning devices with high flow rates (16.7 and 19.6 ACH) reduced the clearance time significantly. Hospital room with an HVAC alone had a relatively high flow rate at baseline (13.9 ACH), but, when there were two air cleaning devices in the room (39.2 ACH in total), the clearance time was significantly improved to three times faster (<10 mins).
Rao et al, 2020	USA	Prospective observational	To assess whether portable PECO air purification in the paediatric hospital setting could improve health outcomes for patients admitted with respiratory distress.	PECO-equipped portable air filtration devices.	Paediatric hospital rooms		<p>Rate of non-invasive ventilation use was 77% in the pre-intervention period and 23% in the post-intervention period. The decrease in non-invasive ventilation use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.</p> <p>Rate of nebulizer use was 59% in the pre-intervention period and 41% in the post-intervention period. Decrease in nebuliser use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.</p> <p>Rate of intubation was 57.1% in the pre-intervention period and 43% in the post-</p>

							intervention period. Decrease in rate of intubation in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.
Salmonsmith et al, 2023	UK	Experimental	To investigate the effect of using portable air cleaners (PAC), a low-energy and low-cost alternative, to reduce the concentration of aerosols in typical patient consultation/procedure environments.	PAC	<p>3 rooms: A laboratory room at UCL with inlet and outlet air ventilation panels.</p> <p>Two rooms in the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery. One room was a consulting room and the second was a procedure room. Neither room had ventilation panels.</p>		<p>PAC mitigation is very effective in cleaning the air of aerosols in these rooms with minimal other sources of air change. Both P1i and P2i closed were the best at reducing aerosol concentration in the fastest time. Correct use of PAC can reduce the half-life aerosols by 82% compared to the same indoor-environment without any ventilation and at an equivalent rate to built-in mechanical ventilation.</p> <p>The highest level of aerosol concentration measured when using PAC remains at least 46% lower than that when no mitigation is used, leads to significant reductions in the level of aerosol concentration, associated with transmission of droplet-based airborne diseases.</p>

Table 1. Study characteristics

Findings

The findings from the studies can be divided into three themes. The first theme includes findings around the concentration of aerosol particles and the second theme discusses changes in air speed and ventilation and the third theme is improvements or reductions of health conditions as a result of interventions.

RQ1 & RQ3:

All 18 articles outlined interventions that are currently used to improve air flow in hospitals.

Concentration of aerosol particles

Conway Morris et al (2022) discussed the accumulation of aerosols depending on whether patients had respiratory support, suggesting that patients in ICU were commonly at a later stage of disease and as a result, may secrete fewer virus particles when they exhale. These findings suggest that aerosol precautions may, therefore, be more important in conventional wards than in well-defined aerosol risk areas.

Seven of the studies discussed the importance of ACUs, HEPA machines and filters in reducing the amount and size of aerosol particles in the air. Butler et al (2023) found that particles up to 10µm travelled considerable distances around a ward (beyond 2m), however, the ACU reduced the PM levels throughout the space (not just near the device). Oberst and Heinrich (2021) similarly reported that the addition of a filter into a consultation room could significantly reduce the risk of airborne transmission, with aerosol concentration decreasing by a minimum of 50%. Mousavi et al (2022) found that there was a significant accumulation of particles observed when the HEPA machines were both off, suggesting the key role of filtration in maintaining the air cleanliness. One study suggested that air ventilation (open windows) alone was unable to reduce concentrations back to baseline levels without the aid of an AFU, with the 'windows open, AFU on' condition producing the lowest concentrations and highest clearance rate of PM2.5 (Fennelly et al, 2022). Salmonsmit et al (2023) reported that PAC mitigation is very effective in clearing the air of aerosols in rooms, this could also reduce the half-life aerosols by 82%, Gola et al (2020) also found that filtration units reduced local airborne loads and suggested they should be used in hard functional areas such as ICUs. As Rezaei et al (2020) looked at cleaning rooms, they identified that a HVAC system provided exhaust air ranging from 50-80 degrees Celsius and with 40-50% humidity, under these conditions COVID-19 was observed to rapidly disappear improving infection control across whole hospitals.

Conversely, one study found that the existing ward HVAC system alone was inefficient when clearing a patient room of aerosols and that commercially available air cleaners may have a key role in clearing aerosolized particles that may contain respiratory viruses, such as SARS-CoV-2, in clinical environments (Busing et al, 2022).

Changes in/ effect of air speed and ventilation

When looking at measuring ventilation changes as a result of changes in the number of people in the room, it was found that, when there had been a failure to 'dilute' the air through ventilation, it was necessary to increase the ventilation rate (either naturally or through mechanical ventilation) or reduce

the amount of people allowed in the room (Lu et al, 2021). When testing the movement of air in a sealed ward one study found that, when the door was closed, all tested wards had inward airflow or there was no outward airflow, suggesting that these new SARS wards are effective in securing no-leakage of cubicle air into the corridors, even when some of the cubicles failed to maintain a negative pressure difference (Li et al, 2007). Portable air cleaning units with high flow rates (16.7 & 19.6 ACH) reduced clearance time significantly, two ACUs in a room reduced the clearance time to under 10 minutes (Lee et al, 2021). Ryan et al (2011) looked at changes after introducing enhanced UV germicidal irradiation in HVAC systems, after six-weeks contamination on the system was not detected and at 6-months HVAC cultures were near zero ($p < 0.0001$), showing the eUVGI to be extremely effective in reducing contamination.

One study found that, even though HEPA filtration and its regular monitoring were declared to be used, the authors could not collect objective data verifying its real efficacy and function on site (Vokurka et al, 2014). Similarly, Park et al (2023) found that even with a ventilation system, opening windows allowed for natural ventilation and minimised the spread of particles to adjacent rooms.

Improvements or reduction in health conditions

Three articles outlined improvements in health conditions as a result of an intervention to improve air flow. Ryan et al (2011) reported after using an enhanced UV germicidal irradiation in HVAC systems, ventilator-assisted pneumonia (VAP) was decreased to 55% after 6-months and 44% after 18-months. Abdul-Salam et al (2010) found that after HEPA filters were installed, incidences of invasive aspergillosis (IA) significantly decreased, individuals admitted after the HEPA filters were installed had around 51% lower risk of acquiring IA. Rao et al (2020) found improvements after the implementation of portable PECO air purifiers. Pre to post-intervention for patients showed non-invasive ventilation improved from 77% to 23%, rate of nebuliser use from 59% to 41% and rate of intubation from 57.1% to 43%, however, these findings were not statistically significant.

RQ2: Evaluations

A description of the intervention being evaluated (and whether a control condition has been used) and the evaluation findings are presented in table 2.

MMAT findings

Two articles were not included in the quality appraisal as they were reviews and included to answer only RQ1. All five questions were not applicable for each study, therefore quality was assessed on the questions which are applicable, details are given in appendix 3. Seven articles were categorised as high quality, ranging from 80-100% of criteria met, five articles met criteria for medium quality (40-60%) and only one article met criteria for low quality at 25%. As this appraisal tool is mainly used to assess studies with human participants, the questions not applicable for our included studies were non-response bias and questions regarding sampling.

Author	Description of Evaluation	Evaluation findings
Morris et al, 2022	Crossover evaluation, with the primary outcome being detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the various size fractions of air samples. Differences in numbers of pathogens detected with filters on and off were compared.	Able to detect airborne SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a repurposed COVID-19 “surge ward” and found that air filtration can remove SARS-CoV-2 RNA below the limit of qPCR detection. SARS-CoV-2 was infrequently detected in the air of a “surge ICU”; however, the device retained its ability to reduce microbial bioaerosols.
Oberst & Heinrich, 2021	The presence of aerosols was measured in the room both with the filter present and absent from the room during routine consultations.	Reduction in PM2.5 by over 50% when the filter was on.
Fennelly et al, 2022	“Window alone condition. ” three top hinged windows opened during this condition.	Highest calculated ACH was observed during the 'windows open, AFU on' condition. Mean PM2.5 clearance rate was significantly ($p<.01$) higher in the 'windows open, AFU on' condition compared with the 'AFU alone' condition, which, in turn, was significantly higher than the 'windows alone' conditions. Operation of the AFU, with or without open windows, reduced inter-monitor PM2.5 variations significantly.
Buising et al, 2022	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Effect of installation of portable air cleaners in the patient room vs. usual HVAC on aerosols within and external to the room. 2) effect of patient room door open vs door closed on aerosols outside the room 3) effect of installation of variable number of portable air cleaners in the corridor on aerosols in the corridor 4) effect of installation of different barriers to enclose the nurse's station on aerosols in within the nurses' station 	Using measurements within the patient room, at usual HVAC settings and with a closed door, it took 16 minutes for the aerosols in the patient room to clear back down to 1% of the baseline maximum measurable by the instruments. The relative amount of aerosols in the nurses’ station compared to the patient room was highly variable representing 25%–50% of the room’s concentration with the door closed using 2 air cleaners. When 2 air cleaners were placed in the patient room with the door closed or open, the room cleared of 99% of all aerosols in 5.5 minutes (a 67% reduction compared with no air cleaners). Having the bathroom door open with exhaust fan running made a negligible difference to the clearance time.

Li et al, 2007	<p>All isolation rooms could be operated in two modes, the SARS mode (i.e., operated with 100% fresh air) and the non-SARS mode (i.e., 70% air re-circulated). The study measured:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. pressure difference, airflow 2. direction through doorways, 3. air change rate and local 4. ventilation effectiveness 	<p>1 & 2): When the door was closed, all tested wards had inward airflow or there was no outward airflow, suggesting that these new SARS wards are effective in securing no-leakage of cubicle air into the corridors, even when some of the cubicles failed to maintain a negative pressure difference higher than 2.5 Pa.</p> <p>3) Despite the fact that these SARS wards were designed nearly at the same time, with the state-of-the-art technologies, we still found that of the 35 tested cubicles, 26% had the air change rate less than 12 ACH, and all failed cubicles were from 3 of the 9 hospitals.</p> <p>4) The findings that the local ventilation effectiveness was not uniform in all tested cubicles indicated that the air in these rooms was not well mixed.</p>
Abdul-Salam et al, 2010	Room(s) with HEPA filters vs. Room(s) without HEPA filters	No changes were seen in wards with no HEPA filtration, compared with improvements in cases of IA.
Lee et al, 2021	<p>Clean room</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) control room HVAC 2) Air cleaning device A 3) Air cleaning device B 4) Air cleaning device C <p>Hospital room</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Hospital HVAC 2) 2x air cleaning device C and hospital HVAC 	<p>In the small control room, the aerosols were almost completely cleared 4-5x faster (<12 mins) with portable air cleaning devices than the control room with the HVAC system alone (2.3 ACH). The low-flow-rate portable air cleaning device with 8.3 ACH was still significantly better than the HVAC system alone. Hospital room with an HVAC alone had a relatively high flow rate at baseline (13.9 ACH), but, when there were two air cleaning devices in the room (39.2 ACH in total), the clearance time was significantly improved to three times faster (<10 mins).</p>

Rao et al, 2020	Pre-intervention vs. post-intervention	<p>Rate of non-invasive ventilation use was 77% in the pre-intervention period and 23% in the post-intervention period. The decrease in non-invasive ventilation use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.</p> <p>Rate of nebulizer use was 59% in the pre-intervention period and 41% in the post-intervention period. Decrease in nebuliser use in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.</p> <p>Rate of intubation was 57.1% in the pre-intervention period and 43% in the post-intervention period. Decrease in rate of intubation in the pre-intervention cohort compared with the post-intervention cohort was statistically non-significant.</p>
--------------------	--	---

Table 2. Evaluations of interventions and main evaluation findings.

Discussion

The aim of this rapid evidence review was to identify interventions being used in hospitals to improve air flow. We found that ventilation needs to be improved to prevent airborne transmission (Lu et al, 2021) and ventilation can be optimised by simple interventions such as keeping doors on the corridor side closed and frequently ventilating with windows (Park et al, 2023) or placing patients in beds on opposite sides of a ward first rather than next to each other, to minimise aerosol transmission (Li et al, 2007).

HEPA filtration was shown to be effective in multiple studies. Butler et al (2023) measured particulate matter (PM) levels throughout a ward before and after activation of a HEPA/UV-C air-cleaning unit and found its activation to significantly reduce PM levels. Additionally, these levels were reduced throughout the ward, not solely near the device (Butler et al, 2023). Mousavi looked specifically at optimising the location of portable air purifying units and found that it is best placed near the patient's bed (Mousavi et al, 2020). Similarly, Conway Morris et al (2021) detected COVID in the air before activating their HEPA + UV-C unit, but not once it was in use (Conway Morris et al, 2022), Oberst also came to the same conclusion (Oberst & Heinrich, 2021). All the findings suggest portable air filtration devices can improve patient and healthcare worker safety by reducing airborne transmission. This suggestion was supported by the finding that transplant patients treated in HEPA-filtered rooms experienced lower incidences of pneumonia than those in rooms without HEPA filtration (Vokurka et al, 2014).

In addition to their clear clinical benefits, HEPA filters are easy to deploy and cost effective. Busing et al (2014) recommends further that doors to patient rooms be kept closed while these devices are in use, to optimise air cleaner function (Busing et al, 2022). Lastly, Fennelly stresses that a combination of natural ventilation and HEPA-filtration is more effective than either method alone. This study found that an air filtration unit further increased clearance of airborne particles, but that the best clearance rate came from this device being on and the windows being open (Fennelly et al, 2023). Therefore, best reduction of airborne transmission can be achieved by maximising the efficacy of natural ventilation and air-filtration devices.

Three different devices lead to improvements or reductions in health conditions, ventilator-assisted pneumonia decreased after the implementation of an eUVGI in a HVAC system (Ryan et al, 2011), invasive aspergillosis incidences decreased after installing a HEPA filter (Abdul-Salam et al, 2010) and decreases in non-invasive ventilation, nebuliser use and intubation after using a portable PECO air purifier (Rao et al, 2020).

Need for further research

The authors that examined the efficacy of natural ventilation called for further studies investigating the effect of the addition of air purifiers (Park et al, 2023). The studies that investigated the combined effect of natural ventilation with air purifiers such as HEPA filters and UV sterilisation suggested exposure to real viral particles such as SARS-COV-2 (Oberst & Heinrich, 2021) and measuring the presence or absence of infection in healthcare professionals and patients as an outcome (Conway Morris et al, 2022). Conway Morris et al (2022) also called for an assessment of the potential harm of adding air purifiers to medical wards, through effects on noise, reduced ambient humidity, and impact on the

delivery of care (Conway Morris et al, 2022). Studies that used ACUs suggest investigating their effect in larger rooms with assessments of multiple small ACUs vs. a small number of higher flow rate ACUs, they also suggest examining the best placement of these units to maximise clearance of air and particles. Ryan et al (2011) suggested that DNA testing would definitively link HVAC and NICU environmental reservoirs with patient's organisms, they suggested this be done in a formal RCT and would therefore, provide comprehensive findings.

Strengths and limitations

This review was strengthened but also limited by time restrictions, search terms and the number of databases searched. These are key features of rapid reviews allowing for swift reports, although only four databases were searched they are central databases for the research conducted. This review was also strengthened by having three reviewers searching for articles and cross-checking the relevance of peer-reviewed articles. The MMAT was used to assess the quality of the included publications, this was difficult for the majority of studies which were classed as quantitative descriptive. A lot of the MMAT questions were not appropriate for the included studies, this makes it difficult to be certain of their quality. The eight evaluation studies were a strength of this review, with most evaluations having several conditions to allow comparison between the groups. Articles that also included pre- and post-intervention groups effectively highlighted the impact and difference specific units or methods made.

Conclusions

There are numerous methods currently being used in hospitals to improve airflow, air cleaning units (ACUs), natural ventilation, low pressurised rooms, ACUs with HEPA filters or a combination of methods. Eight studies provided evaluation results and suggest that a combination of the methods listed above, usually ACUs, HEPA filters and natural ventilation are the most effective methods to reduce PM levels. Papers identified that the air change rates currently in use were not often enough to ensure effective air filtration of the room and to maintain the filters effectiveness, their efficiency must be monitored regularly. Finally, articles also outlined the importance of air flow in hospitals to reduce infections, identifying that using HVAC, HEPA or ACUs does improve patient outcomes, in terms of infection but also in nebulisation, intubation and non-invasive ventilation.

References

- Al-Benna, S. (2021). Negative pressure rooms and COVID-19. *Journal of perioperative practice*, 31(1-2), 18-23.
- Buising, K. L., Schofield, R., Irving, L., Keywood, M., Stevens, A., Keogh, N., ... & Marshall, C. (2022). Use of portable air cleaners to reduce aerosol transmission on a hospital coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) ward. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43(8), 987-992.
- Butler, M. J., Sloof, D., Peters, C., Morris, A. C., Gouliouris, T., Thaxter, R., ... & Beggs, C. B. (2023). Impact of supplementary air filtration on aerosols and particulate matter in a UK hospital ward: a case study. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 135, 81-89.
- Conway Morris, A., Sharrocks, K., Bousfield, R., Kermack, L., Maes, M., Higginson, E., ... & Navapurkar, V. (2022). The removal of airborne severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and other microbial bioaerosols by air filtration on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) surge units. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 75(1), e97-e101.
- Fennelly, M., Hellebust, S., Wenger, J., O'Connor, D., Griffith, G. W., Plant, B. J., & Prentice, M. B. (2023). Portable HEPA filtration successfully augments natural-ventilation-mediated airborne particle clearance in a legacy design hospital ward. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 131, 54-57.
- Gola, M., Caggiano, G., De Giglio, O., Napoli, C., Diella, G., Carlucci, M., ... & Montagna, M. T. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 indoor contamination: Considerations on anti-COVID-19 management of ventilation systems, and finishing materials in healthcare facilities. *ANNALI DI IGIENE MEDICINA PREVENTIVA E DI COMUNITÀ*, 33(4), 381-392.
- Hong, Q. N., Fàbregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., Dagenais, P., ... & Pluye, P. (2018). The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Education for information*, 34(4), 285-291.
- Lee, J. H., Rounds, M., McGain, F., Schofield, R., Skidmore, G., Wadlow, I., ... & Monty, J. (2022). Effectiveness of portable air filtration on reducing indoor aerosol transmission: preclinical observational trials. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 119, 163-169.
- Li, Y., Ching, W. H., Qian, H., Yuen, P. L., Seto, W. H., Kwan, J. K., ... & Yu, S. C. T. (2007). An evaluation of the ventilation performance of new SARS isolation wards in nine hospitals in Hong Kong. *Indoor and Built Environment*, 16(5), 400-410.
- Lu, Y. R., Li, Y. F., Lin, M. G., Liu, L., Lin, B. R., Zhou, H., ... & Xu, H. J. (2021). Environmental monitoring and infection control of fever clinics in general hospitals during COVID-19 pandemic. *Chinese Science Bulletin-Chinese*, 475-485.
- Mousavi, E. S., Pollitt, K. J. G., Sherman, J., & Martinello, R. A. (2020). Performance analysis of portable HEPA filters and temporary plastic anterooms on the spread of surrogate coronavirus. *Building and environment*, 183, 107186.
- Oberst, M., & Heinrich, A. (2021). Effect of a mobile room air filter on the aerosol burden in surgical examination rooms against the background of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Der Unfallchirurg*, 124, 362-365.

Park, S. Y., Yu, J., Bae, S., Song, J. S., Lee, S. Y., Kim, J. H., ... & Lee, E. (2023). Ventilation strategies based on an aerodynamic analysis during a large-scale SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in an acute-care hospital. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, *165*, 105502.

Rao, N. G., Kumar, A., Colon, C., & Goswami, D. Y. (2020). Impact of a new portable air purification technology device in the pediatric hospital setting—a pre-post assessment study. *Cureus*, *12*(3).

Raymenants, J., Geenen, C., Budts, L., Thibaut, J., Thijssen, M., De Mulder, H., ... & André, E. (2023). Indoor air surveillance and factors associated with respiratory pathogen detection in community settings in Belgium. *Nature Communications*, *14*(1), 1332.

Rezaei, N., Jafari, M., Nazari, A., Salehi, S., Talati, F., Torab, R., & Nejad-Rahim, R. (2020). A novel methodology and new concept of SARS-CoV-2 elimination in heating and ventilating air conditioning systems using waste heat recovery. *AIP advances*, *10*(8).

Ryan, R. M., Wilding, G. E., Wynn, R. J., Welliver, R. C., Holm, B. A., & Leach, C. L. (2011). Effect of enhanced ultraviolet germicidal irradiation in the heating ventilation and air conditioning system on ventilator-associated pneumonia in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Journal of Perinatology*, *31*(9), 607-614.

Salam, Z. H. A., Karlin, R. B., Ling, M. L., & Yang, K. S. (2010). The impact of portable high-efficiency particulate air filters on the incidence of invasive aspergillosis in a large acute tertiary-care hospital. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *38*(4), e1-e7.

Salmonsmith, J., Ducci, A., Balachandran, R., Guo, L., Torii, R., Houlihan, C., ... & Lovat, L. B. (2023). Use of portable air purifiers to reduce aerosols in hospital settings and cut down the clinical backlog. *Epidemiology & Infection*, *151*, e21.

Tricco, A. C., Langlois, E., Straus, S. E., & World Health Organization. (2017). *Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide*. World Health Organization.

Vokurka, S., Bystrická, E., Svoboda, T., Škoda Gorican, I. K., Sever, M., Mazur, E., ... & Bocková, J. (2014). The availability of HEPA-filtered rooms and the incidence of pneumonia in patients after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT): results from a prospective, multicentre, eastern E European study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *23*(11-12), 1648-1652.

Appendices

1) Search Strategy

Themes

#1 Outcome terms

Exp Virus Diseases/ OR Exp Respiratory Tract Infections/ OR "respiratory infection*".ti,ab. OR "respiratory virus*".ti,ab. OR "respiratory tract infections".ti,ab. OR Exp Pneumonia/ OR Exp COVID-19/ OR Exp SARS-CoV-2/ OR Exp Coronavirus/ OR Coronavirus*.ti,ab. OR "Coronavirus infection*".ti,ab. OR 2019-nCoV.ti,ab. OR 2019 ncov.ti,ab. OR nCov.ti,ab. OR Covid19.ti,ab. OR SARSCoV-2.ti,ab. OR "novel coronavirus".ti,ab. OR "novel corona virus".ti,ab. OR covid*.ti,ab. OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome".ti,ab. OR "coronavirus 2".ti,ab. OR "coronavirus disease".ti,ab. OR "corona virus disease".ti,ab. OR "new coronavirus".ti,ab. OR "new corona virus".ti,ab. OR "new coronaviruses".ti,ab. OR "novel coronaviruses".ti,ab. OR Sars.ti,ab. OR "sars corona virus".ti,ab. OR "respiratory infectious disease*".ti,ab. OR "acute respiratory disease*".ti,ab. OR "influenza like illness".ti,ab. OR Exp Pandemics/ OR Pandemic*.ti,ab. OR "respiratory disease".ti,ab.

#2 Intervention terms

("filtrate"[All Fields] OR "filtrated"[All Fields] OR "filtrates"[All Fields] OR "filtrating"[All Fields] OR "filtration"[MeSH Terms] OR "filtration"[All Fields] OR "filtrations"[All Fields] OR ("recirculate"[All Fields] OR "recirculated"[All Fields] OR "recirculates"[All Fields] OR "recirculating"[All Fields] OR "recirculation"[All Fields] OR "recirculations"[All Fields]) OR ("ventilated"[All Fields] OR "ventilates"[All Fields] OR "ventilating"[All Fields] OR "ventilation"[MeSH Terms] OR "ventilation"[All Fields] OR "ventilate"[All Fields] OR "ventilations"[All Fields] OR "ventillation"[All Fields]) OR "natural ventilation"[All Fields] OR "heating"[All Fields] OR "HVAC"[All Fields] OR (("mechanical"[All Fields] OR "mechanically"[All Fields] OR "mechanicals"[All Fields] OR "mechanics"[MeSH Terms] OR "mechanics"[All Fields] OR "mechanic"[All Fields])

AND "systems"[All Fields]) OR "system"[All Fields] OR "system s"[All Fields] OR "systems"[All Fields])) OR "Airconditioning"[All Fields] OR (("ventilated"[All Fields] OR "ventilates"[All Fields] OR "ventilating"[All Fields] OR "ventilation"[MeSH Terms] OR "ventilation"[All Fields] OR "ventilate"[All Fields] OR "ventilations"[All Fields] OR "ventilator s"[All Fields] OR "ventilators, mechanical"[MeSH Terms] OR ("ventilators"[All Fields]

AND "mechanical"[All Fields]) OR "mechanical ventilators"[All Fields] OR "ventilator"[All Fields] OR "ventilators"[All Fields] OR "ventillation"[All Fields]) OR (("indoor"[All Fields] OR "indoors"[All Fields]) ("airflow"[All Fields] OR "airflows"[All Fields]) OR ("aerosol s"[All Fields] OR "aerosolic"[All Fields] OR "aerosol generating procedures"[All Fields] OR "aerosol generating procedure"[All Fields] OR "aerosolization"[All Fields] OR "aerosolizations"[All Fields] OR "aerosolize"[All Fields] OR "aerosolized"[All Fields] OR "aerosolizer"[All Fields] OR "aerosolizes"[All Fields] OR "aerosolizing"[All Fields] OR "aerosols"[MeSH Terms] OR "aerosols"[All Fields] OR "aerosol"[All Fields]) OR (("airborn"[All Fields] OR "airborne"[All Fields])

AND ("precaution"[All Fields] OR "precautions"[All Fields])) AND (y_1[Filter])

#3 Environment terms

Hospitals [tiab] OR Clinic [tiab] OR Clinics [tiab] OR Infirmary [tiab] OR "healthcare facilities" [tiab] OR "healthcare facility" [tiab] OR "health care facilities" [tiab] OR "health care facility" [tiab] OR "medical centre" [tiab] OR "health centre" [tiab] OR "emergency department" [tiab] OR ED [tiab] OR "accident and emergency" [tiab] OR "a and e" [tiab] OR Exp hospital* OR "emergency room" [tiab] OR Ward [tiab]

Strategy

For the initial exploration of results to determine sensitivity versus breadth we are looking at:

1 + 2 + 3

Final strategy and search

#1 Outcome terms

"respiratory infection" OR "respiratory virus" OR "respiratory tract infections" OR Pneumonia
OR COVID-19 OR SARS-CoV-2 OR influenza

#2 Intervention terms

"HEPA filters" OR HEPA OR "UV-C systems" OR UV-C OR "laminar air flow systems" OR "filtration" OR "recirculation" OR "airflow"

1 + 2

Date range: No time restriction

Website searching

- 1) The Cochrane Library: 1867 results
- 2) Web of Science: 3344 results
- 3) MEDLINE: 1298 results

2) Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion	Exclusion
Intervention	
Interventions improving airflow e.g. ventilation (natural or mechanical), recirculation, filtration	Any intervention that does not outline improving airflow. Face masks and respirators.
Outcome	
Any respiratory virus(es)/infection(s) COVID-19 Pneumonia Influenza	Any other nonrelated health problem
Environment	
Any hospital/healthcare setting e.g., clinics, hospitals, a&e, wards	An environment that is not in a healthcare environment which includes GP practices, care homes, clinics and dentists.
Methodology	
RQ1: description of intervention used to improve air flow in hospitals	All RQs: Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, dissertation, editorials and conference abstracts No feasibility studies
RQ2 &3: Trials, experimental studies, quantitative studies (observational)	
Other	
Any publication date Any language All countries Described/evaluated in peer-reviewed publications	

