Clinical and genetic associations of asymmetric apical and septal left ventricular hypertrophy

Victoria Yuan¹, Milos Vukadinovic^{1,2}, Alan C. Kwan², Florian Rader², Debiao Li³, David Ouyang^{2,4}

- 1. School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA
- 2. Department of Cardiology, Smidt Heart Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA
- 3. Biomedical Imaging Research Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA
- Division of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Department of Medicine, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

Contact: <u>David.ouyang@cshs.org</u>

Abstract

Increased left ventricular mass has been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes including incident cardiomyopathy and atrial fibrillation. Such associations have been studied in relation to total left ventricular hypertrophy, while the regional distribution of myocardial hypertrophy is extremely variable and the clinical significant and genetic associations of such variability requires further study. Here, we use deep learning derived phenotypes of disproportionate patterns of hypertrophy, such as apical hypertrophy and septal hypertrophy, to study genome-wide and clinical associations in addition to and independent from total left ventricular mass within 35,268 UK Biobank participants. Adjusting for total left ventricular mass, apical hypertrophy is associated with elevated risk for cardiomyopathy and atrial fibrillation, and the risk for cardiomyopathy was increased for subjects with increased apical or septal mass even in the absence of global hypertrophy. We identified seventeen genome-wide associations for left ventricular mass, three unique associations with increased apical mass, and three additional unique associations with increased septal mass. Further studies are needed in multi-ethnic cohorts.

Introduction

Left ventricular (LV) mass is associated with genetic variants of hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy, and cardiovascular outcomes such as stroke, arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death^{1,2}. Increased total LV mass can reflect disease progression, such as a result of longstanding hypertension or valvular disease, while disproportionate or early onset hypertrophy can provide additional insight into genetic etiologies of myocardial hypertrophy. While there are known clinical patterns of asymmetric hypertrophy^{3,4}, including septal and apical hypertrophy patterns^{5–7} in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)^{1,5,6}, there are few studies detailing the genetic determinants of focal or disproportionate hypertrophy⁷. Studies of asymmetric cardiomyopathy have been limited by cohort size and incomplete characterization by echoacardiography, such that the genetics of patterns of increased mass are not thoroughly explored^{5,7,8}.

Deep learning-enabled high throughput evaluation of cardiac imaging opens an avenue for largescale studies of cardiac phenotypes^{9,10,11}. The ability to precisely phenotype cardiac imaging¹² and combining underlying genetic information allows for the interrogation of a wide range of phenotypes and clinically meaningful traits. The United Kingdom BioBank (UKBB) initiative, particularly with the imaging cohorts which include cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging have been leveraged to understand the genetics of cardiovascular form and function^{9,13,14}. With high fidelity imaging with CMR, deep learning can precisely and reproducibly evaluate imaging structures^{10,12}. Previous studies have already evaluated LV wall thickness and mass^{9,13,14}, finding strong associations with *TTN* and *CDKN1A* among other variants. In this work, we sought to build upon this foundation to evaluate the impact of focal hypertrophy.

Distinguishing between subtypes of LV hypertrophy may improve risk stratification² and motivate targeted therapies¹⁵. Our study developed a deep learning algorithm to estimate total LV mass (LVM), apical LV mass, and septal mass from CMR images of 35,268 UKBB participants. These quantifications were used to examine the genetic basis for asymmetric LV mass and the associations and additional risk of isolated septal and apical hypertrophy with cardiovascular outcomes. Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs), gene set analysis, and chromatin interaction mapping were performed to further characterize the functional impact of these loci.

Results

Characterizing apical and septal mass in the UKBB cohort

We established a cohort of 35,268 individuals with measurable total LV, apex, and septum mass from the short axis CMR images (**Figure 1**). Subjects were excluded if images were low-quality or did not fully demonstrating the apex or septum (**Supplementary Figure 1**). LV septal and apical mass were both normally distributed with higher mean values observed among males (**Supplementary Figure 2**). There

was modest correlation between apical mass and total LV mass ($r^2 = 0.44$) but a higher correlation between septal mass and LV mass ($r^2 = 0.74$). Neither septal mass nor apical mass were significantly correlated with other traditional imaging measurements of the LV (**Figure 2**).

Focal hypertrophy's association with incident cardiovascular disease

Given the correlation between focal regional mass and total left ventricular mass, we assessed the individual and combined contribution of increased mass and its association with incident cardiomyopathy (**Figure 3**). Hypertrophy was defined as exceeding sex-specific 90th percentile, and we identified subjects with global LVH, isolated apical hypertrophy without global hypertrophy, and isolated septal hypertrophy without global hypertrophy. Using a Cox proportional hazards model, subjects with global hypertrophy had the highest risk of cardiomyopathy (hazard ratio (HR) = 9.28, 95% confidence interval = [5.34, 16.11]). In parallel, isolated apical hypertrophy without the presence of global hypertrophy also conferred a higher risk of cardiomyopathy (HR = 2.69 [1.15, 6.28]) and isolated septal hypertrophy conferred a higher risk (HR = 4.41 [1.69, 11.53]). Our findings suggest that isolated regional hypertrophy confers excess risk for incident cardiomyopathy independent of global hypertrophy.

In addition to binary classification as hypertrophy, we investigated whether quantitative apical mass and septal mass are independent risk factors for incident cardiovascular disease. Using a Cox proportional hazards model, we found that increased apical, septal, and global mass predicts incident cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, and myocardial infarction (Supplementary Figure 3). One standard deviation increase in LVM (22.29 g) was associated with a HR of 2.27 [2.02-2.55] increased risk for cardiomyopathy, and one standard deviation increase in apical mass (5.58 g) was associated with a HR of 2.89 [2.47, 3.51] for increased risk for cardiomyopathy. To test whether increased focal mass have independent predictive value beyond global LVM, we performed Cox analysis with models including both LVM and apical mass (M^{LVM+apical}) and both LVM and septal mass (M^{LVM+septal}). In M^{LVM+apical}, in addition to a significant HR for global LVM, apical mass was also independent predictor of cardiomyopathy and atrial fibrillation. In contrast, in M^{LVM+septal}, the HR for septal mass completely attenuated with adjustment for global LVM. Our results suggest septal mass predicts incident disease by proxying global LVM, while apical mass provides additive independent predictive value for incident cardiovascular disease.

Genome-wide studies of CMR-derived global LVM, apical, and septal mass

We performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of apical and septal mass in 34,421 individuals who met CMR image and genetic quality criteria and compared the results with the genetic associations of global LVM (**Figure 4**). For global LVM, seventeen independent variants reached genome-wide significance (**Table 1**), including many genes previously recognized to be associated with

cardiovascular disease. *BAG3*, *BTN3A2* and other identified variants include those previously associated with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, restrictive cardiomyopathy, mitral valve disease, and left ventricular dilation^{16–25}. GWAS of septal mass identified three unique genes (*HIVEP3*, *ESYT3*, and *HMGA1*) and nine genes shared with LVM. Among loci shared between the septal and LVM GWASs, *TTN* is an established gene for familial DCM, *GDF5* promotes cardiomyocyte survival, and loss of *GDF5* is associated with LV dilation and contractile dysfunction²⁴. Of the genes unique to septal mass, *HIVEP3* is a transcription factor that is differentially methylated in HCM²⁶, *ESYT3* is part of a genetic module that is differentially expressed in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy²⁷, and *HMGA1* regulates cardiomyocyte growth with roles in concentric cardiac hypertrophy, myocardial infarction, and inflammation^{28–30}.

For apical mass, we identified three distinct loci that were not previously associated with total LVM or septal mass and that have not been previously associated with apical hypertrophy^{3,31}. *CASQ2* encodes the protein calsequestrin, with pathologic variants results in aberrant calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, contractile dysfunction, dilated cardiomyopathy, and catecholaminergic ventricular arrhythmia without structural heart disease^{32,33}. *PLN* plays a causal role in dilated, hypertrophic, arrhythmogenic, and familial cardiomyopathies^{34,35}. *MAPT-AS1*, which has been previously correlated with LVM⁹ and crosssectional area of the septal wall³⁶, regulates sarcomere assembly and function³⁷; its dysfunction has been attributed to heart failure with preserved ejection fraction³⁸.

Functional investigation of markers for apical and septal mass

We leveraged eQTL analysis and Hi-C chromatin mapping to annotate the functions of significant variants of apical and septal mass. eQTL analysis of apical mass variants reveals that the lead variant is associated with increased expression of *CASQ2* in the left ventricle, atrial appendage, and coronary artery (**Supplementary Table 1**). With Hi-C chromatin mapping, we also identified interactions between *CASQ2* and *NHLH2*, a gene with elevated expression in DCM³⁹, and between *CASQ2* and *VANGL1*, which is involved in the development of cardiac outflow tracts⁴⁰. Additionally, *PLN* interacts with *ASF1A*, a gene involved with cardiogenic mesoderm development and associated with ventricular and atrial septal defects. The lead variant for *PLN* is associated with increased expression in the LV and has chromatin contacts with *KANSL1*, which modulates congenital heart defects, and *WNT3*, which regulates cardiomyocyte differentiation and cardiac mesoderm^{21–23,41}. To further investigate the physiological function of genes associated with apical mass, we performed gene set analysis. We found strong associations with networks for cardiomyocyte contraction by calcium ion signaling (p = 4.01e-5), regulation of sequestered calcium ion release for cardiac muscle contraction (p = 5.25e-5), and cell communication by electrical coupling (p = 6.54e-6).

Applying this analysis to variants governing septal mass, we found that *HIVEP3* interacts with *EDN2*, a risk factor for atrial fibrillation in individuals with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy⁴². *GDF5*, which is shared between the total LV and septal mass phenotypes, has chromatin contacts with *MYH7B*, which in turn activates the CaMK signaling pathway involved in the pathophysiology of HCM⁴³. *ESYT3* is associated with enriched expression in the coronary arteries (**Supplementary Table 1**). Finally, with gene set analysis, we discovered that genes governing septal mass are significantly associated with pathways for the morphogenesis and development of the atria ($p_{Bon} = 0.0087$), septum ($p_{Bon} = 0.0122$), and striated muscle ($p_{Bon} = 0.0042$).

Discussion

In this study, we leveraged deep learning to identify novel genetic variants of CMR-derived total LV, apical, and septal mass in over 35,000 individuals and established their relationship to incident cardiovascular disease. Notably, apical and septal hypertrophy without increased total LVM both conferred independent excess risk for cardiomyopathy, and apical mass had unique genetic loci compared to prior associations with septal and total LVM. Our findings emphasize the diagnostic importance of focal hypertrophy, which may confer independent as well as additive risk for incident cardiovascular disease. Through downstream *in silico* analysis, we found that genes for apical and septal mass govern cardiovascular structure, function, and implicated in cardiomyopathy, further providing evidence of unique genetic and risk profiles for patterns of hypertrophy.

Whether evaluated as categorically as hypertrophy or based on quantitative mass, both increased apical and septal mass resulted in an increased risk for incident cardiomyopathy that was only partially attenuated by global LV hypertrophy. Subjects with isolated apical cardiomyopathy and isolated septal hypertrophy have a higher risk of cardiomyopathy even without global hypertrophy as defined by current clinical protocols⁴⁴. The attenuation of the effect of septal mass on incident cardiovascular disease by global LVM parallels the overlapping loci in our genetic association studies for septal mass and global LVM. Nevertheless, we identify three genes unique to septal mass, emphasizing that septal hypertrophy may be governed by novel genes in addition to those classically attributed to global hypertrophy, such as *TTN*. Additionally, we uncover variants unique to apical mass, which have not been previously associated with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy^{3,31}. Notably, *CASQ2* and *PLN* maintain cardiac calcium homeostasis, and their dysfunction increases the risk of atrial fibrillation^{45,46}, which is a common complication in apical HCM⁴⁷. These unique loci suggest that apical hypertrophy may have a genetically distinct pathophysiology, which may contribute to its different clinical outcomes and treatment from other types of HCM. Our findings highlight the significance of focal hypertrophy to cardiovascular pathophysiology^{5–8,48} and reaffirm the value of characterizing distinct subtypes of LV hypertrophy.

While smaller clinical cohorts are often underpowered to assess a difference in clinical outcomes, the presence of focal hypertrophy in the UKBB imaging cohort highlights the ability to identify unique subpopulations and their subsequent risk for incident cardiovascular disease. Understanding these patterns of regional hypertrophy may paint a fuller picture of cardiovascular disease outcomes and risk. Limitations of our study include the lack of replication across other cohorts. Although the UKBB cohort is of mixedancestry, European descent predominates, and our results may not be generalizable for individuals of other ancestries.

In conclusion, we analyzed the genotypic and clinical significance of apical, septal, and LV mass in over 35,000 UKBB participants. Our results identify twelve loci of clinically observed increased left ventricular mass, with three unique loci to increased apical mass. Our findings suggest that increased apical mass is a significant additional independent risk factor for cardiomyopathy and atrial fibrillation. Such results might be relevant to existing work in characterizing subtypes of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, as we find distinct risk profiles for incident cardiovascular disease based on pattern of focal hypertrophy.

Methods

UK Biobank

The UK Biobank (UKBB) is a prospective study with 502,461 participants from 40 to 60 years of age. Detailed non-imaging data, such as genotyping, diagnoses, and environmental factors are also included. Our analysis focused on the 45,361 individuals who underwent cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging.

Measurement of apical and interventricular septal mass

We leveraged a fully convolutional neural network to segment the end-diastolic short-axis CMR images of 45,361 individuals in the UK Biobank (UKBB). Participants were excluded based on image quality and visualization of the ventricles and apex (**Supplemental Figure 1**). We established our final study cohort (n=35,268) to measure apical and interventricular mass. Apical mass was calculated by isolating the pixels corresponding to the LV myocardial wall in the last four slices. The pixels were then summed to obtain apical area, then multiplied by slice thickness and slice gap to calculate apical volume. Finally, the volume was multiplied by myocardial density (1.055 g/cm³) to obtain apical mass. For each slice, we defined the septum as the segment of the LV myocardium bounded by the insertion points of the right ventricle wall. The pixels were summed to calculate the septal area, then multiplied by slice thickness, slice gap, and myocardial density to measure septal mass.

Testing for associations with incident disease

We analyzed associations between CMR-derived LVM, apical mass, and septal mass with cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, and acute myocardial infarction. We also examined the relationship between these outcomes and global, apical, and septal hypertrophy. Based on current clinical definitions, we defined global hypertrophy as individuals with overall LVM above the sex-specific 90th percentile⁴⁹. Similarly, apical hypertrophy was defined as individuals with 90th percentile of apical mass and septal hypertrophy as the 90th percentile of septal mass. Cox proportional hazards analysis was performed adjusted for sex, age pulse rate, and hypertension. Incident disease was identified using the reported International Classification of Disease 9th and 10th codes available in UKB starting from the time of CMR acquisition until the date of the first incident, death, or the last follow-up.

To analyze apical, septal and LV mass as continuous variables, we leveraged Cox proportional hazards testing in 3 different models. The first model investigated each mass phenotype individually as independent risk factors while adjustments for sex, age at MRI acquisition, pulse rate, and hypertension. The second model jointly analyzed apical mass and LVM, with the same adjustments as the first model. The third model jointly analyzed septal mass and LVM. To test associations between cardiomyopathy and ventricular hypertrophy, we created seven different cohorts.

Genome-wide Association Study

Given our cohort of 35,268 individuals, 847 were removed due to incomplete or low-quality genetic data. We then performed GWAS of total LVM, apical mass, and septal mass on 34,421 individuals with BOLT-LMM v2.3.4⁵⁰, which uses a Bayesian mixture prior as a random effect to fit a linear mixed model. We utilized the UKB imputed genotype calls in BGEN v1.2 format. Variants were required to have a minor allele frequency (MAF) \ge 0.01, and imputed variants had an INFO score \ge 0.3. Our model was adjusted for age at CMR imaging, sex, and the first 10 principal components of genetic ancestry. Variants were considered statistically significant at the standard genome-wide significance level of p = 5e-8. Independent significant SNPs were then defined as SNPs that met the threshold for genome-wide significance, had an r² > 0.6, and were located at least ±500 kb away from each other.

Functional annotation of significant loci

We utilized FUMA v1.6.0⁵¹ to investigate eQTL, 3D chromatin interaction mapping, and gene set analysis to better understand the function of genome-wide significant variants. First, using GTEx version 8 eQTL tissue data, we evaluated the relationship between SNPs of genome-wide significance with cardiac gene expression in the aorta, atrial appendage, and LV tissue. GTEx contains pre-calculated false discovery rates (FDR) for gene-tissue pairs. FUMA defines significant eQTLs as SNP-gene pairs with p < 0.05 and gene-tissue pairs with an FDER \leq 0.05. Second, 3D chromatin interaction mapping was

performed using pre-processed Fit-Hi-C datasets of the LV, right ventricle, and aorta. One end of a signification interaction is defined by the independent, significance SNP and those in linkage disequilibrium with it. The other end of the significant regions is the mapped gene reported in the Results section. Finally, we performed gene set analysis using 10,678 curated gene sets annotated with Gene Ontology terms; Bonferroni correction was performed for all gene sets. Significant gene sets were those with a $p_{Bon} < 0.05$.

Figure 1. We characterized a cohort of 35, 268 participants for total LV, apical, and septal mass using deep learning. From these derived traits, we performed GWAS to identify genetic drivers of these phenotypes and analyzed the relationship between apical mass, septal mass, and incident cardiovascular disease.



Figure 2. a) Heatmap showing the correlation between apical mass, septal mass, LVM, LVESV, LVEDV, and LVEF. Relationship between b) apical and septal mass, c) apical and LVM, and d) septal mass and LVM in the cohort.



Figure 3. Each category of hypertrophy was defined as sex-specific 90^{th} percentile of mass. a) Venn diagram displaying global hypertrophy, isolated apical hypertrophy, isolated septal hypertrophy, and combined apical and septal hypertrophy (shaded in purple) b) Hazard ratios and 95% confident intervals for each phenotype with regards to cardiomyopathy. Subjects without hypertrophy (n = 28,441) served as the control group.



Figure 4. Manhattan plots for genome-wide association studies of a) LVM b) apical mass and c) septal mass. The horizontal red line represents a genome-wide significant p-value of 5e-8. d) Significant hits are compared across the three phenotypes, with novel loci in bold.



Phenotype	Chr	Candidate Gene	hg37 Position	Ref	Alt	p-value	Beta
Apex	1	CASQ2	116,297,758	A	C	3.2e-12	-0.262
Apex	6	PLN	118,876,539	Т	С	3.7e-9	-0.220
Apex	9	RSP19P6	83,396,102	C	Т	2.7e-8	0.396
Apex	17	MAPT-AS1	43,948,347	C	Т	3.3e-8	-0.224
Septal	1	HIVEP3	42,007,188	A	С	2.9e-8	0.192
Septal	2	TTN	179,839,888	Т	С	6.2e-11	0.587
Septal	3	ESYT3	138,172,951	A	G	1.8e-8	-0.193
Septal	4	LCORL	18,034,463	G	А	3.2e-9	0.295
Septal	6	HMGA1	34,190,104	A	G	2.00e-8	-0.398
Septal	7	HMGN1P19	46,620,312	Т	С	2.50e-12	-0.242
Septal	11	MYBPC3	47,366,095	Т	G	2.20e-14	-0.386
Septal	20	GDF5	34,025,756	G	А	1.00e-9	-0.211
Global	2	TTN	179,672,414	Т	С	2.1e-11	1.850
Global	2	DIRC3	218,288,831	Т	А	3.50e-8	-0.674
Global	4	LCORL	17,917,781	C	А	1e-8	0.786
Global	6	BTN3A2	26,327,814	C	Т	2.10e-9	0.729
Global	6	CDKN1A	36,646,849	C	Т	4.30e-11	-0.869
Global	6	AL356534.1	127,184,986	A	G	3.80e-9	-0.747
Global	7	HMGN1P19	46,620,312	Т	С	1.10e-8	-0.706
Global	10	BAG3	121,415,685	A	G	4.60e-8	0.815
Global	11	LSP1	1,902,768	A	G	7.8e-9	-0.729
Global	11	MYBPC3	47,427,180	AGA	А	1.3e-10	-1.166
Global	12	APOLD1	12,883,632	A	AG	1.60e-9	0.966
Global	12	HMGA2	66,343,400	C	G	4.00e-9	0.717
Global	12	SH2B3	111,884,608	С	G	3.8e-8	-0.669
Global	13	TRIM13	50,565,104	A	ACT	5.7e-9	-2.538
Global	16	FTO	53,812,770	A	ATTTT	2.90e-8	-0.691
Global	17	WNT3	44,862,613	G	A	9.30e-10	0.873
Global	20	GDF5	34,025,756	G	А	1.50e-9	-0.744

Table 1. Genome-wide significant variants and candidate genes across all phenotypes, with novel genes in bold

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figure 1. Flow diagram to create the cohort for genetic and phenotypic analysis







Supplementary Figure 2. Distributions of a) apical mass and b) septal mass versus sex.

Supplementary Figure 3. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals for cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, and acute MI. Individual models include the risk conferred by LVM, apical mass, and septal mass when investigated individually as independent variables in models adjusted for sex, age at MRI, BMI, heart rate, and hypertension. Model 2 includes apical mass, LVM, sex, age at MRI, BMI, heart rate, and hypertension, and Model 3 includes septal mass, LVM, sex, age at MRI, BMI, heart rate, and hypertension.



Individual Models



HRLVM+septal



Phenotype	Chr	Candidate Gene	hg37 Position	Ref	Alt	Tissue	p-value
Apex	1	CASQ2	116,297,758	А	С	Coronary Artery	8.51e-10
Apex	1	CASQ2	116,297,758	А	С	Atrial Appendage	3.95e-8
Apex	1	CASQ2	116,297,758	A	С	Left Ventricle	1.21e-9
Apex	6	PLN	118,876539	Т	С	Aorta	2.84e-8
Apex	6	PLN	118,876539	Т	С	Atrial Appendage	1.5e-4
Apex	17	MAPT-AS1	43,948,347	C	Т	Left Ventricle	7.80e-5
Septal	3	ESYT3	138,172,951	A	G	Coronary Artery	1.0e-5

Supplementary Table 1. Results of eQTL analysis using FUMA for lead variants in the GWAS of apical and septal mass

References

1. Walsh, R., Offerhaus, J. A., Tadros, R. & Bezzina, C. R. Minor hypertrophic cardiomyopathy genes, major insights into the genetics of cardiomyopathies. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **19**, 151–167 (2022).

2. Maron, M. S. *et al.* Effect of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction on clinical outcome in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **348**, 295–303 (2003).

3. Hughes, R. K. *et al.* Apical Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: The Variant Less Known. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **9**, e015294 (2020).

4. Florian, A. *et al.* Geometric assessment of asymmetric septal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy by CMR. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* **5**, 702–711 (2012).

5. Klues, H. G., Schiffers, A. & Maron, B. J. Phenotypic spectrum and patterns of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: morphologic observations and significance as assessed by two-dimensional echocardiography in 600 patients. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **26**, 1699–1708 (1995).

6. Klarich, K. W. *et al.* Risk of death in long-term follow-up of patients with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **111**, 1784–1791 (2013).

7. Rowin, E. J., Maron, B. J. & Maron, M. S. The Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Phenotype Viewed Through the Prism of Multimodality Imaging: Clinical and Etiologic Implications. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* **13**, 2002–2016 (2020).

8. Shapiro, L. M. & McKenna, W. J. Distribution of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a two-dimensional echocardiographic study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2**, 437–444 (1983).

9. Khurshid, S. *et al.* Clinical and genetic associations of deep learning-derived cardiac magnetic resonancebased left ventricular mass. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1558 (2023).

10. Haas, M. E. *et al.* Machine learning enables new insights into genetic contributions to liver fat accumulation. *Cell Genom* **1**, (2021).

11. Pirruccello, J. P. *et al.* Deep learning enables genetic analysis of the human thoracic aorta. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 40–51 (2022).

12. Bai, W. *et al.* Automated cardiovascular magnetic resonance image analysis with fully convolutional networks. *J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson.* **20**, 65 (2018).

13. Khurshid, S. *et al.* Deep Learning to Predict Cardiac Magnetic Resonance-Derived Left Ventricular Mass and Hypertrophy From 12-Lead ECGs. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* **14**, e012281 (2021).

14. Aung, N. *et al.* Genome-Wide Analysis of Left Ventricular Maximum Wall Thickness in the UK Biobank Cohort Reveals a Shared Genetic Background With Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circ Genom Precis Med* **16**, e003716 (2023).

 Olivotto, I. *et al.* Mavacamten for treatment of symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (EXPLORER-HCM): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* **396**, 759–769 (2020).

16. Myers, V. D. *et al.* The Multifunctional Protein BAG3: A Novel Therapeutic Target in Cardiovascular Disease. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* **3**, 122–131 (2018).

17. Cao, J. & Yuan, L. Identification of key genes for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy using integrated network analysis of differential lncRNA and gene expression. *Front Cardiovasc Med* **9**, 946229 (2022).

18. Roselli, C. *et al.* Genome-wide association study reveals novel genetic loci: a new polygenic risk score for mitral valve prolapse. *Eur. Heart J.* **43**, 1668–1680 (2022).

19. Flister, M. J. *et al.* SH2B3 Is a Genetic Determinant of Cardiac Inflammation and Fibrosis. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* **8**, 294–304 (2015).

20. Ganeff, I. M. M., Bos, M. M., van Heemst, D. & Noordam, R. BMI-associated gene variants in FTO and cardiometabolic and brain disease: obesity or pleiotropy? *Physiol. Genomics* **51**, 311–322 (2019).

21. Lahm, H. *et al.* Congenital heart disease risk loci identified by genome-wide association study in European patients. *J. Clin. Invest.* **131**, (2021).

22. Liu, X. *et al.* MiR-184 directly targets Wnt3 in cardiac mesoderm differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* (2020) doi:10.1002/stem.3282.

23. Tran, T. H. *et al.* Wnt3a-induced mesoderm formation and cardiomyogenesis in human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* **27**, 1869–1878 (2009).

24. Zaidi, S. H. E., Huang, Q., Momen, A., Riazi, A. & Husain, M. Growth differentiation factor 5 regulates cardiac repair after myocardial infarction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **55**, 135–143 (2010).

25. Stritt, S. *et al.* APOLD1 loss causes endothelial dysfunction involving cell junctions, cytoskeletal architecture, and Weibel-Palade bodies, while disrupting hemostasis. *Haematologica* **108**, 772–784 (2023).

26. Kiselev, I. *et al.* Novel Genes Involved in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: Data of Transcriptome and Methylome Profiling. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **23**, (2022).

27. Rainer, J. *et al.* The arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy-specific coding and non-coding transcriptome in human cardiac stromal cells. *BMC Genomics* **19**, 491 (2018).

28. Fedele, M. *et al.* Haploinsufficiency of the Hmga1 gene causes cardiac hypertrophy and myelolymphoproliferative disorders in mice. *Cancer Res.* **66**, 2536–2543 (2006).

29. Cai, Z.-L. *et al.* The effect of HMGA1 in LPS-induced Myocardial Inflammation. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **16**, 1798–1810 (2020).

30. You, X.-Y. *et al.* HMGA1 is a new target of miR-195 involving isoprenaline-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. *Biochemistry* **79**, 538–544 (2014).

31. Gruner, C. *et al.* Sarcomere protein gene mutations in patients with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* **4**, 288–295 (2011).

32. Kalyanasundaram, A. *et al.* Up-regulation of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ uptake leads to cardiac hypertrophy, contractile dysfunction and early mortality in mice deficient in CASQ2. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **98**, 297–306 (2012).

33. Wang, M. & Tu, X. The Genetics and Epigenetics of Ventricular Arrhythmias in Patients Without Structural Heart Disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med* **9**, 891399 (2022).

34. Vukadinovic, M. *et al.* Deep learning-enabled analysis of medical images identifies cardiac sphericity as an early marker of cardiomyopathy and related outcomes. *Med* **4**, 252-262.e3 (2023).

35. Landstrom, A. P., Adekola, B. A., Bos, J. M., Ommen, S. R. & Ackerman, M. J. PLN-encoded

phospholamban mutation in a large cohort of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy cases: summary of the literature and implications for genetic testing. *Am. Heart J.* **161**, 165–171 (2011).

36. Yu, M. *et al.* Genetic Determinants of the Interventricular Septum Are Linked to Ventricular Septal Defects and Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circ Genom Precis Med* **16**, 207–215 (2023).

37. Dixon, D. M. *et al.* Loss of muscleblind-like 1 results in cardiac pathology and persistence of embryonic splice isoforms. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 9042 (2015).

38. Rajalingam, S., Kambrath, A. V., Vidal, R. & Willis, M. S. Deletion of the Microtubule-associated protein tau (Mapt-/-) results in diastolic heart failure and altered skeletal muscle function in vivo. *FASEB J.* **34**, 1–1 (2020).

39. Good, D. J., Coyle, C. A. & Fox, D. L. Nhlh2: a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor controlling physical activity. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* **36**, 187–192 (2008).

40. Wu, G., Ge, J., Huang, X., Hua, Y. & Mu, D. Planar cell polarity signaling pathway in congenital heart diseases. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* **2011**, 589414 (2011).

41. Dilg, D. *et al.* HIRA Is Required for Heart Development and Directly Regulates Tnni2 and Tnnt3. *PLoS One* **11**, e0161096 (2016).

42. Nagai, T. *et al.* A985G polymorphism of the endothelin-2 gene and atrial fibrillation in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ. J.* **71**, 1932–1936 (2007).

43. Chen, P. *et al.* MYH7B variants cause hypertrophic cardiomyopathy by activating the CaMK-signaling pathway. *Sci. China Life Sci.* **63**, 1347–1362 (2020).

44. Bornstein, A. B., Rao, S. S. & Marwaha, K. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy. (StatPearls Publishing, 2023).

45. Song, L. *et al.* Calsequestrin 2 (CASQ2) mutations increase expression of calreticulin and ryanodine receptors, causing catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *J. Clin. Invest.* **117**, 1814–1823 (2007).

46. Christophersen, I. E. *et al.* Large-scale analyses of common and rare variants identify 12 new loci associated with atrial fibrillation. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 946–952 (2017).

47. Lee, S.-E. *et al.* Impact of atrial fibrillation on the clinical course of apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Heart* **103**, 1496–1501 (2017).

48. Maron, B. J., Gottdiener, J. S. & Epstein, S. E. Patterns and significance of distribution of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. A wide angle, two dimensional echocardiographic study of 125 patients. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **48**, 418–428 (1981).

49. Bluemke, D. A. *et al.* The relationship of left ventricular mass and geometry to incident cardiovascular events: the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 52, 2148–2155 (2008).
50. Loh, P.-R. *et al.* Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 284–290 (2015).

51. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).