

1 **Incidence and Determinants of loss to follow-up of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among key**
2 **and priority population groups in Addis Ababa health centers, Ethiopia retrospective cohort**
3 **study.**

4

5 Addisu Aragaw M.Sc.,^{1, *} Fentaw Taddse Msc,²

6

7 1. Department of public health, GAMBY Medical and Business college; PO Box **106** (C-

8 1035); Addis Ababa Ethiopia

9 2. Department of Epidemiology, Wollo University; Wollo Ethiopia.

10

11 Conflicts of Interest: The authors attest that they have no financial or other conflicts of interest.

12

13 *Corresponding author:

14 1. Addisu Aragaw Abit, MSc, BSc. | Department of public health, GAMBY Medical and

15 Business college; PO Box **106** (C-1035); Addis Ababa Ethiopia

16 Email: aragawaddisu@gmail.com

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 Background: - ‘loss of follow-up’ is a significant public health concern globally. Retention in

19 preventive care among at-risk key and priority population groups is critical for the successful

20 prevention of HIV acquisition. Loss to follow-up of individuals has countless negative impacts on

21 HIV prevention outcomes. There is, however, limited information about the incidence and

22 predictors of loss to follow-up in the study area. Thus, this study aimed to determine the incidence

23 rate and predictors of loss to follow-up among Prep users.

24 Method: - A retrospective cohort study was undertaken using 240 PrEP users between May 2020,
25 and May 26, 2022, at Addis Ababa selected health centers. All eligible clients who fulfilled the
26 inclusion criteria were included in study.

27 **Results and discussion:** A total of 240 participants with a median age of 32.0 years (interquartile
28 range [IQR]: 27.0 to 40.0) had a median time since initiation of PrEP 21.2 months (IQR: 6.5 to
29 22.1). Almost half 122 (50.8%) were married, single 58 (24.2%), divorced 10 (4.2%), and widowed
30 50 (20.8%). One third were female sex workers (33.3%), and the rest (66.7%) were sero- discordant
31 couples. Most of the users were from ART clinics (60.8%) and (39.2%) were from
32 PMTCT clinics Being male is associated with 1.77 times in risk of getting lost than female
33 (ARR=1.77, 95% CI =1.12-2.79). those who had no adherence counseling was associated with
34 1.86 times in the chance of LTFU as compared to those who had have adherence counseling
35 (ARR=1.863, 95% CI =1.184, 2.930, P-value=0.003). 86 (35.8%) experienced loss to follow-up
36 and the overall incidence rate of loss to follow-up was 7.3 (95% CI: 4.3-12.6) per 100 person-years
37 of observation (PYs). PrEP adherence strategies should be developed and designed as a holistic
38 approach, acknowledging the contextual factors of key population groups.

39 **Conclusion:** - About 35.8% clients became LTFU of PrEP with overall incidence of 7.3(4.3-12.6)
40 per 100 pys observation. Research preparedness involving key and priority population groups
41 should be strengthened for HIV prevention intervention evaluations in Ethiopia.

42 INTRODUCTION

43 Health is among the seventeen sustainable development goals (SDGs) whose promise is to end
44 the epidemic of AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria among others by the year 2030. (1) Globally, by 2017,
45 the number of people living with AIDS (PLHIA) were estimated to be between 31.1 to 43.9 million
46 with those newly infected (all ages) being approximately 1.4 to 2.4 million. (2)

47 Human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS)
48 continue to be a major global public health issue. By the end of 2018, an estimated 37.9 million
49 individuals worldwide were living with HIV/ AIDS. (3, 4) HIV remains a global health crisis. In
50 2020, there were: 37.9 million people living with HIV, including 10.2 million who were not on
51 treatment: 1.5 million New HIV infections and 680 000 AIDS-related deaths. (5) Overall, key
52 populations and their sexual partners accounted for 65% of HIV infections. worldwide in 2020 and
53 93% of infections outside of sub-Saharan Africa. (5) However, not all population subcategories
54 face the same risk of acquiring HIV/AIDS. (4)

55 Globally and in Africa specifically, female sex workers (FSWs) are at an extraordinarily high risk
56 of contracting HIV.(6) Globally Sex workers are accounted 11%, People who inject drugs 9%,
57 ,Transgender women 2% Remaining Population 35% Clients of sex workers and sex partners of
58 all key populations 20% (5) and In sub-Sahara Africa Sex workers are 12% People who inject
59 drugs are 1% Gay men and other men who have sex with men 6% Transgender Women 1% Clients
60 of sex workers and sex partners of all key populations 19% ,Remaining population are 61%.(7)

61 An estimated 29.3% of FSWs were living with HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa in 2014.(8)

62 Meanwhile, research has indicated that sex workers and clients of sex workers and other sexual
63 partners account for 3% and 19% of new yearly HIV infections, respectively.

64 Oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is a novel biomedical HIV prevention intervention
65 recommended for use by the World Health Organization (WHO) among key populations including
66 FSWs. (16) To avert this ongoing new HIV infection, a combination HIV prevention approach
67 including PrEP as an additional component is crucial Oral tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is
68 the currently recommended PrEP regimen for women medication which is recommended to be
69 taken daily. It offers a locus of control for women to be in charge of their HIV prevention choices.

70 (17) Considering this, PrEP is endorsed in the national comprehensive HIV Prevention, care and
71 treatment guideline and HIV prevention roadmap (2018-2020). Currently, the PrEP services have
72 been provided in public health facilities and DICs for FSWs and HIV negative sero-discordant
73 partners. (15)

74 Globally they make up to 9% new HIV infections. They are 13 times more at risk of HIV as
75 compared to the general population due to an increased likelihood of being economically
76 vulnerable, unable to negotiate consistent condom use and experiencing violence, criminalization
77 and marginalization. (9) and make up a small proportion of the general population, but they are at
78 extremely high risk of HIV infection. (10)

79 During the last two decades, the Ethiopia responded vigorously to curb the epidemic through
80 a multi-sectorial approach involving all stakeholders, mobilizing resources and the community at
81 large (11). This may result in failure to achieve the desired programmatic objectives in reducing
82 HIV incidence due to high dropout rates among clients initiated on PrEP who may end up being
83 exposed to HIV acquisition risk. In order to achieve desired programmatic objectives; this study
84 sought to describe possible contributors to PrEP lost follow up as well as factors which may affect
85 continued use of PrEP. Counseling services provided for HIV negative clients to remain negative
86 and provision of PrEP uses as one strategy to reduce new infections and HIV acquisition Currently,
87 characteristics and factors of Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEp) is part of HIV testing and
88 antiretroviral medicine based strategies among other combinations prevention programs towards
89 attainments of UNAIDS targets of three 95 accessing tailored with three million individuals alone
90 targeted for PrEP.(12)

91 The targeted populations include female sex workers, sero discordant couples and their sexual
92 partners in high prevalence sites supported by PEPFAR. Ethiopia started rolling out PrEP in late

93 2019, targeting female sex workers and HIV discordant couples. For the initial phase, 15,400
94 female sex workers and 4,762, couples in discordant relationships were targeted. By December
95 2019, the number of people screened for PrEP services were 4,128, of which 1589, were found
96 eligible, 971 were initiated while 601 (61.9%) declined. (13) The current HIV epidemic in the
97 Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia is low intensity, mixed epidemic kind with important
98 non uniformity across geographic areas and population groups. The national HIV prevalence is
99 calculated to be 0.9%, urban areas are a lot more affected than rural areas (2.9% versus 0.4%)
100 whereas females are double as affected as male (1.2% versus 0.6%). Out of the eleven regional
101 states and town administrations, seven have HIV prevalence of 1% and above. Key and priority
102 populations (KP & PP) are disproportionally affected compared with the national average: 23%
103 among feminine Sex staff (FSW), 5.1 % discordant couples.

104 In HIV negative partners of sero-discordant couples: There is no reliable data source/s on
105 the level of discordance. However, more than 60% of adult PLHIV and 80% of sexually active
106 PLHIV are currently married and report relatively little extra marital risk behaviors. Roughly
107 twothirds of these have sero-discordant sexual partners. (12) Discordant couples have the highest
108 risk of acquiring HIV. From the total HIV positive couples in Addis Ababa, 5.1 % of them were
109 found to be discordant. (14) In Ethiopia, there are still new infections annually largely moving the
110 Key population and priority population groups. From WHO's recommendation, Pre-Exposure
111 prophylaxis (PrEP) of HIV is one in all the interventions that facilitate countries to cut back new
112 HIV infection. (6)

113 Ethiopia may not reach its UNAIDS goals to end ADIS by 2030 without specifically
114 addressing among key population and as far as my knowledge concerns there is no literature in
115 Ethiopian on assessing incidence and determinants of lost follow up of pre-exposure prophylaxis

116 users among key population. Our study therefore assessed incidence and determinants of lost
117 follow up of pre-exposure prophylaxis users among key population in Addis Ababa.
118 Ethiopia has committed itself reaching the goal of 95-95-95 targets towards the management and
119 control of HIV adopted the use of PrEP as among the most recent robust and effective HIV
120 preventions interventions (15).

121 Carrying out this study is important to determine the lost follow up factors of HIV preexposure
122 prophylaxis (PrEP) and the factors associated with PrEP and their adherence level in each follow
123 up visit among key population groups. Therefore, the national program requires further
124 understanding on what is driving this challenge in order to come up with programmatic
125 interventions to improve PrEP continuation rates.

126 Despite the rapid expansion of antiretroviral therapy services, ‘loss to follow-up’ is a
127 significant public health concern globally. Loss to follow-up of individuals from pre –exposure
128 follow up has a countless negative impact on the incidences for HIV and its prevention.

129 Loss to follow-up is a serious public health concern throughout the world. In Ethiopia, there
130 is limited information regarding incidence and predictors of loss to follow-up among key
131 population groups on pre-exposure prophylaxis users. It is worth it to scale up PrEP service
132 nationwide to contribute to the reduction of new HIV infections.

133 The study is designed to provide baseline data for program planners, decision-makers, and
134 pre-exposure prophylaxis implementers at a different level. The study provides baseline
135 information for governmental and non -governmental organization that works in collaboration with
136 the federal ministry of health’s area of HIV/AIDS particularly on pre-exposure prophylaxis’s study
137 provides information regarding the current factors associated with pre-exposure loss to follow-up
138 and the existing gap.

139 **METHODS**

140 Study Design and Recruitment


141 The study was conducted in Addis Ababa city administration health centers is the capital of
142 Ethiopia. The national central statistical agency that carries out national census projections put
143 the population of Addis Ababa to be 3,273, 000 (CSA, 2015) based on this figure, the population
144 of Addis Ababa accounts for 3.6% of the national population and 18% of the urban population in
145 Ethiopia. It has shown an annual rate of population growth of 2.1 %. (9) In Addis Ababa city
146 administration, there are different governmental, private and non-governmental health facilities
147 which provide HIV comprehensive services. The city administration has 11 sub cities and 99
148 health centers and among these five health centers were selected for the study being conducted.
149 The study was conducted from May 1, 2022 to June 30, 2022. Retrospective cohort study design
150 was employed to determine the incidence and determinants of loss to follow-up (LTFU) of HIV
151 pre-Exposure prophylaxis.

152 **Source population** All clients of pre-exposure prophylaxis who were initiated on PrEP at
153 Addis Ababa Health centers. *Study population:* All clients of pre-exposure prophylaxis who were
154 initiated on PrEP from May 30, 2020, to May 26, 2022, at Addis Ababa selected health centers.

155 The sample size was determined by using the EPI INFO version 7.2.3.1 using the cohort study
156 design formula. From the study, the proportion of among FSW exposed with loss to follow up was
157 49. %, and among non-exposed who have lost follow up of none FSW were 68.9% (45).
158 Considering 95% confidence interval, 80% power. Finally, by using one to two ratios of the
159 exposed to non-exposed ratio (1:2), then the final total sample size was found to be 240 (80 exposed
160 and 160 non exposed groups).

161 Exposed group Study participants who were female sex worker during study period was considered
162 as exposed group and as a Non-Exposed group Study participants who were not female sex worker.

163 **Sampling Procedure** A simple random sampling technique was employed. Among 11 sub-cities
164 in Addis Ababa five health centers were selected from the selected sub-cities. Then a total sample
165 of 240 was distributed to the five health centers based on the proportion of PrEP takers in each
166 health center.



167
168 **Figure 1. Schematic presentation for sampling techniques**

169 Inclusion criteria All selected participants who started pre –Exposure prophylaxis in between
170 December,2020 and First December,2022 was included based on the record review. Clients
171 excluded from analysis, (had an incorrect medical record number (n=16), did not meet criteria for
172 PrEP (n=11), who had in complete information(n=13)), the study excluded clients who were
173 transferred to other health facilities.

174 As Outcome/dependent Variable Loss to follow up of pre-exposure prophylaxis which is
175 dichotomies as 1 if “Yes” and 0 “No”.

176 *Independent Variable*, Socio demographic or Socio-economic factors: sex, age, marital status,
177 phone functionality, region/address and level of education, Baseline clinical and laboratory
178 information: - Baseline HBsAg Test result, Pregnancy Status, Syndrome STI Screening and STI
179 Diagnosis result, Pre exposure follows up status: - Transfer out, Transfer in, Died, Lost, and Missed
180 Dose, Pre exposure prophylaxis follow up information: - adherences status, drug side effect, HIV

181 retest status, pregnancy status, use, type family planning, - Female Sex Workers and Sero
182 Discordant Couples

183 *Operational definitions*

184 *Lost to follow up (LTFU)* if they were late by >90 days for their scheduled appointment date (16).

185 Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP): - Defined as the use of antiretroviral drugs by HIV-negative
186 people, before potential exposure to prevent the acquisition of HIV (11).

187 Key populations: - are populations who are at higher risk for HIV irrespective of the epidemic type
188 or local context and who face social and legal challenges that increase their vulnerability or
189 describe a category of populations of special interest in the HIV epidemic (17).

190 Adherence: - Is defined as those who are missing less than 4 doses have good adherences and
191 missing 5 plus dose are poor adherences (18).

192 Female sex workers: - are defined as women who regularly or occasionally exchange sex for money
193 in drinking establishments, night clubs, local drink houses, “chat” and “shisha” houses, “on the
194 street”, around military and refugee camps, construction sites, trade routes, red light districts, and
195 at their homes

196 *Ethical consideration*

197 The program evaluation was approved by Addis Ababa Public Health Research and
198 Emergency Management Directorate review board and the school of GAMBY medical and
199 Business College's ethical review committee. Human research protection procedures were
200 determined to be research, but investigators did not interact with human subjects or have access to
201 identifiable data or specimens for research purposes. Individual consent to use clients’ secondary
202 data was waived by the IRBs.

203 **Statistical Analysis**

204 The data were edited, cleaned, coded, and entered into Epi- info window version 7.2.3.1 and
205 analyzed using SPSS windows version 20.

206 Descriptive statistics i.e., frequencies were run to check for outliers. Data were summarized
207 using frequencies and percentages for categorical covariates and numerical were summarized with
208 mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or medians with interquartile range (IQR) values as required.
209 Baseline characteristics of participants who had follow-up and those who were lost-to-follow-up
210 were compared by using Chi-square tests (for categorical variables) or independent sample t-tests
211 (for continuous variables).

212 The association between the dependent variables and the outcome of pre-exposure follow-up
213 was analyzed using the robust Poisson regression model. Univariate robust regression was run at
214 a 25% level of significance to screen our potential significance of independent variables. The
215 multivariable robust Poisson regression model was performed using significant independent
216 variables from univariate robust Poisson regression.

217 To measure the presence and strength of associations between the predictor and outcome
218 variable relative risk (RR), a p-value and 95% CI were used. From the final model, variables with
219 a P-value ≤ 0.05 were considered as significantly associated with the outcome of loss to follow-up
220 pre-exposure prophylaxis.

221 The overall fitness of the model (model adequacy) was assessed by using the goodness of fit
222 test and omnibus test.

223 **RESULTS**

224 Among key and priority population groups who were taking PrEP registered for the program
225 from 2019 –2022 data of 240 were retrieved from PrEP registration and client’s card for the study.
226 At the beginning of PrEP started the median age was 32.0 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 27.0 to

227 40.0). Out of 240 participants followed during the study period, almost two-third 154 (64.2%) were
 228 females and the remaining 86 (35.8%) were males. Almost half 122 (50.8%) were married, single
 229 58 (24.2%), divorced 10 (4.2%), and widowed 50 (20.8%). Regarding educational status
 230 participants with no formal education 68 (28.3%), primary education 97 (40.4%), secondary
 231 education 56 (23.3%) college and above 19 (7.9%). More than half of 124 (51.7%) of them had
 232 functional cell phone and others had no functional cell phone 116 (48.3%) and most of 220 (91.2%)
 233 lived in the study area, Addis Ababa and 20(8.8% lived out of Addis Ababa (Table 1).

234 **Table 1. baseline socio demographic characteristics of Individuals (n=240)**

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Sex	Male	86	35.8
	Female	154	64.2
Age	21-30	82	34.2
	31-40	103	42.9
	41-50	48	20.0
	51-60	7	2.9
Marital status	Single	58	24.2
	Married	122	50.8
	Divorced	50	20.8
	Widowed	10	4.2
Living Address	Within Addis Ababa	220	91.2
	Out of Addis Ababa	20	8.8

235
 236 Based on the Population category one third were female sex workers 80 (33.3%), and the rest 160
 237 (66.7%) were not female sex workers. Most of the users were from ART clinics 146 (60.8%) and
 238 94 (39.2%) were from PMTCT clinics (Table 2).

239 **Table 2. HIV Risk Classification and PrEP Service Delivery Point of Individuals Started**
 240 **PrEP (n=240)**

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
-----------------	------------	---------------	----------------

PrEP provision site	ART	146	60.8
	PMTCT	94	39.2
HIV risk classification	Female sex workers	80	33.3
	None female sex workers	160	66.7

241 Among the respondents, half 121 (50.4%) of them were screened for hepatitis B virus and 119
 242 (49.6%) didn't screened. All users (100%) were screened for Pregnancy majority of them were
 243 negative 153(88.9%) and 19 (11.1%) tested positive (pregnant) only 88.9% were screened for TB
 244 and none of them developed TB. 201 (83.7%) screened for sexually transmitted infection. among
 245 them diagnosed with urethral discharge 17 (8.3%), vaginal discharge 33 (16.3%) genital ulcer 8
 246 (4.3%) and none of them hadn't, scrotal swelling, inguinal bubo, and lower abdominal pain as
 247 shown in table 3.

248 **Table 3. Baseline clinical and laboratory information of Individuals Started PrEP (n=240)**
 249

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Screened for Hepatitis	Yes	121	50.4
	No	119	49.6
Hepatitis testing result	Not done	127	52.9
	Negative	113	47.1
Pregnancy testing result	Positive	19	11.1
	Negative	153	88.9
screened for TB	Yes	202	84.2
	No	38	15.8
Screened for STI	Yes	201	83.8
	No	39	16.3
Diagnosed with STI	Yes	78	32.5
	No	153	63.8
STI developed by type	Vaginal Discharge	33	16.3
	Ureteral discharge	17	8.3

250
 251

252 majority of them 211 (87.9%) had first follow-up visit and didn't, have 29 (12.1%). more than half
 253 125 (52.1%) had a Second follow-up visit the retested no (47.9%) had no, and only 87 (36.3%)
 254 had a third follow-up visit but 153 (63.8) of them didn't have third follow up visit. All clients were
 255 assessed for any signs and symptoms of acute HIV infection 240 (100%), HIV retest status, and
 256 negative 152 (63.3%). No sero-conversion, not done 88 (36.7%), among female Pregnancy follow-
 257 up status and Negative was 96 (40%) among them 18 (7.5%) were pregnant, and the rest 49
 258 (20.4%) didn't know their pregnancy status during the follow-up visit. Number, of missed tablets
 259 48 (20) % of them missed more than five tablets, 67 (27.9%) had missed ≤ 4 tablets, and more than
 260 half 125 (52.1%) didn't know the amount of missed tablet. 165 (68.8%) had got adherence
 261 counseling during follow-up time but 75 (31.1%) did not, from them Risk reduction provided
 262 192(80%), among them 39 (36.3%) good Adherence 192 (63.8%) had poor adherences. Only 116
 263 (48.3%) used family planning methods among these, 19 (7.9%) used condom, 10 (4.2%) OCP,
 264 injectable 67(27.9%), IUCD and others 20 (8.4%). Only 13% Developed drug side effects from
 265 them 19(7.9%) Abdominal pain, Vomiting and Fever 20 (8.4%) (Table 4).

266 **Table 4. PrEP initiation and clinical follow up assessment of individuals started PrEP (n=240)**

Characteristics	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
PrEP initiation in months	7-12 months	74	30.8
	13-18 months	52.8	44.2
	19-24 months	60	25.2
Frist follow up visit	Yes	211	87.9
	No	29	12.1
Second follow up visit	Yes	125	52.1
	No	115	47.9
Third follow up visit	Yes	87	36.3
	No	153	63.8
HIV retest status	Didn't know	88	36.7

	Negative	152	63.3
Pregnancy follow up status	Negative	96	40.0
	Positive	18	7.5
	Unknown	49	20.4
Missed tablets	+ 5 tablets	48	20.0
	≤ 4 tablets	67	27.9
	Unknown	125	52.1

267

Adherence counseling	Yes	165	68.8
	No	75	31.3
Risk reduction provided	Yes	192	80.0
	No	39	16.3
Adherence status	Good	134	55.8
	Poor	106	44.2
Family planning usage	Yes	116	48.3
	No	115	47.9
FP methods	Condom	19	7.9
	OCP	10	4.2
	Injectable	67	27.9
	IUCD	10	4.2
	Others*	10	4.2
Provision of condom	Yes	135	56.3
	No	95	39.6
Develop drug side effect	Yes	39	16.3
	No	201	83.8
Type of drug side effect	Fever	10	4.2
	Abdominal pain	19	7.9
	Vomiting	10	4.2

268

269 Others* include natural family planning methods

270 The incidence rate of LTFU of PrEP were followed for a minimum of 7 and maximum of 23 months
271 of the follow-up period. The total follows up period was 346 person-months (288.7 person years)
272 of observation, and the median follow-up period was 21.1 (IQR: 6.5 to 22.1). At the end of the
273 follow-up period, a total of 86 (35.8%) patients experienced loss to follow-up. Hence, the overall
274 incidence of loss to follow-up was estimated to be 7.3 (95% CI: 4.3-12.6) per 100 person years of
275 observation.

276 *Analyses of robust Poisson regression with outcome of LTFU of PrEP*

277 Univariate analysis at a 25% level of confidence was run to select the variables to fit into the
278 multivariable analysis robust Poisson regression model and from which Sex, Age, Phone, HIV risk
279 category, Hepatitis test result, Pregnancy status, TB result, Screened for STI, first and third follow
280 up visit, pregnancy follows up status, family planning methods usage, provision of adherence
281 counseling, were found to be significant.

282 In the final model, after adjusting for other covariates being male is associated with a 1.77 times
283 higher risk of getting lost as compared to being female (ARR=1.770, 95% CI =1.120, 2.797). In
284 addition, the risk of getting lost from PrEP follow-up youngest (21-30) years was 0.406 times
285 lower than those aged (51-60) years (ARR=0.406, 95% CI =0.223, 0.738, P-value=0.003).
286 similarly, those in the age of (31-40) years was associated with a 1.77 times risk of loss compared
287 with (51-60) years (ARR= 0.391, 0.641 Vs. 1.77 95% CI).

288 Those clients who were knowing their hepatitis B results were associated with 0.29 times lower
289 than who didn't know their results (ARR=0.29, 95% CI =0.20-0.42). Clients who didn't Screen
290 for STI were 8.53 times higher than those who were screened for STI (ARR=8.53, 95% CI=4.10-
291 17.74).

292 Those who had a first follow-up visit according to their follow-up time were associated with 18.1%
 293 lower chances for lost than as compared to those who didn't have a first follow-up visit
 294 (ARR=1.81, 95% CI=1.211-2.72). In the addition, those who had a didn't have third follow-up
 295 visit according to their follow-up time were associated with 1.08 times for lost than as compared
 296 to those who have a third follow-up visit (ARR=1.08, 95% CI=1.27, 2.59). Among female PrEP
 297 users, those who didn't know their pregnancy status during each follow-up visit were 4.28 times
 298 higher in the risk of LTFU compared to who did know about their pregnancy (ARR=4.28, 95%
 299 CI=3.04, 6.01).

300 Those clients who had no adherence counseling were associated with 1.86% times higher in the
 301 risk of LTFU as compared to those who had adherence counseling (ARR=1.86, 95% CI =1.18,
 302 2.93). Those who didn't used family planning methods had 2.29 times higher in the chances of
 303 LTFU of PrEP than those who used any family planning methods (ARR=2.29, 95% CI =1.39,
 304 3.78) table 5.

305 **Table 5. Risk factor associated with lost follow up of Pre exposure users (n=240).**
 306

Variable		Crude relative risk (CRR) (95%, CI)	Adjusted relative risk ARR (95%, CI)	P-value
Sex				
	Male	2.261(1.619,3.159)	1.770 (1.120,2.797)	0.014*
	Female	1	1	
Age				
	21-30	0.313(0.196, 0.500)	0.406 (0.223, 0.738)	0.003*
	31-40	0.328(0.213, 0.506)	0.391 (0.238, 0.641)	0.000*
	41-50	0.704(0.482,1.030)	.0729(0.455, 1.166)	0.187
	51-60	1	1	
Condom usage				

	No	0.319(0.205,0.496)	0.290 (0.202,0.418)	0.000*
	Yes	1	1	
Screened for STI				
	No	2.622(1.968,3.493)	8.533(4.103 ,17.744)	0.000*
	Yes	1	1	
first follow up visit				
	No	2.205 (1.608,3.023)	1.815 (1.211,2.720)	0.004*
	Yes	1	1	
Pregnancy follow up status				
	Didn't known	0.437(0.312,0.612)	4.277 (3.043-6.012)	0.000*
	Negative	1	1	
provision of adherence counseling				
	No	1.661(1.196, 2.307)	1.863 (1.184-2.930)	0.003*
	Yes	1	1	
family planning methods usage				
	No	3.079(1.965,4.826)	2.290 (1.389-3.776)	0.001*
	Yes	1	1	

307

308 **DISCUSSION**

309 Loss to follow-up is the major difficulty and it complicates the evaluation of HIV prevention and
 310 intervention programs. This study assessed the incidence and its predictors of loss to follow-up
 311 among key and priority population groups who started PrEP. In this study, 86 (35.8%) experienced
 312 loss to follow-up and the overall incidence rate of loss to follow-up was 7.3 (95% CI: 4.3-12.6)
 313 per 100 person-years of observation (PYs). In this study, we document a higher LTFU rate than
 314 previously reported in the PrEP period (35.8 vs. 23.9 per 100PY) (19).

315 In the study found that gender was significantly associated with lost follow up of PrEP. The
316 incident rate for male PrEP user is 1.77 times the incidence rate for females. High adherences is
317 especially important among male, as compared to women using PrEP, to achieve high efficacy of
318 PrEP because of differences in perception and health seeking behavior (20).

319 Approach based on their gender during initiation of PrEP and during their follow up time based
320 on their needs, Providers' suggestion and support of PrEP use have also been suggested as effective
321 solutions to improve retention in PrEP care in a variety of diverse situations (21, 22). This
322 study shows that PrEP patients are at high risk of loss to follow-up at the early stage of
323 PrEP initiation was 1.815 times LTFU the incidence who didn't have first follow up visit).
324 Probability of retention in PrEP care among patients who are in preexposure prophylaxis. At the
325 time of stage of PrEP initiation could be promising to prevent loss to follow-up among those likely
326 to discontinue. Targeted interventions are needed to focus on populations currently underserved
327 by existing services to improve retention in PrEP care (23).

328 Likewise, the incident rate in the age of 21-30 and 31-40 years is 0.406 and 0.39 times the
329 incident rate for 51-60 years of age groups remaining other variables are constant respectively. The
330 possible reason might be that the younger age group could be more mobile than the older one and
331 may face the fear of discrimination and stigma. The other possible reasons might be immaturity in
332 analytical thinking and particular challenges associated with young age(24).

333 Provision of adherence counselling had a statistically significant association with the
334 incidence rate of LTFU. Those clients who hadn't have adherence counselling were 1.66 times at
335 higher risk of loss to follow-up compared to those with adherence counseling. "The possible
336 explanation might be that those health providers provision adherence counselling levels may have

337 different skills practice, counseling problems that affect client’s adherence initially and during
338 follow up time which further affect their retention in PrEP user.”

339 Using contraception methods with clients were significantly associated with LTFU of PrEP. in
340 the study showed that high LTFU to PrEP has been found among PrEP user who didn’t use
341 contraception methods. We can therefore see a link between lost follow up and contraceptive use
342 and therefore intensified contraceptive counseling and screening before PrEP initiation and during
343 PrEP use may improve PrEP adherence among users (25).

344 From the results, LTFU to PrEP has also been found to be higher among who do not use
345 condoms; this is alarming since PrEP is being promoted as a method of HIV prevention that should
346 be used in combination, or as a back-up method that one can use when she fails to use condoms.
347 In this case counselors need to emphasize the need adhere to PrEP well if from their interactions
348 they find that the use of condoms is challenging for the client. The the higher LTFU to PrEP among
349 users who don’t use condoms could have been due to poor HIV risk perception and
350 misunderstandings about the duration of action of PrEP to protect against HIV.

351 The health belief model (26) explains that people will not change their health behaviors unless
352 they believe that they are at risk; in this case, those who do not think that they are at risk of
353 acquiring HIV are unlikely to use a condom or take PrEP. This furthermore underscores the need
354 for interventions to improve the evaluation of risk perception among PrEP users. Qualitative data
355 may better explain higher LTFU among lower condom use.

356 In the finding, there was a total of 35.8% lost follow up of PrEP which is similar studies done
357 in USA was 36% (27). A study Nigeria LTFU was 66.7% and in this study 77% due to adherences
358 problem (28). poor adherences to PrEP, history of STI and younger age was associated with lost
359 follow up of PrEP (28). another study in Uganda LTFU of PrEP in female sex worker was 42%

360 (29) which is similarly to 39.6% in this study. Given from the findings, and evidence in the
361 literature, PrEP clients had highest LTFU happened in first follow up visit 32% (30) and in this
362 study, it was 76.7%.

363 *Study Strengths and Limitations*

364 While this study provides information on lost follow-up of clients and supports future
365 development and improvement of retention among pre-exposure prophylaxis users a number of
366 limitations were encountered through the health center in the study design.

367 Patient record availability was limited, due to the recent implementation of a PrEP in late 2019 and
368 lack of registration system.

369 Due to the nature of the secondary source of data, some missed variables like status of mental
370 illness, stigma and discrimination, distance from the health facility, and economic status, might be
371 predictors. In addition to these, charts with an incomplete record of 20% and transferred outpatients
372 were excluded; this might lead to underestimating or overestimating the incidence rate of loss to
373 follow-up. Information bias, specifically misclassification of exposure status, may have been
374 present if the client's chart did not answer questions.

375 Missing data impacted a number of variables evaluated in this study; this may lead to selection
376 bias. As strength the it Fills gaps in research and become Baseline for further studies.

377

378 **CONCLUSIONS**

379 Barriers to PrEP adherence such as use of contraception need to be addressed for successful PrEP
380 implementation to improve adherence going forward. There is need for service care providers to
381 reinforce positive behaviors such as use of other HIV prevention measures such as condoms

382 devotedly when there is a break from taking PrEP. Intensified contraceptive counseling and
383 screening may improve PrEP adherence among users.

384 Therefore, PrEP adherence strategies should be developed and designed as a holistic approach,
385 acknowledging the contextual factors of key and priority populace groups. centered interventions
386 thinking of socio-demographic and individual preferences have the capability to assure the highest
387 adherence stage.

388 *Recommendations*

389 Based on the above findings, federal MOH of Ethiopia should adopt and incorporate WHO
390 guidelines for these key and priority population in HIV prevention should be implemented at each
391 level of health centers. the Findings suggest that comprehensive strategies are warranted to
392 improve PrEP continuum of care outcomes in key and priority populations.

393 Multi-modal strategies are most impactful for retention of PrEP after initiation PrEP adherence
394 strategies should be developed and designed as a holistic approach, each health care providers and
395 concerned bodies should Use the current protocol preprend by of MOH Ethiopia for those key and
396 priority population in HIV prevention and care like, Client centered and Targeted interventions
397 approach is needed ,Gender based approach during initiation of PrEP, Targeted interventions focus
398 at first initiation ,Provision of adherence counselling ,Intensified contraceptive counseling and
399 screening, Emphasize on provision and of use of condom.

400 A qualitative study should be applied to obtain information from LTFU patients themselves by
401 tracing them and the health service quality and satisfaction level of patients need to be addressed.

402 Furthermore, a prospective cohort study needs to be conducted and a qualitative study should be
403 applied to obtain information from LTFU patients themselves by tracing them and the health
404 service quality and satisfaction level of patients need to be addressed.

405 *Acknowledgment*

406 I amplify my true appreciation to my advisor Fentaw Tadese who made a difference in me
407 beginning from proposal writing up to point-by-point investigation and introduction of my thesis
408 work.

409 My extraordinary obliged to go to all health Center authorities for permitting me to urge the
410 essential data and all the medical Professional for their dynamic participation all through the
411 information collection period. And goes to my families who are continuously making a difference
412 on the side me up to my completion of the work.

413

414 **Funding:** This work was having no funding support

415 **References**

- 416 1. Grimsrud A, Barnabas RV, Ehrenkranz P. Evidence for scale up: the differentiated care
417 research agenda. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017; 20:1–6.
- 418 2. FMOH, National JSS REPORT, 2021.
- 419 3. UNAIDS. Get on the Fast Track. Geneva, 2016.
- 420 4. WHO: Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and
421 preventing HIV infection: recommendations for a public health approach – 2nd ed., 2016.
422 .
- 423 5. Grimsrud A, Bygrave H, Doherty M, et al. Reimagining HIV service delivery: the role of
424 differentiated care from prevention to suppression. JIAS. 2016, 19:21484.
- 425 6. International AIDS Society. Differentiated Care for HIV: A Decision Framework for ART
426 Delivery, 2016. .
- 427 7. Fast-Track – ending the AIDS epidemic by 2030. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2014
428 (http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2014/JC2686_WAD2014report, accessed
429 1 November 2015). .
- 430 8. Fox MP, Rosen S. Retention of Adult Patient on Antiretroviral Therapy in Low- and
431 Middle-Income Countries: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis 2008- 2013. J Acquir
432 Immune Defic Syndr. 2015; 69(1):98-108.

- 433 9. Abnet G, Dawit B, Imam M, Tsion L, Yonas A. City profile of Addis Ababa. EiABC-
434 Ethiopian Institute of Architecture Building Construction and City Development. 2017:5-
435 7.
- 436 10. DATA U. UNAIDS reference. 2019.
- 437 11. Implementation manual for pre -exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) of HIV infection. In: EMOH,
438 editor. 2019.
- 439 12. UNAIDS. On the fast track to end acquired immunity defiance diseases (AIDS). 2016.
- 440 13. Boender TS, Sigaloff KC, McMahon JH et al. Long-term Virological Outcomes of
441 FirstLine Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV-1 in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A
442 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(9):1453-61. .
- 443 14. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) [Ethiopia] and ICF International. Ethiopia
444 Demographic and Health Survey: HIV Report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Maryland,
445 USA. 2016.
- 446 15. Anyona MO. Determinants of condition of pre-exposure prophylaxis. 2019.
- 447 16. Elizabeth W., Wahome. Grahama N. Et.al. PrEP uptake and adherence in relation to HIV1
448 incidence among Kenyan men who have sex with men. *The lancet*. September 2020. 17.
449 WHO. Guideline on when to start Antiretroviral Therapy and on Pre-Exposure
450 Prophylaxis for HIV (2015) accessed on 2017.
- 451 18. Baral S, Beyrer C, Muessig K, et al. Burden of HIV among female sex workers in
452 lowincome and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect*
453 *Dis*.
454 2012; 12:538-49.
- 455 19. Pillay D, Stankevitz K, Lanham M, Ridgeway K, Murire M, Briedenhann E, et al. Factors
456 influencing uptake, continuation, and discontinuation of oral PrEP among clients at sex worker
457 and MSM facilities in South Africa. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0228620.
- 458 20. Sheth AN, Rolle CP, Gandhi M. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis for women. *Journal of*
459 *Virus Eradication*. 2016;2(3):149. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4967966/>
460 Accessed on 7/28/2020.
- 461 21. Kenison TC, Badenhop B, Safo S. Unlocking HIV preexposure prophylaxis delivery:
462 Examining the role of HIV providers in pre-exposure prophylaxis care. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*
463 2020; 34:251–258.
- 464 22. BrantAR, Dhillon P, Hull S, et al. Integrating HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis into family
465 planning care: A RE-AIM framework evaluation. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2020; 34:259–266.
- 466 23. Jun T, Madeline C, Montgomery W, et al. Loss to Follow-Up and Re-Engagement in HIV
467 Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Care in the United States, *AIDS PATIENT CARE and STDs Volume*
468 35, Number 7, 2021 @Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/apc.2021.0074 2013–2019. 24.
- 469 Mathewos A, Mekdes K, Haymanot N, Melkamu M, A. D. Predictors of Loss to Follow-
470 Up among HIV-Infected Adults after Initiation of the First-Line Antiretroviral Therapy at Arba
471 Minch General Hospital, Southern Ethiopia: A 5-Year Retrospective Cohort Study. *Hindawi*
472 *BioMed Research International Volume* 2021, Article ID 8659372,
473 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8659372>. Received 8 June 2021; Revised 25 October 2021;
474 Accepted 30 October 2021; Published 11 November 2021.
- 475 25. Nalukwago GK, Isunju JB, Muwonge T, Katairo T, Bunani N, Semitala F, et al.
476 Adherence to oral HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among female sex workers in Kampala,
477 Uganda. *Afri Health Sci*. 2021;21(3). 1048-1058. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v21i3.12>. 26.

- 478 Rosenstock IM. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. Health
479 Education Monographs. 1974;2(4):354-86. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F109019817400200405>
480 Accessed on 7/28/2020.
- 481 27. Douglas K, Kevin M, Victoria E, et al. Patterns and clinical consequences of
482 discontinuing HIV preexposure prophylaxis during primary care Krakower D et al. Journal of the
483 International AIDS Society , 22:e25250
484 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jia2.25250/full> | <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25250>.
485 2019.
- 486 28. Adetunji O, Adewale S, M K. Pre-exposure prophylaxis in sero-discordant male partners
487 of human immune deficiency virus (HIV) positive women desirous of natural conception
488 – a clinical setting experience, Journal of AIDS and HIV Research. july ,2013; Vol. 5(7),
489 : 269-
- 490 74.DOI 10.5897/JAHR2013.0252 ISSN 2141-2359 © 2013 Academic Journals
491 <http://www.academicjournals.org/JAHR>
492
- 493 29. Joseph K , B J, Hadijja N, et al. Uptake and retention on HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis
494 among key and priority populations in South-Central Uganda ,Journal of the International
495 AIDS Society , 23:e25588 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jia2.25588/full> |
496 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25588>.
497 2020.
- 498 30. Jason B, Rupa R, Rachel B Lessons from a Decade of Voluntary Medical Male
499 Circumcision Implementation and their Application to HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis
500 Scale Up. SAGE. 2018.
501