1	Title: Factors associated with osteoarthritis in elderly people in Shanghai: A cross-
2	sectional study
3	Xueyao Liang <sup>1†</sup> , Bo Zheng <sup>1†</sup> , Xiyou Cao <sup>4†</sup> , Shuangfei Xu <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Qiongmei Zhang <sup>4</sup> , Qing
4	Fei <sup>4</sup> , Nanqian Lu <sup>4</sup> , Kai Zhang <sup>4</sup> , Qingchun Liu <sup>4</sup> , Ying Han <sup>4</sup> , Xiaoyin Hu <sup>4</sup> , Weibing
5	Wang <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Bing Liu <sup>4*</sup> , Mengfan Li <sup>4*</sup> , Ying Wu <sup>4*</sup> , Yefeng Zhao <sup>4*</sup>
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	1 Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai
11	200032, China
12	2 School of Public Health, Shanghai Institute of Infectious Disease and Biosecurity,
13	Fudan University, Shanghai 20032, China
14	3 Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety of Ministry of Education, Fudan University,
15	Shanghai 200032, China
16	4 Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital, 910 Taopu Road, Shanghai 200333, China
17	
18	† These authors contributed equally to this work.
19	* Corresponding authors:
20	Dr. Yefeng Zhao
21	Orthopedics Department, Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital, 910 Taopu Road, Shanghai 200333,
22 23	Dr. Ying Wu
24	Medical Department, Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital, 910 Taopu Road, Shanghai 200333, China(e-
25	mail: <u>15618717232@163.com</u> )
26	Dr. Mengfan Li
27	Medical Department, Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital, 910 Taopu Road, Shanghai 200333, China(e-
28	mail: $\underline{y_1huang99(a)163.com}$
29 20	DI. Bing Liu Medical Department Shanghei Putus Ligun Hegnital 010 Teory Dead Shanghei 200222 China(
30 31	mail: anebal@126.com)
32	
33	

<sup>34</sup> NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### 35 Title: Factors associated with osteoarthritis in elderly people in Shanghai: A cross-

- sectional study 36
- 37
- 38

#### 39 ABSTRACT

Background: Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative disease of mostly' middle-aged and 40 elderly people that contributes a high burden of disease in China and worldwide. 41 42 However, many putative risk factors associated with OA in elderly populations remain substantially unclear. 43

Methods: In our cross-sectional study, 2177 residents of the Putuo District of Shanghai 44 aged 40 years and above were recruited to complete a questionnaire that queried basic 45 health information and assessed the prevalence of OA. A non-conditional and an ordinal 46 logistic regression model was used to analyze the associations between putative risk 47 factors and the severity of confirmed OA. 48

**Results:** Among 2099 eligible participants, the average age was 65.21 years( 41.4% 49

50 were male), and 551 self-reported OA [prevalence = 26.25% (24.13, 28.51)]. The

prevalence of knee OA were the most common (23.11%, 21.12 to 25.23). OA was 51

associated with age  $\geq 60$  years (aOR = 1.56; 1.18, 2.07), overweight BMI (24~27.9) 52

kg/m2) (1.30; 1.02 to 1.65), female sex (1.66; 1.30 to 2.12), unmarried status (1.41; 53

54 1.03 to 1.90), a family history of OA (6.23; 4.17 to 9.38), two or more comorbidities

(2.18; 1.52 to 3.11), and prior injury to any joint (12.02; 4.86 to 31.22). 55

Conclusions: Old age, Female sex, family history and multiple comorbidities were 56 significant contributors to promote the severity of OA. Appropriate prevention and 57 58 treatment strategies must be developed to reduce the burden of the disease.

59

Keywords: OA; BMI; WOMAC; Risk factor; Severity 60

62

### 63 1 INTRODUCTION

OA is the most common degenerative disease of joints [1] caused by fibrosis, 64 65 chapping, ulceration, and attrition of articular cartilage, with clinical manifestations of joint pain, tenderness, limitation of movement and articulation, occasional effusion, and 66 local inflammation of varying degrees[2]. As a commonly irreversible disease of 67 middle-aged and elderly (aged 40 years old and above)[3], OA is part of the aging 68 process, which will seriously affect the patient's quality of life. The final disability rate 69 of this disease is 53%, and advanced knee OA can lead to the need for joint-replacement 70 surgery[1, 4, 5]. OA is recognized as a major public health challenge; it affects an 71 estimated 32 million people in the US and more than 240 million people worldwide[2]. 72 73 Globally, the age-standardized point prevalence, annual incidence rate, and age-74 standard YLD rate of OA were increasing from 1990 to 2017[6].

OA similarly contributes to a high burden of disease in China[7]; it is estimated 75 that around 26.1 million individuals in China had OA in 1990, and this number rose to 76 61.2 million in 2017[8]. The prevalence of OA in China varies according to 77 sociodemographic, economic, and geographic factors. Xu Tang's research showed that 78 the North and East regions of China had the lowest prevalence of symptomatic knee 79 OA (5.4% and 5.5%, respectively), followed by the Northeast (7.0%), South-Central 80 (7.8%), and Northwest (10.8%) regions, with the highest prevalence seen in the 81 82 Southwest region (13.7%)[9]. According to the Shanghai Bureau of Statistics[10], in 2021, the city's registered population aged 60 years and over was about 5,324,100; 83 approximately 366,000 of these individuals were in Putuo District, accounting for 40.99% 84 of the total population in the district. OA is a major public health concern that results 85 in decreased quality of life among middle-aged and older adults[11]. Therefore, 86 87 epidemiologic studies aimed at identifying risk factors that contribute to OA in China are needed, as are explorations of prevention and treatment strategies appropriate for 88 89 older individuals.

Previous studies found that OA is related to many factors, such as age, gender, and
 region[9, 12, 13]. OA prevalence and YLDs are higher in females than in males, and

the YLD rate increases with age[8]. While a multitude of factors contribute to the 92 93 development of OA, many putative risk factors associated with OA in elderly populations remain substantially unclear, except for age, sex, and BMI. Additionally, 94 the associations between putative risk factors and OA severity are uncertain. Given 95 society's responsibility to protect the elderly population, it is essential that researchers 96 identify the risk factors associated with musculoskeletal disease in later life. This study 97 aimed to investigate the prevalence of OA in a community of elderly people in the 98 99 Putuo District of Shanghai, and to determine putative risk factors associated with confirmed OA and the severity thereof. 100

### 101 2 Methods

### 102 Study design and setting

103 This study was cross-sectional and involved distributing a survey to collect information on factors potentially associated with OA, as well as demographics, 104 lifestyle, past medical history, general health, occupational history, and participation in 105 sports and physical activity. Our trained investigator introduced the purpose of the study 106 107 and provided information to participants on filling out the questionnaire. Our survey was carried out between Oct 2020 and Mar 2021 in the Putuo District of Shanghai. 108 Implied consent to participate was obtained from all participants completing the study 109 questionnaire. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Putuo 110 111 Ligun Hospital (No. RT-201911).

#### 112 Sampling and eligibility criteria

The recruitment of eligible individuals was performed using multi-stage stratified sampling. Lists of hospitals were obtained with the help of workers from Putuo District, and the Liqun Hospital was chosen. Sufficient clinical departments were sampled from the list of all clinical departments in the hospital, and eligible patients of the chosen departments were contacted in sequence. A trained investigator familiar with the purpose of the study provided information on filling out the questionnaire.

A signed informed consent form was obtained from all participants included in the study. All included participants had lived in the Putuo District for at least 6 months prior to being enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria for participants were aged 40

years and older. The exclusion criteria for this study were: 1) the presence of a severe mental or neurological disorder; 2) the presence of rheumatoid arthritis, reactive arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, or another secondary joint disease; and 3) data missing on the a priori confounders of age, sex, and BMI.

126 Survey

The questionnaire consisted of four sections: demographic information, health 127 status, living/working environment and dietary conditions and physical examination. 128 129 The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities OA Index (WOMAC index, Chinese version)[14] was additional part that was given only to those participants with 130 confirmed OA (self-reported had been diagnosed OA by X-rays or labs tests). 131 Demographic information, living/working environment and dietary condition referred 132 133 to (as examples) the respondent's age, sex, height, weight, residence, educational level, working posture, and per capita annual household income information. The obtained 134 health status information comprised information on medication history, physical 135 exercise, comorbidities, joint pain, trauma history, surgery history, OA history of 136 137 family members, etc. The WOMAC is a self-assessment questionnaire comprising 24 items on three subscales: pain (5 items), stiffness (2 items), and physical function (17 138 items)[15]. The overall WOMAC score (index) is determined by summing the scores 139 across the three dimensions; the score can range from 0 to 240[15]: <80 indicates mild 140 141 OA, 80-120 is moderate OA, and >120 represents severe OA. The Chinese version of WOMAC has strong internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.840.96) and acceptable 142 test-retest reliability[16]. For the WOMAC index, our study achieved a Cronbach's 143 alpha score of 0.986. In this study, symptomatic OA was adopted as the diagnostic 144 145 criteria, which refers to the presence of recurring joint pain and other symptoms, including joint pain, stiffness and limited mobility, in the past month on the basis of 146 imaging OA 147

#### 148 Statistical analysis

The collected data from questionnaires were entered with Epidata version 3.4 for data management and to be proofread. Mean (95% CI) or median/interquartile ranges were used to describe the distribution of continuous variables, and the frequency and

component ratio were used to describe the characteristics of categorical variables. The
Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare means and the Chi-square test was used to
compare rates.

Univariate logistic regression was used to analyze crude associations between each 155 independent variable and confirmed OA, which was reported as a crude odds ratio (cOR) 156 with 95% confidence. All factors were entered separately into Adjusted model 1 and 157 adjusted for the a priori confounders of age, sex, and BMI. The obtained Adjusted 158 159 model 2 was then fitted to the a priori confounders plus any significant factors/variables identified in Adjusted model 1. Adjusted model 2 involved the stepwise multivariate 160 analysis of any significant factors/variables identified in Adjusted 1 model plus the a 161 priori confounders. Meanwhile, we used univariate ordinal logistic regression to 162 determine the univariate associations between significant factors identified for 163 confirmed OA and the severity of OA. All significant factors (P < 0.05) were included 164 in our multivariate analysis. P values and 95% CIs were used to assess statistical 165 significance in all models. We conducted all analyses in R.4.1.1 software (Foundation 166 167 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL https://www.rproject.org/). Statistical significance was set at a two-side p value < 0.05. 168

169 **3 Results** 

#### 170 Demographic, lifestyle, and health characteristics of participants

171 A total of 2177 questionnaires were returned between Oct 2020 and Mar 2021. (details of excluded participants are available in online supplemental Figure 1). Of those 172 included in the analysis (n = 2099), the mean age was 65.21 years (95% CI: 64.79, 173 65.63), 58.6% were female (1230/2099), and the average BMI were 24.85(24.42-25.27). 174 175 The average cumulative working years was 29.03 years (28.65, 29.41), and 33.4%(702/2099) of respondents walked for their daily travel. The percentage reporting 176 married status was significantly higher among male participants (88.8%) than female 177 participants (85.4%) (P < 0.001). Of the participants, 82.9% (1020/1230) of females 178 took stairs during their daily or work life, while only 77.6% (674/869) of males reported 179 180 habitual stair use. A family history of OA was reported by 4.8% (42/869) of male participants and 8.5% (105/1230) of female participants; thus, significantly more 181

182 female participants had a family history of OA compared to male participants (P < P

183 0.001). Among all participants, 971 (46.3%) had self-reported comorbidities and 655

184 (31.2%) were receiving long-term any medical treatment (see Table 1).

#### 185 Prevalence of OA and OA-related pain

Of participants, 551 were identified as having confirmed OA [prevalence = 26.25%186 (95% CI: 24.13, 28.51)]; more women (70.4%) than men (29.6%). Knee OA had the 187 highest prevalence (23.11%) followed by interphalangeal OA (2.38%), hip OA (1.95%), 188 189 and other-region OA (1.00%). For the prevalence of recurrent joint pain in the prior month, 20.68% (18.80, 22.69), 7.05% (5.98, 8.26), 6.34% (5.33, 7.48), and 4.67% (3.81, 190 5.66) of participants reported such pain in the knee joint, interphalangeal joint, hip joint, 191 and other joints, respectively. Among those reporting pain of any joint in the prior 192 193 month, 73.2% (595/813) were female and 26.8% (218/813) were male (see Figure 2).

#### 194 Factors associated with OA

In our ultimate stepwise multi-variable (Adjusted model 2) analysis of all 195 significant factors/variables from Adjusted model 1 (Supplementary Table 2) plus the 196 197 a priori confounders revealed that OA was associated with age > 60 (aOR = 1.56; 1.18, 2.07, P < 0.001), overweight BMI (24~27.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (aOR = 1.30; 1.02, 1.65, P = 0.040), 198 female sex (aOR = 1.66; 1.30, 2.12, P < 0.001), unmarried status, divorced or widowed 199 (aOR = 1.41; 1.03, 1.90, P = 0.030), a family history of OA (aOR = 6.23; 4.17, 9.38, P)200 201 < 0.001), two or more comorbidities (aOR = 2.18; 1.52, 3.11, P < 0.001), and prior injury of any joint (aOR = 12.02; 4.86, 31.22, P < 0.001). Similarly, we identified 202 potential protective factors [e.g., professional and technical work (aOR = 0.51: 0.29.) 203 0.87, P = 0.010), ambidextrous (aOR = 0.47; 0.23, 0.97, P = 0.040), use of bicycle for 204 daily travel (aOR = 0.61; 0.44, 0.83, P < 0.001), use of public transportation or other 205 mode for daily travel (aOR = 0.59; 0.45, 0.77, P < 0.001)] in our ultimate stepwise 206 multi-variable analysis (see Figure 3). 207

#### 208 Factors associated with the severity of OA

Of participants with confirmed OA, the overall response rate to the WOMAC questionnaire was 71.9% (396/551); of these respondents, 367 scored as mild OA (92.7%), 11 as moderate OA (2.8%), and 18 as severe OA (4.5%). In our univariate

ordinal logistic regression, the use of a bicycle for daily travel (cOR = 0.12; 95% CI: 212 213 0.02, 0.95) and non-long-term medical treatment tended to be associated with less severe OA compared to walking for daily travel and long-term medical treatment (cOR 214 = 4.00; 1.57, 10.19), respectively; however, these differences did not reach the level of 215 significance (P <sub>by bicycle</sub> = 0.234; P <sub>long-term medical treatment</sub> = 0.626) in multivariate analysis. 216 Unmarried or other (divorced/widowed) status participants had significantly more 217 severe OA than those of Married (cOR = 4.97, 2.28, 10.84; aOR = 3.75, 1.53, 9.19, P 218 219 = 0.004). Participants reporting a family history of OA (cOR = 3.09, 1.30, 7.34; aOR =4.73, 1.73, 12.91, P = 0.002) tended to have significantly more severe OA. Our results 220 also showed that an increasing number of comorbidities contributed to increasing the 221 severity of OA, with significant contributions observed for participants with two or 222 223 more comorbidities (cOR = 7.91, 2.30, 27.16; aOR = 8.53, 1.75, 41.54, P = 0.008) (see Table 2). 224

#### Discussion 225 4

This study investigated the prevalence and factors associated with OA at any joint 226 227 among those aged 40 and older. The knee OA was the most common among all region OA. The present study found that OA was associated with age  $\geq 60$ , overweight BMI 228 (24~27.9 kg/m2), female sex, married status, a family history of OA, two or more 229 comorbidities, and prior injury of any joint. Marriage status a family history of OA, and 230 231 two or more comorbidities were found to be associated with OA severity.

Consistent with previous reports [17, 18], age and sex were significantly associated 232 with OA in this study. Recent epidemiological studies have shown that the lack of 233 estrogen after menopause may play a role in the pathogenesis of OA in elderly women, 234 235 and that many women are more prone to OA after menopause[13, 19]. This suggests that sex hormones may contribute to the difference in OA between men and women. 236 OA was also reported to be significantly affected by obesity[20], which is commonly 237 believed to affect joints through biomechanical loading and metabolic inflammatory 238 pathways[21]. An apparent dose-response relationship between obesity and OA was 239 observed in this research. The adjusted OR for obese BMI ( $\geq 28 \text{ kg/m2}$ ) did not reach 240 the level of significance, but this may reflect sparse-data bias due to the small number 241

of participants with obese BMI. A correlation between joint hypermobility and OA has 242 been reported in community populations[22, 23]; hypermobility is thought to exert 243 greater biomechanical stresses on articular cartilage, potentially increasing the risk of 244 OA. Consistent with this, we found that the ambidextrous tended to be protected from 245 OA, as were individuals who mainly used bicycle/public transportation. We found that 246 247 having a professional or technical occupation (aOR = 0.51; 0.29, 0.87, P = 0.010) was associated with a significantly lower prevalence of OA, whereas the prevalence peaked 248 249 for non-farm-household industrial workers. These findings were similar to those of a population-based study indicating that physically demanding work is a major risk factor 250 for OA [24]. Previous reports posited that joint injury (meniscal injuries, dislocations, 251 fractures[25] and ACL tears[26]) may be a major risk factor for the development of 252 253 knee OA[27, 28]. Our study confirmed that there was a significant association between prior joint injury and OA. This may reflect that direct trauma to the tissue can disrupt 254 normal joint kinematics and cause altered load distribution within the joint[12]. 255

Previous research found that OA has a significant genetic component[29]. The 256 257 heritable component of OA is reported to be approximately 50%[30] and polygenic[31]. Our results showed that a family history of OA made significant contributions to both 258 the presence and severity of OA. Eirik Weldingh reported that a family history 259 contributes to OA and that maternal OA consistently increases the risk of offspring OA 260 across different OA locations and severities[32]. Similar to the findings of a previous 261 report[12], those suffering from two or more comorbidities (i.e., diabetes, cancer, lung 262 disease, stroke, heart disease) were more likely to report OA, especially more severe 263 OA. The relationship between OA and comorbidities, such as coronary heart disease 264 265 (CHD), is not yet completely understood. It may partly reflect shared underlying disease mechanisms or risk factors and the influenced of shared treatments or those 266 with effects on both diseases, such as NSAIDs[33]. There is a paucity of research on 267 the relationship between marital status and OA, especially the severity of OA. A study 268 in Taiwan revealed that higher disability could be explained by unmarried status among 269 arthritic older patients[34], and another found that married patients had better overall 270 outcomes after total knee arthroplasty [35]. Our present results suggest that married 271

272 participants were less likely to have OA overall or severe OA than those unmarried or other, especially a milder OA. Being married has been generally found to confer 273 benefits for one's mental and physical health because it provides steady and reliable 274 companionship, emotional intimacy, sexual partnership, and a buffer against ongoing 275 stress[36, 37]. Notably, one-fifth of people with OA experience symptoms of 276 depression and anxiety[38], almost half do not receive any mental healthcare 277 support[39], and depression is related to worse pain, higher functional disability, and 278 279 higher rates of mortality[40]. Thus, avoiding depression and anxiety may be an especially important domain to consider in the elderly population. 280

There are limitations to our analysis. First, reporting bias may be present if 281 participants did not accurately recall their information (history of injury/OA, family 282 283 history of OA, etc.). Second, our study was only descriptive and thus carries the possibility of residual confounding. In the future cohort, studies could be used to better 284 demonstrate that the identified causes preceded the studied outcome. Although we 285 stratified for major factors such as sex and BMI adjustment, this cross-sectional analysis 286 287 did not exhaustively address other potential unmeasured confounders associated with OA, such as history of taking antihypertensive and anti-inflammatory drugs, which 288 289 were not included in the questionnaire at the study-design stage. In the future, inflammatory factors such as C-reactive protein can be included to further analyze the 290 291 mediating factors and the association between risk factors and OA. Third, despite our strenuous efforts to achieve a high response rate to the WOMAC questionnaire among 292 participants with confirmed OA, the overall response rate to the WOMAC 293 questionnaire was 71.9% (396/551), meaning that there may have been recruitment bias. 294

295 **5** Conclusions

5 Conclusions

We found that confirmed OA in an elderly population was associated with a number of factors, including age  $\geq 60$ , overweight, female sex, married status, professional and technical work, ambidextrous, not walking for daily travel, a family history of OA, two or more comorbidities, and prior injury of any joint. In addition, marriage status, a family history of OA, and comorbidity had significant contributions to the severity of OA. Appropriate prevention and treatment strategies, predominantly

- those targeting women and individuals who are older, unmarried, and have a family
- 303 history of OA, should be developed to reduce the burden of the disease.
- 304

### 305 Acknowledgments

- 306 We gratefully acknowledge all of the health care workers in Liqun hospital for
- 307 Collection of questionnaires in this study.

## 308 Authors' contributions

- 309 XL and BZ contributed equally. YFZ, YW and WBW contributed equally to the
- 310 correspondence work. XL, SFX and BZ analysed the data and drafted the manuscript.
- 311 WBW and YFZ conducted critical revisions of the manuscript. All authors read and
- 312 approved the final manuscript.

### 313 Funding

- 314 This study was supported by the Scientific research projects of Shanghai Health
- 315 Commission, (Grant No. 201940490), Shanghai Putuo District Health System Science
- and Technology Innovation Project, (Grant No. ptkwws202321), and Shanghai Putuo
- 317 District Health System and Clinical Characteristic Disease Construction Project,
- 318 (Grant No. tszb-2019-01).

### 319 Availability of data and materials

- 320 The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author
- 321 Yefeng Zhao(e-mail:zhaoyefeng2002@live.com.) and Dr.Weibing Wang (e-mail:
- 322 wwb@fudan.edu.cn).

### 323 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 324 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital
- 325 (No. RT-201911).

### 326 **Consent for publication**

- 327 Not applicable.
- 328 **Competing interests**
- 329 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could influence the work reported in this paper.
- 331 Author details
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai
- 333 200032, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Public Health, Shanghai Institute of Infectious Disease and

- Biosecurity, Fudan University, Shanghai 20032, China.<sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Public
- Health Safety of Ministry of Education, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China.
- <sup>4</sup> Shanghai Putuo Liqun Hospital, 910 Taopu Road, Shanghai 200333, China

337

338

339

340



345 Figure 1. Flow chart depicting the number of participants included in this study.

1 0/				
	All	Male	Female	P
Characteristics	(n = 2099)	(n = 869)	(n = 1230)	
	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	value
Age, years	65.21 (64.79 ,65.63)	65.69 (65.05, 66.34)	64.87 (64.32, 65.42)	0.057
Height, cm	163.98 (163.67 ,164.29)	169.92 (169.52, 170.32)	159.78 (159.51, 160.05)	< 0.001
Weight, kg	71.23 (69.59, 72.87)	63.75 (62.25, 65.24)	66.85 (65.73 ,67.97)	< 0.001
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.85 (24.42 ,25.27)	24.66 (24.09, 25.23)	24.98 (24.38, 25.58)	0.453
Duration of working career, years	29.03 (28.65 ,29.41)	32.02 (31.36 ,32.67)	26.9 (26.49 ,27.31)	< 0.001
Ethnic Han, %	1879 (89.5)	796 (91.6)	1083 (88.0)	0.011
Married, %	1823 (86.9)	772 (88.8)	1051 (85.4)	0.028
Junior high school and below, %	889 (42.4)	354 (40.7)	535 (43.5)	0.224
Household income per capita <5000 CNY, %	1314 (62.6)	534 (61.4)	780 (63.4)	0.088
Medical insurance for urban workers, %	1541 (73.4)	606 (69.7)	935 (76.0)	0.002
0-3 hours/per week for physical exercise, %	1108 (52.8)	468 (53.9)	640 (52.0)	0.626
Both sitting or standing in work, %	759 (36.2)	297 (34.2)	462 (37.6)	0.186
Ambidextrous, %	207 (9.9)	74 (8.5)	133 (10.8)	0.119
Walk for daily travel, %	702 (33.4)	193 (22.2)	509 (41.4)	<0.001
Taking stairs for daily life or work, %	1694 (80.7)	674 (77.6)	1020 (82.9)	0.003
Balanced diet, %	1477 (70.4)	590 (67.9)	887 (72.1)	0.082
Family history of OA, %	147 (7.0)	42 (4.8)	105 (8.5)	0.004
Any history of surgery, %	98 (4.7)	32 (3.7)	66 (5.4)	0.183
Any long-term medical treatment*, %	655 (31.2)	250 (28.8)	405 (32.9)	0.128
Any current disease, %	971 (46.3)	390 (44.9)	581 (47.2)	0.307

#### Table 1: Anthropometry, Lifestyle, and Health Factors. 346

Data are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) or as proportions 347 (%). The P values represent comparison between male and female participants using 348 unpaired t-test or Chi-square analysis. Statistically significant differences are bolded. 349

\*Chronic or intermittent use over 6 months. 350

351





Figure 2. Prevalence of clinically confirmed OA (A) and recurrent joint pain in 

- the last month (B) among different body regions.

#### 357

Factors	OA cases / Participants, No.	Prevalence (%; 95% C	31)	Estimate (95% CI)
Age, years	• •			. ,
40-59	101/585	17.26 (14.14, 20.88)		1 (ref)
≥ 60	450/1514	29.72 (27.07, 32.57)		1.56 (1.18 to 2.07)***
BMI, kg/m2				. ,
Normal (<25)	272/1202	22.63 (20.06, 25.44)		1 (ref)
Overweight (24~27.9)	206/666	30.93 (26.92, 35.38)	<b>}</b> ⊷-	1.30 (1.02 to 1.65)*
Obese (≥ 28)	73/231	31.60 (24.96, 39.49)	<b>∔</b>	1.33 (0.93 to 1.89)
Sex				
Male	163/869	18.76 (16.04, 21.81)		1 (ref)
Female	388/1230	31.54 (28.52, 34.80)	- <b>-</b>	1.66 (1.30 to 2.12)***
Marriage				
Married	445/1823	24.41 (22.22, 26.76)		1 (ref)
Unmarried or others	106/276	38.41 (31.61, 46.25)	} <b>_</b> ⊷	1.41 (1.03 to 1.90)*
Occupation				
Administrators of enterprises and institutions	46/179	25.70 (19.05, 33.96)		1 (ref)
Business/service personnel	54/262	20.61 (15.65, 26.68)	⊢ <b>∳</b> i	1.02 (0.62 to 1.70)
General office staff	110/465	23.66 (19.54, 28.39)	<b>-</b>	1.13 (0.73 to 1.78)
Non-farm household industrial workers	215/574	37.46 (32.70, 42.72)	<b></b>	1.52 (1.01 to 2.32)
Professional and technical personnel	40/216	18.52 (13.42, 24.95)		0.51 (0.29 to 0.87)*
Others	86/403	21.34 (17.18, 26.22)	⊢ ● ¦ → I	0.86 (0.54 to 1.36)
Dominant hand				
Left hand	21/59	35.59 (22.69, 53.38)		1 (ref)
Right hand	472/1803	26.18 (23.90, 28.62)		0.68 (0.37 to 1.29)
Both	47/208	22.60 (16.81, 29.77)	<b>⊢</b> {	0.47 (0.23 to 0.97)*
Daily travel mode				
Walk	274/702	39.03 (34.61, 43.86)		1 (ref)
By bicycle	104/535	19.44 (15.97, 23.45)	<b>⊢</b> ●	0.61 (0.44 to 0.83)***
Public transportation or others	171/856	19.98 (17.15, 23.14)	<b>⊢●</b> -1	0.59 (0.45 to 0.77)***
Family history of OA				
No	416/1831	22.72 (20.61, 24.98)		1 (ref)
Yes	93/147	63.27 (51.37, 77.13)		6.23 (4.17 to 9.38)***
Long term medical treatment				
No	278/1366	20.35 (18.06, 22.85)		1 (ref)
Yes	256/655	39.08 (34.51, 44.10)	H <b>+⊕</b> 1	1.24 (0.91 to 1.69)
Comorbidities				
No	204/1128	18.09 (15.73, 20.70)	1	1 (ref)
1	145/520	27.88 (23.62, 32.71)	⊢¦ <b>e</b> ⊸i	1.11 (0.80 to 1.52)
2 or more	202/451	44.79 (38.93, 51.29)	·•	2.18 (1.52 to 3.11)***
Injury of any joints				
No	12/40	30.00 (16.38, 50.81)		1 (ref)
Yes	80/112	71.43 (57.03, 88.40)	· · · · •	→ 12.02 (4.86 to 31.22)***
Unknown or forgotten	459/1947	23.57 (21.49, 25.81)	<b>⊢</b>	1.98 (0.90 to 4.60)
			-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 20 25 3.0	3.5

358

Figure 3. Demographic, lifestyle, and biomechanical factors of OA. Stepwise 359 multivariable logistic regression analysis of significant factors/variables identified in 360 Adjusted model 1 (Supplementary Table 2) plus a priori confounders of age, sex, and 361 BMI. The error bar and dots represent the adjusted relative risk of OA with a 362 logarithmic scale. The error bars indicate 95% CIs. The vertical dotted line at 0.0 is a 363 reference for the x-axis. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001; <sup>#</sup> logarithmic scale. 364 365

#### 

#### Table 2. Analysis of the association of factors with OA severity.

Characteristics		Severity of OA (No, %)			OR (95% Confidence Interval, CI)		
		Mild	Moderate	Severe	Crude	Adjusted	P value
Age, years	40-59	72 (19.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (11.1)	1 (ref)		
	≥ 60	295 (80.4)	11 (100.0)	16 (88.9)	3.25 (0.76, 13.99)		
BMI, kg/m2	Normal (<25)	186 (50.7)	5 (45.5)	12 (66.7)	1 (ref)		
	Overweight (24~27.9)	135 (36.8)	4 (36.4)	3 (16.7)	0.56 (0.23, 1.38)		
	Obese (≥ 28)	46 (12.5)	2 (18.2)	3 (16.7)	1.18 (0.41, 3.35)		
Sex	Male	109 (29.7)	4 (36.4)	6 (33.3)	1 (ref)		
	Female	258 (70.3)	7 (63.6)	12 (66.7)	0.81 (0.36, 1.79)		
Marriage	Married	310 (84.5)	5 (45.5)	10 (55.6)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Unmarried or others	57 (15.5)	6 (54.5)	8 (44.4)	4.97 (2.28, 10.84)***	3.75 (1.53, 9.19)**	0.004
Occupation	Administrators of enterprises	34 (9.3)	2 (18.2)	1 (5.6)	1 (ref)		
	and institutions						
	<b>Business/service personnel</b>	29 (7.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (11.1)	0.82 (0.13, 5.26)		
	General office staff	73 (19.9)	4 (36.4)	1 (5.6)	0.77 (0.18, 3.42)		
	Non-farm household	149 (40.6)	3 (27.3)	11 (61.1)	1.11 (0.30, 4.06)		
	industrial workers						
	Professional and technical	38 (10.4)	1 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	0.92 (0.17, 4.86)		
	personnel						
	Others	44 (12.0)	1 (9.1)	1 (5.6)	0.53 (0.08, 3.32)		
Ambidextrous	No	322 (87.7)	10 (90.9)	16 (88.9)	1 (ref)		
	Yes	41 (11.2)	1 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	0.91 (0.26, 3.14)		
Daily travel mode	Walk	190 (51.8)	9 (81.8)	11 (61.1)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	By bicycle	76 (20.7)	1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	0.12 (0.02, 0.95)*	0.28 (0.03, 2.29)	0.234
	Public transportation or	99 (27.0)	1 (9.1)	7 (38.9)	0.79 (0.34, 1.86)	1.63 (0.58, 4.56)	0.352
	others						
Family history of OA	No	295 (80.4)	6 (54.5)	10 (55.6)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Yes	54 (14.7)	3 (27.3)	6 (33.3)	3.09 (1.30, 7.34)*	4.73 (1.73, 12.91)**	0.002
Long term medical	No	192 (52.3)	4 (36.4)	2 (11.1)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
treatment	Yes	162 (44.1)	7 (63.6)	13 (72.2)	4.00 (1.57, 10.19)**	1.34 (0.41, 4.32)	0.626
Comorbidities	No	136 (37.1)	1 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	1	111 (30.2)	2 (18.2)	3 (16.7)	2.04 (0.48, 8.72)	1.84 (0.37, 9.23)	0.458
	2 or more	120 (32.7)	8 (72.7)	13 (72.2)	7.91 (2.30, 27.16)**	8.53 (1.75, 41.54)**	0.008

Crude: Univariate ordinal logistic regression analysis was used to analyze factors that might affect OA severity; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001. Adjusted: Multivariable ordinal logistic regression analysis was performed including the significant factors/variables identified in the univariate ordinal logistic regression; injury of any joint was excluded because this was positive for most participants. 

#### 376 **References**

377

- 1. Martel-Pelletier J, Barr AJ, Cicuttini FM, Conaghan PG, Cooper C, Goldring MB, et al.
- 379 Osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016;2:16072. Epub 2016/10/14. doi:
- 380 10.1038/nrdp.2016.72. PubMed PMID: 27734845.
- 381 2. Yue L, Berman J. What Is Osteoarthritis? Jama. 2022;327(13):1300. Epub 2022/04/06. doi:
- 382 10.1001/jama.2022.1980. PubMed PMID: 35380583.
- 383 3. Sun X, Zhen X, Hu X, Li Y, Gu S, Gu Y, et al. Osteoarthritis in the Middle-Aged and Elderly
- in China: Prevalence and Influencing Factors. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(23).
- 385 Epub 2019/11/30. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16234701. PubMed PMID: 31779104; PubMed Central
- 386 PMCID: PMCPMC6926632.
- 4. Hunter DJ, Bierma-Zeinstra S. Osteoarthritis. Lancet. 2019;393(10182):1745-59. Epub
- 388 2019/04/30. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(19)30417-9. PubMed PMID: 31034380.
- 5. Chen AT, Bronsther CI, Stanley EE, Paltiel AD, Sullivan JK, Collins JE, et al. The Value of
- 390 Total Knee Replacement in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis and a Body Mass Index of 40
- 391 kg/m(2) or Greater : A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174(6):747-57. Epub
- 392 2021/03/23. doi: 10.7326/m20-4722. PubMed PMID: 33750190; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 393 PMCPMC8288249.
- Safiri S, Kolahi AA, Smith E, Hill C, Bettampadi D, Mansournia MA, et al. Global, regional
   and national burden of osteoarthritis 1990-2017: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of
   Disease Study 2017. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79(6):819-28. Epub 2020/05/14. doi:
   10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216515. PubMed PMID: 32398285.
- 398 7. Zhang Z, Huang C, Jiang Q, Zheng Y, Liu Y, Liu S, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and

399	treatment of osteoarthritis in China (2019 edition). Ann Transl Med. 2020;8(19):1213. Epub
400	2020/11/13. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-4665. PubMed PMID: 33178745; PubMed Central PMCID:
401	PMCPMC7607097.

- 402 8. Long H, Zeng X, Liu Q, Wang H, Vos T, Hou Y, et al. Burden of osteoarthritis in China,
- 403 1990–2017: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet Rheumatology.

404 2020;2(3):e164-e72. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(19)30145-6</u>.

405 9. Tang X, Wang S, Zhan S, Niu J, Tao K, Zhang Y, et al. The Prevalence of Symptomatic

406 Knee Osteoarthritis in China: Results From the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study.

407 Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016;68(3):648-53. Epub 2015/10/17. doi: 10.1002/art.39465. PubMed

408 PMID: 26474054.

409 10. Statistics SBo. ShangHai Statistical Yearbook2021.

410 11. Kim HS, Park HM, Kim H, Lee HS, Son DH, Lee YJ. Association Between the Severity of

411 Periodontitis and Osteoarthritis in Middle-Aged and Older Patients With Type 2 Diabetes

412 Mellitus: A Nationwide Population-Based Study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2022;74(3):403-

413 9. Epub 2020/10/13. doi: 10.1002/acr.24484. PubMed PMID: 33044789.

414 12. Cooper DJ, Scammell BE, Batt ME, Palmer D. Factors associated with pain and

415 osteoarthritis at the hip and knee in Great Britain's Olympians: a cross-sectional study. British

416 Journal of Sports Medicine. 2018;52(17):1101. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098315.

417 13. Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, Javaid MK, Cooper C, Diez-Perez A, Arden NK. Incidence

- 418 and risk factors for clinically diagnosed knee, hip and hand osteoarthritis: influences of age,
- 419 gender and osteoarthritis affecting other joints. Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73(9):1659-64. Epub
- 420 2013/06/08. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203355. PubMed PMID: 23744977; PubMed

421 Central PMCID: PMCPMC3875433.

422	14. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW. A preliminary evaluation of the dimensionality and clinical
423	importance of pain and disability in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. Clin Rheumatol.
424	1986;5(2):231-41. Epub 1986/06/01. doi: 10.1007/bf02032362. PubMed PMID: 3731718.
425	15. Woolacott NF, Corbett MS, Rice SJ. The use and reporting of WOMAC in the assessment
426	of the benefit of physical therapies for the pain of osteoarthritis of the knee: findings from a
427	systematic review of clinical trials. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2012;51(8):1440-6. Epub
428	2012/04/03. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes043. PubMed PMID: 22467082.
429	16. Symonds T, Hughes B, Liao S, Ang Q, Bellamy N. Validation of the Chinese Western
430	Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index in Patients From Mainland China With
431	Osteoarthritis of the Knee. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2015;67(11):1553-60. Epub
432	2015/05/29. doi: 10.1002/acr.22631. PubMed PMID: 26018634.
433	17. Dagenais S, Garbedian S, Wai EK. Systematic review of the prevalence of radiographic
434	primary hip osteoarthritis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009;467(3):623-37. Epub 2008/11/28. doi:
435	10.1007/s11999-008-0625-5. PubMed PMID: 19037710; PubMed Central PMCID:
436	PMCPMC2635466.
437	18. Cooper C, Snow S, McAlindon TE, Kellingray S, Stuart B, Coggon D, et al. Risk factors for
438	the incidence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum.
439	2000;43(5):995-1000. Epub 2000/05/19. doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(200005)43:5<995::Aid-
440	anr6>3.0.Co;2-1. PubMed PMID: 10817551.
441	19. Chaisson CE, Zhang Y, McAlindon TE, Hannan MT, Aliabadi P, Naimark A, et al.

442 Radiographic hand osteoarthritis: incidence, patterns, and influence of pre-existing disease in

443 a population based sample. J Rheumatol. 1997;24(7):1337-43. Epub 1997/07/01. PubMed
444 PMID: 9228134.

445 20. Hochberg MC, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Scott WW, Jr., Reichle R, Plato CC, Tobin JD. The

446 association of body weight, body fatness and body fat distribution with osteoarthritis of the knee:

data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. J Rheumatol. 1995;22(3):488-93. Epub

448 1995/03/01. PubMed PMID: 7783067.

449 21. Jinks C, Jordan KP, Blagojevic M, Croft P. Predictors of onset and progression of knee

450 pain in adults living in the community. A prospective study. Rheumatology (Oxford).

451 2008;47(3):368-74. Epub 2008/02/12. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kem374. PubMed PMID:

452 18263594.

453 22. Jónsson H, Elíasson GJ, Jónsson A, Eiríksdóttir G, Sigurdsson S, Aspelund T, et al. High 454 hand joint mobility is associated with radiological CMC1 osteoarthritis: the AGES-Reykjavik 455 study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2009;17(5):592-5. Epub 2008/11/18. doi: 456 10.1016/j.joca.2008.10.002. PubMed PMID: 19010064; PubMed Central PMCID: 457 PMCPMC2756801.

458 23. Jónsson H, Valtýsdóttir ST, Kjartansson O, Brekkan A. Hypermobility associated with
459 osteoarthritis of the thumb base: a clinical and radiological subset of hand osteoarthritis. Ann
460 Rheum Dis. 1996;55(8):540-3. Epub 1996/08/01. doi: 10.1136/ard.55.8.540. PubMed PMID:
461 8774182; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1010234.

462 24. Toivanen AT, Heliövaara M, Impivaara O, Arokoski JP, Knekt P, Lauren H, et al. Obesity,
463 physically demanding work and traumatic knee injury are major risk factors for knee
464 osteoarthritis--a population-based study with a follow-up of 22 years. Rheumatology (Oxford).

465 2010;49(2):308-14. Epub 2009/12/01. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kep388. PubMed PMID:
466 19946021.

- 467 25. Litwic A, Edwards MH, Dennison EM, Cooper C. Epidemiology and burden of osteoarthritis.
- 468 Br Med Bull. 2013;105:185-99. Epub 2013/01/23. doi: 10.1093/bmb/lds038. PubMed PMID:
- 469 23337796; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3690438.
- 470 26. Harkey MS, Luc BA, Golightly YM, Thomas AC, Driban JB, Hackney AC, et al.
- 471 Osteoarthritis-related biomarkers following anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction:
- 472 a systematic review. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015;23(1):1-12. Epub 2014/09/16. doi:
- 473 10.1016/j.joca.2014.09.004. PubMed PMID: 25219671.
- 474 27. Muthuri SG, McWilliams DF, Doherty M, Zhang W. History of knee injuries and knee
  475 osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Osteoarthritis Cartilage.
  476 2011;19(11):1286-93. Epub 2011/09/03. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2011.07.015. PubMed PMID:
  477 21884811.

Wilder FV, Hall BJ, Barrett JP, Jr., Lemrow NB. History of acute knee injury and
osteoarthritis of the knee: a prospective epidemiological assessment. The Clearwater
Osteoarthritis Study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2002;10(8):611-6. Epub 2002/12/14. doi:
10.1053/joca.2002.0795. PubMed PMID: 12479382.

29. O'Neill TW, McCabe PS, McBeth J. Update on the epidemiology, risk factors and disease
outcomes of osteoarthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2018;32(2):312-26. Epub
2018/12/12. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2018.10.007. PubMed PMID: 30527434.

30. Valdes AM, Spector TD. Genetic epidemiology of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Nat Rev
Rheumatol. 2011;7(1):23-32. Epub 2010/11/17. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2010.191. PubMed

487 PMID: 21079645.

488 31. Panoutsopoulou K, Zeggini E. Advances in osteoarthritis genetics. J Med Genet.

489 2013;50(11):715-24. Epub 2013/07/23. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2013-101754. PubMed PMID:

- 490 23868913; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3812881.
- 491 32. Weldingh E, Johnsen MB, Hagen KB, Østerås N, Risberg MA, Natvig B, et al. The Maternal
- 492 and Paternal Effects on Clinically and Surgically Defined Osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol.
- 493 2019;71(11):1844-8. Epub 2019/06/27. doi: 10.1002/art.41023. PubMed PMID: 31237417.
- 494 33. Watt FE, Wise EM. Osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities: new answers and more
- 495 questions. Rheumatology. 2021;60(9):3966-8. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keab405.
- 496 34. Chiou AF, Lin HY, Huang HY. Disability and pain management methods of Taiwanese
- 497 arthritic older patients. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18(15):2206-16. Epub 2009/07/09. doi:
- 498 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02759.x. PubMed PMID: 19583652.
- 499 35. Roubion RC, Fox RS, Townsend LA, Pollock GR, Leonardi C, Dasa V. Does Marital Status
- 500 Impact Outcomes After Total Knee Arthroplasty? J Arthroplasty. 2016;31(11):2504-7. Epub
- 501 2016/10/19. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.04.017. PubMed PMID: 27240961.
- 502 36. Coombs RH. Marital Status and Personal Well-Being: A Literature Review. Family
  503 Relations. 1991;40:97-102.
- 504 37. Gove WR, Hughes M, Style CB. Does marriage have positive effects on the psychological
- 505 well-being of the individual? J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24(2):122-31. Epub 1983/06/01.
- 506 PubMed PMID: 6886367.
- 507 38. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety
- 508 in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age and Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-35.

509 doi: 10.1093/ageing/afw001.

- 510 39. Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care
- 511 for comorbid depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry.
- 512 2011;11:147. Epub 2011/09/14. doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-11-147. PubMed PMID: 21910895;
- 513 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3184052.
- 40. Covic T, Tyson G, Spencer D, Howe G. Depression in rheumatoid arthritis patients:
- 515 demographic, clinical, and psychological predictors. J Psychosom Res. 2006;60(5):469-76.
- 516 Epub 2006/05/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2005.09.011. PubMed PMID: 16650587.





Factors medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.	OA cases / Participants, No. 23296309; this version posted September 30	Prevalence (%: 95% ( ), 2023. The copyright holder for the	CI) his	Estimate (95% CI)
Age, yeareprint (which was not certified by peer review) is	perpetuity.	a license to display the preprint in		
40-59 It is made available	e under a 88-D1 4.0 international license .	17.26 (14.14, 20.88)		1 (ref)
≥ 60	450/1514	29.72 (27.07, 32.57)		1.56 (1.18 to 2.07)***
BMI, kg/m2				
Normal (<25)	272/1202	22.63 (20.06, 25.44)		1 (ref)
Overweight (24~27.9)	206/666	30.93 (26.92, 35.38)	} <b>-•</b> -	1.30 (1.02 to 1.65)*
Obese (≥ 28)	73/231	31.60 (24.96, 39.49)		1.33 (0.93 to 1.89)
Sex				
Male	163/869	18.76 (16.04, 21.81)		1 (ref)
Female	388/1230	31.54 (28.52, 34.80)	; <b>HH</b> H	1.66 (1.30 to 2.12)***
Marriage				
Married	445/1823	24.41 (22.22, 26.76)		1 (ref)
Unmarried or others	106/276	38.41 (31.61, 46.25)		1.41 (1.03 to 1.90)*
Occupation				
Administrators of enterprises and institutions	46/179	25.70 (19.05, 33.96)		1 (ref)
Business/service personnel	54/262	20.61 (15.65, 26.68)	, <b></b> ,	1.02 (0.62 to 1.70)
General office staff	110/465	23.66 (19.54, 28.39)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1.13 (0.73 to 1.78)
Non-farm household industrial workers	215/574	37.46 (32.70, 42.72)	<b>⊢</b> •i	1.52 (1.01 to 2.32)
Professional and technical personnel	40/216	18.52 (13.42, 24.95)		0.51 (0.29 to 0.87)*
Others	86/403	21.34 (17.18, 26.22)		0.86 (0.54 to 1.36)
Dominant hand				
Left hand	21/59	35.59 (22.69, 53.38)		1 (ref)
Right hand	472/1803	26.18 (23.90, 28.62)		0.68 (0.37 to 1.29)
Both	47/208	22.60 (16.81, 29.77)		0.47 (0.23 to 0.97)*
Daily travel mode				
Walk	274/702	39.03 (34.61, 43.86)	1	1 (ref)
By bicycle	104/535	19.44 (15.97, 23.45)		0.61 (0.44 to 0.83)***
Public transportation or others	171/856	19.98 (17.15, 23.14)		0.59 (0.45 to 0.77)***
Family history of OA				
No	416/1831	22.72 (20.61, 24.98)		1 (ref)
Yes	93/147	63.27 (51.37, 77.13)	<b>⊢</b> ●1	6.23 (4.17 to 9.38)***
Long term medical treatment				
No	278/1366	20.35 (18.06, 22.85)		1 (ref)
Yes	256/655	39.08 (34.51, 44.10)		1.24 (0.91 to 1.69)
Comorbidities				
No	204/1128	18.09 (15.73, 20.70)		1 (ref)
1	145/520	27.88 (23.62, 32.71)		1.11 (0.80 to 1.52)
2 or more	202/451	44.79 (38.93, 51.29)	-	2.18 (1.52 to 3.11)***
Injury of any joints				
No	12/40	30.00 (16.38, 50.81)		1 (ref)
Yes	80/112	71.43 (57.03, 88.40)		12.02 (4.86 to 31.22)***
Unknown or forgotten	459/1947	23.57 (21.49, 25.81)		1.98 (0.90 to 4.60)
				1
			-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3	.5
			Lower relative risk# Higher relative riskLower	4

# Cover Letter