

1 Predictors of vaccination card retention in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana

2 Matthew Y. Konlan^{a*}, Fuseini Mahama^{b¶}, Braimah B. Abubakari^{b¶}, Paul Konka^{c&}, Benedict O.
3 Appiah^{d&}, Maxwell O. Yeboah^{e&} Peter G. Kwarteng^{f&}, Porbilla O. Apeag^{g&}, Michael R. Adjei^{h&},
4 Martin N. Adokiya^{i&}, Oheneba Boadum^{j&} Hilarius A. K. Abiwu^a

5 ^{a,b,c,d}Northern Regional Health Directorate, Ghana Health Service, Tamale, Ghana, ^eDepartment of
6 Nursing, Northern Regional Hospital, Ghana Health Service, Tamale, ^{f,g}UNICEF, Ghana, Tamale,
7 ^h WHO Ghana Country Office, Accra, Ghana, ⁱ Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and
8 Disease Control, School of Public Health, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana, ^j
9 Department of Advanced Biomedical Education, University of Mississippi Medical Center,
10 Jackson, MS, USA.

11 *Corresponding author email: matthewykonlan@gmail.com

12 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work

13 &These authors contributed equally to this work

14 Abstract

15 Background

16 The home-based vaccination card (HBR) is an important health record for determining vaccination
17 status of children during surveys, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).
18 However, there are limited evidence on the factors that influence its retention in Ghana. We
19 assessed the predictors of vaccination card retention in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana

20 Methods

21 We conducted a cross-sectional study from 21st December 2022 to 10th January 2023 among
22 children aged 0-59 months in the Tamale Metropolis. Multi-stage sampling was used to select
23 caregivers of children aged 0-59 months for enrolment in the study. Data were collected using
24 validated questionnaire through face-to-face interviews of caregivers. A vaccination card was
25 retained if it was presented for physical inspection by research assistants. The factors that influence
26 vaccination card retention were determined in a multivariate logistic regression analysis at $p < 0.05$.

27 Results

28 A total of 1,566 eligible children were enrolled in this study. Vaccination card retention was
29 89.5%. Negative predictors of card retention included: being resident in the Nyohini (AOR=0.26;
30 95% CI=0.15-0.47) and Tamale Central (AOR= 0.52; 95% CI=0.30-0.92) sub-Metro areas, and
31 children belonging to age group 24-59 months (AOR=0.40; 95% CI=0.23-0.67). On the other
32 hand, paying for the vaccination card (AOR=5.20; 95% CI=2.98-9.04) was a positive predictor of
33 vaccination card retention.

34 Conclusion

35 In this study, vaccination card retention among children aged 0-59 months was higher than national
36 estimates. Vaccination card retention was mainly influenced by place of residence, age of child
37 and mode of acquisition of the card such as out-of-pocket payment. There is need to design and
38 deliver tailored messages including the importance of vaccination card retention to caregivers of

39 children based on geographic context. Additionally, the vaccination cards should be supplied to
40 health facilities and made readily accessible to caregivers to prevent out-of-pocket payment.

41 Keywords: Home-based vaccination cards, retention, Tamale Metropolis, Ghana

42

43 **Introduction**

44 Routine childhood vaccination is one of the most successful public health interventions, averting
45 four million deaths every year [1]. Aside protection against preventable diseases, vaccination
46 brings children and their families into contact with health systems, providing an opportunity for
47 delivery of other health services [1]. Routine immunization reduces vaccine-preventable disease
48 incidence [2], and antimicrobial use [3], resistance [4] and associated deaths [5].

49 In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), home-based vaccination cards (HBRs) are the
50 primary means for assessing vaccination status of children during surveys [6,7]. Additionally,
51 HBRs serve as a medium for the delivery of reminder strategies on when to bring a child for future
52 vaccinations [8], promoting adherence to routine childhood vaccination uptake [9]. However, they
53 are less valued, retained and used by caregivers to support healthcare decisions [10].

54 In Ghana, vaccination data are collected during service delivery through vaccination registers and
55 individual HBRs. The vaccination registers are paper-based records kept in the facility and used
56 for estimating administrative coverages. The HBRs are kept by caregivers of children for follow
57 ups on the vaccination schedule and used as reference source for vaccination coverage surveys.

58 Current available evidence revealed a national vaccination card retention rate of 78.5% [11], a
59 decline from 2014 rate of 80% [12]. Understanding factors that influence vaccination card
60 retention can help in the design and delivery of tailored messages to improve retention. However,
61 studies on predictors of vaccination card retention are limited in Ghana. To fill this gap, and further
62 understand factors for non-retention of vaccination cards among caregivers, this study assessed
63 predictors of vaccination card retention among children aged 0-59 months in Tamale Metropolis,
64 Ghana.

65

66 **Materials and Methods**

67 **Study design**

68 This was a community-based analytical cross-sectional study conducted from 21st December 2022
69 to 10th January 2023 among children aged 0-59 months in the Tamale Metropolis. This study was
70 carved out of a larger community-based cross-sectional pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic cluster
71 design survey of children under five years in Tamale Metropolis.

72 **Study area**

73 This study was conducted in Tamale, which doubles as the administrative capital and district
74 capital of the Northern Region and Tamale Metropolis respectively. According to the 2021
75 Population and Housing Census, the Metropolis has a population of 374,744: 185,051 males and
76 189,693 females [13]. The Metropolis is divided into four (4) health sub-Metros: Vittin, Bilpela,
77 Nyohini and Tamale Central, with 77 health facilities including Community-based Health
78 Planning and Services (CHPS), which render a range of services including vaccination.

79 **Study population**

80 Children aged 0-59 months and their caregivers constituted the study population. Even though
81 Ghana's vaccination schedule targets children from 0-18 months, we included all children less
82 than five (5) years to compare findings of children still in the vaccination schedule and those who
83 should have completed their schedule.

84 **Sample size calculation**

85 Based on the WHO survey sample size calculator version 2 [14], we estimated a sample of 1, 512
86 children aged 0-59 months using the following assumptions: 95% coverage for all basic
87 immunizations (Northern Regional target), a precision of $\pm 5\%$ (95% confidence interval), a design
88 effect (DEFF) of 2, assumption of a minimum of 5 children per cluster, an intra-cluster correlation
89 coefficient (ICC) of $1/3$, assuming that 10% of eligible respondents will not be available or will
90 decline the survey and that we would need to visit 4 households to identify an eligible child.

91 **Selection of respondents**

92 Multi-stage sampling was used to select respondents. In stage one, all 63 clusters were purposively
93 selected from the four (4) sub-Metros in Tamale. Clusters were made up of demarcated CHPS
94 zones in the study area, and a list of all clusters and the communities under each cluster was
95 obtained from the Tamale Metro Health Directorate. In stage two, a list of all communities in each
96 cluster was generated and coded. Sixty-three (63) communities, one from each cluster were then
97 selected using simple random sampling. In stage three, the initial house was selected using the
98 central pen-spinning technique. Subsequently, houses were selected using an interval of three and
99 two in urban and peri-urban localities respectively. In stage four, simple random sampling was
100 used to select eligible children.

101 *Eligibility criteria*

102 All caregivers of children aged 0-59 months were included in this study. Caregivers of children
103 above 59 months, those who had never received a vaccination card, and those who declined were
104 excluded.

105 **Data collection**

106 Data were collected using validated questionnaire by the WHO for assessing routine immunization
107 coverage [15]. The questionnaire consisted of socio-demographic variables of eligible caregiver-
108 child pairs, vaccination coverage using card and caregiver recall of vaccination questions.
109 Questionnaire was deployed using the latest android version (v2021.2.4) of KoboCollect App [16]
110 in Samsung mobile tablets. Trained research assistants collected data through face-to-face
111 interviews with respondents. During the survey, caregivers were requested to present vaccination
112 cards to confirm retention.

113 **Quality assurance**

114 Research assistants were given a day's training on survey objectives, methods and how to ask
115 questions to elicit appropriate responses. Tools were pretested and modified by investigators to
116 enhance clarity. Biodata and vaccination record pages of eligible children were taken to confirm
117 card retention. Submitted questionnaires were checked for correctness and completeness.

118 **Operational definitions**

119 A vaccination card was defined as any document given by a healthcare provider stating the
120 vaccination status of an eligible child [17].

121 A caregiver is defined as an individual who provides physical and psychological care for the child.

122 Card was retained if a document was seen and verified by research assistants as proof of
123 vaccination status of eligible child.

124

125 **Data analysis**

126 Data were exported from KoboCollect into excel for cleaning before being imported in Stata
127 Version 15.1 (Stata Corp (2017) Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX.) for
128 analysis. Card retention was the outcome variable, which was determined through correct “Yes”/
129 “No” responses to the physical inspection of a vaccination card presented for review of research
130 assistants by caregivers as proof of vaccination status. The exposure variables were sub-Metro,
131 sex of child, age of child, place of delivery, sex of caregiver, age of caregiver, employment status
132 of caregiver, and educational status of respondent, relationship of child to respondent and whether
133 card was paid for (out-of-pocket).

134 The predictors of vaccination card retention was assessed through a logistic regression model. The
135 factors that showed significant association in the univariate regression analysis at $p < 0.05$ were
136 fitted in the multivariate regression analysis.

137 **Ethical Approval**

138 This study received approval from the Navrongo Health Research Center Institutional Review
139 Board (Approval ID: NHRCIRB495). Verbal consent was obtained from caregivers of eligible
140 children before enrolment in the study. Study objectives, approach and rights of respondents were
141 explained in detail and clarifying questions addressed by the research assistants.

142 **Results**

143 **Sample description**

144 Though our estimated sample size was 1512, we enrolled 1577 eligible children. However, only
145 1,566 were included in the data analysis after cleaning.

146 **Vaccination card retention**

147 Of the 1,566 sample, 89.5% presented vaccination cards as proof of vaccination status. Of the
148 remaining 164, misplaced (63.4%) was the predominantly reportedly reason for non-retention,
149 followed by other reasons such as not knowing where it is being kept during the study or feeling
150 lazy to retrieve card (28.1%), and destroyed (8.5%).

151 In a multivariate regression analysis, sub-Metro type, age of child and whether card was paid for
152 or not were significant predictors of vaccination card retention. Living in Tamale Central (AOR=
153 0.52; 95% CI=0.30-0.92) or Nyohini (AOR= 0.26; 95% CI=0.15-0.47) sub-Metros was negatively
154 associated with card retention. Similarly, compared to children aged 0-11 months, those aged 24-
155 59 months had lower odds (AOR=0.40; 95% CI=0.23-0.67) of retaining their vaccination cards.
156 However, caregivers who paid for the card had higher odds (AOR=5.20; 95% CI=2.98-9.04) of
157 retention (Table 1).

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Table 1: Factors associated with vaccination card retention in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana

Variable	Retention (n=1,566)		COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
	Yes	No		
Sub-Metro type				
Bilpela	385	34	Reference	Reference
Nyohini	311	44	0.62(0.39-1.0)	0.26(0.15-0.47) *
Tamale Central	370	55	0.59(0.38-0.93) *	0.52(0.30-0.92) *
Vittin	336	31	0.96(0.58-1.59)	0.93(0.48-1.83)
Sex of child				
Female	662	80	Reference	
Male	740	84	1.06(0.77-1.47)	
Age of child(n=1532)				
0-11 months	378	22	Reference	Reference
23-23 months	378	28	0.79(0.44-1.40)	0.70(0.28-1.38)
24-59 months	646	80	0.47(0.29-0.77) *	0.40(0.23-0.67) *
Place of delivery(n=1564)				
Home/TBA	95	6	Reference	
Privately owned facility	45	2	1.42(0.28-7.32)	
Publicly owned facility	1260	156	0.51(0.22-1.18)	
Age of respondent				
<30 years	952	102	Reference	
≥30 years	450	62	0.78(0.56-1.09)	
Sex of respondent				
Female	1328	149	Reference	Reference
Male	74	15	0.55(0.31-0.99) *	3.67(0.50-26.83)
Educational status of respondent				
None	316	40	Reference	
Primary	702	70	1.27(0.84-1.91)	
Secondary	281	42	0.85(0.53-1.34)	
Tertiary	103	12	1.09(0.55-2.15)	
Employment status of respondent				
Employed	420	53	Reference	
Unemployed	982	111	1.12(0.79-1.58)	
Relationship of child to respondent				
Mother	1336	145	Reference	Reference
Father	54	12	0.49(0.26-0.93) *	0.39(0.05-2.89)
Other ^b	12	7	0.19(0.07-0.48) *	0.25(0.05-1.29)
Pay for card (1,539)				
No	829	132	Reference	Reference
Yes	554	24	3.68(2.34-5.75) *	5.20(2.98-9.04) *

^b=auntie/sibling; *p value<0.05; COR=Crude Odds Ratio; AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI=Confidence Interval; TBA=Traditional Birth Attendant

165 Discussion

166 Our study shows a high (89.5%) vaccination card retention rate. This is similar to nationally
 167 reported rate of 80% in 2014 [12] and 78.5% in 2022 [11]. A study in Nepal [18] reported similar

168 card retention rate of 82.2%. In contrast, lower rates of retention have been reported by other
169 studies in Senegal (73.7%) [19], Uganda (66.0%) [20], Cameroon (29.9%) [21], Zimbabwe (68%)
170 [22], Nigeria (63.3%, 32.6%) [23,24] and Pakistan (66.2%).

171 Residing in Nyohini or Tamale Central sub-Metros was negatively associated with vaccination
172 card retention. This may be partly explained by the fact that caregivers in these sub-Metros may
173 have low awareness level on the importance of keeping the vaccination card, as this may have not
174 been emphasized by healthcare providers who issued cards.

175 Similarly, children aged 24-59 months had reduced odds of having their cards retained. Studies in
176 Senegal [19], Uganda [20] and Pakistan [26] have reported similar findings that older children
177 were less likely to retain vaccination cards. The possible reason for this is that caregivers don't
178 understand the importance of keeping the card after completing the vaccination schedule.

179 Caregivers who paid for the vaccination card had increased odds of retaining it. The possible
180 reason is that caregivers who pay for the vaccination cards recognize its value and are more likely
181 to take good care of them. On the other hand, they may want to avoid paying for replacement in
182 event of a loss. However, sale of vaccination cards is against the vaccination policy in Ghana, even
183 in times of shortages, when health facilities print copies to support service delivery. It is expected
184 that the cost of making copies of the vaccination card be borne by the health facilities without
185 transfer to service users.

186 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

187 This study used a relatively large sample; therefore, the findings can be interpreted in wider
188 context. Additionally, the study used an objective measure through physical inspection to assess
189 card retention. However, findings of this study should be interpreted in the light of the fact that as
190 cross-sectional study, it difficult to establish causality between exposures and vaccination card
191 retention. Additionally, the failure of some of the caregivers to present cards for inspection does
192 not mean that the children do not have them.

193 **Conclusions**

194 Our study found high vaccination card retention rate. Sub-Metro, age of child and payment for
195 card were significantly associated with vaccination card retention.

196 Health care providers in the Nyohini and Tamale Central sub-Metros should endeavor to intensify
197 education of caregivers on the importance vaccination cards and the need for safe keeping.
198 Additionally, caregivers of older children should be educated about the importance of keeping
199 cards after the child has completed vaccination schedule. Given that payment for card improves
200 retention, vaccination cards should be supplied to health facilities and made readily accessible to
201 caregivers to prevent out-of-pocket payment.

202

203 **Acknowledgement**

204 We thank the caregivers of children aged 0-59 months in the study area and the field officers for
205 their dedicated service during fieldwork. We acknowledge funding support from the Northern
206 Regional Health Directorate and the Sabin Vaccine Institute Boost Community.

207

208 **Data Availability statement**

209 All relevant data are included in the manuscript and will be provided on reasonable request.

210

211 **Funding**

212 The authors received no specific funding for this work.

213

214 **Conflict of interest**

215 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

216

217 **Authors' contributions**

218 Conceptualization: Matthew Yosah Konlan, Dr. Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu, Fuseini Mahama,
219 Dr. Braimah Baba Abubakari.
220 Data curation: Matthew Yosah Konlan, Dr. Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu.
221 Formal analysis: Matthew Yosah Konlan.
222 Methodology: Matthew Yosah Konlan, Dr. Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu, Dr. Braimah Baba
223 Abubakari, Fuseini Mahama, Paul Konka, Benedict Ofori Appiah, Maxwell Oduro Yeboah, Dr.
224 Peter Gyamfi Kwarteng, Porbilla Ofosu Dr. Oheneba Boadum, Dr. Michael Rockson Adjei,
225 Professor Martin Nyaaba Adokiya.
226 Supervision: Matthew Yosah Konlan, Fuseini Mahama, Dr. Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu, Dr.
227 Braimah Baba Abubakari.
228 Writing – original draft: Matthew Yosah Konlan.
229 Writing – review & editing: Dr. Hilarius Asiwome Kosi Abiwu, Dr. Braimah Baba Abubakari,
230 Fuseini Mahama, Paul Konka, Benedict Ofori Appiah, Maxwell Oduro Yeboah, Dr. Peter Gyamfi
231 Kwarteng, Porbilla Ofosu Apea, Dr. Oheneba Boadum, Dr. Michael Rockson Adjei, Professor
232 Martin Nyaaba Adokiya
233

234 **List of abbreviations**

235 AOR=adjusted odds ratio; CHPS= community-based health planning and services; CI= confidence
236 interval; COR=crude odds ratio; DEFF=design effect; HBR=home-based vaccination cards;
237 ICC=intra-cluster coefficient; LMICs= low- and middle-income countries; TBA=traditional birth
238 attendant
239

240 **References**

- 241 [1] World Health Organization. Overview of immunization in the African Region. WHO Web
242 Page 2023. <https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/immunization> (accessed August 15,
243 2023).
- 244 [2] Talbird SE, Carrico J, La EM, Carias C, Marshall GS, Roberts CS, et al. Impact of
245 Routine Childhood Immunization in Reducing Vaccine-Preventable Diseases in the
246 United States. *Pediatrics* 2022;150. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-056013>.
- 247 [3] Buckley BS, Henschke N, Bergman H, Skidmore B, Klemm EJ, Villanueva G, et al.
248 Impact of vaccination on antibiotic usage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical*
249 *Microbiology and Infection* 2019; 25:1213–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.06.030>.
- 250 [4] Lewnard JA, Lo NC, Arinaminpathy N, Frost I, Laxminarayan R. Childhood vaccines and
251 antibiotic use in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature* 2020; 581:94–9.
252 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2238-4>.
- 253 [5] Kim C, Holm M, Frost I, Hasso-Agopsowicz M, Abbas K. Global and regional burden of
254 attributable and associated bacterial antimicrobial resistance avertable by vaccination:
255 modelling study. *BMJ Glob Health* 2023;8: e011341. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-011341>.
- 257 [6] Dansereau E, Brown D, Stashko L, Danovaro-Holliday MC. A systematic review of the
258 agreement of recall, home-based records, facility records, BCG scar, and serology for
259 ascertaining vaccination status in low and middle-income countries. *Gates Open Res*
260 2020; 3:923. <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12916.2>.

- 261 [7] Miles M, Ryman TK, Dietz V, Zell E, Luman ET. Validity of vaccination cards and
262 parental recall to estimate vaccination coverage: A systematic review of the literature.
263 *Vaccine* 2013; 31:1560–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.089>.
- 264 [8] Wallace AS, Peetosutan K, Untung A, Ricardo M, Yosephine P, Wannemuehler K, et al.
265 Home-based records, and vaccination appointment stickers as parental reminders to
266 reduce vaccination dropout in Indonesia: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Vaccine*
267 2019; 37:6814–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.09.040>.
- 268 [9] Chutiyami M, Wyver S, Amin J. Are Parent-Held Child Health Records a Valuable Health
269 Intervention? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*
270 2019; 16:220. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16020220>.
- 271 [10] JSI. Improving the Availability and Use of Home-Based Records: Challenges and Lessons
272 Learned. EPI Managers Meeting for East and South African Region in March 2018. Talks
273 about the Zimbabwe and Kenyan experiences with home-based records, TechNet-21;
274 2018.
- 275 [11] Ghana Statistical Service. Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2022 Key Indicators
276 Report. 2022.
- 277 [12] Ghana Statistical Service. Ghana Demographic Health Survey. Ghana Statistical Service
278 2015:530.
- 279 [13] Ghana Statistical Service. Ghana 2021 Population and Housing Census. 2022.
- 280 [14] Dana Thompson. WHO sample size calculator for cluster surveys version 2 2017.
- 281 [15] WHO. World Health Organization Vaccination Coverage Cluster Surveys: Reference
282 Manual. 2018.
- 283 [16] KoBoToolbox. Simple, robust and powerful tools for data collection 2021.
284 <https://www.kobotoolbox.org> (accessed August 26, 2023).
- 285 [17] Yakum MN, Funwie AD, Ajong AB, Tsafack M, Ze LEE, Ekukole ESR, et al. Factors
286 associated with routine vaccination card retention among children aged 0–59 months in
287 Yaounde-Cameroon: A cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One* 2022;17: e0273515.
288 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273515>.
- 289 [18] Paudel KP, Bajracharya DC, Karki K, KC A. Factors determining availability, utilization
290 and retention of child health card in Western Nepal 2016.
- 291 [19] Seror V, Cortaredona S, Ly EY, Ndiaye S, Gaye I, Fall M, et al. Vaccination card
292 availability and childhood immunization in Senegal. *BMC Public Health* 2020; 20:658.
293 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08792-5>.
- 294 [20] Griffith BC, Cusick SE, Searle KM, Negoescu DM, Basta NE, Banura C. Does
295 mothers' and caregivers' access to information on their child's vaccination card impact the
296 timing of their child's measles vaccination in Uganda? *BMC Public Health* 2022; 22:834.
297 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13113-z>.
- 298 [21] Ateudjieu J, Yakum MN, Goura AP, Tembei AM, Ingrid DK, Bitá'a Landry B, et al. EPI
299 immunization coverage, timeliness, and dropout rate among children in a West Cameroon

- 300 health district: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health 2020; 20:228.
301 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8340-6>.
- 302 [22] Kriss JL, Goodson J, Machekanyanga Z, Machekanyanga Z, Daniel F, Masresha B, et al.
303 Vaccine receipt and vaccine card availability among children of the apostolic faith:
304 analysis from the 2010-2011 Zimbabwe demographic and health survey. Pan African
305 Medical Journal 2016;24. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2016.24.47.8663>.
- 306 [23] Adedire EB, Ajayi I, Fawole OI, Ajumobi O, Kasasa S, Wasswa P, et al. Immunisation
307 coverage and its determinants among children aged 12-23 months in Atakumosa-west
308 district, Osun State Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2016; 16:905.
309 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3531-x>.
- 310 [24] Akwataghibe NN, Ogunsola EA, Broerse JEW, Popoola OA, Agbo AI, Dieleman MA.
311 Exploring Factors Influencing Immunization Utilization in Nigeria—A Mixed Methods
312 Study. Front Public Health 2019;7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00392>.
- 313 [25] Hussain I, Khan A, Rhoda DA, Ahmed I, Umer M, Ansari U, et al. Routine Immunization
314 Coverage and Immunization Card Retention in Pakistan: Results from a Cross-sectional
315 National Survey. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2023; 42:260–70.
316 <https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000003804>.
- 317 [26] Sheikh SS, Ali SA. Predictors of vaccination card retention in children 12-59 months old
318 in Karachi, Pakistan. Oman Med J 2014; 29:190.
- 319