

Newborn care knowledge and practices among care givers of new-born babies attending a regional referral hospital in Southwestern Uganda

Dorah Nampijja^{1*}, Kyoyagala Stella¹, Najjingo Elizabeth², Najjuma N. Josephine¹, Byamukama Onesmus², Kyasimire Lydia, Kabakyenga Jerome¹, Elias Kumbakumba¹

Affiliation : 1. Mbarara University of Science and Technology, 2. Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital

Corresponding author: Dorah Nampijja, Email; drdora@yahoo.com

Abstract

Introduction: A child born in developing countries has a 10 times higher mortality risk compared to one born in developed countries. Uganda still struggles with a high neonatal mortality rate at 27/1000 live births. Majority of these death occur in the community when children are under the sole care of their parents and guardian. Lack of knowledge in new born care, inappropriate new born care practices are some of the contributors to neonatal mortality in Uganda. Little is known about parent/caregivers' knowledge, practices and what influences these practices while caring for the new borns. We systematically studied and documented newborn care knowledge, practices and associated factors among parents and care givers.

Objective: To assess new born care knowledge, practices and associated factors among parents and care givers attending MRRH

Methods: We carried out a quantitative cross section methods study among caregivers of children from birth to six weeks of life attending a regional referral hospital in south western Uganda. Using pretested structured questionnaires, data was collected about care givers' new born care knowledge, practices and the associated factors. Data analysis was done using Stata version 17.0

Results: We interviewed 370 caregivers, majority of whom were the biological mothers at 86%. Mean age was 26 years, 14% were unemployed and 74% had monthly earning below the poverty line. Mothers had a high antenatal care attendance of 97.6% and 96.2% of the deliveries were at a health facility Care givers had variant knowledge of essential newborn care with associated incorrect practices. Majority (84.6%) of the respondents reported obliviousness to putting anything in the babies' eyes at birth, however, breastmilk, water and saliva were reportedly put in the babies' eyes at birth by some caregivers. Hand washing was not practiced at all in 16.2% of the caregivers before handling the newborn. About 7.4% of the new borns received a bath within 24 hours of delivery and 19% reported use of herbs. Caregivers practiced adequate thermal care 87%. Cord care practices were inappropriate in 36.5%. Only 21% of the respondents reported initiation of breast feeding within 1 hour of birth, Prolactal feeds were given by 37.6% of the care givers, water being the commonest prolactal feed followed by cow's milk at 40.4 and 18.4% respectively. Majority of the respondents had below average knowledge about danger signs in the newborn where 63% and mean score for knowledge about danger signs was 44%. Caretaker's age and relationship with the newborn were found to have a statistically significant associated to knowledge of danger signs in the newborn baby.

Conclusion: There are numerous incorrect practice in the essential new born care and low knowledge and awareness of danger signs among caregivers of newborn babies. There is high health center deliveries and antenatal care attendance among the respondents could be used as an opportunity to

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](#).
increase caregiver awareness about the inappropriate practices in essential newborn care and the danger signs in a newborn.

Introduction

Globally, more than 8 million children die before their 5th birthday (1), and neonatal deaths accounts for half of all under five mortality(2) In 2018, 2.8 million children died before one month of age worldwide. A child born in developing countries has a 10 times higher mortality risk compared to one born in developed countries(3, 4) Sub Saharan Africa faces the biggest burden with 1.2 million neonatal deaths every year(5). SSA has the highest neonatal mortality rate in the sustainable development goal regions at 28/1000 live birth. Uganda's neonatal mortality rate has stagnated at 27/1000 live birth over the past 10 years(6), and large number of new born deaths occur in the communities under the sole care of their parents and care takers(7-9).

WHO recommends essential newborn care including early initiation of breast feeding, keeping babies warm, recognition of neonatal danger signs and cord care, among others, as crucial in new born survival(10). Most parents care for their new born babies with knowledge acquired from friends and family. This knowledge is often wrong and inappropriate. Once a baby is born in the community or discharged from a health facility, his/her care is entirely in the hands of the parents (often the mother) and the care givers. Lack of knowledge in new born care, inappropriate new born care practices, low health center deliveries among others contribute to the high neonatal deaths (9, 11).

Understanding new born care knowledge and practices among parents and care givers is crucial to improve survival among new born children. Parent centered new born care packages have been studied in some countries and have proved beneficial in new born outcomes(12). There is no available parent centered programs for new born care in Uganda and little is known about what parents /caregivers know or do and what influences their actions while they care for their new born babies at home. We set out to assess new born care knowledge, practices and associated factors among parents and care givers to newborn babies at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital. This information will help to design feasible and acceptable high impact new born care packages and set a baseline for health education for parents and care givers of new born children.

Methods

Study area:

We conducted a cross sectional, quantitative hospital based study from November 2022 to February 2023 at a regional referral hospital in South western Uganda with a catchment population of 3 million people with a bed capacity of 494 beds. The hospital also serves as a teaching hospital for Mbarara University of Science and Technology. The hospital offers a number of services including antenatal care, delivery and obstetric care, paediatric care including a newborn and premature care unit. It serves as a referral hospital in South-western region for more than 15 districts with a catchment population of 10 million people. The hospital also receives patients from the neighboring regional referral hospitals and the neighboring countries of Burundi, Congo, Rwanda and Tanzania. The Paediatric Newborn Unit has a total bed occupancy of 2.5 above capacity and on average 2000 neonates are admitted annually. The

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

maternity ward has a bed occupation of 45 Beds with an estimated 10,000. babies born annually. Using a study done on Newborn Care Practice and Associated Factors among Mothers of One-Month-Old Infants in Southwest Ethiopia (13), 370 participants taking care of children from immunization clinic, maternity ward and newborn unit participated in the study. Ethical approvals were obtained from the Mbarara University of science and Technology Institutional review board was obtained before commencement of the study (REC No. MUST -2022-600). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before they could participate in the study. Parents and care givers of very sick babies, whose children had died, or were sick or attending to sick mothers were excluded from the study until they were stable. We used a pre tested questionnaire to collect data on demographics, essential newborn care knowledge and practices from parents and care givers of children from birth to 6 weeks who were attending the neonatal unit and clinic, postnatal ward and the maternal and child health clinic at the referral hospital. Demographic data of the care givers, and information on their knowledge and practices about the essential care of the new born (ECNB), age, education status, income, parity, ANC attendance, family status, birth support partner was collected. New born care knowledge practices including breastfeeding (when to initiate breast feeding, frequency, prelacteal feeds, colostrum feeding), cord care, immunization, management of colic, recognition of danger signs (fever, convulsions, bleeding and jaundice among others), cultural beliefs, false teeth extraction, child scarification, use of herbs were assessed as percentages and proportions. Factors that contribute to the knowledge and practice in new – born care were analyzed using Pearson’s chi squares.

Results

Three hundred and seventy (370) care takers were studied and majority of these were the birth mothers of the children at 86%. The mean age of the of the caretakers was 26 SD 6 years and majority of the participant were in the age range of 21-35 years at 75%. The predominant family structure was nuclear at 77.6%. Only 6.5% of the mothers were living single and not in a stable relationship. Majority of the care givers had attained only primary school education at 38.1 % while 7.6% had not received any formal education. About 73% of the caregivers were informally employed and 15% unemployed. Farming contributed 42% of the informal employment and 30.8% of the total employment of the respondents. Monthly income was mostly low with 74.6% earning below the poverty line at less than 210,000/= Uganda shillings. Maternal parity was predominantly more than 4 children 37.6%) closely followed by the prime gravida at 35.7%. Mothers had a high antenatal care attendance of 97.6% and 89% had a birth companion during the birth process. Cesarean section mode of delivery was high at 55.7% and 96.2% of the deliveries were at a health facility (Table 1)

Essential new born care

Participant had variant practices in regard to essential newborn care. Majority (84.6%) of the respondents reported to not have put anything in the babies’ eyes at birth. Among those who reported putting something in the eyes, 70% put the recommended tetracycline eye ointment, however, other things like breastmilk, water and saliva were reportedly put in the babies’ eyes at birth. In line with keeping hygiene, 76% of the mothers washed hands with soap and water before handling their babies, while 16.2% did not wash their hands at all. About 7.4% of the new borns received a bath within 24 hours of delivery. Use of herbs to bathe babies was reported by 19% of the care givers. Caregivers practiced adequate wrapping for the babies in 87% of the children. Majority of the caregivers regularly check the baby’s umbilical cord after birth. Among the care takers who checked the cord, 36.5% report to have put something on the baby’s cord and saliva was what was frequently used followed by baby oil and herbs at 14.6% and 13.9% respectively. Only 21% of the respondents reported initiation of breast feeding

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](#).

within 1 hour after birth and 15.4% after 24 hours of birth. Prolactal feeds were given by 37.6% of the care givers, water being the commonest prolactal feed followed by cow's milk at 40.4 and 18.4% respectively. Other prolactal feeds included formula milk, glucose and soups. Most of the children are breastfed on demand (63.4%) while the others followed a timetabled format ranging from every 4 to 6 hours. 61.6% of the babies were reported to be vaccinated. (Table 2)

About 79% of the respondents reported giving something for the management of colic among babies. Herbs were the commonest remedy given (47%) followed by medicines prescribed or bought at a pharmacy (27.3%).

There was poor knowledge in identification of danger signs in the newborn by the caregivers (Figure 1). Fever was the most common identified danger sign followed by inability to breast feed in a newborn baby.

Majority of the respondents had below average knowledge about danger signs in the newborn where 63% scored below 60% (Figure 3). Mean score for knowledge about danger sign was 44% SD 21% (Fig 2). Despite the low score, most respondents believed they would take a sick baby to health worker for help at 86%.

Factors associated with newborn danger sign knowledge among caregivers

Caretaker's age and relationship of the caretaker with the child were found to have a significant relationship knowledge of danger signs in the newborn baby at a P value of 0.019 and 0.009 respectively in a bivariate analysis (Table 3)

Discussion

This study set out to evaluate newborn care knowledge and practices and the associated factors among care givers of new-born babies at a regional referral hospital in South western Uganda and we found that participants had variant levels of knowledge in the various aspects of essential new born practices and low level of knowledge of the danger signs in a new born.

Health facility deliveries were high in this study at 96.2% which is similar to what was found in Southwestern Uganda, with health facility deliveries up to 90% in the same region (14). In Tanzania and Angola, skilled birth attendance is lower at 64% and 50.7% respectively(15, 16). Antenatal care attendance was equally high at 97.57% which is similar to a study done in Angola where ANC attendance was 96.8% (15). There is a positive association of health facility delivery with antenatal care attendance(17). Furthermore, our study was a hospital study and patients were more likely to come back to a health center if they had delivered from one for different health services like immunization and health care for their children.

WHO recommends essential newborn care including early initiation of breast feeding, keeping babies warm, recognition of neonatal danger signs and cord care, among others, as crucial in new born survival(10). Contrary to WHO recommendations to initiate breastfeeding within an hour of birth, only 21.1% initiated breastfeeding within 1 hour after birth, and 15.45% of the newborns initiated breastfeeding after 24 hours in this study. This is much lower than what was found in a study done in India(18). This disparity is explained by the fact that India has well established breast feeding programs which are absent in the current study setting. Furthermore, prolactal feeds were administered in 37.6%

of the newborn children. This is lower than 64.7% found in Pakistan(19) probably because most of the birth in our study occurred in a health facility. Although lower than in Pakistan, this proportion of prelacteal feeds was higher than pooled proportion in East Africa(20). The east African study included children that had been born 5 years earlier and this could have brought about recall bias compared to the children in our study that were less than six weeks of age.

Majority of the respondents purposefully checked their baby's cord, however reported wrong cord care practices with majority (63.8%) applying substances such as Ash, saliva, herbs and baby oil, which practice is contrary to standard and recommended care and puts newborn babies at risk of dying(21). This however is similar to what has been found in Ghana (64.3%) (22) and Ethiopia with malpractice in cord care up to 66.9%(13).

In this study, care givers practiced adequate thermal care (87.8%) practicing wrapping the baby in multiple layer to keep the baby warm. This is lower than 60.9% found in a community study done in India(23). Ours was a hospital based study and the respondents could have had health education that promoted adequate thermal care. However, 24% of the newborns were given a bath within 24 hours of delivery. This finding is lower than what was found in a study done in Ethiopia where 32.5% of mothers had practiced early bathing of the newborn(24). In Bangladesh, only 10.2 % of mothers bathed their babies by the first day of cultures. These lower values may be attributed to differences in culture and beliefs surrounding birth between Africa and Asia.

About 38.4% of the children were not immunized up to date despite the mean age being 7 days. This may be corresponding with the level of immunization coverage in the region, but also since some of the children were in hospital, they may have differed immunization until after discharge when the children were better. In addition, the facility has one point of immunization without finding patients on maternity or paediatrics wards. A study done in India found similarly low vaccination uptake of 47.4% for children less than 42 days (25) which may be attributed to access and attitudes of the caregivers to vaccination services.

Proper hand washing with soap and water before handling the baby was not practiced in 24% of the respondents. This may be due to cultural beliefs and lack of knowledge in regards to hygiene, but also lack of access to proper hand washing facilities at their homes and the newborn care facilities as identified in Nigeria and parts of Asia (26, 27). In addition, majority of the participants in the study were below poverty line which may pose a challenge in accessing basic needs like soap and clean water.

A big number of respondents were ignorant of eye care for the new borns with 84.6% unaware of the need to put any medication in the babies' eyes at birth. This is similar to studies done in India(28) which shares similar social and cultural beliefs to Uganda

Regarding colic and its management, majority of the respondents (79%) had wrong practices that involved administration of herbs and over the counter medicines to alleviate the pain. This may be explained by lack of knowledge about appropriate measure and the caregivers' sense of helplessness when the child is in pain (29)

Care giver's knowledge about new born danger signs was low with a mean score of 44% which is similar to what has been found in Saudi Arabia and Ethiopia at 37% and 48.3% respectively (30, 31). The low

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
knowledge may be due to lack of targeted education programs for newborn caregivers focusing on the sick newborn. The commonly recognized danger sign was fever at 71% of the respondents. Despite the relatively low knowledge about danger signs of a sick new born, majority of the respondents reported seeking care from a health worker and only 13.1 % seeking care and attention from other alternatives like relatives, spiritual leaders and herbalists.

In conclusion, this study reveals that there is various malpractice in the essential new born care and low level of knowledge of danger sign in a new born among caregivers of newborn babies. There is an increased health center deliveries and antenatal care attendance among the respondents. This improved health services utilization could be used as an avenue to increase awareness about the malpractices in essential newborn care and also increase awareness about the danger signs in a newborn among caregivers of newborn children.

The strength of this study is that it highlighted the gaps in practice of essential newborn care by caregivers and reduced awareness among both parents and caregivers of newborn babies. This information could be used as baseline data in planning knowledge and practice enhancement programs for mothers and caregivers of new born babies.

The limitation of this study was that it was done in an institution and may not represent the caregivers and parents in the community. A community study could help evaluate any differences in knowledge and practice in care of the newborn.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Mbarara University of Science and Technology Research Ethics Committee (REC No. MUST -2022-600) and administrative clearance was obtained, Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, prior to conducting the study. Confidentiality of the study participants was ensured by using unique identifiers and the charts were kept in a lockable cupboard which was accessible by only the study team

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed for this study are available from the corresponding author, upon request.

Consent for publication

All authors have consented to the publication of this research work

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests with regard to publication of this work.

Funding

This study received funding from MGH first mile SEED research grant. The funders did not in any way influence the conception, progress or the results of the research presented herein this manuscript

Authors' contributions

DN, SK and EK contributed to the conception and design of the study. DN and EK performed formal data analysis. DN, SK, JN, EN, OB, LK and JK contributed to drafting the manuscript and contributed to study implementation

and data acquisition. DN and EK critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for key content. DN prepared the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, Lawn JE, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9832):2151-61.
2. Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, Perin J, Rudan I, Lawn JE, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2000–13, with projections to inform post-2015 priorities: an updated systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9966):430-40.
3. Paul VK, editor *The current state of newborn health in low income countries and the way forward*. Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine; 2006: Elsevier.
4. Hug L, Alexander M, You D, Alkema L, for Child UI-aGJTLGH. National, regional, and global levels and trends in neonatal mortality between 1990 and 2017, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2019;7(6):e710-e20.
5. Saugstad ODJN. Reducing global neonatal mortality is possible. *Lancet*. 2011;99(4):250-7.
6. Unicef. *Committing to child survival: a promise renewed: progress report 2014*. 2014.
7. Musooko M, Kakaire O, Nakimuli A, Nakubulwa S, Nankunda J, Osinde MO, et al. Incidence and risk factors for early neonatal mortality in newborns with severe perinatal morbidity in Uganda. *Lancet*. 2014;127(2):201-5.
8. Kananura RM, Tetui M, Mutebi A, Bua JN, Waiswa P, Kiwanuka SN, et al. The neonatal mortality and its determinants in rural communities of Eastern Uganda. *Lancet*. 2016;13(1):1-9.
9. Efa BW, Berhanie E, Desta KW, Hinkosa L, Fetensa G, Etafa W, et al. Essential new-born care practices and associated factors among post natal mothers in Nekemte City, Western Ethiopia. *Lancet*. 2020;15(4):e0231354.
10. Organization WH. *Essential newborn care-report of a technical working group*. 2000.
11. Gopalakrishnan SJJocm, health p. Assessment of knowledge regarding new-born care among mothers in Kancheepuram district, Tamil Nadu. *Lancet*. 2014;1(1):58-63.
12. Callaghan-Koru JA, Nonyane BA, Guenther T, Sitrin D, Ligowe R, Chimbanga E, et al. Contribution of community-based newborn health promotion to reducing inequities in healthy newborn care practices and knowledge: evidence of improvement from a three-district pilot program in Malawi. *Lancet*. 2013;13(1):1-12.
13. Sakelo AN, Assefa N, Oljira L, Assefa ZM. Newborn Care Practice and Associated Factors among Mothers of One-Month-Old Infants in Southwest Ethiopia. *International Journal of Pediatrics*. 2020;2020:3897427.
14. Mugambe RK, Yakubu H, Wafula ST, Ssekamatte T, Kasasa S, Isunju JB, et al. Factors associated with health facility deliveries among mothers living in hospital catchment areas in Rukungiri and Kanungu districts, Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):329.
15. Rosário EVN, Gomes MC, Brito M, Costa D. Determinants of maternal health care and birth outcome in the Dande Health and Demographic Surveillance System area, Angola. *PLOS ONE*. 2019;14(8):e0221280.
16. Hulsbergen M, van der Kwaak A. The influence of quality and respectful care on the uptake of skilled birth attendance in Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):681.
17. Tegegne T, Chojenta C, Getachew T, Smith R, Loxton D. Giving birth in Ethiopia: a spatial and multilevel analysis to determine availability and factors associated with healthcare facility births. *Lancet*. 2020;127(12):1537-46.
18. Senanayake P, O'Connor E, Ogbo FAJBPH. National and rural-urban prevalence and determinants of early initiation of breastfeeding in India. *Lancet*. 2019;19(1):1-13.
19. Asim M, Ahmed ZH, Hayward MD, Widen EM. Prolactal feeding practices in Pakistan: a mixed-methods study. *International Breastfeeding Journal*. 2020;15(1):53.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

20. Birhan TY, Birhan NA, Alene M. Pooled Prevalence and Determinants of Prolactal Feeding Practice in Eastern Africa Evidence from Demographic and Health Survey Data: A Multilevel Study. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*. 2021;14:1085-95.
21. Leante Castellanos JL, Pérez Muñuzuri A, Ruiz Campillo CW, Sanz López E, Benavente Fernández I, Sánchez Redondo MD, et al. Recommendations for the care of the umbilical cord in the newborn. *Anales de Pediatría (English Edition)*. 2019;90(6):401.e1-.e5.
22. Asiedu SSO, Apatu NAA, Tetteh R, Hodgson AJJoM, Health C, AIDS. Neonatal cord care practices among mothers and caregivers in the Volta region of Ghana. 2019;8(1):63.
23. Srivastava A, Saxena S, Srivastava P, Mahmood SE, Pandey R, Saxena A. Newborn thermal care in western Uttar Pradesh — gap analysis between knowledge and practices. *Archives of Public Health*. 2022;80(1):55.
24. Fenta Kebede B, Dagnaw Genie Y, Yetwale Hiwot A, Biyazin Tesafa T, Abebe B. Early Newborn Bath Practice and Its Associated Factors in Jimma, South West Ethiopia, 2021. *Pediatric Health, Medicine and Therapeutics*. 2022;13:43-52.
25. Devi RS, Pugazhendi S, Juyal R, Singh SB. Maternal aspects of home based newborn care (HBNC) practices in rural areas of Uttarakhand, India: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Neonatal Nursing*. 2022;28(1):51-8.
26. Mannava P, Murray JC, Kim R, Sobel HLJogh. Status of water, sanitation and hygiene services for childbirth and newborn care in seven countries in East Asia and the Pacific. 2019;9(2).
27. Nalule Y, Buxton H, Flynn E, Oluyinka O, Sara S, Cumming O, et al. Hygiene along the continuum of care in the early post-natal period: an observational study in Nigeria. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):589.
28. Singh S, Yadav K, Choudhary R. Knowledge of newborn care practices among caregivers attending immunization clinic at a tertiary care centre of Lucknow: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal Of Community Medicine And Public Health*. 2019;6:2914.
29. Bagherian B, Mehdipour-Rabori R, Nematollahi MJEjohs. How Do Mothers Take Care of Their Infants with Colic Pain? A Mixed-Method Study. 2021;31(4).
30. Abu-Shaheen A, AlFayyad I, Riaz M, Nofal A, AlMatary A, Khan A, et al. Mothers' and Caregivers' Knowledge and Experience of Neonatal Danger Signs: A Cross-Sectional Survey in Saudi Arabia. *BioMed Research International*. 2019;2019:1750240.
31. Bekele F, Bekele K, Mekonnen M, Jemal K, Fekadu G. Mothers' knowledge and their health seeking behavior about neonatal danger signs and associated factors in Fiche town, Oromia region, Ethiopia. *Journal of Neonatal Nursing*. 2020;26(6):324-9.

Table1: Social demographic characteristics of the participants

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Marital status		
Single	24	6.49
Married (in a relationship)	346	93.51
Care givers' age (years)		
<= 20	65	17.57
>20 <= 35	374	74.05
>35	31	8.38
Education level		
None	28	7.57
Primary	141	38.1
High school	137	37.03
Tertiary	64	17.30
Occupation		
Formal	44	11.89
Informal	270	72.97
None	56	15.14
Income (Uganda Shillings)		
<210,000	276	74.59
>210,000 -500,000	73	19.73
> 500,000	21	5.68
Mother's Parity		
Prime Gravida	132	35.68
2-4	99	26.76
>4	139	37.57
ANC attendance		
Yes	361	97.57

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

No	9	2.43
Birth companion		
Yes	330	89.19
No	40	10.81
Mode of delivery		
Cesarean section	206	55.68
Spontaneous Vaginal delivery	164	44.32
Place of delivery		
Health facility	356	96.22
Home delivery	14	3.78
Family Structure		
Extended	82	22.40
Nuclear	284	77.60

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Table 2: Essential newborn care practices


Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Breastfeeding		
Initiation of breastfeeding		
>24hours	57	15.45
>4-24hours	89	24.1
>1-4hours	145	39.3
<1hour	78	21.1
Prelacteal feeds		
No	231	62.4
Yes	137	37.6
Thermal care		
Adequate	325	87.8
Inadequate	45	12.2
Umbilical cord care		
Checking Cord		

Don't check	77	20.8
Yes check	293	79.1
Cord care practices		
Right cord care practice	134	36.2
Wrong cord care practice	236	63.8
What is put on the cord		
Ash	16	11.7
Baby oil	20	14.6
Herbs	19	13.9
Saliva	56	40.9
Powder	12	8.8
Others	14	10.2
Hygiene (Hand washing)		
Don't wash	60	16.2
With water only	29	7.8
With water and Soap	281	76
Eye care at birth		
No	313	84.6
Yes	57	14.4
Bathing baby (Baby's first birth)		
Less than 24hours	16	4.3
More than 24 hours	354	95.7
Colic management		
Nothing given	78	21
Herbs	174	47.0
Medicine	101	27.3
Others	17	4.59
Immunization status of the babies		
Immunized	228	61.6
Not Immunized Yet	142	38.4

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig 1: Individual danger sign score of the caregivers



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig 2: Overall danger sign knowledge score among participants



Table 3: Factors associated with newborn danger sign knowledge among caregivers

Variable	Poor Knowledge	Average Knowledge	Good Knowledge	P value
Antenatal care attendance				
<4 times	124 (52.99)	44 (47.31)	27 (62.79)	0.241
> 4 times	110 (47.01)	49 (52.69)	16 (37.21)	
Family Structure				0.814
Extended	53 (23.04)	21 (22.58)	8 (18.6)	0.814
Nuclear	177 (76.96)	72 (77.4)	35(81.4)	
Occupation				
Formal	23 (9.83)	12 (12.9)	9 (20.93)	0.192
Informal	173 (73.93)	66 (70.79)	31 (72.09)	
None	38 (16.24)	15(16.13)	3 (6.98)	
Income (Uganda Shillings)				
<210,000	177 (75.64)	70 (75.27)	29 (67.44)	0.517
>210,000	57 (24.36)	23 (24.73)	14 (32.56)	
Mother's Parity				
Prime Gravida	84 (35.9)	31(33.33)	17 (39.53)	0.865
2-4	123 (52.56)	50 (53.76)	23 (53.49)	
>4	27 (11.4)	12 (12.90)	17 (39.53)	
Marital Status				
Married	219 (93.59)	86 (92.47)	41 (95.35)	0.816
Single	15 (5.41)	7 (7.53)	2 (4.65)	
Mode of delivery				0.351
Cesarean section	128 (54.7)	57 (61.29)	21 (48.84)	0.351
Spontaneous Vaginal delivery	106 (45.3)	36 (38.71)	22 (51.16)	
Place of delivery				0.611
Health facility	226(96.58)	88 (94.62)	42 (97.67)	0.611
Home delivery	8 (3.42)	5 (5.38)	1 (2.33)	
Family Structure				
Extended	82	22.40		0.814
Nuclear	284	77.60		
Caregiver's age				0.019
<20 Years	47 (20.09)	14 (15.05)	4(9.30)	0.019
21-30Years	149 (63.68)	63 (67.74)	36(83.7)	
31 - 40 Years	37 (15.81)	14 (15.05)	1(2.33)	
>40 Years	1 (0.43)	2 (2.15)	2(4.65)	
Baby's Age				
<7 days	180 (76.92)	82 (88.17)	32 (74.42)	0.089
7-28 days	43 (18.35)	6 (6.45)	8 (18.60)	

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

>28 days	11 (4.70)	5 (5.38)	3 (6.98)	
Relationship with child				
Father	5 (2.14)	3 (3.23)	2(4.65)	0.009
Mother	16 (6.84)	16 (17.20)	9 (20.93)	
Other	213 (91.03)	74 (79.57)	32 (74.42)	

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296303>; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .